

This is a repository copy of *Optimizing tailored DIEP flap insetting in unilateral immediate free autologous breast reconstruction*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/154963/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Figus, A, Marongiu, F, Wade, RG orcid.org/0000-0001-8365-6547 et al. (1 more author) (2020) Optimizing tailored DIEP flap insetting in unilateral immediate free autologous breast reconstruction. Plastic and reconstructive surgery, 145 (2). 443e-444e. ISSN 0032-1052

https://doi.org/10.1097/prs.000000000006499

© 2019, Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins. This is an author produced version of a paper published in Plastic and reconstructive surgery. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse See Attached

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery Advance Online Article

DOI: 10.1097/PRS.00000000006499

Optimizing tailored DIEP flap insetting in unilateral immediate free autologous breast reconstruction.

Andrea Figus ^{1,3} M.D., Ph.D., Francesco Marongiu ¹ M.D., Ryckie G Wade ²

M.Clin.Ed., M.R.C.S., F.H.E.A. Sergio Razzano ¹ M.D.

Corresponding author: Andrea Figus,

¹ Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Norfolk and Norwich University

Hospital NHS Foundation Trust;

²Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Leeds Teaching Hospitals Trust; Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Leeds;

³University of Cagliari, Faculty of Medicine and Surgery, Department of Surgical

Sciences, Plastic Surgery and Microsurgery Unit, University Hospital Duilio Casula.

Disclosure: The authors have no financial interest to declare in relation to the content

of this article.

We thank Li and colleagues for their letter regarding our article on optimizing DIEP flap insetting in immediate autologous breast reconstruction. We agree with some of their comments but our aim was to harvest tailored flaps to achieve breast symmetry and not to satisfy the need of contralateral symmetrisation. We agree with their statement as to the perfusion of the DIEP flap, identifying lateral and medial row perforators, may be another factor which may influence results. As we developed our study with the aim of achieving symmetry we found the use of lateral and medial rows was not a critical factor to achieve this goal but we agree that in some cases this may have an impact on reliable flap perfusion.

Regarding the second question, we agree that a baseline assessment could have generated more accurate information about changes in BREAST-Q. Historically, it was difficult to obtain a BREAST-Q at baseline and we felt, being all patients immediate reconstructions, the bias were reduced and the risk of patients being unsatisfied with their native breast could have been low. However, BREAST-Q at baseline is now offered to all our patients undergoing breast reconstruction as standard. We do hope to share our results in the next future. As to the role of a sensate flap, in literature there is controversy on the evidence of

has to the fole of a sensate hap, in interature there is controversy on the evidence of benefits of nerve coaptation in DIEP flap breast reconstruction. Progressive spontaneous sensitive recovery at 6 and 12 months after surgery is reported¹ and majority of centers do not routinely perform nerve coaptation in autologous breast reconstruction. However, due to the need of more research with this technique, we are following the work of the Maastricht group with great interest².

2

As to the last point, regarding measurement of thickness of DIEP flap, we do agree with the authors' suggestion and we encourage them to read our article on DIEP flap volume assessment³. We are fully aware of the differences in thickness within a same flap laterally and medially. However, to develop a standardized algorithm we had to simplify this variable, taking into consideration the higher measurement. We are very pleased to have generated interest and questions of such a high level and we feel our published algorithm will support the safe development of individually

tailored DIEP flap breast reconstructions for the benefit of our patients.

3

References:

C

- Santanelli F, Longo B, Angelini M, Laporta R, Paolini G. Prospective computerized analyses of sensibility in breast reconstruction with nonreinnervated DIEP flap. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2011 May;127(5):1790-5.
- Beugels J, Cornelissen AJM, van Kuijk SMJ, Lataster A, Heuts EM, Piatkowski A, Spiegel AJ, van der Hulst RRWJ, Tuinder SMH. Sensory Recovery of the Breast following Innervated and Noninnervated DIEP Flap Breast Reconstruction. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2019 Aug;144(2):178e-188e.
- Razzano S, Taylor R, Schonauer F, Figus A. How to assess the volume of a DIEP flap using a free online calculator: The DIEP V (volume) method. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg. 2018 Oct;71(10):1410-1416