Article:
Dube, U, Ibanez, L, Budde, JP et al. (9 more authors) (2020) Overlapping genetic architecture between Parkinson disease and melanoma. Acta Neuropathologica, 139 (2). pp. 347-364. ISSN 0001-6322

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-019-02110-z

Overlapping Genetic Architecture between Parkinson Disease and Melanoma

Umber Dube[1,2,3,4]; Laura Ibanez[2,4]; John P Budde[2,4]; Bruno A Benitez[2,4]; Albert A Davis[3;] Oscar Harari[2,4]; Mark M Iles[5;] Matthew H Law[6]; Kevin M Brown[7]; 23andMe Research Team; Melanoma-Meta-analysis Consortium; and Carlos Cruchaga[2,3,4*]

[1]Medical Scientist Training Program, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 S. Euclid Ave, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA.

[2]Department of Psychiatry, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 S. Euclid Ave. CB8134, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA.

[3]Department of Neurology, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, MO, USA

[4]NeuroGenomics and Informatics. Washington University School of Medicine, 660 S. Euclid Ave. B8111, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA.

[5]Leeds Institute for Data Analytics, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

[6]Statistical Genetics, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia

[7]Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA

Corresponding Author: Carlos Cruchaga, Neurogenetics and Informatics, Department of Psychiatry, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 South Euclid Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63110. E-mail: cruchagac@wustl.edu Phone: 314-286-0546. Fax: 314-362-2244

Abstract

Epidemiologic studies have reported inconsistent results regarding an association between Parkinson disease (PD) and cutaneous melanoma (melanoma). Identifying shared genetic architecture between these diseases can support epidemiologic findings and identify common risk genes and biological pathways. Here we apply polygenic, linkage disequilibrium-informed methods to the largest available case-control, genome-wide association study summary statistic data for melanoma and PD. We identify positive and significant genetic correlation (correlation: 0.17, 95% CI 0.10 to 0.24; $P = 4.09 \times 10^{-06}$) between melanoma and PD. We further demonstrate melanoma and PD-inferred gene expression to overlap across tissues (correlation: 0.14, 95% CI 0.06 to 0.22; $P = 7.87 \times 10^{-04}$), and highlight seven genes including *PIEZO1*, *TRAPPC2L*, and *SOX6* as potential mediators of the genetic correlation between melanoma and PD. These findings demonstrate specific, shared genetic architecture between PD and melanoma that manifests at the level of gene expression.

Keywords: Parkinson disease; melanoma; genetic correlation; polygenic; TWAS; shared genetic architecture

Introduction

An association between idiopathic Parkinson disease (PD), neuropathologically characterized by the degeneration of pigmented dopaminergic neurons, and cutaneous melanoma (melanoma), a cancer of pigment-producing melanocytes, was first reported in 1972 [80]. This association was hypothesized to result from the chronic systemic administration of levodopa (L-DOPA) – an intermediate in the dopamine synthesis pathway [23] – for the treatment of PD [4, 80] as L-DOPA is also a biosynthetic intermediate in the production of melanin [23]. Since that time, several epidemiologic studies have examined the association between PD and melanoma as well as other cancers [5, 17, 21, 27, 29, 36, 42, 53, 67, 68, 81, 87, 91]. The majority of studies have found that individuals with PD appear to have a lower incidence of most cancers, with the exception of melanoma [21, 27, 36, 67, 68, 81, 91]. Both prospective and retrospective studies have also found an increased risk of melanoma in PD that appears to be independent of L-DOPA treatment [5, 29, 42, 67, 91]. For example, 92 out of 2,106 (4.4%) individuals with neurologist-confirmed PD had either a personal history or current dermatologist-diagnosed melanoma in a 2010 study [5]. The increased risk of melanoma in PD has been observed to extend to family members and be reciprocal in nature with individuals being at greater risk for PD if their relatives have a melanoma diagnosis and vice versa [29, 42]. For example, 40 of 1,544 (2.6%) of individuals with pathologically-confirmed melanoma had a neurologist-confirmed diagnosis of PD in a 2017 study [17]. However, not all studies have identified an association between melanoma and PD in affected individuals [19, 27] or their relatives [91]. An epidemiologic association between lighter hair color and PD, a potentially shared risk factor with melanoma [6], has also been inconsistently reported [19, 30]. Epidemiologic association studies are not without biases. PD is known to have an extended prodromal period and a melanoma diagnosis necessitates longitudinal follow up, both of which increase medical surveillance and thus the chance for spurious epidemiologic associations [27,

33]. In contrast, studies of genetic variants associated with disease or cross-disease risk are not expected to be influenced by usage of medical care, though they may be subject to similar misclassification [75] and ascertainment biases.

The first investigations of a genetic relationship between melanoma and PD focused on variants in *MC1R*, a gene strongly associated with pigmentation and melanoma risk [45]. While early reports identified an association between PD and *MC1R* variants [30, 83] other studies failed to replicate these findings [24, 26, 28, 55]. Analyses focused on single variants in other melanoma risk genes have also failed to yield consistent associations with PD [19, 28, 56]. Multi-variant analyses have thus far reported a lack of genetic association as well. For example, a melanoma genetic risk score – calculated by aggregating the effect of melanoma genome-wide association study (GWAS)-significant ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) loci included in the GWAS catalog [89] as of 2012 – was not significantly associated with PD [65]. Similarly, no evidence for an association between GWAS-significant melanoma loci and PD is observed in a more recent multi-variant, Mendelian randomization study [66]. In contrast, genes associated with Mendelian forms of PD have been identified to be somatically mutated in melanoma lesions [37, 40, 48]. There may also exist an enrichment of Mendelian PD gene germline variants in individuals with melanoma [37], though this requires replication. Nevertheless, over 90% of individuals with PD do not have mutations in any known Mendelian PD genes [1] and thus variants in Mendelian PD genes are unlikely to fully explain any genetic correlation between melanoma and PD.

The genetic risk architecture underlying complex diseases like PD and melanoma is mediated by many common genetic variants of small effect size, most of which do not demonstrate GWAS-significant associations given current study sample sizes [8]. Analyses which only include GWAS-significant loci are not expected to fully represent the genetic architecture of these complex diseases and thus may lead to false negative genetic overlap results. Recently, statistical methods that aggregate all loci from disease-specific GWAS

summary statistic datasets in a linkage disequilibrium (LD)-informed manner have been developed to better model these polygenic architectures [11]. These aggregated signals can be leveraged to estimate the genetic correlation between different diseases [11, 54], even at the level of gene expression in specific tissues [35, 57] or across tissues [38]. Here, we apply these novel methods to GWAS summary statistics derived from the largest currently available studies of melanoma [45], PD [13, 63, 64], and other neurodegenerative diseases [25, 44] to investigate whether there exists specific genetic architecture overlap between melanoma and PD.

Methods

GWAS Summary Statistics:

We obtained the largest available, European genetic ancestry, case-control, GWAS summary statistic data for melanoma (Law2015 [45]) and three independent studies of PD (Nalls2014 [64]; Chang2017 [13]; Nalls2019 [63]) as well as two negative control comparator neurodegenerative diseases: Alzheimer disease (Kunkle2019 [44]) and frontotemporal dementia (Ferrari2014 [25]). The summary statistics for these datasets included p-value, effect allele, number of individuals or studies, and standard error for every genetic variant reported in each study. All individual studies contributing to the GWAS summary statistic datasets used in the current analysis received approval from the pertinent institutional review boards or ethics committees, and all participants gave informed consent. Additional details for each dataset are included below and in the individual study articles [13, 25, 44, 45, 63, 64].

Melanoma – Law2015

We obtained meta-analysis Melanoma risk summary statistic data from the Melanoma meta-analysis consortium (https://genomel.org/). This data was published in Law et al., Nature Genetics, 2015 [45]. This dataset includes melanoma-association results for 9,469,417

genotyped and imputed variants derived from 12,814 pathologically-confirmed melanoma cases and 23,203 controls of European ancestry.

Parkinson disease – Nalls2014

We obtained PD risk summary statistic data from PDGENE (http://www.pdgene.org/). This dataset was published in Nalls et al., Nature Genetics, 2014 [64] and Lill et al, PLoS Genetics 2012 [50]. The summary statistic data we obtained did not include any 23andMe participants and thus the dataset includes PD-association results for 7,799,580 genotyped and imputed variants derived from 9,581 PD cases – mostly diagnosed, but some self-reported – and 33,245 controls of European ancestry. This dataset only included the number of studies, and not the number of individuals, supporting the association results for each variant. Consequently, we only included variants supported by at least 12 of 13 studies in downstream analyses.

Parkinson disease – Chang2017

We obtained Parkinson disease (PD) risk summary statistic data from 23andMe, Inc., a personal genetics company (https://research.23andme.com/dataset-access/). This data was published in Chang et al., Nature Genetics, 2017 [13]. This dataset includes PD-association results for 12,896,220 genotyped and imputed variants derived from 6,476 self-reported PD cases and 302,042 controls of European ancestry. This dataset excludes any 23andMe participants included in the Nalls2014 study.

Parkinson disease – Nalls2019

We obtained PD risk summary statistic data from the IPDGC (https://pdgenetics.org/). This dataset was published in Nalls et al., The Lancet Neurology, 2019 [63]. The summary statistic data we obtained did not include any 23andMe data nor Nalls2014 data and thus

includes PD-association results for 17,510,617 genotyped and imputed variants derived from 33,674 PD cases – diagnosed and UKB proxy-cases, that is individuals with a first-degree relative with PD – and 449,056 controls of European ancestry.

Alzheimer disease – Kunkle2019

We downloaded stage 1 meta-analysis Alzheimer Disease (AD) risk GWAS summary statistic data from NIAGADS (National Institute on Aging Genetics of Alzheimer Disease Data Storage Site) website: https://www.niagads.org/datasets/ng00075 (#NG00075). This data was generated by the International Genomics of Alzheimer Project and published in Kunkle et al., Nature Genetics, 2019 [44]. The stage 1 meta-analysis dataset includes AD-association results for 11,480,632 genotyped and imputed variants derived from 21,982 AD cases and 41,944 cognitively normal controls of European ancestry.

Frontotemporal Dementia – Ferrari2014

We obtained discovery phase Frontotemporal Dementia (FTD) risk GWAS summary statistic data from the International Frontotemporal Dementia Genomics Consortium (IFGC, https://ifgcsite.wordpress.com/data-access/). This data was generated by the IFGC and published in Ferrari et al., Lancet Neurology, 2014 [25]. The discovery phase dataset includes FTD-association results for 6,026,385 variants derived from 2,154 individuals with FTD and 4,308 control of European ancestry.

Meta-analyzing PD GWAS datasets

We used METAL software [90] to perform an inverse-variance weighted meta-analysis of the three independent PD GWAS summary statistics. We refer to this meta-analyzed PD dataset in the text, tables, and figures as METAPD (49,731 cases and 784,343 controls).

Standardization and Filtering of GWAS Summary Statistics

We standardized all summary statistics prior to polygenic analyses. We first confirmed the genome build to be GRCh37, and then annotated variants with dbSNP v151 rs-identifiers and gnomAD [41] non-Finnish European (NFE) allele frequencies using ANNOVAR software (2018Apr16) [88]. We only included bi-allelic variants with rs-identifiers and in instances where multiple variants shared the same rs-identifiers, we selected the variant that was supported by the largest number of studies and/or the greatest sample size. Finally, we processed and filtered summary statistics using the munge_sumstats.py tool provided with Linkage Disequilibrium Score Regression Software (LDSC) [11]. This processing and filtering removed variants with an effect allele frequency of less than 0.05 in the gnomAD NFE population, variants with strand-ambiguous alleles, variants supported by a low sample size or effective sample (N_{eff} = $4/(1/N_{cases}+1/N_{controls})$) for the meta-analysis [90], and variants that were not reported in the HapMap3 study [31]. The number of variants overlapping across all processed GWAS summary statistic datasets analyzed in the present study are presented in Table 1.

Estimating Genetic Overlap by GNOVA

We calculated genetic overlap using GNOVA software [54]. GNOVA estimates genetic covariance based on all the genetic variants shared between two GWAS summary statistic datasets. In brief, the summary statistic z-scores observed for each variant are multiplied and their product is regressed against the LD score for that variant, with the LD score being calculated based on the external 1000 genomes project CEU population [84]. Genetic covariance is then estimated based on all shared variants using the method of moments and a block-wise jackknife approach as described in the GNOVA manuscript [54]. GNOVA further provides an estimate of genetic correlation based on this calculated genetic covariance and the estimated GWAS variant-based heritabilities. As with LD score regression [11], GNOVA is able to statistically correct for any sample overlap between two different sets of GWAS summary

statistics. In addition, GNOVA produces unbounded genetic correlation estimates which may be greater than one for traits which are highly genetically correlated. GNOVA provides greater statistical power and higher estimation accuracy for genetic correlations than LD score regression, especially when the correlations are moderate [54], as is expected for melanoma and PD. We ran GNOVA software on the processed GWAS summary statistics using default parameters and the 1000 Genomes [84] European population-derived reference data provided with the software. Given we test the genetic correlation of melanoma against PD, AD, and FTD we use a Bonferroni corrected significance threshold of $P < 1.67 \times 10^{-02}$ (0.05 / 3) for our primary analysis. We also ran annotation-stratified analyses using the minor allele frequency quartile and chromosome annotations provided with GNOVA software as well as the aforementioned reference data and parameters. In the text we present genetic correlations, 95% confidence intervals, and p-values that have been corrected for sample overlap by GNOVA.

Disease-Inferred Gene Expression Overlap Analyses

We investigated whether the genetic overlap between PD and melanoma was mediated by shared regulation of gene expression. To do this we generated tissue-specific, disease-inferred gene expression profiles from the processed GWAS summary statistics using FUSION/TWAS software with the default parameters [35]. FUSION/TWAS imputes gene expression using *cis* expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) data derived from reference panels of paired genotype and tissue-specific gene expression data. As gene expression is imputed based on disease-specific GWAS summary statistics, FUSION/TWAS identifies disease-inferred gene expression profiles with tissue-level resolution. For this study, we used eQTL weights based on the 48 tissue Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) [34] version 7 (v7) reference panel provided with FUSION/TWAS to generate all disease-inferred gene expression profiles. We tested for overlap or correlation between the disease-inferred gene expression using RHOGE

software [57], providing the effective sample size [90] for each dataset and only including those FUSION/TWAS results that were at least nominally ($p < 0.05$) associated with each disease as per the default RHOGE parameters. RHOGE provides an estimate of the genetic correlation between two traits that can be attributed to eQTLs as represented by the different trait-inferred gene expression profiles. We exclude the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) region from disease-inferred gene expression overlap analyses due to its complex LD structure [35, 57]. To consider an overlap as significant we used a Bonferroni corrected threshold: $P < 1.04 \times 10^{-03}$ (0.05 / 48 tissues) and present uncorrected p-values and 95% confidence intervals in the text.

Highlighting Genes Underlying Disease-Inferred Gene Expression Overlap

We used UTMOST software [38] to generate single-tissue, disease-inferred gene expression, and then aggregated them into a summary metric representing cross-tissue, eGene-disease associations. eGenes are those genes whose expression are influenced by a least one *cis* disease-associated genetic variant [93]. For this analysis, we generated the single tissue disease-inferred results based on the processed GWAS summary statistics and the 44 tissue GTEx v6 reference panel provided with UTMOST, using default parameters. We similarly generated the cross-tissue summary metric using default parameters. The UTMOST cross-tissue test summary metric represents the maximum one-sided likelihood ratio test statistic for an eGene being associated with the disease, with larger test statistics indicating greater support for an association. This summary metric does not include any indicator of uncertainty. We identified transcriptome-wide significant, cross-tissue, eGene-disease associations using a false discovery rate (FDR) threshold of 0.05, that is five expected false discoveries per 100 reported. We compared PD and melanoma UTMOST summary metric eGene results for the disease-specific GWAS summary statistics to identify eGenes that were independently associated with both diseases.

Investigating for Differential Expression of Highlighted eGenes in PD Brain Tissues

To investigate whether the eGenes we identified as being independently associated with both melanoma and PD demonstrated differential expression in PD, we downloaded publicly available, normalized microarray gene expression data derived from substantia nigra brain tissues donated by individuals with and without PD. These datasets were deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under the accession codes: GDS2821 [47] and GDS3129 [22, 62]. The GDS2821 dataset includes Affymetrix Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 array data collected from 16 individuals with neuropathologically-confirmed PD and nine aged individuals with no history or pathological diagnosis of neurologic or psychiatric disease [47]. The GDS3129 dataset includes Affymetrix Human Genome U133B array data derived from 15 samples of medial substantia nigra and nine samples of lateral substantia nigra from individuals with neuropathologically-confirmed PD as well as eight samples of medial substantia nigra and seven samples of lateral substantia nigra from control individuals without neurodegenerative disease pathology [22, 62]. We extracted the normalized expression levels of *GPATCH8*, *MYO9A*, *PIEZO1*, *SOX6*, *TRAPPC2L*, *ZNF341*, and *ZNF778* genes and compared the expression between controls using a Mann-Whitney test using Graphpad Prism 8.0.

Results

Polygenic Analysis Reveals Specific Genetic Overlap between Melanoma and PD

Prior to cross-disease analyses, we first confirmed that the three independent PD datasets demonstrated positive and significant genetic correlation with each other (genetic correlation range: 0.94 to 1.07, Table 2) using GNOVA software. Following this confirmation and method validation, we proceeded to analyze for potential genetic correlations between melanoma, PD, and the comparator neurodegenerative disease datasets.

We identified a significant and positive genetic correlation between melanoma and the meta-analyzed PD dataset (genetic correlation: 0.17, 95% CI 0.10 to 0.24; $P = 4.09 \times 10^{-06}$,

Table 3). This result was not driven by any specific PD dataset, but all three independent datasets contributed to the association ($P < 0.05$; genetic correlation range: 0.14 to 0.25, Figure 1 and Table 4). We further investigated the genetic correlation between melanoma and the meta-analyzed PD dataset by stratifying it to the level of minor allele frequency and chromosome annotations. Consistent with the polygenic nature of these diseases, we found their genetic correlation to be most highly enriched in those genetic variants annotated as being in the top quartile of minor allele frequency (Supplementary Table 1, online resource). We also found the genetic correlation between melanoma and the meta-analyzed PD dataset to be enriched in chromosomes 1, 2, 8, 11, 16, and 17 (Supplementary Table 2, online resource). We found no shared genetic architecture between melanoma and Alzheimer disease (genetic correlation: -0.02, 95% CI -0.11 to 0.07; $P = 0.73$, Table 3) nor between melanoma and Frontotemporal dementia (genetic correlation: -0.13, 95% CI -0.37 to 0.12; $P = 0.32$, Table 3). We similarly did not observe any significant correlation between the meta-analyzed PD dataset and AD (Table 3), although one of the individual PD studies showed nominal correlation with AD (Nalls2014: genetic correlation: -0.22, 95% CI -0.22 to 0.00, $P = 4.94 \times 10^{-02}$; Table 4). We did identify a positive and significant genetic correlation between the meta-analyzed PD dataset and FTD (genetic correlation: 0.27, 95% CI 0.07 to 0.47; $P = 8.43 \times 10^{-03}$, Table 3), but this appeared to be primarily driven by one of the individual PD studies (Table 4). Together these results demonstrate a consistent, positive and significant genetic correlation between melanoma and PD but not between melanoma and FTD or AD.

PD and Melanoma Disease-Inferred Gene Expression Overlaps Across Tissues

To investigate whether melanoma and PD-associated risk variants regulated the expression of the same genes, we generated disease-inferred, tissue-specific gene expression profiles from the processed melanoma and METAPD GWAS summary statistic datasets via

FUSION/TWAS software [35]. We further investigated for overlap between the different disease-inferred gene expression profiles using RHOGE software [57].

We identified a positive and significant overlap between the PD- and melanoma-inferred gene expression profiles in a joint analysis of the 48 tissues included in the GTEx v7 reference panel provided with the FUSION/TWAS software (disease-inferred gene expression correlation: 0.14, 95% CI 0.06 to 0.22; *P*: 7.87×10^{-04}). Analyzing the PD- and melanoma-inferred gene expression correlation in each of the reference panel tissues individually, we observed positive overlap in 44 tissues (disease-inferred gene expression correlation median: 0.25, IQR: 0.13, Figure 2 and Table 5), but only a statistically significant overlap in the suprapubic, non-sun-exposed, skin tissue (disease-inferred gene expression correlation: 0.37, 95% CI 0.17 to 0.57; *P*: 7.58×10^{-04}). Eleven additional tissues demonstrated positive and nominal (Figure 2 and Table 5) the PD- and melanoma-inferred gene expression overlap including spleen (disease-inferred gene expression correlation: 0.40, 95% CI 0.13 to 0.66; *P*: 5.49×10^{-03}), minor salivary gland (disease-inferred gene expression correlation: 0.45, 95% CI 0.15 to 0.75; *P*: 7.49×10^{-03}), heart atrial appendage (disease-inferred gene expression correlation: 0.31, 95% CI 0.09 to 0.54; *P*: 8.27×10^{-03}) brain substantia nigra (disease-inferred gene expression correlation: 0.42, 95% CI 0.14 to 0.71; *P*: 9.02×10^{-03}), and brain caudate nucleus (disease-inferred gene expression correlation: 0.29, 95% CI 0.01 to 0.58; *P*: 4.89×10^{-02}).

To highlight genes whose expression was commonly regulated by PD and melanoma risk variants, we generated cross-tissue, summary metric eGene-disease associations using UTMOST [38] software. Applying UTMOST to the METAPD GWAS summary statistics, we identified 606 eGenes significantly associated with PD (Supplementary Table 3, online resource), including genes in previously reported PD-associated loci [50, 64], such as *MAPT* (*P:* 1.28×10^{-04}). In the melanoma dataset, we identified 168 significantly associated eGenes (Supplementary Table 4, online resource) including those reported in a previous TWAS study [92], such as *MAFF* (*P:* 1.28×10^{-12}). Comparing the two sets of cross-tissue summary metric

results, we identify seven eGene-disease associations that passed the FDR threshold for both PD and melanoma: *GPATCH8, MYO9A*, *PIEZO1, SOX6, TRAPPC2L*, *ZNF341*, and *ZNF778* (Figure 3 and Table 6). In addition, we found evidence for differential expression between individuals with and without neuropathologically-confirmed PD for five of these seven eGenes in publicly available substantia nigra microarray datasets (Supplementary Figure 1A-O, online resource). Together, these results suggest that some component of the genetic correlation between melanoma and PD may be mediated by the shared regulation of gene expression across tissues.

Discussion

In this study, we have identified a positive and significant genetic correlation between melanoma and PD by leveraging the largest available GWAS summary statistic datasets and recent advances in polygenic complex trait modeling [11, 54] (Tables 3-4). Our results support the findings of several epidemiologic studies of shared – individual and familial – risk [5, 17, 21, 27, 29, 36, 42, 53, 67, 68, 81, 87, 91] between the two diseases. We also demonstrate no evidence for shared genetic overlap between melanoma and two negative comparison neurodegenerative diseases: AD and FTD (Table 3), suggesting specificity.

Our results of positive genetic correlations between melanoma and PD stand in contrast to negative results from several other genetic studies including single-variant analyses [24, 26, 28, 55, 65, 66] and multi-variant analyses [65, 66]. Both melanoma and PD are complex diseases with inherently polygenic risk architectures. Consequently, efforts to identify shared genetic architecture at the single-variant level are likely underpowered, especially given the moderate epidemiologic and genetic, correlation between melanoma and PD. This is especially true given the fact that the GWAS results analyzed for such single-variant level investigations

are themselves currently underpowered. For example, a power analysis reported in the largest PD GWAS to date (Nalls2019), suggests that an adequately powered PD GWAS would require the inclusion of approximately 99,000 PD cases – more than double their current PD case sample size [63]. Consequently, our current knowledge regarding the genetic architectures of PD and melanoma is hardly comprehensive and larger GWAS may reveal shared individual risk loci between these diseases in the future. Similarly, previous multi-variant genetic analyses investigating melanoma and PD have focused specifically on GWAS-significant loci and thus can be expected to have missed a substantial proportion of the genetic architecture [8] underlying these complex diseases. Genetic correlation methods that consider linkage disequilibrium structure and incorporate all common variants are better powered to detect genetic overlap, especially given current GWAS sample sizes, as we demonstrate here for melanoma and PD.

The classification and ascertainment of participants was different between the three independent PD datasets included in the present study; however, they all demonstrate positive and significant genetic overlap with each other (Table 2). While this overlap does not guarantee specificity of the represented genetic architecture [12], the fact we observe all three independent PD studies to demonstrate positive and significant genetic overlap with melanoma (Figure 1 and Table 4) bolsters confidence in our results. Importantly, although the PD and melanoma genetic correlation point estimates for the three individual PD studies appear different, their 95% confidence intervals overlap which indicates that the effect size estimates are not significantly different (Figure 1 and Table 4). The genetic overlap between the independent PD datasets supported their meta-analysis, and the genetic correlation between the meta-analyzed PD dataset and melanoma provided the most precise estimate (genetic correlation: 0.17, 95% CI 0.10 to 0.24; $P = 4.09 \times 10^{-06}$; Figure 1 and Tables 3-4). Further increases in precision may result from incorporating additional independent GWAS summary statistic datasets and thus our

analyses should be repeated as these become available for both melanoma and PD. Similarly, our FTD genetic correlation results should be interpreted with caution as the current sample size is at least one order of magnitude smaller than the other disease datasets. For example, among the individual PD datasets, we only observe a positive genetic correlation between FTD and Nalls2019. Parkinsonism has been observed in about 20% on individuals with FTD [2, 7], and this result may suggest that individuals with FTD with parkinsonism were included among the UKB-proxy cases in the Nalls2019 dataset. Alternatively, a positive genetic correlation between FTD and the other PD datasets may be observed from the use of a larger FTD GWAS summary statistic dataset. Thus, our analyses should be repeated as larger GWAS summary statistic datasets become available.

We infer disease-associated gene expression profiles [35] using melanoma and meta-analyzed PD GWAS summary statistics and investigate for their overlap at the level of tissues [57] and genes [38] to provide bioinformatically-driven biological context to our melanoma and PD genetic correlation results. We identify significant cross-tissue overlap (disease-inferred gene expression correlation: 0.14, 95% CI 0.06 to 0.22; P: 7.87×10^{-04}) and significant individual tissue overlap in suprapubic non-sun-exposed skin (disease-inferred gene expression correlation: 0.37, 95% CI 0.17 to 0.57; P: 7.58×10^{-04}). We also observe positive, nominal disease-inferred gene expression correlation in peripheral tissues with PD relevance like the heart atrial appendage (disease-inferred gene expression correlation: 0.31, $P < 0.05$, Table 5) - which may reflect the cardiac sympathetic denervation associated with PD [32, 82] - or the minor salivary glands (disease-inferred gene expression correlation: 0.45, $P < 0.05$, Table 5) - which have been reported in some, but not all, studies as containing alpha synuclein aggregates in the context of PD [46, 85]. In terms of PD-relevant brain tissues, we observe positive, nominal disease-inferred gene expression correlation in the substantia nigra and basal ganglia caudate nucleus (disease-inferred gene expression correlation: 0.42 and 0.29, respectively; $P < 0.05$,

Figure 2 and Table 5). Importantly, the available GTEx v7 inferred gene expression reference model for brain tissues are based on substantially fewer samples than most peripheral tissues, for example the brain substantia nigra reference is derived from 80 donors compared to 335 donors for the suprapubic skin reference (Table 5). Consequently, our disease-inferred gene expression risk profile overlap analyses should be repeated as larger reference panels become available. Similarly, another limitation of the GTEx dataset is the inclusion of tissues from individuals with extended post-mortem intervals. As this can be expected to result in an underrepresentation of short-lived transcripts in the inferred gene expression reference panels, our analyses should be repeated as reference panels based on tissues from individuals with shorter post-mortem intervals become available.

We identify seven cross-tissue, eGene-disease associations passing the FDR threshold for both melanoma and PD (Figure 3 and Table 6), most of which are located on the chromosomes which we identified as being enriched for the genetic correlation between these two diseases. Importantly, the UTMOST software currently only provides a compatible reference panel based on the GTEx v6 release which is derived from fewer donor samples per tissue compared to GTEx v7 release. In addition, the GTEx v6 reference panel does not include four tissues - brain substantia nigra, brain spinal cervical spinal cord, brain amygdala, and minor salivary gland - which we observed to demonstrate positive disease-inferred gene expression overlap for melanoma and PD (Table 5). Additional eGenes may pass the FDR threshold for both PD and melanoma in analyses based on the larger GTEx v7 reference panel. Thus, our analyses should be repeated when this or other larger reference panels become available for UTMOST. Nevertheless, using the smaller GTEx v6 reference panel we identify seven genes that may be commonly regulated by melanoma and PD-associated variants under the FDR threshold (Figure 3 and Table 6), including *PIEZO1* (Melanoma *P*: 2.74×10^{-11}; METAPD *P*:

5.65 × 10^{-05}); *TRAPPC2L* (Melanoma *P*: 2.36 × 10^{-11}; METAPD *P*: 8.47 × 10^{-05}); and *SOX6* (Melanoma *P*: 1.30 × 10^{-04}; METAPD *P*: 5.97 × 10^{-05}).

PIEZO1 encodes a recently described mechanosensitive cation channel [15] with several biological functions including human T cell activation [52], direction of lineage choice in human neural stem cells [71], and mediating the age-related loss of function of oligodendrocyte progenitor cells [79]. *PIEZO1* is expressed in the neurons of the human substantia nigra [20, 76] and also is ubiquitously expressed in human enteric neurons [58], both neuronal types impacted by PD [10, 43]. Interestingly, the expression of *PIEZO2* – *PIEZO1*'s paralog – is regulated by, putatively melanocyte-derived, dopamine signaling in mouse primary sensory neurons [69] but whether this regulation is relevant for *PIEZO1* is currently unknown. Similarly, a role for *PIEZO1* in melanoma remains largely unexplored though *PIEZO1* has been identified to contribute to the migration of invasive melanoma cells [39].

TRAPPC2L is a component of transport protein particle (TRAPP) complexes which function in intracellular vesicle-mediated transport and autophagy [60, 61, 78]. This gene is expressed in human substantia nigra neurons [20] and a homozygous missense variant in it causes a neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by progressive encephalopathy and episodic rhabdomyolysis [60]. The intergenic variant rs12921479 - which is an eQTL for *TRAPPC2L* in the brain [34, 74] – was reported to be associated with PD (*P:* 9.31 × 10^{-07}) in an autopsy-confirmed cohort of PD [3], but is only nominally associated with PD in our meta-analyzed PD dataset (*P:* 1.01 × 10^{-02}). A role for *TRAPPC2L* in melanoma remains to be explored.

SOX6 is a transcription factor which was recently identified as a determinant of substantia nigra neuron development and maintenance [70]. Its expression was observed to localize to pigmented and tyrosine hydroxylase positive neurons but not to pigment-negative

441 neurons within the substantia nigra [70]. In addition, *SOX6* expression was diminished in the
442 substantia nigra of individuals with PD and deletion of *SOX6* in mice was observed to decrease
443 dopamine levels and innervation in the striatum [70], a brain region that is also impacted in PD
444 [9]. In a separate study, a large deletion in *SOX6* was identified in a patient with global
445 developmental delay and progressive parkinsonian symptoms including rest tremor [77] .
446 Interestingly, *SOX6* has been identified as a determinant of gastric dopaminergic neuron
447 development [59], which may suggest a role for this gene in the enteric nervous system
448 dysfunction and pathology observed in PD. *SOX6* may also have a role in melanoma. In a
449 cancer cell line expression study, *SOX6* was found to be highly expressed in melanoma cells
450 but was not detectable in eight other cancers [86]. Additionally, *SOX6* was identified as a
451 candidate melanoma driver gene [72] in a screen and *SOX6* may be a melanoma stem cell
452 marker [51].

453 While we observe evidence for differential expression between neuropathologically-
454 confirmed PD and controls for *PIEZO1*, *TRAPPC2L*, and *SOX6* in at least one substantia nigra
455 microarray dataset, these results should be interpreted with caution. Neurodegenerative
456 diseases like PD are characterized by dramatic changes in cell-type proportions [49] which will
457 impact differential expression results. Thus, the PD-associated differential expression of the
458 eGenes highlighted in this study should be confirmed in larger, RNA-sequencing-based
459 datasets - as these become available - in order to allow for the inclusion of important covariates
460 like cell-type proportions, sex, age of death, and RNA quality among others. Nevertheless, the
461 fact we observe differential expression of *SOX6* in the same direction as previously published
462 [70] is reassuring.

463 Investigating for differential expression of the eGenes highlighted in this study in the
464 context of melanoma is challenging given our focus on the risk of developing melanoma.
465 Nevertheless, a recent GTEx v8-based, multi-tissue TWAS resource (phenomexcan.org) [73]

provides some evidence for a link between the eGenes we highlight and melanoma-associated pigmentation traits included in the UK Biobank study. For example, *PIEZO1* is associated with red hair (*P:* ~0), ease of skin tanning (*P:* 3.74×10^{-175}), and skin colour (*P:* 3.41×10^{-121}); *TRAPPC2L* is associated with red hair (*P:* 3.28×10^{-181}), ease of skin tanning (*P:* 1.06×10^{-71}), and skin colour (*P:* 6.24×10^{-55}); and *SOX6* is associated with ease of skin tanning (*P:* 1.40×10^{-13}), skin colour (*P:* 1.55×10^{-11}), and childhood sunburn occasions (*P:* 3.92×10^{-11}).

Together, these results support a biologically plausible role for *PIEZO1*, *TRAPPCL2*, and *SOX6* in the genetic correlation between melanoma and PD, but these findings require confirmation and further investigation with future experimental work.

PD and melanoma are clinically heterogenous diseases [16, 18] for which spatiotemporal environmental exposures are relevant [14, 16] and may be necessary, in addition to innate genetic susceptibility, for the development of sporadic disease. Consequently, the moderate genetic correlation we observe should not be interpreted as suggesting that these diseases will always be co-morbid. However, our results of replicable and significant genetic correlation, regardless of the magnitude of effect, do suggest that these two very different diseases share common biological pathways. Thus, even if only a minority of individuals with PD ultimately develop melanoma, understanding the genetic correlation between these disease at the molecular level – for example, if and how the regulation of *PIEZO1, TRAPPC2L*, and *SOX6* and their related biological pathways contribute to PD etiopathogenesis – may provide mechanistic insight that is generalizable to all individuals with PD. Our results support such future research efforts.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Susan Searles Nielsen for helpful comments on a previous version of this manuscript.

This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (R01AG044546, P01AG003991, RF1AG053303, R01AG058501, U01AG058922, K01AG046374, K08NS101118 and R01HL119813), the Alzheimer Association (NIRG-11-200110, BAND-14-338165, AARG-16-441560 and BFG-15-362540). This work was supported by access to equipment made possible by the Hope Center for Neurological Disorders and the Departments of Neurology and Psychiatry at Washington University School of Medicine.

We acknowledge the support of all participants, investigators, and researchers from the Melanoma-Meta-analysis Consortium; complete acknowledgements for this meta-analysis can be found in the supplemental data of Law et al., 2015.[45]

We thank the International Genomics of Alzheimer Project (IGAP) for providing summary results data for these analyses. The investigators within IGAP contributed to the design and implementation of IGAP and/or provided data but did not participate in analysis or writing of this report. IGAP was made possible by the generous participation of the control subjects, the patients, and their families. The i–Select chips was funded by the French National Foundation on Alzheimer disease and related disorders. EADI was supported by the LABEX (laboratory of excellence program investment for the future) DISTALZ grant, Inserm, Institut Pasteur de Lille, Université de Lille 2 and the Lille University Hospital. GERAD/PERADES was supported by the Medical Research Council (Grant n° 503480), Alzheimer Research UK (Grant n° 503176), the Wellcome Trust (Grant n° 082604/2/07/Z) and German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF): Competence Network Dementia (CND) grant n° 01GI0102, 01GI0711, 01GI0420. CHARGE was partly supported by the NIH/NIA grant R01 AG033193 and the NIA AG081220 and AGES contract N01–AG–12100, the NHLBI grant R01 HL105756, the Icelandic Heart Association, and the Erasmus Medical Center and Erasmus University. ADGC was supported by the NIH/NIA grants: U01 AG032984, U24 AG021886, U01 AG016976, and the Alzheimer Association grant ADGC–10–196728.

We acknowledge the PDGENE investigators of the original study[64] and Drs Lill and Bertram from PDGene[50] for sharing vbnthe genetics data used for this study.

We would like to thank the research participants and employees of 23andMe for making this work possible.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: CC receives research support from: Biogen, EISAI, Alector and Parabon. The funders of the study had no role in the collection, analysis, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; or in the decision to submit the paper for publication. CC is a member of the advisory board of ADx Healthcare, Halia Therapeutics and Vivid Genomics.

Author Contributions: UD conceived the project, designed the study, collected the data, performed the analyses, interpreted the results, and wrote the manuscript. BAB performed the microarray gene expression analyses. LI, JPB, BAB, AAD, OH, MMI, MHL, and KB contributed to data collection and result interpretation. CC designed the study, collected the data, supervised the analyses, interpreted the results, and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and contributed to the final manuscript.

Consortium Investigators:

List of members of the Melanoma Meta-analysis Consortium

Law MH[1*], Bishop DT[2*], Lee JE[3#], Brossard M[4,5#], Martin NG[6], Moses EK[7], Song F[8], Barrett JH[2], Kumar R[9], Easton DF[10], Pharoah PD[11], Swerdlow AJ[12,13], Kypreou KP[14], Taylor JC[2], Harland M[2], Randerson-Moor J[2], Akslen LA[15,16], Andresen PA[17], Avril MF[18], Azizi E[19,20], Scarrà GB[21,22], Brown KM[23], Dębniak T[24], Duffy DL[6], Elder DE[25], Fang S[3], Friedman E[20], Galan P[26], Ghiorzo P[21,22], Gillanders EM[27], Goldstein AM[23], Gruis NA[28], Hansson J[29], Helsing P[30], Hočevar M[31], Höiom V[29], Ingvar C[32], Kanetsky PA[33], Chen WV[34]; GenoMEL Consortium; Essen-Heidelberg Investigators; SDH Study Group; Q-MEGA and QTWIN Investigators; AMFS Investigators; ATHENS Melanoma Study Group, Landi MT[23], Lang J[35], Lathrop GM[36], Lubiński J[24], Mackie RM[35,37], Mann GJ[38], Molven A[16,39], Montgomery GW[40], Novaković S[41], Olsson H[42,43], Puig S[44,45], Puig-Butille JA[44,45], Wu W[46,47], , Qureshi AA[48], Radford-Smith GL[49,50,51], van der Stoep N[52], van Doorn R[28], Whiteman DC[53], Craig JE[54], Schadendorf D[55,56], Simms LA[47], Burdon KP[57], Nyholt DR[40,58], Pooley KA[10], Orr N[59], Stratigos AJ[14], Cust AE[60], Ward SV[7], Hayward NK[61], Han J[46,47], Schulze HJ[62], Dunning AM[11], Bishop JA[2], Demenais F[4,5#], Amos CI[63#], MacGregor S[1*], Iles MM[2*].

[1]Statistical Genetics, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia.

[2]Section of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Leeds Institute of Cancer and Pathology, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK.

[3]Department of Surgical Oncology, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, USA.

[4]INSERM, UMR 946, Genetic Variation and Human Diseases Unit, Paris, France.

[5]Institut Universitaire d'Hématologie, Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France.

[6]Genetic Epidemiology, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia.

[7]Centre for Genetic Origins of Health and Disease, Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Sciences, University of Western Australia, Perth, Western Australia, Australia.

[8]Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Key Laboratory of Cancer Prevention and Therapy, Tianjin, National Clinical Research Center of Cancer, Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital, Tianjin, China.

[9]Division of Molecular Genetic Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany.

[10]Centre for Cancer Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK.

[11]Centre for Cancer Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Oncology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK.

[12]Division of Genetics and Epidemiology, The Institute of Cancer Research, London, UK.

[13]Division of Breast Cancer Research, The Institute of Cancer Research, London, UK.

[14]Department of Dermatology, University of Athens School of Medicine, Andreas Sygros Hospital, Athens, Greece.

[15]Centre for Cancer Biomarkers (CCBIO), Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway.

[16]Department of Pathology, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway.

[17]Department of Pathology, Molecular Pathology, Oslo University Hospital, Rikshospitalet, Oslo, Norway.

[18]Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Cochin, Service de Dermatologie, Université Paris Descartes, Paris, France.

[19]Department of Dermatology, Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer, Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv, Israel.

[20]Oncogenetics Unit, Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer, Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel.

[21]Department of Internal Medicine and Medical Specialties, University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy.

[22]Laboratory of Genetics of Rare Cancers, Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria (IRCCS AOU) San Martino l'Istituto Scientifico Tumori Istituto Nazionale per la Ricerca sul Cancro, Genoa, Italy.

[23]Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, US National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA.

[24]International Hereditary Cancer Center, Pomeranian Medical University, Szczecin, Poland.

[25]Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA.

[26]Université Paris 13, Equipe de Recherche en Epidémiologie Nutritionnelle (EREN), Centre de Recherche en Epidémiologie et Statistiques, INSERM U1153, Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA) U1125, Conservatoire National des Arts et Métiers, Communauté d'Université Sorbonne Paris Cité, Bobigny, France.

[27]Inherited Disease Research Branch, National Human Genome Research Institute, US National Institutes of Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA.

[28]Department of Dermatology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands.

[29]Department of Oncology-Pathology, Karolinska Institutet, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden.

[30]Department of Dermatology, Oslo University Hospital, Rikshospitalet, Oslo, Norway.

[31]Department of Surgical Oncology, Institute of Oncology Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia.

[32]Department of Surgery, Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Lund, Sweden.

[33]Department of Cancer Epidemiology, H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center and Research Institute, Tampa, Florida, USA.

[34]Department of Genetics, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, USA.

[35]Department of Medical Genetics, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK.

609 [36]McGill University and Génome Québec Innovation Centre, Montreal, Quebec, Canada.

610 [37]Department of Public Health, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK.

611 [38]Centre for Cancer Research, University of Sydney at Westmead, Millennium Institute for
612 Medical Research and Melanoma Institute Australia, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia.

613 [39]Gade Laboratory for Pathology, Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Bergen,
614 Bergen, Norway.

615 [40]Molecular Epidemiology, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Queensland,
616 Australia.

617 [41]Department of Molecular Diagnostics, Institute of Oncology Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia.

618 [42]Department of Oncology/Pathology, Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Lund, Sweden.

619 [43]Department of Cancer Epidemiology, Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Lund, Sweden.

620 [44]Melanoma Unit, Departments of Dermatology, Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics, Hospital
621 Clinic, Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdica August Pi Suñe, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona,
622 Spain.

623 [45]Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red (CIBER) de Enfermedades Raras, Instituto de
624 Salud Carlos III, Barcelona, Spain.

625 [46]Department of Epidemiology, Richard M. Fairbanks School of Public Health, Indiana
626 University, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA.

627 [47]Melvin and Bren Simon Cancer Center, Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA.

628 [48]Department of Dermatology, Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence,
629 Rhode Island, USA.

630 [49]Inflammatory Bowel Diseases, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane,
631 Queensland, Australia.

632 [50]Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital,
633 Brisbane, Queensland, Australia.

634 [51]University of Queensland School of Medicine, Herston Campus, Brisbane, Queensland,
635 Australia.

636 [52]Department of Clinical Genetics, Center of Human and Clinical Genetics, Leiden University
637 Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands.

638 [53]Cancer Control Group, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Queensland,
639 Australia.

[54]Department of Ophthalmology, Flinders University, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia.

[55]Department of Dermatology, University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany.

[56]German Consortium for Translational Cancer Research (DKTK), Heidelberg, Germany.

[57]Menzies Institute for Medical Research, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia.

[58]Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia.

[59]Breakthrough Breast Cancer Research Centre, The Institute of Cancer Research, London, UK.

[60]Cancer Epidemiology and Services Research, Sydney School of Public Health, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia.

[61]Oncogenomics, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia.

[62]Department of Dermatology, Fachklinik Hornheide, Institute for Tumors of the Skin at the University of Münster, Münster, Germany.

[63]Department of Community and Family Medicine, Geisel School of Medicine, Dartmouth College, Hanover, New Hampshire, USA.

* Supervised equally. # Contributed equally.

List of members of the 23andMe Research Team

The following members of the 23andMe Research Team contributed to this study:

Michelle Agee, Babak Alipanahi, Adam Auton, Robert K. Bell, Katarzyna Bryc, Sarah L. Elson, Pierre Fontanillas, Nicholas A. Furlotte, David A. Hinds, Karen E. Huber, Aaron Kleinman, Nadia K. Litterman, Jennifer C. McCreight, Matthew H. McIntyre, Joanna L. Mountain, Elizabeth S. Noblin, Carrie A.M. Northover, Steven J. Pitts, J. Fah Sathirapongsasuti, Olga V. Sazonova, Janie F. Shelton, Suyash Shringarpure, Chao Tian, Joyce Y. Tung, Vladimir Vacic, and Catherine H. Wilson

REFERENCES

1. Ascherio A, Schwarzschild MA (2016) The epidemiology of Parkinson's disease: risk factors and prevention. The Lancet Neurology 15:1257–1272. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(16)30230-7

2. Baizabal-Carvallo JF, Jankovic J (2016) Parkinsonism, movement disorders and genetics in frontotemporal dementia. Nature Reviews Neurology 12:175–185. doi: 10.1038/nrneurol.2016.14

3. Beecham GW, Dickson DW, Scott WK, Martin ER, Schellenberg G, Nuytemans K, Larson EB, Buxbaum JD, Trojanowski JQ, Van Deerlin VM, Hurtig HI, Mash DC, Beach TG, Troncoso JC, Pletnikova O, Frosch MP, Ghetti B, Foroud TM, Honig LS, Marder K, Vonsattel JP, Goldman SM, Vinters HV, Ross OA, Wszolek ZK, Wang L, Dykxhoorn DM, Pericak-Vance MA, Montine TJ, Leverenz JB, Dawson TM, Vance JM (2015) PARK10 is a major locus for sporadic neuropathologically confirmed Parkinson disease. Neurology 84:972–980. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000001332

4. Bernstein JE, Medenica M, Soltani K, Solomon A, Lorincz AL (1980) Levodopa Administration and Multiple Primary Cutaneous Melanomas. Arch Dermatol 116:1041–1044. doi: 10.1001/archderm.1980.01640330079019

5. Bertoni JM, Arlette JP, Fernandez HH, Fitzer-Attas C, Frei K, Hassan MN, Isaacson SH, Lew MF, Molho E, Ondo WG, Phillips TJ, Singer C, Sutton JP, Wolf JE (2010) Increased Melanoma Risk in Parkinson Disease: A Prospective Clinicopathological Study. Arch Neurol 67:347–352. doi: 10.1001/archneurol.2010.1

6. Bliss JM, Ford D, Swerdlow AJ, Armstrong BK, Cristofolini M, Elwood JM, Green A, Holly EA, Mack T, MacKie RM (1995) Risk of cutaneous melanoma associated with pigmentation characteristics and freckling: systematic overview of 10 case-control studies. The International Melanoma Analysis Group (IMAGE). Int J Cancer 62:367–376

7. Boeve BF, Hutton M (2008) Refining Frontotemporal Dementia With Parkinsonism Linked to Chromosome 17: Introducing FTDP-17 (MAPT) and FTDP-17 (PGRN). Arch Neurol 65:460–464. doi: 10.1001/archneur.65.4.460

8. Boyle EA, Li YI, Pritchard JK (2017) An expanded view of complex traits: from polygenic to omnigenic. Cell 169:1177–1186. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.038

9. Braak H, Del Tredici K (2017) Neuropathological Staging of Brain Pathology in Sporadic Parkinson's disease: Separating the Wheat from the Chaff. Journal of Parkinson's Disease 7:S71–S85. doi: 10.3233/JPD-179001

10. Braak H, Rüb U, Gai WP, Del Tredici K (2003) Idiopathic Parkinson's disease: possible routes by which vulnerable neuronal types may be subject to neuroinvasion by an unknown pathogen. J Neural Transm 110:517–536. doi: 10.1007/s00702-002-0808-2

11. Bulik-Sullivan BK, Loh P-R, Finucane HK, Ripke S, Yang J, Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, Patterson N, Daly MJ, Price AL, Neale BM (2015) LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. Nature Genetics 47:291–295. doi: 10.1038/ng.3211

12. Cai N, Revez JA, Adams MJ, Andlauer TFM, Breen G, Byrne EM, Clarke T-K, Forstner AJ, Grabe HJ, Hamilton SP, Levinson DF, Lewis CM, Lewis G, Martin NG, Milaneschi Y, Mors O, Müller-Myhsok B, Pennix BWJH, Perlis RH, Pistis G, Potash JB, Preisig M, Shi J, Smoller JW, Streit F, Tiemeier H, Uher R, Van der Auwera S, Viktorin A, Weissman MM, Kendler KS, Flint J (2019) Minimal phenotyping yields GWAS hits of low specificity for major depression. bioRxiv 440735. doi: 10.1101/440735

13. Chang D, Nalls MA, Hallgrímsdóttir IB, Hunkapiller J, van der Brug M, Cai F, International Parkinson's Disease Genomics Consortium, 23andMe Research Team, Kerchner GA, Ayalon G, Bingol B, Sheng M, Hinds D, Behrens TW, Singleton AB, Bhangale TR, Graham RR (2017) A meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies identifies 17 new Parkinson's disease risk loci. Nat Genet advance online publication. doi: 10.1038/ng.3955

14. Chen H, Ritz B The Search for Environmental Causes of Parkinson's Disease: Moving Forward. J Parkinsons Dis 8:S9–S17. doi: 10.3233/JPD-181493

15. Coste B, Mathur J, Schmidt M, Earley TJ, Ranade S, Petrus MJ, Dubin AE, Patapoutian A (2010) Piezo1 and Piezo2 are essential components of distinct mechanically activated cation channels. Science 330:55–60. doi: 10.1126/science.1193270

16. Craig S, Earnshaw CH, Virós A (2018) Ultraviolet light and melanoma. The Journal of Pathology 244:578–585. doi: 10.1002/path.5039

17. Dalvin LA, Damento GM, Yawn BP, Abbott BA, Hodge DO, Pulido JS (2017) Parkinson Disease and Melanoma: Confirming and Reexamining an Association. Mayo Clinic Proceedings 92:1070–1079. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2017.03.014

18. De Pablo-Fernández E, Lees AJ, Holton JL, Warner TT (2019) Prognosis and Neuropathologic Correlation of Clinical Subtypes of Parkinson Disease. JAMA Neurol. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2018.4377

19. Dong J, Gao J, Nalls M, Gao X, Huang X, Han J, Singleton AB, Chen H (2014) Susceptibility loci for pigmentation and melanoma in relation to Parkinson's disease. Neurobiology of Aging 35:1512.e5-1512.e10. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2013.12.020

20. Dong X, Liao Z, Gritsch D, Hadzhiev Y, Bai Y, Locascio JJ, Guennewig B, Liu G, Blauwendraat C, Wang T, Adler CH, Hedreen JC, Faull RLM, Frosch MP, Nelson PT, Rizzu P, Cooper AA, Heutink P, Beach TG, Mattick JS, Müller F, Scherzer CR (2018) Enhancers active in dopamine neurons are a primary link between genetic variation and neuropsychiatric disease. Nature Neuroscience 21:1482. doi: 10.1038/s41593-018-0223-0

21. Driver JA, Logroscino G, Buring JE, Gaziano JM, Kurth T (2007) A prospective cohort study of cancer incidence following the diagnosis of Parkinson's disease. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 16:1260–1265. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-07-0038

22. Duke DC, Moran LB, Pearce RKB, Graeber MB (2007) The medial and lateral substantia nigra in Parkinson's disease: mRNA profiles associated with higher brain tissue vulnerability. Neurogenetics 8:83–94. doi: 10.1007/s10048-006-0077-6

745 23. Eisenhofer G, Tian H, Holmes C, Matsunaga J, Roffler-Tarlov S, Hearing VJ (2003)
746 Tyrosinase: a developmentally specific major determinant of peripheral dopamine. FASEB
747 J 17:1248–1255. doi: 10.1096/fj.02-0736com

748 24. Elincx-Benizri S, Inzelberg R, Greenbaum L, Cohen OS, Yahalom G, Laitman Y, Djaldetti
749 R, Orlev Y, Scope A, Azizi E, Friedman E, Hassin-Baer S (2014) The Melanocortin 1
750 Receptor (Mc1r) Variants Do Not Account for the Co-occurrence of Parkinson's Disease
751 and Malignant Melanoma. J Mol Neurosci 54:820–825. doi: 10.1007/s12031-014-0425-1

752 25. Ferrari R, Hernandez DG, Nalls MA, Rohrer JD, Ramasamy A, Kwok JBJ, Dobson-Stone
753 C, Brooks WS, Schofield PR, Halliday GM, Hodges JR, Piguet O, Bartley L, Thompson E,
754 Haan E, Hernández I, Ruiz A, Boada M, Borroni B, Padovani A, Cruchaga C, Cairns NJ,
755 Benussi L, Binetti G, Ghidoni R, Forloni G, Galimberti D, Fenoglio C, Serpente M, Scarpini
756 E, Clarimón J, Lleó A, Blesa R, Waldö ML, Nilsson K, Nilsson C, Mackenzie IRA, Hsiung
757 G-YR, Mann DMA, Grafman J, Morris CM, Attems J, Griffiths TD, McKeith IG, Thomas AJ,
758 Pietrini P, Huey ED, Wassermann EM, Baborie A, Jaros E, Tierney MC, Pastor P, Razquin
759 C, Ortega-Cubero S, Alonso E, Perneczky R, Diehl-Schmid J, Alexopoulos P, Kurz A,
760 Rainero I, Rubino E, Pinessi L, Rogaeva E, St George-Hyslop P, Rossi G, Tagliavini F,
761 Giaccone G, Rowe JB, Schlachetzki JCM, Uphill J, Collinge J, Mead S, Danek A, Van
762 Deerlin VM, Grossman M, Trojanowski JQ, van der Zee J, Deschamps W, Van
763 Langenhove T, Cruts M, Van Broeckhoven C, Cappa SF, Le Ber I, Hannequin D, Golfier V,
764 Vercelletto M, Brice A, Nacmias B, Sorbi S, Bagnoli S, Piaceri I, Nielsen JE, Hjermind LE,
765 Riemenschneider M, Mayhaus M, Ibach B, Gasparoni G, Pichler S, Gu W, Rossor MN, Fox
766 NC, Warren JD, Spillantini MG, Morris HR, Rizzu P, Heutink P, Snowden JS, Rollinson S,
767 Richardson A, Gerhard A, Bruni AC, Maletta R, Frangipane F, Cupidi C, Bernardi L,
768 Anfossi M, Gallo M, Conidi ME, Smirne N, Rademakers R, Baker M, Dickson DW, Graff-
769 Radford NR, Petersen RC, Knopman D, Josephs KA, Boeve BF, Parisi JE, Seeley WW,
770 Miller BL, Karydas AM, Rosen H, van Swieten JC, Dopper EGP, Seelaar H, Pijnenburg
771 YAL, Scheltens P, Logroscino G, Capozzo R, Novelli V, Puca AA, Franceschi M,
772 Postiglione A, Milan G, Sorrentino P, Kristiansen M, Chiang H-H, Graff C, Pasquier F,
773 Rollin A, Deramecourt V, Lebert F, Kapogiannis D, Ferrucci L, Pickering-Brown S,
774 Singleton AB, Hardy J, Momeni P (2014) Frontotemporal dementia and its subtypes: a
775 genome-wide association study. Lancet Neurol 13:686–699. doi: 10.1016/S1474-
776 4422(14)70065-1

777 26. Foo JN, Zhao Y, Liu J, Tan E-K (2015) Nonsynonymous variants in MC1R are rare in
778 Chinese Parkinson disease cases. Annals of Neurology 78:152–153. doi:
779 10.1002/ana.24419

780 27. Freedman DM, Wu J, Chen H, Engels EA, Enewold LR, Freedman ND, Goedert JJ, Kuncl
781 RW, Gail MH, Pfeiffer RM (2016) Associations between cancer and Parkinson's disease in
782 U.S. elderly adults. Int J Epidemiol 45:741–751. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyw016

783 28. Gan-Or Z, Mohsin N, Girard SL, Montplaisir JY, Ambalavanan A, Strong S, Mallett V,
784 Laurent SB, Bourassa CV, Boivin M, Langlois M, Arnulf I, Högl B, Frauscher B, Monaca C,
785 Desautels A, Gagnon J-F, Postuma RB, Dion PA, Dauvilliers Y, Dupre N, Alcalay RN,
786 Rouleau GA (2016) The role of the melanoma gene MC1R in Parkinson disease and REM
787 sleep behavior disorder. Neurobiology of Aging 43:180.e7-180.e13. doi:
788 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2016.03.029

29. Gao X, Simon KC, Han J, Schwarzschild MA, Ascherio A (2009) Family history of melanoma and Parkinson disease risk. Neurology 73:1286–1291. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181bd13a1

30. Gao X, Simon KC, Han J, Schwarzschild MA, Ascherio A (2009) Genetic determinants of hair color and parkinson's disease risk. Annals of Neurology 65:76–82. doi: 10.1002/ana.21535

31. Gibbs RA, Belmont JW, Hardenbol P, Willis TD, Yu F, Yang H, Ch'ang L-Y, Huang W, Liu B, Shen Y, Tam PK-H, Tsui L-C, Waye MMY, Wong JT-F, Zeng C, Zhang Q, Chee MS, Galver LM, Kruglyak S, Murray SS, Oliphant AR, Montpetit A, Hudson TJ, Chagnon F, Ferretti V, Leboeuf M, Phillips MS, Verner A, Kwok P-Y, Duan S, Lind DL, Miller RD, Rice JP, Saccone NL, Taillon-Miller P, Xiao M, Nakamura Y, Sekine A, Sorimachi K, Tanaka T, Tanaka Y, Tsunoda T, Yoshino E, Bentley DR, Deloukas P, Hunt S, Powell D, Altshuler D, Gabriel SB, Zhang H, Matsuda I, Fukushima Y, Macer DR, Suda E, Rotimi CN, Adebamowo CA, Aniagwu T, Marshall PA, Matthew O, Nkwodimmah C, Royal CDM, Leppert MF, Dixon M, Stein LD, Cunningham F, Kanani A, Thorisson GA, Chakravarti A, Chen PE, Cutler DJ, Kashuk CS, Donnelly P, Marchini J, McVean GAT, Myers SR, Cardon LR, Abecasis GR, Morris A, Weir BS, Mullikin JC, Sherry ST, Feolo M, Daly MJ, Schaffner SF, Qiu R, Kent A, Dunston GM, Kato K, Niikawa N, Knoppers BM, Foster MW, Clayton EW, Wang VO, Watkin J, Sodergren E, Weinstock GM, Wilson RK, Fulton LL, Rogers J, Birren BW, Han H, Wang H, Godbout M, Wallenburg JC, L'Archevêque P, Bellemare G, Todani K, Fujita T, Tanaka S, Holden AL, Lai EH, Collins FS, Brooks LD, McEwen JE, Guyer MS, Jordan E, Peterson JL, Spiegel J, Sung LM, Zacharia LF, Kennedy K, Dunn MG, Seabrook R, Shillito M, Skene B, Stewart JG, (chair) DLV, (co-Chair) EWC, (co-Chair) LBJ, Cho MK, Duster T, Jasperse M, Licinio J, Long JC, Ossorio PN, Spallone P, Terry SF, (chair) ESL, (co-Chair) EHL, (co-Chair) DAN, Boehnke M, Douglas JA, Hudson RR, Kruglyak L, Nussbaum RL (2003) The International HapMap Project. Nature 426:789–796. doi: 10.1038/nature02168

32. Goldstein DS, Holmes C, Li ST, Bruce S, Metman LV, Cannon RO (2000) Cardiac sympathetic denervation in Parkinson disease. Ann Intern Med 133:338–347. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-133-5-200009050-00009

33. Gross A, Racette BA, Camacho-Soto A, Dube U, Searles Nielsen S (2018) Use of medical care biases associations between Parkinson disease and other medical conditions. Neurology 90:e2155–e2165. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000005678

34. GTEx Consortium (2017) Genetic effects on gene expression across human tissues. Nature 550:204–213. doi: 10.1038/nature24277

35. Gusev A, Ko A, Shi H, Bhatia G, Chung W, Penninx BWJH, Jansen R, de Geus EJC, Boomsma DI, Wright FA, Sullivan PF, Nikkola E, Alvarez M, Civelek M, Lusis AJ, Lehtimäki T, Raitoharju E, Kähönen M, Seppälä I, Raitakari OT, Kuusisto J, Laakso M, Price AL, Pajukanta P, Pasaniuc B (2016) Integrative approaches for large-scale transcriptome-wide association studies. Nat Genet 48:245–252. doi: 10.1038/ng.3506

36. Heilbron K, Noyce AJ, Fontanillas P, Alipanahi B, Nalls MA, Cannon P (2019) The Parkinson's phenome—traits associated with Parkinson's disease in a broadly phenotyped cohort. npj Parkinson's Disease 5:4. doi: 10.1038/s41531-019-0077-5

37. Hu H-H, Kannengiesser C, Lesage S, André J, Mourah S, Michel L, Descamps V, Basset-Seguin N, Bagot M, Bensussan A, Lebbé C, Deschamps L, Saiag P, Leccia M-T, Bressac-de-Paillerets B, Tsalamlal A, Kumar R, Klebe S, Grandchamp B, Andrieu-Abadie N, Thomas L, Brice A, Dumaz N, Soufir N (2016) PARKIN Inactivation Links Parkinson's Disease to Melanoma. J Natl Cancer Inst 108. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djv340

38. Hu Y, Li M, Lu Q, Weng H, Wang J, Zekavat SM, Yu Z, Li B, Gu J, Muchnik S, Shi Y, Kunkle BW, Mukherjee S, Natarajan P, Naj A, Kuzma A, Zhao Y, Crane PK, Lu H, Zhao H (2019) A statistical framework for cross-tissue transcriptome-wide association analysis. Nature Genetics 51:568. doi: 10.1038/s41588-019-0345-7

39. Hung W-C, Yang JR, Yankaskas CL, Wong BS, Wu P-H, Pardo-Pastor C, Serra SA, Chiang M-J, Gu Z, Wirtz D, Valverde MA, Yang JT, Zhang J, Konstantopoulos K (2016) Confinement Sensing and Signal Optimization via Piezo1/PKA and Myosin II Pathways. Cell Rep 15:1430–1441. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.04.035

40. Inzelberg R, Samuels Y, Azizi E, Qutob N, Inzelberg L, Domany E, Schechtman E, Friedman E (2016) Parkinson disease (PARK) genes are somatically mutated in cutaneous melanoma. Neurol Genet 2:e70. doi: 10.1212/NXG.0000000000000070

41. Karczewski KJ, Francioli LC, Tiao G, Cummings BB, Alföldi J, Wang Q, Collins RL, Laricchia KM, Ganna A, Birnbaum DP, Gauthier LD, Brand H, Solomonson M, Watts NA, Rhodes D, Singer-Berk M, Seaby EG, Kosmicki JA, Walters RK, Tashman K, Farjoun Y, Banks E, Poterba T, Wang A, Seed C, Whiffin N, Chong JX, Samocha KE, Pierce-Hoffman E, Zappala Z, O'Donnell-Luria AH, Minikel EV, Weisburd B, Lek M, Ware JS, Vittal C, Armean IM, Bergelson L, Cibulskis K, Connolly KM, Covarrubias M, Donnelly S, Ferriera S, Gabriel S, Gentry J, Gupta N, Jeandet T, Kaplan D, Llanwarne C, Munshi R, Novod S, Petrillo N, Roazen D, Ruano-Rubio V, Saltzman A, Schleicher M, Soto J, Tibbetts K, Tolonen C, Wade G, Talkowski ME, Consortium TGAD, Neale BM, Daly MJ, MacArthur DG (2019) Variation across 141,456 human exomes and genomes reveals the spectrum of loss-of-function intolerance across human protein-coding genes. bioRxiv 531210. doi: 10.1101/531210

42. Kareus SA, Figueroa KP, Cannon-Albright LA, Pulst SM (2012) Shared predispositions of parkinsonism and cancer: a population-based pedigree-linked study. Arch Neurol 69:1572–1577. doi: 10.1001/archneurol.2012.2261

43. Kim S, Kwon S-H, Kam T-I, Panicker N, Karuppagounder SS, Lee S, Lee JH, Kim WR, Kook M, Foss CA, Shen C, Lee H, Kulkarni S, Pasricha PJ, Lee G, Pomper MG, Dawson VL, Dawson TM, Ko HS (2019) Transneuronal Propagation of Pathologic α-Synuclein from the Gut to the Brain Models Parkinson's Disease. Neuron. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2019.05.035

44. Kunkle BW, Grenier-Boley B, Sims R, Bis JC, Damotte V, Naj AC, Boland A, Vronskaya M, van der Lee SJ, Amlie-Wolf A, Bellenguez C, Frizatti A, Chouraki V, Martin ER, Sleegers K, Badarinarayan N, Jakobsdottir J, Hamilton-Nelson KL, Moreno-Grau S, Olaso R, Raybould R, Chen Y, Kuzma AB, Hiltunen M, Morgan T, Ahmad S, Vardarajan BN, Epelbaum J, Hoffmann P, Boada M, Beecham GW, Garnier J-G, Harold D, Fitzpatrick AL, Valladares O, Moutet M-L, Gerrish A, Smith AV, Qu L, Bacq D, Denning N, Jian X, Zhao Y, Del Zompo M, Fox NC, Choi S-H, Mateo I, Hughes JT, Adams HH, Malamon J, Sanchez-Garcia F, Patel Y, Brody JA, Dombroski BA, Naranjo MCD, Daniilidou M, Eiriksdottir G,

Mukherjee S, Wallon D, Uphill J, Aspelund T, Cantwell LB, Garzia F, Galimberti D, Hofer E, Butkiewicz M, Fin B, Scarpini E, Sarnowski C, Bush WS, Meslage S, Kornhuber J, White CC, Song Y, Barber RC, Engelborghs S, Sordon S, Voijnovic D, Adams PM, Vandenberghe R, Mayhaus M, Cupples LA, Albert MS, De Deyn PP, Gu W, Himali JJ, Beekly D, Squassina A, Hartmann AM, Orellana A, Blacker D, Rodriguez-Rodriguez E, Lovestone S, Garcia ME, Doody RS, Munoz-Fernadez C, Sussams R, Lin H, Fairchild TJ, Benito YA, Holmes C, Karamujić-Čomić H, Frosch MP, Thonberg H, Maier W, Roschupkin G, Ghetti B, Giedraitis V, Kawalia A, Li S, Huebinger RM, Kilander L, Moebus S, Hernández I, Kamboh MI, Brundin R, Turton J, Yang Q, Katz MJ, Concari L, Lord J, Beiser AS, Keene CD, Helisalmi S, Kloszewska I, Kukull WA, Koivisto AM, Lynch A, Tarraga L, Larson EB, Haapasalo A, Lawlor B, Mosley TH, Lipton RB, Solfrizzi V, Gill M, Longstreth WT, Montine TJ, Frisardi V, Diez-Fairen M, Rivadeneira F, Petersen RC, Deramecourt V, Alvarez I, Salani F, Ciaramella A, Boerwinkle E, Reiman EM, Fievet N, Rotter JI, Reisch JS, Hanon O, Cupidi C, Andre Uitterlinden AG, Royall DR, Dufouil C, Maletta RG, de Rojas I, Sano M, Brice A, Cecchetti R, George-Hyslop PS, Ritchie K, Tsolaki M, Tsuang DW, Dubois B, Craig D, Wu C-K, Soininen H, Avramidou D, Albin RL, Fratiglioni L, Germanou A, Apostolova LG, Keller L, Koutroumani M, Arnold SE, Panza F, Gkatzima O, Asthana S, Hannequin D, Whitehead P, Atwood CS, Caffarra P, Hampel H, Quintela I, Carracedo Á, Lannfelt L, Rubinsztein DC, Barnes LL, Pasquier F, Frölich L, Barral S, McGuinness B, Beach TG, Johnston JA, Becker JT, Passmore P, Bigio EH, Schott JM, Bird TD, Warren JD, Boeve BF, Lupton MK, Bowen JD, Proitsi P, Boxer A, Powell JF, Burke JR, Kauwe JSK, Burns JM, Mancuso M, Buxbaum JD, Bonuccelli U, Cairns NJ, McQuillin A, Cao C, Livingston G, Carlson CS, Bass NJ, Carlsson CM, Hardy J, Carney RM, Bras J, Carrasquillo MM, Guerreiro R, Allen M, Chui HC, Fisher E, Masullo C, Crocco EA, DeCarli C, Bisceglio G, Dick M, Ma L, Duara R, Graff-Radford NR, Evans DA, Hodges A, Faber KM, Scherer M, Fallon KB, Riemenschneider M, Fardo DW, Heun R, Farlow MR, Kölsch H, Ferris S, Leber M, Foroud TM, Heuser I, Galasko DR, Giegling I, Gearing M, Hüll M, Geschwind DH, Gilbert JR, Morris J, Green RC, Mayo K, Growdon JH, Feulner T, Hamilton RL, Harrell LE, Drichel D, Honig LS, Cushion TD, Huentelman MJ, Hollingworth P, Hulette CM, Hyman BT, Marshall R, Jarvik GP, Meggy A, Abner E, Menzies GE, Jin L-W, Leonenko G, Real LM, Jun GR, Baldwin CT, Grozeva D, Karydas A, Russo G, Kaye JA, Kim R, Jessen F, Kowall NW, Vellas B, Kramer JH, Vardy E, LaFerla FM, Jöckel K-H, Lah JJ, Dichgans M, Leverenz JB, Mann D, Levey AI, Pickering-Brown S, Lieberman AP, Klopp N, Lunetta KL, Wichmann H-E, Lyketsos CG, Morgan K, Marson DC, Brown K, Martiniuk F, Medway C, Mash DC, Nöthen MM, Masliah E, Hooper NM, McCormick WC, Daniele A, McCurry SM, Bayer A, McDavid AN, Gallacher J, McKee AC, van den Bussche H, Mesulam M, Brayne C, Miller BL, Riedel-Heller S, Miller CA, Miller JW, Al-Chalabi A, Morris JC, Shaw CE, Myers AJ, Wiltfang J, O'Bryant S, Olichney JM, Alvarez V, Parisi JE, Singleton AB, Paulson HL, Collinge J, Perry WR, Mead S, Peskind E, Cribbs DH, Rossor M, Pierce A, Ryan NS, Poon WW, Nacmias B, Potter H, Sorbi S, Quinn JF, Sacchinelli E, Raj A, Spalletta G, Raskind M, Caltagirone C, Bossù P, Orfei MD, Reisberg B, Clarke R, Reitz C, Smith AD, Ringman JM, Warden D, Roberson ED, Wilcock G, Rogaeva E, Bruni AC, Rosen HJ, Gallo M, Rosenberg RN, Ben-Shlomo Y, Sager MA, Mecocci P, Saykin AJ, Pastor P, Cuccaro ML, Vance JM, Schneider JA, Schneider LS, Slifer S, Seeley WW, Smith AG, Sonnen JA, Spina S, Stern RA, Swerdlow RH, Tang M, Tanzi RE, Trojanowski JQ, Troncoso JC, Van Deerlin VM, Van Eldik LJ, Vinters HV, Vonsattel JP, Weintraub S, Welsh-Bohmer KA, Wilhelmsen KC, Williamson J, Wingo TS, Woltjer RL, Wright CB, Yu C-E, Yu L, Saba Y, Alzheimer Disease Genetics Consortium (ADGC), European Alzheimer's Disease Initiative (EADI), Cohorts for Heart and Aging Research in Genomic Epidemiology Consortium (CHARGE), Genetic and Environmental Risk in AD/Defining Genetic, Polygenic and Environmental Risk for Alzheimer's Disease Consortium

(GERAD/PERADES), Pilotto A, Bullido MJ, Peters O, Crane PK, Bennett D, Bosco P, Coto E, Boccardi V, De Jager PL, Lleo A, Warner N, Lopez OL, Ingelsson M, Deloukas P, Cruchaga C, Graff C, Gwilliam R, Fornage M, Goate AM, Sanchez-Juan P, Kehoe PG, Amin N, Ertekin-Taner N, Berr C, Debette S, Love S, Launer LJ, Younkin SG, Dartigues J-F, Corcoran C, Ikram MA, Dickson DW, Nicolas G, Campion D, Tschanz J, Schmidt H, Hakonarson H, Clarimon J, Munger R, Schmidt R, Farrer LA, Van Broeckhoven C, C O'Donovan M, DeStefano AL, Jones L, Haines JL, Deleuze J-F, Owen MJ, Gudnason V, Mayeux R, Escott-Price V, Psaty BM, Ramirez A, Wang L-S, Ruiz A, van Duijn CM, Holmans PA, Seshadri S, Williams J, Amouyel P, Schellenberg GD, Lambert J-C, Pericak-Vance MA (2019) Genetic meta-analysis of diagnosed Alzheimer's disease identifies new risk loci and implicates Aβ, tau, immunity and lipid processing. Nat Genet 51:414–430. doi: 10.1038/s41588-019-0358-2

45. Law MH, Bishop DT, Lee JE, Brossard M, Martin NG, Moses EK, Song F, Barrett JH, Kumar R, Easton DF, Pharoah PDP, Swerdlow AJ, Kypreou KP, Taylor JC, Harland M, Randerson-Moor J, Akslen LA, Andresen PA, Avril M-F, Azizi E, Scarrà GB, Brown KM, Dębniak T, Duffy DL, Elder DE, Fang S, Friedman E, Galan P, Ghiorzo P, Gillanders EM, Goldstein AM, Gruis NA, Hansson J, Helsing P, Hočevar M, Höiom V, Ingvar C, Kanetsky PA, Chen WV, GenoMEL Consortium, Essen-Heidelberg Investigators, The SDH Study Group, Investigators Q-M and Q, Amfs Investigators, ATHENS Melanoma Study Group, Landi MT, Lang J, Lathrop GM, Lubiński J, Mackie RM, Mann GJ, Molven A, Montgomery GW, Novaković S, Olsson H, Puig S, Puig-Butille JA, Qureshi AA, Radford-Smith GL, van der Stoep N, van Doorn R, Whiteman DC, Craig JE, Schadendorf D, Simms LA, Burdon KP, Nyholt DR, Pooley KA, Orr N, Stratigos AJ, Cust AE, Ward SV, Hayward NK, Han J, Schulze H-J, Dunning AM, Bishop JAN, Demenais F, Amos CI, MacGregor S, Iles MM (2015) Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies five new susceptibility loci for cutaneous malignant melanoma. Nat Genet 47:987–995. doi: 10.1038/ng.3373

46. Lee JM, Derkinderen P, Kordower JH, Freeman R, Munoz DG, Kremer T, Zago W, Hutten SJ, Adler CH, Serrano GE, Beach TG (2017) The Search for a Peripheral Biopsy Indicator of α-Synuclein Pathology for Parkinson Disease. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 76:2–15. doi: 10.1093/jnen/nlw103

47. Lesnick TG, Papapetropoulos S, Mash DC, Ffrench-Mullen J, Shehadeh L, de Andrade M, Henley JR, Rocca WA, Ahlskog JE, Maraganore DM (2007) A genomic pathway approach to a complex disease: axon guidance and Parkinson disease. PLoS Genet 3:e98. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0030098

48. Levin L, Srour S, Gartner J, Kapitansky O, Qutob N, Dror S, Golan T, Dayan R, Brener R, Ziv T, Khaled M, Schueler-Furman O, Samuels Y, Levy C (2016) Parkin Somatic Mutations Link Melanoma and Parkinson's Disease. Journal of Genetics and Genomics 43:369–379. doi: 10.1016/j.jgg.2016.05.005

49. Li Z, Del-Aguila JL, Dube U, Budde J, Martinez R, Black K, Xiao Q, Cairns NJ, Dougherty JD, Lee J-M, Morris JC, Bateman RJ, Karch CM, Cruchaga C, Harari O, The Dominantly Inherited Alzheimer Network (DIAN) (2018) Genetic variants associated with Alzheimer's disease confer different cerebral cortex cell-type population structure. Genome Medicine 10:43. doi: 10.1186/s13073-018-0551-4

50. Lill CM, Roehr JT, McQueen MB, Kavvoura FK, Bagade S, Schjeide B-MM, Schjeide LM, Meissner E, Zauft U, Allen NC, Liu T, Schilling M, Anderson KJ, Beecham G, Berg D,

Biernacka JM, Brice A, DeStefano AL, Do CB, Eriksson N, Factor SA, Farrer MJ, Foroud T, Gasser T, Hamza T, Hardy JA, Heutink P, Hill-Burns EM, Klein C, Latourelle JC, Maraganore DM, Martin ER, Martinez M, Myers RH, Nalls MA, Pankratz N, Payami H, Satake W, Scott WK, Sharma M, Singleton AB, Stefansson K, Toda T, Tung JY, Vance J, Wood NW, Zabetian CP, 23andMe Genetic Epidemiology of Parkinson's Disease Consortium, International Parkinson's Disease Genomics Consortium, Parkinson's Disease GWAS Consortium, Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium 2), Young P, Tanzi RE, Khoury MJ, Zipp F, Lehrach H, Ioannidis JPA, Bertram L (2012) Comprehensive research synopsis and systematic meta-analyses in Parkinson's disease genetics: The PDGene database. PLoS Genet 8:e1002548. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002548

51. Lisbôa-Nascimento, Carriço JW, Bachi A, Aaf C, Hirata, Antônio-Bertoncini CR, Porcionatto MA, Ferreira A (2017) Identification of Melanoma Stem Cells in Long-term Cultures and of SOX 6 as a Specific Biomarker for these Stem Cells

52. Liu CSC, Raychaudhuri D, Paul B, Chakrabarty Y, Ghosh AR, Rahaman O, Talukdar A, Ganguly D (2018) Cutting Edge: Piezo1 Mechanosensors Optimize Human T Cell Activation. J Immunol 200:1255–1260. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1701118

53. Liu R, Gao X, Lu Y, Chen H (2011) Meta-analysis of the relationship between Parkinson disease and melanoma. Neurology 76:2002–2009. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e31821e554e

54. Lu Q, Li B, Ou D, Erlendsdottir M, Powles RL, Jiang T, Hu Y, Chang D, Jin C, Dai W, He Q, Liu Z, Mukherjee S, Crane PK, Zhao H (2017) A Powerful Approach to Estimating Annotation-Stratified Genetic Covariance via GWAS Summary Statistics. Am J Hum Genet 101:939–964. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2017.11.001

55. Lubbe SJ, Escott-Price V, Brice A, Gasser T, Hardy J, Heutink P, Sharma M, Wood NW, Nalls M, Singleton AB, Williams NM, Morris HR (2016) Is the MC1R variant p.R160W associated with Parkinson's? Annals of Neurology 79:159–161. doi: 10.1002/ana.24527

56. Lubbe SJ, Escott-Price V, Brice A, Gasser T, Pittman AM, Bras J, Hardy J, Heutink P, Wood NM, Singleton AB, Grosset DG, Carroll CB, Law MH, Demenais F, Iles MM, Bishop DT, Newton-Bishop J, Williams NM, Morris HR (2016) Rare variants analysis of cutaneous malignant melanoma genes in Parkinson's disease. Neurobiology of Aging 48:222.e1-222.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2016.07.013

57. Mancuso N, Shi H, Goddard P, Kichaev G, Gusev A, Pasaniuc B (2017) Integrating Gene Expression with Summary Association Statistics to Identify Genes Associated with 30 Complex Traits. The American Journal of Human Genetics 100:473–487. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2017.01.031

58. Mazzuoli-Weber G, Kugler EM, Bühler CI, Kreutz F, Demir IE, Ceyhan OG, Zeller F, Schemann M (2019) Piezo proteins: incidence and abundance in the enteric nervous system. Is there a link with mechanosensitivity? Cell Tissue Res 375:605–618. doi: 10.1007/s00441-018-2926-7

59. Memic F, Knoflach V, Morarach K, Sadler R, Laranjeira C, Hjerling-Leffler J, Sundström E, Pachnis V, Marklund U (2018) Transcription and Signaling Regulators in Developing Neuronal Subtypes of Mouse and Human Enteric Nervous System. Gastroenterology 154:624–636. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2017.10.005

60. Milev MP, Graziano C, Karall D, Kuper WFE, Al-Deri N, Cordelli DM, Haack TB, Danhauser K, Iuso A, Palombo F, Pippucci T, Prokisch H, Saint-Dic D, Seri M, Stanga D, Cenacchi G, Gassen KLI van, Zschocke J, Fauth C, Mayr JA, Sacher M, Hasselt PM van (2018) Bi-allelic mutations in TRAPPC2L result in a neurodevelopmental disorder and have an impact on RAB11 in fibroblasts. Journal of Medical Genetics 55:753–764. doi: 10.1136/jmedgenet-2018-105441

61. Montpetit B, Conibear E (2009) Identification of the novel TRAPP associated protein Tca17. Traffic 10:713–723. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0854.2009.00895.x

62. Moran LB, Duke DC, Deprez M, Dexter DT, Pearce RKB, Graeber MB (2006) Whole genome expression profiling of the medial and lateral substantia nigra in Parkinson's disease. Neurogenetics 7:1–11. doi: 10.1007/s10048-005-0020-2

63. Nalls MA, Blauwendraat C, Vallerga CL, Heilbron K, Bandres-Ciga S, Chang D, Tan M, Kia DA, Noyce AJ, Xue A, Bras J, Young E, Coelln R von, Simón-Sánchez J, Schulte C, Sharma M, Krohn L, Pihlstrøm L, Siitonen A, Iwaki H, Leonard H, Faghri F, Gibbs JR, Hernandez DG, Scholz SW, Botia JA, Martinez M, Corvol J-C, Lesage S, Jankovic J, Shulman LM, Sutherland M, Tienari P, Majamaa K, Toft M, Andreassen OA, Bangale T, Brice A, Yang J, Gan-Or Z, Gasser T, Heutink P, Shulman JM, Wood NW, Hinds DA, Hardy JA, Morris HR, Gratten J, Visscher PM, Graham RR, Singleton AB, Adarmes-Gómez AD, Aguilar M, Aitkulova A, Akhmetzhanov V, Alcalay RN, Alvarez I, Alvarez V, Bandres-Ciga S, Barrero FJ, Yarza JAB, Bernal-Bernal I, Billingsley K, Blauwendraat C, Blazquez M, Bonilla-Toribio M, Botía JA, Boungiorno MT, Bras J, Brice A, Brockmann K, Bubb V, Buiza-Rueda D, Cámara A, Carrillo F, Carrión-Claro M, Cerdan D, Chelban V, Clarimón J, Clarke C, Compta Y, Cookson MR, Corvol J-C, Craig DW, Danjou F, Diez-Fairen M, Dols-Icardo O, Duarte J, Duran R, Escamilla-Sevilla F, Escott-Price V, Ezquerra M, Faghri F, Feliz C, Fernández M, Fernández-Santiago R, Finkbeiner S, Foltynie T, Gan-Or Z, Garcia C, García-Ruiz P, Gasser T, Gibbs JR, Heredia MJG, Gómez-Garre P, González MM, Gonzalez-Aramburu I, Guelfi S, Guerreiro R, Hardy J, Hassin-Baer S, Hernandez DG, Heutink P, Hoenicka J, Holmans P, Houlden H, Infante J, Iwaki H, Jesús S, Jimenez-Escrig A, Kaishybayeva G, Kaiyrzhanov R, Karimova A, Kia DA, Kinghorn KJ, Koks S, Krohn L, Kulisevsky J, Labrador-Espinosa MA, Leonard HL, Lesage S, Lewis P, Lopez-Sendon JL, Lovering R, Lubbe S, Lungu C, Macias D, Majamaa K, Manzoni C, Marín J, Marinus J, Marti MJ, Martinez M, Torres IM, Martínez-Castrillo JC, Mata M, Mencacci NE, Méndez-del-Barrio C, Middlehurst B, Mínguez A, Mir P, Mok KY, Morris HR, Muñoz E, Nalls MA, Narendra D, Noyce AJ, Ojo OO, Okubadejo NU, Pagola AG, Pastor P, Errazquin FP, Periñán-Tocino T, Pihlstrom L, Plun-Favreau H, Quinn J, R'Bibo L, Reed X, Rezola EM, Rizig M, Rizzu P, Robak L, Rodriguez AS, Rouleau GA, Ruiz-Martínez J, Ruz C, Ryten M, Sadykova D, Scholz SW, Schreglmann S, Schulte C, Sharma M, Shashkin C, Shulman JM, Sierra M, Siitonen A, Simón-Sánchez J, Singleton AB, Suarez-Sanmartin E, Taba P, Tabernero C, Tan MX, Tartari JP, Tejera-Parrado C, Toft M, Tolosa E, Trabzuni D, Valldeoriola F, Hilten JJ van, Keuren-Jensen KV, Vargas-González L, Vela L, Vives F, Williams N, Wood NW, Zharkinbekova N, Zharmukhanov Z, Zholdybayeva E, Zimprich A, Ylikotila P, Shulman LM, Coelln R von, Reich S, Savitt J, Agee M, Alipanahi B, Auton A, Bell RK, Bryc K, Elson SL, Fontanillas P, Furlotte NA, Huber KE, Hicks B, Jewett EM, Jiang Y, Kleinman A, Lin K-H, Litterman NK, McCreight JC, McIntyre MH, McManus KF, Mountain JL, Noblin ES, Northover CAM, Pitts SJ, Poznik GD, Sathirapongsasuti JF, Shelton JF, Shringarpure S, Tian C, Tung J, Vacic V, Wang X, Wilson CH, Anderson T, Bentley S, Dalrymple-Alford J, Fowdar J, Gratten J, Halliday G, Henders AK, Hickie I, Kassam I, Kennedy M, Kwok J, Lewis S, Mellick G, Montgomery G, Pearson J, Pitcher T,

Sidorenko J, Silburn PA, Vallerga CL, Visscher PM, Wallace L, Wray NR, Xue A, Yang J, Zhang F (2019) Identification of novel risk loci, causal insights, and heritable risk for Parkinson's disease: a meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies. The Lancet Neurology 18:1091–1102. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(19)30320-5

64. Nalls MA, Pankratz N, Lill CM, Do CB, Hernandez DG, Saad M, DeStefano AL, Kara E, Bras J, Sharma M, Schulte C, Keller MF, Arepalli S, Letson C, Edsall C, Stefansson H, Liu X, Pliner H, Lee JH, Cheng R, International Parkinson's Disease Genomics Consortium (IPDGC), Parkinson's Study Group (PSG) Parkinson's Research: The Organized GENetics Initiative (PROGENI), 23andMe, GenePD, NeuroGenetics Research Consortium (NGRC), Hussman Institute of Human Genomics (HIHG), Ashkenazi Jewish Dataset Investigator, Cohorts for Health and Aging Research in Genetic Epidemiology (CHARGE), North American Brain Expression Consortium (NABEC), United Kingdom Brain Expression Consortium (UKBEC), Greek Parkinson's Disease Consortium, Alzheimer Genetic Analysis Group, Ikram MA, Ioannidis JPA, Hadjigeorgiou GM, Bis JC, Martinez M, Perlmutter JS, Goate A, Marder K, Fiske B, Sutherland M, Xiromerisiou G, Myers RH, Clark LN, Stefansson K, Hardy JA, Heutink P, Chen H, Wood NW, Houlden H, Payami H, Brice A, Scott WK, Gasser T, Bertram L, Eriksson N, Foroud T, Singleton AB (2014) Large-scale meta-analysis of genome-wide association data identifies six new risk loci for Parkinson's disease. Nat Genet 46:989–993. doi: 10.1038/ng.3043

65. Nalls MA, Saad M, Noyce AJ, Keller MF, Schrag A, Bestwick JP, Traynor BJ, Gibbs JR, Hernandez DG, Cookson MR, Morris HR, Williams N, Gasser T, Heutink P, Wood N, Hardy J, Martinez M, Singleton AB, International Parkinson's Disease Genomics Consortium (IPDGC), Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium 2 (WTCCC2), North American Brain Expression Consortium (NABEC), United Kingdom Brain Expression Consortium (UKBEC) (2014) Genetic comorbidities in Parkinson's disease. Hum Mol Genet 23:831–841. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddt465

66. Noyce AJ, Bandres-Ciga S, Kim J, Heilbron K, Kia D, Hemani G, Xue A, Lawlor DA, Smith GD, Duran R, Gan-Or Z, Blauwendraat C, Gibbs JR, Team 23andMe Research, Consortium (IPDGC) IPDG, Hinds DA, Yang J, Visscher P, Cuzick J, Morris H, Hardy J, Wood NW, Nalls MA, Singleton AB (2019) The Parkinson's Disease Mendelian Randomization Research Portal. bioRxiv 604033. doi: 10.1101/604033

67. Olsen JH, Friis S, Frederiksen K (2006) Malignant melanoma and other types of cancer preceding Parkinson disease. Epidemiology 17:582–587. doi: 10.1097/01.ede.0000229445.90471.5e

68. Ong EL, Goldacre R, Goldacre M (2014) Differential risks of cancer types in people with Parkinson's disease: A national record-linkage study. European Journal of Cancer 50:2456–2462. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2014.06.018

69. Ono K, Viet CT, Ye Y, Dang D, Hitomi S, Toyono T, Inenaga K, Dolan JC, Schmidt BL (2017) Cutaneous pigmentation modulates skin sensitivity via tyrosinase-dependent dopaminergic signalling. Sci Rep 7. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-09682-4

70. Panman L, Papathanou M, Laguna A, Oosterveen T, Volakakis N, Acampora D, Kurtsdotter I, Yoshitake T, Kehr J, Joodmardi E, Muhr J, Simeone A, Ericson J, Perlmann T (2014) Sox6 and Otx2 Control the Specification of Substantia Nigra and Ventral

Tegmental Area Dopamine Neurons. Cell Reports 8:1018–1025. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2014.07.016

71. Pathak MM, Nourse JL, Tran T, Hwe J, Arulmoli J, Le DTT, Bernardis E, Flanagan LA, Tombola F (2014) Stretch-activated ion channel Piezo1 directs lineage choice in human neural stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:16148–16153. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1409802111

72. Perna D, Karreth FA, Rust AG, Perez-Mancera PA, Rashid M, Iorio F, Alifrangis C, Arends MJ, Bosenberg MW, Bollag G, Tuveson DA, Adams DJ (2015) BRAF inhibitor resistance mediated by the AKT pathway in an oncogenic BRAF mouse melanoma model. PNAS 112:E536–E545. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1418163112

73. Pividori M, Rajagopal PS, Barbeira A, Liang Y, Melia O, Bastarache L, Park Y, Wen X, Im HK (2019) PhenomeXcan: Mapping the genome to the phenome through the transcriptome. bioRxiv 833210. doi: 10.1101/833210

74. Ramasamy A, Trabzuni D, Guelfi S, Varghese V, Smith C, Walker R, De T, Coin L, de Silva R, Cookson MR, Singleton AB, Hardy J, Ryten M, Weale ME (2014) Genetic variability in the regulation of gene expression in ten regions of the human brain. Nat Neurosci 17:1418–1428. doi: 10.1038/nn.3801

75. Rizzo G, Copetti M, Arcuti S, Martino D, Fontana A, Logroscino G (2016) Accuracy of clinical diagnosis of Parkinson disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Neurology 86:566–576. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000002350

76. Satoh K, Hata M, Takahara S, Tsuzaki H, Yokota H, Akatsu H, Yamamoto T, Kosaka K, Yamada T (2006) A novel membrane protein, encoded by the gene covering KIAA0233, is transcriptionally induced in senile plaque-associated astrocytes. Brain Research 1108:19–27. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2006.06.050

77. Scott O, Pugh J, Kiddoo D, Sonnenberg LK, Bamforth S, Goez HR (2014) Global Developmental Delay, Progressive Relapsing-Remitting Parkinsonism, and Spinal Syrinx in a Child With SOX6 Mutation: Journal of Child Neurology. doi: 10.1177/0883073813514134

78. Scrivens PJ, Shahrzad N, Moores A, Morin A, Brunet S, Sacher M (2009) TRAPPC2L is a novel, highly conserved TRAPP-interacting protein. Traffic 10:724–736. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0854.2009.00906.x

79. Segel M, Neumann B, Hill MFE, Weber IP, Viscomi C, Zhao C, Young A, Agley CC, Thompson AJ, Gonzalez GA, Sharma A, Holmqvist S, Rowitch DH, Franze K, Franklin RJM, Chalut KJ (2019) Niche stiffness underlies the ageing of central nervous system progenitor cells. Nature 1–5. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1484-9

80. Skibba JL, Pinckley J, Gilbert EF, Johnson RO (1972) Multiple primary melanoma following administration of levodopa. Arch Pathol 93:556–561

81. Tacik P, Curry S, Fujioka S, Strongosky A, Uitti RJ, van Gerpen JA, Diehl NN, Heckman MG, Wszolek ZK (2016) Cancer in Parkinson's disease. Parkinsonism & Related Disorders 31:28–33. doi: 10.1016/j.parkreldis.2016.06.014

82. Takatsu H, Nishida H, Matsuo H, Watanabe S, Nagashima K, Wada H, Noda T, Nishigaki K, Fujiwara H (2000) Cardiac sympathetic denervation from the early stage of Parkinson's disease: clinical and experimental studies with radiolabeled MIBG. J Nucl Med 41:71–77

83. Tell-Marti G, Puig-Butille JA, Potrony M, Badenas C, Milà M, Malvehy J, Martí MJ, Ezquerra M, Fernández-Santiago R, Puig S (2015) The MC1R melanoma risk variant p.R160W is associated with Parkinson disease. Annals of Neurology 77:889–894. doi: 10.1002/ana.24373

84. The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium (2015) A global reference for human genetic variation. Nature 526:68–74. doi: 10.1038/nature15393

85. Tsukita K, Sakamaki-Tsukita H, Tanaka K, Suenaga T, Takahashi R Value of in vivo α-synuclein deposits in Parkinson's disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Movement Disorders 0. doi: 10.1002/mds.27794

86. Ueda R, Yoshida K, Kawakami Y, Kawase T, Toda M (2004) Expression of a transcriptional factor, SOX6, in human gliomas. Brain Tumor Pathol 21:35–38. doi: 10.1007/BF02482175

87. Walter U, Heilmann E, Voss J, Riedel K, Zhivov A, Schäd SG, Gross GE, Benecke R, Trcka J (2015) Frequency and profile of Parkinson's disease prodromi in patients with malignant melanoma. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry jnnp-2014-310239. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2014-310239

88. Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H (2010) ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res 38:e164. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkq603

89. Welter D, MacArthur J, Morales J, Burdett T, Hall P, Junkins H, Klemm A, Flicek P, Manolio T, Hindorff L, Parkinson H (2014) The NHGRI GWAS Catalog, a curated resource of SNP-trait associations. Nucleic Acids Res 42:D1001-1006. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkt1229

90. Willer CJ, Li Y, Abecasis GR (2010) METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. Bioinformatics 26:2190–2191. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq340

91. Wirdefeldt K, Weibull CE, Chen H, Kamel F, Lundholm C, Fang F, Ye W (2014) Parkinson's disease and cancer: A register-based family study. Am J Epidemiol 179:85–94. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwt232

92. Zhang T, Choi J, Kovacs MA, Shi J, Xu M, Program NCS, Consortium MM-A, Goldstein AM, Trower AJ, Bishop DT, Iles MM, Duffy DL, MacGregor S, Amundadottir LT, Law MH, Loftus SK, Pavan WJ, Brown KM, Law MH, Bishop DT, Lee JE, Brossard M, Martin NG, Moses EK, Song F, Barrett JH, Kumar R, Easton DF, Pharoah PDP, Swerdlow AJ, Kypreou KP, Taylor JC, Harland M, Randerson-Moor J, Akslen LA, Andresen PA, Avril M-F, Azizi E, Scarrà GB, Brown KM, Dębniak T, Duffy DL, Elder DE, Fang S, Friedman E, Galan P, Ghiorzo P, Gillanders EM, Goldstein AM, Gruis NA, Hansson J, Helsing P, Hočevar M, Höiom V, Ingvar C, Kanetsky PA, Chen WV, Landi MT, Lang J, Lathrop GM, Lubiński J, Mackie RM, Mann GJ, Molven A, Montgomery GW, Novaković S, Olsson H, Puig S, Puig-Butille JA, Wu W, Qureshi AA, Radford-Smith GL, Stoep N van der, Doorn R

van, Whiteman DC, Craig JE, Schadendorf D, Simms LA, Burdon KP, Nyholt DR, Pooley KA, Orr N, Stratigos AJ, Cust AE, Ward SV, Hayward NK, Han J, Schulze H-J, Dunning AM, Bishop JAN, Demenais F, Amos CI, MacGregor S, Iles MM (2018) Cell-type–specific eQTL of primary melanocytes facilitates identification of melanoma susceptibility genes. Genome Res. doi: 10.1101/gr.233304.117

93. (2015) The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) pilot analysis: Multitissue gene regulation in humans. Science 348:648–660. doi: 10.1126/science.1262110

Figures

Figure 1. GNOVA Genetic Correlation Results for Parkinson Disease and Melanoma GWAS Summary Statistic Datasets



Forest plot of genetic correlation between melanoma and the individual and meta-analyzed Parkinson disease datasets (Tables 3-4). Box size indicates the effective sample size (N_{eff} = $4/(1/N_{cases}+1/N_{controls})$). The three independent PD datasets are Nalls2014 (Nalls et al., 2014[64]); Chang2017 (Chang et al., 2017[13]); Nalls2019 (Nalls et al., 2019[63]). METAPD is an inverse-variance-weighted meta-analysis of the three independent Parkinson disease summary statistic datasets.

Figure 2. Parkinson Disease (PD) and Melanoma Tissue-specific, Disease-inferred Gene Expression Profile Correlation



PD and Melanoma disease-inferred gene expression profile correlation at the level of 48 specific tissues included in the GTEx v7 reference panel (Table 5). Disease-inferred gene expression profiles were generated from the processed melanoma and METAPD summary statistics using FUSION/TWAS software and correlation between these profiles was estimated using RHOGE software. METAPD is an inverse-variance-weighted meta-analysis of the three independent Parkinson disease summary statistic datasets. The red dashed line demarks the multiple test corrected *P* threshold of 1.04×10^{-03} (0.05 / 48) while the blue dotted line demarks the nominal threshold, $P = 0.05$.

Figure 3. Cross-tissue eGenes Associated with Both Parkinson Disease (PD) and Melanoma.



Conjunction plot of the cross-tissue PD and melanoma eGene -$\log_{10}$ *P* values. We generated cross-tissue eGene-disease results (Supplementary Tables 3-4, online resource) from the processed melanoma and METAPD summary statistics using UTMOST software. METAPD is an inverse-variance-weighted meta-analysis of the three independent Parkinson disease summary statistic datasets. The red dashed lines demark the false discovery rate (FDR) threshold of 0.05. Labels and lines indicate eGenes associated with both PD and melanoma under the FDR threshold.

Table 1. Number of Overlapping Variants in Processed GWAS Summary Statistic Datasets

Dataset	Melanoma Law 2015	PD Nalls 2014	PD Chang 2017	PD Nalls 2019	METAPD	AD Kunkle 2019	FTD Ferrari 2014
Law 2015	1,038,973	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nalls 2014	997,418	1,015,955	-	-	-	-	-
Chang 2017	1,038,516	1,015,498	1,075,906	-	-	-	-
Nalls 2019	1,007,785	983,012	1,033,569	1,034,607	-	-	-
METAPD	1,007,521	983,023	1,032,819	1,033,287	1,033,303	-	-
Kunkle 2019	1,038,796	1,015,849	1,075,582	1,034,409	1,033,126	1,077,308	-
Ferrari 2014	979,084	973,381	993,831	961,697	961,512	994,078	994,337

All GWAS summary statistic datasets were standardized and filtered using the same pipeline. We annotated all variants with dbSNP v151 rs-identifiers and gnomAD non-Finnish European (NFE) allele frequencies. We filtered variants as to only include bi-allelic variants with rs-identifiers and further removed variants with an effect allele frequency less than 0.05, variants with strand ambiguous alleles, variants with limited support, i.e. those supported by a low sample or study number, and variants that were not reported in the HapMap3 study. Presented are the numbers of variants overlapping between each dataset. METAPD is an inverse-variance-weighted meta-analysis of the three independent Parkinson disease summary statistic datasets. PD: Parkinson disease; AD: Alzheimer disease; FTD: Frontotemporal dementia.

Table 2. GNOVA Genetic Correlation Results for independent Parkinson Disease Datasets

Parkinson Disease Dataset	Nalls2014	Chang2017	Nalls2019	METAPD
Nalls2014 n_{Case} = 9,581 $n_{Control}$ = 33,245	-	-	-	-
Chang2017 n_{Case} = 6,476 $n_{Control}$ = 302,042	0.95 [0.77, 1.12] (**4.16×10^{-26}**)	-	-	-
Nalls2019 n_{Case} = 33,674 $n_{Control}$ = 449,056	1.07 [0.90, 1.25] (**7.91×10^{-34}**)	0.94 [0.80, 1.09] (**1.43×10^{-36}**)	-	-
METAPD n_{Case} = 49,731 $n_{Control}$ = 784,343	1.00 [0.83, 1.18] (**1.04×10^{-28}**)	0.71 [0.56, 0.86] (**8.09×10^{-21}**)	1.06 [0.91, 1.21] (**6.10×10^{-42}**)	-

We estimated the genetic correlation between the independent Parkinson disease datasets using GNOVA software. All correlation estimates, 95% confidence intervals – presented in square brackets - and p-values - presented in parentheses - are corrected for any potential sample overlap. GNOVA genetic correlation estimates are unbounded and thus may be greater than 1. METAPD is an inverse-variance-weighted meta-analysis of the three independent Parkinson disease summary statistic datasets.

Table 3. GNOVA Genetic Correlation Results for Meta-analyzed Parkinson Disease, Melanoma, and Comparator Neurodegenerative Diseases GWAS Summary Statistic Datasets

Summary Statistic Dataset	**Melanoma** Law2015	**PD** METAPD	**AD** Kunkle2019	**FTD** Ferrari2014
Melanoma Law2015 n_{Case} = 12,814 $n_{Control}$ = 23,203	-	-	-	-
PD METAPD n_{Case} = 49,731 $n_{Control}$ = 784,343	0.17 [0.10, 0.24] (**4.09×10^{-06}**)	-	-	-
AD Kunkle2019 n_{Case} = 21,982 $n_{Control}$ = 41,944	-0.02 [-0.11, 0.07] (0.73)	0.01 [-0.06, 0.09] (0.71)	-	-
FTD Ferrari2014 n_{Case} = 2,154 $n_{Control}$ = 4,308	-0.13 [-0.37, 0.12] (0.32)	0.27 [0.07, 0.47] (**8.43×10^{-03}**)	0.22 [-0.05, 0.49] (0.11)	-

We estimated the genetic correlation between diseases using processed disease-specific GWAS summary statistic datasets and GNOVA software. All correlation estimates, 95% confidence intervals – presented in square brackets - and p-values - presented in parentheses - are corrected for any potential sample overlap. METAPD is an inverse-variance-weighted meta-analysis of the three independent Parkinson disease summary statistic datasets. PD: Parkinson disease; AD: Alzheimer disease; FTD: Frontotemporal dementia.

Table 4. GNOVA Genetic Correlation Results for Independent Parkinson Disease, Melanoma, and Comparator Neurodegenerative Diseases GWAS Summary Statistic Datasets

Summary Statistic Dataset	**Melanoma** Law2015 n_{Case} = 12,814 $n_{Control}$ = 23,203	**AD** Kunkle2019 n_{Case} = 21,982 $n_{Control}$ = 41,944	**FTD** Ferrari2014 n_{Case} = 2,154 $n_{Control}$ = 4,308
PD Nalls2014 n_{Case} = 9,581 $n_{Control}$ = 33,245	0.14 [0.02, 0.25] (**1.79×10^{-02}**)	-0.11 [-0.22, 0.00] (4.94×10^{-02})	0.27 [-0.06, 0.60] (0.10)
PD Chang2017 n_{Case} = 6,476 $n_{Control}$ = 302,042	0.25 [0.16, 0.33] (**3.31×10^{-09}**)	-0.01 [-0.11, 0.09] (0.87)	-0.16 [-0.45, 0.12] (0.26)
PD Nalls2019 n_{Case} = 33,674 $n_{Control}$ = 449,056	0.19 [0.10, 0.29] (**8.28×10^{-05}**)	0.05 [-0.04, 0.14] (0.27)	0.40 [0.14, 0.66] (**2.78×10^{-03}**)

We estimated the genetic correlation between diseases using processed disease-specific GWAS summary statistic datasets and GNOVA software. All correlation estimates, 95% confidence intervals – presented in square brackets – and p-values - presented in parentheses - are corrected for any potential sample overlap. PD: Parkinson disease; AD: Alzheimer disease; FTD: Frontotemporal dementia.

Table 5. Disease-Inferred Gene Expression Profile Overlap between Melanoma and PD in GTEx v7 Reference Panel Tissues

GTEx v7 Tissue	Number of Samples in Tissue Reference Panel	Melanoma vs. METAPD	
		ρ_{GE}	p-value
Adipose Subcutaneous	385	0.30 [0.01, 0.59]	4.82×10^{-02}
Adipose Visceral Omentum	313	0.23 [-0.03, 0.49]	9.39×10^{-02}
Adrenal Gland	175	0.25 [-0.10, 0.59]	1.73×10^{-01}
Artery Aorta	267	0.14 [-0.16, 0.44]	3.64×10^{-01}
Artery Coronary	152	0.19 [-0.34, 0.71]	4.93×10^{-01}
Artery Tibial	388	0.15 [-0.19, 0.49]	3.93×10^{-01}
Brain Amygdala	88	0.25 [-0.10, 0.60]	1.77×10^{-01}
Brain Anterior cingulate cortex BA24	109	0.17 [-0.28, 0.62]	4.58×10^{-01}
Brain Caudate basal ganglia	144	0.29 [0.01, 0.58]	4.89×10^{-02}
Brain Cerebellar Hemisphere	125	0.18 [-0.18, 0.54]	3.38×10^{-01}
Brain Cerebellum	154	0.17 [-0.11, 0.45]	2.32×10^{-01}
Brain Cortex	136	-0.04 [-0.51, 0.43]	8.75×10^{-01}
Brain Frontal Cortex BA9	118	-0.05 [-0.58, 0.49]	8.67×10^{-01}
Brain Hippocampus	111	0.41 [0.12, 0.70]	1.15×10^{-02}
Brain Hypothalamus	108	0.41 [0.07, 0.75]	3.09×10^{-02}
Brain Nucleus accumbens basal ganglia	130	0.34 [-0.04, 0.73]	9.04×10^{-02}
Brain Putamen basal ganglia	111	0.30 [-0.04, 0.64]	9.60×10^{-02}
Brain Spinal cord cervical c-1	83	0.26 [-0.56, 1.08]	5.49×10^{-01}
Brain Substantia nigra	80	0.42 [0.14, 0.71]	9.02×10^{-03}
Breast Mammary Tissue	251	0.24 [-0.09, 0.57]	1.64×10^{-01}
Cells EBV-transformed lymphocytes	117	0.09 [-0.39, 0.58]	7.11×10^{-01}
Cells Transformed fibroblasts	300	0.29 [0.07, 0.51]	1.35×10^{-02}
Colon Sigmoid	203	-0.01 [-0.44, 0.42]	9.60×10^{-01}
Colon Transverse	246	0.24 [-0.10, 0.57]	1.70×10^{-01}
Esophagus Gastroesophageal Junction	213	0.28 [-0.00, 0.56]	5.88×10^{-02}
Esophagus Mucosa	358	0.13 [-0.17, 0.43]	3.92×10^{-01}
Esophagus Muscularis	335	0.24 [-0.02, 0.51]	7.36×10^{-02}
Heart Atrial Appendage	264	0.31 [0.09, 0.54]	8.27×10^{-03}
Heart Left Ventricle	272	0.08 [-0.24, 0.41]	6.22×10^{-01}
Liver	153	0.25 [-0.07, 0.56]	1.36×10^{-01}
Lung	383	0.17 [-0.27, 0.60]	4.54×10^{-01}
Minor Salivary Gland	85	0.45 [0.15, 0.75]	7.49×10^{-03}
Muscle Skeletal	491	0.17 [-0.07, 0.42]	1.70×10^{-01}
Nerve Tibial	361	0.27 [-0.00, 0.53]	5.61×10^{-02}

Ovary	122	0.30 [-0.12, 0.71]	1.79×10^{-01}
Pancreas	220	0.35 [0.04, 0.66]	3.15×10^{-02}
Pituitary	157	0.30 [0.00, 0.59]	5.54×10^{-02}
Prostate	132	0.08 [-0.33, 0.49]	7.10×10^{-01}
Skin Not Sun Exposed Suprapubic	335	0.37 [0.17, 0.57]	7.58×10^{-04}
Skin Sun Exposed Lower leg	414	0.29 [-0.01, 0.58]	5.96×10^{-02}
Small Intestine Terminal Ileum	122	0.29 [-0.01, 0.58]	6.71×10^{-02}
Spleen	146	0.40 [0.13, 0.66]	5.49×10^{-03}
Stomach	237	0.34 [0.04, 0.64]	3.23×10^{-02}
Testis	225	0.09 [-0.22, 0.39]	5.78×10^{-01}
Thyroid	399	0.26 [-0.02, 0.54]	7.66×10^{-02}
Uterus	101	0.30 [-0.02, 0.61]	8.43×10^{-02}
Vagina	106	-0.11 [-0.93, 0.72]	8.05×10^{-01}
Whole Blood	369	0.28 [-0.02, 0.57]	7.38×10^{-02}

We generated disease-inferred gene expression profiles based on standardized and processed GWAS summary statistics using FUSION/TWAS software and the Genotype-Tissue Expression Project (GTEx) v7 reference panel. We further compared the overlap of these disease-inferred gene expression profiles using RHOGE software. METAPD is an inverse-variance-weighted meta-analysis of the three independent Parkinson disease summary statistic datasets. PD: Parkinson disease; ρ_{GE}: correlation coefficient for inferred transcriptomic overlap; BA: Brodmann Area.

Table 6. Cross-Tissue eGene-Disease Associations for Melanoma and PD

Gene	Melanoma UTMOST Cross-tissue		PD UTMOST Cross-tissue	
	Test Metric	***P***	**Test Metric**	***P***
GPATCH8	9.27	8.33×10^{-05}	9.18	9.17×10^{-05}
MYO9A	10.10	2.41×10^{-05}	6.47	1.01×10^{-03}
PIEZO1	176.52	2.74×10^{-11}	9.29	5.65×10^{-05}
SOX6	9.02	1.30×10^{-04}	9.77	5.97×10^{-05}
TRAPPC2L	690.56	2.36×10^{-11}	9.27	8.47×10^{-05}
ZNF341	8.42	1.67×10^{-04}	6.57	1.19×10^{-03}
ZNF778	219.82	2.55×10^{-11}	6.07	1.47×10^{-03}

We inferred cross-tissue, eGene-disease associations based on standardized and processed melanoma and METAPD GWAS summary statistics using UTMOST software and the Genotype-Tissue Expression Project (GTEx) v6 reference panel. METAPD is an inverse-variance-weighted meta-analysis of the three independent Parkinson disease (PD) summary statistic datasets.