



Deposited via The University of Sheffield.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/154582/>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Goyder, E., Blank, L., Baxter, S. et al. (2020) Tackling gambling related harms as a public health issue. *The Lancet Public Health*, 5 (1). e14-e15. ISSN: 2468-2667

[https://doi.org/10.1016/s2468-2667\(19\)30243-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2468-2667(19)30243-9)

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

Tackling gambling related harms as a public health issue



Lancet Public Health 2019

Published Online
December 9, 2019
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667\(19\)30243-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(19)30243-9)

Gambling is a highly profitable commercial activity, with providers including international corporations and governments. However, there is growing international recognition that gambling is a source of serious harm, and that there is inequity in the distribution of this harm, which has led to demands for action to protect public health. These concerns are reflected in the recent report from the UK All-Party Parliamentary Group,¹ which calls for stronger action on regulation of online gambling. Although there is largely a consensus on the need for developing and implementing strategies to reduce gambling-associated harms, to date, the stated need for a public health approach to preventing harm has not been widely or effectively translated into action in the UK.² The barriers and facilitators to effective public health action need to be understood to enable good intentions to be translated into effective action. The current context represents real opportunities for policy implementation, but also the threats to effective action that need to be addressed.

There is often limited support for measures that reduce an individual's freedom to choose their own recreational activities, even when the trade-offs include benefits to public health and reduced inequalities.³ However, increasing public concern about the effects of gambling on children, sponsorship of popular sports by gambling companies, and the introduction of gambling elements in online gaming (including so-called loot boxes) could provide an opportunity to ensure public support for regulation and restrictions that reduce industry marketing and introduce age limits for more forms of gambling. The debate, and subsequent legislation to reduce maximum stakes on fixed odds betting in the UK, showed the potential for widespread, cross-party political support for measures that addressed a specific public concern that disproportionately harmed the most vulnerable in society. However, even here, there was a threat posed by vested interests to take action and to use, later discredited, data.⁴ Although television and other media provide a channel for gambling promotion and activities, popular television shows (eg, *The Simpsons*) have also addressed the risks of gambling addiction. Media coverage tends to focus on the extremes, such as big wins and the extremes of harm associated with debt (eg, suicides and criminal

convictions).⁵ Public awareness of the spectrum of harm is therefore relatively low in comparison to tobacco or alcohol, for which the appreciation that there is no universally safe threshold for consumption is greater.⁶ Although reviews of the evidence directly related to gambling harm are currently underway, there is already increasing evidence from other sectors that a public health approach that includes fiscal measures and reduces exposure to advertising and access to harmful commodities could reduce population level harm. The introduction of restrictions on marketing and increasing taxation on the products associated with higher risks of harm have been used to reduce tobacco, alcohol, and sugar-sweetened drink consumption.^{7,8} These examples should give policy makers confidence that similar policies for gambling would also be effective if successfully implemented.

However, substantial threats exist given the range of vested interests in the gambling field. Experience in New Zealand shows the obstacles to policy changes to restrict or regulate a highly profitable industry.⁹ The complex nature of the gambling environment and factors that influence both individual gambling behaviour and risk of harm means that policy change could lead to both the industry and individuals changing their behaviour in unpredictable ways.¹⁰ When access is restricted or stakes reduced for a specific form of gambling, unintended consequences are likely to include an increase in other forms of gambling. This consideration serves as a strong rationale for a policy approach that, as well as targeting specific forms of gambling, includes system-wide interventions and for ensuring that all policies are evaluated for both the intended and unintended consequences.

Research is needed to understand the complex interactions between the availability and uptake of gambling activities and the related harms. A greater understanding is also needed of what gambling policies have been adopted and why, and what evidence and interests have informed the policy-making process to date. The main threat to a public health approach is the potential for the gambling industry and other vested interests to oppose or subvert any policies that might reduce profits. The complexity of the systems on which policies act means any policy must be

carefully evaluated for both intended and unintended consequences, and the independence of evaluation prioritised and protected. In the meantime, there is already evidence from other commercial sectors (eg, tobacco, alcohol, and sugar-sweetened drinks) that effective action is possible and also broad public support for addressing the risks, particularly to children. There is a real opportunity for delivering an evidence-based public health approach to gambling-related harm.

*Elizabeth Goyder, Lindsay Blank, Susan Baxter,
May Cl van Schalkwyk

School of Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S1 4DA, UK (EG, LB, SB); and Department of Public Health, Environments and Society, London School of Tropical Medicine, London, UK (MvS)
e.goyder@sheffield.ac.uk

EG, LB, and SB are supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Public Health Research Programme. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. We declare no competing interests.

Copyright © 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an Open Access article under the CC BY 4.0 license.

- 1 All-Party Parliamentary Group. Online Gambling Harm Inquiry. 2019. <http://www.grh-appg.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/Interim-APPG-Report-November-final.pdf> (accessed Nov 14, 2019).
- 2 Wardle H, Reith G, Langham E, Rogers RD. Gambling and public health: we need policy action to prevent harm. *BMJ* 2019; **365**: l1807.
- 3 Reynolds JP, Archer S, Pilling M, Kenny M, Hollands GJ, Marteau TM. Public acceptability of nudging and taxing to reduce consumption of alcohol, tobacco, and food: a population-based survey experiment. *Soc Sci Med* 2019; **236**: 112395.
- 4 Davies R. Government's FOBT decision influenced by 'discredited' report. Nov 12, 2018. <https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2018/nov/12/government-fobt-decision-discredited-report> (accessed Nov 14, 2019).
- 5 Turner NE, Fritz B, Zangeneh M. Images of gambling in film. *J Gambli Issues* 2007; **20**: 117–43.
- 6 Monaghan SM, Derevensky J. An appraisal of the impact of the depiction of gambling in society on youth. *Int J Ment Health Addict* 2008; **6**: 537–50.
- 7 Chaloupka FJ, Powell LM, Warner KE. The use of excise taxes to reduce tobacco, alcohol, and sugary beverage consumption. *Annu Rev Public Health* 2019; **40**: 187–201.
- 8 He Y, Shang C, Huang J, Cheng KW, Chaloupka FJ. Global evidence on the effect of point-of-sale display bans on smoking prevalence. *Tob Control* 2018; **27**: e98–104.
- 9 Adams PJ, Rossen F. A tale of missed opportunities: pursuit of a public health approach to gambling in New Zealand. *Addiction* 2012; **107**: 1051–56.
- 10 Knai C, Petticrew M, Mays N, et al. Systems thinking as a framework for analyzing commercial determinants of health. *Milbank Q* 2018; **96**: 472–98.