



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *A novel workflow for three-dimensional analysis of tumour cell migration*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/153594/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Ketchen, S, Rohwedder, A, Knipp, S et al. (7 more authors) (2020) A novel workflow for three-dimensional analysis of tumour cell migration. *Interface Focus*, 10 (2). 20190070. ISSN 2042-8898

<https://doi.org/10.1098/rsfs.2019.0070>

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by the Royal Society. All rights reserved. This is an author produced version of a paper published in *Interface Focus*. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

A novel workflow for 3D analysis of tumour cell migration

Journal:	<i>Interface Focus</i>
Manuscript ID	RSFS-2019-0070.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	09-Nov-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Ketchen, Sophie; University of Leeds Faculty of Medicine and Health Rohwedder, Arndt; University of Leeds Faculty of Biological Sciences Knipp, Sabine; University of Leeds Faculty of Biological Sciences Esteves, Filomena; University of Leeds Faculty of Medicine and Health Struve, Nina; University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Laboratory of Radiobiology & Experimental Radiation Oncology Peckham, Michelle; University of Leeds Faculty of Biological Sciences Ladbury, John; University of Leeds Faculty of Biological Sciences Curd, Alistair; University of Leeds Faculty of Biological Sciences Short, Susan; University of Leeds Faculty of Medicine and Health Bruning-Richardson, Anke; University of Huddersfield School of Applied Sciences,
Subject:	Biocomplexity < CROSS-DISCIPLINARY SCIENCES, Biochemistry < CROSS-DISCIPLINARY SCIENCES, Bioinformatics < CROSS- DISCIPLINARY SCIENCES
Keywords:	3D, tumour spheroid, microscopy, ImageJ, cell migration

Author-supplied statements

Relevant information will appear here if provided.

Ethics

Does your article include research that required ethical approval or permits?:

This article does not present research with ethical considerations

Statement (if applicable):

CUST_IF_YES_ETHICS :No data available.

Data

It is a condition of publication that data, code and materials supporting your paper are made publicly available. Does your paper present new data?:

Yes

Statement (if applicable):

There is a link to the developed Plugin in the text. We are happy to share data.

Conflict of interest

I/We declare we have no competing interests

Statement (if applicable):

CUST_STATE_CONFLICT :No data available.

Authors' contributions

This paper has multiple authors and our individual contributions were as below

Statement (if applicable):

SK carried out the lab work, participated in data analysis, participated in the design of the study and drafted the manuscript; AR designed the ImageJ plugin, participated in the design of the study, acquisition of the data, analysis of the data and critically revised the manuscript; SKnipp contributed to data acquisition and critically revised the manuscript; FE participated in the design of the cell staining method and critically revised the manuscript; NS participated in the design of the cell staining method and critically revised the manuscript; MP participated in the design of the study and critically revised the manuscript; JEL participated in the interpretation of the data and critically revised the manuscript; AC participated in the design of the study, acquisition of the data, analysis of the data, interpretation of the data and critically revised the manuscript; SCS participated in the design of the study and critically revised the manuscript; ABR conceived of the study, designed the study, coordinated the study and helped draft the manuscript. All authors gave final approval for publication and agree to be held accountable for the work performed therein.

A novel workflow for 3D analysis of tumour cell migration

Sophie Ketchen¹, Arndt Rohwedder², Sabine Knipp², Filomena Esteves¹, Nina Struve³, Michelle Peckham², John E. Ladbury², Alistair Curd², Susan C. Short¹, Anke Brüning-Richardson⁴

¹ Leeds Institute of Cancer and Pathology, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

² School of Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

³ Laboratory of Radiobiology & Experimental Radiation Oncology, Hubertus Wald Tumorzentrum – University Cancer Center Hamburg, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany

⁴ School of Applied Sciences, University of Huddersfield, Huddersfield, UK

Keywords

3D, tumour spheroid, microscopy, ImageJ, cell migration

Abstract

The limitations of two-dimensional (2D) analysis in three-dimensional (3D) cellular imaging impair the accuracy of research findings in biological studies. Here we report a novel 3D approach to acquisition, analysis and interpretation of tumour spheroid images. Our research interest in mesenchymal-amoeboid transition led to the development of a workflow incorporating the generation and analysis of 3D data with Instant Structured Illumination Microscopy and a new ImageJ plugin.

Main Text

Traditionally cancer drug screens have relied on the assessment of drug activity on 2D cell monolayers quantifying cytotoxic effects. The advantages of this approach are clear and include high throughput screening, determination of direct effects on cell viability and advancing our understanding of cancer biology [1]. However, the disappointing hit rate of drug discovery when translated into clinical trials has highlighted the importance of targeting cancer cells in a setting more appropriate for recapitulating the 3D structure of an original tumour [2]. In addition, there has been a steady increase in the development of anti-migratory drugs as adjuvant treatment to target the dissemination of highly invasive cancers such as gliomas. Screening of cells migrating in a 3D environment is more likely to provide a more accurate assessment of drug activity than within a 2D environment [3].

In our own drug screens of novel anti-migratory drugs in 3D spheroid migration assays, we recently identified a small molecule inhibitor, CCG-1423, that appears to promote distinct cellular responses in highly migratory glioma cells. CCG-1423 targets the RhoA transcription signalling pathway [4] and seemingly induces a mesenchymal-

1
2
3 amoeboid transition (MAT) in treated cells. Mesenchymal and amoeboid modes of
4 migration are interchangeable as switching between the two can be induced in cancer
5 cells in response to changes in the extracellular environment including external
6 pressures such as drug selection [5]. This cellular switch may potentially allow the
7 identification of pathways involved in this mechanism and enhance drug development.
8 This is extremely important as a combination approach targeting both migration modes
9 may be required to fully stop migratory activity in cancer cells.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 In terms of tumour architecture and cell migration, using 3D cell cultures embedded in
22 collagen is a more appropriate method to screen the action of drugs. In our own work
23 (unpublished data), we found cells in 2D monolayers appeared to have a much higher
24 sensitivity to the drug CCG-1423 compared to 3D cell cultures using live cell imaging.
25 However, this type of assessment relies on the interpretation of 2D images created by
26 light microscopy from, for example, 3D spheroid invasion assays. The interpretation
27 of such images has to be treated with caution, as there are several limitations to their
28 assessment. For example, important details of drug activity could be missed because
29 images are only captured in a single focal plane at any given time, potentially leading
30 to an overestimation of drug efficacy. Thus, there is a strong need for better high-
31 resolution analysis of 3D image data, which is less well developed than analysis in 2D.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 To investigate the effect of CCG-1423 treatment on glioma cells at higher resolution
50 and in 3D, we used Instant Structured Illuminated Microscopy (iSIM), which captures
51 images at high spatio-temporal resolution (~150 nm, > 100 fps), allowing rapid capture
52 of high-resolution volumes (Z-stacks) [6][7]. Having labelled spheroids encased in
53 collagen (see Methods/Supplementary), we acquired 3D images of single migratory
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 cells. While brightfield images indicated that the inhibitor caused the cells to adopt a
4 rounded phenotype, a key feature of amoeboid migration (Figure 1A), iSIM (Figure
5 1B) allowed us to observe morphological features in fine detail, including actin
6 bundles. This detail alone allowed us to enhance our understanding of CCG-1423 drug
7 activity on cell migration as we could more closely observe its direct effects on actin
8 dynamics and cytoskeletal rearrangements.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 To aid in the analysis of the 3D spheroids, we developed, and provide here a novel
20 ImageJ plugin to quantify morphological features relevant to cellular migration (Figure
21 2). The plugin was used to calculate the number of major protrusions, such as
22 lamellipodia, and small protrusions such as filopodia in the cells under treated versus
23 untreated conditions. Lamellipodia are large, actin-based, sheet-like cellular
24 protrusions involved in cell movement, whilst filopodia are thin, actin-rich cellular
25 protrusions that emerge from the lamellipodia allowing a cell to probe and interact with
26 its environment, promoting cell migration. The formation of both types of feature is
27 regulated by the activity of small Rho GTPases, Rac1 and CDC42, orchestrators of
28 MAT [8]. There was a distinct loss of major protrusions/lamellipodia in treated cells
29 concurrent with an increase in the number of filopodia, a striking feature of MAT
30 (Figure 3). Our results support a direct effect on actin dynamics and cell morphology
31 indicative of MAT when cells are treated with CCG-1423.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 This analysis could potentially be adapted for use in routine screening with fully
52 automated high-content imaging systems such as the Operetta (Perkin Elmer). It will
53 be interesting to ascertain whether such images have sufficient resolution for our post-
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 processing technique. On the other hand, iSIM may be developed for automated
4
5 screening over a 96-well format.
6
7
8
9

10 While the current plugin works well, there are a couple of limitations that the user
11 should be aware of. First, cell-cell proximity can be a limiting factor in the analysis
12 method. Only the largest object will be analysed per slice in a 3D FOV. Where multiple
13 cells are present, without the cells being connected to each other, the per-slice
14 analysis method may therefore swap between cells as it moves through a Z-stack.
15 Further development may improve on this limitation, allowing for faster data acquisition
16 from multiple cells simultaneously, and maintaining the association between analysis
17 results and particular cells. On the other hand, when multiple cells are connected in a
18 cluster, protrusions from the whole cluster will be identified, which will provide useful
19 data. Second, protrusions are detected in each slice of a 3D image, analysed
20 separately, and there is no output information about the direction of protrusions in the
21 Z-direction. Further development will improve on this analysis to provide more
22 information relevant to 3D cell migration.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 In conclusion, our combined workflow of sample preparation, iSIM image acquisition
43 and application of a novel ImageJ analysis plugin allowed us to confirm a proposed
44 phenotype switch in migrating glioma cells after treatment with the inhibitor CCG-1423
45 in 3D environments. We detected a significant decrease in the number of major
46 protrusions in treated cells. This supports the idea that amoeboid cells display a more
47 rounded morphology, as they undergo MAT. In addition, amoeboid cells typically
48 present smaller protrusions, which may explain the observed increase in the number
49 of filopodia in CCG-1423 treated cells. We propose that this type of analysis can be
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 used for many cell types, including primary tumour explants, and may be applicable to
4
5 other investigations of detailed phenotypic changes and protein localisation in a 3D
6
7 setting. In our own studies using a whole range of inhibitors targeting the actin
8
9 polymerisation pathway, we observed very specific phenotypes in response to the
10
11 different inhibitors (unpublished data). This shows the potential of this method in
12
13 assessing a range of cellular responses to different pharmacological agents. In
14
15 addition to MAT investigations, this analysis could also be used for the reciprocal
16
17 process of amoeboid to mesenchymal transition (AMT). The analysis would be exactly
18
19 the same as for MAT, however the predicted results would be reversed with an
20
21 increase in major protrusions and a decrease in filopodia. Morphologically, the cells
22
23 would change from rounded to elongated as they transitioned from an amoeboid to a
24
25 mesenchymal phenotype.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 Gliomas are often treated with radiation in addition to chemotherapy drugs. The
34
35 determination of the optimum clinical target volume margins around gross tumour
36
37 volume is possibly the most uncertain stage in the planning of a radiotherapy regimen
38
39 in any cancer treatment but in brain cancer, it requires even more extensive research
40
41 [9]. The study of 3D models/spheroids could potentially open new avenues in the
42
43 management of gliomas with chemo-radiotherapy through a better understanding of
44
45 cellular behaviour and intervention using anti-migratory drugs. Thus, reducing cell
46
47 migration could produce more defined tumour margins resulting in accurate
48
49 radiotherapy and enhanced glioma treatment. In the context of our own research, we
50
51 have generated confirmatory and additional information to support the need for
52
53 combination treatments to target both mesenchymal and amoeboid cell migration to
54
55 fully prevent glioma cell migration and invasion.
56
57
58
59
60

Methods

In vitro cultures. The established glioma cell line U251 was cultured at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ incubator (Sanyo) in high glucose DMEM (Sigma, UK) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FCS (Labtech, UK) and Pen/Strep (Sigma, UK) in plastic tissue culture flasks (Corning).

3D spheroid generation and invasion assay. For inhibitor studies, U251 cells were seeded at 1x10³/well in low adherence 96 well plates (Nunc, UK) as previously described [10]. Three days after seeding, spheroids contained within the wells were embedded in rat tail collagen V (Corning Life Science, US), polymerisation was achieved with 1M NaOH. The inhibitor CCG-1423 (Tocris Bioscience, US) was resuspended in DMSO and was added at a predetermined anti-migratory concentration (500nM). Some spheroids were mock-treated with DMSO-supplemented medium only. Invasion into collagen was observed over 72 hours at 24-hour interval and images captured with the EVOS Cell Imaging System (Advanced Microscopy Group) at 4x magnification.

Preparation of spheroids and migratory cells for iSIM imaging. We have previously reported the staining of collagen embedded spheroids and associated migratory cells with fluorescent dyes such as phalloidin and DAPI [10]. Here we optimised this technique to allow antibody labelling. The whole procedure was adapted so that it can be carried out in the original low adherence 96-well plate (Nunc, US). After completion of the invasion assay, the wells were carefully washed with PBS three times; this was achieved using 200µl pipette tips and pastettes to add and remove

1
2
3 fresh PBS. The collagen plugs were then fixed using 4% PFA for 40 minutes. For
4
5 permeabilisation, 0.5% Triton-X100 was added for 30 minutes; three washes of PBS
6
7 were followed by blocking with 0.01% Marvel skimmed milk powder in PBS for five
8
9 minutes. The collagen plugs were then incubated with Alexa Fluor 488 phalloidin at
10
11 1/500 (Molecular probes, Invitrogen US) and anti-acetylated tubulin antibody (1/500)
12
13 (Abcam, UK), which had been prepared in the blocking solution (0.01% Marvel
14
15 skimmed milk powder) and spun down for 5 minutes at 13,000 rpm. After a two-hour
16
17 incubation, the collagen plugs were washed three times with PBS for five minutes
18
19 each; the secondary antibody, anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 594 preadsorbed (1/500;
20
21 Molecular probes, Invitrogen US), was added at a 1/500 concentration along with DAPI
22
23 (1/500, according to manufacturer instructions), prepared as for the primary antibody
24
25 solution. Incubation was for 1 hour after which the collagen plugs were washed three
26
27 times with PBS. The collagen plugs were carefully lifted out of the wells and transferred
28
29 onto glass slides. Fluoromount G (Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK) was added to the
30
31 plugs and coverslips were gently lowered onto the collagen plugs.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 ***iSIM imaging.*** The iSIM used for this study was home-built at the University of Leeds
41
42 [6]. The objective lens used was a x60 NA 1.2 objective (Olympus). Z-stacks were
43
44 acquired at 50 ms per slice. Deconvolution was performed on all final images using
45
46 the Image plugin DeconvolutionLab (Biomedical Imaging Group, EPFL, Switzerland).
47
48 Depth-colour coding used a modified version of K_TimeRGBcolorcode.ijm (ImageJ
49
50 macro by Kota Miura, Centre for Molecular and Cellular Imaging, EMBL Heidelberg,
51
52 Germany).
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **ImageJ plugin for data analysis.** The plugin developed and used in this study is
4
5 'Surface and DirectionDetector B' v1.0, available at
6
7 <https://github.com/rohwedderleeds/surfaceanalyser>.
8
9

10 Briefly, in each FOV, or each Z-slice of a 3D image, the cell outline (perimeter) is
11 identified and a smoothed perimeter is generated. The centre of mass for this
12 identified and a smoothed perimeter is generated. The centre of mass for this
13 smoothed perimeter is used as the position of the cell centre. Values for the distance
14 to the cell centre (radii) are stored as a function of position on the cell outline.
15
16 According to sensitivity limits (larger windows for larger protrusions, smaller windows
17 for smaller protrusions or filopodia), a sliding slope estimate for change in radius
18 against position on the outline is applied and stored as a series of *b*-values. Slopes
19 are then calculated in the same way in the reversed direction around the cell outline.
20
21 The ratio and product of these two *b*-series allow the detection and localisation of
22 protrusions, respectively (see supplementary material). Eccentricity is calculated as
23 the distance between the centre of mass for the cell outline and the centre of mass for
24 the smoothed perimeter. The procedure can be applied to 2D images (Figure 2A)
25 and 3D image stacks (Figure 2B) and generates outputs for both cases. The output
26 consists of the graphical representation of the major protrusions, a log containing the
27 measured eccentricity and protrusion counts, and the tables of major protrusions and
28 filopodia, in separate windows. Further details of the analysis method can be found in
29 the supplementary section.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 **Supplementary Material**

52 See Supplementary Figs. 1 and 2. The ImageJ plugin takes the current image/image
53 stack and generates an internal copy for the analysis. In the case of an image stack,
54 each slice is evaluated individually. Upon call, a graphical user interface (GUI) opens
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 to enter values for the estimated image coverage of the cell under consideration, and
4
5 the size of the major and minor (referred to as filopodia) protrusions as a percentage
6
7 of the total cell outline. The remaining procedure runs in the background and does
8
9 not require any user activity.
10
11
12
13

14 Firstly, an object (e.g. cell) outline is obtained as follows. A black and white image is
15
16 first generated using auto-threshold. To remove single pixel noise and the effect of
17
18 small fluorescent particles on the analysis, a rank filter is applied to the image using
19
20 median and bright outliers filters. The function ParticleAnalyser is then used for
21
22 isolating the cell/cluster of cells. It uses the options EXCLUDE_EDGE_PARTICLES,
23
24 requiring objects not touching the frame, INCLUDE_HOLES, resulting in the
25
26 detection of the outer objects border only and CENTER_OF_MASS, which is used
27
28 for calculating eccentricity of the object. The particle analyser will try to find an object
29
30 with a size greater than the minimum size estimated by the user in the GUI. If it fails,
31
32 it reduces the minimum size value until an object is identified. When multiple cells
33
34 are present in a FOV, if they are separate from one another, the largest cell will be
35
36 automatically identified; if the cells are connected in a cluster, the whole cluster will
37
38 be selected as the object and analysed for its major and minor protrusions. When an
39
40 object is found, its outline is stored for analysis as a linear array of pixel coordinates.
41
42 A rounded perimeter is generated from a sliding average of the object outline (red
43
44 ellipse in Supplementary Fig. 1). In a single cell, this is used to approximate the cell
45
46 body, containing the nucleus. Eccentricity (E in Supplementary Fig. 1) is calculated
47
48 as the distance between the centre of mass of the cell outline (blue circle in
49
50 Supplementary Fig. 1) and the centre of mass of the rounded perimeter (the 'cell
51
52 centre').
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 For each pixel on the cell outline, its position in pixels along the outline (x) and a
7
8 radius (r) is stored. $r(x)$ is the Euclidean distance from the cell centre to the cell
9
10 outline at position x along the cell outline. Based on the protrusion size entered into
11
12 the GUI, for both major and minor protrusions, a sliding linear regression ($r = a + bx$)
13
14 is applied, giving

$$15$$

$$16$$

$$17 \quad b_i = \frac{n_{\text{window}} \left(\sum_{j=i}^{i+\text{window}} x_j r_j \right) - \sum_{j=i}^{i+\text{window}} x_j \sum_{j=i}^{i+\text{window}} r_j}{n_{\text{window}} \left(\sum_{j=i}^{i+\text{window}} x_j r_j \right) - \left(\sum_{j=i}^{i+\text{window}} x_j \right) \left(\sum_{j=i}^{i+\text{window}} r_j \right)},$$

$$18$$

$$19$$

20
21 where b_i is the average slope over n_{window} pixels along the outline, at the i th pixel
22
23 position along the cell outline.
24

25
26 The algorithm is applied bi-directionally by inverting the r array order, so that

$$27$$

$$28 \quad r_{\text{inv}} = (y_N, y_{N-1}, \dots, y_1),$$

$$29$$

30
31 where N is the length of the cell outline in pixels. b_{inv} then results from the sliding
32
33 regression applied to r_{inv} , and a second inversion, this time of b_{inv} , maps the slopes
34
35 obtained in the reversed direction around the outline back to the original positions (x)
36
37 along the outline:
38

$$39$$

$$40 \quad b_{\text{inv}_{\text{inv}}} = (b_{\text{inv}_N}, b_{\text{inv}_{N-1}}, \dots, b_{\text{inv}_1}).$$

$$41$$

42
43 The ratio between b and $b_{\text{inv}_{\text{inv}}}$ is calculated for each position along the cell outline:

$$44$$

$$45 \quad b_{\text{ratio}}(x) = \frac{b(x)}{b_{\text{inv}_{\text{inv}}}(x)}.$$

$$46$$

47
48 This b -ratio (green in Supplementary Fig. 1) generates a strong accentuation of
49
50 protrusions, as shown in Supplementary Fig. 1. The bi-directionality in the calculation
51
52 also allows a narrower estimate of the actual position than a sliding regression in
53
54 only one direction around the cell.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Upon reaching the sensitivity threshold for the b -ratio (Supplementary Fig. 1: GUI
4 input and dashed black line), a protrusion is detected. The location of the maximum
5
6 of the b -product, the element-wise product of b and $b_{inv_{inv}}$ (absolute value, blue in
7
8 Supplementary Fig. 1), in between one such detection and the following detection,
9
10 provide the location (x) along the outline of the protrusion. Output is provided as
11
12
13
14
15 noted in Methods.
16
17
18
19

20 **Author Contributions**

21
22 SK carried out the lab work, participated in data analysis, participated in the design of
23
24 the study and drafted the manuscript; AR designed the ImageJ plugin, participated in
25
26 the design of the study, acquisition of the data, analysis of the data and critically
27
28 revised the manuscript; SKnipp contributed to data acquisition and critically revised
29
30 the manuscript; FE participated in the design of the cell staining method and critically
31
32 revised the manuscript; NS participated in the design of the cell staining method and
33
34 critically revised the manuscript; MP participated in the design of the study and
35
36 critically revised the manuscript; JEL participated in the interpretation of the data and
37
38 critically revised the manuscript; AC participated in the design of the study, acquisition
39
40 of the data, analysis of the data, interpretation of the data and critically revised the
41
42 manuscript; SCS participated in the design of the study and critically revised the
43
44 manuscript; ABR conceived of the study, designed the study, coordinated the study
45
46 and helped draft the manuscript. All authors gave final approval for publication and
47
48 agree to be held accountable for the work performed therein.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 **Acknowledgements:**

57
58 We would like to thank the PPR Foundation and MRC for funding.
59
60

Data Accessibility:

The plugin developed and used in this study is 'Surface and DirectionDetector B' v1.0, available at <https://github.com/rohvedderleeds/surfaceanalyser>.

Funding

This work was supported by The PPR Foundation [RGCALA106893-002] and the MRC [MR/K015613/1].

References

1. Haglund C, Aleskog A, Nygren P, Gullbo J, Höglund M, Wickström M, Larsson R, Lindhagen E. 2012 In vitro evaluation of clinical activity and toxicity of anticancer drugs using tumor cells from patients and cells representing normal tissues. *Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol.* **69**, 697–707. (doi:10.1007/s00280-011-1746-1)

2. Jardim DL, Groves ES, Breitfeld PP, Kurzrock R. 2017 Factors associated with failure of oncology drugs in late-stage clinical development: A systematic review. *Cancer Treat. Rev.* **52**, 12–21. (doi:10.1016/j.ctrv.2016.10.009)
3. Baumann K. 2010 Moving in 3D. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **11**, 465.
4. Evelyn CR, Wade SM, Wang Q, Wu M, Iñiguez-Lluhí JA, Merajver SD, Neubig RR. 2007 CCG-1423: a small-molecule inhibitor of RhoA transcriptional signaling. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* **6**, 2249–2260. (doi:10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-06-0782)
5. Paňková K, Rösel D, Novotný M, Brábek J. 2010 The molecular mechanisms of transition between mesenchymal and amoeboid invasiveness in tumor cells. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **67**, 63–71. (doi:10.1007/s00018-009-0132-1)
6. Curd A, Cleasby A, Makowska K, York A, Shroff H, Peckham M. 2015 Construction of an instant structured illumination microscope. *Methods San Diego Calif* **88**, 37–47. (doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2015.07.012)
7. York AG, Chandris P, Nogare DD, Head J, Wawrzusin P, Fischer RS, Chitnis A, Shroff H. 2013 Instant super-resolution imaging in live cells and embryos via analog image processing. *Nat. Methods* **10**, 1122–1126. (doi:10.1038/nmeth.2687)
8. Mattila PK, Lappalainen P. 2008 Filopodia: molecular architecture and cellular functions. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **9**, 446–454. (doi:10.1038/nrm2406)
9. Moghaddasi L, Bezak E, Marcu LG. 2012 Current challenges in clinical target volume definition: tumour margins and microscopic extensions. *Acta Oncol. Stockh. Swed.* **51**, 984–995. (doi:10.3109/0284186X.2012.720381)
10. Cheng V, Esteves F, Chakrabarty A, Cockle J, Short S, Brüning-Richardson A. 2015 High-content analysis of tumour cell invasion in three-dimensional spheroid assays. *Oncoscience* **2**, 596–606.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Imaging of U251 glioma cells and spheroids.

1
2
3 A. Brightfield images of U251 glioma cells after 72h hours incubation, embedded in
4 collagen, in 96-well low adherent plates. Untreated spheroid and spheroid treated
5 with the small molecule inhibitor CCG-1423. The arrows indicate migratory cells in
6 both untreated and CCG-1423 treated cells. In the untreated spheroids elongated
7 cells are visible migrating into the collagen, whereas in the treated spheroids the
8 morphology has seemingly changed to a more rounded phenotype. Scale bar =
9 1000 μm (original image, top), 250 μm (magnified region, bottom).

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19 B. High resolution 3D iSIM imaging of fixed spheroids and migrating cells within the
20 collagen plugs. Left image: a single cell in untreated spheroids emanating from the
21 original spheroid core. Right image: the elongated shape disappears after treatment
22 with inhibitor and is replaced by a rounded phenotype. Fluorescent label: Alexa Fluor
23 488 phalloidin. Scale bar = 10 μm . Colour bar: sample depth.

24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33 Figure 2. Representation of 2D and 3D output of the ImageJ plugin.

34
35 A. 2D analysis of individual slices. Left: original iSIM image. Right: graphical and text
36 output from the plugin. White outline = identified object; blue circle = centre of mass of
37 the outline; brown outline = sliding average of outline, estimated area of the cell body;
38 yellow lines = radii of major protrusions from the centre of the estimated cell body.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45 Scale bar = 5 μm .

46
47 B. Projection of the Z-stack output from automated analysis of the entire Z-stack image
48 of the cell in A. 3D image rotated for visualisation of the separate outlines per slice.
49
50
51
52 Legend for output features as in A. Scale bar = 5 μm .

53
54
55
56 Figure 3. Analysis of migratory U251 glioma cells.

1
2
3 A. A novel ImageJ plugin was used to identify major protrusions and filopodia in the
4 cells. Asterisks (centre panel) indicate individual filopodia, Y-shaped structure
5 highlights major protrusions. Major Protrusions: 3 Filopodia: 21. Scale bar = 10 μ m.
6
7

8
9
10 B. Graphical representation of max projection 3D data generated from single cells,
11 untreated versus treated, using iSIM and the ImageJ plugin. Fifty cells/condition
12 were scored and the average is depicted in graphical format. Five biological
13 replicates per condition were used with 10 technical replicates taken from each.
14
15 Differences between control cells and treated cells were compared using a two-tailed
16 *t*-test (Excel). Error bars were generated using standard error of the mean (SEM).
17
18 Asterisks indicate statistical significance where **** = $p \leq 0.0001$.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28
29 Supplementary Figure 1. Parameters to be changed by the user in the graphical user
30 interface (GUI) and resulting data generated by the plugin acting on an input image
31 (top). The window length chosen by the user to define major protrusions
32 ($Window_{major}$) and the sensitivity threshold value of *b*-ratio for detecting protrusions
33 (dashed black line) are illustrated. Detection of protrusions by *b*-ratio (det) and
34 localisation of them by maxima in *b*-product (max) are labelled in the graph. The
35 plugin considers the outline as a closed polygonal line, and so the location of the
36 third peak, i.e. the maximum in *b*-product between 'det 3' and 'det 1', appears before
37 'det 1' on the graph. E = eccentricity between perimeter and rounded perimeter, RP
38 = rounded perimeter.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52
53 Supplementary Figure 2. A schematic diagram of the workflow for data analysis
54 using the ImageJ plugin, 'Surface and DirectionDetector B' v1.0, available at
55 <https://github.com/rohvedderleeds/surfaceanalyser>.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60






Figure 1

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 1.75 μm
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17 4.25 μm
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26 5.25 μm
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Image slice

Output




Slice: 7
Eccentricity:
-0.22 μm horizontal
0.24 μm vertical
Major Protrusions: 4
Filopodia: 63




Slice: 17
Eccentricity:
-0.21 μm horizontal
0.68 μm vertical
Major Protrusions: 4
Filopodia: 52

Slice: 21
Eccentricity:
-2.01 μm horizontal
0.23 μm vertical
Major Protrusions: 4
Filopodia: 50

B

Projection of 3D output



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60**Cell image****Filopodia****Major protrusions****B****Filopodia****Major protrusions**