



This is a repository copy of *On Siegel eigenvarieties at Saito-Kurokawa points*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/152069/>

Version: Submitted Version

---

**Article:**

Berger, T. [orcid.org/0000-0002-5207-6221](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5207-6221) and Betina, A. (Submitted: 2019) On Siegel eigenvarieties at Saito-Kurokawa points. arXiv. (Submitted)

<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1902.05885>

---

© 2019 The Author(s). For reuse permissions, please contact the Author(s).

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

## ON SIEGEL EIGENVARIETIES AT SAITO-KUROKAWA POINTS

TOBIAS BERGER AND ADEL BETINA

ABSTRACT. We study the geometry of the Siegel eigenvariety  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  of paramodular tame level  $\Delta$  associated to a squarefree  $N \in \mathbb{N}_+$  at certain points having a critical slope. For  $k \geq 2$  let  $f$  be a cuspidal eigenform of  $S_{2k-2}(\Gamma_0(N))$  ordinary at a prime  $p \nmid N$  with sign  $\epsilon_f = -1$  and write  $\alpha$  for the unit root of the Hecke polynomial of  $f$  at  $p$ . Let  $\text{SK}(f)_\alpha$  be the semi-ordinary  $p$ -stabilization of the Saito-Kurokawa lift of the cusp form  $f$  to  $\text{GSp}(4)$  of weight  $(k, k)$  of tame level  $\Delta$ . Under the assumption that the dimension of the Selmer group  $H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1))$  attached to  $f$  is at most one and some mild assumptions on the mod  $p$  representation  $\bar{\rho}_f$  associated to  $f$ , we show that the rigid analytic space  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  is smooth at the point  $x$  corresponding to  $\text{SK}(f)_\alpha$ . This means that there exists a unique irreducible component of  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  specializing to  $x$ , and we also show that this irreducible component is not globally endoscopic. Finally we give an application to the Bloch-Kato conjecture, by proving under some mild assumptions on  $\bar{\rho}_f$  that the smoothness failure of  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  at  $x$  yields that  $\dim H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)) \geq 2$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $p$  be a prime number. Eigenvarieties are  $p$ -adic rigid analytic spaces interpolating the Hecke eigenvalues of automorphic representations of a particular reductive group  $G$  of finite slope eigenvalues for Hecke operators at  $p$ , fixed tame level away from  $p$  and varying weights. Following the seminal works of Hida [Hid86] and Coleman-Mazur [CM98] their geometry has been studied by many people, e.g. Bellaïche and Chenevier [BC06], Majumdar [Maj15] and Bellaïche and Dimitrov [BD16] for  $G = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ , and by Bellaïche and Chenevier [Bel08], [BC09] for unitary groups.

Andreatta, Iovita and Pilloni constructed in [AIP15] an eigenvariety parametrizing locally analytic overconvergent cuspidal Siegel eigenforms of genus two, principal level  $N$  and finite slope, and they proved that the Siegel eigenvariety of tame level 1 is étale over the weight space at certain classical non-critical points of regular cohomological weights with Iwahoric level at  $p$ . The proof uses the classicality criteria for overconvergent Siegel cusp forms of Hida [Hid02, Prop.3.6], Tilouine and Urban [TU99, Thm.3.2], Pilloni [Pil11, Thm.2] and the multiplicity one theorem of Arthur's classification for  $\text{GSp}_4$  [Art04].

---

Both authors acknowledge support from the EPSRC Grant EP/R006563/1.

We investigate in this work the geometry of the Siegel eigenvariety  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  of paramodular level  $N$  at the points corresponding to Saito-Kurokawa lifts of ordinary cusp forms for  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$  (which have a critical slope), including the case of the non-cohomological weight  $(2, 2)$ .

In order to state our results, we recall some facts and fix some notations: Let  $N$  be a squarefree integer prime to  $p$ . For a prime  $\ell$  the paramodular subgroup of  $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  is defined as  $\Delta_\ell = \gamma \mathrm{M}_4(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \gamma^{-1} \cap \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  for  $\gamma = \mathrm{diag}[1, 1, \ell, 1]$ . We write  $\Delta := \prod_{\ell|N} \Delta_\ell \cap \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q})$  for the paramodular congruence subgroup of level  $N$ . If  $N = 1$  we put  $\Delta = \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Let  $f \in \mathrm{S}_{2k-2}(\Gamma_0(N), K_f)$  be a weight  $2k - 2$  cuspidal  $N$ -new eigenform for  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$  with coefficient field  $K_f$ . Assume that  $f$  has an ordinary  $p$ -stabilization and denote it by  $f_\alpha$ , where  $U_p(f_\alpha) = \alpha \cdot f_\alpha$ .

The L-function  $L(f, s)$  attached to  $f$  satisfies the following functional equation:

$$L(f, s) = \epsilon_f L(f, 2k - 2 - s).$$

We have that  $\epsilon_f = (-1)^{\mathrm{ord}_{s=k-1} L(f, s)}$ . Assume until the end of this paper that  $\epsilon_f = -1^1$ , which means that there exists a lift  $\mathrm{SK}(f)$  to a weight  $(k, k)$  cuspform of level  $\Delta$  called the Saito-Kurokawa lift of  $f$ . It satisfies

$$L^N(\mathrm{SK}(f), \mathrm{spin}, s) = \zeta^N(s - k + 1) \zeta^N(s - k + 2) L^N(s, f).$$

When  $N = 1$  this lift was constructed by Maass, Andrianov and Zagier; Gritsenko generalized it to any level  $N$ . A representation theoretic approach building on results of Piatetski-Shapiro and Waldspurger is discussed in [Sch07].

In order to  $p$ -adically deform  $\mathrm{SK}(f)$ , one must first choose a semi-ordinary<sup>2</sup>  $p$ -stabilization of  $\mathrm{SK}(f)$ , that is an eigenform of tame level the paramodular group  $\Delta$  and sharing the same eigenvalues as  $\mathrm{SK}(f)$  away from  $p$  and of finite slope. Denote by  $\pi_\alpha$  the  $p$ -stabilization of  $\mathrm{SK}(f)$  such that  $U_0(\pi_\alpha) = \alpha \cdot \pi_\alpha$ , and  $U_1(\pi_\alpha) = p \cdot \alpha \cdot \pi_\alpha$  where  $U_0, U_1$  are the Hecke operators attached to  $\mathrm{diag}[1, 1, p, p]$  ( $U_0$  is often denoted by  $U_p$ ),  $\mathrm{diag}[1, p, p^2, p]$ , and  $U_1$  has been renormalized to have a good  $p$ -adic interpolation (see for example [SU06, Thm.2.4.14]).

Let  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  be Siegel eigenvariety of tame paramodular level  $\Delta$  (see appendix §B.4). It is reduced and equidimensional of dimension 2, and endowed with a morphism

$$\kappa : \mathcal{E}_\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$$

called the weight map (which is locally finite and torsion-free), where the weight space  $\mathcal{W}$  is the rigid analytic space over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  such that  $\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{C}_p) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cont}}((\mathbb{Z}_p^\times)^2, \mathbb{C}_p^\times)$ .

<sup>1</sup>When  $N = 1$ , one has  $\epsilon_f = (-1)^{k-1}$ .

<sup>2</sup>Semi-ordinary means that the eigenvalue for the Hecke operator  $U_0$  is a  $p$ -adic unit. Following Tilouine-Urban this is also called Siegel ordinary.

The cuspidal eigenform  $\pi_\alpha$  defines a point  $x$  of  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$ . Write  $L$  for the residue field of  $x$ , a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Note that the slopes of  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  are locally constant on  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$ , and equal to 0 for  $U_0$  and 1 for  $U_1$  locally at  $x$ . This means that the cuspform  $\pi_\alpha$  has a critical slope since it does not satisfy the small slope condition of [AIP15, Thm. 7.3.1].

One can show that there exists a pseudo-character  $\text{Ps} = \text{Ps}_{\mathcal{E}_\Delta} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_\Delta)$  of dimension 4 such that the specialization  $\text{Ps}(y)$  of  $\text{Ps}$  at a classical point  $y \in \mathcal{E}_\Delta(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$  is the trace of the semi-simple  $p$ -adic Galois representation  $\rho_y : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_4(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$  of dimension 4 attached to a cuspidal Siegel eigenform  $g_y$  corresponding to  $y$  (i.e.  $L(g_y, \text{spin}, s) = L(\rho_y, s)$ ). For  $y = x = \pi_\alpha$  we have

$$\text{Ps}(\pi_\alpha) = \epsilon_p^{1-k} + \epsilon_p^{2-k} + \text{Tr } \rho_f,$$

where  $\rho_f$  is the  $p$ -adic Galois representation attached to  $f$  (i.e.  $L(f, s) = L(\rho_f, s)$ ) and  $\epsilon_p$  is the  $p$ -adic cyclotomic character.

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the local ring of  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  at  $x$  for the rigid topology,  $\mathfrak{m}$  the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  the local ring of  $\mathcal{W}$  for the rigid topology at the weight  $\kappa(x)$  of  $x$  (they are both Henselian rings). Note that  $\mathcal{T}$  is an equidimensional ring of dimension 2.

**Definition 1.1.** We say that an *irreducible* affinoid  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{E}_\Delta$  of dimension 2 is *stable* if and only if the reducibility locus of the pseudo-character  $\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{Z}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z})$  given by the composition of  $\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{E}_\Delta}$  with the natural morphism  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_\Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z})$  is strictly contained in  $\mathcal{Z}$  (i.e. of dimension less or equal to 1). Otherwise, we say that  $\mathcal{Z}$  is an endoscopic irreducible affinoid of  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  of dimension 2.

Let  $\bar{\rho}_f : G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(k(L))$  be the residual representation (i.e. mod  $p$ ) attached to  $\rho_f$ , where  $k(L)$  is the residue field of  $L$ , and let  $\pi_f = \bigotimes_{\ell} \pi_{f,\ell}$  be the automorphic representation attached to  $f$ .

We will recall the assumptions used in the Taylor-Wiles isomorphism (i.e. R=T) [TW95] and [Wil88]:

- **(AI $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ )** The restriction of  $\bar{\rho}$  to  $G_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{(-1)^{(p-1)/2}p})}$  is absolutely irreducible.
- **(Reg)**  $\bar{\rho}_f$  is  $p$ -distinguished and  $\alpha \neq 1$  when  $k = 2$ .
- **(Min)** For any prime  $\ell \mid N$ ,  $\bar{\rho}_f|_{I_\ell}$  is unipotent and non-trivial and  $a_\ell = -\ell^{k-2}$  (i.e.  $\pi_{f,\ell} \simeq \text{St} \otimes \xi$ , where  $\xi$  is the unramified character with  $\xi(\ell) = -1$ ).

Under the assumptions **(AI $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ )**, **(Reg)** and **(Min)**, the local Noetherian ring  $R^{\text{ord}}$  representing the  $p$ -ordinary minimally ramified deformation of  $\bar{\rho}_f$  is isomorphic to the local component of the semi-local  $p$ -ordinary Hecke algebra  $\mathfrak{h}^{\text{ord}}$  of level  $Np^\infty$  whose maximal ideal corresponds to the modular form  $f_\alpha \pmod{p}$  (see [Hid86] for its construction).

Andreatta-Iovita-Pilloni pose the following question in [AIP15, §.8]:

**Open problem.** Let  $x(g)$  be a classical point of the Siegel eigenvariety  $\mathcal{E}_N$  of tame level the principal congruence subgroup of level  $N$ . Is the map  $\kappa : \mathcal{E}_N \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  unramified at  $x(g)$ ?

Let  $\mathfrak{m}_A$  be the maximal ideal of  $A$ , the completed local ring of  $\mathcal{W}$  at  $\kappa(x)$ ,  $\mathcal{T}' = \mathcal{T}/\mathfrak{m}_A\mathcal{T}$  be the local ring of the fiber  $\kappa^{-1}(\kappa(x)) \subset \mathcal{E}_\Delta$  at  $x$  (since  $\kappa$  is locally finite,  $\mathcal{T}'$  is an Artinian algebra), and let  $\mathfrak{t}_{\pi_\alpha}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{t}_{\pi_\alpha}^0$ ) be the Zariski tangent space of  $\mathcal{T}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{T}'$ , i.e the relative tangent space of  $\kappa^\# : A \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ ).

Let  $\omega_p : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  be the Teichmüller character and  $L_p(f_\alpha, \omega_p^{-1}, \cdot) \in \Lambda := \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p[[T]]$  be the Manin-Vishik  $p$ -adic L-function attached to  $f_\alpha \otimes \omega_p^{-1}$  (see e.g. [Kat04, Thm.16.2]), and let

$$H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)) = \ker(H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, \rho_f(k-1) \otimes B_{\text{crys}}) \oplus_{\ell_p} H^1(I_\ell, \rho_f(k-1)))$$

be the Selmer group attached to  $f$ .

Our main result is the following theorem describing the local geometry of the rigid analytic space  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  (equidimensional of dimension 2) at  $\pi_\alpha$ :

**Theorem A** (see §.3 and §.8.2).

Put  $s = \dim H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1))$ .

- (i) Assume that  $k \geq 2$ ,  $\pi_{f, \ell}$  is special (Steinberg or twisted Steinberg) at every prime  $\ell \mid N$  and **(Reg)**. Then all the irreducible affinoids of  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  of dimension 2 specializing to  $\pi_\alpha$  are stable.
- (ii) Assume that  $k \geq 3$ , **(Min)**, **(AI $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ )** and **(Reg)**, then

$$2 \leq \dim \mathfrak{t}_{\pi_\alpha} \leq 1 + s^2 \text{ and } \dim \mathfrak{t}_{\pi_\alpha}^0 \leq s^2.$$

Moreover, if  $\dim H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)) = 1$ , then  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  is smooth at  $\pi_\alpha$ , and the reducibility locus of the pseudo-character  $\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{T}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_\Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is the closed irreducible smooth subscheme of  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{T}$  of dimension 1 associated to the Saito-Kurokawa lift of the ordinary Hida family  $\mathcal{F}$  passing through  $f_\alpha$ , and it is even a principal Weil divisor of  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{T}$ .

- (iii) Assume that  $k = 2$ , **(Min)**, **(AI $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ )**, **(Reg)** and  $L_p(f_\alpha, \omega_p^{-1}, T = p) \neq 0$ , then

$$2 \leq \dim \mathfrak{t}_{\pi_\alpha} \leq 1 + s^2 \text{ and } \dim \mathfrak{t}_{\pi_\alpha}^0 \leq s^2.$$

Moreover, if  $\dim H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(1)) = 1$ , then  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  is smooth at  $\pi_\alpha$ , and the reducibility ideal of the pseudo-character  $\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{T}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_\Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is principal.

A key step in the proof is the determination of the schematic reducibility locus of the pseudo-character  $\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{T}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_\Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  carried by  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  at  $x$ , and our approach uses pseudo-representations of  $p$ -adic families of cuspidal Siegel eigenforms and  $p$ -adic Hodge theory. We provide a more detailed sketch of the proof in section 1.1.

A direct consequence of (ii) and (iii) of the above theorem is that under these assumptions there exists a unique irreducible component of  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  specializing to  $\pi_\alpha$  when the Selmer group  $H_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1))$  is 1-dimensional.

The smoothness of the eigencurve at critical points is a crucial ingredient for the construction of a family of  $p$ -adic L functions on an open neighborhood of these points, see e.g. [Bel12]. Our result on the smoothness of  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  opens up the possibility of constructing a family of  $p$ -adic L-functions in a neighbourhood of  $\pi_\alpha$ , a challenging question in Iwasawa theory.

Using results about  $\Lambda$ -adic Selmer groups we exhibit many examples where the Selmer group  $H_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1))$  is 1-dimensional (see Appendix §.C). We also have an example of an elliptic curve satisfying all the assumptions of (iii) of the above theorem (see §.C).

**Corollary 1.2.**

- (i) Assume that  $k \geq 3$ , **(Min)**, **(AI $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ )**, **(Reg)**. If the rigid analytic space  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  is singular at  $\pi_\alpha$  then  $\dim H_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)) \geq 2$ .
- (ii) Assume that  $k = 2$ , **(Min)**, **(AI $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ )**, **(Reg)** and  $L_p(f_\alpha, \omega_p^{-1}, T=p) \neq 0$ . If the rigid analytic space  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  is singular at  $\pi_\alpha$ , then  $\dim H_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)) \geq 2$ .

Hence we have a geometric criterion to detect if  $\dim H_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)) \geq 2$ . Thus, the question of finding a lower bound of the dimension of the Selmer group  $H_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1))$  can be reduced to certain computations of spaces of semi-ordinary  $p$ -adic modular cuspforms for  $\text{GSp}_4$ .

It turns out that the geometry of  $\mathcal{E}_N$  at  $x$  depends on the tame level. When we change the tame level to the principal Siegel congruence subgroup  $\Gamma(N)$  it is in general non-smooth. In particular, the answer to the question in [AIP15] is negative if  $N$  is not prime.

**Theorem B** (see Corollary 9.4). *Assume that  $\ell_1, \ell_2 \mid N$ , where  $\{\ell_i\}_{\{1,2\}}$  are prime numbers and assume that  $f$  is Steinberg at both these primes. Then the eigenvariety  $\mathcal{E}_N$  is singular at  $\pi_\alpha$  and has at least two irreducible endoscopic components specializing to  $\pi_\alpha$ .*

**1.1. Sketch of the proof of Theorem A.** Using [SU06, Thm.3.2.9] we show that any endoscopic irreducible affinoid  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{E}_\Delta$  of dimension 2 specializing to  $\pi_\alpha$  is the Yoshida lift of the Hida family  $\mathcal{F}$  passing through  $f_\alpha$  and a Coleman family  $\mathcal{F}'$  passing through an overconvergent form sharing the same system of Hecke eigenvalues for  $\{T_\ell\}_{\ell \mid Np}$  and  $U_p$  as the critical Eisenstein series  $E_2^{\text{crit}_p}$  of weight 2. We prove that  $\mathcal{F}'$  is necessarily special at some  $\ell_0 \mid N$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is special at every  $\ell \mid N$ . Hence the classical specializations in sufficiently high weights of  $\mathcal{Z}$  are Yoshida lifts of two cuspidal eigenforms such that the local automorphic representation at  $\ell_0$  of both are special. In fact, it follows from the classification of Roberts and Schmidt that

no such Yoshida lift exists for tame level  $\Delta$ . This establishes that all irreducible components of  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  containing  $x$  are stable.

Hence by localizing the pseudo-character  $\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{E}_\Delta} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_\Delta)$  of dimension 4 at the local Henselian ring  $\mathcal{T}$ , we get a pseudo-character  $\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{T}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  deforming  $\text{Ps}(x)$  which is generically irreducible on each irreducible component containing  $x$ . Following the results of [BC09], we obtain a GMA matrix  $S = \mathcal{T}[G_{\mathbb{Q}}]/\ker(\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{T}})$  with orthogonal idempotents lifting the natural idempotents of the semi-simple representation  $\varrho = \epsilon_p^{2-k} \oplus \rho_f \oplus \epsilon_p^{1-k}$ .

The total reducibility ideal  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}$  of  $\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{T}}$  is defined to be the smallest ideal  $I$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  such that

$$\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{T}} \pmod I = T_1 + T_2 + T_3$$

for pseudocharacters  $T_i$  with  $T_i \pmod{\mathfrak{m}} = \text{Tr}(\rho_i)$  for  $\rho_1 = \epsilon_p^{2-k}$ ,  $\rho_2 = \rho_f$ ,  $\rho_3 = \epsilon_p^{1-k}$ . By results of [BC09] it is controlled by the entries of the GMA  $S$  (see Proposition 4.4). These in turn give rise to  $S$ -extensions of  $\rho_i$  by  $\rho_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . We prove in Theorem 7.7 when  $s := \dim H_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)) = 1$  that  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}$  is principal (or more generally we bound the number of its generators by  $s^2$ ) by proving that these extension satisfy the required local properties to lie in the corresponding Selmer groups  $H_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-2)) = 0$  (a deep result of Kato [Kat04]),  $H_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \epsilon_p) \stackrel{\text{Kummer}}{\simeq} \mathbb{Z}^\times \otimes L = 0$  and  $H_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1))$ , which we assume to be at most 1-dimensional.

This local analysis forms the technical heart of the paper. At  $p$  we use that any representation  $\rho_z$  attached to a classical point  $z \in \mathcal{Z}$  of  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  containing  $x$  is semi-ordinary (i.e.  $\dim \rho_z^{I_p} \geq 1$ ). Using this we prove in §4 and §6 that any  $S$ -extension  $W$  (resp.  $W'$ ) occurring in the cohomology group  $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1))$  (resp.  $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-2))$ ) is in fact ordinary at  $p$ , in the sense that  $W^{I_p} \neq 0$ ,  $(W')^{I_p} \neq 0$  and  $\text{Frob}_p$  acts on them by  $\alpha$ . Therefore,  $W$  (resp.  $W'$  when  $k \geq 3$ ) is ordinary in the sense of Fontaine-Perrin-Riou (so de Rham), and hence crystalline since  $H_g^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, \rho_f(k-i)) = H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, \rho_f(k-i))$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .

To prove the crystallinity of the  $S$ -extensions in  $\text{Ext}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}}}^1(\epsilon_p^{1-k}, \epsilon_p^{2-k})$  we apply in §5 the results of [BC09] §4 on the analytic continuation of crystalline periods for the smallest Hodge-Tate weight in families of  $p$ -adic Galois representations occurring in a torsion free coherent module. To this end we establish in section §B that classical points which are old at  $p$  are very Zariski dense in  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$ . To be able to study the period we are interested in we need to consider the quotient by the line fixed by inertia due to semi-ordinarity. At a classical point  $z \in \mathcal{Z}$  of cohomological weight  $(l_1, l_2)$  the smallest Hodge-Tate weight of the 3-dimensional  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -representation  $\rho_z/\rho_z^{I_p}$  is  $l_2 - 2$  and  $\dim \mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}(\rho_z/\rho_z^{I_p})^{U_1/U_0(z)p^{l_2-2}} = 1$  when  $\rho_z$  is crystalline.

This allows us to prove that the  $S$ -extensions occurring in  $\text{Ext}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}}}^1(\epsilon_p^{1-k}, \epsilon_p^{2-k})$  have a crystalline period equal to

$$\lim_{z_n \in \mathcal{E}, z_n \rightarrow x} U_1/U_0(z)p^{l_2(z)-2} = U_1/U_0(x)p^{k-2} = p^{k-1}.$$

This means that for any  $S$ -extension  $V \in \text{Ext}_{L[G_{\mathbb{Q}}^N]}^1(\epsilon_p^{1-k}, \epsilon_p^{2-k})$ , we have  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^{\Phi=p^{k-1}}(V) \neq 0$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^{\Phi=p^{k-2}}(V) \neq 0$ , so that  $\dim \mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}(V) = 2$ , i.e. that  $V$  is crystalline at  $p$ .

For  $\ell \mid N$  we apply local Euler's characteristic formula and Tate's duality to show that  $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}, \rho_f(k-i))$  are trivial<sup>3</sup> for  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus, the  $S$ -extensions occurring in the cohomology group  $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1))$  (resp.  $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-2))$ ) are unramified outside  $p$ . For proving that the  $S$ -extensions occurring in  $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \epsilon_p)$  are unramified at  $\ell \mid N$  we use the semi-continuity of the rank of the monodromy operator attached to the Weil-Deligne representation at  $\ell$  of  $p$ -adic families and that the rank is generically one for families of paramodular tame level.

Having bounded the number of generators of  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}$  by  $s^2$  we determine in §8 the local ring  $A := \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}$  by proving that the completion  $\widehat{A}$  of  $A$  with respect to its maximal ideal is isomorphic to the universal ring representing the  $p$ -ordinary minimally ramified deformations of  $\rho_f$ , and which is isomorphic also to the completed local ring of the eigencurve  $\mathcal{C}_N$  of tame level  $N$  at  $f_{\alpha}$  (thanks to the  $R = T$  isomorphism of Taylor-Wiles). The latter is known to be regular thanks to Hida's control theorem<sup>4</sup> [Hid86]. Since  $\mathcal{T}$  is equidimensional of dimension 2,  $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}} = A$  is regular of dimension one (implied by  $\widehat{A}$  being regular) and  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}$  is principal when  $\dim H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)) = 1$  (or more generally generated by at most  $s^2$  elements), it follows that the generator of  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}$  is a regular local parameter of  $\mathcal{T}$  when  $\dim H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)) = 1$  (or more generally, we obtain the desired bound of the Zariski tangent space of  $\mathcal{T}$ ).

This means that the tangent space of  $\mathcal{T}$  is of dimension 2 when  $\dim H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)) = 1$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  is regular of dimension 2. Thus the rigid analytic space  $\mathcal{E}_{\Delta}$  is smooth at  $x$ , and as a consequence,  $\mathcal{E}_{\Delta}$  has a unique irreducible component (of dimension 2) specializing to  $x$ .

However, for the case when  $k = 2$  (i.e Thm.A(iii)), we need to prove in addition that the  $S$ -extensions occurring  $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f)$  are crystalline at  $p$ . This seems difficult to establish (see Remark 6.2). But we know that these extensions are ordinary in the sense that they have an unramified line on which  $\text{Frob}_p$  acts by  $\alpha$ , and so they belong to a Greenberg's type Selmer group  $\text{Sel}_{\mathbb{Q}, f_{\alpha}}$  attached to  $\rho_f^{\vee}(-1)$  (see §.6.1). Moreover, we know from the Iwasawa main conjecture for  $\text{GL}_2$  that the Pontryagin dual of the  $\Lambda$ -adic Greenberg's Selmer group of  $f_{\alpha}$  is a torsion  $\Lambda$ -module, and its characteristic ideal contains the  $p$ -adic L function  $L_p(f_{\alpha}, \omega_p^{-1}, \cdot)$

<sup>3</sup>This is where the assumption that  $a_{\ell} = -\ell^{k-2}$  at every prime  $\ell \mid N$  is crucial.

<sup>4</sup>Hida's control theorem (or more generally Coleman classicality criterion) yields that  $\mathcal{C}_N$  is étale over the weight space at  $f_{\alpha}$ .



(see [SU14, Thm.3.25]). Hence, the condition that  $L_p(f_\alpha, \omega_p^{-1}, T = p) \neq 0$  is sufficient for the vanishing of  $\text{Sel}_{\mathbb{Q}, f_\alpha}$ .

**1.2. Relationship to other results in the literature.** Bellaïche-Chenevier studied in [BC09] the geometry of some eigenvarieties  $X$  attached to unitary Shimura varieties at points with reducible Galois representation and gave applications to the Bloch-Kato conjecture. They focus on points  $z \in X$  with Galois representation given by  $\mathbb{1} \oplus \epsilon_p \oplus \rho_z$ , where  $\rho_z$  is an irreducible  $n$ -dimensional representation anti-ordinary at  $p$ . They proved that at  $z \in X$ , the local Galois deformation at  $p$  is irreducible on every Artinian thickening of  $z$  (the reducibility locus at  $z$  of the pseudo-character carried by  $X$  is the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,z}$ ). It should be pointed out that our setting is quite different since the reducibility locus at  $\pi_\alpha$  of the pseudo-character  $\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{E}_\Delta}$  is given by a principal Weil divisor of the 2-dimensional affine scheme  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{T}$  and corresponds on the modular side to the Saito-Kurokawa lift of the Hida family passing through  $f_\alpha$ . A further difference between these settings lies in the position of the Hodge-Tate weights and their distribution between the different pieces of the reducible Galois representations  $\mathbb{1} \oplus \epsilon_p \oplus \rho_z$  and  $\rho_{\pi_\alpha} := \epsilon_p^{1-k} \oplus \epsilon_p^{2-k} \oplus \rho_f$ . More precisely, while the smallest Hodge-Tate of  $\rho_{\pi_\alpha}$  is zero and occurs in the 2-dimensional representation  $\rho_f$ , the smallest Hodge-Tate weight of  $\mathbb{1} \oplus \epsilon_p \oplus \rho_z$  is  $-1$  and occurs in the one dimensional sub-representation  $\epsilon_p$ , and  $\rho_z$  has no Hodge-Tate weights equal to  $\{0, -1\}$ , and this difference makes the proof of the crystallinity of the  $S := \mathcal{T}[G_{\mathbb{Q}}]/\ker(\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{T}})$ -extensions occurring in  $\text{Ext}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}}}^1(\epsilon^{1-k}, \epsilon_p^{2-k})$  (in our setting) more subtle than [BC09, Prop.8.2.14] (see §.5). In addition, we investigate also in this paper the geometry of  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  at Saito-Kurokawa points  $\pi_\alpha$  of non-cohomological weights (i.e when  $k = 2$ ) and in that case  $\rho_{\pi_\alpha}$  has only two Hodge-Tate weights  $\{0, 1\}$  (with multiplicity two).

Skinner-Urban constructed in [SU06, Thm.2.4.10] a semi-ordinary eigenvariety as an admissible open of  $\mathcal{E}_N$ . Using a deep automorphic argument they established the existence of a stable semi-ordinary  $p$ -adic cuspidal eigenfamily  $\mathcal{Y}$  of dimension 2 specializing to  $\pi_\alpha$  (see [SU06, Thm.4.2.7]), with fewer assumptions on the level and the local representation  $\rho_f$  at  $\ell \mid Np$  than us (they assumed only that  $f$  is ordinary at  $p$ ). They then applied the lattice construction of [Urb01] (generalizing Ribet's Lemma to higher dimensions) to obtain a non-trivial extension in  $H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1))$ .

In [BK17] short crystalline, minimal, essentially self-dual deformations of non-semisimple mod  $p$  Galois representations  $\bar{\rho}_{SK(f)}$  with  $\bar{\rho}_{SK(f)}^{\text{ss}} = \bar{\epsilon}_p^{2-k} \oplus \bar{\rho}_f \oplus \bar{\epsilon}_p^{1-k}$  are studied. In this analysis the principality of the total reducibility ideal of the universal pseudodeformation of  $\text{Tr}(\bar{\rho})$  to  $\mathcal{O}_L$ -algebras also played a crucial role.

Hernandez constructed in [Her17] a three dimensional  $p$ -adic eigenvariety for the group  $U(2,1)(E)$ , where  $E$  is a quadratic imaginary field in which  $p$  is inert (the Picard modular surface has an empty ordinary locus in that case), and gave an application by reproving particular cases of the Bloch-Kato conjecture for Galois characters of  $E$ .

**Acknowledgement.** We would like to thank Riccardo Brasca, Kris Klosin, Vincent Pilloni, Jacques Tilouine, Chris Skinner and Eric Urban for helpful communications related to the topics of this article.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Open problem	4
1.1. Sketch of the proof of Theorem A	5
1.2. Relationship to other results in the literature	8
Acknowledgement	9
Notation and some remarks	10
2. Some properties of automorphic $p$ -adic representations	11
2.1. Elliptic modular forms	11
2.2. Siegel modular forms	12
2.3. Properties at $\ell \neq p$ of a $p$ -adic representation arising from a Siegel cusp form	14
3. Non existence of endoscopic components of $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$ specializing to $\pi_\alpha$	14
4. The GMA $S$ and ordinarity of $S$ -extensions occurring in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1))$	18
4.1. Symplectic relation and the anti-involution $\tau$ on $S$	22
5. Crystallinity of the $S$ -extensions occurring in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \epsilon_p)$	23
6. Crystallinity of the $S$ -extensions occurring in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-2))$	29
6.1. On the vanishing of the Greenberg's Selmer group attached to $f_\alpha$	31
7. Schematic reducibility locus of the pseudo-character $\text{Ps}_\tau$ on $\text{Spec } \mathcal{T}$ and applications to the Bloch-Kato conjecture	32
7.1. Application to Bloch-Kato conjecture	33
7.2. Bounding the number of generators of $\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}$	34
8. Smoothness of $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$ at $\pi_\alpha$	36
8.1. Modularity and $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ord}} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_N, f_\alpha}}$	36
8.2. Regularity of $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}$ when $k \geq 3$	38
9. Smoothness failure of $\mathcal{E}_N$ at $\pi_\alpha$ when $N$ is square free and not prime	43
Appendix A. Some basic facts about rigid analytic geometry	46
Appendix B. On the very Zariski density of classical points in the Eigenvariety $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$	49

B.1.	The Weight space $\mathcal{W}$	49
B.2.	Geometric Siegel cuspforms	50
B.3.	Local charts of the variety $\mathcal{E}_N$ and density of classical points of $\mathcal{E}_N$ .	51
B.4.	Siegel eigenvariety of paramodular level $N$	54
B.5.	The Coleman-Mazur eigencurve	55
Appendix C.	Some examples where $\dim H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)) = 1$	56
References		57

### Notation and some remarks.

- (i) Let  $\mathbb{Q}_p(1)$  denote the  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  representation of dimension 1 on which  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  acts by the  $p$ -adic cyclotomic character  $\epsilon_p : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$ .
- (ii) The Hodge-Tate-Sen weight of  $\mathbb{Q}_p(1)$  is  $-1$  and its Sen polynomial is  $X + 1$  (we are following the geometric convention).
- (iii) Let  $B_{\text{crys}}$  denote the crystalline period ring endowed with the semi-linear Frobenius  $\Phi$  and the natural  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -action.
- (iv) Let  $t \in B_{\text{crys}}$  be the element on which  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -acts by  $\epsilon_p$  and  $\Phi(t) = p.t$ . Note that  $t$  generates the maximal ideal of the integral de Rham periods ring  $B_{\text{dR}}^+$ ; i.e  $B_{\text{dR}}^+/t.B_{\text{dR}}^+ \simeq \mathbb{C}_p$  as  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -modules.
- (v) Let  $B_{\text{crys}}^+ \subset B_{\text{crys}}$  denote the ring of period defined in [PP94, Exposé II, §.2.3].
- (vi) Let  $V$  be a  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -representation of finite dimension over a  $p$ -adic field  $L$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}(V)$  denote the  $L$ -vector space  $(B_{\text{crys}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$  of dimension at most  $\dim_L V$ . And we denote again by  $\Phi$  for the semi-linear action given by  $\Phi \otimes \text{Id}_V$  on  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}(V)$ . Denote also by  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^+(V)$  for  $(B_{\text{crys}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ .
- (vii) Let  $x \in \mathcal{E}_N$  be a classical point such that the Galois representation  $\rho_x$  attached to  $x$  is crystalline. Then the  $(\Phi, \Gamma)$ -module attached to  $V$  is trianguline in the sense of Colmez. However, the triangulation can be given by non étale  $(\Phi, \Gamma)$ -submodules, and hence  $V|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$  is not necessarily ordinary at  $p$ .
- (viii) Remark that  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^+(\epsilon_p) = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}(\epsilon_p) = \mathbb{Q}_p.t^{-1}$  ( $t^{-1}$  is not in  $B_{\text{crys}}^+$ ), and  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^+(\epsilon_p^{-1}) = \mathbb{Q}_p.t$ .
- (ix) Let  $\mathbb{1}$  be the trivial representation of dimension 1.
- (x) We shall always write  $\text{Frob}_{\ell}$  for the geometric Frobenius at the prime  $\ell$ .
- (xi) Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we shall denote  $\mathcal{E}_N^{\alpha}$  for the admissible open locus of  $\mathcal{E}_N$  defined by  $|U_0 U_1|_p = \alpha$ .
- (xii) We write  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}$  for the Galois group of the maximal extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  unramified outside of  $Np$  and  $\infty$ . For any  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -geometric representation  $V$  we define the Bloch-Kato

Selmer groups

$$H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, V) = \ker(H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V \otimes B_{\text{crys}}) \oplus_{\ell^p} H^1(I_\ell, V))$$

and

$$H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}, V) = \ker(H^1(\mathbb{Q}, V) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V \otimes B_{\text{crys}})).$$

- (xiii) Let  $A$  be a ring and  $M$  be a finite length  $A$ -module. We shall always denote by  $l(M)$  for the length of  $M$  as  $A$ -module.

## 2. SOME PROPERTIES OF AUTOMORPHIC $p$ -ADIC REPRESENTATIONS

In this section we recall some facts about the Galois representations associated to classical and Siegel modular forms.

**2.1. Elliptic modular forms.** Let  $\rho_f$  be the Galois representation attached to a Hecke eigencusp form  $f \in S_{2k-2}(\Gamma_0(N))$  in the sense that  $L(\rho_f, s) = L(f, s)$ . We note that  $\rho_f^\vee \simeq \rho_f(2k-3)$  by the duality of 2-dimensional representations. It is known that  $\rho_f$  is de Rham and that its Hodge-Tate-Sen weights are  $(2k-3, 0)$ . Moreover,  $\rho_f$  is crystalline at  $p$  since  $p \nmid N$ .

Since  $f_\alpha$  is ordinary at  $p$ ,  $(\rho_f)|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \psi & * \\ 0 & \psi^{-1}\epsilon_p^{3-2k} \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\psi : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$  is the unramified character such that  $\psi(\text{Frob}_p) = \alpha = U_p(f_\alpha)$  and  $\det \rho_f = \epsilon_p^{3-2k}$ . Note that the characteristic polynomial of the semi linear Frobenius  $\Phi$  acting of  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}(\rho_f)$  is equal to the  $p$ -th Hecke polynomial of  $f$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\ell \mid N$  be a prime number.*

- (i) *Assume that  $\pi_{f, \ell} \simeq \text{St} \otimes \xi$  (i.e.  $a_\ell(f) = -\ell^{k-2}$ ), then*

$$\dim \text{Ext}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}}^1(\rho_f, \epsilon_p^{2-k}) = \dim \text{Ext}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}}^1(\epsilon_p^{1-k}, \rho_f) = \dim H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \rho_f(k-2)) = 0.$$

- (ii) *Assume that  $\pi_{f, \ell}$  is special at  $\ell$ , then*

$$\dim \text{Ext}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}}^1(\rho_f, \epsilon_p^{1-k}) = \dim \text{Ext}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}}^1(\epsilon_p^{2-k}, \rho_f) = \dim H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \rho_f(k-1)) = 0.$$

*Remark 2.2.* When  $k = 2$ , the assumption that  $a_\ell = -1$  when  $\ell \mid N$  is a prime holds if and only if the abelian variety  $A_f$  attached to the weight 2 cuspidal eigenform  $f$  has non-split multiplicative reduction at  $\ell$ .

*Proof.* We know, in fact, that  $(\rho_f)|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_\ell^{-1} & * \\ 0 & \psi_\ell^{-1}\epsilon_p^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$  with infinite image of inertia, where  $\psi_\ell$  is an unramified character such that  $\psi_\ell(\text{Frob}_\ell) = a_\ell(f)$ . Note that by [Miy89, Theorem 4.6.17(2)]  $a_\ell^2(f) = \ell^{2k-4}$ . Our assumption on  $a_\ell$  implies that  $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \rho_f(k-1)) = H^0(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \rho_f(k-2)) = 0$ .

By applying the Euler characteristic formula and Tate duality, we obtain:

$$\dim H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \rho_f(k-1)) = \dim H^0(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \rho_f(k-1)) + \dim H^0(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, (\rho_f(k-1))^\vee(1)).$$

Since  $\rho_f^\vee = \rho_f(2k-3)$  (the duality for 2-dimensional representations), the above equality yields that

$$(1) \quad \dim H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \rho_f(k-1)) = 0.$$

The other cases are proved similarly. □

**2.2. Siegel modular forms.** We define the abstract Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_N$  as the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra generated by the Hecke operators  $T_{\ell,1}, T_{\ell,2}, S_\ell$  for  $\ell \nmid Np$  and the Hecke operators  $U_0, U_1$  at  $p$ , where  $T_{\ell,1}$  (resp.  $T_{\ell,2}, S_\ell$ ) is the Hecke operator attached to  $\text{diag}[1, 1, \ell, \ell]$  (resp.  $\text{diag}[1, \ell, \ell^2, \ell]$ ,  $\text{diag}[\ell, \ell, \ell, \ell]$ ), and  $U_0, U_1$ .

We recall the  $p$ -adic properties of Galois representation arising from Siegel modular eigenforms. The following theorem has been proved by Laumon and Weissauer (see [Wei05] and [Lau05]).

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\pi$  be a Siegel modular eigenform of central character  $\omega_\pi$  of level  $\Gamma(N)$  and of cohomological weight  $k = (l_1, l_2)$  with corresponding Hecke character  $\lambda_\pi : \mathcal{H}_N \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^*$ . Then there exist a  $p$ -adic field  $L_\pi$  finite over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and a continuous representation  $\rho_\pi : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_4(L_\pi)$  unramified outside  $Np$  and such that for all  $\ell \nmid Np$ ,*

$$\det(X \cdot \text{Id} - \rho_\pi(\text{Frob}_\ell)) = P_{\pi, \ell}(X),$$

where  $P_{\pi, \ell}(X)$  is the Hecke-Andrianov polynomial at  $\ell$  attached to  $\pi$ . Moreover, we have the symplectic relation :

$$(2) \quad \rho_\pi^\vee \simeq \rho_\pi \otimes \chi_\pi^{-1},$$

and  $\det \rho_\pi = \chi_\pi^2$ . Moreover, we have also the following relation between the similitude character  $\chi_\pi$  and the central character:

$$\omega_\pi \epsilon_p^{3-l_1-l_2} = \chi_\pi.$$

We have also the following properties at  $p$  of  $\rho_\pi$  following from the works of Chai-Faltings, Laumon, Taylor, Urban and Weissauer (see [Lau05], [Urb05], [Tay93] [Wei05] and [FC90]).

**Theorem 2.4.** *Under the notations of the above theorem we have :*

- (i) The Galois representation  $\rho_\pi$  is of Hodge-Tate (even de Rham<sup>5</sup>) and their Hodge-Tate weights are  $\{0, l_2 - 2, l_1 - 1, l_1 + l_2 - 3\}$ .
- (ii) If  $\pi$  is old at  $p$ , then the  $p$ -adic representation  $\rho_\pi$  is crystalline at  $p$ , and the characteristic polynomial of  $\Phi$  acting on  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}(\rho_\pi)$  is the Hecke polynomial at  $p$ . The eigenvalues of the semi-linear Frobenius  $\Phi$  acting on  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}(\rho_\pi)$  are

$$\{\lambda_\pi(U_0), \lambda_\pi(U_1.U_0^{-1})p^{l_2-2}, \lambda_\pi(U_0.U_1^{-1})^{-1}p^{l_1-1}, \lambda_\pi(U_0)^{-1}p^{l_1+l_2-3}\}.$$

- (iii) Assume that  $\pi$  is semi-ordinary at  $p$  (i.e. of finite slope for  $\mathbb{U} = U_0U_1$  and  $U_0$  acts by a  $p$ -adic unit), then

$$(\rho_\pi)|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \phi_\pi & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \phi_\pi^{-1}\epsilon_p^{-l_1-l_2+3} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\phi_\pi : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$  is the unramified character having  $\lambda_\pi(U_0)$  as value at  $\text{Frob}_p$ .

One has the following remark for the distinctness of the Hodge-Tate weights of  $\rho_\pi$ .

*Remark 2.5.* It follows from Arthur's classification that  $\pi$  is weakly equivalent to a generic representation. Hence [Wei05, Thm.III] implies that the Hodge-Tate weights of  $\rho_\pi$  are distinct.

**Corollary 2.6.** *Assume that  $\pi$  is old at  $p$ , non endoscopic and cohomological. Let  $Z_\pi$  be the  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable line of  $(\rho_\pi)|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$  on which  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  acts by  $\phi_\pi$ , then the subspace  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable  $W_\pi$  of dimension 2 of the quotient of  $(\rho_\pi)|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$  by  $Z_\pi$  is crystalline with Hodge-Tate weight  $(l_1 - 1, l_2 - 2)$ . Moreover, the eigenvalues of the semi-linear Frobenius  $\Phi$  acting on  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}(W_\pi)$  are  $\lambda_\pi(U_1U_0^{-1})p^{l_2-2}$  and  $\lambda_\pi(U_0U_1^{-1})p^{l_1-1}$ .*

*Remark 2.7.* Note that the  $p$ -adic Galois representation attached to a cuspidal Siegel eigenform is not necessarily irreducible. Schmidt makes the consequences of Arthur's classification for  $\text{GSp}_4$  explicit in [Sch18]. All cuspidal automorphic representations are either of type (G), (Y), (B), (Q), or (P). The latter three are CAP representations, with type (P) for the Siegel parabolic being the Saito-Kurokawa type representations. Type (Y) representations are endoscopic representations ("of Yoshida type"). Type (G) representations are "stable" in the sense that their transfer to  $\text{GL}_4$  stays cuspidal, and therefore their Galois representations are expected to be irreducible.

---

<sup>5</sup>Chai and Faltings constructed a smooth toroidal compactification of the Siegel modular scheme and they obtained  $\rho_\pi$  from the etale cohomology of the toroidal compactification with coefficients in a local system given by algebraic representations.

### 2.3. Properties at $\ell \neq p$ of a $p$ -adic representation arising from a Siegel cusp form.

We have the following result on the local properties of  $\rho_\pi$  at the primes  $\ell \mid N$  (compare [SU06, Conj.3.1.7]) proved by [Mok14, Theorem 3.5] (local-global compatibility up to Frobenius semi-simplification) and [Sor10, Corollary 1] (monodromy rank 1). Mok [Mok14] used Arthur's classification for  $\mathrm{GSp}_4$ , whose proof was completed by Gee-Taibi in [GT18].

**Theorem 2.8.** *Under the notations of Theorem 2.3, and assuming that  $\pi$  is non-CAP and non-endoscopic and  $\pi^\Delta \neq 0$ , the rank of the monodromy operator of the Weil-Deligne representation attached to the Galois representation  $(\rho_\pi)_{|G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}}$  is at most one when  $\ell \mid N$ .*

### 3. NON EXISTENCE OF ENDOSCOPIC COMPONENTS OF $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$ SPECIALIZING TO $\pi_\alpha$

Let  $\mathcal{C}_N$  be the  $p$ -adic eigencurve of tame level  $N$  constructed using the Hecke operators  $U_p$  and  $T_\ell, \ell < N$  for  $\ell \nmid Np$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{C}_N$  is reduced and there exists a flat and locally finite morphism  $w : \mathcal{C}_N \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ , called the weight map, where  $\mathcal{V}$  is the rigid space over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  representing homomorphisms  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  (it is a disjoint union of open unit disks  $\mathrm{Spm} \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]][1/p]$ ). The eigencurve  $\mathcal{C}_N$  was introduced by Coleman-Mazur in the case where the tame level is one (see [CM98]), and by Buzzard and Chenevier for any tame level (see [Buz07] and [Che04] for more details).

Let  $\epsilon_p^{\kappa_1} : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{W})^\times$  (resp.  $\epsilon_p^{\kappa_2} : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{W})^\times$ ) be the universal character specializing to  $\epsilon_p^{k_1}$  (resp.  $\epsilon_p^{k_2}$ ) at  $\underline{k} = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \mathcal{W}$ . Note that the derivative of  $\epsilon_p^{\kappa_1}$  (resp.  $\epsilon_p^{\kappa_2}$ ) at 1 is the analytic function  $\kappa_1 \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{W})$  (resp.  $\kappa_2 \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{W})$ ) and the evaluation of  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$  at any point  $\underline{k} \in \mathcal{W}$  is  $(k_1, k_2)$ .

Coleman, Gouvea and Jochnowitz proved in [CGJ95] that the  $p$ -adic modular form

$$G_2(q) = \frac{\zeta(-1)}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma(n)q^n, \text{ where } \sigma(n) = \sum_{d|n} d$$

is not overconvergent, however the  $p$ -ordinary  $p$ -stabilization  $E_2^{ord_p}(q) = G_2(q) - p \cdot G_2(q^p)$  of  $G_2(q)$  is classical, hence the critical  $p$ -stabilization  $E_2^{\mathrm{crit}_p} = G_2(q) - G_2(q^p)$  of  $G_2(q)$  is not overconvergent. On the other hand, any ordinary  $\ell$ -stabilization  $E_2^{\mathrm{crit}_p, ord_\ell}$  of  $E_2^{\mathrm{crit}_p}$  is an overconvergent modular form of weight two and level  $\Gamma_0(\ell p)$ . Note that  $a_{\ell'}(E_2^{\mathrm{crit}_p, ord_\ell}) = 1 + \ell'$  where  $\ell' \nmid \ell, p$ , and  $a_\ell(E_2^{\mathrm{crit}_p, ord_\ell}) = 1$ ,  $a_p(E_2^{\mathrm{crit}_p, ord_\ell}) = p$ .

$E_2^{\mathrm{crit}_p, ord_\ell}$  is a cuspidal overconvergent form of tame level  $\Gamma_0(\ell)$  since each constant term of its  $q$ -expansion is trivial at each cusp of the multiplicative ordinary locus of the rigid curve attached to the semi-stable modular curve  $X_1(\Gamma_1(4\ell) \cap \Gamma_0(p))/\mathbb{Z}_p$  (these cusps are in the  $\Gamma_0(p)$ -orbit of the standard cusp  $\infty$ ).

The following proposition is a consequence of [SU06, Thm.3.3.10] and [SU06, 3.2.9].

**Proposition 3.1.** *Assume (Reg),  $k \geq 2$  and let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be an irreducible affinoid of  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  of dimension 2 specializing to  $x$  such that the pseudo-character  $\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{Z}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z})$  is reducible, then  $\mathcal{Z}$  is globally endoscopic. More precisely, there exist an integer  $M$  (a power of  $N$ ), an affinoid subdomain  $\mathcal{X} = \text{Spm } R$  of  $\mathcal{Z}$  containing  $x$ , an affinoid  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{C}_M$  specializing to  $f_\alpha$ , and an affinoid  $\mathcal{U}^1 \subset \mathcal{C}_M$  specializing to the system of Hecke eigenvalues of  $E_2^{\text{crit}_p}$  away from  $M$ , and a morphism  $j : \mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{E}_\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{U}^1$  such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{j=(j_1, j_2)} & \mathcal{U} \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{U}^1 \\ \downarrow \kappa & & \downarrow (w \times w) \\ \mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{(w_1, w_2)} & \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \end{array}$$

where  $(w_1, w_2)(k_1, k_2) = (k_1 \cdot k_2[-2], k_1 \cdot k_2^{-1} \cdot [2])$  and  $[n]$  means the character  $\epsilon_p^n$ . For any  $\lambda_x : \text{Spm } \mathbb{C}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ , we have

$$\lambda_x(P_\ell(X)) = (X^2 - a(\ell)(j_1(x))X + \ell^{-1}w_1(x)(\ell)\chi(\ell)) \times (X^2 - \epsilon_p^{\kappa_2(x)}(\ell)\ell^{-2}a(\ell)(j_2(x))X + \ell^{-5}\epsilon_p^{2\kappa_2(x)}w_2(x)(\ell)\chi(\ell)),$$

where  $P_\ell(X) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{X})[X]$  is the Hecke-Andrianov polynomial at  $\ell \nmid Np$  and  $\chi$  is the Dirichlet character attached to the central character of the family  $\mathcal{X}$ . Moreover, we have also  $U_0(x) = a(p)(j_1(x))$  and  $U_1(x) = a(p)(j_2(x)) \cdot a(p)(j_1(x))$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{Z}$  specializes to  $x$  and  $\rho_f$  is absolutely irreducible, a subconstituent of the pseudo-character  $\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{Z}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}, x}$  is a pseudo-character of dimension 2 whose reducibility locus is of dimension at most one. (One can rule out the existence of a 3-dimensional irreducible constituent by specializing at sufficiently regular classical weights and applying the argument from the proof of Case A(iii) in [SU06, Theorem 3.2.1].) Hence one can find a sufficiently small affinoid neighborhood  $\mathcal{X} = \text{Spm } R$  of  $x$  with an odd representation  $\varrho_1 : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(R)$  specializing to the 2-dimensional odd representation  $\rho_f$  and such that any classical specialization of  $\varrho_1$  is irreducible, and a representation  $\varrho_2 : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(R)$  specializing to  $\epsilon^{1-k} \oplus \epsilon^{2-k}$  with  $\text{Tr } \varrho_1 + \text{Tr } \varrho_2 = \text{Ps}_{\mathcal{X}}$ . Moreover, the  $p$ -regularity assumption on  $x$  (when  $k = 2$ ) and [SU06, Prop.3.3.6] yield (after shrinking again  $\mathcal{X}$  to a smaller affinoid which we denote again by  $\mathcal{X}$ ) that  $\varrho_1$  is ordinary at  $p$  (in the sense that  $\varrho_1^{I_p}$  is a direct summand in  $\varrho_1$  of rank 1). Hence, Theorem [SU06, 3.2.9] implies that any specialization of  $\mathcal{X}$  at a classical point  $z \in \mathcal{X}$  of a cohomological weight is CAP or endoscopic. Since the Krull dimension of  $\mathcal{X}$  is 2, then  $\mathcal{X}$  contains a Zariski dense set  $\Sigma$  of classical points of non parallel very regular weights (see Cor.B.4), and then the specialization of  $\mathcal{X}$  at these points can not be a CAP form (see [Urb01, Prop.3.3]) and hence necessarily endoscopic by Theorem [SU06, 3.2.9]. Thus  $\mathcal{X}$  has a Zariski dense set of classical endoscopic points and hence it is globally endoscopic.



Note that  $\mathcal{X}$  has a point with an algebraic weight all of whose Hodge-Tate weights are of multiplicity one (by remark 2.5) and classical points which are old at  $p$  are very Zariski dense in  $\mathcal{X}$  (see Cor.B.4). One may choose a dense set of classical points of  $\mathcal{X}$  old at  $p$ , sharing the same Dirichlet character associated to their central characters and endoscopic. Finally, we can now apply [SU06, Thm.3.3.10] to get the desired assertion.  $\square$

One has the following proposition which will be crucial to classify further the Galois representations attached to irreducible components of  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  specializing to  $x$ .

**Proposition 3.2.**

- (i) *Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be an irreducible component of the  $p$ -adic Eigencurve  $\mathcal{C}_N$  of tame level  $N$  specializing to the system of Hecke eigenvalues of  $E_2^{\text{crit}_p}$  away from  $N$  and  $\rho_{\mathcal{U}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(K_{\mathcal{U}})$  the Galois representation attached to  $\mathcal{U}$ , where  $K_{\mathcal{U}}$  is the field of fractions of some connected affinoid subdomain  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\mathcal{Y}$  containing  $E_2^{\text{crit}_p}$ , then  $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}$  is Steinberg at least one prime  $\ell \mid N$  (hence  $N \neq 1$ ).*
- (ii) *Assume that  $N \geq 2$  and that  $f$  is special at every  $\ell \mid N$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the Hida family specializing to  $f_\alpha$ , then the  $\mathbb{I}$ -adic Galois representation  $\rho_{\mathcal{F}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(Q(\mathbb{I}))$  attached to  $\mathcal{F}$  is Special at every  $\ell \mid N$ .*

*Proof.* 1) Let  $A := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y},y}$  be the local ring of  $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{C}_N$  at the point  $y$  corresponding to the system of Hecke eigenvalues of  $E_2^{\text{crit}_p}$  away from  $N$ . One has a pseudo-character

$$(3) \quad G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_N)$$

sending  $\text{Frob}_r$  to the Hecke operator  $T_r$ , where  $r \nmid Np$  is a prime number. The localization of the pseudo-character (3) at  $A$  gives rise to a pseudo-character

$$\text{Ps}_A : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow A$$

of dimension 2 and specializing to  $\epsilon_p^{-1} \oplus \mathbb{1}$  modulo the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y},y}$ . Moreover,  $\text{Ps}_A$  is the trace of a 2-dimensional irreducible Galois representation  $\rho_A : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(Q(A))$  (since  $\mathcal{Y}$  corresponds to a cuspidal Coleman family). Hence, we obtain from  $\rho_A$  a non-trivial cohomology class  $c_y$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \epsilon_p)$  (see [BC09, §.1.5]). The cohomology class  $c_y$  corresponds to an extension  $V = \mathbb{Q}_p^2$  of  $\epsilon_p^{-1}$  by  $\mathbb{1}$  unramified outside  $Np$ . It is known that for any classical point  $y'$  in  $\mathcal{C}_N$ , the semi-simple  $p$ -adic Galois representation  $\rho_{y'} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_{y'})$  of dimension 2 attached to the modular form corresponding to  $y'$  (i.e.  $\text{Tr } \rho_{y'}$  is the specialization of (3) at  $y'$ ) has a crystalline periods equal to  $U_p(y')$  (see [Kis03]) and it corresponds to its smaller Hodge-Tate weight which is zero (i.e.  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}(V_{y'})^{\Phi=U_p(y')} \neq 0$ ), hence by using the analytic continuation of the crystalline periods  $U_p$  on the Eigencurve  $\mathcal{C}_N$  (see [BC09, Thm.4.3.6]), one

has  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}(V)^{\Phi=U_p(y)} = \mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}(V)^{\Phi=p} \neq 0$  (note that  $U_p(y) = U_p(E_2^{\text{crit}_p}) = p$ ). Thus,  $c_y$  is crystalline extension of  $\epsilon_p^{-1}$  by  $\mathbb{1}$ , and it belongs to

$$H_f^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \epsilon_p) = \ker(H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \epsilon_p) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, \epsilon_p \otimes B_{\text{crys}})).$$

Let us proceed now by contradiction. Assume that  $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}$  is not Steinberg at any  $\ell \mid N$  (i.e the rank of the monodromy operator of the Weil-Deligne representation attached to  $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}$  by [BC09, Lemma 7.8.14] at any  $\ell$  is zero), hence  $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}$  is principal series or supercuspidal, which implies that for any  $\ell \mid N$ , the image of the inertia group  $I_{\ell}$  by  $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}$  is finite (we also have a natural inclusion  $K_{\mathcal{U}} \subset Q(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y},y})$ , and then semi-simple and reducible. Moreover,  $\epsilon_p^{-1} \oplus \mathbb{1}$  is trivial on  $I_{\ell}$  when  $\ell \nmid p$ , hence  $\rho_{\mathcal{U}}$  is unramified outside  $p$ .

Thus, the extension  $c_y$  is not Steinberg at any  $\ell \mid N$  (hence unramified outside  $p$ ) and it belongs necessarily to  $H_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \epsilon_p)$  which is trivial (the Kummer map provides an isomorphism  $H_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \epsilon_p) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ ). Thus, the cohomology class  $c_y$  is trivial, contradicting the fact that  $\rho_{\mathcal{Y}}$  is absolutely irreducible.

ii) It follows from the semi-continuity of the rank of the monodromy operator of the Weil-Deligne representation at any  $\ell \mid N$  of  $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}$  (see [BC09, Prop.7.18]) and the fact that  $\rho_f$  is special at any  $\ell$ .

□

Using results of Roberts and Schmidt we can show, in fact, that no endoscopic irreducible components  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\Delta}$  as in Proposition 3.1 exist:

**Theorem 3.3.**

*Assume (Reg) and  $k \geq 2$ , then any irreducible affinoid  $\mathcal{Z}$  of  $\mathcal{E}_{\Delta}$  of dimension two containing  $x$  is stable.*

*Proof.* First consider the case that  $N = 1$ . Assume  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\Delta} = \mathcal{E}$  is not stable. Then the Pseudo-character  $\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{Z}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z})$  is reducible.

Hence, after shrinking  $\mathcal{Z}$  to a smaller affinoid subdomain  $\Omega = \text{Spm } R$  containing  $x$ , Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 yield that the pseudo-character  $\text{Ps}_R : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z}) \rightarrow R$  is reducible and  $\Omega$  must be globally endoscopic and it is the Yoshida lift of the irreducible components  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{C}_M$  passing through  $f_{\alpha}$  and  $\mathcal{U}^1 \subset \mathcal{Y}$  of  $\mathcal{C}_M$  specializing to the system of Hecke eigenvalues of  $E_2^{\text{crit}_p}$ . It follows from Proposition 3.2 that the  $p$ -adic representation attached to the  $p$ -adic family  $\mathcal{Y}$  should be ramified at some prime  $\ell_0 \neq p$ , and yielding a contradiction since  $\mathcal{Z}$  is of tame level 1.

For  $N > 1$  we argue as follows: Again assume that  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\Delta}$  is not stable. Then there exists an affinoid subdomain  $\Omega = \text{Spm } R$  of  $\mathcal{Z}$  containing  $x$  such that the Pseudo-character  $\text{Ps}_R : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z}) \rightarrow R$  is reducible. Hence, Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 yield that  $\Omega$  must be

globally endoscopic and contains a point with non-parallel classical weight  $(l_1, l_2)$  specializing to a Yoshida lift of classical eigencuspforms of tame level  $\Gamma_0(N)$  and weight  $l_1 + l_2 - 2$  and  $l_1 - l_2 + 2 > 2$ , respectively, and such that both are Steinberg at  $\ell_0$ . In fact, no such Yoshida lift (of tame level the paramodular group  $\Delta$ ) exists, as we can see by considering the local representations of the corresponding automorphic representations: By Proposition 3.2(i) there exists  $\ell_0 \mid N$  such that both the corresponding local representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell_0})$  are Steinberg or twisted Steinberg by a non-trivial unramified quadratic character, depending on their Atkin-Lehner eigenvalue at  $\ell_0$ . By the following result of Roberts and Schmidt their Yoshida lift corresponds to a local representation of  $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q})$  which has no paramodular fixed vector under the paramodular subgroup  $\Delta_\ell$ .

□

*Remark 3.4.* When  $N = 1$ , Skinner-Urban used in [SU02] a simpler argument to obtain a contradiction and their argument is based on the fact that  $E_2^{\mathrm{crit}_p}$  is a  $p$ -adic modular form but not overconvergent, and so  $\mathcal{Y}$  can not specialize to it (since the specializations of  $\mathcal{Y}$  are overconvergent).

**Proposition 3.5** (Roberts-Schmidt). *Let  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  be either a Steinberg representation  $\mathrm{St}$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  (or Steinberg representation twisted by unramified quadratic character). Via the endoscopic embedding these define a local packet for  $\mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  with two elements, neither of which has fixed vectors under the paramodular subgroup  $\Delta_\ell$ .*

*Proof.* By table (16) in [SS13] the local packets are either  $\{\mathrm{Va}, \mathrm{Va}^*\}$  or  $\{\mathrm{VIa}, \mathrm{VIb}\}$ . By [RS07] Theorem 3.4.3 and Table A.15 none of these have fixed vectors under  $\Delta_\ell$ . □

#### 4. THE GMA $S$ AND ORDINARITY OF $S$ -EXTENSIONS OCCURRING IN $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1))$

Recall that Theorem 3.3 implies that all irreducible components of  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  passing through  $x$  are stable, and that  $\mathcal{T}$ , the local ring of  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  at  $x$ , is reduced and equidimensional of dimension 2 since  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  is reduced and equidimensional of dimension 2. Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $L$  be the residue field of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

Let  $A$  be a reduced Noetherian ring. Recall that the total fraction ring of  $A$  is the fraction ring  $Q(A) := \mathcal{S}^{-1}A$  where  $\mathcal{S} \subset A$  is the multiplicative subset of nonzerodivisors of  $A$ . We check at once that the natural map  $A \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{-1}A$  is injective and flat, and that the non-zerodivisors of  $A$  are invertible in  $\mathcal{S}^{-1}A$ . Moreover, since  $A$  is Noetherian the zero divisors of  $A$  are the elements of the union of the (finitely many) minimal prime ideal of  $A$ , so  $\mathcal{S}^{-1}A = \prod_{\mathcal{P}_i} A_{\mathcal{P}_i}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}_i$  runs over the minimal prime ideals of  $A$ . Moreover, each  $A_{\mathcal{P}_i}$  is a field, since it is reduced, local and of Krull dimension equal to zero. Let  $K = \prod K_i$  be the total field of

fractions of the reduced equidimensional ring  $\mathcal{T}$ , where  $K_i$  is the localisation of  $\mathcal{T}$  at a minimal prime ideal.

**Definition 4.1** (Definition/Proposition). The pseudo-character<sup>6</sup>

$$\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{T}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_{\Delta}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$$

is residually multiplicity free and the corresponding Cayley-Hamilton faithful algebra

$$S := \mathcal{T}[G_{\mathbb{Q}}]/\ker \text{Ps}_{\mathcal{T}}$$

can by [BC09, Thm.1.4.4(i)] be equipped with the structure of a GMA (in the sense of [BC09, Defn. 1.3.1]). It is of finite type and torsion-free as  $\mathcal{T}$ -module. Since  $\mathcal{T}$  is reduced we further have an associated Galois representation  $\rho_K : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_4(K)$  by [BC09, Thm.1.4.4(ii)]. Note that  $\rho_K : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_4(K)$  is absolutely irreducible, since all the minimal prime ideals of  $\mathcal{T}$  correspond to stable irreducible components of  $\mathcal{E}_{\Delta}$  passing through  $x$  (so each Galois representation  $\rho_{K_i} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_4(K_i)$  is irreducible).

Assume until the end of this paper that  $\alpha \neq 1$  when  $k = 2$  (which we will refer to as “ $p$ -adic regularity”). Recall that  $\varrho = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_p^{2-k} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon_p^{1-k} \end{pmatrix}$  is the Galois representation attached to  $\pi_{\alpha}$  in a basis such that  $\varrho(\tau) \sim \begin{pmatrix} \mu & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}$ , where the eigenvalues of  $\tau \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  are all distinct

(since  $\alpha \neq 1$  when  $k = 2$ ) (necessarily in this basis  $\varrho(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}) \sim \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_p^{2-k} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \psi & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \psi^{-1}\epsilon_p^{3-2k} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon_p^{1-k} \end{pmatrix}$ ).

*Remark 4.2.* Note that the character  $\phi_{\pi_{\alpha}}$  of Theorem 2.4(iii) equals  $\psi$  since  $U_p(f_{\alpha}) = \alpha \cdot f_{\alpha}$  and  $U_0(\pi_{\alpha}) = \alpha \cdot \pi_{\alpha}$ .

Since all reducible components of  $\varrho$  have multiplicity one, [BC09, Thm.1.4.4] implies that there exist orthogonal idempotents  $\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_3$  of  $S = \text{Im}(\mathcal{T}[G_{\mathbb{Q}}] \rightarrow M_4(K))$  lifting the idempotents  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  of  $\varrho$ , and corresponding respectively to  $\epsilon_p^{2-k}, \rho_f, \epsilon_p^{1-k}$ . Moreover, we can see  $S$  as

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{T} & M_{1,2}(\mathcal{T}_{1,2}) & \mathcal{T}_{1,3} \\ M_{2,1}(\mathcal{T}_{2,1}) & M_2(\mathcal{T}) & M_{2,1}(\mathcal{T}_{2,3}) \\ \mathcal{T}_{3,1} & M_{1,2}(\mathcal{T}_{3,2}) & \mathcal{T} \end{pmatrix},$$

<sup>6</sup>The pseudo-character  $\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{T}}$  is obtained by composing  $\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{E}_{\Delta}}$  with the localization map  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_{\Delta}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ .

where  $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}$  are fractional ideals of  $K$  ( $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}$  are finite type  $\mathcal{T}$ -modules).

Put  $\rho_1 = \epsilon_p^{2-k}$ ,  $\rho_2 = \rho_f$  and  $\rho_3 = \epsilon_p^{1-k}$ . We recall Bellaïche and Chenevier's definition of reducibility ideals:

**Definition 4.3** ([BC09] Definition 1.5.2, Proposition 1.5.1). Let  $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_s)$  be a partition of the set  $\mathcal{I} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . The ideal of reducibility  $I^{\mathcal{P}}$  (associated to  $\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{T}}$  and the partition  $\mathcal{P}$ ) is the smallest ideal  $I$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  with the property that there exist pseudocharacters  $T_1, \dots, T_s : \mathcal{T}/I[G_{\mathbb{Q}}] \rightarrow \mathcal{T}/I$  such that

- (i)  $\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{T}} \otimes \mathcal{T}/I = \sum_{l=1}^s T_l$ ,
- (ii) for each  $l \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ ,  $T_l \otimes L = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{P}_l} \text{trace} \rho_i$ .

**Proposition 4.4** ([BC09] Proposition 1.5.1, [BK17] Corollary 6.5). *One has*

$$I^{\mathcal{P}} = \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \\ i, j \text{ not in the same } \mathcal{P}_l}} \mathcal{T}_{i,j} \mathcal{T}_{j,i}.$$

For  $\mathcal{P} = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}\}$  we write

$$\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}} := \mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{T}_{3,1} \mathcal{T}_{1,3} + \mathcal{T}_{2,3} \mathcal{T}_{3,2} + \mathcal{T}_{1,2} \mathcal{T}_{2,1}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{T}'_{i,j} = \mathcal{T}_{i,k} \mathcal{T}_{k,j}$  for  $i, j, k$  distinct. Since the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  contains the total reducibility ideal  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}$  [BC09, Theorem 1.5.5] implies that for  $i \neq j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  there exists an injective homomorphism of  $L$ -modules

$$(4) \quad \text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{i,j}/\mathcal{T}'_{i,j}, L) \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_{N_p}, \rho_i \otimes \rho_j^{\vee} \otimes L).$$

**Theorem 4.5.** *Assume that  $\alpha \neq 1$  when  $k = 2$ . For  $(i, j) = (1, 2)$  the injective homomorphism of  $L$ -modules of (4) gives rise to*

$$(5) \quad \text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{1,2}/\mathcal{T}'_{1,2}, L) \hookrightarrow H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1))$$

*Proof.* The proof of [BC09, Theorem 1.5.5] tells us that the homomorphism (4) is given by

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{1,2}/\mathcal{T}'_{1,2}, L) &\hookrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)) \\ h &\mapsto (g \rightarrow h(\bar{b}_1(g), \bar{b}_2(g)) \rho_f^{-1}(g)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $(\bar{b}_1(g), \bar{b}_2(g))$  is the class of  $t_{1,2}(g) = (b_1(g), b_2(g)) \in M_{1,2}(\mathcal{T}_{1,2})$  in  $M_{1,2}(\mathcal{T}_{1,2}/\mathcal{T}'_{1,2})$ . The classical points old at  $p$  and of regular weights form a very Zariski dense set  $\Sigma$  in every irreducible component of  $\mathcal{E}_{\Delta}$  specializing to  $x$  (see Lemma B.2 and [SU06, Prop.3.3.6]). By Theorem 2.4, the set of Hodge-Tate-Sen weights of the semi-simple representation  $\rho_y$  attached to any point  $y \in \mathcal{E}_{\Delta}$  corresponding to a classical cuspidal Siegel eigenform old at  $p$  of weight  $(l_1, l_2)$  is  $\{0, l_2 - 2, l_1 - 1, l_1 + l_2 - 3\}$  and  $\rho_y$  is crystalline at  $p$ .

On the other hand, for any  $y \in \Sigma$ , let us denote by  $\rho_y : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_4(L_y)$  the semi-simple  $p$ -adic Galois representation attached to the Siegel eigenform corresponding to  $y$  (i.e.  $\mathrm{Tr} \rho_y$  is the specialization of the universal pseudo-character  $\mathrm{Ps}_{\mathcal{E}_{\Delta}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_{\Delta})$  at  $y$ ). Theorem 2.4 implies that  $\dim \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{crys}}^+(\rho_y)^{\Phi=U_0(y)} = 1$ , and then  $(\mathrm{Ps}_{\mathcal{E}_{\Delta}}, \Sigma, U_0, \{\kappa_i\})$  is a weakly refined family (in the sense of [BC09, def.4.2.7]) since  $U_0 \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_{\Delta})^{\times}$ . Note also that condition (\*) of [BC09, Def.4.2.7] is satisfied since we have a torsion free morphism  $\kappa : \mathcal{E}_{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ ; condition (v) of [BC09, Def.4.2.7] is satisfied by Lemma A.6, Lemma B.2 and Corollary B.4 (so the classical points of  $\mathcal{E}_{\Delta}$  which are old at  $p$  accumulate to  $x$ ).

Moreover,  $\dim \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{crys}}^+(\varrho)^{\Phi=\alpha=U_0(\pi_{\alpha})} = 1$  by regularity assumption on  $\varrho$  at  $p$ . Hence, [BC09, Thm.4.3.6] implies that any  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -representation  $V$  corresponding to a cohomology class in the image of the morphism (6) satisfies

$$\dim \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{crys}}^+(V)^{\Phi=\alpha} = 1.$$

We use this to first prove that  $V$  is crystalline at  $p$ . One can see  $V$  as the following  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}$ -extension:

$$0 \rightarrow \epsilon_p^{2-k} \rightarrow V \rightarrow \rho_f \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $\tilde{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_p^{2-k} & * \\ 0 & \rho_f \end{pmatrix}$  be the realization of  $V$  by a matrix. The restriction to  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  of  $\tilde{\rho}$  has the form  $\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_p^{2-k} & b & c \\ 0 & \psi & * \\ 0 & 0 & \psi^{-1}\epsilon_p^{3-2k} \end{pmatrix}$ . Hence, we have an extension of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_p^{2-k} & b \\ 0 & \psi \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \tilde{\rho}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \rightarrow \psi^{-1}\epsilon_p^{3-2k} \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $V^0 \subset V$  be the  $L$ -vector space of dimension 2 on which  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  acts by  $\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_p^{2-k} & b \\ 0 & \psi \end{pmatrix}$ . By applying the left exact functor  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{crys}}^+(\cdot)^{\Phi=\alpha}$  to the above exact sequence, we obtain

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{crys}}^+(V^0)^{\Phi=\alpha} \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{crys}}^+(\tilde{\rho}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}})^{\Phi=\alpha}.$$

Since  $\dim \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{crys}}(V)^{\Phi=\alpha} = 1$ , we get  $\dim \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{crys}}^+(V^0)^{\Phi=\alpha} = 1$ . Hence,  $V_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_p^{2-k} & b \\ 0 & \psi \end{pmatrix}$  is crystalline at  $p$  which implies that the cohomology class of  $b$  in  $\mathrm{Ext}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}^1(\psi, \epsilon_p^{2-k})$  is trivial

(i.e.  $\tilde{\rho}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_p^{2-k} & 0 & c \\ 0 & \psi & * \\ 0 & 0 & \psi^{-1}\epsilon_p^{3-2k} \end{pmatrix}$ ). Thus,  $\tilde{\rho}$  is ordinary in the sense of Fontaine and Perrin-Riou [PR94] and then semi-stable (hence de Rham) at  $p$ . Therefore the extension  $V$  gives a cohomology class in

$$H_g^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \rho_f(k-1)) = \ker(H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, \rho_f(k-1) \otimes B_{\text{dR}})).$$

Since  $H_g^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \rho_f(k-1)) \simeq H_f^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \rho_f(k-1))$  (see e.g. [SU06, Lemme 4.1.3]) we deduce that  $V$  is crystalline at  $p$ .

Finally, the restriction of the map

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)) \rightarrow H^1(I_\ell, \rho_f(k-1)),$$

when  $\ell \mid N$  is trivial, since it factors through the restriction

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \rho_f(k-1)),$$

and the cohomology group  $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \rho_f(k-1))$  is trivial thanks to Proposition 2.1.  $\square$

#### 4.1. Symplectic relation and the the anti-involution $\tau$ on $S$ . Recall that

$$(7) \quad \text{Ps}_{\mathcal{E}_\Delta} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_\Delta)$$

are pseudo characters of dimension 4 and since the classical points of  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  are Zariski dense, the relation (2) implies that the pseudo-character  $\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{T}}$  is invariant under the anti-involution

$$\tau : \mathcal{T}[G_{\mathbb{Q}}] \rightarrow \mathcal{T}[G_{\mathbb{Q}}] \text{ sending } g \rightarrow \chi_x \cdot g^{-1},$$

where  $\chi_x$  is a character  $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U})^\times$  interpolating the similitude character of the  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -semi-simple representations whose trace correspond to the classical specializations of the pseudo-character  $\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{U}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_\Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U})$  for an enough small affinoid neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $x$ . More precisely,  $\chi_x$  is equal to  $\omega_{\mathcal{U}} \cdot \epsilon_p^{-\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 + 3}$ , where  $\omega_{\mathcal{U}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U})^\times$  is the character interpolating the central character of the classical specializations of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Hence  $\tau$  yields an anti automorphism on  $S$  given by  $\rho_K \circ \tau$  and it follows from [BC09, Lemma.1.8.3] that we can choose our idempotent  $\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_3$  of  $S$  lifting the idempotents  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  attached respectively to  $\epsilon_p^{2-k}, \rho_f, \epsilon_p^{1-k}$ , and such that  $\tilde{e}_{\tau(1)} = \tilde{e}_3$  ( $\tau$  preserves the idempotent corresponding to  $\rho_f$ , and switches the idempotents corresponding to  $\epsilon_p^{1-k}, \epsilon_p^{2-k}$ ).

By (4) there exists an injection

$$(8) \quad \text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{2,3}/\mathcal{T}'_{2,3}, L) \hookrightarrow \text{Ext}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}}^1(\epsilon_p^{1-k}, \rho_f) \simeq H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)).$$

Proposition [BC09, Prop.1.8.6] yields immediately the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.6.** *The image of (8) lands in  $H_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1))$  and has dimension equal to the dimension of the image of the morphism (5).*

### 5. CRYSTALLINITY OF THE $S$ -EXTENSIONS OCCURRING IN $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \epsilon_p)$

In this section we show using the analytic continuation of the crystalline periods in a family of  $p$ -adic  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -representations of generic rank 3 interpolating  $\{\rho_z/\rho_z^I, z \in \mathcal{E}_{\Delta}^{U_0|_p=1}\}$  the crystallinity of the  $S$ -extensions occurring in  $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \epsilon_p)$ . Assume in this section **(Reg)** and that  $k \geq 2$ .

By (4) we have a natural injection

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{1,3}/\mathcal{T}'_{1,3}, L) &\hookrightarrow \text{Ext}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{Np}}^1(\epsilon_p^{1-k}, \epsilon_p^{2-k}) \simeq H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{Np}, \epsilon_p) \\ h &\mapsto (g \rightarrow \frac{h(\bar{t}_{1,3}(g))}{\epsilon_p^{1-k}(g)}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{t}_{1,3}(g)$  is the class of  $t_{1,3}(g) \in \mathcal{T}_{1,3}$  in  $\mathcal{T}_{1,3}/\mathcal{T}'_{1,3}$ .

Now we have to determine the exact image of the injective morphism (9). In [BC09, §1.5.4], Bellaïche-Chenevier introduce a left ideal  $M_3 = S.E_3$  of  $S \subset M_4(K)$  which is the third column of the GMA matrix  $S$  and hence it is a projective left  $S$ -module (see [BC09, 1.3.3] for the definition of  $E_3$ ), and they proved in [BC09, Thm.1.5.6] and [BC09, Lemma.4.3.9] the following results:

(i) There exists an exact sequence of  $S$ -left modules

$$(10) \quad 0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow M_3/\mathfrak{m}M_3 \rightarrow \epsilon_p^{1-k} \rightarrow 0$$

(ii) Any simple  $S$ -subquotient of  $E$  occurs in the set  $\{\rho_f, \epsilon_p^{2-k}\}$  (in particular it is not isomorphic to  $\epsilon_p^{1-k}$ ).

(iii) The image of the morphism (9) consists of extensions occurring as quotient of the  $S/\mathfrak{m}S$ -module  $M_3/\mathfrak{m}M_3 \oplus \epsilon_p^{2-k}$  by an  $S$ -submodule  $\mathcal{Q}$  such that the  $S$ -simple subquotient of  $\mathcal{Q}$  occurs in  $\{\rho_f, \epsilon_p^{2-k}\}$  (in particular it is not isomorphic to  $\epsilon_p^{1-k}$ ).

We will need the following additional property:

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $S_p$  be the subring generated by the image of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  in  $S$ . Then the  $S_p$ -simple subquotients of  $\mathcal{Q}$  occur in  $\{\epsilon_p^{2-k}, \psi, \psi^{-1}\epsilon_p^{3-2k}\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\text{Ps}_p$  be the restriction of  $\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{T}}$  to  $S_p$ . By [BC09, Lemma 1.2.7] we have  $S/\text{rad}(S) \cong \overline{S}/\ker \overline{\text{Ps}}$  and  $S_p/\text{rad}(S_p) \cong \overline{S}_p/\ker \overline{\text{Ps}}_p$ , hence  $\text{rad}(S) \cap S_p \subset \text{rad}(S_p)$ , and we obtain a morphism  $S_p/\text{rad}(S) \cap S_p \rightarrow S_p/\text{rad}(S_p) = \overline{S}_p/\ker \overline{\text{Ps}}_p \cong \prod_{i=1}^4 \text{End}_L(\rho_i)$ , where  $\rho_i \in \{\epsilon_p^{2-k}, \psi, \psi^{-1}\epsilon_p^{2k-3}, \epsilon_p^{1-k}\}$ . In particular, one can see that all  $\{\epsilon_p^{2-k}, \psi, \psi^{-1}\epsilon_p^{2k-3}, \epsilon_p^{1-k}\}$  are simple  $S_p$ -modules. Now, we



claim that any simple  $S_p$ -representation occurs in  $\{\epsilon_p^{2-k}, \psi, \psi^{-1}\epsilon_p^{2k-3}, \epsilon_p^{1-k}\}$ , and it follows immediately from the injection  $S_p/\text{rad}(S) \cap S_p \hookrightarrow S/\text{rad}(S) \simeq \overline{S}/\ker \overline{P_S} \cong \prod_{i=1}^3 \text{End}_L(\rho_i)$  whose image is  $\prod_{i=1}^3 \text{End}_L((\rho_i)|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}})$  (so  $S_p/\text{rad}(S_p)$  is a semi-simple quotient of  $\prod_{i=1}^3 \text{End}_L((\rho_i)|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}})$ ).

The rest of the lemma follows from the fact (see (10)(iii)) that the  $S$ -module  $\mathcal{Q}$  has a Jordan-Holder sequence, all subquotients of which are isomorphic to either  $\rho_f$  or  $\epsilon_p^{2-k}$ , and it has a refinement as  $S_p$ -module for which the  $S_p$ -simple subquotients occur in  $\{\epsilon_p^{2-k}, \psi, \psi^{-1}\epsilon_p^{2k-3}\}$ .  $\square$

We recall that a torsion-free  $A$ -module is a module over a ring  $A$  such that 0 is the only element annihilated by a regular element (i.e non-zero-divisor of  $A$ ) of the ring. A coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  over a rigid analytic space  $X$  is a sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{rig}}$ -modules such that there exists an admissible covering of  $X$  by affinoid subdomains  $\{U_i = \text{Spm } R_i\}$  of  $X$  for which the restriction  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i}$  is associated to  $\tilde{M}_i$  and  $M_i$  is a finite type  $R_i$ -module.

The sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be torsion-free if all those modules  $M_i$  are torsion-free over their respective rings. Alternatively,  $\mathcal{F}$  is torsion-free if and only if it has no local torsion sections.

**Lemma 5.2.**

- (i) One has  $M_3 \subset K^4$  and  $M_3.K = K^4$ . Moreover,  $M_3$  is a  $\mathcal{T}$ -torsion-free lattice of the representation  $\rho_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_4(K)$ .
- (ii) The natural morphism  $M_3 \rightarrow M_3 \otimes_{\mathcal{T}} K$  is injective and the natural morphism

$$M_3 \otimes_{\mathcal{T}} K \rightarrow M_3.K$$

is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* i) Note that the finite type  $\mathcal{T}$ -module  $M_3$  corresponds to the third column of the GMA matrix  $S \subset M_4(K)$ , hence  $M_3 \subset K^4$ . Since  $\rho_K : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow S^{\times} \subset \text{GL}_4(K)$  is irreducible, then  $M_3.K$  is necessarily of rank 4 over  $K$ .

ii) Recall that  $M_3 \otimes_{\mathcal{T}} K = M_3 \otimes_{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{S}^{-1}\mathcal{T}$ , where  $\mathcal{S}$  is the set of non-zero divisors of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Hence,  $M_3 \otimes_{\mathcal{T}} K = \mathcal{S}^{-1}M_3$  and the injection follows from the fact that  $M_3$  is torsion-free. Moreover, to see that the natural surjection  $M_3 \otimes_{\mathcal{T}} K \twoheadrightarrow M_3.K = K^4$  is an isomorphism, comparing the ranks is sufficient, and it is enough to see that  $M_3 \otimes_{\mathcal{T}} K$  contains  $M_3.K$  (which is obvious from the inclusion  $M_3 \rightarrow M_3 \otimes_{\mathcal{T}} K$ ).  $\square$

**Theorem 5.3.** *The image of the injective morphism of  $L$ -modules*

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{1,3}/\mathcal{T}'_{1,3}, L) \hookrightarrow \text{Ext}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{N_p}}^1(\epsilon_p^{1-k}, \epsilon_p^{2-k}) \simeq \text{H}^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{N_p}, \epsilon_p)$$

lands in  $\text{H}_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \epsilon_p)$ .

*Proof.* To simplify notation, let  $M$  denote the finite type  $S$ -module  $M_3$ . We recall that  $M$  is a torsion-free finite type  $\mathcal{T}$ -module, because  $S$  is of finite type over  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $M \subset S \subset M_4(K)$ . According to [BC09, Lemma.4.3.7], there exists an open affinoid neighborhood  $\mathcal{U} = \text{Spm } A$  of  $x$  inside  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  such that we can extend  $M$  to an analytic torsion-free coherent sheaf  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  over  $\mathcal{U}$  ( $\mathcal{M}$  is the  $A$ -module associated to  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ ) and such that:

- (i)  $Q(A) \otimes \mathcal{M} = Q(A)^4$  (i.e the generic rank of  $\mathcal{M}$  is 4 <sup>7</sup>)
- (ii)  $\mathcal{M} \otimes_A \mathcal{T} = M$  (i.e the stalk of  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  at  $x$  is  $M$ ).
- (iii) The  $A$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  carries a continuous action of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  compatible with the action of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  on its localization  $M$  at  $x$ , and the generic representation  $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_4(Q(A))$  is semi-simple and its trace is just the trace given by  $\text{Ps}_A : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_\Delta) \rightarrow A$ .

On the other hand, by semi-ordinarity at  $p$ , the action of  $I_p$  on  $Q(A)^4$  stabilizes a line  $(Q(A)^4)^{I_p}$  on which  $\text{Frob}_p$  acts by  $U_0$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  be the subsheaf of  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  given by  $(Q(A)^4)^{I_p} \cap \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  (i.e the sections of  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  are the sections of  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  on which  $I_p$  acts trivially and  $\text{Frob}_p$  acts by  $U_0$ ). Moreover,  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  is the coherent sheaf associated to the  $A$ -submodule  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  given by the elements which are invariant under the actions of the inertia  $I_p$  and on which  $\text{Frob}_p$  acts by  $U_0$ .

Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}'_+$  be the quotient presheaf  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}/\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}'$  be the sheaf associated to the presheaf  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}'_+$ , and it is  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}$  since  $\mathcal{U}$  is an affinoid, and is endowed naturally with an action of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ .

Let  $M' := \mathcal{M}' \otimes_A \mathcal{T}$ . Since  $M_K := M \otimes_{\mathcal{T}} K = M.K = K^4$ , it is obvious that  $M'$  is a  $\mathcal{T}$ -submodule of  $K^4/(K^4)^{I_p}$ , where  $(K^4)^{I_p}$  means the  $I_p$ -invariant subspace on which  $\text{Frob}_p$  acts by  $U_0$ . Hence,  $M'$  is a finite type torsion-free  $\mathcal{T}$ -module of generic rank 3 over  $K$ , and the regularity assumption when  $k = 2$  yields that the  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -semi-simplification  $M' \otimes_{\mathcal{T}} L$  doesn't contain  $\psi$ .

Similarly, since  $Q(A) \subset K$  and  $(K^4)^{I_p} \cap \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}^{I_p}$ , we obtain that  $\mathcal{M}'$  injects into  $K^4/(K^4)^{I_p}$  and  $\mathcal{M}'$  is torsion-free over  $A$  and with generic rank equal to 3. Moreover, the regularity assumption yields that the  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -semi-simplification of its specialization at  $\pi_\alpha = x$  does not contain the character  $\psi|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ .

In fact, Corollary 2.6 implies the characteristic polynomial of the semi-linear Frobenius  $\Phi$  acting on the crystalline module of almost of the classical specializations  $y$  of  $\mathcal{M}'$  has no root equal to  $U_0(y)$ .

Let  $Z = V(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{U}$  be the Zariski closed set defined by the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  generated by the 4-th Fitting ideal  $\text{Fitt}_4$  of the  $A$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  and by the 3-rd Fitting ideal  $\text{Fitt}_3$  of the  $A$ -module  $\mathcal{M}'$ , then any point  $y$  lies in  $Z = V(\text{Fitt}_4)$  (resp.  $V(\text{Fitt}_3)$ ) if and only if  $\dim_{k(y)}(\mathcal{M}(y)) \geq 5$  (resp.  $\dim_{k(y)}(\mathcal{M}'(y)) \geq 4$ ), where  $\mathcal{M}(y)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}'(y)$ ) is the fiber of  $\mathcal{M}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}'$ ) at  $y$  and  $k(y)$  is the residue field at  $y$ .

<sup>7</sup>We have to choose  $\text{Spm } A$  small enough in the aim that it is connected and it contains no more irreducible components than  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{T}$ , to have a natural inclusion  $Q(A) \subset K$ .

Thus  $\mathcal{U} - V(\mathcal{I})$  is the biggest admissible open subset of  $\mathcal{U}$  on which  $\mathcal{M}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}'$ ) can be locally generated (on stalks) by 4 elements (resp. 3 elements). Moreover, since the coherent  $\mathcal{M}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}'$ ) is generically of rank 4 (resp. 3) and torsion-free then one can deduce that the coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{M}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}'$ ) is locally free of rank 4 (resp. 3) on the admissible open  $\mathcal{U} - Z = \mathcal{U}'$  ( $\mathcal{U}'$  does not necessarily contain  $x$ ). Thus, the direct summand  $\mathcal{M}'$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  is also locally free of rank 3 on  $\mathcal{U}'$ . Hence one can deduce that the Hodge-Tate weights of the specialization of  $\mathcal{M}'$  at classical points of  $\mathcal{U}'$  of weight  $l_1 > l_2 + 1$  and having crystalline representation (they form a very Zariski dense set) are  $l_2 - 2, l_1 - 1, l_1 + l_2 - 3$ ; and then  $l_2 - 2$  is the smallest Hodge-Tate weight (see Corollary 2.6).

In addition, if  $\mathcal{M}'(y)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}(y)$ ) denotes the specialization of  $\mathcal{M}'$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}$ ) at a very classical point  $y \in \mathcal{U}'$ . We can enlarging  $Z$  if it is necessary to have that for any  $y \in \mathcal{U}'$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(y)^{ss} = \mathcal{M}(y)$ . Now, if  $y \in \mathcal{U}'$  is a classical point of weight  $(l_1, l_2)$  and  $\rho_y$  is a crystalline representation at  $p$ , then the eigenvalues of the semi-linear Frobenius  $\Phi$  acting on  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}(\mathcal{M}'(y))$  are  $\lambda_y(U_1 U_0^{-1})p^{l_2-2}$ ,  $\lambda_y(U_0 U_1^{-1})p^{l_1-1}$  and  $\lambda_y(U_0^{-1})p^{l_2+l_1-3}$ , where  $\lambda_y : \text{Spm } L_y \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\Delta$  is the morphism corresponding to  $y$ . When  $y = x$ , we have  $\lambda_x(U_1 U_0^{-1}) = p$  ( $\underline{k} = (k, k)$  is the weight of  $\pi_\alpha$ ).

The exact sequence (10) (i.e.  $\epsilon_p^{1-k}$  occurs with multiplicity one in  $\mathcal{M}'/\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{M}'$ ), the regularity assumption (i.e.  $\alpha \neq 1$ ) of  $\varrho$  at  $p$  when  $k = 2$ , and the fact that  $\mathcal{M}' \otimes_A \mathcal{T} = M'$  (since  $\mathcal{M}^{I_p} \otimes_A \mathcal{T} = M^{I_p}$ ), yield that

$$(11) \quad \dim \mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^+(\mathcal{M}'(x)^{ss})^{\Phi=p^{k-1}} = \dim \mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^{\Phi=p^{k-1}}(\epsilon_p^{1-k}) = 1.$$

Hence, one has (after a twist by  $\epsilon^{k-2}$ )

$$(12) \quad \dim \mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^+(\mathcal{M}'(x)^{ss}(k-2))^{\Phi=p} = 1.$$

Since the set  $\Sigma$  of classical points of  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  of cohomological weights and old at  $p$  (i.e. having a crystalline representation) of  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  are very Zariski dense (see Cor.B.4), it follows from Lemma A.7 that  $\Sigma \cap \mathcal{U} - (\Sigma \cap Z)$  is Zariski dense in  $\mathcal{U}$ , and hence we obtain a refined family

$$(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{M}'), \Sigma \cap \mathcal{U} - (\Sigma \cap Z), \{\kappa_i\}, U_0/U_1 \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_\Delta)^\times)$$

of generic rank equal to 3 over  $K$ . Note also that condition (\*) of [BC09, Def.4.2.7] is satisfied since we have a torsion free morphism  $\kappa : \mathcal{E}_\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ ; the condition (v) of [BC09, Def.4.2.7] is satisfied by Lemma A.6, Lemma B.2 and Corollary B.4 (so  $\Sigma \cap \mathcal{U} - (\Sigma \cap Z)$  accumulate to  $x$ ).

Since  $\mathcal{M}' \otimes_A \mathcal{T} = M'$ , it follows from [BC09, Thm.3.4.1] that

$$\dim \mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^+(M'/\mathfrak{m}M'(k-2))^{\Phi=p} = 1.$$

Then

$$\dim \mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^+(M'/\mathfrak{m}M')^{\Phi=p^{k-1}} = 1.$$

Finally, by [BC09, Thm.1.5.6] any  $S/\mathfrak{m}S$ -extension  $V$  of  $\epsilon_p^{1-k}$  by  $\epsilon_p^{2-k}$  (i.e occurring in the image of the morphism (9)) is a quotient of  $M/\mathfrak{m}M \oplus \epsilon_p^{2-k}$  by an  $S$ -submodule  $\mathcal{Q}$  (see (iii) of (13)).

However, by the regularity assumption at  $p$  the non-trivial unramified character  $\psi|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$  does not occur in  $V|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \in \text{Ext}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}^1(\epsilon_p^{1-k}, \epsilon_p^{2-k})$ , which implies that  $V|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$  is a quotient of  $M'/\mathfrak{m}M' \oplus \epsilon_p^{2-k}$ . Thus we obtain a surjection of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -modules

$$(13) \quad M'/\mathfrak{m}M' \oplus \epsilon_p^{2-k} \xrightarrow{\pi'} V|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}},$$

with kernel isomorphic to a quotient of the  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -module  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

Since the semi simplification of  $M_3/\mathfrak{m}M_3$  is isomorphic to the representation

$$\rho_f^{n_1} \oplus (\epsilon_p^{2-k})^{n_2} \oplus \epsilon_p^{1-k}$$

by (10), the regularity assumption at  $p$  on  $\rho$  when  $k = 2$  (i.e.  $\alpha \neq 1$ ), and the fact that the  $S_p$ -simple subquotients of  $\mathcal{Q}$  do not equal  $\epsilon_p^{1-k}$  by Lemma 5.1 (they occur in  $\{\epsilon_p^{2-k}, \psi, \psi^{-1}\epsilon_p^{3-2k}\}$ ), one has

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^{\Phi=p^{k-1}}(\ker(\pi')) = 0.$$

Thus the surjective morphism (13) yields the following injection

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^{\Phi=p^{k-1}}(M'/\mathfrak{m}M') \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^{\Phi=p^{k-1}}(V),$$

and implies that  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^{\Phi=p^{k-1}}(V) \neq 0$  (since  $\dim \mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^{\Phi=p^{k-1}}(M'/\mathfrak{m}M') = 1$ ).

On the other hand, by applying the left exact functor  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^{\Phi=p^{k-1}}(\cdot)$  to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \epsilon_p^{2-k} \rightarrow V \rightarrow \epsilon_p^{1-k} \rightarrow 0,$$

and using the fact that  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^{\Phi=p^{k-1}}(\epsilon_p^{2-k}) = 0$  and  $\dim \mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^{\Phi=p^{k-1}}(\epsilon_p^{1-k}) = 1$ , we obtain that  $\dim \mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^{\Phi=p^{k-1}}(V) = 1$  (since it is non-zero by the above discussion). Hence the characteristic polynomial of  $\Phi$  has two roots  $\{p^{k-2}, p^{k-1}\}$  yielding that  $\dim \mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}(V) = 2$  and that  $V$  is crystalline, so  $V \otimes \epsilon_p^{k-2}$  is also crystalline at  $p$ .

It remains to proof that the image of the map

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{1,3}/\mathcal{T}'_{1,3}, L) \hookrightarrow H_f^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \epsilon_p)$$

consists of extensions which are unramified outside  $p$ . Let  $\ell$  denote a prime number dividing  $N$  (so prime to  $p$ ), note that any  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ -extension of  $\epsilon_p^{-1}$  by  $\mathbb{1}$  is trivial or its restriction to the inertia has the following form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and hence the monodromy operator of the Weil-Deligne representation attached to its 2-dimensional  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ -representation is of rank 1 (i.e a Steinberg type).

We know that the rank over  $L$  of the monodromy operator attached to the Weil-Deligne representation corresponding to  $(\rho_f)|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}}$  is one (since we assumed that  $\rho_f$  is a twisted Steinberg at every prime  $\ell \mid N$ ).

Recall that the  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{M}$  is locally free of rank 4 on the admissible open  $\mathcal{U} - Z = \mathcal{U}'$  and it admits a Weil-Deligne representation  $(r_{\mathcal{U}}, N_{\mathcal{U}})$  by [BC09, Lemma.7.8.14] at  $\ell$  (for which  $N_{\mathcal{U}} \in \text{End}_A(\mathcal{M})$ ). Since the rank of the monodromy operator of the Weil-Deligne representation attached to the specializations of  $(r_{\mathcal{U}}, N_{\mathcal{U}})$  at classical points of non-endoscopic, non-CAP points  $\mathcal{U}'$  is at most 1 by Theorem 2.8, [BC09, Prop.7.8.19(ii)] implies that the generic rank over  $K$  of the monodromy operator of the Weil-Deligne representation attached  $(r_{\mathcal{U}}, N_{\mathcal{U}})$  is also 1 (since it is non-trivial at  $x$ ). Therefore, the generic rank of the monodromy  $N_K = N_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes K$  operator of the Weil-Deligne representation attached to  $(\rho_K)|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}}$  is one.

Let  $S_\ell$  be the image of  $\mathcal{T}[G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}]$  inside  $S$ . Thanks to Proposition 2.1, one can apply [BC09, Lemma.8.2.11]<sup>8</sup> to  $\mathcal{P} = \{\epsilon_p^{1-k}, \epsilon_p^{2-k}\}$ , and we obtain that there exists idempotents  $(\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_3)$  of  $S$  lifting the idempotents attached respectively to  $\epsilon_p^{2-k}, \epsilon_p^{1-k}, \rho_f$  and such that  $\tilde{e} = \tilde{e}_1 + \tilde{e}_2$  is in the center of  $S_\ell$  (see [BC09, Lemma.8.2.12]), and hence  $S_\ell$  is block diagonal of type  $(2, 2)$  in  $S$ . Thus,

$$S_\ell/\mathfrak{m}S_\ell = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & \rho_f \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } S_\ell = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & M_{2,2}(\mathcal{T}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

By [BC09, Lemma.7.8.14] one can see  $N_K$  as element of  $S_\ell$ . By (10)(iii) it is enough to prove that  $\tilde{e}N_K \in \tilde{e}S_\ell$  is trivial for showing that the image of  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{1,3}/\mathcal{T}'_{1,3}, L) \hookrightarrow H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \epsilon_p)$  gives rise to classes unramified at  $\ell$ .

As an element of  $S_\ell$  we know that  $N_K = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \end{pmatrix}$  and it is of rank 1 as discussed

before. By [BC09, Prop.7.8.8] applied to  $(1 - \tilde{e})S_\ell(1 - \tilde{e})$  we further know that the rank of  $(1 - \tilde{e})N_K$  is one, using that  $\rho_f$  is a twisted Steinberg at  $\ell$  (the rank of the monodromy operator of  $WD_\ell(\rho_f)$  is one) and the surjection  $(1 - \tilde{e}).S/\mathfrak{m}S.(1 - \tilde{e}) \twoheadrightarrow \rho_f$ . Hence,  $\tilde{e}_i N_K = 0$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , which yields that  $\tilde{e}N_K = 0$ .

□

The proof of Theorem 5.3 yields the following corollary.

<sup>8</sup>The assumption that  $\pi_{f,\ell} \simeq \text{St} \otimes \xi$  is crucial to prove the vanishing of  $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}, \rho_f(k-2))$ .

**Corollary 5.4.** *There exists a representation  $\rho_{\mathcal{M}'} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{M}')$ , where  $\mathcal{M}'$  is a torsion-free coherent sheaf on an admissible open affinoid  $\mathcal{U} = \text{Spm } A \subset \mathcal{E}_{\Delta}$  containing  $x$  and  $\rho_{\mathcal{M}'}$  is of generic rank 3 over the total ring of fractions  $K$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that:*

- (i) *There exists a very Zariski dense set  $\Sigma' \subset \mathcal{U}$  such that the specialization of the representation  $\rho_{\mathcal{M}'}$  at any point  $z$  of  $\Sigma'$  gives rise to a crystalline  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -representation  $\rho'_z$  of dimension 3, with Hodge-Tate-Sen weights given by  $(\kappa_2 - 2, \kappa_1 - 1, \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 - 3)$ .*
- (ii) *The smallest Hodge-Tate weight of  $\rho'_z$  is  $\kappa_2(z) - 2$  and  $U_1/U_0 \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_{\Delta})^{\times}$  interpolates the crystalline period of the smallest Hodge-Tate weight. In other words, one has*

$$\dim \mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}(\rho'_z)^{\Phi=U_1/U_0(z)p^{\kappa_2(z)-2}} = 1.$$

- (iii) *Let  $M' := \mathcal{M}' \otimes_A \mathcal{T}$ , then for any cofinite ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  one has that*

$$l(\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^+(M'/\mathcal{J}M' \otimes (\epsilon_p^{\kappa_2-2}))^{\Phi=U_1/U_0}) = l(\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{J}).$$

- (iv) *The Sen operator of  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sen}}(M'/\mathcal{J}M')$  is annihilated by the Polynomial*

$$(T - (\kappa_2 - 2))(T - (\kappa_1 - 1))(T - (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 - 3)).$$

*Proof.* i) and ii) follows directly from the proof of Theorem 5.3 and [BC09, Thm.1.5.6]. Thus, it remains to show iii) and iv), which follows immediately from similar arguments to those already used to prove of Thm.5.3, [BC09, Thm.3.4.1] and [BC09, Lemma.4.3.3](i). □

## 6. CRYSTALLINITY OF THE $S$ -EXTENSIONS OCCURRING IN $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-2))$

By (4) we have a natural injection

$$(14) \quad \text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{3,2}/\mathcal{T}'_{3,2}, L) \hookrightarrow \text{Ext}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}}}^1(\rho_f, \epsilon_p^{1-k}) \simeq H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \rho_f(k-2)).$$

Now we have to determine the exact image of the injective morphism (14). As in section 5 we apply the results of [BC09, Thm.1.5.6] and [BC09, Lemma.4.3.9] for the left ideal  $M_2 = S.E_2$  of  $S$  given by the second column of the GMA matrix  $S$ :

- (i) There exists an exact sequence of  $S$ -left modules

$$(15) \quad 0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow M_2/\mathfrak{m}M_2 \rightarrow \rho_f \rightarrow 0$$

- (ii) Any simple  $S$ -subquotients of  $E'$  is not isomorphic to  $\rho_f$  and they occur in the set  $\{\epsilon_p^{1-k}, \epsilon_p^{2-k}\}$ .
- (iii) The image of the morphism (14) consists of extensions occurring as quotient of the  $S/\mathfrak{m}S$ -module  $M_2/\mathfrak{m}M_2 \oplus \epsilon_p^{1-k}$  by an  $S$ -submodule  $\mathcal{Q}'$  whose  $S$ -simple subquotients occur in the set  $\{\epsilon_p^{1-k}, \epsilon_p^{2-k}\}$ .

Since  $\rho_K$  is absolutely irreducible and  $M_2$  is a finite type torsion free  $\mathcal{T}$ -module we again have  $M_2.K = K^4$ .

**Theorem 6.1.** *Assume that  $\pi_{f,\ell} = \text{St} \otimes \xi$  for any  $\ell \mid N$ , and that  $\alpha \neq 1$  when  $k = 2$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}'_{3,2}$  be the  $\mathcal{T}$ -module  $\mathcal{T}_{3,1}\mathcal{T}_{1,2} \subset \mathcal{T}_{3,2}$ , then:*

(i) *There exists an injective homomorphism of  $L$ -modules*

$$(16) \quad \text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{3,2}/\mathcal{T}'_{3,2}, L) \hookrightarrow \ker(\text{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-2)) \rightarrow \text{H}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, \rho_f/\rho_f^{I_p}(k-2)) \oplus_{\ell^p} \text{H}^1(I_\ell, \rho_f(k-2))).$$

(ii) *Assume that  $k \geq 3$ , then*

$$(17) \quad \text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{3,2}/\mathcal{T}'_{3,2}, L) \hookrightarrow \text{H}_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-2)).$$

*Proof.*

i) By (15) we have a surjective morphism of  $S$ -modules  $\pi : M_2/\mathfrak{m}M_2 \rightarrow \rho_f$  whose kernel does not contain  $\rho_f$  and whose semi-simplification contains only  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -representations lying in the set  $\{\epsilon_p^{1-k}, \epsilon_p^{2-k}\}$ . Moreover, our assumptions yield that the irreducible constituents of the semi-simplification of  $\varrho|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$  are without multiplicity, hence  $M_2^{I_p} := \{x \in M_2, \forall g \in I_p, g.x = x \text{ and } \text{Frob}_p.x = U_0.x\}$  is not contained in  $\mathfrak{m}M_2$ . Let  $V \in \text{Ext}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}}}^1(\rho_f, \epsilon_p^{1-k}) = \text{H}^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \rho_f(k-2))$  be in the image of (17). By (15) (iii) we have an exact sequence of left  $S$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}' \rightarrow M_2/\mathfrak{m}M_2 \oplus \epsilon_p^{1-k} \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0.$$

Similar to Lemma 5.1 we can show that  $\mathcal{Q}'$  has no  $L[G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}]$ -simple subquotients equal to  $\psi$  or  $\psi^{-1}\epsilon_p^{2k-3}$ . This shows that the image of  $M_2^{I_p}$  in  $V$  is non-zero. It follows that

$$V^{I_p} \neq 0.$$

Moreover, since  $\text{Frob}_p$  acts on  $M_2^{I_p}$  by  $U_0$ , the action of  $\text{Frob}_p$  on  $V^{I_p}$  is given by  $\psi$ . If the realization of  $V$  is given by  $\tilde{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_p^{1-k} & * \\ 0 & \rho_f \end{pmatrix}$  then the restriction of  $\tilde{\rho}$  to  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_p^{1-k} & 0 & c \\ 0 & \psi & * \\ 0 & 0 & \psi^{-1}\epsilon_p^{3-2k} \end{pmatrix} \text{ since } V^{I_p} \neq 0. \text{ Finally, it remains to show that the extensions } V \text{ are}$$

unramified at every prime  $\ell \mid N$ , and this fact follows immediately from Proposition 2.1.

ii) The fact that  $k \geq 3$  implies that  $3 - 2k < 1 - k$  and hence  $\tilde{\rho}$  is ordinary in the sense of Fontaine and Perrin-Riou and hence de Rham at  $p$ . Therefore the extension  $V$  gives a cohomology class in  $\text{H}_g^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \rho_f(k-2))$  which is isomorphic to  $\text{H}_f^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \rho_f(k-2))$  by [SU06, Lemme 4.1.3].

□

*Remark 6.2.* For  $k = 2$  ordinarity/crystallinity of the extension would require us to prove additionally that  $\tilde{\rho}/\tilde{\rho}^{I_p} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{-1} & c \\ 0 & \psi^{-1}\epsilon^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$  is a trivial extension. This would follow, e.g. if one could prove that the generator of  $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \rho_f)$  (which is conjecture to be 1-dimensional by Jannsen, see e.g. has no line fixed by inertia at  $p$ ). See section 6.1 below for an alternative approach in this case.

Similarly to Corollary 4.6, [BC09, Prop.1.8.6] yields immediately the following corollary.

**Corollary 6.3.** *The image of the natural injective morphism of  $L$ -modules*

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{2,1}/\mathcal{T}_{2,3}\mathcal{T}_{3,1}, L) \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-2))$$

*is isomorphic to the image of (14) (which is described in Thm.6.1).*

**6.1. On the vanishing of the Greenberg's Selmer group attached to  $f_\alpha$ .** Assume in this subsection that  $k = 2$  and let

$$\mathrm{Sel}_{\mathbb{Q}, f_\alpha} = \ker(H^1(\mathbb{Q}_{Np}, \rho_f) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, \rho_f/\rho_f^{I_p}) \oplus_{\ell_p} H^1(I_\ell, \rho_f))$$

be the Greenberg-type Selmer group we used in Theorem 6.1(i) attached to the ordinary elliptic cuspform  $f_\alpha$ . In the literature, Greenberg's Selmer group is often defined using the representation  $\rho_f^\vee(-1)$  (arithmetic Frobenius convention). The  $p$ -adic representation  $\rho_f^\vee$  corresponds to the Tate module  $T_p(A_f)$  of the abelian variety  $A_f$ , and  $\rho_f$  is the Galois representation obtained from the  $p$ -adic étale cohomology of  $A_f$ . We remark also that for  $k = 2$  the condition at  $p$  is weaker than the "usual" condition for the ordinary representation  $\rho_f$  (which would require the class to be split at  $p$ ). Our condition of having an  $I_p$ -fixed quotient for the extension  $\begin{pmatrix} \rho_f & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  (or dually an  $I_p$ -fixed line for  $\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{-1} & * \\ 0 & \rho_f \end{pmatrix}$ ) is the one that would normally be required for  $\rho_f(1) \cong \rho_f^\vee$ .

Note that  $\rho_f$  is not critical in the sense of Deligne. We use Iwasawa theory for the cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension to bound  $\mathrm{Sel}_{\mathbb{Q}, f_\alpha}$ : It follows from Kato [Kat04] that the the Pontryagin dual of the Selmer group  $\mathrm{Sel}_{\mathbb{Q}_\infty, f_\alpha}$  is a torsion  $\Lambda$ -module with characteristic ideal  $g(T) \in \Lambda$ . Furthermore, according to the Iwasawa main conjecture (Kato's bound, see e.g. [SU14, Thm.3.25]),  $g(T) \mid L_p(f, \omega^{-1}, \cdot)$ . Hence  $\dim \mathrm{Sel}_{\mathbb{Q}, f_\alpha} = 0$  when  $L_p(f_\alpha, \omega_p^{-1}, T = p) \neq 0$  (see [BK17, Prop.2.10] and [BK17, Thm.2.11] for more details). Moreover, it follows from the control theorem for the  $\Lambda$ -adic Greenberg's Selmer group  $\mathrm{Sel}_{\mathbb{Q}_\infty, f_\alpha}$  (see [Och01]) that  $g(T = p) \neq 0$  is a necessary condition for the vanishing of  $\mathrm{Sel}_{\mathbb{Q}, f_\alpha}$ .



7. SCHEMATIC REDUCIBILITY LOCUS OF THE PSEUDO-CHARACTER  $\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{T}}$  ON  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{T}$  AND APPLICATIONS TO THE BLOCH-KATO CONJECTURE

Recall that we view  $S$  as the generalized matrix attached to the pseudo-character

$$\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{T}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$$

with respect to a set of idempotents compatible with the anti-involution  $\tau$  and have

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{T} & M_{1,2}(\mathcal{T}_{1,2}) & \mathcal{T}_{1,3} \\ M_{2,1}(\mathcal{T}_{2,1}) & M_2(\mathcal{T}) & M_{2,1}(\mathcal{T}_{2,3}) \\ \mathcal{T}_{3,1} & M_{1,2}(\mathcal{T}_{3,2}) & \mathcal{T} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}$  are fractional ideals of  $K$  that satisfy  $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}\mathcal{T}_{j,k} \subset \mathcal{T}_{i,k}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}\mathcal{T}_{j,i} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ .

In this section we will compute the total reducibility ideal  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}} \subset \mathcal{T}$  (see Definition 4.3). By Proposition 4.4 it is given by

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}} = \mathcal{T}_{3,1}\mathcal{T}_{1,3} + \mathcal{T}_{2,3}\mathcal{T}_{3,2} + \mathcal{T}_{1,2}\mathcal{T}_{2,1}.$$

The following lemma follows directly from the anti involution  $\tau : S \rightarrow S$  and the fact that  $\text{Ps}_{\mathcal{T}}$  is invariant under the action of  $\tau$ .

**Lemma 7.1.** *One always has:*

$$\mathcal{T}_{2,3}\mathcal{T}_{3,2} = \mathcal{T}_{1,2}\mathcal{T}_{2,1}.$$

*Proof.* This is proved exactly as in Lemma [BC09, 8.2.16] using the anti involution  $\tau$ . □

Hence, the above lemma implies that

$$(19) \quad \mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}} = \mathcal{T}_{3,1}\mathcal{T}_{1,3} + \mathcal{T}_{1,2}\mathcal{T}_{2,1}.$$

**Lemma 7.2.** *We have, in fact, that*

$$\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}} = \mathcal{T}_{1,2}\mathcal{T}_{2,1} = \mathcal{T}_{2,3}\mathcal{T}_{3,2}.$$

*Proof.* We first show that  $\mathcal{T}_{1,3} = \mathcal{T}'_{1,3} = \mathcal{T}_{1,2}\mathcal{T}_{2,3}$ . By Theorem 5.3 we have an injective map

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{1,3}/\mathcal{T}'_{1,3}, L) \hookrightarrow H^1_{f,\text{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}, \epsilon_p).$$

Note that the Kummer map provides an isomorphism

$$H^1_{f,\text{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}, \epsilon_p) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\times} \otimes L.$$

Hence  $H^1_{f,\text{unr}}(\mathbb{Q}, \epsilon_p)$  is trivial, and then  $\mathcal{T}_{1,3}/\mathcal{T}'_{1,3} = 0$  by Nakayama's lemma ( $\mathcal{T}_{1,3}$  is of finite type over  $\mathcal{T}$  since  $S$  is). Thus, we have

$$(20) \quad \mathcal{T}_{1,3} = \mathcal{T}_{1,2}\mathcal{T}_{2,3}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}} &= \mathcal{T}_{3,1}\mathcal{T}_{1,3} + \mathcal{T}_{1,2}\mathcal{T}_{2,1} \\
(21) \quad &= \mathcal{T}_{1,2}\mathcal{T}_{2,3}\mathcal{T}_{3,1} + \mathcal{T}_{1,2}\mathcal{T}_{2,1} \\
&= \mathcal{T}_{1,2}\mathcal{T}_{2,1}, \text{ since } \mathcal{T}_{2,3}\mathcal{T}_{3,1} \in \mathcal{T}_{2,1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 7.3.** *One has*

$$\mathcal{T}'_{1,2} = \mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}} \cdot \mathcal{T}_{1,2}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{T}_{1,3} = \mathcal{T}_{1,2}\mathcal{T}_{2,3}$  by relation (20) we get  $\mathcal{T}'_{1,2} = \mathcal{T}_{1,3}\mathcal{T}_{3,2} = \mathcal{T}_{1,2}\mathcal{T}_{2,3}\mathcal{T}_{3,2}$ . On the other hand, we have by Lemma 7.1 that  $\mathcal{T}_{2,3}\mathcal{T}_{3,2} = \mathcal{T}_{1,2}\mathcal{T}_{2,1}$ , and we have also by Lemma 7.2  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}} = \mathcal{T}_{1,2}\mathcal{T}_{2,1} = \mathcal{T}_{2,3}\mathcal{T}_{3,2}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{T}'_{1,2} = \mathcal{T}_{1,3}\mathcal{T}_{3,2} = \mathcal{T}_{1,2}\mathcal{T}_{2,3}\mathcal{T}_{3,2} = \mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}\mathcal{T}_{1,2}$ .

□

**7.1. Application to Bloch-Kato conjecture.** Since we have assumed that the sign  $\epsilon_f$  of  $L(f, s)$  is  $-1$ , the functional equation

$$L(f, s) = -L(f, 1 - s)$$

yields that  $L(f, s)$  vanishes at the central value  $k - 1$ . The Selmer group  $H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k - 1))$  classifies the extensions with everywhere good reduction and one can think of the Bloch-Kato conjecture as a generalization of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for the motive  $M_f$  corresponding to  $f$  of weight  $2k - 2 \geq 2$ . One has the following application related to the Bloch-Kato conjecture:

**Corollary 7.4.** *Assume that  $k \geq 2$ ,  $\pi_{f, \ell} \simeq \text{St} \otimes \xi$  (i.e.  $a_\ell = -\ell^{k-2}$ ) for any  $\ell \mid N$  and **(Reg)**, then there exists an injection*

$$(22) \quad \text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{1,2}/\mathfrak{m} \cdot \mathcal{T}_{1,2}, L) \hookrightarrow H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k - 1)),$$

and  $\dim \mathcal{T}_{1,2}/\mathfrak{m} \cdot \mathcal{T}_{1,2} \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* The following injection follow from Theorem 4.5 and Corollary 7.3:

$$(23) \quad \text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{1,2}/\mathfrak{m} \cdot \mathcal{T}_{1,2}, L) \simeq \text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{1,2}/\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}} \cdot \mathcal{T}_{1,2}, L) \hookrightarrow H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k - 1))$$

Moreover,  $\mathcal{T}_{1,2}/\mathfrak{m} \cdot \mathcal{T}_{1,2} \neq \{0\}$  since  $\rho_K : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_4(K)$  is absolutely irreducible (so  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}} = \mathcal{T}_{1,2}\mathcal{T}_{2,1} \neq (0)$ ).

□

**Proposition 7.5.**  $\dim H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \epsilon_p^{-1}) = 1$ .

*Proof.* It follows from [Maj15, Prop.2.2]. □

Assume now that  $\bar{\rho}$  is absolutely irreducible. Let  $\mathbb{I}$  be the finite flat integral extension of the Iwasawa algebra  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$  generated by the coefficients of a Hida family  $\mathcal{F}$  specializing to  $f_\alpha$  ( $\mathcal{F}$  is unique up to Galois conjugacy) and let  $\rho_{\mathcal{F}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{I})$  be the  $p$ -adic Galois representation attached to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $\chi_{\mathrm{univ}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]^\times$  be the universal character given by the composition of the  $p$ -adic cyclotomic character  $\epsilon_p : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow 1 + p^\nu \mathbb{Z}_p$  with the tautological character  $1 + p^\nu \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[[1 + p^\nu \mathbb{Z}_p]]^\times \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]^\times$ , where  $\nu = 1$  if  $p \geq 3$  and  $\nu = 2$  if  $p = 2$ . It follows from the work of Nekovar [Nek06, Prop.4.2.3] that the  $\mathbb{I}$ -adic Selmer group  $H_{f,\mathrm{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1/2})$  is of finite type over the Iwasawa algebra  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ , and so over  $\mathbb{I}$  since  $\mathbb{I}$  is finite flat over  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ .

**Corollary 7.6.** *Assume that  $\bar{\rho}$  is absolutely irreducible, **(Min)** and **(Reg)**, then the generic rank of the  $\mathbb{I}$ -adic Selmer group  $H_{f,\mathrm{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1/2})$  is at least one (i.e.  $H_{f,\mathrm{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1/2})$  has a non torsion class over  $\mathbb{I}$ ).*

*Proof.* It follows from Corollary 7.4 that the  $\mathbb{I}$ -adic Selmer group  $H_{f,\mathrm{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1/2})$  specializes at infinitely many classical points of  $\mathrm{Spm} \mathbb{I}[1/p]$  to a non-trivial Selmer group. Hence, the generic rank of  $H_{f,\mathrm{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \chi_{\mathrm{univ}}^{-1/2})$  over  $\mathbb{I}$  is non zero. □

## 7.2. Bounding the number of generators of $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}}$ .

**Theorem 7.7.** *Assume **(Reg)** and that  $\dim H_{f,\mathrm{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)) = 1$ .*

- (i) *There exists idempotents  $\{e'_1, e'_2, e'_3\}$  of  $S$  lifting the idempotents of  $\varrho$  attached to  $\{\epsilon_p^{2-k}, \rho_f, \epsilon_p^{1-k}\}$  such that  $S$  has the following form*

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{T} & M_{1,2}(\mathcal{T}) & \mathcal{T} \\ M_{2,1}(\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}}) & M_2(\mathcal{T}) & M_{2,1}(\mathcal{T}) \\ \mathcal{T}_{3,1} & M_{1,2}(\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}}) & \mathcal{T} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_{3,1} = \mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}}$  is an ideal.

- (ii) *Assume  $k \geq 3$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}} = \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{T}_{3,1}$  and  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}} = \mathcal{T}.g + (\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}})^2$  for an element  $g$  in  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}}$ , and yielding that the reducibility ideal  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}}$  is principal and generated by  $g$ .*
- (iii) *Assume that  $k = 2$  and  $\dim \mathrm{Sel}_{\mathbb{Q}, f_\alpha} = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}} = \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{T}_{3,1}$  and  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}} = \mathcal{T}.g + (\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}})^2$  for an element  $g$  in  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}}$ , and the reducibility ideal  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}}$  is principal and generated by  $g$ .*

*Remark 7.8.* Using results about  $\Lambda$ -adic Selmer groups we exhibit many examples where the Selmer group  $H_{f,\mathrm{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1))$  is 1-dimensional (see Appendix §.C).

*Proof.* i) By Theorem 4.5 and Corollary 7.3, we have the following:

$$(24) \quad \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{1,2}/\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}}.\mathcal{T}_{1,2}, L) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{f,\mathrm{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1))$$

Moreover, since  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ , we have an injection

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{1,2}/\mathfrak{m}.\mathcal{T}_{1,2}, L) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{1,2}/\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}}.\mathcal{T}_{1,2}, L).$$

By the assumption on the dimension of  $\mathrm{H}_{f,\mathrm{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1))$  we get  $\dim \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{1,2}/\mathfrak{m}.\mathcal{T}_{1,2}, L) \leq 1$ . On the other hand, the fact that  $\rho_K : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_4(K)$  is irreducible implies that  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}} = \mathcal{T}_{1,2}\mathcal{T}_{2,1} \neq 0$  and hence  $\dim \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{1,2}/\mathfrak{m}.\mathcal{T}_{1,2}, L) = 1$ .

Thus, Nakayama's lemma implies that the  $\mathcal{T}$ -modules  $\mathcal{T}_{1,2}$  is a monogenic  $\mathcal{T}$ -module.

Since  $\mathcal{T}_{1,2}$  is a fractional ideal of  $K$  and each component  $\rho_{K_i}$  of  $\rho_K$  is absolutely irreducible, the annihilator of the generator of  $\mathcal{T}_{1,2}$  over  $\mathcal{T}$  is trivial. Hence,  $\mathcal{T}_{1,2}$  is a free rank one  $\mathcal{T}$ -module. Moreover, the symmetry under the anti-involution implies that  $\mathcal{T}_{1,2} \simeq \mathcal{T}_{2,3}$  and hence  $\mathcal{T}_{2,3}$  is also a free  $\mathcal{T}$ -module of rank one.

Let  $\alpha \in K$  (resp.  $\beta \in K$ ) be a generator of  $\mathcal{T}_{1,2}$  (resp. of  $\mathcal{T}_{2,3}$ ) as  $\mathcal{T}$ -module. A direct computation shows that one can choose  $e'_1 = \alpha.e_1, e'_2 = e_2, e'_3 = \beta^{-1}.e_3$  as a suitable basis of idempotents.

Moreover, we recall that we have an injection by Theorem 5.3

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{1,3}/\mathcal{T}_{1,2}\mathcal{T}_{2,3}, L) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_{1,3}/\mathcal{T}, L) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{f,\mathrm{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \epsilon_p) = \{0\}.$$

Hence, Nakayama's lemma implies that  $\mathcal{T}_{1,3} = \mathcal{T}$ . Now, we can conclude from the fact that  $\mathcal{T}_{1,2}\mathcal{T}_{2,1} = \mathcal{T}_{2,3}\mathcal{T}_{3,2} = \mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}}$  that  $\mathcal{T}_{2,1} = \mathcal{T}_{3,2} = \mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}}$ .

ii) By (4) applied with  $(i, j) = (3, 2)$  and  $(3, 1)$ , respectively, applying Theorem 6.1 and Cor.6.3 for  $(i, j) = (3, 2)$  and using that  $\mathcal{T}_{3,2} = \mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}}, \mathcal{T}'_{3,2} = \mathcal{T}_{3,1}\mathcal{T}_{1,2} = \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{T}_{3,1} = \mathcal{J}$ , and  $\mathcal{T}'_{3,1} = \mathcal{T}_{3,2}\mathcal{T}_{2,1} = (\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}})^2$  we get injective morphisms

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}}/\mathcal{J}, L) &\hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{f,\mathrm{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-2)) \\ \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{J}/(\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}})^2, L) &\hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \epsilon_p^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

One has  $\dim \mathrm{H}_{f,\mathrm{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-2)) = 0$  (by a deep result of Kato [Kat04]), hence Nakayama's lemma applied to  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}}/\mathcal{J}$  yields that  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}} = \mathcal{J}$ . Moreover, the ideal  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}}$  is non-zero since  $\rho_K$  is irreducible. Thus, the fact that  $\dim \mathrm{H}^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \epsilon_p^{-1}) \leq 1$  (by Proposition 7.5) yields that  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}} = \mathcal{T}.g + (\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}})^2$  and  $g$  is a generator of the ideal  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}}$ .

iii) The assertion follows from similar arguments to those already used to prove i), ii) and the fact that  $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}}/\mathcal{J}, L) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sel}_{\mathbb{Q}, f, \alpha}$  by Thm.6.1 and Cor.6.3.  $\square$

One has the following general bound of the number of generators of  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathrm{tot}}$ :

**Corollary 7.9.** *Let  $s := \dim H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1))$ . Assume **(Reg)** and assume also that  $\dim \text{Sel}_{\mathbb{Q}, f_\alpha} = 0$  if  $k = 2$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}$  is generated by at most  $s^2$  elements.*

*Proof.* It follows from (4.5) and Corollary 7.3 that  $\mathcal{T}_{1,2}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{T}_{2,3}$ ) is generated by at most  $s$  elements. Moreover, it follows from Theorem 6.1 and Cor.6.3 that  $\mathcal{T}_{2,1} = \mathcal{T}_{2,3}\mathcal{T}_{3,1}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{3,1} = \mathcal{T}_{3,2}\mathcal{T}_{2,1} + g\mathcal{T}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{T}_{2,1} = (\mathcal{T}_{3,2}\mathcal{T}_{2,1} + g\mathcal{T})\mathcal{T}_{2,3} = \mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}\mathcal{T}_{2,1} + g\mathcal{T}_{2,3}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{T}_{2,1}$  is generated also by at most  $s$  elements and then  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}} = \mathcal{T}_{1,2}\mathcal{T}_{2,1}$  is generated by at most  $s^2$  elements.  $\square$

## 8. SMOOTHNESS OF $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$ AT $\pi_\alpha$

The goal of this section is to prove that  $A := \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}$  is a regular ring of dimension one (so it is a DVR) and that  $\mathcal{T}$  is a regular ring of dimension two.

**8.1. Modularity and  $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ord}} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{C}_N, f_\alpha}$ .** Recall that  $\rho_f : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(L)$  is the irreducible odd  $p$ -adic representation corresponding to  $f_\alpha$ .

We consider the following deformation problem attached to  $\rho_f$ : for  $B$  any local  $L$ -Artinian algebra with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_B$  and residue field  $B/\mathfrak{m}_B = L$ , we define  $\mathcal{D}_{\rho_f}(B)$  as the set of strict equivalence classes of representations  $\rho_B : G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(B)$  lifting  $\rho_f$  (that is  $\rho_B \bmod \mathfrak{m}_B \simeq \rho_f$ ) and which are ordinary at  $p$  in the sense that:

$$(26) \quad \rho_B|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1,B} & * \\ 0 & \psi_{2,B} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\psi_{1,B} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow B^\times$  is an unramified character, and such that they are minimally ramified at every  $\ell \mid N$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}'_{\rho_f}$  be the subfunctor of  $\mathcal{D}_{\rho_f}$  of deformation with constant determinant (so equal to  $\det \rho_f = \epsilon_p^{3-2k}$ ).

It follows from Schlessinger's criterion that  $\mathcal{D}_{\rho_f}$  is represented by a Noetherian local ring  $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ord}}$ . Let  $\rho^{\text{ord}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{R}^{\text{ord}})$  be the universal  $p$ -ordinary deformation of  $\rho_f$ . Recall that we have a locally finite flat map  $w : \mathcal{C}_N \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  (see §B.5). The determinant of  $\rho^{\text{ord}}$  is a deformation of  $\det \rho_f$ , and yields that  $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ord}}$  is an  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{V}, w(f_\alpha)}$ -algebra, since the complete local ring  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{V}, w(f_\alpha)}$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  at  $w(f_\alpha)$  represents the deformation of  $\det \rho_f$  to  $\text{GL}_1$  (see [BD16, §.6]). Note that  $L[[T]] = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{V}, w(f_\alpha)}$  (since the weight space is smooth and of dimension one), and that  $\mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{R}^{\text{ord}}/T\mathcal{R}^{\text{ord}}$  represents the functor  $\mathcal{D}'_{\rho_f}$ . Denote by  $A_1$  the local ring  $L[[T]]$ .

Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the ring of integers of  $L$  and  $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{O}}$  the category of  $\mathcal{O}$ -local complete Noetherian algebras with residue field isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}$  the residue field of  $\mathcal{O}$ , and whose morphisms are local  $\mathcal{O}$ -homomorphisms inducing the identity on residue fields. We assume in the rest of this paper that the following conditions are satisfied by the residual representation  $\bar{\rho}_f$  attached to  $\rho_f$ :

- **(AI $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ )** The restriction of  $\bar{\rho}$  to  $G_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{(-1)^{(p-1)/2}p})}$  is absolutely irreducible.
- **(Reg)**  $\bar{\rho}_f$  is  $p$ -distinguished and  $\alpha \neq 1$  when  $k = 2$ .
- **(Min)** For any prime  $\ell \mid N$ , one has  $\bar{\rho}_f|_{I_{\ell}}$  is unipotent and non-trivial and  $a_{\ell} = -\ell^{k-2}$ .

Schlesinger's criterion implies that the functor  $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}_f}^{\text{ord}}$  of  $p$ -ordinary minimally ramified deformations of  $\bar{\rho}_f$  unramified outside of  $Np$  to objects of  $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{O}}$  is representable by  $(\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\rho}_f}^{\text{ord}}, \tilde{\rho}^{\text{ord}})$ . The deformation  $\det \tilde{\rho}^{\text{ord}}$  of  $\det \bar{\rho}$  endows  $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\rho}_f}$  naturally with a structure of a  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ -algebra.

Note that the representation  $\rho_f$  is a minimal ordinary deformation of  $\bar{\rho}_f$  corresponding to a morphism  $\theta_f : \mathcal{R}_{\bar{\rho}_f}^{\text{ord}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  inducing  $\rho_f$ . Thus  $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ord}}$  is isomorphic to the completion of  $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\rho}_f}^{\text{ord}}$  with respect to the kernel of  $\theta_f$  (a height one prime ideal).

Let  $h^{\text{ord}}(Np^{\infty})$  be the universal semi-local  $p$ -ordinary Hecke constructed by Hida in [Hid86] and  $\mathfrak{h}$  the local component of  $h^{\text{ord}}(Np^{\infty})$  corresponding to  $f_{\alpha} \pmod{p}$ . Recall that the formal affine scheme  $\text{Spf } h^{\text{ord}}(Np^{\infty})$  is a Raynaud model of the ordinary locus  $\mathcal{C}_N^{\text{full,ord}}$  of the full eigencurve  $\mathcal{C}_N^{\text{full}}$  (see §.B.5).

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$  be the Iwasawa algebra in one variable. We have a natural finite flat morphism<sup>9</sup>

$$w^* : \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]] \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$$

which is étale at classical weight  $\geq 2$  by Hida's control theorem (see [Hid86]).

Since  $f_{\alpha}$  is ordinary at  $p$ , it defines a point  $f_{\alpha}$  of the connected component of  $\mathcal{C}_N^{\text{full,ord}}$  of the ordinary locus of the eigencurve  $\mathcal{C}_N^{\text{full}}$  with Raynaud model  $\text{Spf } \mathfrak{h}$ . Moreover, there exists a unique morphism  $\varphi_{f_{\alpha}} : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow L$  sending  $T_{\ell}$  to  $\text{Tr } \rho_f(\text{Frob}_{\ell})$  for all primes  $\ell \nmid Np$  and  $U_p$  to  $\alpha$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}_{f_{\alpha}}$  be the height one prime ideal  $\ker \varphi_{f_{\alpha}}$  and  $\mathbb{T}$  the completed local ring of  $\text{Spec } \mathfrak{h}$  at  $\mathcal{P}_{f_{\alpha}}$ . It follows from the work of Taylor-Wiles ([Wil88] and [TW95]) that there exists an isomorphism  $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\rho}_f}^{\text{ord}} \simeq \mathfrak{h}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ -algebras.

By the previous discussion this implies an isomorphism<sup>10</sup>

$$(27) \quad \mathcal{R}^{\text{ord}} \simeq \mathbb{T} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{C}_N^{\text{full}}, f_{\alpha}} \simeq \Lambda_1,$$

where  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{C}_N^{\text{full}}, f_{\alpha}}$  is the completed local ring of the eigencurve  $\mathcal{C}_N^{\text{full}}$  at  $f_{\alpha}$ . Moreover, by Nyssen and Rouquier's results ([Nys96] and [Rou96]),  $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ord}}$  is generated by the trace of its universal representation and hence we have

$$(28) \quad \mathcal{R}^{\text{ord}} = \mathbb{T} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{C}_N, f_{\alpha}},$$

where  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{C}_N, f_{\alpha}}$  is the completed local ring of the eigencurve  $\mathcal{C}_N$  at  $f_{\alpha}$ .

<sup>9</sup>At the level of the generic fibers, the morphism  $w^* : \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]] \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  induced by the weight map  $w : \mathcal{C}_N^{\text{full,ord}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ .

<sup>10</sup>The isomorphism  $\mathbb{T} \simeq \Lambda_1$  follows from the fact that the local morphism  $w^* : \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]] \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  is étale at the height one prime ideal corresponding to  $f_{\alpha}$ .

**8.2. Regularity of  $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}$  when  $k \geq 3$ .** Recall that  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) \subset (\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{W}))^2$  are the universal weights interpolating  $k_1, k_2$  (they are the derivative at 1 of  $\epsilon_p^{\kappa_1}, \epsilon_p^{\kappa_2}$ ). Hence one can see  $\kappa_i$  as global section in  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_\Delta)$  via the weight map  $\kappa : \mathcal{E}_\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ . Recall also that  $\epsilon_p^{-\kappa_1}$  and  $\epsilon_p^{-\kappa_2}$  specialize at  $\underline{k} = (k_1, k_2)$  to the characters  $\epsilon_p^{-k_1}, \epsilon_p^{-k_2}$ , respectively.

We will need the following results about the reducibility ideal:

**Proposition 8.1.** *Assume that  $k \geq 3$ . Then  $\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 \in \mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}$ .*

*Proof.* Since the Hodge-Tate-Sen weight  $k-1$  occurs with multiplicity one in  $\mathcal{M}'(x)$ , Proposition 8.3 below and [BC09, Thm.4.3.4] (i.e the ‘‘constant weight lemma’’) applied to the family of  $p$ -adic representations  $\rho_{\mathcal{M}'} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathcal{M}')$  defined in Corollary 5.4 yields that

$$(\kappa_1 - 1) - (\kappa_2 - 2) - 1 = \kappa_1 - \kappa_2 \in \mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}.$$

□

**Proposition 8.2.** *Assume that  $k = 2$ . Then  $\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 \in \mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}$ .*

*Proof.* We have previously constructed (see Corollary 5.4) a representation

$$\rho_{\mathcal{M}'} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{M}')$$

of generic rank 3, where  $\mathcal{M}'$  is a torsion-free coherent sheaf on an open affinoid  $\mathcal{U} = \text{Spm}A \subset \mathcal{E}_\Delta$  containing  $x$ . It follows from Thm.2.4(iii) that the representation  $\rho_{\mathcal{M}'} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{M}')$  has a sub-representation  $\rho_{\mathcal{M}''} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{M}'')$  generically of dimension 2 ( $\mathcal{M}''$  is torsion-free  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}$ -module), and its specialization at the very Zariski dense set  $\Sigma'$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  gives a crystalline representation  $\rho_z''$  of dimension 2 and with Hodge-Tate-Sen weights given by  $(\kappa_1 - 1, \kappa_2 - 2)$  (the smallest Hodge-Tate weight of any  $z$  of  $\Sigma$  is  $\kappa_2(z) - 2$ ) and  $U_1/U_0 \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_\Delta)^\times$  interpolating the crystalline period of the smallest Hodge-Tate weight (i.e.  $\dim \mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}(\rho_z'')^{\Phi=U_1/U_0(z)p^{p^{\kappa_2(z)}-2}} = 1$ ).

Let  $M'' := \mathcal{M}'' \otimes_A \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{M}''_x$  be the stalk of  $\mathcal{M}''$  at  $x$ . Similar arguments to those already used to prove [BC09, Lemma.4.3.3](i) yield that the Sen operator of  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sen}}(M''/\mathcal{J}M'')$  is annihilated by the Polynomial

$$(T - (\kappa_2 - 2))(T - (\kappa_1 - 1)).$$

Moreover, the specialization of the pseudo-character  $\text{Tr} \rho_{\mathcal{M}''}$  at  $x$  is equal to  $\epsilon_p^{2-k} \oplus \epsilon_p^{1-k}$ . Thanks to Proposition 8.3 below, one can apply [BC09, Thm.4.3.4] (the ‘‘constant weight lemma’’) to the family of  $p$ -adic representations  $\rho_{\mathcal{M}''} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathcal{M}'')$  to claim that

$$(\kappa_1 - 1) - (\kappa_2 - 2) - 1 = \kappa_1 - \kappa_2 \in \mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}.$$

□

Let  $A$  be the local quotient ring  $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}$  of dimension  $\leq 2$ . Note that  $A$  is Henselian, since  $\mathcal{T}$  is Henselian (the local ring of a rigid analytic space for the rigid topology is always Henselian).

Let  $\text{Ps}_A : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_{\Delta}) \rightarrow A$  be the natural pseudo-character of dimension 4. Moreover,  $\text{Ps}_A = \Psi_1 + \Psi_2 + \text{Tr}_A$  such that  $\text{Tr}_A : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow A$  is a pseudo-character lifting the pseudo-character  $\text{Tr}(\rho_f)$  and  $\{\Psi_i\}_{i=1,2} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow A^{\times}$  are characters lifting respectively  $\epsilon_p^{2-k}$  and  $\epsilon_p^{1-k}$ . Moreover, since  $\rho_f$  is absolutely irreducible,  $\text{Tr}_A : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow A$  is the trace of a deformation  $\rho_A : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(A)$  of  $\rho_f$ . The deformation  $\det \rho_A$  of  $\det \rho_f$  yields a natural local morphism of  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -algebras  $\Lambda_1 \rightarrow A$  (see [BD16, §.6]).

**Proposition 8.3.** *For any cofinite ideal  $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{T}$  containing  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}$ . We have:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^+(M'/\mathcal{J}M' \otimes (\epsilon_p^{\kappa_2-2}))^{\Phi=U_1/U_0}$  is a free rank one  $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{J}$ -module.
- (ii)  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^+(M''/\mathcal{J}M'' \otimes (\epsilon_p^{\kappa_2-2}))^{\Phi=U_1/U_0}$  is a free rank one  $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{J}$ -module, where  $M''$  be the stalk of  $\mathcal{M}''$  at  $x$ .

*Proof.* i) Recall that in the proof of Theorem 5.3 and Corollary 5.4, we have constructed a family of  $p$ -adic representations  $\rho_{\mathcal{M}'} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \text{GL}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{M}')$  over an affinoid  $\mathcal{U} := \text{Spm } B \subset \mathcal{E}_{\Delta}$  containing  $x$ , and such that  $\mathcal{M}'$  is a torsion-free quotient of  $\mathcal{M}$  of generic rank 3 and  $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}^{I_p} = \mathcal{M}'$  (the generic rank of  $\mathcal{M}$  over  $\mathcal{U}$  is 4). By [BC09, Thm.1.5.6] we have surjections

$$M = \mathcal{M} \otimes_B \mathcal{T} \twoheadrightarrow M/\mathcal{J}M \twoheadrightarrow \Psi_2 \pmod{\mathcal{J}},$$

such that any semi-simple  $S$ -subquotient of the  $S$ -module  $\ker(M/\mathcal{J}M \rightarrow \Psi_2 \pmod{\mathcal{J}})$  occurs in  $\{\rho_f, \epsilon_p^{2-k}\}$  (any  $S$ -simple module is necessarily an  $S/\mathfrak{m}S$ -module).

On the other hand, since  $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}^{I_p} = \mathcal{M}'$ , the surjection  $M/\mathcal{J}M \rightarrow \Psi_2 \pmod{\mathcal{J}}$  must factor through

$$(29) \quad M'/\mathcal{J}M' \twoheadrightarrow \Psi_2 \pmod{\mathcal{J}}$$

for  $M' = \mathcal{M}' \otimes_B \mathcal{T}$ .

We recall that

$$l(\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^+(M'/\mathcal{J}M' \otimes (\epsilon_p^{\kappa_2-2}))^{\Phi=U_1/U_0}) = l(\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{J}).$$

On the other hand, it follows from the fact that the semi-simple subquotients of

$$\ker(M'/\mathcal{J}M' \rightarrow \Psi_2 \pmod{\mathcal{J}})$$

occur in  $\{\epsilon_p^{2-k}, \psi, \psi^{-1}\epsilon_p^{3-2k}\}$  that

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}(\ker(M'/\mathcal{J}M' \rightarrow \Psi_2 \pmod{\mathcal{J}}) \otimes \epsilon_p^{\kappa_2-2})^{\Phi=U_1/U_0} = \{0\}.$$

Therefore,  $l(\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^+(\Psi_2 \otimes (\epsilon_p^{\kappa_2-2}) \pmod{\mathcal{J}})^{\Phi=U_1/U_0}) = l(\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{J})$ . Thus, [BC09, Lemma.3.3.9] yields that

$$(30) \quad \mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^+(\Psi_2 \otimes (\epsilon_p^{\kappa_2-2}) \pmod{\mathcal{J}})^{\Phi=U_1/U_0} \text{ is a free rank one } \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{J}\text{-module,}$$



and then

$\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^+(M'/\mathcal{J}M' \otimes (\epsilon_p^{\kappa_2-2}))^{\Phi=U_1/U_0}$  is a free rank one  $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{J}$ -module.

ii) The assertion follows from i), the fact that composition  $M''/\mathcal{J}M'' \rightarrow M'/\mathcal{J}M' \rightarrow \Psi_2 \bmod \mathcal{J}$  is surjective and that  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^+(M'/M'' \otimes \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{J} \otimes (\epsilon_p^{\kappa_2-2}))^{\Phi=U_1/U_0} = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 8.4.** *Assume that  $k \geq 2$ , then the local ring  $A$  is topologically generated by the image of  $\text{Tr}(\rho_A)$  over  $A_1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A'$  be the subring of  $A$  topologically generated by the image of the trace  $\text{Tr}(\rho_A)$  over  $A_1$ . Note that the polarisation of  $\text{Ps}_A$  described in section 4.1 implies that  $\det \rho_A$  is given by the character  $\epsilon_p^{-\kappa_1-\kappa_2+3}$ . By Propositions 8.1 and 8.2, one has  $\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 \in \mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}$ . Hence, the image of the character  $\epsilon_p^{\kappa_1} = \epsilon_p^{\kappa_2} \bmod \mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}$  in  $A$  lies in  $A'$ .

We will show in the following that  $\Psi_2 = \epsilon_p^{1-\kappa_2}$ , which by the polarisation of  $\text{Ps}_A$  shows  $\Psi_1 = \epsilon_p^{2-\kappa_1}$ . As  $\text{Ps}_A$  is surjective onto  $A$  by construction of  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  this will establish the proposition.

First, (30) yields that for any ideal  $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{T}$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  of cofinite length and such that  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}} \subset \mathcal{J}$ , the character  $\Psi_2 \otimes \epsilon_p^{\kappa_2-2} \bmod \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{J}$  is a crystalline  $L[G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}]$ -representation, because the  $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{J}$ -module  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{crys}}^+(\Psi_2 \otimes \epsilon_p^{\kappa_2-2} \bmod \mathcal{J})^{\Phi=U_1/U_0}$  is free of rank one over  $\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{J}$ .

Hence, the constant weight lemma (see [BC09, Prop.2.5.4]) implies that  $\Psi_2 \otimes (\epsilon_p^{\kappa_2-2}) \otimes \epsilon_p \bmod \mathcal{J}$  is of Hodge-Tate weight 0 and crystalline, therefore unramified. Thus, by class field theory we deduce that  $\Psi_2 \otimes (\epsilon_p^{\kappa_2-2}) \otimes \epsilon_p \bmod \mathcal{J}$  is the trivial character (since  $\mathbb{Q}$  has a unique  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension).

Thus,  $\Psi_2 \bmod \mathcal{J} = \epsilon_p^{1-\kappa_2} \bmod \mathcal{J}$ . Then the Krull intersection theorem implies that  $\Psi_2 \bmod \mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}} = \epsilon^{1-\kappa_2}$  (since  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}$  is the intersection of the cofinite ideals of  $\mathcal{T}$  containing  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}$ ).  $\square$

**Proposition 8.5.** *The representation  $\rho_A$  is  $p$ -ordinary and minimal.*

*Proof.* According to [BC09, Thm.1.5.6] and [BC09, Lemma.4.3.9], there exists a  $\mathcal{T}$ -module  $M \subset K^4$  of generic rank 4 endowed with a  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -continuous action which is generically given by the semi-simple representation

$$\rho_K : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow S^\times \subset \text{GL}_4(K),$$

and equipped with a surjection  $\pi : M/\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}M \rightarrow \rho_A$  such that the  $S$ -simple subquotients of its kernel are either  $\epsilon_p^{1-k}$  or  $\epsilon_p^{2-k}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{T}$  is reduced and  $\rho_K$  is semi-ordinary ( $\rho_K^{I_p}$  is of dimension one and  $\text{Frob}_p$  acts on it by  $U_0$ ) and  $\alpha \neq 1$  when  $k = 2$ , we again have (as in §6) that  $M^{I_p}$  is not contained in  $\mathfrak{m}M$ . Since the  $S$ -simple subquotients of  $\ker \pi$  do not contain  $\rho_f$  and contain only the representations in

the set  $\{\epsilon_p^{1-k}, \epsilon_p^{2-k}\}$ , the regularity assumption further implies that the image of  $M^{I_p}$  under the surjection  $\pi' : M/\mathfrak{m}M \rightarrow \rho_f$  is non-zero and hence the image of  $M^{I_p}$  under the surjection  $\pi : M/\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}M \rightarrow \rho_A$  is non-zero and it is not contained in  $\mathfrak{m}A^2$ .

Thus, we have an exact sequence of  $A[G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}]$ -modules:

$$(31) \quad 0 \rightarrow \rho_A^{I_p} \rightarrow \rho_A \rightarrow \rho_A/\rho_A^{I_p} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\rho_A/\rho_A^{I_p} \otimes_A L$  is of rank one Nakayama's lemma implies that  $\rho_A/\rho_A^{I_p}$  and  $\rho_A^{I_p}$  are monogenic  $A$ -modules and generated respectively by  $y_1, y_2$ . Therefore  $y_1, y_2$  generate  $A^2$  and they must even form a basis of  $A^2$ . Hence the exact sequence (31) splits as  $A$ -modules and yields that  $\rho_A$  is  $p$ -ordinary.

We shall now prove that  $\rho_A$  is minimally ramified at every  $\ell \mid N$ . Let  $\ell$  be a prime number dividing  $N$ . From the proof of Theorem 5.3 we know that there exist idempotents  $(\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_3)$  of  $S$  lifting the idempotents attached respectively to  $\epsilon_p^{2-k}, \epsilon_p^{1-k}, \rho_f$  such that  $\tilde{e} = \tilde{e}_1 + \tilde{e}_2$  is

in the center of  $S_\ell = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & M_{2,2}(\mathcal{T}) \end{pmatrix}$ , the image of  $\mathcal{T}[G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}]$  inside  $S$ . We also recall that

$N_K$ , the monodromy operator corresponding to the Weil-Deligne representation attached to  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \rightarrow S_\ell^\times$ , can be viewed as an element of  $S_\ell$ , has rank 1 by Proposition 2.8 and satisfies  $\tilde{e}_3 N_K \tilde{e}_3 \neq 0$ . For  $N := \tilde{e}_3 N_K \tilde{e}_3 \in M_2(\mathcal{T})$  we know that  $N$  is non-trivial modulo  $\mathfrak{m}_\mathcal{T}$  (since the rank of the monodromy operator of  $WD_\ell(\rho_f)$  is one) and so the morphism  $\rho_A|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(A)$  is also minimally ramified.

□

**Theorem 8.6.** *Assume that  $k \geq 2$ , **(Min)**, **(AI $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ )** and **(Reg)**. Then one has:*

- (i) *The local ring  $A$  is regular of dimension one and there is an isomorphism  $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ord}} \simeq \widehat{A}$ .*
- (ii) *The local ring  $\widehat{A}$  is étale over  $A_1$  and  $A \simeq \mathcal{O}_{SK(\mathcal{F}),x}$ .*
- (iii) *Assume that  $\dim H_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)) = 1$  and  $k \geq 3$ , then the local ring  $\mathcal{T}$  is regular of dimension 2, i.e.  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  is smooth at  $x$ .*
- (iv) *Assume that  $\dim H_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)) = 1$  and  $k \geq 3$ , then the reducibility ideal of the pseudo-character  $\text{Ps}_\mathcal{T} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  corresponds to the principal Weil divisor (closed subset of dimension one) of  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{T}$  corresponding to the Saito-Kurokawa family  $SK(\mathcal{F})$  specializing to  $x$ .*

(v) Assume that  $k = 2$ ,  $L_p(f_\alpha, \omega_p^{-1}, T = p) \neq 0$  and  $\dim H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)) = 1$ , then the rigid analytic space  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  is smooth at  $\pi_\alpha$ , and the reducibility locus of the pseudo-character  $\text{Ps}_\mathcal{T} : G_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_\Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is a principal Weil divisor of  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{T}$ , and corresponds to the Saito-Kurokawa lift of the ordinary Hida family  $\mathcal{F}$  passing through  $f_\alpha$ .

*Proof.* (i) We recall that Hida's control theorem (see [Hid86]) yields that  $\mathbb{T}$  is a discrete valuation ring, and hence  $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ord}}$  is also a discrete valuation ring (since  $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ord}} \simeq \mathbb{T}$ ). Propositions 8.4 and 8.5 provide us with a surjective morphism

$$\mathcal{R}^{\text{ord}} \twoheadrightarrow \widehat{A}.$$

It remains to show that the Krull dimension of  $A$  is at least one. This is a consequence of the fact that  $A$  surjects onto the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{SK(\mathcal{F}), x}$  at  $x$  of the Saito-Kurokawa family  $SK(\mathcal{F})$  specializing to  $\pi_\alpha$  (see [SU06, Prop.4.2.5], §.B.5) and  $\mathcal{O}_{SK(\mathcal{F}), x}$  is of dimension one (since  $SK(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{E}_\Delta$  is an irreducible closed analytic set of dimension one).

Thus the surjective morphism  $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ord}} \twoheadrightarrow \widehat{A}$  is necessarily an isomorphism of discrete valuation rings.

(ii) The étaleness follows from (28) and (i). The isomorphism  $A \simeq \mathcal{O}_{SK(\mathcal{F}), x}$  follows from the fact (noted already in (i) of the proof) that the discrete valuation ring  $A$  surjects onto the 1-dimensional local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{SK(\mathcal{F}), x}$ . Hence, they are necessarily isomorphic.

(iii) We have to show that the tangent space of  $\mathcal{T}$  is of dimension 2. Since the Krull dimension is always less or equal to the dimension of the tangent space, we have to show that the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  has at most two generators. Note that  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}} = (g)$  (see Thm.7.7) and  $A = \mathcal{T}/(g)$  is regular of dimension 1. Hence  $\mathfrak{m}$  has at most two generators. Thus  $\mathcal{T}$  is regular.

(iv) This follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}} = (g)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{SK(\mathcal{F}), x} = A = \mathcal{T}/(g)$ .

(v) The assumption that  $L_p(f_\alpha, \omega_p^{-1}, T = p) \neq 0$  yields that the  $\dim \text{Sel}_{\mathbb{Q}, f_\alpha} = 0$  (see §.6.1), and hence Thm.7.7 implies that  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}} = (g)$ . Moreover, it follows from i) that  $A = \mathcal{T}/(g)$  is regular of dimension 1, and hence  $\mathcal{T}$  is a regular local ring of dimension 2. □

One has the following general bound of the Zariski tangent space of  $\pi_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_\Delta$ .

**Corollary 8.7.** *Assume (Min), (AI $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ), (Reg) and assume also that  $L_p(f_\alpha, \omega_p^{-1}, T = p) \neq 0$  if  $k = 2$ , then:*

$$2 \leq \dim \mathfrak{t}_{\pi_\alpha} \leq 1 + (\dim H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)))^2 \text{ and } \dim \mathfrak{t}_{\pi_\alpha}^0 \leq (\dim H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)))^2.$$

*Proof.* The assertion follows immediately from Corollary 7.9 (i.e  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}$  is generated by at most  $s^2$  elements) and from Theorem 8.6 (i.e  $A = \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{I}^{\text{tot}}$  is étale over  $A_1 \simeq \Lambda/(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)$ ). □

9. SMOOTHNESS FAILURE OF  $\mathcal{E}_N$  AT  $\pi_\alpha$  WHEN  $N$  IS SQUARE FREE AND NOT PRIME

We prove in this subsection that our main results fail when we change the tame level to  $\Gamma(N)$ . In this subsection we can remove the assumption on the global root number  $\epsilon_f$  being  $-1$  as there exists a Saito-Kurokawa lift of level  $\Gamma(N)$  for either sign (see [Sch07]).

Let  $\mathcal{Y}_\ell$  be a cuspidal Coleman family of slope 1 of level  $\ell$  and specializing to  $E_2^{\text{crit}_p, \text{ord}_\ell}$ . Then the Galois representation  $\rho_{\mathcal{Y}_\ell}$  attached to  $\mathcal{Y}_\ell$  is necessarily Steinberg at  $\ell$ , otherwise, as in Proposition 3.2 we will obtain a non-trivial cohomology class of  $H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \epsilon_p)$ , and it is known that  $H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \epsilon_p)$  is trivial.

*Remark 9.1.*

- (i) The Atkin-Lehner eigenvalue of the classical specializations of  $\mathcal{Y}_\ell$  at  $\ell$  is constant and equal to  $-1$ .
- (ii) The Hida family  $\mathcal{F}$  specializing to  $f_\alpha$  is special at every  $q \mid N$  and the Atkin-Lehner eigenvalue of the classical specializations of  $\mathcal{F}$  at every  $q \mid N$  is constant.
- (iii) According to [Maj15], the weight map  $w : \mathcal{C}_\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  is étale at  $E_2^{\text{crit}_p, \text{ord}_\ell}$ , and since  $w$  is locally finite, one can shrink any affinoid neighborhood of  $E_2^{\text{crit}_p, \text{ord}_\ell}$  to ensure that it will be étale over  $\mathcal{V}$  (see Proposition A.5).

We can therefore apply the following result:

**Proposition 9.2** ([SS13] Prop.3.1). *Let  $f_1 \in S_{k_1}(N_1)$ ,  $f_2 \in S_{k_2}(N_2)$  be newforms of squarefree level with even integers  $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq 2$  and  $M := \gcd(N_1, N_2) > 1$ . Assume that the Atkin-Lehner eigenvalues of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  for  $\ell \mid M$  coincide. Put  $N = \text{lcm}(N_1, N_2)$ . Then there exists a non-zero holomorphic Yoshida lift of level  $\Gamma(N)$  and weight  $((k_1 + k_2)/2, (k_1 - k_2 + 4)/2)$  with corresponding Galois representation  $\rho_{f_1} \oplus \rho_{f_2}(\frac{k_1 - k_2}{2})$ . For  $p \nmid N$  there exists a  $p$ -stabilisation of this lift (of Iwahori level at  $p$ ) with  $U_0$ -eigenvalue  $\alpha_1$  and  $U_1$ -eigenvalue<sup>11</sup>  $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 p^{\frac{k_1 - k_2 - 2}{2}}$ , where  $\alpha_i$  are roots of the Hecke polynomial of  $f_i$  at  $p$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .*

*Proof.* For the existence of the lift of level  $\Gamma(N)$  see [SS13] Prop.3.1. For the  $p$ -stabilisation of the principal unramified series see [MY14] §7.1.1, but we use the normalization of [SU06] §2.4.16.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.3.** *Let  $\ell \mid N$  be a prime number for which  $f$  is Steinberg,  $\mathcal{U}^1$  be an affinoid subdomain of the  $p$ -adic eigencurve  $w_2 : \mathcal{C}_\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  of tame level  $\ell$  containing  $E_2^{\text{crit}_p, \text{ord}_\ell}$ , corresponding to a Coleman family  $G = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n, G)q^n$ , and such that it is étale over the weight space  $\mathcal{V}$ .*

<sup>11</sup>For the different normalisation (34) of the  $U_1$  operator on the eigenvariety this corresponds to the constant eigenvalue  $\alpha_1 \alpha_2$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U}^0$  be an affinoid subdomain of the ordinary locus  $\mathcal{C}_N^{\text{ord}}$  of the  $p$ -adic eigencurve  $\mathcal{C}_N$  of tame level  $N$  containing  $f_\alpha$  and corresponding to the Hida family  $\mathcal{F} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n, \mathcal{F})q^n$ , and such that it is étale<sup>12</sup> over the weight space  $\mathcal{V}$ .

There exists a Zariski closed immersion  $\lambda_{Y_0} : \mathcal{U}^0 \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{U}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_N$  with image denoted by  $Y_0(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{U}^1)$  and such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}^0 \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{U}^1 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{Y_0}} & \mathcal{E}_N \\ \downarrow w_1 \times w_2 & & \downarrow \kappa \\ \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} & \xrightarrow{\lambda_\kappa} & \mathcal{W} \end{array}$$

where  $\lambda_\kappa(2k_1, 2k_2) = (k_1 + k_2, k_1 - k_2 + 2)$  and the morphism  $\lambda_{Y_0}$  corresponds to the morphism

$$\lambda_{Y_0}^* : \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_N) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U}^0) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U}^1)$$

defined by

$$\lambda_{Y_0}^*(P_\ell(X)) = (X^2 - a(\ell, \mathcal{F})X + \ell^{-3}\kappa_1\kappa_2(\ell))(X^2 - \kappa_2(\ell)\ell^{-2}a(\ell, G)X + \ell^{-3}\kappa_2(\ell)\cdot\kappa_1(\ell)), \text{ for any } \ell \nmid Np,$$

where  $P_\ell(X) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_N)[X]$  is the Hecke-Andrianov polynomial at  $\ell \nmid Np$ , and  $\lambda_{Y_0}^*(U_0) = a(p, \mathcal{F})$ , and  $\lambda_{Y_0}^*(U_1) = a(p, \mathcal{F}) \times a(p, G)$ .

*Proof.* One can choose the affinoids  $\mathcal{U}^0 \subset \mathcal{C}_N$  and  $\mathcal{U}^1 \subset \mathcal{C}_\ell$  étale over the weight space and small enough such that there exist  $\epsilon, v \in \mathbb{R}$  and the Banach sheaf  $\omega_\epsilon^\kappa$  on  $\bar{X}(v) \times W$  of locally analytic  $v$ -overconvergent  $p$ -adic families (see §.B.3), where  $W = \text{Spm } R$  is an affinoid of the weight space  $\mathcal{W}$  given by  $w_1(\mathcal{U}^0) \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} w_2(\mathcal{U}^1)$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_{W,1}$  be the affinoid  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -algebra generated over  $R$  by the image of the abstract Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_N$  in the space of endomorphisms of the sections of  $\varinjlim_{v \rightarrow 0} H^0(\bar{X}(v) \times W, \omega_\epsilon^\kappa)$  with slope  $\leq 1$ . By construction of  $\mathcal{E}_N$  (see §.B.3),  $\mathcal{E}_{N,W}^1 = \text{Spm } \mathcal{T}_{W,1}$  is an affinoid subdomain of  $\mathcal{E}_N$ . Let  $\theta : \mathcal{H}_N \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{W,1}$  be the natural surjection and  $J$  be the kernel of  $\theta$  generated by  $g_1, \dots, g_n$ .

On the other hand, let  $\lambda$  be the morphism

$$\lambda : \mathcal{H}_N \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U}^0) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U}^1)$$

defined by

$$\lambda_{Y_0}^*(P_\ell(X)) = (X^2 - a(\ell, \mathcal{F})X + \ell^{-3}\kappa_1\kappa_2(\ell))(X^2 - \kappa_2(\ell)\ell^{-2}a(\ell, G)X + \ell^{-3}\kappa_2(\ell)\cdot\kappa_1(\ell)), \text{ for any } \ell \nmid Np,$$

where  $P_\ell(X) \in \mathcal{H}_N[X]$  is the Hecke-Andrianov polynomial at  $\ell \nmid Np$ , and  $\lambda_{Y_0}^*(U_0) = a(p, \mathcal{F})$ , and  $\lambda_{Y_0}^*(U^1) = a(p, \mathcal{F}) \times a(p, G)$ .

<sup>12</sup>According to Hida's control theorem, the weight map  $w_1 : \mathcal{C}_N \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  is étale at  $f_\alpha$ , and since  $w$  is locally finite, one can shrink any affinoid neighborhood of  $f_\alpha$  to ensure that it will be étale over  $\mathcal{V}$ .

It is enough to prove that for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\lambda(g_n) = 0$ . Note that the classical points old at  $p$  of  $\mathcal{U}^0, \mathcal{U}^1$  form a dense set  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathcal{U}^0 \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{U}^1$ . It follows from Proposition 9.2 that the points  $\Sigma$  lift to a set  $\tilde{\Sigma}^{13}$  of points of  $\mathcal{E}_{N,W}^1$ . Hence, for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the specialization of  $\lambda(g_i)$  at the points of the dense subset  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathcal{U}^0 \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{U}^1$  is trivial, yielding that

$$(32) \quad \lambda(g_i) = 0 \text{ for any } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Hence, we obtain a surjective homomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_{N,W}^1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U}^0) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U}^1),$$

yielding a morphism  $\mathcal{U}^0 \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{U}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{N,W}^1$ , and its image is an irreducible component of  $\mathcal{E}_{N,W}^1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 9.4.** *Assume  $N > 1$  is squarefree and not prime. Assume that  $f$  is Steinberg for at least two primes  $\ell_i \mid N, i = 1, 2$ . Then the Siegel eigenvarieties  $\mathcal{E}_N$  of tame level  $N$  is singular at  $x$  and there exists at least two  $p$ -adic families specializing to  $\pi_\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* If  $f$  is Steinberg at  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$ , then by the previous theorem we get two irreducible components of  $\mathcal{E}_N$  (they are endoscopic) specializing to  $\pi_\alpha$  by taking  $\mathcal{U}^1$  arising from  $\mathcal{Y}_{\ell_i}$ .  $\square$

A direct consequence of the above corollary is that  $\kappa : \mathcal{E}_N \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  is ramified at  $\pi_\alpha$ . Let  $S_k(N)^{|\mathbb{U}|_p=1}[\pi_\alpha]$  be the generalized eigenspace attached to  $\pi_\alpha$  inside the  $L$ -vector space of locally analytic overconvergent Siegel cusp forms  $S_k(N)^{|\mathbb{U}|_p=1}$  of tame level  $\Gamma(N)$  and slope 1 for  $\mathbb{U}$ .

**Corollary 9.5.** *One has  $\dim_L S_k(N)^{|\mathbb{U}|_p=1}[\pi_\alpha] \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{W}$  is smooth at  $\kappa(x)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_N$  is singular at  $x$ , the local ring  $\mathcal{T}_0 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G},x}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}_{W,\kappa(x)}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G},x}$  of the fiber of  $\kappa^{-1}(\kappa(x))$  at  $x$  is Artinian with a non-trivial tangent space (since  $\kappa$  is necessarily ramified at  $x$  in this case). On the other hand, it follows from the construction of eigenvarieties that the local ring  $\mathcal{T}_0$  at  $x$  of the fiber  $\kappa^{-1}(\kappa(x))$  acts faithfully on  $S_k(N)^{|\mathbb{U}|_p=1}[\pi_\alpha]$ . Hence,  $\dim S_k(N)^{|\mathbb{U}|_p=1}[\pi_\alpha] \geq 2$  (since  $\dim_L \mathcal{T}_0 \geq 2$ ).  $\square$

<sup>13</sup>Any point of  $\Sigma$  corresponds to a 2-tuple of old forms  $(f_1, g_1)$  at  $p$ . Hence,  $f_1$  (resp.  $g_1$ ) is the  $p$ -ordinary (resp.  $p$ -critical)  $p$ -stabilization of a classical form of level  $\Gamma_0(N)$  (resp.  $\Gamma_0(\ell)$ ) denoted by  $f_1^{old}$  (resp.  $g_1^{old}$ ). So we can consider the Yoshida lift of  $(f_1^{old}, g_1^{old})$  and take its semi-ordinary  $p$ -stabilization which gives a point of  $\tilde{\Sigma} \subset \mathcal{E}_N^1$ .

## APPENDIX A. SOME BASIC FACTS ABOUT RIGID ANALYTIC GEOMETRY

We shall recall in this section the notions of “very Zariski dense” subset of a rigid analytic space and discuss accumulation points of a Zariski dense set and irreducible components of rigid analytic spaces. Moreover, we will recall some basic properties of finite and torsion-free morphisms of affinoid spaces.

The following proposition is an analogue to [Ber93, Prop.2.1.6] for  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -rigid analytic spaces.

**Proposition A.1.** *Let  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  be a finite morphism between two  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -affinoid spaces,  $y \in f(X) \subset Y$ , and  $g^{-1}(y) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ , then there exists a small affinoid neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}_{i_0}$  of  $y$  in  $Y$  such that  $g^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{i_0}) = \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq n} V_k^{i_0}$ , and  $V_k^{i_0} \cap V_j^{i_0} = \{0\}$ , when  $k \neq j$ . Moreover, for any  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , the domains  $\{V_k^i, i \in I \text{ and } i \leq i_0\}$  form a basis of neighborhood of  $x_k$  when  $\mathcal{U}_i$  varies in a family  $\{\mathcal{U}_i, i \in I \text{ and } i \leq i_0\}$  of basis of affinoids containing  $y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $B$  (resp.  $A$ ) be the affinoid  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -algebra corresponding to  $X$  (resp.  $Y$ ), and  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  be the finite morphism corresponding to  $g$ . Let  $B_y$  be the finite  $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ -algebra  $B \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ ; thanks to [Ber93, Thm.2.1.5] the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$  is Henselian, hence

$$B_y = {}^{14} \prod_{x_i \in g^{-1}(y)} \mathcal{O}_{X,x_i}.$$

On the other hand, one has

$$B_y = B \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{Y,x} = B \otimes_A \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U}_i} \mathcal{O}_Y(\mathcal{U}_i) = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U}_i} B \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_Y(\mathcal{U}_i),$$

where  $\{\mathcal{U}_i, i \in I\}$  runs over the affinoid neighborhood of  $y$ .

Hence, we have

$$\varinjlim_{\mathcal{U}_i, i \in I} B \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_Y(\mathcal{U}_i) = {}^{15} \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U}_i, i \in I} B \widehat{\otimes}_A \mathcal{O}_Y(\mathcal{U}_i) = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U}_i, i \in I} \mathcal{O}_X(g^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_i)) = \prod_{x_i \in g^{-1}(y)} \mathcal{O}_{X,x_i}.$$

Thus, each local component  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x_j}$  of  $\prod_{x_i \in g^{-1}(y)} \mathcal{O}_{X,x_i}$  corresponds to an idempotent  $e_j$  of  $B_y$ . So there exist an  $i_0 \in I$  and orthogonal idempotents  $\{\tilde{e}_j, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X(g^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{i_0}))$  whose image in  $B_y$  is  $\{e_j, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$  and corresponding respectively to  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{O}_X(g^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{i_0})) = \prod_{\tilde{e}_j, 1 \leq j \leq n} \tilde{e}_j \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(g^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{i_0}))$ , and hence each affinoid subdomain  $\text{Spm } \tilde{e}_k \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(g^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{i_0}))$  of  $X$  corresponds to a connected component  $V_k^{i_0}$  of  $g^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{i_0})$  containing  $x_k$ . Hence,  $g^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{i_0}) = \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq n} V_k^{i_0}$ , and  $V_l^{i_0} \cap V_k^{i_0} = \{0\}$ , when  $l \neq k$ .

<sup>14</sup>Since  $B_y$  is finite over the Henselian ring  $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ , it is necessarily a product of local Henselian rings.

<sup>15</sup>Since  $B$  is finite over  $A$ ,  $B \widehat{\otimes}_A \mathcal{O}_Y(\mathcal{U}_i) = B \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_Y(\mathcal{U}_i)$ .

Finally, the rest of the assertion follows from the fact that

$$\lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ i \leq i_0}} \mathcal{O}_X(V_k^i) = \mathcal{O}_{X, x_k},$$

and the inductive limit is taken on the connected component  $V_k^i$  of  $g^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_i)$  containing  $x_k$ , when  $\mathcal{U}_i$  varies over the affinoid neighborhoods of  $x_k$  inside  $\mathcal{U}_{i_0}$ .  $\square$

We recall that  $F$  is an irreducible component of a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -separated reduced rigid analytic space  $X$ , if  $F$  is the image of a connected component of the normalization  $X^{\text{nor}}$  of  $X$  via the normalization morphism  $X^{\text{nor}} \rightarrow X$  (see [Con99]). Moreover, when  $X$  is a reduced affinoid  $\text{Spm } A$ , then the irreducible components of  $X$  correspond to  $\text{Spm } A/\mathcal{P}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is a minimal prime ideal of  $A$ .

We recall also that a subset  $Z$  of a reduced  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -rigid analytic space  $X$  is said to be Zariski-dense if the only analytic subset of  $X$  containing  $Z$  is  $X$  itself.

*Example A.2.* The set  $S = \{(1/p^n, 1/p^m), \text{ where } n \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of the rigid affine plane  $\mathbb{A}_2^{\text{rig}}$  of dimension 2 is Zariski dense but for any open affinoid subdomain  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{A}_2^{\text{rig}}$ , the set  $\mathcal{U} \cap S$  is not Zariski dense in  $\mathcal{U}$  (it follows from the maximum modulus principle).

This example motivates the notion of a very Zariski dense set of a rigid analytic space (see also [Bel10, def.II.5.1]):

**Definition A.3.**

- (i) Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -separated reduced rigid analytic space over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and  $\Sigma \subset X$  be a Zariski dense subset. We say that  $\Sigma$  is *very* Zariski-dense in  $X$  if for every  $x \in \Sigma$  there is a basis of open affinoid neighborhoods  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $\Sigma \cap \mathcal{U}$  is Zariski-dense in  $\mathcal{U}$ .
- (ii) We say that a subset  $Z$  of a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -separated rigid analytic space  $Y$  accumulates at  $y \in Y$  if there is a basis of affinoid neighborhoods  $U \subset Y$  of  $y$  such that  $U \cap Z$  is Zariski-dense in  $U$ .

*Remark A.4.* Let  $X$  be a separated  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -rigid space,  $\{F_i\}$  be the irreducible components of  $X$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  be an admissible open of  $X$ . Then it follows from [Con99, cor.2.2.9] that each irreducible component of  $\mathcal{U}$  is contained in a unique  $F_i$  and for any  $i$ ,  $\mathcal{U} \cap F_i$  is empty or the union of irreducible components of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Proposition A.5.**

- (i) Let  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  be a finite flat morphism between two  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -affinoid spaces such that  $X$  is equidimensional and  $Y$  is irreducible. Assume that  $g$  is étale at a Zariski dense set



$\Sigma$  of points of  $X$ , then after shrinking  $X$  to a smaller admissible open  $X'$  of  $X$ , the restriction  $g : X' \rightarrow g(X')$  is étale and  $g(X')$  is an admissible open of  $Y$ .

(ii) Let  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  be a finite morphism between rigid analytic spaces, then for any irreducible component  $F$  of  $X$ ,  $g(F)$  is a closed irreducible component of  $Y$ .

*Proof.* i) It is known that  $g$  is étale outside of the support of the relative differential sheaf  $\Omega_{X/Y}$ . Moreover, since  $g$  is étale at a Zariski dense set of points of  $X$ , the support  $Z$  of  $\Omega_{X/Y}$  is a Zariski closed set of  $X$  of dimension  $< \dim X$  (since  $\Sigma$  is Zariski dense in all irreducible components of  $X$  by [Con99, Prop.2.2.8]). Hence,  $g|_{X-Z} : X - Z \rightarrow Y$  is étale, and the image of the Zariski open<sup>16</sup>  $X - Z$  under  $g$  is a Zariski open of  $Y$  (a flat morphism is Zariski open).

ii) The assertion follows from the fact that a finite morphism is Zariski closed and [Con99, Proposition.2.2.3].

□

The following proposition was proved by Chenevier in [Che04] using base change arguments. We give in the following a more direct proof:

**Proposition A.6.** *Let  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  be a finite torsion-free morphism between two reduced  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -affinoid spaces and such that  $Y$  is irreducible. Then :*

(i)  $X$  is equidimensional of dimension equal to  $\dim Y$  and the image of each irreducible component of  $X$  under  $g$  is  $Y$ .

(ii) Let  $\Sigma$  be a Zariski dense set of  $Y$ , then  $g^{-1}(\Sigma)$  is Zariski dense in  $X$ .

*Proof.*

i) Let  $B$  (resp.  $A$ ) be the affinoid algebra corresponding to  $X$  (resp.  $Y$ ) and  $g^* : A \rightarrow B$  be the finite torsion-free morphism corresponding to  $g$ . Since  $Y$  is irreducible and reduced,  $A$  is a domain. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a minimal prime ideal of  $B$  corresponding to an irreducible component  $F$  of  $X$ , it follows from the fact that  $B$  is a torsion-free finite  $A$ -algebra that the morphism  $A \rightarrow B/\mathcal{P}$  is injective (since the zero divisors of a reduced Noetherian ring are the union of its minimal prime ideals). Moreover, the image of the natural composition  $F \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$  is dense, because  $A \rightarrow B/\mathcal{P}$  is injective (so the image of the morphism  $\text{Spec } B/\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  is Zariski dense) and  $\text{Spec } A$  and  $\text{Spec } B$  are Jacobson schemes (so  $\text{Spm } A$  is Zariski dense in  $\text{Spec } A$ , and the same for  $B$ ).

However,  $g$  is also finite, and then Zariski closed. Hence, the irreducible component  $F$  of  $X$  surjects onto  $Y$ , and since the morphism  $A \rightarrow B/\mathcal{P}$  is injective and finite, then  $\dim F = \dim Y$

---

<sup>16</sup>Note that a Zariski open  $U$  of rigid analytic space  $X$  is not necessarily an affinoid subdomain of  $X$ . Take  $X = \text{Spm } \mathbb{Q}_p \langle T \rangle$  and  $U = D(T)$  the locus where  $T$  is invertible; it is clear that  $U$  doesn't satisfy the maximal modulus principle for the function  $1/T$ , and hence  $U$  is not an affinoid. However, any Zariski open is an admissible open for the rigid topology.

(it follows from the Going-up theorem), and hence  $X$  is equidimensional of dimension equal to  $\dim Y$ .

ii) A subset  $\Sigma' \subset X$  is a Zariski dense set of a reduced affinoid  $X$  if and only if for any irreducible component  $F$  of  $X$  (see [Con99, Prop.2.2.8],  $\Sigma' \cap F$  is a Zariski dense set of  $F$ . Thus, it is enough to prove the assertion when  $X$  is reduced and irreducible. Assume that  $X$  is irreducible and that  $\Sigma$  is a Zariski dense set of  $Y$ . Let  $\Sigma' \subset X$  denote the subset  $g^{-1}(\Sigma)$  of  $X$ . Since  $g$  is finite and torsion-free, then  $g$  is closed for the Zariski topology and surjective, and then the Zariski closure of  $\Sigma'$  is necessarily an analytic subspace  $Z \subset X$  of dimension equal to  $\dim Y = \dim X$ , because  $g(Z)$  is a Zariski closed set of  $Y$  containing  $\Sigma$  (so  $g(Z)$  contains  $Y$  the closure of  $\Sigma$ ). Hence,  $Z$  is finite and surjects on  $Y$  and it follows that  $Z = X$ , since they have the same dimension and  $X$  is irreducible. □

**Lemma A.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{U} = \text{Spm } A$  be an equidimensional affinoid of dimension 2,  $F$  be a Zariski closed subset of  $\mathcal{U}$  of dimension  $\leq 1$ ,  $\mathcal{U}'$  be the admissible open given by  $\mathcal{U} - F$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be a Zariski dense set of  $\mathcal{U}$ , then  $\Sigma' = \Sigma \cap \mathcal{U}'$  is Zariski dense in  $\mathcal{U}$  and in  $\mathcal{U}'$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $\Sigma = \Sigma' \cup (\Sigma \cap F)$ . Hence, the Zariski closure  $\bar{\Sigma}$  of  $\Sigma$  is equal to the union of the Zariski closure  $\bar{\Sigma}'$  of  $\Sigma'$  with the closure  $\overline{\Sigma \cap F}$  of  $\Sigma \cap F$ . On the other hand,  $\bar{\Sigma} = \mathcal{U}$  and it is equidimensional of dimension 2, and  $\overline{\Sigma \cap F} \subset F$  is of dimension at most one. Hence,  $\bar{\Sigma}' = \mathcal{U}$ , yielding that  $\Sigma'$  is dense in  $\mathcal{U}$  and so in  $\mathcal{U}'$ . □

## APPENDIX B. ON THE VERY ZARISKI DENSITY OF CLASSICAL POINTS IN THE EIGENVARIETY $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$

The goal of this section is to recall quickly the construction of the Siegel eigenvarieties and to prove that classical points which are old at  $p$  and of cohomological weights are very Zariski dense in them.

**B.1. The Weight space  $\mathcal{W}$ .** Recall that the connected components of  $\mathcal{W}$  are naturally indexed by  $\mathcal{W}^{a,b}$ , where  $(a, b) \in (\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z})^2$ . The classical weights  $(k_1, k_2) \in \mathcal{W}^{a,b}$  are congruent to  $(a, b) \pmod{p-1}$ , in other words, the discrete part of the restriction of any character of  $\mathcal{W}^{a,b}(\mathbb{C}_p)$  to  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^\times$  is  $(\omega_p^a, \omega_p^b)$ , where  $\omega_p$  is the Teichmüller character. In addition, the formal scheme  $\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p[[T_1, T_1]]$  is a Raynaud's formal model of any connected component<sup>17</sup>  $\mathcal{W}^{a,b}$  of the weight space  $\mathcal{W}$ .

<sup>17</sup>Note that  $\text{Spm } \mathbb{Z}_p[[T_1, T_1]][1/p] = \mathcal{W}^{a,b}$ , and  $\text{Spm } \mathbb{Z}_p[[T_1, T_1]][1/p]$  is the open disk of dimension 2 and radius 1.

Now, let  $\underline{k} = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , any morphism  $\underline{k} : (\mathbb{Z}_p^\times)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  sending  $(z_1, z_2) \rightarrow z_1^{k_1} \cdot z_2^{k_2}$  give a point of  $\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and which denote again by  $\underline{k}$ , and we call it “an algebraic weight”. More generally, any character of  $\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{C}_p)$  which is a product of a character  $\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \mathcal{W}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  with a finite character  $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}_p^\times)^2 \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$  is called “an arithmetic character” and denoted by  $(\underline{k}, \chi)$ .

**Lemma B.1.** *The classical weights  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  of  $\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  are very Zariski dense in the weight space  $\mathcal{W}$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from the Weierstrass preparation theorem that the set  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  of integral weights is Zariski-dense in  $\mathcal{W}$ . Moreover, the  $p$ -adic topology on the union of open discs  $\mathcal{W}$  induces by restriction the topology on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  for which we have a natural basis of neighborhood of  $\underline{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  given by the congruence classes modulo  $p^n(p-1)$  for all  $n$ . Hence  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  is very Zariski dense.  $\square$

**B.2. Geometric Siegel cuspforms.** Let  $G$  denote the algebraic group  $\mathrm{GSp}_4$  and  $\Gamma(N)$  be the open compact subgroup of  $G(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$  of level  $N$  given by  $\{\gamma \in G(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \mid \gamma = \mathbb{1}_4 \pmod{N}\}$ .

Assume now that  $N \geq 5$ , and let  $X/\mathbb{Z}_p$ <sup>18</sup> be the Siegel scheme of level  $\Gamma(N) \cap I_1$ , where  $I_r$  is the standard Iwahoric at  $p$  of  $G$  given by  $\{\gamma \in \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Z}_p) \mid \gamma \pmod{p^r} \in B(\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})\}$  and  $B$  is the Borel of  $\mathrm{GSp}_4$ . There exists a universal abelian scheme  $A/X$  with identity section  $e$  and we let  $\omega := e^*(\Omega_{A/X})$  be the conormal sheaf. Note that  $\omega$  is a locally free sheaf of rank 2 over  $X$ . Let  $\bar{X}$  denote a toroidal compactification of  $X$  (it is not unique and depends on a combinatorial choice, see [FC90]),  $\bar{A}$  be the semi-abelian scheme extending  $A$  to  $\bar{X}$  and  $D = \bar{X}/X$  be the normal crossing divisor at infinity. The sheaf  $\omega$  extends to a locally free sheaf of rank 2 over  $\bar{X}$ , which we again denote by  $\omega$ .

The classical cuspidal Siegel forms of level  $\Gamma(N) \cap I_1$  and weight  $k = (k_1, k_2)$  and coefficients in a  $p$ -adic field  $L$  (we have  $k_1 \geq k_2$ ) are the elements of  $H^0(\bar{X}_L, \omega_L^k(-D))$ <sup>19</sup>, where  $\omega^k$  is the locally free sheaf  $\mathrm{Sym}^{k_1-k_2}\omega \otimes \det \omega^{k_2}$ , and  $\omega_L^k$  is the base change of  $\omega^k$  to  $\bar{X}_L$ . Let  $\bar{X}^{\mathrm{rig}}/\mathbb{Q}_p$  be the rigid analytic space given by taking the *generic fiber* of the formal scheme given by the completion of  $\bar{X}$  along its special fiber, and writing again  $\omega$  for the analytification of  $\omega$ , and let  $\bar{X}^{\mathrm{ord}}$  be the multiplicative ordinary locus of  $\bar{X}^{\mathrm{rig}}$  (it is not an affinoid), then the  $p$ -adic (resp.  $v$ -overconvergent) cuspidal Siegel modular forms of tame level  $\Gamma(N)$  weight  $k = (k_1, k_2)$  and coefficients in  $L$  are  $H^0(\bar{X}_L^{\mathrm{ord}}, \omega_L^k(-D))$  (resp.  $H^0(\bar{X}_L^{\mathrm{ord}}(v), \omega_L^k(-D))$ ), where  $\bar{X}(v)$  is the  $v$ -overconvergent neighborhood of the multiplicative ordinary locus  $\bar{X}^{\mathrm{ord}}$  (note that  $D \subset \bar{X}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ , since  $\bar{A}$  is toric over  $D$ ).

<sup>18</sup>The generic fiber  $X/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is smooth, and the special fiber  $X/\mathbb{F}_p$  is singular, and it even has vertical components with respect to the Kottwitz-Rapoport stratification.

<sup>19</sup>It follows from Koecher principle that  $H^0(\bar{X}_L, \omega_L^k)$  does not depend on the choice of the toroidal compactification  $\bar{X}$  of  $X$ .

Andreatta, Iovita and Pilloni constructed for any weight  $k \in \mathcal{W}(\mathbb{C}_p)$  and certain parameters  $v, w \in \mathbb{R}_+^\times$  a Banach sheaf  $\omega_w^k$  over  $\bar{X}(v)$ , and a natural sheaf monomorphism  $\omega^k \hookrightarrow \omega_w^k$  when  $k = (k_1, k_2)$  is classical (see [AIP15]), and they describe precisely the cokernel of that monomorphism. The sheaf  $\omega_w^k$  is isomorphic locally for the étale topology to the  $w$ -analytic induction of the Borel  $B(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  to the Iwahoric of  $\mathrm{GL}_2$  with respect to the character  $k$ . Note that any character  $k \in \mathcal{W}(\mathbb{C}_p)$  is locally analytic by [AIP15, §2.2] and hence  $\omega_w^k$  is a non-zero Banach sheaf (The sections of  $\omega_w^k$  are congruent to the image of the Hodge-Tate map by [AIP15, Prop.4.3.1]).

The  $p$ -adic modular forms obtained by this interpolation are locally analytic overconvergent (not necessarily overconvergent), however those satisfying the slope condition of [AIP15, Thm. 7.1.1] are overconvergent (see also [AIP15, Prop.2.5.1.] and [AIP15, Prop.7.2.1]). Note that this construction is independent of the choice of the toroidal compactification of  $\bar{X}$  (see [L74, Thm.1.6.1] and [AIP15, Prop.5.5.2]) and we denote the corresponding eigenvariety by  $\mathcal{E}_N$ .

### B.3. Local charts of the variety $\mathcal{E}_N$ and density of classical points of $\mathcal{E}_N$ .

Let  $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^\times)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  be a character,  $\mathcal{W}^\chi$  the connected component of  $\mathcal{W}$  corresponding to  $\chi$ , and  $\mathcal{E}_N^\chi$  the union of connected components of  $\mathcal{E}_N$  given by the restriction of  $\mathcal{E}_N$  to  $\mathcal{W}^\chi$ .

For  $w, v \in \mathbb{R}$  let  $W = \mathrm{Spm} R$  be a small enough affinoid subdomain of  $\mathcal{W}^\chi$  to ensure the existence of the Banach sheaf  $\omega_w^k(-D)$  of  $\bar{X}(v) \times \mathrm{Spm} R$  interpolating the Banach sheaf  $\omega_w^k(-D)$  of  $w$ -analytic  $v$ -overconvergent Siegel cusp form when  $k$  varies in  $\mathrm{Spm} R$  ( $\kappa$  denotes here the tautological character  $(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times)^2 \rightarrow R^\times$ ).

On the other hand, let  $S_\kappa^\dagger$  be the Fréchet  $R$ -module of  $\epsilon$ -overconvergent cuspidal Siegel families over the affinoid  $R$  and given by

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 0, w \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{H}^0(\bar{X}(v) \times \mathrm{Spm} R, \omega_w^\kappa(-D)).$$

The action of the Hecke operator  $\mathbb{U} = U_0.U_1$  is completely continuous on the Fréchet  $R$ -module  $S_\kappa^\dagger$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_{W,r}$  be the image of the Hecke algebra generated over  $R$  by the image of  $\mathcal{H}_N$  in  $S_\kappa^{\dagger, v \leq r}$ , where  $S_\kappa^{\dagger, \leq r}$  is the  $R$ -finite submodule of  $S_\kappa^\dagger$  of slope at most  $r$  for  $\mathbb{U} = U_0.U_1$ .<sup>20</sup> It follows from the results of [Bel10, §.II] that

$$(33) \quad \mathcal{E}_{N,W}^r := \mathrm{Spm} \mathcal{T}_{W,r},$$

is an affinoid subdomain of  $\mathcal{E}_N$  and by construction  $\mathcal{E}_{N,W}^r$  is finite and torsion-free over  $W$  and the  $\{\mathcal{E}_{N,W}^r\}$  form an admissible covering of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

<sup>20</sup>Note that the action of  $\mathbb{U}$  is completely continuous on  $S_\kappa^\dagger$ , so we have a slope decomposition.

Since the ordinary locus of any toroidal compactification of the Siegel modular scheme is not an affinoid, we cannot prove that the specialization

$$H^0(\bar{X}(v) \times_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \mathrm{Spm} R, \omega_w^\kappa) \rightarrow H^0(\bar{X}(v), \omega_w^k)$$

is surjective and that  $H^0(\bar{X}(v) \times \mathrm{Spm} R, \omega_w^\kappa)$  is a projective  $R$ -Banach module. However, Andreatta-Iovita-Pilloni proved in [AIP15, Prop.8.2.3.3] a control theorem for cuspidal families and that  $H^0(\bar{X}(v) \times \mathrm{Spm} R, \omega_w^\kappa(-D))$  is a projective  $R$ -Banach module, by projecting the sheaf  $\omega_w^\kappa(-D)$  to the minimal compactification of the Siegel modular scheme, and using the fact that small  $v$ -overconvergent neighborhoods of the multiplicative ordinary locus of the minimal compactification of the Siegel modular scheme are affinoid spaces, and the deep descent result [AIP15, Prop.8.2.2.4].

Skinner-Urban constructed in [SU06, §2] a semi-ordinary eigenvariety  $\mathcal{E}_N^{|U_0|_p=1} \subset \mathcal{E}_N$  for overconvergent Siegel cusp forms of tame level  $\Gamma(N)$  and genus 2 by interpolating the locally free sheaf  $\omega^k$  inside a Banach sheaf  $\omega_w^\kappa$  over the weight space  $\mathcal{W}$  using the Igusa tower. That construction is a special case of the construction given by Andreatta-Iovita and Pilloni in [AIP15] of the eigenvariety  $\mathcal{E}_N$ , since the linearization of the Hodge-Tate map

$$\mathrm{HT}_{H_n^D} : H_n^D \rightarrow \omega_{H_n}$$

is surjective on the multiplicative ordinary locus ( $H_n \subset \bar{A}$  is the level  $n$  canonical subgroup and  $H_n^D$  is its Cartier dual), and the fact that any semi-ordinary (i.e. of slope 0 for  $U_0$ )  $p$ -adic Siegel cuspforms of finite slope for  $U_1$  overconverges to a strict neighborhood of the ordinary locus. For the latter note that under the iteration of the Hecke correspondances at  $p$ , an overconvergent neighborhood of  $X^{\mathrm{ord}}$  accumulates around the multiplicative ordinary locus  $X^{\mathrm{ord}}$ . The correspondence  $U_0$  improves the radius of overconvergence. Hence, the functional equation  $U_0.g = U_0(g).g$  allows us to extend  $g$  to a bigger neighborhood of the multiplicative ordinary locus when  $U_0(f) \neq 0$  (the function degree of [Pil11, Thm.3.1.] increases under the iteration of  $U_0$ ). Meanwhile, one can use a similar functional equation for  $U_1$  to get classality at the level of the sheaves when the slope satisfies the condition of [AIP15, Prop.7.3.1].

By construction of  $\mathcal{E}_N$  we have an algebra homomorphism  $\mathcal{H}_N \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_N}^{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{E}_N)$ , and the image lands in the subring  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_N}^{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathcal{E}_N)^+$  given by the global section bounded by 1 on  $\mathcal{E}_N$ . Therefore, the canonical application “system of eigenvalues” induces a correspondence between the systems of eigenvalues for Hecke operators occurring in  $\mathcal{H}_N$  of locally analytic overconvergent cuspidal Siegel eigenforms of tame level  $\Gamma(N)$  and weight  $k \in \mathcal{W}(\mathbb{C}_p)$  having nonzero  $\mathbb{U}$ -eigenvalue, and the set of  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -valued points of weight  $k = (k_1, k_2)$  on the Siegel eigenvariety  $\mathcal{E}_N$ . Note that for

any overconvergent form  $g$  corresponding to a point of  $\mathcal{E}_N$  of weights  $(l_1, l_2)$ ,

$$(34) \quad g | U_1 = p^{l_2-3} U_1(g) \cdot g;$$

we renormalize  $U_1$  in the aim to have a good  $p$ -adic interpolation (see for example [SU06, Thm.2.4.14]).

One has the following Lemmas proving the very Zariski density of the classical points having a crystalline representation at  $p$  in  $\mathcal{E}_N$ , which is important for applying further the results of [BC09, §4] (see the hypothesis (HT) of [BC09, §.3.3.2]).

**Lemma B.2.** *Let  $z \in \mathcal{E}_N$  be a classical point, then there exists an affinoid neighborhood  $\Omega$  of  $z$  in  $\mathcal{E}_N$  of constant slopes for  $U_0, U_1$  and such that the old at  $p$  classical points of regular weights of  $\Omega$  are very Zariski dense in it,  $\kappa(\Omega)$  is an open affinoid subdomain of  $\mathcal{W}$ , and each irreducible component of  $\Omega$  surjects to  $\kappa(\Omega)$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $\mathcal{E}_N$  is admissibly covered by  $\{\mathcal{E}_{N,W}^r\}$ . Hence, there exists an affinoid subdomain  $\mathcal{E}_{N,W}^r$  of  $\mathcal{E}_N$  containing  $z$  and surjecting on the affinoid subdomain  $W \subset \mathcal{W}$ . By construction of  $\mathcal{E}_N$ , the slopes of  $U_0, U_1$  are locally constant. Then Prop.A.1 and Prop.A.6 yields that we can shrink  $\mathcal{E}_{N,W}^r$  to a smaller open affinoid subdomain  $\Omega$  of  $\mathcal{E}_N$  containing  $z$  and with constant slope  $S_1$  (resp.  $S_2$ ) for the Hecke operator  $U_0$  (resp.  $U_1$ ) and such that  $\kappa(\Omega)$  is an open affinoid subdomain of  $\mathcal{W}$ , and  $\kappa : \Omega \rightarrow \kappa(\Omega)$  is finite and torsion-free (so the restriction of  $\kappa$  to any irreducible component of  $\Omega$  is surjective by Prop.A.6).

Since  $\Omega$  contains the classical point  $z$ , then the points of  $\Omega$  with weights satisfying the small slope conditions of [AIP15, Thm.7.1.1] form a Zariski dense set in  $\Omega$ , because the *algebraic* points  $(l_1, l_2)$  of  $\kappa(\Omega)$  satisfying the inequality of the small slope conditions of [AIP15, Thm.7.1.1] form a Zariski dense set of  $\kappa(\Omega)$  (so their preimage is dense in  $\Omega$  by Prop.A.6). Moreover, it follows from the criterion of classicality of overconvergent forms that the points satisfying the small slope conditions of [AIP15, Thm.7.1.1] are necessarily classical. Actually, Prop.A.1, Prop.A.6 and Lemma B.1 show that classical points of  $\Omega$  are very Zariski-dense in it. Finally, the assertion follows from the fact that the classical points of  $\Omega$  with sufficiently *regular* weights satisfy the assumptions of [SU06, Thm.2.4.17], and hence they are old at  $p$ .  $\square$

**Corollary B.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}_N^{\text{ord},1}$  be the admissible open of  $\mathcal{E}_N$  defined by*

$$\mathcal{E}_N^{\text{ord},1} := \{x \in \mathcal{E}_N, |U_0(x)|_p = 1, |U_1(x)|_p = p^{-1}\},$$

*$C \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ , and  $\Sigma_C$  be the set of points of  $\mathcal{E}_N^{\text{ord},1}$  of “algebraic weights”  $(k_1, k_2)$  satisfying  $k_1 > k_2 + C \geq \text{Max}(9, C)$ . Then:*

(i) *The overconvergent cuspforms of  $\Sigma_C$  are classical and old at  $p$ .*

- (ii) The set  $\Sigma_C$  is very Zariski dense in  $\mathcal{E}_N^{\text{ord},1}$ .
- (iii) The point  $x$  of  $\mathcal{E}_N^{\text{ord},1}$  corresponding to  $\pi_\alpha$  is an accumulation point of  $\Sigma_C$ .

*Proof.* The points of  $\Sigma_C$  have slope equal to 1, Iwahoric level at  $p$  and satisfy the slope condition  $1 < k_1 - k_2 + 1, k_2 \gg 0$  of the classicality criterion for overconvergent Siegel cuspforms. Hence they are necessarily classical. A direct computation shows that the points of  $\Sigma_C$  satisfy the assumptions of [SU06, Thm.2.4.17], and hence they are necessarily old at  $p$ .

Since the algebraic weights  $(k_1, k_2)$  with  $k_1 > k_2 + C \geq \text{Max}(9, C)$  are very Zariski dense in  $\mathcal{W}$  (see Lemma B.1), the assertion of (ii) and (iii) follows directly from the argument already used to proof Lemma B.2. □

**B.4. Siegel eigenvariety of paramodular level  $N$ .** Let  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  be the Siegel eigenvariety of tame level the paramodular group  $\Delta$ . Since the classical Siegel cuspforms of level  $\Delta \cap I_1$  are necessarily of level  $\Gamma(N) \cap I_1$ , the results of [Bel10, II.5.] yields that there exists a natural closed immersion  $\iota : \mathcal{E}_\Delta \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_N$  compatible with the system of Hecke eigenvalues and the weights:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathcal{E}_\Delta & \\
 \iota \swarrow & \circlearrowleft & \searrow \kappa \\
 \mathcal{E}_N & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & \mathcal{W}
 \end{array}$$

Since the restricted Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{Np}$  generated over  $\mathbb{Z}$  by the Hecke operators  $T_{\ell,1}, T_{\ell,2}, S_\ell$  for  $\ell \nmid Np$  acts semi-simply on classical cuspidal Siegel paramodular eigenforms of cohomological weights, [Bel10, Lemma.I.9.1] implies that  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  is reduced. Note also that  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  is equidimensional of dimension 2.

**Corollary B.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta^{\text{ord},1}$  be the admissible open of  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta$  defined by*

$$\mathcal{E}_\Delta^{\text{ord},1} := \{x \in \mathcal{E}_\Delta, |U_0(x)|_p = 1, |U_1(x)|_p = p^{-1}\},$$

*$C \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ , and  $\Sigma_C$  be the set of points of  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta^{\text{ord},1}$  of "algebraic weights"  $(k_1, k_2)$  satisfying  $k_1 > k_2 + C \geq \text{Max}(9, C)$ . Then:*

- (i) *The overconvergent cuspforms of  $\Sigma_C$  are classical and old at  $p$ .*
- (ii) *The set  $\Sigma_C$  is very Zariski dense in  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta^{\text{ord},1}$ .*
- (iii) *The point  $x$  of  $\mathcal{E}_\Delta^{\text{ord},1}$  corresponding to  $\pi_\alpha$  is an accumulation point of  $\Sigma_C$ .*

*Proof.* It follows immediately from Corollary B.3 and the fact that a subset of an affinoid space is a Zariski dense if and only if its intersection with any irreducible component is Zariski dense in that irreducible component (see [Con99, Prop.2.2.8]). □

**B.5. The Coleman-Mazur eigencurve.** It follows from the construction of the eigencurve  $\mathcal{C}_N$  that there exists a morphism  $\mathbb{Z}[T_l, U_p]_{\ell \nmid Np} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_N)$  such that the application defined by taking the system of Hecke eigenvalues  $\mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[T_l, U_p]_{\ell \nmid Np}, \mathbb{C}_p)$  induces a correspondence between the systems of Hecke eigenvalues for  $\{T_l, U_p\}_{\ell \nmid Np}$  of normalised overconvergent modular eigenforms with Fourier coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}_p$ , of tame level  $N$  and of weight  $w \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{C}_p)$ , finite slope and the set of  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -valued points of weight  $w$  on the eigencurve  $\mathcal{C}_N$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}_N^{\text{full}}$  be the full eigencurve of tame level  $N$  constructed using the Hecke operators  $T_\ell$  for  $\ell \nmid Np$  and  $U_\ell$  for  $\ell \mid Np$ .

There exists a natural locally finite surjective morphism  $\mathcal{C}_N^{\text{full}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_N$  (it is not injective when  $N \geq 4$ ). There is a natural bijection between  $\mathcal{C}_N^{\text{full}}(\mathbb{C}_p)$  and the set of overconvergent eigenforms with finite slope, tame level  $N$  and weight in  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbb{C}_p)$ , which sends  $g$  to the system of eigenvalues  $\{(T_\ell(g))_{\ell \nmid Np}, (U_\ell(g))_{\ell \mid Np}\}$ .

By construction of the full eigencurve, the ordinary locus of  $\mathcal{C}_N^{\text{full}}$  (the open-closed locus where  $|U_p|_p = 1$ ) has a formal model  $\text{Spf } h^{\text{ord}}(Np^\infty)$ . Moreover, the irreducible components of the ordinary locus of  $\mathcal{C}_N^{\text{full}}$  correspond to the irreducible components of  $\text{Spec } h^{\text{ord}}(Np^\infty)$ , and hence to Galois orbit of Hida families of tame level  $N$ .

It follows from Hida [Hid86] (the ‘‘control theorem’’) that the eigencurve  $\mathcal{C}_N$  is étale over the weight space at all classical ordinary points of cohomological weight. This result has been generalized to all non-critical  $p$ -regular<sup>21</sup> classical points of cohomological weight by Coleman and Mazur [CM98, 7.6.2]. Their argument is based on showing that the generalized eigenspace of a such form consists only of classical forms (using the classicality criterion of [Col96]) and that the multiplicity of the operator  $U_p$  is exactly one by  $p$ -regularity. However, the étaleness of the weight map can fail in weight one (see [CV03] and [BD16]). In particular, the eigencurve is not Gorenstein (so singular) at  $p$ -irregular weight one Eisenstein series (see [BDP18]).

Thus,  $\mathcal{C}_N$  is smooth at  $f_\alpha$  (since it is étale over  $\mathcal{V}$  at  $f_\alpha$ ), then there is a unique component of  $\mathcal{C}_N$  specializing to  $f_\alpha$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n, \mathcal{F})q^n$  denote the unique, up to Galois conjugacy, Hida family specializing to  $f_\alpha$ . Recall that  $\mathbb{I}$  is the finite integral extension of  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$  generated by the Fourier coefficients of  $\mathcal{F}$ , and let  $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{I}}$  denote the irreducible component of  $\mathcal{C}_N$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{F}$  ( $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C}_p) = \text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{C}_p)$ ). One can see that the classical specialization of the family  $\mathcal{F}$  of weight  $2k - 2$  have a constant sign of the functional equation of their  $L$ -function, and if their weight  $2k - 2$  is congruent to a constant  $a \pmod{p - 1}$ , then they belong to the same connected component  $\mathcal{V}^a$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  ( $\mathcal{V}^a(\mathbb{C}_p) = \text{Hom}(1 + p^\nu \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{C}_p^\times) = \text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]], \mathbb{C}_p)$ ), where  $\nu = 2$  when  $p \geq 3$  and  $\nu = 4$  when  $p = 2$ .

---

<sup>21</sup>Conjecturally, any classical eigenform of cohomological weight is  $p$ -regular (i.e its Hecke polynomial at  $p$  has distinct roots).



Skinner-Urban constructed in [SU06, Prop.4.2.5] a Siegel cuspidal eigenfamily  $SK(\mathcal{F})$  of parallel weight and tame level  $\Delta$  and such that it is the Saito-Kurokawa lift to  $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$  of the Hida family  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Proposition B.5** ([SU06] Prop.4.2.5). *There exists a Zariski closed immersion  $\lambda_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{I}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\Delta}^1$  with image denoted by  $\mathfrak{Y}$  and such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{I}} & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}} & \mathcal{E}_{\Delta}^1 \\ \downarrow w & & \downarrow \kappa \\ \mathcal{V}^{2a} & \xrightarrow{\lambda_w} & \mathcal{W}^{a+1, a+1} \end{array}$$

where  $\lambda_w(2k-2) = (k, k)$  and the morphism  $\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$  corresponds to the morphism

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^* : \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_{\Delta}^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{I}[1/p] = \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{I}})$$

defined by

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^*(P_{\ell}(X)) = (X - \langle \ell \rangle^{1/2})(X - \langle \ell \rangle^{1/2} \ell^{-1})(X^2 - a_{\ell, \mathcal{F}} X + \ell \langle \ell \rangle \omega_p^a(\ell)), \text{ for any } \ell \nmid Np,$$

where  $\langle \ell \rangle$  is the image of  $\ell \nmid Np$  via the composition  $1 + p^{\nu} \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[[1 + p^{\nu} \mathbb{Z}_p]]^{\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V})^{\times}$ ,  $P_{\ell}(X) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}_{\Delta}^1)[X]$  is the Hecke-Andrianov polynomial at  $\ell \nmid Np$  and  $\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^*(U_0) = a(p, \mathcal{F})$ ,  $\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}^*(U_1) = p \cdot a(p, \mathcal{F})$ .

#### APPENDIX C. SOME EXAMPLES WHERE $\dim H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)) = 1$

Using Nekovar's result [Nek06, Prop.4.2.3] about  $\mathbb{I}$ -adic Selmer groups mentioned before Corollary 7.6 we can exhibit infinitely many examples of modular forms  $f$  of weight  $k \geq 3$  such that they satisfy the condition  $\dim H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(k-1)) = 1$  in Theorem 7.7. This requires finding suitable elliptic curves with ordinary reduction at  $p$  and considering their corresponding Hida family  $\mathcal{F}$ . One such example is discussed in section 9.1 of [BK17], where for  $p = 5$  and  $N = 731$  the residual Selmer group

$$H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \bar{\rho}_{E,p}(1)) = H_{f, \text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_{E,p}(1) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)[p] = \text{Sel}_p(E)[p]$$

of the rank 1 elliptic curve  $E$  (Cremona label 731a1) is calculated to have order 5 (since the order of vanishing of  $L(f, s)$  at  $s = 1$  is one we know that the BSD conjecture holds). This elliptic curve has non-split reduction at both primes dividing  $N$  and good ordinary reduction at 5, with  $a_5(E) = -1$  and therefore  $\alpha \neq 1$ . In addition this example satisfied the condition  $L_p(f_{\alpha}, \omega_p^{-1}, T = p) \neq 0$ .

In the following assume that  $f$  is the  $p$ -ordinary stabilization of the weight two cuspform attached to a rank 1 elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{Q}$ . Recall that  $\mathbb{I}$  is the finite flat extension of  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$  generated by the Fourier coefficients of the Hida family  $\mathcal{F}$  specializing to  $f$  ( $\mathbb{I}$  is an integral domain).

Note that the cohomology groups  $H_{f,\text{unr}}^i(G_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Np}, \rho_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1/2})$  of the Selmer complex are of finite type over  $\mathbb{I}$  when  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  (see [Nek06, Prop.4.2.3]).

Let  $\mathcal{P}_f \subset \mathbb{I}$  be the height one prime ideal corresponding to the system of Hecke eigenvalues of  $f$ . We have the following control theorem proved by Nekovar [Nek06, (0.15.1.1)]

$$(35) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1/2}) \otimes_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}_f}) \otimes_{\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}_f}} \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}_f} / \mathcal{P}_f \rightarrow H_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(1)) \rightarrow H_{f,\text{unr}}^2(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1/2}) \otimes_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}_f})[\mathcal{P}_f],$$

where  $H_{f,\text{unr}}^2(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1/2}) \otimes_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}_f})[\mathcal{P}_f]$  means the submodule annihilated by the prime ideal  $\mathcal{P}_f$ .

Since  $\dim H_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_f(1)) = 1$  Nakayama's lemma applied to (35) yields that the  $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}_f}$ -module  $H_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1/2}) \otimes_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}_f})$  is a monogenic. Moreover, it follows from Corollary 7.6 that  $H_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1/2}) \otimes_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}_f})$  is a torsion-free  $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}_f}$ -module, so

$$H_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1/2}) \otimes_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}_f}) = H_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1/2})) \otimes_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}_f}$$

is a free rank one  $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}_f}$ -module. Thus there exists a principal Zariski open  $D(s)$  of  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{I}$  (where  $s \in \mathbb{I}$ ) such that the localization of  $H_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1/2}))$  at the non-vanishing locus  $D(s)$  is a free rank one  $\mathbb{I}[1/s]$ -module. On the other hand, let  $\mathcal{U} \subset D(s)$  be the Zariski open defined as the complementary of the support<sup>22</sup> of the  $\mathbb{I}$ -torsion part of  $H_{f,\text{unr}}^2(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1/2}))$ . Note that the classical points of  $\mathcal{U}$  are Zariski dense, hence (35) yields that all the classical specializations  $\mathcal{F}_z$  of the Hida family  $\mathcal{F}$  at a point  $z \in \mathcal{U}$  of weight  $k_z$  satisfy

$$\dim H_{f,\text{unr}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_{\mathcal{F}_z}(k-1)) = 1.$$

## REFERENCES

- [AIP15] F. Andreatta, A. Iovita, and V. Pilloni, *p-adic families of Siegel modular cuspforms*, Ann. of Math. (2) **181** (2015), no. 2, 623–697.
- [Art04] James Arthur, *Automorphic representations of GSp(4)*, Contributions to automorphic forms, geometry, and number theory, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 2004, pp. 65–81.
- [BC06] J. Bellaïche and G. Chenevier, *Lissité de la courbe de Hecke de GL<sub>2</sub> aux points Eisenstein critiques*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **5** (2006), no. 2, 333–349.
- [BC09] J. Bellaïche and G. Chenevier, *p-adic families of Galois representations and higher rank Selmer groups*, Astérisque (2009), no. 324.
- [BD16] Joël Bellaïche and Mladen Dimitrov, *On the eigencurve at classical weight 1 points*, Duke Math. J. **165** (2016), no. 2, 245–266.
- [BDP18] Adel Betina, Mladen Dimitrov, and Alice Pozzi, *On the failure of Gorensteinness at weight 1 Eisenstein points of the eigencurve*, preprint.

<sup>22</sup>The support of the  $\mathbb{I}$ -torsion part of  $H_{f,\text{unr}}^2(\mathbb{Q}, \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\chi_{\text{univ}}^{-1/2}))$  is a Zariski closed of dimension at most one in  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{I}$ , and it is of dimension 0 in the generic fiber  $\text{Spm } \mathbb{I}[1/p]$ .

- [Bel08] Joël Bellaïche, *Nonsmooth classical points on eigenvarieties*, Duke Math. J. **145** (2008), no. 1, 71–90.
- [Bel10] Joël Bellaïche, *Eigenvarieties and  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions*, Book in preparation.
- [Bel12] Joël Bellaïche, *Critical  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions*, Invent. Math. **189** (2012), no. 1, 1–60.
- [Ber93] Vladimir G. Berkovich, *Étale cohomology for non-Archimedean analytic spaces*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1993), no. 78, 5–161 (1994).
- [BK17] Tobias Berger and Kris Klosin, *Deformations of Saito-Kurokawa type and the paramodular conjecture*, preprint.
- [Buz07] Kevin Buzzard, *Eigenvarieties,  $L$ -functions and Galois representations*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 320, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007, pp. 59–120.
- [CGJ95] Robert F. Coleman, Fernando Q. Gouvêa, and Naomi Jochnowitz,  *$E_2$ ,  $\Theta$ , and overconvergence*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1995), no. 1, 23–41.
- [Che04] Gaëtan Chenevier, *Familles  $p$ -adiques de formes automorphes pour  $GL_n$* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **570** (2004), 143–217.
- [CM98] R. Coleman and B. Mazur, *The eigencurve*, Galois representations in arithmetic algebraic geometry (Durham, 1996), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 254, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998, pp. 1–113.
- [Col96] Robert F. Coleman, *Classical and overconvergent modular forms*, Invent. Math. **124** (1996), no. 1-3, 215–241.
- [Con99] Brian Conrad, *Irreducible components of rigid spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **49** (1999), no. 2, 473–541.
- [CV03] S. Cho and V. Vatsal, *Deformations of induced Galois representations*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **556** (2003), 79–98.
- [FC90] Gerd Faltings and Ching-Li Chai, *Degeneration of abelian varieties*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 22, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990, With an appendix by David Mumford.
- [GT18] Toby Gee and Olivier Taïbi, *Arthur’s multiplicity formula for  $GSp_4$  and restriction to  $Sp_4$* , preprint.
- [Her17] Valentin Hernandez, *Families of Picard modular forms and an application to the Bloch-Kato conjecture*, preprint.
- [Hid86] H. Hida, *Galois representations into  $GL_2(\mathbf{Z}_p[[X]])$  attached to ordinary cusp forms*, Invent. Math. **85** (1986), no. 3, 545–613.
- [Hid02] Haruzo Hida, *Control theorems of coherent sheaves on Shimura varieties of PEL type*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **1** (2002), no. 1, 1–76.
- [Kat04] K. Kato,  *$p$ -adic Hodge theory and values of zeta functions of modular forms*, Astérisque (2004), no. 295, ix, 117–290, Cohomologies  $p$ -adiques et applications arithmétiques. III.
- [Kis03] Mark Kisin, *Overconvergent modular forms and the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture*, Invent. Math. **153** (2003), no. 2, 373–454.
- [L74] Werner Lütkebohmert, *Der Satz von Remmert-Stein in der nichtarchimedischen Funktionentheorie*, Math. Z. **139** (1974), 69–84.
- [Lau05] Gérard Laumon, *Fonctions zêtas des variétés de Siegel de dimension trois*, Astérisque (2005), no. 302, 1–66, Formes automorphes. II. Le cas du groupe  $GSp(4)$ .
- [Maj15] Dipramit Majumdar, *Geometry of the eigencurve at critical Eisenstein series of weight 2*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **27** (2015), no. 1, 183–197.

- [Miy89] T. Miyake, *Modular forms*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989, Translated from the Japanese by Yoshitaka Maeda.
- [Mok14] C. P. Mok, *Galois representations attached to automorphic forms on  $GL_2$  over CM fields*, Compos. Math. **150** (2014), no. 4, 523–567.
- [MY14] Michitaka Miyachi and Takuya Yamauchi, *An explicit computation of  $p$ -stabilized vectors*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **26** (2014), no. 2, 531–558.
- [Nek06] Jan Nekovář, *Selmer complexes*, Astérisque (2006), no. 310, viii+559.
- [Nys96] Louise Nyssen, *Pseudo-représentations*, Math. Ann. **306** (1996), no. 2, 257–283.
- [Och01] Tadashi Ochiai, *Control theorem for Greenberg’s Selmer groups of Galois deformations*, J. Number Theory **88** (2001), no. 1, 59–85.
- [Pil11] Vincent Pilloni, *Prolongement analytique sur les variétés de Siegel*, Duke Math. J. **157** (2011), no. 1, 167–222.
- [PP94] *Périodes  $p$ -adiques, séminaire de bures sur yvette (1988)*, Astérisque, vol. 223, 1994.
- [PR94] Bernadette Perrin-Riou, *Représentations  $p$ -adiques ordinaires*, Astérisque (1994), no. 223, 185–220, With an appendix by Luc Illusie, Périodes  $p$ -adiques (Bures-sur-Yvette, 1988).
- [Rou96] Raphaël Rouquier, *Caractérisation des caractères et pseudo-caractères*, J. Algebra **180** (1996), no. 2, 571–586.
- [RS07] B. Roberts and R. Schmidt, *Local newforms for  $GSp(4)$* , Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1918, Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [Sch07] Ralf Schmidt, *On classical Saito-Kurokawa liftings*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **604** (2007), 211–236.
- [Sch18] ———, *Packet structure and paramodular forms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **370** (2018), no. 5, 3085–3112.
- [Sor10] C. M. Sorensen, *Galois representations attached to Hilbert-Siegel modular forms*, Doc. Math. **15** (2010), 623–670.
- [SS13] Abhishek Saha and Ralf Schmidt, *Yoshida lifts and simultaneous non-vanishing of dihedral twists of modular  $L$ -functions*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **88** (2013), no. 1, 251–270.
- [SU02] Christopher Skinner and Eric Urban, *Sur les déformations  $p$ -adiques des formes de Saito-Kurokawa*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **335** (2002), no. 7, 581–586.
- [SU06] C. Skinner and E. Urban, *Sur les déformations  $p$ -adiques de certaines représentations automorphes*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **5** (2006), no. 4, 629–698.
- [SU14] ———, *The Iwasawa Main Conjectures for  $GL_2$* , Invent. Math. **195** (2014), no. 1, 1–277.
- [Tay93] Richard Taylor, *On the  $l$ -adic cohomology of Siegel threefolds*, Invent. Math. **114** (1993), no. 2, 289–310.
- [TU99] J. Tilouine and E. Urban, *Several-variable  $p$ -adic families of Siegel-Hilbert cusp eigensystems and their Galois representations*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **32** (1999), no. 4, 499–574.
- [TW95] Richard Taylor and Andrew Wiles, *Ring-theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **141** (1995), no. 3, 553–572.
- [Urb01] Eric Urban, *Selmer groups and the Eisenstein-Klingen ideal*, Duke Math. J. **106** (2001), no. 3, 485–525.
- [Urb05] E. Urban, *Sur les représentations  $p$ -adiques associées aux représentations cuspidales de  $GSp_4/\mathbb{Q}$* , Astérisque (2005), no. 302, 151–176, Formes automorphes. II. Le cas du groupe  $GSp(4)$ .

- [Wei05] R. Weissauer, *Four dimensional Galois representations*, Astérisque (2005), no. 302, 67–150, Formes automorphes. II. Le cas du groupe  $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$ .
- [Wil88] A. Wiles, *On ordinary  $\lambda$ -adic representations associated to modular forms*, Invent. Math. **94** (1988), no. 3, 529–573.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SHEFFIELD, HICKS BUILDING, HOUNSFIELD ROAD, SHEFFIELD S3 7RH, UK.

*E-mail address:* adelbetina@gmail.com