

This is a repository copy of *A sustainable biochar catalyst synergized with copper heteroatoms and CO₂ for singlet oxygenation and electron transfer routes*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/151889/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Wan, Zhonghao, Sun, Yuqing, Tsang, Daniel C.W. et al. (7 more authors) (2019) A sustainable biochar catalyst synergized with copper heteroatoms and CO₂ for singlet oxygenation and electron transfer routes. *Green Chemistry*. pp. 4800-4814. ISSN: 1463-9262

<https://doi.org/10.1039/c9gc01843c>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

**Sustainable biochar catalyst synergized with copper heteroatoms and CO₂ for singlet
oxygenation and electron transfer routes**

Zhonghao Wan¹, Yuqing Sun¹, Daniel C.W. Tsang^{1,*}, Iris K.M. Yu^{1,2}, Jiajun Fan², James H. Clark², Yaoyu
Zhou^{1,3}, Xinde Cao⁴, Bin Gao⁵, Yong Sik Ok⁶

¹ *Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China*

² *Green Chemistry Centre of Excellence, Department of Chemistry, The University of York, York YO10 5DD, UK*

³ *Hunan International Scientific and Technological Cooperation Base of Agricultural Typical Pollution Remediation and
Wetland Protection, College of Resources and Environment, Hunan Agricultural University, Changsha 410128, China*

⁴ *School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China*

⁵ *Department of Agricultural and Biological Engineering, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, United States*

⁶ *Korea Biochar Research Centre & Division of Environmental Science and Ecological Engineering, Korea University, Seoul,
South Korea*

* Corresponding author email: dan.tsang@polyu.edu.hk

Abstract

We have developed a wood waste-derived biochar as a sustainable graphitic carbon catalyst for environmental remediation through catalytic pyrolysis under the synergistic effects between Cu heteroatoms and CO₂, which for the first time are found to significantly enhance the oxygen functionalities, defective sites, and highly ordered *sp*²-hybridized carbon matrix. The copper-doped graphitic biochars (Cu-GBCs) were further characterized by XRD, FTIR, Raman, XPS, *etc.*, revealing that the modified specific surface area, pore structure, graphitization, and active sites (*i.e.*, defective sites and ketonic group) on the Cu-GBCs corresponded to the synergistic Cu species loading and Cu-induced carbon-matrix reformation under CO₂ environment during pyrolysis. The catalytic ability of the Cu-GBCs was evaluated using the ubiquitous peroxydisulfate (PDS) activation system for the removal of various organic contaminants (*i.e.*, Rhodamine B, phenol, bisphenol A, and 4-chlorophenol), and gave the highest degradation rate of 0.0312 min⁻¹ in comparison with those of pristine GBCs and N₂-pyrolyzed Cu-GBCs ranging from 0.0056 to 0.0094 min⁻¹. The synergistic effects were attributed to the encapsulated Cu heteroatoms, evolved ketonic groups, and abundant unconfined π electrons within the carbon lattice. According to scavenger experiments, ESR analysis, and the two-chamber experiments, selective and sustainable non-radical pathways (*i.e.*, singlet oxygenation and electron transfer) mediated by Cu-induced metastable surface complex were achieved in the Cu-GBC/PDS system. This study offers the first insights into the efficacy, sustainability, and mechanistic roles of Cu-GBCs as an emerging carbon-based catalyst for green environmental remediation.

Keywords: engineered biochar; heteroatoms doping; metal-carbon composite; non-radical activation; sustainable waste management; green and sustainable remediation.

1. Introduction

Biochar has been extensively explored in agricultural and environmental applications,¹ such as soil amendment and contaminant stabilization,²⁻⁵ carbon sequestration,⁶⁻⁸ water/wastewater treatment,⁹⁻¹⁴ and catalytic biomass conversion.^{1,15-17} It can be derived from various biomass wastes as renewable resources of high abundance, which favours the large-scale and economical production to satisfy sustainable development and circular bio-economy.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ The versatile physicochemical properties of biochar are primarily determined by its intrinsic atomic arrangement of a carbon matrix, structural defective sites, pore structure, and surface functional groups.^{18,20,21} To date, engineered metal-biochar composites with desirable physicochemical properties have been fabricated by introducing various multivalent transition metal components (Fe^0 ,^{13,22,23} Fe_3O_4 ,²⁴⁻²⁶ and Co ,^{27,28} *etc.*) into the carbon lattice to evolve versatile active sites. Despite the high efficiency, the application of active multivalent transition metal-functionalized biochars unavoidably cause metal leaching into the environment, which is potentially hazardous to aquatic life and detrimental to clean water supply.^{29,30} For green environmental remediation, it is imperative to devise a transition metal-biochar composite with low toxicity and exhibiting excellent adsorptive capability and potential catalytic activity.

In this study, Cu was employed as the metal heteroatom for its inherent catalytic capacity and low toxicity,³¹ while the lignin-rich wood waste was adopted as the feedstock with macro-/meso-pores for housing Cu species. In contrast with the commonly used transition metal catalyst, such as Co and TiO_2 that are precious metal facing an increasing threat of depletion,^{27,28,32} the use of the low-cost Cu in our study appears to be more sustainable. Recent studies have revealed that a CO_2 medium could create surface defects and oxygen-containing functional groups that significantly enhanced the catalytic performance of biochar-supported materials.^{24,27,28,33,34} The catalytic reforming of carbon matrix and the potential interactions with copper heteroatoms during CO_2 pyrolysis are yet to be revealed, particularly for the

physicochemical properties, surface structure, and interfacial chemical behaviour of the resulting Cu-biochar composites for catalytic applications.

The heterogeneous peroxydisulfate (PDS, $S_2O_8^{2-}$) activation system has been widely employed on engineered biochar. As an emerging green oxyanion, PDS can be directly activated by external energy input (heat, ultraviolet light, and ultrasound, *etc.*) or various metal-based catalysts to generate robust sulfate radicals ($SO_4^{\bullet-}$), which exhibit higher redox potential ($E^0 = 2.5\text{--}3.1$ V) and longer half-life period ($t_{1/2} = 30\text{--}40$ μ s) than $\cdot OH$ ($E^0 = 1.9\text{--}2.7$ V, $t_{1/2} = 3\text{--}10$ μ s) over a wide range of pH values (pH = 2–8).^{35,36} Organics degradation *via* radical pathways often requires intensive energy or chemical input that would limit its field application, and the generated radicals would demonstrate non-selective oxidation capability (*e.g.*, self-scavenging effects and highly chlorinated byproducts),^{31,37} wherein the induced cannibalistic surface oxidation reaction would irreversibly alter the framework of carbon supports.³⁸ Thus, PDS activation *via* a more sustainable pathway is highly desirable. Zhang et al. reported that copper species could activate PDS to degrade chlorophenols *via* a non-radical pathway by forming a metastable complex on its surface.³¹ Zhu et al. found that crystallographic manganese oxide could activate PDS to generate singlet oxygen (O_2^1) other than commonly reported free radicals (*e.g.*, $\cdot OH$, $SO_4^{\bullet-}$, and $O_2^{\bullet-}$) for the degradation of aqueous contaminants.³⁹ In addition, various carbonaceous materials (*e.g.*, activated carbon, carbon nanotube, and graphene oxide (GO)) are capable of catalysing PDS for organic degradation *via* electron transition through graphitic matrix, which potentially are even more efficient than transition metal oxides (*e.g.*, Fe_3O_4 , Co_3O_4 , and $\alpha\text{-MnO}_2$).⁴⁰ This superior performance could be ascribed to the well-defined sp^2 -hybridized carbon matrix with versatile catalytic centre (*e.g.*, defects and ketonic groups) and abundant unconfined π -electrons.^{20,41-43} In a recent study, graphitic biochar (GBC, pyrolyzed over 700 °C) with large specific surface area (SSA), ordered sp^2 -hybridized structure, and tuneable defect sites as redox centre facilitated catalytic degradation.⁴⁴ Thus, it is hypothesized and then proven in this study that the introduction of

low-toxicity copper heteroatoms into the graphitic biochar matrix can enable the complimentary coupling of the catalytic centre and graphitic matrix of carbonaceous materials. This technique is more economic, scalable, and sustainable for green remediation applications *via* the non-radical PDS activation route.

In this study, Cu-doped GBC composites were synthesized through a one-step pyrolysis of CuCl₂-pretreated wood waste at 900 °C with continuous CO₂ purging as the reactive medium (in comparison to N₂ purging). The objectives of this research were to: (i) articulate the interactions of CO₂ medium and doped copper heteroatoms for tailoring the physicochemical properties, surface structure, and interfacial chemical behaviour of the resultant Cu-GBC composites, and (ii) capitalize on the evolution of sustainable pathways for non-radical PDS activation and catalytic degradation of various organic contaminants (*i.e.*, Rhodamine B (RB), phenol (PN), bisphenol A (BPA), and 4-chlorophenol (4-CH)). The results of this study are conducive to improving the future design of high-efficiency, engineered metal-biochar composites as green catalysts.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and chemical reagents

Apple-tree wood waste (collected from Guiyang city, Guizhou province, China) was selected as the feedstock of waste biomass. All the chemicals were of analytical reagent grade and solvents were of high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade. Ultrapure water (UW, 18.2 MΩ cm⁻¹) obtained from a Millipore Milli-Q Water Purification System (Milford, USA) was used for all experimental solutions.

2.2. Preparation of Cu-GBC composites

Before use, wood waste was cut into small pieces (< 5 cm), washed with ultrapure water (UW) for several times, oven dried at 80 °C overnight, then crushed by a high-speed rotary pulverizer, and finally sieved through a 120-mesh (particle size < 0.125 mm). The suspension of biomass with Cu²⁺ was prepared

by mixing 20 g wood with predetermined amounts of $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in 1 L UW in a glass beaker, which was then agitated at 350 rpm for 24 h with a magnetic stirrer to maintain a uniform concentration. After **water removal** under continuous stirring at 80 °C for 12 h **in** a thermostat water **bath**, the **slurry** was completely dried **in an oven** at 80 °C for 24 h **and then was sieved again for homogeneity**. Next, the samples were placed in a tubular furnace with a medium heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹ to reach desired 900 °C, and the **peak** temperature was maintained for 2 h under CO_2 **or** N_2 purging at 500 mL min⁻¹.⁴⁴ **After** cooling down to room temperature inside the furnace, the stabilized samples were collected **and rinsed with UW and alcohol for three times, respectively**. **After oven-drying overnight, the samples were** ground and passed through a 200-mesh **sieve (particle size < 0.075 mm)**. **This particle size was smaller than the 120-mesh sieve used for biomass before pyrolysis, for the purpose of preparing biochars with reproducible quality and homogeneity, i.e., uniform chemical impregnation and even heat distribution**. For comparison, the pristine biochar was prepared following the above procedure, except for the use of CuCl_2 -free UW for the suspension of wood waste. **All prepared samples were** stored in an airtight container for further use. The obtained products are denoted as Cu-GBCXC or Cu-GBCXN, where the X (5, 10, and 20%) and C/N represent the copper calculated mass ratio after wet digestion (Cu/BC, wt.%) and the **selected** purging gas (*i.e.*, CO_2 or N_2) during pyrolysis, respectively. **Concerns over the toxicity of CuCl_2 are mitigated as the chloride ions evaporate during pyrolysis at 900 °C followed by absorption in NaOH solution (5 wt.%), while copper is retained on the carbon support**. Nevertheless, life-cycle assessment is needed in the future to validate the net environmental benefits of Cu-GBC compared to conventional options.

2.3. Microscopic characterization of Cu-GBC composites

The characteristics of the GBC and Cu-GBC composites were determined *via* employing the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area and Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) porosity, **high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM)**, scanning electron microscopy-energy dispersive X-ray

spectroscopy (SEM-EDX), X-ray diffraction (XRD), Raman spectroscopy, Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Detailed information on the characterization methods is available in the Supporting Information.

2.4. Catalytic degradation of various organic contaminants by Cu-GBC composites

The organic removal experiments were performed at 25 ± 1 °C under intensive mixing by a thermostatic oscillator (180 rpm). The solution pH was adjusted to 5.8 ± 0.2 using 0.1 M NaOH or HNO₃. To investigate the removal kinetics of various organic contaminants (*i.e.*, RB, PH, BPA, and 4-CH) by Cu-GBC composites, 0.3 g L⁻¹ suspensions of Cu-GBC composites and 2 mM PDS (determined according to preliminary results) were added into 100 mL UW containing 0.1 mM organic contaminants in 250-mL conical flasks, while a similar reaction with GBC was also conducted for comparison. The commercial metal and metal-free catalysts (Fe₃O₄, Fe₂O₃, zero valent iron (ZVI), CuO, CoO, MnO₂, ZnO, and GO) were also evaluated for PDS activation. At predetermined time interval for sampling, aliquot sample of 1 mL was extracted from the conical flask to a glass vial and ethyl alcohol (EtOH) was added in excess for quenching. Same batch experiments quenching with sodium nitrite (NaNO₂) were also conducted to differentiate the contribution of adsorption and catalytic degradation. Then, the sample was filtered through a 0.45-μm pore-size polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) membrane (Millipore, USA) and analysed for the organic concentration. After the reaction, the Cu-GBC suspension was centrifuged, and the catalyst was collected and recovered by acetone (solid/acetone, 1:158, wt.%), which can effectively remove residual organics from the catalyst surface without altering its properties, according to our preliminary experiments (Supporting Information).

The scavenging experiments were performed to illustrate the contribution of different reactive oxygen species (*i.e.*, [•]OH, SO₄^{•-}, O₂^{•-}, and O₂¹) by using 4 M EtOH (for [•]OH and SO₄^{•-}), 0.5 M tert-butanol (TBA) (for [•]OH), 0.05 M chloroform (CF) (for O₂^{•-}), and 0.01 M furfuryl alcohol (FFA) (for O₂¹), respectively.²⁹

Potassium iodide (KI, 10 mM) and pure acetone were chosen as inhibitors of non-radical pathway to suppress the surface-bound reactive species formation and direct contact between organic contaminants and carbon matrix, respectively.⁴⁴ Similar experiments (without the PDS addition) using the composites pre-treated by excess PDS (1 M) were performed to identify the contribution of organic removal *via* metastable complex.⁴⁵ The metal-free GBC (treated with ethylene-diamine-tetraacetic acid (EDTA)) and phenol-free GBC (treated with glycerol) were also employed (Supporting Information).²⁶ To identify the direct electron-transfer process during PDS activation, a two-chamber reactor separated by proton exchange membrane (PEM), equipped with carbon fibre electrodes, connected *via* electric wire, and loaded with Cu-GBC composite as an activator was prepared to separate organic contaminants from PDS (Supporting Information).

2.5. Analytical methods

The concentrations of organic pollutants were analysed by an UV-Vis spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 554 nm (UV-1100, China, limit of detection (LOD) of 0.1 mg L⁻¹) or a high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC, Hitachi, Japan, LOD of 0.1 mg L⁻¹). The total organic carbon (TOC) was measured with a TOC analyser (SSM-5000A, Japan, LOD of 0.1 mg L⁻¹). The PDS concentration was determined by another UV-Vis spectrophotometer coupled with a computational platform (Optizen Pop, South Korea, LOD of 0.1 mg L⁻¹). The metal concentration was quantified by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES, Thermo Scientific, USA, LOD of 0.1 µg L⁻¹). The electron spin resonance (ESR) spectra were obtained using an EMX10/12 spectrometer (Bruker, Germany). The solution pH was measured by a digital pH meter (Thermo 911600, USA). Detailed information on the analytical methods is available in the Supporting Information. Calibration of standard organic/inorganic concentrations was carried out prior to each analysis. Standards were analysed every 10 samples for quality assurance and

quality control. All experiments were performed in triplicate, and the results are presented as mean \pm standard deviations.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Physiochemical properties and crystalline structure of Cu-GBC composites

Selected physiochemical properties of GBC and the synthesized Cu-GBC composites with different Cu loadings (5–20 wt.%) under N₂ or CO₂ environment are summarized in Table 1. After wet digestion, the total Cu content in the Cu-GBC composites was shown to range from 3.8 ± 1.1 to 23.2 ± 5.5 wt.%. The HR-TEM images are shown in Fig. 1a-f. Homogeneous distribution of copper nanoparticles can be observed (Fig. 1b) with a lattice spacing of 0.223 nm assigned to the (111) plane of metal copper (Fig. 1d). These results suggest that copper was successfully reduced and loaded within the biochar framework after impregnation and pyrolysis processes. The specific surface area (SSA) and total pore volume of metal-free GBC fabricated in a CO₂ environment ($358 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and $0.323 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$) were significantly higher than that generated in a N₂ environment ($68.3 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and $0.0516 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$). The CO₂ medium promoted the formation of both micropores ($219 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$) and meso/macro-pores ($139 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$), as a result of intensive carbon reforming via Boudouard reaction ($\text{C} + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{CO}$) taking place at $\geq 710^\circ\text{C}$.^{24,33,34} The use of CO₂ may also react with the pore-blocking condensable hydrocarbons (e.g., volatile organic compounds and tars), which could be converted into gaseous products to free the blocked pores and/or create new ones.^{30,46} The SSA increased from $358 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ for the control CO₂-GBC to $388 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and $468 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ for the Cu-GBC5C and Cu-GBC10C, respectively, indicating that CuCl₂ acted as a pore-forming agent. The external surface area and micropore volume also increased from 139 to $175 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and 0.121 to $0.156 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$ (i.e. 39.2–53.1% of total pore volume), respectively, suggesting the more significant formation of both

mesopores (2–50 nm) and micropores (< 2 nm) in Cu-GBC10C framework, which are also displayed in the HR-TEM image (Fig. 1e). Thus, the Cu-induced catalytic graphitization of carbon matrix during pyrolysis could possibly generate more zero-dimensional point defects (*i.e.*, vacancy and edge sites) and three-dimensional volume defects (*i.e.*, lattice disorder and void).⁴⁶ However, excessive Cu loading caused a sharp decrease in SSA (4.89 m² g⁻¹) for the Cu-GBC20C, which might result from the pore blockage after Cu precipitation. As shown in Fig. 2a & S1, N₂ adsorption curves of all the composites exhibited the typical type-IV adsorption/desorption isotherms with H4-type hysteresis loop at medium relative pressure ($P/P_0 = 0.45\text{--}0.95$), which further demonstrated the existence of micropores and mesopores derived from capillary condensation,⁴⁷ corresponding to the average pore size data of GBC and Cu-GBC composites in Table 1.

Compared with the control CO₂-GBC, the pH of the Cu-GBC composites decreased from 10.5 to 10.3–8.51, which is attributed to the hydrolysis of Cu²⁺ and/or the generation of acidic functional groups (*e.g.*, phenolic and carboxylic groups).⁴⁸ The pH value at the point of zero charge (pH_{pzc}) increased after copper encapsulation (Fig. S2) owing to an increasing positive surface charge after the incorporation of copper atoms, which is expected to tailor the electronic states of the adjacent carbon *via* charge transport to modulate the electron density.⁴⁰ The SEM-EDX analysis of the GBC and Cu-GBC composites are presented in Figs. S3–S5. In contrast to the relative smooth surface with multilayer structure on the N₂-GBC (Fig.S3a-b), porous channels and more mesopores were observed on the surface of CO₂-GBC (Fig.S3c-d). With respect to Cu-GBC5C, Cu particles were mainly incorporated in the interior micropores of the flaky cracking GBC surface (Fig. S3e). As the Cu loading increased, *i.e.*, for Cu-GBC10C, Cu particles with diameters ranging from micro- to nano-scale became evident (Fig. S4c-d). These particles were evenly distributed in the pores and on the surfaces of bulkier dendritic flocs, up to a particle size of 400–500 nm. In contrast, obvious particle aggregation into discrete spheres of ~10 μm was observed in the Cu-GBC20C (Fig. S3f). These findings are consistent with the variation in surface area and pore size distribution of the

Cu-GBC composites (Table 1). EDX analysis (Fig. S5) revealed the co-existence of Cu, O, C, Ca, Mg, and P elements on the Cu-GBC10C surfaces. The significantly higher oxygen content (21.1 wt.%) of Cu-GBC10C compared with that of the Cu-GBC10N (1.83 wt.%) indicated that more oxygen could be introduced into the carbon matrix under CO₂ medium. Enriched oxygen-containing functionalities may act as the anchoring sites for the impregnation of Cu species (Table 1).⁴⁹ Besides, the induced edges terminated with hydrogen and oxygen atoms on the *sp*²-hybridized carbon were reported to possess high affinity to adsorb oxyanions.^{20, 50}

The XRD patterns of the GBC and Cu-GBC composites are shown in Fig. 2b. In the case of the N₂-GBC, the carbon matrix demonstrated a broad peak at 2θ value of 20–25°, which can be assigned to graphite corresponding to the amorphous plane of (002) in carbonaceous materials.⁵¹ Nonetheless, the spectra of the CO₂-GBC presented the characteristic peaks at 29.41°, 35.93°, 39.42°, 47.14°, and 47.42° corresponding to the (104), (110), (113), (024), and (018) planes of calcite, respectively, as typical ash component of wood waste.^{52, 53} As for the Cu-GBC composites, the characteristic peaks at 35.04°, 38.48°, and 50.43° were assigned to the (002), (111), and (112) planes of CuO,^{54, 55} while the peaks at 43.29° and 74.13° corresponded to the (111) and (220) planes of Cu⁰,⁵⁶ respectively. In particular, in contrast with the N₂-pyrolysis, the CO₂ environment suppressed the crystalline sizes of CuO (0.61 vs. 0.89 nm) and Cu⁰ (1.97 vs. 2.28 nm) particles, based on the calculation using the Scherrer equation.⁴⁵ Thus, copper heteroatoms can be successfully grafted in the framework of biochar, and hence likely to significantly increase its catalytic reactivity by forming Lewis acid-base adduct (*i.e.*, reversibly donate and accept electrons)^{57, 58} or surface metastable complex (*i.e.*, non-radical pathway).³¹ The absence of a calcite peak in the Cu-GBC XRD pattern suggests that the doped copper heteroatoms saturated the exchangeable sites that originally held Ca.¹³

The Raman spectra of the GBC and Cu-GBC composites further elucidated the physical characteristics of the carbonaceous materials, as shown in Figs. 2c & S6. The two peaks at 1310 and 1590 cm^{-1} correspond to the characteristic D and G bands of carbon, *i.e.*, defects of sp^3 C and in-plane vibrations of sp^2 C in curved graphitized carbon sheet.^{42,59} In all samples, the Raman spectra were deconvoluted into eight characteristic peaks that were assigned to sp^2 C–H of aromatic rings (S^1) at 1060 cm^{-1} , $C_{\text{aromatic}}-C_{\text{alkyl}}$ (S) at 1185 cm^{-1} , Aryl-alkyl ether (S^2) at 1230 cm^{-1} , defect bands and small ordered fused benzene rings (D) at 1310 cm^{-1} , methyl group and amorphous carbon (V^1) at 1380 cm^{-1} , semicircle ring breathing (V) at 1465 cm^{-1} , aromatics with 3–5 rings (G^1) at 1540 cm^{-1} , and highly ordered sp^2 graphitic carbon (G) at 1590 cm^{-1} .^{60,61} The area ratios of the D peak to the G peak (A_D/A_G) and the sum of G, V, and V^1 peaks ($A_D/A_{(G+V+V^1)}$) of the CO_2 -pyrolyzed composites are greater than that produced in N_2 , suggesting that CO_2 medium induced more fused aromatic rings and structural edge defects within the biochar matrix during pyrolysis.^{23,62,63} This can be attributed to the enhanced dehydrogenation of organic matter (*viz.* Boudouard reaction: $\text{C} + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{CO}$; biomass \rightarrow biochar + tar + C_nH_m) at high temperature with CO_2 purging, which could generate more vacancy and zigzag edges as structural-dimensional defects.⁴⁶ The ratios of A_D/A_G and $A_D/A_{(G+V+V^1)}$ also increased with the increasing Cu loading (Fig. S6c-d), suggesting that CuCl_2 may promote the catalytic graphitization of biochar during the synthesis of the Cu-GBC composites, which accounted for more newly formed volume defects (*e.g.*, void).⁶⁴ Intriguingly, the Cu-GBC10C composite exhibited both a higher level of graphitization and defects than the lab-synthesized GO (Fig. S7b) prepared in our recent study,⁴⁹ which possibly account for the improved performance in the catalytic activation of PDS for organics degradation with abundant free-flowing π electrons and defective sites. Graphitic carbon clusters with a crystalline spacing of 0.25 nm (assigned to graphite) can be observed in the HR-TEM images of Cu-GBC10C (Fig. 1e-f), which further confirms the emergence of highly ordered graphitic nature after the introduction of copper heteroatoms.

3.2. Surface chemical behaviour and thermal stability of Cu-GBC composites

FTIR spectra helped to determine the functional groups on the surfaces of the GBC and Cu-GBC composites (Fig. 2d). In the case of the N₂-pyrolyzed composites, the broad spectral band with a weak peak at 3429 cm⁻¹ was attributed to the presence of the O–H stretching vibration in alcoholic and phenolic –OH groups. The pyrolysis at 900 °C diminished most of the functional groups ubiquitously present in low-temperature biochars, because dehydration of cellulosic and ligneous components at high temperature (>700 °C) tend to decompose the majority of the aliphatic and phenolic organic compounds.^{1,48,65} After Cu impregnation and pyrolysis in CO₂ environment, the band disappeared for –OH and C–H at 3429 and 835 cm⁻¹, respectively, whereas an aromatic C=O stretching vibration in conjugated ketones and quinones evolved at 1659 cm⁻¹.⁶⁶ This was indicative of catalytic reformation and condensation of carbon matrix during the synthesis of the Cu-GBC composites,^{27,67} which may account for the greater graphitic carbon observed in the Raman spectra (Figs. 2c & S6). It should be noted that the produced ketonic group (C=O) is indispensable for the activation of PDS and the generation of singlet oxygenation for organics degradation.^{20,41,44,68,69}

TGA was employed to verify the generation of ketonic group (C=O) during the aforementioned catalytic reformation. As shown in Fig. S17, the mass decay (TG) of raw wood waste exceeded 80% and the broad peak (*peak 1*) at 345.5 °C in derivative thermogravimetry (DTG) was consistent with the dehydration of cellulosic or ligneous components and the lignin/cellulose-derived transformation at mid-level pyrolytic temperature (200-550 °C).^{48,65} The CO₂-pyrolyzed biochar composites exhibited greater mass decay than their N₂-pyrolyzed counterparts, especially for Cu-GBC10C (30.1%, compared to 17.1% of Cu-GBC10N). Both apparent peaks at 643.6 °C (*peak 2*) and 634.8 °C (*peak 3*) in the DTG curves for CO₂-GBC and Cu-GBC10C correspond to the decomposition of conjugated ketones (C=O),⁶⁵ suggesting that copper heteroatoms and CO₂ medium could synergistically increase the oxygen levels during the pyrolytic process.

The XPS data indicated that the GBC was composed of C 1s and O 1s, whereas the Cu-GBC composites comprised C 1s, O 1s, and Cu 2p. In all samples, the C 1s spectra consisted of five different peaks that were assigned to O=C–OH at 288.8 eV, C=O at 286.6 eV, C–O at 285.8 eV, C–C at 285.4 eV, and C=C at 284.8 eV, while the three fitted peaks of O 1s could be assigned to O–C=O at 533.6 eV, O–C at 532.2 eV, and O=C at 530.8 eV, respectively.⁷⁰ As the Cu impregnated biomass was pyrolyzed under CO₂ purging, there was a significant increase in the content of O=C (from 17.7% to 41.1%) compared to CO₂-GBC (Fig. 3b & S8). This observation corroborates the transformation of O–C (532.2 eV) into O=C (530.8 eV) via Cu-induced catalytic oxidation process.⁴² Duan et al. reported that oxygen functionalities can determine the inherent catalytic capability of carbonaceous materials, and both oxygen contents and species of oxygen groups should be carefully optimized.²⁰ In this research, copper heteroatoms are favourable to tune the oxygen groups by transforming more oxygen functionalities into ketonic C=O, which would contribute to the better catalytic performance of Cu-GBC10C in the subsequent section.

In addition, as shown in Figs. 3c & S10, CuO shake-up (943.8 eV) accounted for only 19.9% and slight increases were observed after reaction (from 19.9% to 20.6% for CuO, 27.8% to 36.3% for Cu²⁺), which ruled out the electron donation or sole CuO-induced non-radical pathway as the primary reaction route. It has been reported that metal–O–C bond could give rise to denser local electronic states forming more reactive sites,⁴⁰ and similar synergy between Cu and graphitic carbon may explain the phenomenon in this work. This should be further verified via density functional theory calculations and X-ray absorption spectroscopy in the future. The current study evidences that the CO₂ environment and Cu doping promoted the formation of ketonic groups during pyrolysis,^{13, 71, 72} and ultimately the *sp*²-hybridized carbon framework was converted into an active state with the new accommodated copper heteroatoms and tuned oxygen functionalities.

3.3. Cu-GBC performance for PDS activation and catalytic degradation of organic contaminants

A typical rhodamine dye of RB was chosen as the target organic pollutant to evaluate the catalytic performance of synthesized composites for PDS activation and the kinetic data were fitted using a pseudo-first-order kinetics model.^{31,39,73} The raw wood waste (5 g) was combusted in air to obtain its ash (0.48 g), which was found to barely activate PDS for RB degradation, indicating that the metal residues in the biomass do not contribute to the catalytic oxidation on GBCs. The adsorptive removals of RB were insignificant on GBCs and Cu-GBC10N, while PDS alone could not oxidize the dye (< 5%) without the addition of catalysts (Fig. S11). In contrast, Cu-GBC10C showed notable RB adsorption (~ 40%), possibly due to stronger interactions with the enriched oxygen functionalities on the biochar surface. The larger SSA (468 m² g⁻¹, Table 1) and higher graphitic level of Cu-GBC10C might also contribute to an improved adsorption capacity with stronger pore-filling adsorption and π - π interaction. However, Cu-GBC5C and Cu-GBC20C demonstrated unfavourable adsorptive performance due to insufficient SSA and active sites (data not shown). An 86.4% degradation rate of RB was achieved in the Cu-GBC10C/PDS system in 30 min, demonstrating a better degradation efficiency than most commercial heterogeneous catalysts (metal-based systems including CuO, ZVI, Fe₂O₃, Fe₃O₄, ZnO, CoO, and MnO₂), while an inferior degradation rate of 22.3% was obtained by Cu-GBC10N (Fig. 4a). In Fig. S12, compared to the pristine biochar (N₂-GBC, 0.0056 min⁻¹ with 7.8% degradation removal; CO₂-GBC, 0.0071 min⁻¹ with 15.6% degradation removal) and Cu-GBC10N (0.0094 min⁻¹ with 22.3% degradation removal), Cu-GBC10C (0.03122 min⁻¹ with 86.4% degradation removal) gave rise to a 5.6-, 4.5-, and 3.3-fold enhancement of the degradation rate as a result of the larger surface area, higher graphitization degree, and more active sites (*i.e.*, C=O in ketonic group). This phenomenon also evidences a synergism of the Cu catalytic reformation and CO₂ purging during biomass pyrolysis. Cu-GBC10C was chosen as the sustainable biochar catalyst for the subsequent comparative, kinetics, and mechanistic studies.

Fig. S13 shows that ~ 90% removals of RB, PN, and BPA at 0.1 mM could be rapidly achieved by Cu-GBC10C within 30 min. However, it was poor in 4-CH oxidation (39.8%). This lower performance probably indicates that organic pollutants (*e.g.*, RB, PN, and BPA) with lower ionization potential (IP) (IP = 7.4–8.5) were more prone to be oxidized by mild reactive oxygen species (ROSSs) (*e.g.*, $^1\text{O}_2$) generated in Cu-GBC/PDS system *via* a non-radical pathway. Previous findings suggested that some highly-graphitic carbonaceous materials (CNTs and carbonized N-containing polymers, *etc.*) and metal oxides (CuO and $\beta\text{-MnO}_2$, *etc.*) tended to exhibit a higher selectivity towards electron-rich phenolic compounds.^{31, 39, 41, 42} When the concentration of organics increased to 0.5–0.6 mM, 40.3% and 79.7% removals of RB and PN were obtained in 30 min, possibly limited by the insufficient active sites on Cu-GBC10C.

To affirm the rate-determining step of the reaction (at $\text{pH} = 5.8 \pm 0.2$), the pseudo-first-order kinetics was employed to calculate the observed rate constant (k_{obs}) in the first 30 min at different catalyst loadings, PDS dosages, or organic concentrations. Increasing PDS concentration from 1 to 5 mM marginally improved k_{obs} (Fig. 5b). Catalyst loading (0.1–0.5 g L⁻¹) and initial RB concentration (0.05–0.6 mM) posed more significant impact on the removal rate constants (Fig. 5a&c). The curves between logarithms of k_{obs} and dosages of Cu-GBC10C, PDS, and RB were plotted accordingly, showing good linearity between $\ln(k_{\text{obs}})$ with $\ln(\text{Cu-GBC10C})$ ($R^2 = 0.983$), $\ln(\text{PDS})$ ($R^2 = 0.821$), and $\ln(\text{RB})$ ($R^2 = 0.965$) (Fig. 5d). In addition, the positive correlation ($R^2 = 0.999$) was established between the adsorbent dosage (g L⁻¹) and adsorptive removal rate (k_{obs}) (Fig. 5d). The slopes of $\ln(k_{\text{obs}})$ against $\ln(\text{Cu-GBC10C})$, $\ln(\text{PDS})$, $\ln(\text{RB})$, and $\ln(\text{adsorbent})$ were estimated to be 0.968, 0.095, -0.653, and 0.860, respectively, which can be taken as the orders of reactivity in the overall organic removal rate. The lowest reaction order of PDS suggests that the active sites for PDS adsorption are limited, and self-scavenging effect or cannibalistic surface oxidation reaction could also be excluded accordingly, thus demonstrating the features of a typical non-radical activation.^{38, 74} The higher reaction orders with respect to Cu-GBC10C, RB, and adsorbent concentrations

indicated that the adsorption of organic compounds on the Cu-doped GBC might be the rate-determining step for the non-radical oxidation.^{31, 39, 44, 75}

3.4. Contribution of radical and non-radical pathways to PDS activation and organics degradation

In previous studies, it has been discovered that the persistent free radicals (PFRs) were formed from the decomposition of organic structure (*i.e.*, hydroquinone) in **biochars** derived at moderate temperatures (300–500 °C),⁷⁶ which served as the redox centres to deliver electrons to PDS to evolve sulfate radicals.²⁶ However, Ruan et al. revealed that pyrolysis of different biomass (*e.g.*, pinewood, rice husk, and cow manure) at temperature above 700 °C generally removed all PFRs due to the elimination of oxygen functionalities and condensation/graphitization of carbon clusters.⁷⁷ In this work, the removal rate of phenol-free GBC (Supporting Information) was comparable to GBC, indicating that PDS activation by PFRs on highly graphitic **biochars** for catalytic degradation can be ruled out.

More interestingly, the radical quenching tests (Fig. 6a and Table 2) indicate that the addition of free radical scavengers,^{44, 57, 78, 79} *i.e.*, EtOH ($k_{\text{SO}_4^{\cdot-}} = (1.6\text{--}7.7) \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $k_{\cdot\text{OH}} = (1.2\text{--}1.8) \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$), TBA ($k_{\text{SO}_4^{\cdot-}} = (4.0\text{--}9.5) \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $k_{\cdot\text{OH}} = (3.2\text{--}7.6) \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$), and CF ($k_{\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}} = (1.1\text{--}3.2) \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) had an insignificant impact on organics removal with only a 3.2, 0.19, and 9.4% decrease in the removal efficiency, respectively. This implies that Cu-GBC10C/PDS is an oxidative system not relying on free radicals but non-radical pathways due to the absence of DMPO–SO₄ characteristic signal peaks (1:1:1:1:1:1, $\alpha\text{N} = 13.2 \text{ G}$, $\alpha\text{H} = 9.6 \text{ G}$, $\alpha\text{H} = 1.48 \text{ G}$), although DMPO–OH characteristic signal peaks (1:2:2:1, $\alpha\text{H} = \alpha\text{N} = 14.9 \text{ G}$) were observed in the ESR spectra (Fig. 4c). The generation of hydroxyl radicals might result from the oxidation of adsorbed water on the graphitic biochar surface, which has been reported in dimensional-structured nanocarbon system.²⁰ However, the impact of radical quenching on the reaction rate was moderate with 31.7%, 9.1%, and 39.1% decline, respectively, indicating that the scavengers with

nonpolar properties might suppress the adsorption of RB on the hydrophobic surface of Cu-GBC10C, and this phenomenon corresponds to the adsorption of organic compounds as the rate-limiting step.

To further investigate the interfacial reaction, KI and pure acetone were employed to quench the direct surface contact between PDS/RB and the carbon matrix, respectively.^{80, 81} The almost unaffected organic removal despite the excess KI (10 mM) addition suggests that the increase in ionic strength could not affect the strong interaction between Cu-GBC10C and PDS. The substantial inhibitory effect of pure acetone (0.00211 min⁻¹ with 18.9% removal) further indicates that the surface adsorption of organics could be vital for the total oxidation,⁴⁴ suggesting that the degradation occurred within the carbon matrix rather than the bulk solution corroborating the non-radical feature.

Recent studies have reported that ketonic- and quinone-like moieties on graphitic carbon with strong nucleophilic nature and high electron density can catalyze PDS to produce ¹O₂ under mild condition.^{41, 44, 80} Thus, FFA ($k_{^1O_2} = 1.2 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) was used to scavenge ¹O₂ in the reaction. FFA (0.01 M) significantly reduced the RB removal efficiency to 50.0% (0.00593 min⁻¹) in 120 min, indicating that ¹O₂ contributed to a large portion (nearly half) of the catalytic oxidation. A trapping agent of TEMP was used to detect the production of ¹O₂ without light. A characteristic three-line signal of TEMP^o adducts appeared (Fig. 4d, 1:1:1, $\alpha = 16.9 \text{ G}$), which can be assigned to the oxidation of TEMP by ¹O₂. In contrast to other GBC composites, the intensities of TEMP^o and DMPO-OH in Cu-GBC10C increased sharply, implying that Cu-GBC10C possessed the highest reactivity, which originated from the encapsulated copper heteroatoms and the evolved ketonic groups (C=O) on Cu-GBC10C, possibly at the edges of the carbon matrix.⁸¹ Moreover, the PDS-pretreated Cu-GBC10C achieved an 8.15% RB degradation without the PDS addition, whereas those for the Cu-free CO₂-GBC and EDTA-pretreated Cu-GBC10C (2.12–2.31%) were negligible (Fig. S14). Thus, it can be inferred that C=O would induce the surface metastable complex between copper heteroatoms and PDS (*i.e.*, $\equiv\text{Cu}-\text{O}_3\text{SOOSO}_3^-$ or $\equiv\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}-\text{O}_3\text{SOOSO}_3^-$), which can readily accept electrons

from electron-rich phenolic compounds for $^1\text{O}_2$ generation,⁶⁸ and correspond to a significant peak shift at 531 nm in the UV-vis spectra of the Cu-GBC10C (Fig. 4b). This observation corroborates the C 1s spectra that depict a lower C=O ratio (from 41.1% to 17.4%) for the Cu-GBC10C after PDS activation.

3.5. PDS reduction and RB oxidation in two chambers separated by a proton exchange membrane

As above, the experimental results proved a significant role of $^1\text{O}_2$ in PS activation *via* a non-radical reaction pathway, whereas the RB removal pathway was not completely terminated in the presence of $^1\text{O}_2$ quenchers. Moreover, in the Cu-GBC mediated non-radical pathway, an electron-transfer process from organics and donation of free-flowing π electrons by GBC to the activated surface metastable complexes *via* the conductive surface of carbonaceous materials was expected, in view of the differences of inherent redox potentials between organic pollutant and PDS molecules.²³ Thus, the electron-transfer non-radical pathway was further identified by examining Cu-GBC10C-mediated electron transfer from organic substrates to PDS using a PEM that physically partitioned the reaction system into two chambers containing PDS and RB (Fig. 7). In this system, free electrons were transported from the anode to the cathode through the connected wire. The Cu-GBC10C particles were homogeneously dispersed in the bulk solution under vigorous shaking to achieve sufficient contact with the electrodes, *i.e.*, carbon fibre brushes with high SSA. As a PEM with high water impermeability is likely to reject organic/inorganic impurities and ROSs (*e.g.*, $\cdot\text{OH}$ and $^1\text{O}_2$), simultaneous RB oxidation and PDS reduction in the physically separated chambers (*i.e.*, anode and cathode) would be a strong evidence for the electron-transfer mechanism, in which Cu-GBC10C mediates electron transfer from organics to PDS.

As shown in Fig. 7, increased amounts of reactants (5-fold catalyst and oxidant addition) were added in the anode chamber (no oxidant) and cathode chamber (no pollutant), respectively. Control experiments were conducted detaching the linking wire between the two electrodes, and the results indicated that RB concentration in the cathode chamber declined to less than 5% in 360 min due to the adsorption by the

employed Cu-doped biochar, as approximately 10% PDS concentration decomposed simultaneously. The PDS-saturated state of the catalyst was reached after 360 min. A continuous PDS decrease to $55.6 \pm 3.1\%$ in 4320 min could be achieved in a wire-connected group compared with the wire-detached control ($90.8 \pm 3.3\%$ in 4320 min), which confirmed that the PDS was activated without direct contact with pollutant. Neither PDS nor RB was detected in the opposite side of PEM, thus the continuous PDS concentration decline in the separated chambers proved our hypothesis that electron transfer pathway does not rely on ROSs. The overall schematic diagram of the mechanistic routes (*i.e.*, singlet oxygenation and electron transfer) is presented in Fig. 8.

3.6. Effect of pH values and reusability/recyclability

Fig. S15 presents the influence of initial solution pH values on the organics degradation (*i.e.*, RB and PN) by the Cu-GBC10C. The performance of the Cu-GBC10C was highly pH-dependent and the degradation of PN steadily decreased with pH increasing from 3.0 to 9.0. In the case of RB, efficiency rose with increasing pH values from 3.0 to 5.8 followed by pronounced decrease in RB removal as the solution pH was further elevated to 9. Fig. S15 displays the highest degradation efficiency of PN and RB as 82.1% and 86.4% at the solution pH values of 3.0 and 5.8, respectively. The obvious difference at various solution pH values can be ascribed to the composite surface charge, organic speciation, and Cu dissolution. As illustrated in Fig. S1, there was an obvious decrease in the zeta potential as the solution pH increased. At the solution pH above 2.08, the zeta potential was negative and undesirable for the removal of negatively-charged/deprotonated organics ($\text{RB-pK}_a = 3.5$ and $\text{PN-pK}_a = 9.8$).^{82,83} Meanwhile, the surface of Cu-GBC10C became more negatively charged with the increase of solution pH, which was less conducive to anionic PDS ($\text{S}_2\text{O}_8^{2-}$) forming a metastable complex.⁸⁴ In addition, an acidic environment at pH 3.0–6.0 adversely affected the organics degradation due to inevitable Cu dissolution from the Cu-GBC10C ($0.014\text{--}0.925\text{ mg L}^{-1}$).

Reusability/recyclability is an important indicator evaluating the sustainability of Cu-GBC10C. The removal efficiency decreased from 95.8% to 30.6% after four cycles (Fig. S16), probably because the Cu nanoparticles were exhausted by chemical reduction and/or the degradation intermediates/products covered the active sites, and changed the surface chemistry and electron transfer. Nevertheless, the Cu-GBC10C could maintain 73.2% of the RB removal efficiency after four cycles via facile acetone regeneration process, suggesting that the Cu-GBC10C had a good reusability/recyclability and stability when the degradation intermediates were removed. It is noted that the trivial amount of leached Cu (Fig. S15) in bulk solution could not catalyze the degradation, because adsorption of target contaminants on Cu-GBC composite surface was evidenced to be necessary (Section 3.4) and chemical desorption of organic intermediates/products in acetone was required to recover the catalytic activity (Fig. S16). We herein propose the Cu-based and ketonic active sites on GBC as a sustainable alternative to the consumable catalytic sites in the conventional carbocatalysts. By using persulfate activation as a model reaction, our findings can foster wider applications of Cu-GBC composites in sustainable biorefinery,⁸⁵ soil amendment,^{2,} ⁸⁶ stabilization/solidification,⁸⁷ etc., where electron transfer routes can potentially take place. Future studies may also investigate the production of Cu-GBC from wood waste contaminated by Cu-containing preservatives, which will further benefit sustainable development and zero waste principles.

4. Conclusions

Through the integrated analysis of the surface characteristics and contaminant interactions, we demonstrated that the highly graphitic Cu-doped biochar fabricated in CO₂ environment manifested a superior catalytic performance of PDS activation for the degradation of various organic contaminants, out-performing most of popular and costly heterogeneous catalysts. Selective degradation routes via singlet oxygenation and mediated electron transfer were promoted by design and validated as the predominant

non-radical mechanisms. The organics adsorption was identified as the rate-determining step of the reaction. The scientific merits of this paper are highlighted as follows: (i) a new approach for utilizing waste biomass to synthesize value-added products with versatile metal-heteroatoms-induced active sites and high graphitization employing greenhouse gas CO₂; (ii) a highly reactive, selective, green, and stable method for catalytic degradation of organic contaminants with cost-effective and environmentally benign biochar composites; (iii) advanced mechanistic insights into the synergistic effects of the copper heteroatoms and CO₂ environment during metal-impregnated biomass pyrolysis and catalytic degradation. Therefore, the Cu-biochar composites are easily prepared and low-cost carbon-based catalysts suitable for green and sustainable remediation.

Acknowledgement

The authors appreciate the financial support from the Hong Kong Research Grants Council (PolyU 15217818) and Hong Kong International Airport Environment Fund for this study. The support of the University Research Facility on Chemical and Environmental Analysis (URFCE) of PolyU is also acknowledged.

References

1. W.-J. Liu, H. Jiang and H.-Q. Yu, *Chemical Reviews*, 2015, **115**, 12251-12285.
2. J. C. Yoo, J. Beiyuan, L. Wang, T. Dcw, K. Baek, N. S. Bolan, Y. S. Ok and X. D. Li, *Science of the Total Environment*, 2018, 616.
3. J. Beiyuan, Y. M. Awad, F. Beckers, D. C. W. Tsang, Y. S. Ok and J. Rinklebe, *Chemosphere*, 2017, 178, 110-118.

- 494 4. A. D. Igalavithana, S. E. Lee, Y. H. Lee, T. Dcw, J. Rinklebe, E. E. Kwon and Y. S. Ok, Chemosphere,
495 2017, 174, 593-603.
- 496 5. J. Sun, L. Pan, T. Dcw, Y. Zhan, L. Zhu and X. Li, Science of the Total Environment, 2017, 615, 724.
- 497 6. C. Xinde, M. Lena, L. Yuan, G. Bin and H. Willie, Environmental science & technology, 2011, 45,
498 4884-4889.
- 499 7. F. Yang, L. Zhao, B. Gao, X. Xu and X. Cao, Environmental science & technology, 2016, 50,
500 2264-2271.
- 501 8. J. J. Manyà, Environmental science & technology, 2012, 46, 7939.
- 502 9. M. Inyang, B. Gao, Y. Ying, Y. Xue, A. R. Zimmerman, P. Pullammanappallil and X. Cao, Bioresource
503 technology, 2012, 110, 50-56.
- 504 10. H. Peng, P. Gao, G. Chu, B. Pan, J. Peng and B. Xing, Environ Pollut, 2017, 229, 846-853.
- 505 11. K. A. Thompson, K. K. Shimabuku, J. P. Kearns, D. R. U. Knappe, R. S. Summers and S. M. Cook,
506 Environmental science & technology, 2016, 50, 11253-11262.
- 507 12. K. Vikrant, K. H. Kim, Y. S. Ok, T. Dcw, Y. F. Tsang, B. S. Giri and R. S. Singh, Science of the Total
508 Environment, 2017, 616-617, 1242.
- 509 13. Y. Sun, I. K. M. Yu, D. C. W. Tsang, X. Cao, D. Lin, L. Wang, N. J. D. Graham, D. S. Alessi, M.
510 Komárek, Y. S. Ok, Y. Feng and X.-D. Li, Environment International, 2019, 124, 521-532.
- 511 14. A. U. Rajapaksha, M. S. Alam, N. Chen, D. S. Alessi, A. D. Igalavithana, D. C. W. Tsang and S. O.
512 Yong, Science of the Total Environment, 2018, 625.
- 513 15. L. Cao, I. K. M. Yu, S. S. Chen, D. C. W. Tsang, W. Lei, X. Xiong, S. Zhang, S. O. Yong, E. E. Kwon
514 and H. Song, Bioresource technology, 2017, 252, 76.
- 515 16. S. S. Chen, I. K. M. Yu, D.-W. Cho, H. Song, D. C. W. Tsang, J.-P. Tessonier, Y. S. Ok and C. S. Poon,
516 ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 2018, 6, 16113-16120.

- 517 17. X. Xiong, I. K. M. Yu, L. Cao, D. C. W. Tsang, S. Zhang and Y. S. Ok, *Bioresource technology*, 2017,
518 246, 254-270.
- 519 18. S. You, Y. S. Ok, S. S. Chen, D. C. W. Tsang, E. E. Kwon, J. Lee and C.-H. Wang, *Bioresource*
520 *technology*, 2017, 246, 242-253.
- 521 19. D.-W. Cho, K. Yoon, Y. Ahn, Y. Sun, D. C. W. Tsang, D. Hou, Y. S. Ok and H. Song, *Journal of*
522 *hazardous materials*, 2019, 374, 412-419.
- 523 20. X. Duan, H. Sun, K. Jian, Y. Wang and S. Wang, *Acs Catalysis*, 2015, 5, 4629-4636.
- 524 21. J. L. Vidal, V. P. Andrea, S. L. MacQuarrie and F. M. Kerton, *ChemCatChem*,
525 doi:10.1002/cctc.201900290
- 526 22. J. Yan, L. Han, W. Gao, S. Xue and M. Chen, *Bioresource technology*, 2015, 175, 269-274.
- 527 23. Z. Wan, D.-W. Cho, D. C. W. Tsang, M. Li, T. Sun and F. Verpoort, *Environmental Pollution*, 2019,
528 247, 410-420.
- 529 24. D.-W. Cho, G. Kwon, K. Yoon, Y. F. Tsang, Y. S. Ok, E. E. Kwon and H. Song, *Energy Conversion*
530 *and Management*, 2017, 145, 1-9.
- 531 25. G. D. Fang, D. D. Dionysiou, S. R. Al-Abed and D. M. Zhou, *Applied Catalysis B Environmental*,
532 2013, 129, 325-332.
- 533 26. G. Fang, C. Liu, J. Gao, D. D. Dionysiou and D. Zhou, *Environmental science & technology*, 2015, 49,
534 5645-5653.
- 535 27. G. Kwon, D.-W. Cho, D. C. W. Tsang, E. E. Kwon and H. Song, *Journal of CO2 Utilization*, 2018, 27,
536 196-203.
- 537 28. M.-T. Yang, W.-C. Tong, J. Lee, E. Kwon and K.-Y. A. Lin, *Journal of colloid and interface science*,
538 2019, 545, 16-24.
- 539 29. X. Duan, H. Sun, M. Tade and S. Wang, *Catalysis Today*, 2017, S0920586117302705.

540 30. C. Lei, Y. Sun, D. C. W. Tsang and D. Lin, *Environmental Pollution*, 2017, 232, 10.

541 31. T. Zhang, Y. Chen, Y. Wang, J. Le Roux, Y. Yang and J. P. Croue, *Environmental science & technology*,
542 2014, 48, 5868-5875.

543 32. L. Lu, R. Shan, Y. Shi, S. Wang and H. Yuan, *Chemosphere*, 2019, 222, 391-398.

544 33. E. E. Kwon, S.-H. Cho and S. Kim, *Environmental science & technology*, 2015, 49, 5028-5034.

545 34. E. E. Kwon, E.-C. Jeon, M. J. Castaldi and Y. J. Jeon, *Environmental science & technology*, 2013, 47,
546 10541-10547.

547 35. P. Hu and M. Long, *Applied Catalysis B Environmental*, 2016, 181, 103-117.

548 36. E. T. Yun, H. Y. Yoo, H. Bae, H. I. Kim and J. Lee, *Environmental science & technology*, 2017, 51,
549 10090-10099.

550 37. O. S. Furman, A. L. Teel and R. J. Watts, *Environmental science & technology*, 2010, 44, 6423-6428.

551 38. W.-D. Oh and T.-T. Lim, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2019, 358, 110-133.

552 39. S. Zhu, X. Li, J. Kang, X. Duan and S. Wang, *Environmental science & technology*, 2019, 53,
553 307-315.

554 40. X. Duan, H. Sun and S. Wang, *Accounts of Chemical Research*, 2018, 51, 678.

555 41. E. T. Yun, J. H. Lee, J. Kim, H. D. Park and J. Lee, *Environmental science & technology*, 2018, 52,
556 7032-7042.

557 42. P. Hu, H. Su, Z. Chen, C. Yu, Q. Li, B. Zhou, P. J. J. Alvarez and M. Long, *Environmental science &*
558 *technology*, 2017, 51, acs.est.7b03014.

559 43. Z. Lin, G. Waller, L. Yan, M. Liu and C. i. Wong, *Advanced Energy Materials*, 2012, 2, 884-888.

560 44. S. Zhu, X. Huang, F. Ma, L. Wang, X. Duan and S. Wang, *Environmental science & technology*, 2018,
561 52, 8649-8658.

562 45. C. Shan, Y. Liu, Y. Huang and B. Pan, *Environment International*, 2019, 124, 393-399.

563 46. S. Bai, N. Zhang, C. Gao and Y. Xiong, *Nano Energy*, 2018, 53, 296-336.

564 47. F. Yang, S. Zhang, Y. Sun, K. Cheng, J. Li and D. C. W. Tsang, *Bioresource technology*, 2018, 265,
565 490-497.

566 48. K. Marco, P. S. Nico, M. G. Johnson and K. Markus, *Environmental science & technology*, 2010, 44,
567 1247-1253.

568 49. I. K. M. Yu, X. Xiong, D. C. W. Tsang, Y. H. Ng, J. Clark, J. Fan, S. Zhang, C. Hu and Y. S. Ok, *Green*
569 *Chemistry*, 2019.

570 50. J. Zhang, D. S. Su, R. Blume, R. Schlögl, R. Wang, X. Yang and A. Gajović, *Angewandte Chemie*
571 *International Edition*, 2010, 49, 8640-8644.

572 51. W. K. Oh, H. Yoon and J. Jang, *Biomaterials*, 2010, 31, 1342-1348.

573 52. N. Zhu, J. Qiao and T. Yan, *Science of The Total Environment*, 2019, 648, 993-1001.

574 53. E. F. Zama, Y.-G. Zhu, B. J. Reid and G.-X. Sun, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 2017, 148, 127-136.

575 54. S. Rostami, A. A. Nadooshan and A. Raisi, *Powder Technology*, 2019, 345, 658-667.

576 55. A. Liu, Y. Bai, Y. Liu, M. Zhao, J. Mu, C. Wu, X. Zhang, G. Wang and H. Che, *Materials Research*
577 *Bulletin*, 2016, 84, 85-92.

578 56. F. Nazeer, Z. Ma, L. Gao, F. Wang, M. A. Khan and A. Malik, *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 2019,
579 163, 77-85.

580 57. Q. Wang, B. Wang, Y. Ma and S. Xing, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2018, 354, 473-480.

581 58. B. Frank, J. Zhang, R. Blume, R. Schlögl and D. S. Su, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*,
582 2009, 48, 6913-6917.

583 59. C. Xin, H. Guo, Y. Zhang, L. Yang, H. Liu and Y. Ying, *Journal of colloid and interface science*, 2016,
584 469, 277-286.

585 60. X. Li, J.-i. Hayashi and C.-Z. Li, *Fuel*, 2006, 85, 1700-1707.

- 586 61. R. J. Nemanich, J. T. Glass, G. Lucovsky and R. E. Shroder, *Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology*
587 A, 1988, 6, 1783-1787.
- 588 62. L. Tang, Y. Liu, J. Wang, G. Zeng, Y. Deng, H. Dong, H. Feng, J. Wang and B. Peng, *Applied Catalysis*
589 B: Environmental, 2018, 231, 1-10.
- 590 63. Z. Fan, Q. Zhang, M. Li, D. Niu, W. Sang and F. Verpoort, *Environmental Science and Pollution*
591 *Research*, 2018, 25, 8330-8339.
- 592 64. J. Hong, C. Jin, J. Yuan and Z. Zhang, *Advanced materials*, 2017, 29.
- 593 65. B. Zhao, D. O'Connor, J. Zhang, T. Peng, Z. Shen, D. C. W. Tsang and D. Hou, *Journal of Cleaner*
594 *Production*, 2018, 174, 977-987.
- 595 66. X. Yang, A. D. Igalavithana, S. E. Oh, H. Nam, M. Zhang, C. H. Wang, E. E. Kwon, D. C. W. Tsang
596 and Y. S. Ok, *The Science of the total environment*, 2018, 640-641, 704-713.
- 597 67. F. Guo, X. Li, Y. Liu, K. Peng, C. Guo and Z. Rao, *Energy Conversion and Management*, 2018, 167,
598 81-90.
- 599 68. A. Mushtaque, A. L. Teel and R. J. Watts, *Environmental science & technology*, 2013, 47, 5864-5871.
- 600 69. F. Guodong, G. Juan, D. D. Dionysiou, L. Cun and Z. Dongmei, *Environmental science & technology*,
601 2013, 47, 4605-4611.
- 602 70. X.-L. Wu, T. Wen, H.-L. Guo, S. Yang, X. Wang and A.-W. Xu, *ACS nano*, 2013, 7, 3589-3597.
- 603 71. D. Ouyang, J. Yan, L. Qian, Y. Chen, L. Han, A. Su, W. Zhang, H. Ni and M. Chen, *Chemosphere*,
604 2017, 184, 609-617.
- 605 72. Y.-d. Chen, S.-H. Ho, D. Wang, Z.-s. Wei, J.-S. Chang and N.-q. Ren, *Bioresource technology*, 2018,
606 247, 463-470.
- 607 73. B. Volesky, *Water research*, 2007, 41, 4017-4029.

608 74. K. Gao, B. Wang, L. Tao, B. V. Cuning, Z. Zhang, S. Wang, R. S. Ruoff and L. Qu, *Advanced*
609 *materials*, 2019, 31, 1805121.

610 75. C. Qi, X. Liu, C. Lin, X. Zhang, J. Ma, H. Tan and W. Ye, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2014, 249,
611 6-14.

612 76. Y. Qin, G. Li, Y. Gao, L. Zhang, Y. S. Ok and T. An, *Water research*, 2018, 137, 130-143.

613 77. X. Ruan, Y. Sun, W. Du, Y. Tang, Q. Liu, Z. Zhang, W. Doherty, R. L. Frost, G. Qian and D. C. W.
614 Tsang, *Bioresource technology*, 2019, 281, 457-468.

615 78. X. Cheng, H. Guo, Y. Zhang, Y. Liu, H. Liu and Y. Yang, *Journal of colloid and interface science*, 2016,
616 469, 277-286.

617 79. Q. Ma, X. Zhang, R. Guo, H. Zhang, Q. Cheng, M. Xie and X. Cheng, *Separation and Purification*
618 *Technology*, 2019, 210, 335-342.

619 80. J. Ortiz-Medina, Z. Wang, R. Cruz-Silva, A. Morelos-Gomez, F. Wang, X. Yao, M. Terrones and M.
620 Endo, *Advanced materials*, 2019, 31, 1805717.

621 81. Q. Ji, J. Li, Z. Xiong and B. Lai, *Chemosphere*, 2017, 172, 10-20.

622 82. P. Barták and L. Čáp, *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1997, 767, 171-175.

623 83. H. Yu, G. Li, B. Zhang, X. Zhang, Y. Xiao, J. Wang and Y. Song, *Dyes and Pigments*, 2016, 133,
624 93-99.

625 84. H. R. Horton, *Principles of biochemistry*, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2002.

626 85. I. K. M. Yu, X. Xiong, D. C. W. Tsang, L. Wang and C. S. Poon, *Green Chemistry*, 2019, 21.

627 86. Y. F. Leung, W. Liu, J.-S. Li, L. Wang, D. C. W. Tsang, C. Y. Lo, M. T. Leung and C. S. Poon, *Science*
628 *of the Total Environment*, 2018, 633, 836-847.

629 87. L. Wang, K. Yu, J.-S. Li, D. C. W. Tsang, C. S. Poon, J.-C. Yoo, K. Baek, S. Ding, D. Hou and J.-G.
630 Dai, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2018, 351, 418-427.