



Deposited via The University of York.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/151889/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Wan, Zhonghao, Sun, Yuqing, Tsang, Daniel C.W. et al. (2019) A sustainable biochar catalyst synergized with copper heteroatoms and CO₂ for singlet oxygenation and electron transfer routes. *Green Chemistry*. pp. 4800-4814. ISSN: 1463-9262

<https://doi.org/10.1039/c9gc01843c>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

1 **Sustainable biochar catalyst synergized with copper heteroatoms and CO₂ for singlet**
2 **oxygenation and electron transfer routes**

3
4 Zhonghao Wan¹, Yuqing Sun¹, Daniel C.W. Tsang^{1,*}, Iris K.M. Yu^{1,2}, Jiajun Fan², James H. Clark², Yaoyu
5 Zhou^{1,3}, Xinde Cao⁴, Bin Gao⁵, Yong Sik Ok⁶

6
7 ¹ *Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China*

8 ² *Green Chemistry Centre of Excellence, Department of Chemistry, The University of York, York YO10 5DD, UK*

9 ³ *Hunan International Scientific and Technological Cooperation Base of Agricultural Typical Pollution Remediation and*
10 *Wetland Protection, College of Resources and Environment, Hunan Agricultural University, Changsha 410128, China*

11 ⁴ *School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China*

12 ⁵ *Department of Agricultural and Biological Engineering, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, United States*

13 ⁶ *Korea Biochar Research Centre & Division of Environmental Science and Ecological Engineering, Korea University, Seoul,*
14 *South Korea*

15
16 * Corresponding author email: dan.tsang@polyu.edu.hk

17

18 **Abstract**

19 We have developed a wood waste-derived biochar as a sustainable graphitic carbon catalyst for
20 environmental remediation through catalytic pyrolysis under the synergistic effects between Cu heteroatoms
21 and CO₂, which for the first time are found to significantly enhance the oxygen functionalities, defective
22 sites, and highly ordered *sp*²-hybridized carbon matrix. The copper-doped graphitic biochars (Cu-GBCs)
23 were further characterized by XRD, FTIR, Raman, XPS, *etc.*, revealing that the modified specific surface
24 area, pore structure, graphitization, and active sites (*i.e.*, defective sites and ketonic group) on the Cu-GBCs
25 corresponded to the synergistic Cu species loading and Cu-induced carbon-matrix reformation under CO₂
26 environment during pyrolysis. The catalytic ability of the Cu-GBCs was evaluated using the ubiquitous
27 peroxydisulfate (PDS) activation system for the removal of various organic contaminants (*i.e.*, Rhodamine
28 B, phenol, bisphenol A, and 4-chlorophenol), and gave the highest degradation rate of 0.0312 min⁻¹ in
29 comparison with those of pristine GBCs and N₂-pyrolyzed Cu-GBCs ranging from 0.0056 to 0.0094 min⁻¹.
30 The synergistic effects were attributed to the encapsulated Cu heteroatoms, evolved ketonic groups, and
31 abundant unconfined π electrons within the carbon lattice. According to scavenger experiments, ESR
32 analysis, and the two-chamber experiments, selective and sustainable non-radical pathways (*i.e.*, singlet
33 oxygenation and electron transfer) mediated by Cu-induced metastable surface complex were achieved in
34 the Cu-GBC/PDS system. This study offers the first insights into the efficacy, sustainability, and mechanistic
35 roles of Cu-GBCs as an emerging carbon-based catalyst for green environmental remediation.

36

37 **Keywords:** engineered biochar; heteroatoms doping; metal-carbon composite; non-radical activation;
38 sustainable waste management; green and sustainable remediation.

39 1. Introduction

40 Biochar has been extensively explored in agricultural and environmental applications,¹ such as soil
41 amendment and contaminant stabilization,²⁻⁵ carbon sequestration,⁶⁻⁸ water/wastewater treatment,⁹⁻¹⁴ and
42 catalytic biomass conversion.^{1,15-17} It can be derived from various biomass wastes as renewable resources of
43 high abundance, which favours the large-scale and economical production to satisfy sustainable
44 development and circular bio-economy.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ The versatile physicochemical properties of biochar are
45 primarily determined by its intrinsic atomic arrangement of a carbon matrix, structural defective sites, pore
46 structure, and surface functional groups.^{18,20,21} To date, engineered metal-biochar composites with desirable
47 physicochemical properties have been fabricated by introducing various multivalent transition metal
48 components (Fe⁰,^{13,22,23} Fe₃O₄,²⁴⁻²⁶ and Co,^{27,28} etc.) into the carbon lattice to evolve versatile active sites.
49 Despite the high efficiency, the application of active multivalent transition metal-functionalized biochars
50 unavoidably cause metal leaching into the environment, which is potentially hazardous to aquatic life and
51 detrimental to clean water supply.^{29,30} For green environmental remediation, it is imperative to devise a
52 transition metal-biochar composite with low toxicity and exhibiting excellent adsorptive capability and
53 potential catalytic activity.

54 In this study, Cu was employed as the metal heteroatom for its inherent catalytic capacity and low
55 toxicity,³¹ while the lignin-rich wood waste was adopted as the feedstock with macro-/meso-pores for
56 housing Cu species. In contrast with the commonly used transition metal catalyst, such as Co and TiO₂ that
57 are precious metal facing an increasing threat of depletion,^{27,28,32} the use of the low-cost Cu in our study
58 appears to be more sustainable. Recent studies have revealed that a CO₂ medium could create surface
59 defects and oxygen-containing functional groups that significantly enhanced the catalytic performance of
60 biochar-supported materials.^{24, 27, 28, 33, 34} The catalytic reforming of carbon matrix and the potential
61 interactions with copper heteroatoms during CO₂ pyrolysis are yet to be revealed, particularly for the

62 physicochemical properties, surface structure, and interfacial chemical behaviour of the resulting Cu-biochar
63 composites for catalytic applications.

64 The heterogeneous peroxydisulfate (PDS, $S_2O_8^{2-}$) activation system has been widely employed on
65 engineered biochar. As an emerging green oxyanion, PDS can be directly activated by external energy input
66 (heat, ultraviolet light, **and** ultrasound, *etc.*) or various metal-based catalysts to generate robust **sulfate**
67 radicals ($SO_4^{\bullet-}$), **which** exhibit higher redox potential ($E^0 = 2.5\text{--}3.1$ V) and longer half-life period ($t_{1/2} = 30\text{--}$
68 40 μs) than $\cdot\text{OH}$ ($E^0 = 1.9\text{--}2.7$ V, $t_{1/2} = 3\text{--}10$ μs) over a wide range of pH values (pH = 2–8).^{35,36} Organics
69 degradation *via* radical pathways often requires intensive energy or chemical input that would limit its **field**
70 application, and the generated radicals would demonstrate non-selective oxidation capability (*e.g.*,
71 self-scavenging effects **and** highly chlorinated byproducts),^{31,37} wherein the induced cannibalistic surface
72 oxidation reaction would **irreversibly alter the framework of carbon supports**.³⁸ Thus, PDS activation *via* a
73 more sustainable pathway is highly desirable. Zhang et al. reported that copper species could activate PDS
74 to degrade chlorophenols *via* a non-radical pathway by forming a metastable complex on its surface.³¹ Zhu
75 et al. found that crystallographic manganese oxide could activate PDS to generate singlet oxygen (O_2^1) other
76 than commonly reported free radicals (*e.g.*, $\cdot\text{OH}$, $SO_4^{\bullet-}$, and $O_2^{\bullet-}$) for the degradation of aqueous
77 contaminants.³⁹ In addition, various carbonaceous materials (*e.g.*, activated carbon, carbon nanotube, and
78 graphene oxide (GO)) **are** capable of catalysing PDS for organic degradation *via* electron transition through
79 graphitic matrix, which potentially are even more efficient than transition metal oxides (*e.g.*, Fe_3O_4 , Co_3O_4 ,
80 and $\alpha\text{-MnO}_2$).⁴⁰ This superior performance could **be** ascribed to the well-defined sp^2 -hybridized carbon
81 matrix with versatile catalytic centre (*e.g.*, defects and ketonic groups) and abundant unconfined
82 π -electrons.^{20,41-43} In a recent study, graphitic biochar (GBC, pyrolyzed over 700 °C) **with** large specific
83 surface area (SSA), ordered sp^2 -hybridized structure, and tuneable defect sites as redox centre **facilitated**
84 catalytic degradation.⁴⁴ Thus, it is hypothesized and then proven in this study that the introduction of

85 low-toxicity copper heteroatoms into the graphitic biochar matrix can enable the complimentary coupling of
86 the catalytic centre and graphitic matrix of carbonaceous materials. This technique is more economic,
87 scalable, and sustainable for green remediation applications *via* the non-radical PDS activation route.

88 In this study, Cu-doped GBC composites were synthesized through a one-step pyrolysis of
89 CuCl₂-pretreated wood waste at 900 °C with continuous CO₂ purging as the reactive medium (in
90 comparison to N₂ purging). The objectives of this research were to: (i) articulate the interactions of CO₂
91 medium and doped copper heteroatoms for tailoring the physicochemical properties, surface structure, and
92 interfacial chemical behaviour of the resultant Cu-GBC composites, and (ii) capitalize on the evolution of
93 sustainable pathways for non-radical PDS activation and catalytic degradation of various organic
94 contaminants (*i.e.*, Rhodamine B (RB), phenol (PN), bisphenol A (BPA), and 4-chlorophenol (4-CH)). The
95 results of this study are conducive to improving the future design of high-efficiency, engineered
96 metal-biochar composites as green catalysts.

97

98 **2. Experimental**

99 *2.1. Materials and chemical reagents*

100 Apple-tree wood waste (collected from **Guiyang city, Guizhou province**, China) was selected as the
101 feedstock of waste biomass. All the chemicals were of analytical reagent grade and solvents were of
102 high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade. Ultrapure water (UW, 18.2 MΩ cm⁻¹) obtained
103 from a Millipore Milli-Q Water Purification System (Milford, USA) was used for all experimental solutions.

104 *2.2. Preparation of Cu-GBC composites*

105 Before use, wood waste was cut into small pieces (< 5 cm), washed with ultrapure water (UW) for
106 several times, oven dried at 80 °C overnight, then crushed by a high-speed rotary pulverizer, and finally
107 sieved through a 120-mesh (particle size < 0.125 mm). The suspension of biomass with Cu²⁺ was prepared

108 by mixing 20 g wood with predetermined amounts of $\text{CuCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in 1 L UW in a glass beaker, which was
109 then agitated at 350 rpm for 24 h with a magnetic stirrer to maintain a uniform concentration. After **water**
110 **removal** under continuous stirring at 80 °C for 12 h in a thermostat water **bath**, the **slurry** was completely
111 dried **in an oven** at 80 °C for 24 h **and then was sieved again for homogeneity**. Next, the samples were
112 placed in a tubular furnace with a medium heating rate of 5 °C min^{-1} to reach desired 900 °C, and the **peak**
113 temperature was maintained for 2 h under CO_2 or N_2 purging at 500 mL min^{-1} .⁴⁴ **After** cooling down to
114 room temperature inside the furnace, the stabilized samples were collected **and rinsed with UW and alcohol**
115 **for three times, respectively. After oven-drying overnight, the samples were** ground and passed through a
116 200-mesh **sieve (particle size < 0.075 mm). This particle size was smaller than the 120-mesh sieve used for**
117 **biomass before pyrolysis, for the purpose of preparing biochars with reproducible quality and homogeneity,**
118 ***i.e.*, uniform chemical impregnation and even heat distribution. For comparison, the pristine biochar was**
119 **prepared following the above procedure, except for the use of CuCl_2 -free UW for the suspension of wood**
120 **waste. All prepared samples were** stored in an airtight container for further use. The obtained products are
121 denoted as Cu-GBCXC or Cu-GBCXN, where the X (5, 10, and 20%) and C/N represent the copper
122 calculated mass ratio after wet digestion (Cu/BC, wt.%) and the **selected** purging gas (*i.e.*, CO_2 or N_2) during
123 pyrolysis, respectively. **Concerns over the toxicity of CuCl_2 are mitigated as the chloride ions evaporate**
124 **during pyrolysis at 900 °C followed by absorption in NaOH solution (5 wt.%), while copper is retained on**
125 **the carbon support. Nevertheless, life-cycle assessment is needed in the future to validate the net**
126 **environmental benefits of Cu-GBC compared to conventional options.**

127 *2.3. Microscopic characterization of Cu-GBC composites*

128 The characteristics of the GBC and Cu-GBC composites were determined *via* employing the
129 Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area and Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) porosity, **high resolution**
130 **transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM)**, scanning electron microscopy-energy dispersive X-ray

131 spectroscopy (SEM-EDX), X-ray diffraction (XRD), Raman spectroscopy, Fourier-transform infrared
132 spectroscopy (FTIR), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS).
133 Detailed information on the characterization methods is available in the Supporting Information.

134 2.4. Catalytic degradation of various organic contaminants by Cu-GBC composites

135 The organic removal experiments were performed at 25 ± 1 °C under intensive mixing by a thermostatic
136 oscillator (180 rpm). The solution pH was adjusted to 5.8 ± 0.2 using 0.1 M NaOH or HNO₃. To investigate
137 the removal kinetics of various organic contaminants (*i.e.*, RB, PH, BPA, and 4-CH) by Cu-GBC
138 composites, 0.3 g L⁻¹ suspensions of Cu-GBC composites and 2 mM PDS (determined according to
139 preliminary results) were added into 100 mL UW containing 0.1 mM organic contaminants in 250-mL
140 conical flasks, while a similar reaction with GBC was also conducted for comparison. The commercial
141 metal and metal-free catalysts (Fe₃O₄, Fe₂O₃, zero valent iron (ZVI), CuO, CoO, MnO₂, ZnO, and GO)
142 were also evaluated for PDS activation. At predetermined time interval for sampling, aliquot sample of 1
143 mL was extracted from the conical flask to a glass vial and ethyl alcohol (EtOH) was added in excess for
144 quenching. Same batch experiments quenching with sodium nitrite (NaNO₂) were also conducted to
145 differentiate the contribution of adsorption and catalytic degradation. Then, the sample was filtered through
146 a 0.45-µm pore-size polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) membrane (Millipore, USA) and analysed for the
147 organic concentration. After the reaction, the Cu-GBC suspension was centrifuged, and the catalyst was
148 collected and recovered by acetone (solid/acetone, 1:158, wt.%), which can effectively remove residual
149 organics from the catalyst surface without altering its properties, according to our preliminary experiments
150 (Supporting Information).

151 The scavenging experiments were performed to illustrate the contribution of different reactive oxygen
152 species (*i.e.*, •OH, SO₄^{•-}, O₂^{•-}, and O₂¹) by using 4 M EtOH (for •OH and SO₄^{•-}), 0.5 M tert-butanol (TBA)
153 (for •OH), 0.05 M chloroform (CF) (for O₂^{•-}), and 0.01 M furfuryl alcohol (FFA) (for O₂¹), respectively.²⁹

154 Potassium iodide (KI, 10 mM) and pure acetone were chosen as inhibitors of non-radical pathway to
155 suppress the surface-bound reactive species formation and direct contact between organic contaminants and
156 carbon matrix, respectively.⁴⁴ Similar experiments (without the PDS addition) using the composites
157 pre-treated by excess PDS (1 M) were performed to identify the contribution of organic removal *via*
158 metastable complex.⁴⁵ The metal-free GBC (treated with ethylene-diamine-tetraacetic acid (EDTA)) and
159 phenol-free GBC (treated with glycerol) were also employed (Supporting Information).²⁶ To identify the
160 direct electron-transfer process during PDS activation, a two-chamber reactor separated by proton exchange
161 membrane (PEM), equipped with carbon fibre electrodes, connected *via* electric wire, and loaded with
162 Cu-GBC composite as an activator was prepared to separate organic contaminants from PDS (Supporting
163 Information).

164 2.5. Analytical methods

165 The concentrations of organic pollutants were analysed by an UV-Vis spectrophotometer at a wavelength
166 of 554 nm (UV-1100, China, limit of detection (LOD) of 0.1 mg L⁻¹) or a high-performance liquid
167 chromatography (HPLC, Hitachi, Japan, LOD of 0.1 mg L⁻¹). The total organic carbon (TOC) was
168 measured with a TOC analyser (SSM-5000A, Japan, LOD of 0.1 mg L⁻¹). The PDS concentration was
169 determined by another UV-Vis spectrophotometer coupled with a computational platform (Optizen Pop,
170 South Korea, LOD of 0.1 mg L⁻¹). The metal concentration was quantified by inductively coupled plasma
171 atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES, Thermo Scientific, USA, LOD of 0.1 µg L⁻¹). The electron spin
172 resonance (ESR) spectra were obtained using an EMX10/12 spectrometer (Bruker, Germany). The solution
173 pH was measured by a digital pH meter (Thermo 911600, USA). Detailed information on the analytical
174 methods is available in the Supporting Information. Calibration of standard organic/inorganic concentrations
175 was carried out prior to each analysis. Standards were analysed every 10 samples for quality assurance and

176 quality control. All experiments were performed in triplicate, and the results are presented as mean \pm
177 standard deviations.

178

179

180 3. Results and discussion

181 3.1. Physiochemical properties and crystalline structure of Cu-GBC composites

182 Selected physiochemical properties of GBC and the synthesized Cu-GBC composites with different Cu
183 loadings (5–20 wt.%) under N₂ or CO₂ environment are summarized in Table 1. After wet digestion, the
184 total Cu content in the Cu-GBC composites was shown to range from 3.8 ± 1.1 to 23.2 ± 5.5 wt.%. The
185 HR-TEM images are shown in Fig. 1a-f. Homogeneous distribution of copper nanoparticles can be
186 observed (Fig. 1b) with a lattice spacing of 0.223 nm assigned to the (111) plane of metal copper (Fig. 1d).
187 These results suggest that copper was successfully reduced and loaded within the biochar framework after
188 impregnation and pyrolysis processes. The specific surface area (SSA) and total pore volume of metal-free
189 GBC fabricated in a CO₂ environment ($358 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and $0.323 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$) were significantly higher than that
190 generated in a N₂ environment ($68.3 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and $0.0516 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$). The CO₂ medium promoted the formation
191 of both micropores ($219 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$) and meso/macro-pores ($139 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$), as a result of intensive carbon
192 reforming via Boudouard reaction ($\text{C} + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{CO}$) taking place at $\geq 710 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$.^{24,33,34} The use of CO₂ may
193 also react with the pore-blocking condensable hydrocarbons (e.g., volatile organic compounds and tars),
194 which could be converted into gaseous products to free the blocked pores and/or create new ones.^{30,46} The
195 SSA increased from $358 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ for the control CO₂-GBC to $388 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and $468 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ for the Cu-GBC5C
196 and Cu-GBC10C, respectively, indicating that CuCl₂ acted as a pore-forming agent. The external surface
197 area and micropore volume also increased from 139 to $175 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and 0.121 to $0.156 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$ (i.e.
198 39.2–53.1% of total pore volume), respectively, suggesting the more significant formation of both

199 mesopores (2–50 nm) and micropores (< 2 nm) in Cu-GBC10C framework, which are also displayed in the
200 HR-TEM image (Fig. 1e). Thus, the Cu-induced catalytic graphitization of carbon matrix during pyrolysis
201 could possibly generate more zero-dimensional point defects (*i.e.*, vacancy and edge sites) and
202 three-dimensional volume defects (*i.e.*, lattice disorder and void).⁴⁶ However, excessive Cu loading caused a
203 sharp decrease in SSA ($4.89 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$) for the Cu-GBC20C, which might result from the pore blockage after
204 Cu precipitation. As shown in Fig. 2a & S1, N₂ adsorption curves of all the composites exhibited the typical
205 type-IV adsorption/desorption isotherms with H4-type hysteresis loop at medium relative pressure ($P/P_0 =$
206 $0.45\text{--}0.95$), which further demonstrated the existence of micropores and mesopores derived from capillary
207 condensation,⁴⁷ corresponding to the average pore size data of GBC and Cu-GBC composites in Table 1.

208 Compared with the control CO₂-GBC, the pH of the Cu-GBC composites decreased from 10.5 to 10.3–
209 8.51, which is attributed to the hydrolysis of Cu²⁺ and/or the generation of acidic functional groups (*e.g.*,
210 phenolic and carboxylic groups).⁴⁸ The pH value at the point of zero charge (pH_{pzc}) increased after copper
211 encapsulation (Fig. S2) owing to an increasing positive surface charge after the incorporation of copper
212 atoms, which is expected to tailor the electronic states of the adjacent carbon *via* charge transport to
213 modulate the electron density.⁴⁰ The SEM-EDX analysis of the GBC and Cu-GBC composites are
214 presented in Figs. S3-S5. In contrast to the relative smooth surface with multilayer structure on the N₂-GBC
215 (Fig.S3a-b), porous channels and more mesopores were observed on the surface of CO₂-GBC (Fig.S3c-d).
216 With respect to Cu-GBC5C, Cu particles were mainly incorporated in the interior micropores of the flaky
217 cracking GBC surface (Fig. S3e). As the Cu loading increased, *i.e.*, for Cu-GBC10C, Cu particles with
218 diameters ranging from micro- to nano-scale became evident (Fig. S4c-d). These particles were evenly
219 distributed in the pores and on the surfaces of bulkier dendritic flocs, up to a particle size of 400–500 nm. In
220 contrast, obvious particle aggregation into discrete spheres of ~10 μm was observed in the Cu-GBC20C
221 (Fig. S3f). These findings are consistent with the variation in surface area and pore size distribution of the

222 Cu-GBC composites (Table 1). EDX analysis (Fig. S5) revealed the co-existence of Cu, O, C, Ca, Mg, and
223 P elements on the Cu-GBC10C **surfaces**. The significantly higher oxygen content (21.1 wt.%) of
224 Cu-GBC10C compared with that of the Cu-GBC10N (1.83 wt.%) indicated that more oxygen could be
225 introduced into the carbon matrix under CO₂ medium. **Enriched** oxygen-containing functionalities **may act**
226 as the anchoring sites **for** the impregnation of Cu species (**Table 1**).⁴⁹ Besides, the induced edges terminated
227 with hydrogen and oxygen atoms on the *sp*²-hybridized carbon were reported to possess high affinity to
228 adsorb oxyanions.^{20,50}

229 The XRD patterns of the GBC and Cu-GBC composites are shown in Fig. 2b. In the case of the N₂-GBC,
230 the carbon matrix demonstrated a broad peak at 2θ value of 20–25°, which can be assigned to graphite
231 corresponding to the amorphous plane of (002) in carbonaceous materials.⁵¹ Nonetheless, the spectra of the
232 CO₂-GBC presented the characteristic peaks at 29.41°, 35.93°, 39.42°, 47.14°, and 47.42° corresponding to
233 the (104), (110), (113), (024), and (018) planes of calcite, respectively, as typical ash component of wood
234 waste.^{52, 53} As for the Cu-GBC composites, the characteristic peaks at 35.04°, 38.48°, and 50.43° were
235 assigned to the (002), (111), and (112) planes of CuO,^{54, 55} while the peaks at 43.29° and 74.13°
236 corresponded to the (111) and (220) planes of Cu⁰,⁵⁶ respectively. In particular, in contrast with the
237 N₂-pyrolysis, the CO₂ environment suppressed the crystalline sizes of CuO (0.61 vs. 0.89 nm) and Cu⁰ (1.97
238 vs. 2.28 nm) particles, based on the calculation using the Scherrer equation.⁴⁵ Thus, copper heteroatoms can
239 be successfully grafted in the framework of **biochar**, and hence likely to significantly increase its catalytic
240 reactivity by forming Lewis acid-**base adduct** (*i.e.*, **reversibly donate and accept electrons**)^{57, 58} or surface
241 metastable complex (*i.e.*, non-radical pathway).³¹ **The** absence of a calcite peak in the Cu-GBC XRD
242 pattern suggests that the doped copper heteroatoms saturated the exchangeable sites that originally held
243 Ca.¹³

244 The Raman spectra of the GBC and Cu-GBC composites further elucidated the physical characteristics of
245 the carbonaceous materials, as shown in Figs. 2c & S6. The two peaks at 1310 and 1590 cm^{-1} correspond to
246 the characteristic D and G bands of carbon, *i.e.*, defects of sp^3 C and in-plane vibrations of sp^2 C in curved
247 graphitized carbon sheet.^{42,59} In all samples, the Raman spectra were deconvoluted into eight characteristic
248 peaks that were assigned to sp^2 C–H of aromatic rings (S^1) at 1060 cm^{-1} , $C_{\text{aromatic}}\text{--}C_{\text{alkyl}}$ (S) at 1185 cm^{-1} ,
249 Aryl-alkyl ether (S^2) at 1230 cm^{-1} , defect bands and small ordered fused benzene rings (D) at 1310 cm^{-1} ,
250 methyl group and amorphous carbon (V^1) at 1380 cm^{-1} , semicircle ring breathing (V) at 1465 cm^{-1} ,
251 aromatics with 3–5 rings (G^1) at 1540 cm^{-1} , and highly ordered sp^2 graphitic carbon (G) at 1590 cm^{-1} .^{60,61}
252 The area ratios of the D peak to the G peak (A_D/A_G) and the sum of G, V, and V^1 peaks ($A_D/A_{(G+V+V^1)}$) of the
253 CO_2 -pyrolyzed composites are greater than that produced in N_2 , suggesting that CO_2 medium induced more
254 fused aromatic rings and structural edge defects within the biochar matrix during pyrolysis.^{23,62,63} This can
255 be attributed to the enhanced dehydrogenation of organic matter (*viz.* Boudouard reaction: $\text{C} + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{CO}$;
256 biomass \rightarrow biochar + tar + C_nH_m) at high temperature with CO_2 purging, which could generate more
257 vacancy and zigzag edges as structural-dimensional defects.⁴⁶ The ratios of A_D/A_G and $A_D/A_{(G+V+V^1)}$ also
258 increased with the increasing Cu loading (Fig. S6c-d), suggesting that CuCl_2 may promote the catalytic
259 graphitization of biochar during the synthesis of the Cu-GBC composites, which accounted for more newly
260 formed volume defects (*e.g.*, void).⁶⁴ Intriguingly, the Cu-GBC10C composite exhibited both a higher level
261 of graphitization and defects than the lab-synthesized GO (Fig. S7b) prepared in our recent study,⁴⁹ which
262 possibly account for the improved performance in the catalytic activation of PDS for organics degradation
263 with abundant free-flowing π electrons and defective sites. Graphitic carbon clusters with a crystalline
264 spacing of 0.25 nm (assigned to graphite) can be observed in the HR-TEM images of Cu-GBC10C (Fig.
265 1e-f), which further confirms the emergence of highly ordered graphitic nature after the introduction of
266 copper heteroatoms.

267 3.2. Surface chemical behaviour and thermal stability of Cu-GBC composites

268 FTIR spectra helped to determine the functional groups on the surfaces of the GBC and Cu-GBC
269 composites (Fig. 2d). In the case of the N₂-pyrolyzed composites, the broad spectral band with a weak peak
270 at 3429 cm⁻¹ was attributed to the presence of the O–H stretching vibration in alcoholic and phenolic –OH
271 groups. The pyrolysis at 900 °C diminished most of the functional groups ubiquitously present in
272 low-temperature biochars, because dehydration of cellulosic and ligneous components at high temperature
273 (>700 °C) tend to decompose the majority of the aliphatic and phenolic organic compounds.^{1,48,65} After Cu
274 impregnation and pyrolysis in CO₂ environment, the band disappeared for –OH and C–H at 3429 and 835
275 cm⁻¹, respectively, whereas an aromatic C=O stretching vibration in conjugated ketones and quinones
276 evolved at 1659 cm⁻¹.⁶⁶ This was indicative of catalytic reformation and condensation of carbon matrix
277 during the synthesis of the Cu-GBC composites,^{27,67} which may account for the greater graphitic carbon
278 observed in the Raman spectra (Figs. 2c & S6). It should be noted that the produced ketonic group (C=O) is
279 indispensable for the activation of PDS and the generation of singlet oxygenation for organics degradation.^{20,}
280 41,44,68,69

281 TGA was employed to verify the generation of ketonic group (C=O) during the aforementioned catalytic
282 reformation. As shown in Fig. S17, the mass decay (TG) of raw wood waste exceeded 80% and the broad
283 peak (*peak 1*) at 345.5 °C in derivative thermogravimetry (DTG) was consistent with the dehydration of
284 cellulosic or ligneous components and the lignin/cellulose-derived transformation at mid-level pyrolytic
285 temperature (200-550 °C).^{48,65} The CO₂-pyrolyzed biochar composites exhibited greater mass decay than
286 their N₂-pyrolyzed counterparts, especially for Cu-GBC10C (30.1%, compared to 17.1% of Cu-GBC10N).
287 Both apparent peaks at 643.6 °C (*peak 2*) and 634.8 °C (*peak 3*) in the DTG curves for CO₂-GBC and
288 Cu-GBC10C correspond to the decomposition of conjugated ketones (C=O),⁶⁵ suggesting that copper
289 heteroatoms and CO₂ medium could synergistically increase the oxygen levels during the pyrolytic process.

290 The XPS data indicated that the GBC was composed of C 1s and O 1s, whereas the Cu-GBC composites
291 **comprised** C 1s, O 1s, and Cu 2p. In all samples, the C 1s spectra **consisted** of five different peaks that were
292 assigned to O=C–OH at 288.8 eV, C=O at 286.6 eV, C–O at 285.8 eV, C–C at 285.4 eV, and C=C at 284.8
293 eV, while the three fitted peaks of O 1s could be assigned to O–C=O at 533.6 eV, O–C at 532.2 eV, and O=C
294 at 530.8 eV, respectively.⁷⁰ As the Cu impregnated biomass was pyrolyzed under CO₂ purging, there was a
295 significant increase in the content of O=C (from 17.7% to 41.1%) compared to CO₂-GBC (Fig. 3b & S8).
296 This observation corroborates the transformation of O–C (532.2 eV) into O=C (530.8 eV) *via* Cu-induced
297 catalytic oxidation process.⁴² Duan et al. reported that **oxygen functionalities can determine** the inherent
298 catalytic capability of carbonaceous materials, and both oxygen contents and species of oxygen groups
299 should be carefully optimized.²⁰ In this research, copper heteroatoms are favourable to tune the oxygen
300 groups by transforming more oxygen functionalities into ketonic C=O, which would **contribute to** the better
301 catalytic performance of Cu-GBC10C in the subsequent section.

302 In addition, as shown in Figs. 3c & S10, CuO shake-up (943.8 eV) accounted for only 19.9% and slight
303 increases were observed after reaction (from 19.9% to 20.6% for CuO, 27.8% to 36.3% for Cu²⁺), which
304 ruled out the electron donation or sole CuO-induced non-radical pathway as the primary reaction route. It
305 has been reported that metal–O–C bond could give rise to denser local electronic states **forming** more
306 reactive sites,⁴⁰ and similar synergy between Cu and graphitic carbon **may** explain the phenomenon in this
307 work. **This should be further verified *via* density functional theory calculations and X-ray absorption**
308 **spectroscopy in the future. The current study evidences that** the CO₂ environment and Cu doping promoted
309 the formation of ketonic groups **during** pyrolysis,^{13,71,72} and ultimately the *sp*²-hybridized carbon framework
310 was converted into an active **state with the new** accommodated copper heteroatoms and tuned oxygen
311 functionalities.

312 *3.3. Cu-GBC performance for PDS activation and catalytic degradation of organic contaminants*

313 A typical rhodamine dye of RB was chosen as the target organic pollutant to evaluate the catalytic
314 performance of synthesized composites for PDS activation and the kinetic data were fitted using a
315 pseudo-first-order kinetics model.^{31,39,73} The raw wood waste (5 g) was combusted in air to obtain its ash
316 (0.48 g), which was found to barely activate PDS for RB degradation, indicating that the metal residues in
317 the biomass do not contribute to the catalytic oxidation on GBCs. The adsorptive removals of RB were
318 insignificant on GBCs and Cu-GBC10N, while PDS alone could not oxidize the dye (< 5%) without the
319 addition of catalysts (Fig. S11). In contrast, Cu-GBC10C showed notable RB adsorption (~ 40%), possibly
320 due to **stronger interactions with the** enriched oxygen functionalities on the biochar surface. The larger SSA
321 (468 m² g⁻¹, Table 1) and higher graphitic level of Cu-GBC10C might also contribute to an improved
322 adsorption capacity **with stronger pore-filling adsorption and π - π interaction**. However, Cu-GBC5C and
323 Cu-GBC20C demonstrated unfavourable adsorptive performance due to insufficient SSA and active sites
324 (data not shown). An 86.4% degradation rate of RB was achieved in the Cu-GBC10C/PDS system in 30
325 min, demonstrating a better degradation efficiency than most commercial heterogeneous catalysts
326 (metal-based systems including CuO, ZVI, Fe₂O₃, Fe₃O₄, ZnO, CoO, and MnO₂), while **an inferior**
327 degradation rate of 22.3% was obtained by Cu-GBC10N (Fig. 4a). In Fig. S12, compared to the pristine
328 **biochar** (N₂-GBC, 0.0056 min⁻¹ with 7.8% degradation removal; CO₂-GBC, 0.0071 min⁻¹ with 15.6%
329 degradation removal) and Cu-GBC10N (0.0094 min⁻¹ with 22.3% degradation removal), Cu-GBC10C
330 (0.03122 min⁻¹ with 86.4% degradation removal) gave rise to a **5.6-, 4.5-, and 3.3-**fold enhancement of the
331 degradation rate as a result of the larger surface area, higher graphitization degree, and more active sites (*i.e.*,
332 C=O in ketonic group). This **phenomenon also evidences** a synergism of the Cu catalytic reformation and
333 CO₂ purging during biomass pyrolysis. **Cu-GBC10C** was chosen as the sustainable biochar catalyst for the
334 subsequent comparative, kinetics, and mechanistic studies.

335 Fig. S13 shows that ~ 90% removals of RB, PN, and BPA at 0.1 mM could be rapidly achieved by
336 Cu-GBC10C within 30 min. However, it was poor in 4-CH oxidation (39.8%). This lower performance
337 probably indicates that organic pollutants (e.g., RB, PN, and BPA) with lower ionization potential (IP) (IP =
338 7.4–8.5) were more prone to be oxidized by mild reactive oxygen species (ROSS) (e.g., $^1\text{O}_2$) generated in
339 Cu-GBC/PDS system *via* a non-radical pathway. Previous findings suggested that some highly-graphitic
340 carbonaceous materials (CNTs and carbonized N-containing polymers, *etc.*) and metal oxides (CuO and
341 $\beta\text{-MnO}_2$, *etc.*) tended to exhibit a higher selectivity towards electron-rich phenolic compounds.^{31, 39, 41, 42}
342 When the concentration of organics increased to 0.5–0.6 mM, 40.3% and 79.7% removals of RB and PN
343 were obtained in 30 min, possibly limited by the insufficient active sites on Cu-GBC10C.

344 To affirm the rate-determining step of the reaction (at $\text{pH} = 5.8 \pm 0.2$), the pseudo-first-order kinetics was
345 employed to calculate the observed rate constant (k_{obs}) in the first 30 min at different catalyst loadings, PDS
346 dosages, or organic concentrations. Increasing PDS concentration from 1 to 5 mM marginally improved k_{obs}
347 (Fig. 5b). Catalyst loading (0.1–0.5 g L^{-1}) and initial RB concentration (0.05–0.6 mM) posed more
348 significant impact on the removal rate constants (Fig. 5a&c). The curves between logarithms of k_{obs} and
349 dosages of Cu-GBC10C, PDS, and RB were plotted accordingly, showing good linearity between $\ln(k_{obs})$
350 with $\ln(\text{Cu-GBC10C})$ ($R^2 = 0.983$), $\ln(\text{PDS})$ ($R^2 = 0.821$), and $\ln(\text{RB})$ ($R^2 = 0.965$) (Fig. 5d). In addition,
351 the positive correlation ($R^2 = 0.999$) was established between the adsorbent dosage (g L^{-1}) and adsorptive
352 removal rate (k_{obs}) (Fig. 5d). The slopes of $\ln(k_{obs})$ against $\ln(\text{Cu-GBC10C})$, $\ln(\text{PDS})$, $\ln(\text{RB})$, and
353 $\ln(\text{adsorbent})$ were estimated to be 0.968, 0.095, -0.653, and 0.860, respectively, which can be taken as the
354 orders of reactivity in the overall organic removal rate. The lowest reaction order of PDS suggests that the
355 active sites for PDS adsorption are limited, and self-scavenging effect or cannibalistic surface oxidation
356 reaction could also be excluded accordingly, thus demonstrating the features of a typical non-radical
357 activation.^{38, 74} The higher reaction orders with respect to Cu-GBC10C, RB, and adsorbent concentrations

358 indicated that the adsorption of organic compounds on the Cu-doped GBC might be the rate-determining
359 step for the non-radical oxidation.^{31,39,44,75}

360 3.4. Contribution of radical and non-radical pathways to PDS activation and organics degradation

361 In previous studies, it has been discovered that the persistent free radicals (PFRs) were formed from the
362 decomposition of organic structure (*i.e.*, hydroquinone) in **biochars** derived at moderate temperatures
363 (300–500 °C),⁷⁶ which served as the redox centres to deliver electrons to PDS to evolve sulfate radicals.²⁶
364 However, Ruan et al. revealed that pyrolysis of different biomass (*e.g.*, pinewood, rice husk, and cow
365 manure) at temperature above 700 °C generally removed all PFRs due to the elimination of oxygen
366 functionalities and condensation/graphitization of carbon clusters.⁷⁷ In this work, the removal rate of
367 phenol-free GBC (Supporting Information) was comparable to GBC, indicating that PDS activation by
368 PFRs on highly graphitic **biochars** for catalytic degradation can be ruled out.

369 More interestingly, the radical quenching tests (Fig. 6a and Table 2) indicate that the addition of free
370 radical scavengers,^{44,57,78,79} *i.e.*, EtOH ($k_{\text{SO}_4^{\cdot-}} = (1.6\text{--}7.7) \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $k_{\cdot\text{OH}} = (1.2\text{--}1.8) \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$),
371 TBA ($k_{\text{SO}_4^{\cdot-}} = (4.0\text{--}9.5) \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $k_{\cdot\text{OH}} = (3.2\text{--}7.6) \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$), and CF ($k_{\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}} = (1.1\text{--}3.2) \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1}$
372 s^{-1}) had an insignificant impact on organics removal with only a 3.2, 0.19, and 9.4% decrease in the removal
373 efficiency, respectively. This implies that Cu-GBC10C/PDS is an oxidative system not relying on free
374 radicals but non-radical pathways due to the absence of DMPO–SO₄ characteristic signal peaks (1:1:1:1:1,
375 $\alpha\text{N} = 13.2 \text{ G}$, $\alpha\text{H} = 9.6 \text{ G}$, $\alpha\text{H} = 1.48 \text{ G}$), although DMPO–OH characteristic signal peaks (1:2:2:1, $\alpha\text{H} =$
376 $\alpha\text{N} = 14.9 \text{ G}$) were observed in the ESR spectra (Fig. 4c). The generation of hydroxyl radicals might result
377 from the oxidation of adsorbed water on the graphitic biochar surface, which has been reported in
378 dimensional-structured nanocarbon system.²⁰ However, the impact of radical quenching on the reaction rate
379 was moderate with 31.7%, 9.1%, and 39.1% decline, respectively, indicating that the scavengers with

380 nonpolar properties might suppress the adsorption of RB on the hydrophobic surface of Cu-GBC10C, and
381 this phenomenon corresponds to the adsorption of organic compounds as the rate-limiting step.

382 To further investigate the interfacial reaction, KI and pure acetone were employed to quench the direct
383 surface contact between PDS/RB and the carbon matrix, respectively.^{80,81} The almost unaffected organic
384 removal despite the excess KI (10 mM) addition suggests that the increase in ionic strength could not affect
385 the strong interaction between Cu-GBC10C and PDS. The substantial inhibitory effect of pure acetone
386 (0.00211 min^{-1} with 18.9% removal) further indicates that the surface adsorption of organics could be vital
387 for the total oxidation,⁴⁴ suggesting that the degradation occurred within the carbon matrix rather than the
388 bulk solution corroborating the non-radical feature.

389 Recent studies have reported that ketonic- and quinone-like moieties on graphitic carbon with strong
390 nucleophilic nature and high electron density can catalyze PDS to produce $^1\text{O}_2$ under mild condition.^{41,44,80}
391 Thus, FFA ($k_{^1\text{O}_2} = 1.2 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) was used to scavenge $^1\text{O}_2$ in the reaction. FFA (0.01 M) significantly
392 reduced the RB removal efficiency to 50.0% (0.00593 min^{-1}) in 120 min, indicating that $^1\text{O}_2$ contributed to
393 a large portion (nearly half) of the catalytic oxidation. A trapping agent of TEMP was used to detect the
394 production of $^1\text{O}_2$ without light. A characteristic three-line signal of TEMPO adducts appeared (Fig. 4d,
395 1:1:1, $\alpha = 16.9 \text{ G}$), which can be assigned to the oxidation of TEMP by $^1\text{O}_2$. In contrast to other GBC
396 composites, the intensities of TEMPO and DMPO-OH in Cu-GBC10C increased sharply, implying that
397 Cu-GBC10C possessed the highest reactivity, which originated from the encapsulated copper heteroatoms
398 and the evolved ketonic groups (C=O) on Cu-GBC10C, possibly at the edges of the carbon matrix.⁸¹
399 Moreover, the PDS-pretreated Cu-GBC10C achieved an 8.15% RB degradation without the PDS addition,
400 whereas those for the Cu-free CO_2 -GBC and EDTA-pretreated Cu-GBC10C (2.12–2.31%) were negligible
401 (Fig. S14). Thus, it can be inferred that C=O would induce the surface metastable complex between copper
402 heteroatoms and PDS (*i.e.*, $\equiv\text{Cu}-\text{O}_3\text{SOOSO}_3^-$ or $\equiv\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}-\text{O}_3\text{SOOSO}_3^-$), which can readily accept electrons

403 from electron-rich phenolic compounds for $^1\text{O}_2$ generation,⁶⁸ and correspond to a significant peak shift at
404 531 nm in the UV-vis spectra of the Cu-GBC10C (Fig. 4b). This observation corroborates the C 1s spectra
405 that depict a lower C=O ratio (from 41.1% to 17.4%) for the Cu-GBC10C after PDS activation.

406 3.5. PDS reduction and RB oxidation in two chambers separated by a proton exchange membrane

407 As above, the experimental results proved a significant role of $^1\text{O}_2$ in PS activation *via* a non-radical
408 reaction pathway, whereas the RB removal pathway was not completely terminated in the presence of $^1\text{O}_2$
409 quenchers. Moreover, in the Cu-GBC mediated non-radical pathway, an electron-transfer process from
410 organics and donation of free-flowing π electrons by GBC to the activated surface metastable complexes *via*
411 the conductive surface of carbonaceous materials was expected, in view of the differences of inherent redox
412 potentials between organic pollutant and PDS molecules.²³ Thus, the electron-transfer non-radical pathway
413 was further identified by examining Cu-GBC10C-mediated electron transfer from organic substrates to
414 PDS using a PEM that physically partitioned the reaction system into two chambers containing PDS and
415 RB (Fig. 7). In this system, free electrons were transported from the anode to the cathode through the
416 connected wire. The Cu-GBC10C particles were homogeneously dispersed in the bulk solution under
417 vigorous shaking to achieve sufficient contact with the electrodes, *i.e.*, carbon fibre brushes with high SSA.
418 As a PEM with high water impermeability is likely to reject organic/inorganic impurities and ROSs (*e.g.*,
419 $\cdot\text{OH}$ and $^1\text{O}_2$), simultaneous RB oxidation and PDS reduction in the physically separated chambers (*i.e.*,
420 anode and cathode) would be a strong evidence for the electron-transfer mechanism, in which Cu-GBC10C
421 mediates electron transfer from organics to PDS.

422 As shown in Fig. 7, increased amounts of reactants (5-fold catalyst and oxidant addition) were added in
423 the anode chamber (no oxidant) and cathode chamber (no pollutant), respectively. Control experiments were
424 conducted detaching the linking wire between the two electrodes, and the results indicated that RB
425 concentration in the cathode chamber declined to less than 5% in 360 min due to the adsorption by the

426 employed Cu-doped biochar, as approximately 10% PDS concentration decomposed simultaneously. The
427 PDS-saturated state of the catalyst was reached after 360 min. A continuous PDS decrease to $55.6 \pm 3.1\%$ in
428 4320 min could be achieved in a wire-connected group compared with the wire-detached control ($90.8 \pm 3.3\%$
429 in 4320 min), which confirmed that the PDS was activated without direct contact with pollutant. Neither
430 PDS nor RB was detected in the opposite side of PEM, thus the continuous PDS concentration decline in
431 the separated chambers proved our hypothesis that electron transfer pathway does not rely on ROSs. The
432 overall schematic diagram of the mechanistic routes (*i.e.*, singlet oxygenation and electron transfer) is
433 presented in Fig. 8.

434 3.6. Effect of pH values and reusability/recyclability

435 Fig. S15 presents the influence of initial solution pH values on the organics degradation (*i.e.*, RB and PN)
436 by the Cu-GBC10C. The performance of the Cu-GBC10C was highly pH-dependent and the degradation
437 of PN steadily decreased with pH increasing from 3.0 to 9.0. In the case of RB, efficiency rose with
438 increasing pH values from 3.0 to 5.8 followed by pronounced decrease in RB removal as the solution pH
439 was further elevated to 9. Fig. S15 displays the highest degradation efficiency of PN and RB as 82.1% and
440 86.4% at the solution pH values of 3.0 and 5.8, respectively. The obvious difference at various solution pH
441 values can be ascribed to the composite surface charge, organic speciation, and Cu dissolution. As illustrated
442 in Fig. S1, there was an obvious decrease in the zeta potential as the solution pH increased. At the solution
443 pH above 2.08, the zeta potential was negative and undesirable for the removal of
444 negatively-charged/deprotonated organics (RB- $pK_a = 3.5$ and PN- $pK_a = 9.8$).^{82,83} Meanwhile, the surface of
445 Cu-GBC10C became more negatively charged with the increase of solution pH, which was less conducive
446 to anionic PDS ($S_2O_8^{2-}$) forming a metastable complex.⁸⁴ In addition, an acidic environment at pH 3.0–6.0
447 adversely affected the organics degradation due to inevitable Cu dissolution from the Cu-GBC10C
448 ($0.014\text{--}0.925\text{ mg L}^{-1}$).

449 Reusability/recyclability is an important indicator evaluating the sustainability of Cu-GBC10C. The
450 removal efficiency decreased from 95.8% to 30.6% after four cycles (Fig. S16), probably because the Cu
451 nanoparticles were exhausted by chemical reduction and/or the degradation intermediates/products covered
452 the active sites, and changed the surface chemistry and electron transfer. Nevertheless, the Cu-GBC10C
453 could maintain 73.2% of the RB removal efficiency after four cycles via facile acetone regeneration process,
454 suggesting that the Cu-GBC10C had a good reusability/recyclability and stability when the degradation
455 intermediates were removed. It is noted that the trivial amount of leached Cu (Fig. S15) in bulk solution
456 could not catalyze the degradation, because adsorption of target contaminants on Cu-GBC composite
457 surface was evidenced to be necessary (Section 3.4) and chemical desorption of organic
458 intermediates/products in acetone was required to recover the catalytic activity (Fig. S16). We herein
459 propose the Cu-based and ketonic active sites on GBC as a sustainable alternative to the consumable
460 catalytic sites in the conventional carbocatalysts. By using persulfate activation as a model reaction, our
461 findings can foster wider applications of Cu-GBC composites in sustainable biorefinery,⁸⁵ soil amendment,^{2,}
462 ⁸⁶ stabilization/solidification,⁸⁷ etc., where electron transfer routes can potentially take place. Future studies
463 may also investigate the production of Cu-GBC from wood waste contaminated by Cu-containing
464 preservatives, which will further benefit sustainable development and zero waste principles.

465

466 4. Conclusions

467 Through the integrated analysis of the surface characteristics and contaminant interactions, we
468 demonstrated that the highly graphitic Cu-doped biochar fabricated in CO₂ environment manifested a
469 superior catalytic performance of PDS activation for the degradation of various organic contaminants,
470 out-performing most of popular and costly heterogeneous catalysts. Selective degradation routes via singlet
471 oxygenation and mediated electron transfer were promoted by design and validated as the predominant

472 non-radical mechanisms. The organics adsorption was identified as the rate-determining step of the reaction.
473 The scientific merits of this paper are highlighted as follows: (i) a new approach for utilizing waste biomass
474 to synthesize value-added products with versatile metal-heteroatoms-induced active sites and high
475 graphitization employing greenhouse gas CO₂; (ii) a highly reactive, selective, green, and stable method for
476 catalytic degradation of organic contaminants with cost-effective and environmentally benign biochar
477 composites; (iii) advanced mechanistic insights into the synergistic effects of the copper heteroatoms and
478 CO₂ environment during metal-impregnated biomass pyrolysis and catalytic degradation. Therefore, the
479 Cu-biochar composites are easily prepared and low-cost carbon-based catalysts suitable for green and
480 sustainable remediation.

481

482 **Acknowledgement**

483 The authors appreciate the financial support from the Hong Kong Research Grants Council (PolyU
484 15217818) and Hong Kong International Airport Environment Fund for this study. The support of the
485 University Research Facility on Chemical and Environmental Analysis (URFCE) of PolyU is also
486 acknowledged.

487

488 **References**

- 489 1. W.-J. Liu, H. Jiang and H.-Q. Yu, *Chemical Reviews*, 2015, **115**, 12251-12285.
- 490 2. J. C. Yoo, J. Beiyuan, L. Wang, T. Dcw, K. Baek, N. S. Bolan, Y. S. Ok and X. D. Li, *Science of the*
491 *Total Environment*, 2018, 616.
- 492 3. J. Beiyuan, Y. M. Awad, F. Beckers, D. C. W. Tsang, Y. S. Ok and J. Rinklebe, *Chemosphere*, 2017,
493 178, 110-118.

- 494 4. A. D. Igalavithana, S. E. Lee, Y. H. Lee, T. Dcw, J. Rinklebe, E. E. Kwon and Y. S. Ok, *Chemosphere*,
495 2017, 174, 593-603.
- 496 5. J. Sun, L. Pan, T. Dcw, Y. Zhan, L. Zhu and X. Li, *Science of the Total Environment*, 2017, 615, 724.
- 497 6. C. Xinde, M. Lena, L. Yuan, G. Bin and H. Willie, *Environmental science & technology*, 2011, 45,
498 4884-4889.
- 499 7. F. Yang, L. Zhao, B. Gao, X. Xu and X. Cao, *Environmental science & technology*, 2016, 50,
500 2264-2271.
- 501 8. J. J. Manyà, *Environmental science & technology*, 2012, 46, 7939.
- 502 9. M. Inyang, B. Gao, Y. Ying, Y. Xue, A. R. Zimmerman, P. Pullammanappallil and X. Cao, *Bioresource*
503 *technology*, 2012, 110, 50-56.
- 504 10. H. Peng, P. Gao, G. Chu, B. Pan, J. Peng and B. Xing, *Environ Pollut*, 2017, 229, 846-853.
- 505 11. K. A. Thompson, K. K. Shimabuku, J. P. Kearns, D. R. U. Knappe, R. S. Summers and S. M. Cook,
506 *Environmental science & technology*, 2016, 50, 11253-11262.
- 507 12. K. Vikrant, K. H. Kim, Y. S. Ok, T. Dcw, Y. F. Tsang, B. S. Giri and R. S. Singh, *Science of the Total*
508 *Environment*, 2017, 616-617, 1242.
- 509 13. Y. Sun, I. K. M. Yu, D. C. W. Tsang, X. Cao, D. Lin, L. Wang, N. J. D. Graham, D. S. Alessi, M.
510 Komárek, Y. S. Ok, Y. Feng and X.-D. Li, *Environment International*, 2019, 124, 521-532.
- 511 14. A. U. Rajapaksha, M. S. Alam, N. Chen, D. S. Alessi, A. D. Igalavithana, D. C. W. Tsang and S. O.
512 Yong, *Science of the Total Environment*, 2018, 625.
- 513 15. L. Cao, I. K. M. Yu, S. S. Chen, D. C. W. Tsang, W. Lei, X. Xiong, S. Zhang, S. O. Yong, E. E. Kwon
514 and H. Song, *Bioresource technology*, 2017, 252, 76.
- 515 16. S. S. Chen, I. K. M. Yu, D.-W. Cho, H. Song, D. C. W. Tsang, J.-P. Tessonnier, Y. S. Ok and C. S. Poon,
516 *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering*, 2018, 6, 16113-16120.

- 517 17. X. Xiong, I. K. M. Yu, L. Cao, D. C. W. Tsang, S. Zhang and Y. S. Ok, *Bioresource technology*, 2017,
518 246, 254-270.
- 519 18. S. You, Y. S. Ok, S. S. Chen, D. C. W. Tsang, E. E. Kwon, J. Lee and C.-H. Wang, *Bioresource*
520 *technology*, 2017, 246, 242-253.
- 521 19. D.-W. Cho, K. Yoon, Y. Ahn, Y. Sun, D. C. W. Tsang, D. Hou, Y. S. Ok and H. Song, *Journal of*
522 *hazardous materials*, 2019, 374, 412-419.
- 523 20. X. Duan, H. Sun, K. Jian, Y. Wang and S. Wang, *Acs Catalysis*, 2015, 5, 4629-4636.
- 524 21. J. L. Vidal, V. P. Andrea, S. L. MacQuarrie and F. M. Kerton, *ChemCatChem*,
525 [doi:10.1002/cctc.201900290](https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201900290)
- 526 22. J. Yan, L. Han, W. Gao, S. Xue and M. Chen, *Bioresource technology*, 2015, 175, 269-274.
- 527 23. Z. Wan, D.-W. Cho, D. C. W. Tsang, M. Li, T. Sun and F. Verpoort, *Environmental Pollution*, 2019,
528 247, 410-420.
- 529 24. D.-W. Cho, G. Kwon, K. Yoon, Y. F. Tsang, Y. S. Ok, E. E. Kwon and H. Song, *Energy Conversion*
530 *and Management*, 2017, 145, 1-9.
- 531 25. G. D. Fang, D. D. Dionysiou, S. R. Al-Abed and D. M. Zhou, *Applied Catalysis B Environmental*,
532 2013, 129, 325-332.
- 533 26. G. Fang, C. Liu, J. Gao, D. D. Dionysiou and D. Zhou, *Environmental science & technology*, 2015, 49,
534 5645-5653.
- 535 27. G. Kwon, D.-W. Cho, D. C. W. Tsang, E. E. Kwon and H. Song, *Journal of CO2 Utilization*, 2018, 27,
536 196-203.
- 537 28. M.-T. Yang, W.-C. Tong, J. Lee, E. Kwon and K.-Y. A. Lin, *Journal of colloid and interface science*,
538 2019, 545, 16-24.
- 539 29. X. Duan, H. Sun, M. Tade and S. Wang, *Catalysis Today*, 2017, S0920586117302705.

- 540 30. C. Lei, Y. Sun, D. C. W. Tsang and D. Lin, *Environmental Pollution*, 2017, 232, 10.
- 541 31. T. Zhang, Y. Chen, Y. Wang, J. Le Roux, Y. Yang and J. P. Croue, *Environmental science & technology*,
542 2014, 48, 5868-5875.
- 543 32. L. Lu, R. Shan, Y. Shi, S. Wang and H. Yuan, *Chemosphere*, 2019, 222, 391-398.
- 544 33. E. E. Kwon, S.-H. Cho and S. Kim, *Environmental science & technology*, 2015, 49, 5028-5034.
- 545 34. E. E. Kwon, E.-C. Jeon, M. J. Castaldi and Y. J. Jeon, *Environmental science & technology*, 2013, 47,
546 10541-10547.
- 547 35. P. Hu and M. Long, *Applied Catalysis B Environmental*, 2016, 181, 103-117.
- 548 36. E. T. Yun, H. Y. Yoo, H. Bae, H. I. Kim and J. Lee, *Environmental science & technology*, 2017, 51,
549 10090-10099.
- 550 37. O. S. Furman, A. L. Teel and R. J. Watts, *Environmental science & technology*, 2010, 44, 6423-6428.
- 551 38. W.-D. Oh and T.-T. Lim, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2019, 358, 110-133.
- 552 39. S. Zhu, X. Li, J. Kang, X. Duan and S. Wang, *Environmental science & technology*, 2019, 53,
553 307-315.
- 554 40. X. Duan, H. Sun and S. Wang, *Accounts of Chemical Research*, 2018, 51, 678.
- 555 41. E. T. Yun, J. H. Lee, J. Kim, H. D. Park and J. Lee, *Environmental science & technology*, 2018, 52,
556 7032-7042.
- 557 42. P. Hu, H. Su, Z. Chen, C. Yu, Q. Li, B. Zhou, P. J. J. Alvarez and M. Long, *Environmental science &*
558 *technology*, 2017, 51, acs.est.7b03014.
- 559 43. Z. Lin, G. Waller, L. Yan, M. Liu and C. i. Wong, *Advanced Energy Materials*, 2012, 2, 884-888.
- 560 44. S. Zhu, X. Huang, F. Ma, L. Wang, X. Duan and S. Wang, *Environmental science & technology*, 2018,
561 52, 8649-8658.
- 562 45. C. Shan, Y. Liu, Y. Huang and B. Pan, *Environment International*, 2019, 124, 393-399.

- 563 46. S. Bai, N. Zhang, C. Gao and Y. Xiong, *Nano Energy*, 2018, 53, 296-336.
- 564 47. F. Yang, S. Zhang, Y. Sun, K. Cheng, J. Li and D. C. W. Tsang, *Bioresource technology*, 2018, 265,
565 490-497.
- 566 48. K. Marco, P. S. Nico, M. G. Johnson and K. Markus, *Environmental science & technology*, 2010, 44,
567 1247-1253.
- 568 49. I. K. M. Yu, X. Xiong, D. C. W. Tsang, Y. H. Ng, J. Clark, J. Fan, S. Zhang, C. Hu and Y. S. Ok, *Green*
569 *Chemistry*, 2019.
- 570 50. J. Zhang, D. S. Su, R. Blume, R. Schlögl, R. Wang, X. Yang and A. Gajović, *Angewandte Chemie*
571 *International Edition*, 2010, 49, 8640-8644.
- 572 51. W. K. Oh, H. Yoon and J. Jang, *Biomaterials*, 2010, 31, 1342-1348.
- 573 52. N. Zhu, J. Qiao and T. Yan, *Science of The Total Environment*, 2019, 648, 993-1001.
- 574 53. E. F. Zama, Y.-G. Zhu, B. J. Reid and G.-X. Sun, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 2017, 148, 127-136.
- 575 54. S. Rostami, A. A. Nadooshan and A. Raisi, *Powder Technology*, 2019, 345, 658-667.
- 576 55. A. Liu, Y. Bai, Y. Liu, M. Zhao, J. Mu, C. Wu, X. Zhang, G. Wang and H. Che, *Materials Research*
577 *Bulletin*, 2016, 84, 85-92.
- 578 56. F. Nazeer, Z. Ma, L. Gao, F. Wang, M. A. Khan and A. Malik, *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 2019,
579 163, 77-85.
- 580 57. Q. Wang, B. Wang, Y. Ma and S. Xing, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2018, 354, 473-480.
- 581 58. B. Frank, J. Zhang, R. Blume, R. Schlögl and D. S. Su, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*,
582 2009, 48, 6913-6917.
- 583 59. C. Xin, H. Guo, Y. Zhang, L. Yang, H. Liu and Y. Ying, *Journal of colloid and interface science*, 2016,
584 469, 277-286.
- 585 60. X. Li, J.-i. Hayashi and C.-Z. Li, *Fuel*, 2006, 85, 1700-1707.

- 586 61. R. J. Nemanich, J. T. Glass, G. Lucovsky and R. E. Shroder, *Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology*
587 A, 1988, 6, 1783-1787.
- 588 62. L. Tang, Y. Liu, J. Wang, G. Zeng, Y. Deng, H. Dong, H. Feng, J. Wang and B. Peng, *Applied Catalysis*
589 B: Environmental, 2018, 231, 1-10.
- 590 63. Z. Fan, Q. Zhang, M. Li, D. Niu, W. Sang and F. Verpoort, *Environmental Science and Pollution*
591 *Research*, 2018, 25, 8330-8339.
- 592 64. J. Hong, C. Jin, J. Yuan and Z. Zhang, *Advanced materials*, 2017, 29.
- 593 65. B. Zhao, D. O'Connor, J. Zhang, T. Peng, Z. Shen, D. C. W. Tsang and D. Hou, *Journal of Cleaner*
594 *Production*, 2018, 174, 977-987.
- 595 66. X. Yang, A. D. Igalavithana, S. E. Oh, H. Nam, M. Zhang, C. H. Wang, E. E. Kwon, D. C. W. Tsang
596 and Y. S. Ok, *The Science of the total environment*, 2018, 640-641, 704-713.
- 597 67. F. Guo, X. Li, Y. Liu, K. Peng, C. Guo and Z. Rao, *Energy Conversion and Management*, 2018, 167,
598 81-90.
- 599 68. A. Mushtaque, A. L. Teel and R. J. Watts, *Environmental science & technology*, 2013, 47, 5864-5871.
- 600 69. F. Guodong, G. Juan, D. D. Dionysiou, L. Cun and Z. Dongmei, *Environmental science & technology*,
601 2013, 47, 4605-4611.
- 602 70. X.-L. Wu, T. Wen, H.-L. Guo, S. Yang, X. Wang and A.-W. Xu, *ACS nano*, 2013, 7, 3589-3597.
- 603 71. D. Ouyang, J. Yan, L. Qian, Y. Chen, L. Han, A. Su, W. Zhang, H. Ni and M. Chen, *Chemosphere*,
604 2017, 184, 609-617.
- 605 72. Y.-d. Chen, S.-H. Ho, D. Wang, Z.-s. Wei, J.-S. Chang and N.-q. Ren, *Bioresource technology*, 2018,
606 247, 463-470.
- 607 73. B. Volesky, *Water research*, 2007, 41, 4017-4029.

- 608 74. K. Gao, B. Wang, L. Tao, B. V. Cuning, Z. Zhang, S. Wang, R. S. Ruoff and L. Qu, *Advanced*
609 *materials*, 2019, 31, 1805121.
- 610 75. C. Qi, X. Liu, C. Lin, X. Zhang, J. Ma, H. Tan and W. Ye, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2014, 249,
611 6-14.
- 612 76. Y. Qin, G. Li, Y. Gao, L. Zhang, Y. S. Ok and T. An, *Water research*, 2018, 137, 130-143.
- 613 77. X. Ruan, Y. Sun, W. Du, Y. Tang, Q. Liu, Z. Zhang, W. Doherty, R. L. Frost, G. Qian and D. C. W.
614 Tsang, *Bioresource technology*, 2019, 281, 457-468.
- 615 78. X. Cheng, H. Guo, Y. Zhang, Y. Liu, H. Liu and Y. Yang, *Journal of colloid and interface science*, 2016,
616 469, 277-286.
- 617 79. Q. Ma, X. Zhang, R. Guo, H. Zhang, Q. Cheng, M. Xie and X. Cheng, *Separation and Purification*
618 *Technology*, 2019, 210, 335-342.
- 619 80. J. Ortiz-Medina, Z. Wang, R. Cruz-Silva, A. Morelos-Gomez, F. Wang, X. Yao, M. Terrones and M.
620 Endo, *Advanced materials*, 2019, 31, 1805717.
- 621 81. Q. Ji, J. Li, Z. Xiong and B. Lai, *Chemosphere*, 2017, 172, 10-20.
- 622 82. P. Barták and L. Čáp, *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1997, 767, 171-175.
- 623 83. H. Yu, G. Li, B. Zhang, X. Zhang, Y. Xiao, J. Wang and Y. Song, *Dyes and Pigments*, 2016, 133,
624 93-99.
- 625 84. H. R. Horton, *Principles of biochemistry*, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2002.
- 626 85. I. K. M. Yu, X. Xiong, D. C. W. Tsang, L. Wang and C. S. Poon, *Green Chemistry*, 2019, 21.
- 627 86. Y. F. Leung, W. Liu, J.-S. Li, L. Wang, D. C. W. Tsang, C. Y. Lo, M. T. Leung and C. S. Poon, *Science*
628 *of the Total Environment*, 2018, 633, 836-847.
- 629 87. L. Wang, K. Yu, J.-S. Li, D. C. W. Tsang, C. S. Poon, J.-C. Yoo, K. Baek, S. Ding, D. Hou and J.-G.
630 Dai, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2018, 351, 418-427.