



This is a repository copy of *Quantum cosmology from quantum gravity condensates : cosmological variables and lattice-refined dynamics*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/151749/>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Gielen, S. orcid.org/0000-0002-8653-5430 and Oriti, D. (2014) Quantum cosmology from quantum gravity condensates : cosmological variables and lattice-refined dynamics. *New Journal of Physics*, 16 (12). 123004. ISSN 1367-2630

<https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/12/123004>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Quantum cosmology from quantum gravity condensates: cosmological variables and lattice-refined dynamics

To cite this article: Steffen Gielen and Daniele Oriti 2014 *New J. Phys.* **16** 123004

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

Related content

- [Quantum cosmology of \(loop\) quantum gravity condensates: an example](#)
Steffen Gielen
- [Emergent Friedmann dynamics with a quantum bounce from quantum gravity condensates](#)
Daniele Oriti, Lorenzo Sindoni and Edward Wilson-Ewing
- [Emergence of a low spin phase in group field theory condensates](#)
Steffen Gielen

Recent citations

- [Group Field Theory Condensate Cosmology: An Appetizer](#)
Andreas G. A. Pithis and Mairi Sakellariadou
- [Equivalence of Models in Loop Quantum Cosmology and Group Field Theory](#)
Bekir Bayta *et al*
- [Nonperturbative renormalization group beyond the melonic sector: The effective vertex expansion method for group fields theories](#)
Vincent Lahoche and Dine Ousmane Samary

Quantum cosmology from quantum gravity condensates: cosmological variables and lattice-refined dynamics

Steffen Gielen¹ and Daniele Oriti²

¹ Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, 31 Caroline St. N., Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 2Y5, Canada

² Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics (Albert Einstein Institute), Am Mühlenberg 1, D-14476 Golm, Germany

E-mail: sgielen@perimeterinstitute.ca and doriti@aei.mpg.de

Received 30 August 2014, revised 22 October 2014

Accepted for publication 27 October 2014

Published 2 December 2014

New Journal of Physics **16** (2014) 123004

doi:[10.1088/1367-2630/16/12/123004](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/12/123004)

Abstract

In the context of group field theory condensate cosmology, we clarify the extraction of cosmological variables from the microscopic quantum gravity degrees of freedom. We show that an important implication of the second quantized formalism is the dependence of cosmological variables and equations on the *quantum gravitational atomic number* N (number of spin network vertices/elementary simplices). We clarify the relation of the effective cosmological equations with loop quantum cosmology, understood as an effective (hydrodynamic-like) approximation of a more fundamental quantum gravity theory. By doing so, we provide a fundamental basis to the idea of lattice refinement, showing the dependence of the effective cosmological connection on N , and hence indirectly on the scale factor. Our results open a new arena for exploring effective cosmological dynamics, as this depends crucially on the new observable N , which is entirely of quantum gravitational origin.

Keywords: quantum cosmology, quantum gravity, analogue gravity, group field theory



Content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/). Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

1. Introduction

One major challenge for background-independent approaches to quantum gravity has been the description of macroscopic, (approximately) continuous and almost spatially homogeneous universes like our own, and the derivation of manageable effective equations describing the dynamics of such universes within a given fundamental theory. Completing these steps is crucial for deriving predictions of such theories, to be compared with observations such as those of Planck and BICEP2 [1]. The challenge arises because background independence implies that the most natural ‘vacuum state’ describes no geometry at all, and a macroscopic non-degenerate (metric) geometry is unlikely to be found as a perturbative excitation over this vacuum. One also generically has to turn discrete structures into approximately continuous ones within this background-independent context.

Recently [2], a major step towards addressing this challenge was completed within the group field theory (GFT) approach to quantum gravity [3], itself a second quantized formulation of loop quantum gravity (LQG) [4, 5], with spin network vertices or elementary simplices as ‘quanta’ of the GFT field, or ‘atoms of quantum space’. It was shown that many-atom *condensate* states in GFT, akin to coherent or squeezed states used in Bose–Einstein condensates, describe macroscopic spatially homogeneous universes. The effective equations defining the dynamics of these states, extracted directly from the fundamental GFT quantum dynamics, can be interpreted in terms of (nonlinear) quantum cosmology equations on minisuperspace. This result was shown to be structural and very general. In [2] an example was given in which a certain choice of condensate state, with some assumptions on the GFT action, gives a linear effective equation whose semiclassical (WKB) limit is, in the isotropic case, the Friedmann equation for homogeneous isotropic general relativity. This result was obtained both for Riemannian and Lorentzian metric signature, both in vacuum and with a massless scalar field (see the second paper in [2] for a detailed discussion of all these cases). In this scheme, spacetime emerges from the collective behaviour of a quantum condensate of pre-geometric, non-spatio-temporal degrees of freedom. Therefore, it represents an explicit, even if still tentative and partial, realisation of several suggestions coming from analogue gravity models in condensed matter theory [6].

The purpose of this paper is to clarify further, in this context of GFT condensate cosmology, the extraction of cosmological variables from the microscopic degrees of freedom, which is the crucial step in interpreting the effective quantum cosmological equations. We use the *second quantization* formalism of GFT, namely the treatment of LQG spin network vertices as *indistinguishable* bosonic ‘quantum gravity atoms’, and show that an important implication of a second quantized formalism is the dependence of cosmological variables and equations on the *atomic number* N . Using this observation, we explain the limitations of the WKB approximation used in [2]. We can then derive the precise relation of our effective cosmological equations to the dynamics of LQC [7]: the dependence of the cosmological spin connection on N , and hence indirectly also on observables such as the scale factor, gives a fundamental basis to the idea of lattice refinement [8] and ‘improved dynamics’ [9] in LQC. Our results open a new arena for exploring effective cosmological dynamics, as the new observable N is entirely of quantum gravitational origin. It can explain and affect several elements of the effective cosmological dynamics, being thus an important ingredient of model building and analysis in (quantum) cosmology, understood as an effective (hydrodynamic-like) approximation of a more fundamental quantum gravity theory. A further result is that cosmological effective equations

can be obtained from the fundamental quantum gravity dynamics in terms of expectation values of collective observables, not relying on any semiclassical approximation.

We find that continuum cosmological quantities can be associated either to *total* (extensive) or to *averaged* (intensive) observables of many-atom states, and argue that out of the canonically conjugate variables corresponding to a flux and a connection, the first must be ‘total’ while the second is ‘averaged’. It follows that the relation between a macroscopic gravitational connection and the GFT group variables (representing parallel transports of a connection) must involve the average atomic number $\langle \hat{N} \rangle$. Indeed, the variable appearing in the effective cosmological equations can be identified with $\sin(\mu \omega)$ where $\mu \propto \langle \hat{N} \rangle^{-1/3}$. A change of the atomic number under time evolution then realizes a dynamical lattice refinement: the emergence of new quantum geometric degrees of freedom affects the effective cosmological dynamics.

The physical interpretation of this lattice refinement depends on how the quantum gravitational atomic number N , which has no analogue in the classical continuum theory, is related to cosmological quantities such as the scale factor. This relation is encoded in the cosmological ‘wavefunction’ (a hydrodynamic variable in quantum gravity), and is itself *dynamical*. We look at two cases. In the first, the atomic number is (approximately) fixed and μ becomes a (constant) parameter. This scenario, implicitly assumed in [2], reproduces the constructions of ‘old’ LQC [10]. In the second, we assume that the average volume per atom of geometry remains constant under time evolution: the average atomic number scales with the total volume, $\mu \propto \frac{1}{a}$ and the holonomy-corrected term replacing the connection ω is $\sin(\frac{a_0}{a} \omega)$. This reproduces precisely the form of holonomy corrections in the ‘improved dynamics’ prescription in LQC [9]. The two scenarios should be considered as special cases of more general functional relations $N(a)$. In GFT condensate cosmology, this relation is ultimately a computable *result* of the fundamental dynamics of the theory and no additional assumptions on its form are needed. While this explicit computation is left to future work, the purpose of our paper is to show, by two explicit examples, how the form of $N(a)$ affects directly the effective cosmological dynamics and the resulting possible cosmological scenarios that can then be confronted with observation.

2. Cosmological observables for GFT condensates

In usual non-relativistic many-particle quantum physics, the canonically conjugate single-particle operators \hat{x}_i (position) and \hat{p}_j (momentum) extend to a ‘total position’ operator \hat{X}_i and a total momentum operator \hat{P}_i on the Fock space, which satisfy

$$[\hat{X}_i, \hat{P}_j] = i\hbar \delta_{ij} \hat{N}, \quad (1)$$

where \hat{N} is the number operator. These operators are *not* canonically conjugate and ‘total position’ has a rather unclear physical meaning, in contrast to the total momentum. The two issues are related: out of two canonically conjugate quantities, one is typically extensive and one is intensive in the particle number. One-body operators in second quantization, instead, are always extensive.

At fixed particle number N , $\frac{1}{N} \hat{X}_i$ defines a centre-of-mass position operator. However, a Fock space operator $\widehat{N^{-1}}$ is not naturally defined, as \hat{N} contains zero in its spectrum. One can instead define the intensive quantity ‘average centre-of-mass position’ as an expectation value, $x_i^{\text{c.o.m.}} = \langle \hat{X}_i \rangle / \langle \hat{N} \rangle$.

The same discussion goes through for quantum gravity in the GFT context (see also the analysis in [11]). In the formulation of classical general relativity in terms of Ashtekar–Barbero variables [12], the canonically conjugate continuum variables are the gravitational SU(2) connection A_i^a and the ‘inverse triad’ E_b^j . The inverse triad specifies a Riemannian three-geometry on a spatial hypersurface: it is a density-weighted orthonormal frame which defines the (inverse) three-metric by $E_a^i E_b^j \delta^{ab} =: (\det g) g^{ij}$. The Ashtekar–Barbero connection A is then given by $A_i^a = \Gamma_i^a[E] + \gamma K_a^i$ in terms of the unique torsion-free Levi–Civita connection $\Gamma_i^a[E]$ associated to E and the extrinsic curvature K_a^i ; $\gamma \neq 0$ is a (real) free parameter called the Barbero–Immirzi parameter. In the construction of LQG [5], these continuum fields are discretized by integration over links and surfaces, giving for each link a group element g (the parallel transport of A) and a Lie algebra element B^i (the flux of E through a dual surface), with *holonomy-flux algebra*

$$\{g, B^i\} = -(8\pi\gamma G_N)\tau^i g, \quad \{B^i, B^j\} = -(8\pi\gamma G_N)\epsilon^{ij}_k B^k. \quad (2)$$

Here τ^i are a basis of the SU(2) Lie algebra, e.g. $\tau^i = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^i$, and G_N is Newton’s constant. In the Fock space picture of 4d GFT, four copies of g and B^i become the basic phase space variables parametrizing single-atom states (of individual building blocks of quantum space); for each copy, the corresponding single-atom operators satisfy

$$\left[\hat{g}, \hat{B}^i\right] = -i\kappa\tau^i \hat{g}, \quad \left[\hat{B}^i, \hat{B}^j\right] = -i\kappa\epsilon^{ij}_k \hat{B}^k, \quad (3)$$

where $\kappa := 8\pi\gamma\hbar G_N$ has dimensions of area.

The GFT Fock space is constructed from a vacuum state $|\emptyset\rangle$ describing a completely degenerate geometry, analogous to the standard LQG vacuum [5]. While $\hat{\varphi}(g_I)|\emptyset\rangle = 0$, the conjugate operator $\hat{\varphi}^\dagger(g_I)$ creates an ‘atom of space’ labelled by group elements g_I ($I = 1, \dots, 4$). With bosonic statistics for $\hat{\varphi}$, many-atom states can be constructed by repeated actions of $\hat{\varphi}^\dagger(g_I)$ on $|\emptyset\rangle$. Such states correspond to spin networks, with vertices as basic quanta, and can be interpreted as triangulations labelled by the same type of algebraic data. Their interpretation in terms of a continuum metric may require an embedding into a manifold and it is not guaranteed in general. See [2, 3, 5] for details of the GFT states, their geometric interpretation, the relation to LQG, and the GFT dynamics.

On the GFT Fock space, the operators (\hat{g}, \hat{B}^i) extend to a ‘total group element’, defined in terms of a coordinate system on SU(2), and a total flux \hat{b}^i . We choose coordinates $\vec{\pi}$ by

$$g = \sqrt{1 - \vec{\pi}[g]^2} \mathbf{1} - i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\pi}[g], \quad |\vec{\pi}[g]| \leq 1. \quad (4)$$

The ‘total group coordinate’ operators (analogous to the ‘total position’ above)

$$\hat{H}[g_I] = \int (dg)^4 \vec{\pi}[g_I] \hat{\varphi}^\dagger(g_J) \hat{\varphi}(g_J) \quad (5)$$

and total flux operators, represented as right-invariant vector fields on SU(2)

$$\hat{b}_I^i = i\kappa \int (dg)^4 \hat{\varphi}^\dagger(g_J) \frac{d}{dt} \hat{\varphi}(\exp(\tau_I^i t) g_J) \Big|_{t=0}, \quad (6)$$

are well-defined on the Fock space. This total flux is non-commutative; we interpret its commutative limit

$$\hat{f}_I^i = i\kappa \int (dg)^4 \hat{\varphi}^\dagger(\pi[g_J]) \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_i} \hat{\varphi}(\pi[g_J]) \quad (7)$$

to be the macroscopic flux variable of direct cosmological interpretation.

As in the previous example, the flux defines a naturally extensive quantity, while the ‘total group element’ carries no obvious interpretation. We can however define ‘average group coordinate’ operators by

$$\hat{\Pi}[g_I]^{\text{av.}} = \hat{\Pi}[g_I] / \langle \hat{N} \rangle. \quad (8)$$

The eigenvalues of $\hat{\Pi}_I^{\text{av.}} \equiv \hat{\Pi}[g_I]^{\text{av.}}$ satisfy $|\langle \hat{\Pi}_I^{\text{av.}} \rangle| \leq 1$.

The *total* fluxes \hat{b}_I and the *averaged* group coordinates $\hat{\Pi}_I^{\text{av.}} = \frac{1}{\langle \hat{N} \rangle} \hat{\Pi}_I$, analogous to total momentum and centre-of-mass position, characterize the condensate. In particular, only *averaged holonomies* can be interpreted consistently as macroscopic holonomies: noting that the parallel transport over a path of coordinate length μ in j -direction, with approximately constant connection, is

$$\mathcal{P} \exp \int \omega \approx \cos(\mu |\omega_j|) \mathbf{1} + \frac{\omega_j}{|\omega_j|} \sin(\mu |\omega_j|) \quad (9)$$

with $\omega_j \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$, the averaged group coordinates can thus be interpreted as the parallel transport of a connection

$$\omega = i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\omega}, \quad \mu \vec{\omega} := -\frac{\langle \vec{\Pi} \rangle}{|\langle \vec{\Pi} \rangle|} \arcsin \frac{|\langle \vec{\Pi} \rangle|}{N}. \quad (10)$$

Equation (10) is a change of variables $(\vec{\Pi}, N) \rightarrow (\vec{\omega}, N)$.

Fixing μ defines a coordinate system in which ω is given. In [2], μ was taken to be the coordinate length of a ‘fundamental link’ associated to an elementary quantum of geometry, and taken as constant. However, as N appears explicitly in equation (10), it appears unnatural to assume that μ should be independent of N . A more natural coordinate system is one in which the whole condensate is extended over a region of fixed coordinate length. Each quantum then occupies an average coordinate volume $\propto 1/N$, and the coordinate length for a quantum is $\mu \propto N^{-1/3}$. Adopting this coordinate system (in itself of no physical content) is convenient for linking the effective cosmological equations arising from GFT condensates and the formalism of loop quantum cosmology. The so-defined collective variables correspond to the macroscopic, cosmological variables for the GFT condensate.

3. Interpretation of effective cosmological equations

In [2] it was shown that the dynamics of condensate states in GFT can be reduced, within certain approximations, to effective quantum cosmology equations. These arise from Schwinger–Dyson equations of the GFT, which take the general form

$$\left\langle \frac{\delta \mathcal{O}[\varphi, \bar{\varphi}]}{\delta \bar{\varphi}(g_I)} - \mathcal{O}[\varphi, \bar{\varphi}] \frac{\delta S[\varphi, \bar{\varphi}]}{\delta \bar{\varphi}(g_I)} \right\rangle = 0, \quad (11)$$

for any functional \mathcal{O} of the GFT field φ and its complex conjugate, with fundamental dynamics defined by an action S (see [3, 5] for the most developed and promising models). Equation (11) holds in the vacuum state, for all \mathcal{O} . Requiring equation (11) for certain \mathcal{O} encodes the requirement for a GFT condensate state to give a good approximation to a non-perturbative vacuum (see [13] for further analysis of this approximation). The key result of [2], at the dynamical level, was that equation (11), for simple choices of \mathcal{O} and for an approximate vacuum state given by a GFT condensate state such as

$$|\sigma\rangle \propto \exp(\hat{\sigma})|0\rangle, \quad \hat{\sigma} := \int (\mathrm{d}g)^4 \sigma(g_I) \hat{\varphi}^\dagger(g_I), \quad (12)$$

gives a quantum cosmology-like equation for the cosmological ‘wavefunction’ σ (similar to those obtained in [14]). Here we want to interpret equation (11) directly in terms of expectation values of second quantized operators corresponding to the kinetic and interaction terms of the fundamental GFT action, using again condensate states with a cosmological interpretation.

For $\mathcal{O} = \bar{\varphi}(g_I)$, equation (11) becomes, integrating over g_I ,

$$\left\langle \int (\mathrm{d}g)^4 \bar{\varphi}(g_I) \frac{\delta S[\varphi, \bar{\varphi}]}{\delta \bar{\varphi}(g_I)} \right\rangle = \left\langle \int (\mathrm{d}g)^4 \frac{\delta \bar{\varphi}(g_I)}{\delta \bar{\varphi}(g_I)} \right\rangle. \quad (13)$$

Passing to the operator formalism and choosing normal ordering, the delta distribution $\delta\bar{\varphi}/\delta\bar{\varphi}$ disappears and

$$\left\langle \int (\mathrm{d}g)^4 \hat{\varphi}^\dagger(g_I) \frac{\delta \hat{S}[\hat{\varphi}, \hat{\varphi}^\dagger]}{\delta \hat{\varphi}^\dagger(g_I)} \right\rangle = 0. \quad (14)$$

Equation (14) has to hold for any state that defines a GFT vacuum. Writing the action as $\hat{S} = \hat{K} + \hat{\mathcal{V}}$ for a second quantized kinetic operator

$$\hat{K}[\hat{\varphi}, \hat{\varphi}^\dagger] = \int (\mathrm{d}g)^4 (\mathrm{d}g')^4 \hat{\varphi}^\dagger(g_I) \mathcal{K}(g_I, g'_I) \hat{\varphi}(g'_I) \quad (15)$$

and interactions $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$, equation (14) becomes $\langle \hat{K} \rangle + \dots = 0$ with the second term linear in $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$. We are now interested in the situation in which this second term can be set to zero. This can be an exact result for certain states [2] or, more generally, one could consider a weak-coupling limit neglecting the interactions. We then require a GFT condensate, e.g. (12), to satisfy $\langle \hat{K} \rangle = 0$.

To make the corresponding cosmological dynamics explicit, we need to make a choice for \mathcal{K} . As in [2] (and as motivated by GFT renormalisation [15]), we choose $\mathcal{K} = \sum_I \Delta_{g_I} + m^2$ where Δ_g is the Laplace–Beltrami operator on $SU(2)$ and m^2 is a coupling constant. Δ_g can be expressed as a combination of right-invariant vector fields and hence of non-commutative fluxes as in equation (6). For easier comparison with continuum classical gravity, we instead express Δ_g in terms of partial derivatives, i.e. of the commutative total fluxes of equation (7). We have

$$\Delta_{g_I} = \left(\delta_{ij} - \pi_i^I \pi_j^I \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_i^I} \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_j^I} - 3\pi_i^I \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_i^I}. \quad (16)$$

The equation $\langle \hat{K} \rangle = 0$ can be rewritten as

$$\sum_I \left\langle \hat{f}_I \cdot \hat{f}_I - (\hat{\Pi}_I \cdot \hat{f}_I)^2 - 3i\kappa (\hat{\Pi}_I \cdot \hat{f}_I) \right\rangle - m^2 \kappa^2 \langle \hat{N} \rangle = 0, \quad (17)$$

where we have defined the one-body operators on the GFT Fock space

$$\hat{f}_I \cdot \hat{f}_I = -\kappa^2 \int (dg)^4 \hat{\varphi}^\dagger(\pi[g_I]) \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_i^I} \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_j^I} \hat{\varphi}(\pi[g_I]), \quad (18)$$

$$(\hat{\Pi}_I \cdot \hat{f}_I)^2 = -\kappa^2 \int (dg)^4 \hat{\varphi}^\dagger(\pi[g_I]) \pi_i^I \pi_j^I \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_i^I} \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_j^I} \hat{\varphi}(\pi[g_I]), \quad (19)$$

$$\hat{\Pi}_I \cdot \hat{f}_I = i\kappa \int (dg)^4 \hat{\varphi}^\dagger(\pi[g_I]) \pi_i^I \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_i^I} \hat{\varphi}(\pi[g_I]). \quad (20)$$

In a condensate (such as (12)) all quantum gravitational atoms are in the same configuration and

$$\langle \hat{f}_I \cdot \hat{f}_I \rangle \approx \frac{1}{\langle \hat{N} \rangle} \langle \hat{f}_I \rangle \cdot \langle \hat{f}_I \rangle, \quad \text{etc}, \quad (21)$$

so that equation (17) becomes approximately

$$\sum_I \langle \hat{f}_I \rangle \cdot \langle \hat{f}_I \rangle - \frac{(\langle \hat{\Pi}_I \rangle \cdot \langle \hat{f}_I \rangle)^2}{\langle \hat{N} \rangle^2} - 3i\kappa \langle \hat{\Pi}_I \rangle \cdot \langle \hat{f}_I \rangle \approx m^2 \kappa^2 \langle \hat{N} \rangle^2. \quad (22)$$

We can now identify expectation values with the variables of homogeneous, isotropic GR. While by using condensate states such as (12) we are already assuming homogeneity, we impose the property of isotropy on expectation values: we require that in an identification $\langle \hat{f}_I \rangle = T_I a^2$, where a is the scale factor and $T_I \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$ with $T_I \cdot T_I = O(1)$, T_I are state-dependent constants (encoding information about the shape of the elementary building blocks) while a can be time-dependent, so that the resulting effective dynamics can be interpreted as a Friedmann equation for the variable a . Similarly, for the effective connection we have $\langle \hat{\Pi}_I \rangle / \langle \hat{N} \rangle = V_I \sin(\mu \omega)$ for $V_I \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$ again with $V_I \cdot V_I = O(1)$, in terms of a single spin connection variable ω . We obtain

$$\frac{k - \sin^2(\mu\omega)}{a^2} - \frac{3i\kappa}{a^4} \alpha N \sin(\mu\omega) - \frac{m^2 \kappa^2 N^2}{\beta a^6} \approx 0, \quad (23)$$

with $N = \langle \hat{N} \rangle$, and k, α, β are shorthands for combinations of $T_I \cdot T_I, T_I \cdot V_I$, etc. Viewed as a cosmological Friedmann equation, we find the usual gravitational term, including the same holonomy corrections as in LQC, and two terms depending on N whose interpretation will depend on the exact relation between N and the cosmological observables. Note the appearance of an apparently imaginary term which is due to the introduction of the operators (18)-(20) which, although having a clear cosmological interpretation, are not Hermitian on the GFT Fock space (their combination given by the Laplacian however is). One possible way of interpreting the appearance of an imaginary term in equation (23) is as related to a Wick rotation: in [2], the analogue of equation (23) was interpreted as describing Riemannian signature geometries. The passage to Lorentzian signature, at least when $\mu\omega \ll 1$, corresponds to $\omega \mapsto \pm i \omega$ making all

terms in equation (23) real. Complex metric variables of course have a long history in quantum cosmology, e.g. in semiclassical approximations [16].

We emphasise that this dependence of the effective cosmological dynamics on N is a new genuine quantum gravity effect, and that all the corrections to the Friedmann equation above are *derived* from the fundamental GFT dynamics. Indeed, our main observation is that effective cosmological equations for quantum gravity condensates necessarily depend on the atomic number N . In [2], an equation including only the first term of equation (23) arose from a WKB approximation for the wavefunction σ ; the WKB expansion in derivatives appeared to be an expansion in κ/a^2 , indeed tiny for macroscopic a .

The physical viability of the WKB approximation could be questioned as it assumes that the individual quanta of space are themselves semiclassical. One can study the deviations of explicit solutions from WKB behaviour [17]. The WKB limit also neglected any dependence on N , simply identifying the total (extensive) operators with cosmological observables. We then understand its failure from a different angle here: when including the scaling with N , equation (23) appears to be an expansion in powers of $\kappa N/a^2$, the inverse *average* area in (Planck) units set by κ . This need not be small even in the semiclassical case.

Equation (23) arises from taking an expectation value in the condensate state. Using equation (23) to describe the cosmological dynamics of the condensate assumes that relative fluctuations remain small, so that one can focus on expectation values. To study this property for specific states is a subtle issue, even in the context of LQC [18], but it is an additional condition to be imposed on GFT condensates.

In order to connect effective equations like equation (23) to classical general relativity or to LQC, we need to relate the new QG observable N to geometric observables, such as the scale factor. This relation is encoded in the condensate wavefunction, and can be *computed* for any given solution of the effective dynamics. Here, we consider two interesting possible regimes. The first is when the condensate has an approximately constant atomic number N , that can thus be treated as an additional parameter. One could fix N to be exactly constant by working in the canonical ensemble. We recover the variables of the ‘old’ version of LQC [10]: the holonomy-corrected expression replacing ω is simply $\sin(\mu_0\omega)$ for constant μ_0 . Then the two terms in equation (23) describe a ‘radiation’ term that also depends on the connection, and a stiff matter term.

A second regime is when the expansion of the Universe proceeds by an increase in the number of QG atoms, with constant average volume per atom. One can restrict to this regime as well by an appropriate choice of GFT ensemble of states. Then one has $N \propto a^3$ and $\mu = \frac{a_0}{a}$ for some a_0 , so that the combination of a and ω in the effective Friedmann equation is now $\sin(\frac{a_0}{a}\omega)$. This is precisely as in the ‘improved dynamics’ prescription of LQC [7, 9], which is here *derived* from the fundamental dynamics of condensates in a second quantized version of LQG, given by GFT. For $N = (a/a_0)^3$, equation (23) becomes

$$\frac{k - \sin^2\left(\frac{a_0}{a}\omega\right)}{a^2} - \frac{3i\kappa}{a a_0^3}\alpha \sin\left(\frac{a_0}{a}\omega\right) - \frac{m^2\kappa^2}{\beta a_0^6} \approx 0, \quad (24)$$

or for $\frac{a_0}{a}\omega \ll 1$

$$\frac{k}{a_0^2} - \frac{\omega^2}{a^2} - \frac{3i\kappa\alpha}{a_0^4}\omega - \frac{m^2\kappa^2}{\beta a_0^6} \frac{a^2}{a_0^2} \approx 0. \quad (25)$$

In this regime, the k -dependent term appears as an effective cosmological constant. The term linear in the connection and the infrared modification growing as a^2 do not have a clear physical interpretation and there would be very strong observational constraints in particular on the last term which dominates at late times, basically forcing the ‘mass’ coupling m^2 in the GFT kinetic term to vanish. The purpose of this paper is to explore different possibilities for effective Friedmann equations assuming different scenarios for the relation between N and the scale factor a . More work is required to justify these from the fundamental theory, but once this has been achieved, the cosmological predictions coming from equations like (25) can be confronted with observation. This comparison with observation will then be very useful for putting constraints on the possible choices of the fundamental GFT dynamics.

4. Conclusions

To obtain the effective cosmological dynamics (23), we have made a choice for the kinetic operator \mathcal{K} , but the general argument extends to any \mathcal{K} that has second derivatives in the group variables. The precise form of equation (23) would be however different for a different choice of \mathcal{K} , leading to a different cosmological interpretation of the corresponding terms. More corrections would come from the GFT interactions, which we have neglected here.

Besides the specific form obtained, the main result is that the identification of the effective dynamics depends rather crucially on the behaviour of the atomic number N . This behaviour depends on the choice of ensemble and on the dynamical properties of the underlying field theory; moreover, it may change under a phase transition [19], and different phases may be described by very different effective dynamics. The very presence of the quantity N in the effective dynamics is a totally general and new feature, independent of the details of the model.

In particular, the relation $\mu \propto N^{-1/3}$ results from a kinematical identification of the condensate observables, and not from any specific choice of GFT dynamics. All aspects of the dynamics of LQC, including the precise form of holonomy corrections, can now be derived from microscopic quantum gravity (see also work aimed at similar goals within canonical LQG [20] and spin foams [21]), due to the appearance of the new quantum gravity observable N in effective cosmological equations. The mechanism itself gives a microscopic dynamical origin of lattice refinement [8] in LQC, as it suggests a dynamical change in the number of degrees of freedom of quantum geometry with the evolution of the Universe. Further results strengthening the link between GFT condensate cosmology and lattice refined LQC are given in [22].

This gives further weight to the program of [2] for deriving quantum cosmology equations from the dynamics of GFT condensate states, thus from a many-body quantum system of fundamental QG degrees of freedom.

Acknowledgments

We thank L Sindoni and G Calcagni for discussions. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through Industry Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Research and Innovation. DO acknowledges financial support from the A von Humboldt Stiftung with a Sofja Kovalevskaja Award.

References

- [1] Ade P A R *et al* 2013 Planck results. 16th cosmological parameters *Astron. Astrophys.* **571** A16
 Ade P A R *et al* 2014 Detection of *B*-mode polarization at degree angular scales by BICEP2 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** 241101
- [2] Gielen S, Oriti D and Sindoni L 2013 Cosmology from group field theory formalism for quantum gravity *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** 031301
 Gielen S, Oriti D and Sindoni L 2014 Homogeneous cosmologies as group field theory condensates *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP06(2014)013**
- [3] Oriti D 2012 The microscopic dynamics of quantum space as a group field theory *Foundations of Space and Time* ed G Ellis, J Murugan and A Weltman (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
 Oriti D 2009 The Group field theory approach to quantum gravity *Approaches to Quantum Gravity* ed D Oriti (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) pp 310–31
 Oriti D 2006 Quantum gravity as a quantum field theory of simplicial geometry *Quantum Gravity* ed B Fauser *et al* (Basel: Birkhäuser) pp 101–26
 Freidel L 2005 Group field theory: an overview *Int. J. Phys.* **44** 1769–83
 Baratin A and Oriti D 2012 Ten questions on group field theory (and their tentative answers) *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **360** 012002
 Krajewski T 2011 Group field theories *Proc. 3rd Quantum Gravity and Quantum Geometry School*
 Oriti D 2009 The group field theory approach to quantum gravity: some recent results *The Planck Scale: Proc. 25th Max Bom Symp.* ed J Kowalski-Glikman, R Durka and M Szczachor (New York: AIP)
- [4] Oriti D 2013 Group field theory as the 2nd quantization of loop quantum gravity arXiv:1310.7786
- [5] Thiemann T 2007 *Modern Canonical Quantum General Relativity* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
 Rovelli C 2006 *Quantum Gravity* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
 Ashtekar A and Lewandowski J 2004 Background independent quantum gravity: a status report *Class. Quantum Grav.* **21** 53R–152
 Perez A 2013 The spin-foam approach to quantum gravity *Living Rev. Relativ.* **16** 3
 Rovelli C 2011 Zakopane lectures on loop gravity *Proc. 3rd Quantum Gravity and Quantum Geometry School*
- [6] Barcelo C, Liberati S and Visser M 2011 Analogue gravity *Living Rev. Relativ.* **14** 3
- [7] Bojowald M 2008 Loop quantum cosmology *Living Rev. Relativ.* **11** 4
- [8] Bojowald M, Cartin D and Khanna G 2007 Lattice refining loop quantum cosmology, anisotropic models, and stability *Phys. Rev. D* **76** 064018
 Wilson-Ewing E 2012 Lattice loop quantum cosmology: scalar perturbations *Class. Quantum Grav.* **29** 215013
- [9] Ashtekar A, Pawłowski T and Singh P 2006 Quantum nature of the big bang: improved dynamics *Phys. Rev. D* **74** 084003
- [10] Bojowald M 2001 Absence of a singularity in loop quantum cosmology *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86** 5227–30
- [11] Oriti D, Pereira R and Sindoni L 2012 Coherent states for quantum gravity: toward collective variables *Class. Quantum Grav.* **29** 135002
- [12] Ashtekar A 1986 New variables for classical and quantum gravity *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57** 2244–7
 G Barbero J F 1995 Real Ashtekar variables for Lorentzian signature space–times *Phys. Rev. D* **51** 5507
- [13] Sindoni L 2014 Effective equations for GFT condensates from fidelity arXiv:1408.3095
- [14] Bojowald M, Chinchilli A L, Simpson D, Dantas C C and Jaffe M 2012 Nonlinear (loop) quantum cosmology *Phys. Rev. D* **86** 124027
- [15] Ben Geloun J and Bonzom V 2011 Radiative corrections in the Boulatov–Ooguri tensor model: the two-point function *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **50** 2819–41
 Carrozza S, Oriti D and Rivasseau V 2014 Renormalization of a SU(2) tensorial group field theory in three dimensions *Commun. Math. Phys.* **330** 581–637

- [16] Hartle J B and Hawking S W 1983 Wave function of the Universe *Phys. Rev. D* **28** 2960
- [17] Gielen S 2014 Quantum cosmology of (loop) quantum gravity condensates: an example *Class. Quantum Grav.* **31** 155009
- [18] Corichi A and Singh P 2008 Reply to ‘Comment on ‘quantum bounce and cosmic recall’ *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** 209002
- [19] Koslowski T 2007 Dynamical quantum geometry (DQG programme) arXiv:0709.3465
Oriti D 2007 Group field theory as the microscopic description of the quantum spacetime fluid: a new perspective on the continuum in quantum gravity *From Quantum to Emergent Gravity: Theory and Phenomenology (Trieste, Italy, 11–15 June)* 030
Rivasseau V 2012 The tensor track: an update *29th Int. Coll. on Group-Theoretical Methods in Physics (Tianjin, China, 20–26 August)* pp 63–74
Bonzom V, Gurau R and Rivasseau V 2012 Random tensor models in the large N limit: uncoloring the colored tensor models *Phys. Rev. D* **85** 084037
- [20] Alesci E and Cianfrani F 2013 A new perspective on cosmology in loop quantum gravity *Europhys. Lett.* **104** 10001
Alesci E and Cianfrani F 2013 Quantum-reduced loop gravity: cosmology *Phys. Rev. D* **87** 083521
Alesci E and Cianfrani F 2014 Quantum reduced loop gravity: semiclassical limit *Phys. Rev. D* **90** 024006
- [21] Bianchi E, Rovelli C and Vidotto F 2010 Towards spinfoam cosmology *Phys. Rev. D* **82** 084035
Vidotto F 2011 Spinfoam cosmology *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **314** 012049
- [22] Calcagni G 2014 Loop quantum cosmology from group field theory *Phys. Rev. D* **90** 064047