UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of Insights into microbial community structure and diversity in oil palm waste compost.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/151556/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Khalid, Nurshafika Abd, Rajandas, Heera, Parimannan, Sivachandran et al. (5 more authors) (2019) Insights into microbial community structure and diversity in oil palm waste compost. 3 Biotech. 364. ISSN 2190-572X

https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-019-1892-4

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

1 Insights into microbial community structure and diversity in oil palm waste

2 compost

- 3 Nurshafika Abd Khalid¹, Heera Rajandas²**, Sivachandran Parimannan², Laurence J. Croft⁴,
- 4 Stella Loke³, Chun Shiong Chong¹, Neil C. Bruce⁵, Adibah Yahya¹*
- 5 ^[1] Biorefinery Technology Laboratory, Department of Biosciences, Faculty of Science, 81310
- 6 Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia
- 7 ^[2] Centre of Excellence for Omics-Driven Computational Biodiscovery (COMBio), Faculty of
- 8 Applied Sciences, AIMST University 08100 Bedong, Kedah, Malaysia
- 9 ^[3] School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Building M, 221 Burwood Hwy, Burwood VIC
- 10 3125, Deakin University, Burwood Campus, Australia
- 11 ^[4] Centre for Integrative Ecology, School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Deakin
- 12 University, Geelong, Victoria 3220, Australia
- 13 ^[5] Centre for Novel Agricultural Products, Department of Biology, University of York,
- 14 Wentworth Way, York, YO10 5DD, United Kingdom
- 15 *Corresponding author: Tel: +6 07-5557540
- 16 *Email address:* <u>adibah@fbb.utm.my</u> (Adibah Y.)
- 17 **Second corresponding author: Tel: +6 04-4298159
- 18 *Email address*: <u>heraadaas@gmail.com</u> (Heraa R.)
- 19

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank Jamal Al Deen Lawton for compost
advice. We greatfully acknowledge oil palm mill Felda Maokil, Labis, Johor for providing us
with compost and POME samples. This work was financially supported Ministry of Education
Malaysia and Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) United
Kingdom under program of United Kingdom-Southeast Asia Newton Ungku Omar Fund (UKSEA-NUOF) with project number 4B297 and BB/P027717/1.

26 Abstract

Empty fruit bunch (EFB) and palm oil mill effluent (POME) are the major wastes generated by the oil 27 palm industry in Malaysia. The practice of EFB and POME digester sludge co-composting has shown 28 positive results, both in mitigating otherwise environmentally damaging waste streams and in producing 29 30 a useful product (compost) from these streams. In this study, the bacterial ecosystems of 12 week-old EFB-POME co-compost and POME biogas sludge from Felda Maokil, Johor were analysed using 16S 31 32 metagenome sequencing. Over 10 phyla were detected with Chloroflexi being the predominant phylum, 33 representing approximately 53% of compost and 23% of the POME microbiome reads. The main 34 bacterial lineage found in compost and POME was Anaerolinaceae (Chloroflexi) with 30% and 18% of 35 the total gene fragments, respectively. The significant differences between compost and POME 36 communities were abundances of Syntrophobacter, Sulfuricurvum, and Coprococcus. No methanogens 37 were identified due to the bias of general 16S primers to eubacteria. The preponderance of anaerobic 38 species in the compost, and high abundance of secondary metabolite fermenting bacteria is due to an 39 extended composting time, with anaerobic collapse of the pile in the tropical heat. Predictive functional 40 profiles of the metagenomes using 16S rRNA marker genes suggest the presence of enzymes involved in polysaccharide degradation such as glucoamylase, endoglucanase, arabinofuranosidase, all of which 41 42 were strongly active in POME. Eubacterial species associated with cellulytic methanogenesis were present in both samples. 43

44

45 Keyword Oil palm empty fruit bunch. Palm oil mill effluent. Compost. Metagenomics. Microbial46 diversity.

47

48 1.0 Introduction

The Malaysian oil palm industry is growing rapidly and Malaysia has become the second largest producer of palm oil after Indonesia (MPOB 2017). Approximately 5.74 Mha of Malaysia's land area was covered with oil palm plantations in 2016 (MPOB 2017) which produced 17,320,000 tonnes of palm oil. This in turn generated a large amount of oil palm derived waste. The oil palm industry produces millions of tonnes of oil palm biomass, especially empty fruit bunch (EFB). There is 1 kg of biomass, such as empty fruit bunch (EFB), palm kernel shell (PKS) and mesocarp fibre (MF), generated
for each kg of oil palm extracted (Sulaiman et al. 2011). Conversion of organic waste such as EFB into
usable horticultural by-products has been found to be the most efficient way to reuse this raw waste
material (Siddiquee et al. 2017).

58 EFB and palm oil mill effluent (POME) are the most abundant waste produced in oil palm mills. At present, EFB and POME have been used as raw materials for co-composting and the resulting 59 60 co-compost has been used in the oil palm plantations at Felda Maokil. In this case, POME was used to 61 provide moisture to the compost. Composting is one of the most efficient solutions for sustainable 62 management of organic waste, it is an aerobic process that effectively converts cellulosic organic waste 63 into a nutrient-rich organic amendment for agricultural application (Neher et al. 2013). The conversion 64 of organic waste to compost is carried out by a successive microbial community combining both 65 mesophilic and thermophilic activities (Krishnan et al. 2017). However, the microbial community of 66 end product compost in the tropics has not been well characterized.

67 Understanding the microbial diversity of compost systems is important in order to produce high 68 quality compost and determine its effectiveness (Krishnan et al. 2017). Most studies that have explored 69 this rich ecosystem have utilized culture-based methods (Ryckeboer et al. 2003; Ahmad et al. 2007; 70 Vishan et al. 2017). But, culture-based methods are only useful for identifying less than 1% of the total 71 microbial diversity, as the majority of microorganisms are unculturable under standard media and 72 aerobic growth conditions (Handelsman 2004; Ito et al. 2018). The advent of Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) and metagenomics has opened an avenue to perform comprehensive studies to 73 74 characterize the total microbial diversity using a culture-independent method. Metagenomics is an alternative that has been widely applied over the last few years (Fernández-Arrojo et al. 2010). 75

Metagenomics refers to the direct isolation of DNA from an environmental sample (Handelsman 2004). There are two different approaches, amplicon sequencing and shotgun metagenomics (Escobar-Zepeda et al. 2015). Amplicon sequencing targets specific regions of DNA from communities by amplifying specific regions using taxonomical informative primer targets such as intergenic transcribed spacers (ITS) and the large ribosomal subunit (LSU) for eukaryotes and the 16S rRNA gene for prokaryotes (Sharpton 2014). Shotgun metagenomics randomly sequences all DNA 82 from a community, which produces a less biased assessment of species abundance but at greater cost. Metagenomics has been commonly used in large and complex samples containing organisms from 83 different life domains or where less bias is required. 16S amplicon sequencing, or metaprofiling 84 (Escobar-Zepeda et al. 2015), is currently the most cost-effective method for DNA library preparation 85 86 in conjunction with sequencing by platforms such as the Illumina MiSeq. This approach has been widely utilized, not only for studying resident microbiota in wastewater and compost (Krishnan et al. 2017; 87 88 Wang et al. 2016), but also for studying soil samples (Yan et al. 2016), hot springs (Chan et al. 2015), 89 termite gut (Chew et al. 2018), faecal samples (Costea et al. 2017) and many others.

In the present study, the microbial community of the EFB-POME co-compost and POME biogas sludge has been studied using the culture independent 16S amplicon sequencing approach. Metagenomes from the EFB-POME co-compost and POME were directly isolated from the samples without any microorganism cultivation. The V3-V4 regions of prokaryotic 16S rRNA genes were amplified from the metagenome and directly sequenced using Illumina's MiSeq platform. The detailed information on the microbial residents will support further research to improve the duration of the composting process and the quality of final compost by addition of specified microbial species.

97 2.0 Materials and Methods

98 2.1 Collection of samples

The 12 weeks-old EFB-POME co-compost and POME were obtained from Felda Maokil, 99 100 Labis, Johor (2°17'09.6"N 102°59'37.7"E). A 1 m height compost pile was made with the ratio of 40 101 ton EFB: 120 ton POME, turned over every 3 days to provide aeration. The composting was done by 102 first laying down the EFB on the ground, followed by the addition of POME to the EFB pile at three day intervals to maintain a final moisture content of 65-75% as it is a solid state aerobic fermentation 103 process. A total of 1kg of 12 weeks-old compost was randomly sampled at a depth of 0.5 m inside the 104 compost pile. For POME, 2 litres was collected directly from the anaerobic digester (AD) effluent. The 105 POME and compost samples were collected in sterile containers and stored at 4 °C for further studies. 106

107 2.2 Total DNA extraction

Extraction of total DNA from EFB-POME co-compost was done with a modified Griffiths
protocol using NucleoSpin® Soil kit (Griffiths et al. 2000; Alessi et al. 2017), while the total DNA from

POME was extracted using NucleoSpin® Soil kit (Macherey-Nagel, Germany) based on the
manufacturer's protocol (Verma and Satyanarayana 2011). The detailed methods are outlined below.

112 2.2.1 Method 1: Modified Griffiths protocol

Half a gram of EFB-POME co-compost was transferred into a microcentrifuge tube containing 113 114 1 g of garnet beads (OMNI International, USA), 500 µL SL2 lysis buffer (Macherey-Nagel, Germany), 500 µL 10% CTAB, 100 µL 1mg/mL lysozyme and 214.3 µL enhancer SX (Macherev-Nagel, 115 116 Germany). After mixing, the samples were disrupted using Bead Ruptor 4 (OMNI International, USA) 117 for 3 minutes at level 3. The aqueous phase was mixed with inhibitor removal solution SL3 buffer (Macherey-Nagel, Germany) and incubated at 4 °C for 5 min before centrifuging using a NucleoSpin® 118 119 Inhibitor Removal column (Macherey-Nagel, Germany) to remove any impurities like humic acid and 120 other PCR inhibitors. Following this, equal volumes of phenol: chloroform (1:1) was added to the eluted 121 aqueous phase and separated by centrifugation for 5 minutes at $13,300 \times g$. One-tenth volume of ice-122 cold sodium acetate and 3 volumes of ice-cold absolute ethanol was added to the aqueous layer before 123 incubating at -80°C for 2 hours to precipitate the DNA. The resulting pellet was washed twice with 124 ice-cold 75% ethanol (Alessi et al. 2017) and the pellet was resuspended in 50 µL TE buffer. The DNA was stored at -20 °C for further use. 125

126 2.2.2 Method 2: NucleoSpin® Soil kit

Two mL of POME was centrifuged at $4,500 \times g$ for 10 minutes and the resulting pellets were 127 transferred into a microcentrifuge containing 1 g of garnet beads (OMNI International). 300 µL SL2 128 buffer (Macherey-Nagel, Germany), 150 µL enhancer SX (Macherey-Nagel, Germany) and 100 µL 1 129 mg/mL lysozyme was added to the pellet. The pellet was homogenized for 3 minutes at level 3 using 130 Bead Ruptor 4 (OMNI International). 100 µL 1 mg/mL lysozyme was added to the homogenate and 131 incubated at 37 °C for 30 minutes. The inhibitor removal solution and column were used to remove any 132 impurities like humic acids or other PCR inhibitors. The binding solution was added to the supernatant 133 before loading onto the spin column. The column was centrifuged to bind the DNA to the column and 134 the column was washed twice with wash buffer provided in the kit. The DNA was finally eluted with 135 136 the elution buffer and stored at -20 °C.

137

138 2.3 DNA vield and purity determination

DNA concentration and quality of the total DNA extracts were determined using NanoDrop[™] 139 Lite spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA) and 1% w/v agarose gel 140 141 electrophoresis, respectively.

142 2.4 16S metagenomics library preparation, sequencing and data analysis

The 16S rRNA metagenome libraries were generated using purified total DNA as the template 143 in the polymerase chain reactions (PCR). The V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA genes were amplified 144 145 using S-D-Bact-0341-b-S-17, 5'-TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGCCTACGG GNGGCWGCAG-3' and S-D-Bact-0785-a-A-21, 5'-GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAG 146 147 AGACAGGACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC-3'). The underlined oligonucleotide are the Illumina 148 adapter overhang sequences, while the non-underlined sequences are locus-specific sequences which 149 were designed according to a reported primer pair (Klindworth et al. 2013) targeting conserved regions 150 within the V3 and V4 domains of prokaryotic 16S rRNA genes. The metagenome library was then pair-151 end sequenced on the Illumina MiSeq platform (San Diego, CA, USA) using MiSeq Reagent Kit (v3) 152 for the longest length set to 2 x 300 base pairs (bp).

153 2.5

Bioinformatics analysis

154 The resulting sequencing data were analysed using the Mothur software package version 1.41.1 (Schloss et al. 2009). Firstly, the read pairs were merged to assemble them into contigs and contigs 155 156 exhibiting any ambiguous positions were subsequently removed. The sequences were then aligned to the SILVA reference database (Release 132). Upon removal of unaligned sequences, the remaining 157 sequences were further filtered, dereplicated and de-noised before removal of chimeras. Sequences were 158 then classified based on the Greengenes database using naïve Bayesian classifier with bootstrap cut-off 159 of 80% before removal of sequences classified as unrelated lineages. Finally, the sequences were split 160 into bins based on taxonomy and clustered into OTUs using the *cluster.split* command. 161

The functional composition of EFB-POME compost and POME digester sludge was analysed 162 using the Phylogenetic Investigation of Communities by Reconstruction of Unobserved States 163 (PICRUSt) bioinformatics software package (Langille et al. 2013). Firstly, PICRUSt analysis was done 164 165 by picking OTUs against the August 2013 Greengenes database release of gg 13 8 99 that contained 202,421 bacterial and archaeal sequences (McDonald et al. 2012). The OTU counts were normalized
and used for metagenome functional predictions with KEGG orthologs (KO). The output was further
analysed using the Statistical Analysis of Metagenome Profiles (STAMP) software package (Parks et
al. 2014).

170 2.6 Data accessibility

The raw sequencing data was deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) of the National
Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database under accession numbers SRR8181848 and
SRR8186815 for EFB-POME co-compost and POME, respectively.

174 **3.0** Results and Discussion

175 **3.1** Microbial diversity analysis of EFB-POME co-compost and POME

176 EFB-POME co-compost and POME were analysed for their microbial diversity using Illumina 177 MiSeq sequencing of V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA genes. A total of 72,657 and 92,677 sequence 178 reads were generated from total DNA extracts of EFB-POME co-compost and POME, respectively. 179 After quality filtering and read merging, EFB-POME compost and POME generated 1,272 and 10,705 180 contigs, respectively. POME showed a higher number of OTUs, which indicates that POME has a higher bacterial diversity compared to EFB-POME co-compost. Diversity coverage for each sample 181 182 was analysed using rarefaction analysis. The rarefaction curve illustrated in Fig.1 was calculated at 3% dissimilarity. Rarefaction analysis shows the samples had reached saturation for genus level and higher 183 taxonomic level. The coverage for mature EFB-POME co-compost was 100%, while for POME was 184 99.4% with Simpson indices of 113.77 and 69, respectively. 185

The most abundant phylum present in both samples was Chloroflexi, which constituted 23% of 186 the total gene fragment abundance in POME and 53% in EFB-POME co-compost (Fig.2A). The 187 remaining phyla present in POME were Firmicutes (19%), Bacteroidetes (16%), Proteobacteria (16%) 188 and Synergistetes (3%), while in EFB-POME co-compost the phyla were Bacteroidetes (15%), 189 Firmicutes (12%), Proteobacteria (7%) and Actinobacteria (3%). According to Chandna et al. (2013), 190 the number of microbial species in early stages of composting depends on the initial substrate used and 191 the prevailing environmental conditions during the composting process, especially the temperature. 192 193 Composting can be divided into several phases based on the temperature. These include mesophilic,

194 thermophilic and maturing phases, during which different bacterial populations thrive. Chandna et al. (2013) found that Firmicutes are abundant during mesophilic phase and decrease in the maturation 195 phase, while Actinobacteria are stable during mesophilic and thermophilic phases. Neher et al. (2013) 196 found Bacteroidetes dominated at the end of the thermophilic phase, whereas Proteobacteria was 197 198 dominant after the thermophilic phase. The predominance of anaerobes in the compost sample are strongly suggestive of a secondary fermentation of the pile after collapse of all air spaces and exhaustion 199 200 of oxygen throughout the mass. The compost method was similar to that developed by Raabe at 201 Berkeley, which takes 18 days in a Meditteranean climate (Raabe 1981). After 84 days in the tropics, 202 the compost has further fermented. The 16S data for the EFB-POME compost is a glimpse of the 203 microbial structure of this mature compost post aerobic composting.

204 The major family that was observed in both samples was Anaerolinaceae, which represents 205 18% abundance in POME and 30% abundance in EFB-POME co-compost as shown in Fig.2B. These 206 OTUs represent a diversity of species, rather than a single dominant species. The other five major 207 families in POME were Syntrophaceae (9%), Syntrophomonadaceae (5%), observed 208 Porphyromonadaceae (5%), Tissierellaceae (3%), and Synergistaceae (3%), while in EFB-POME co-209 compost, the other major families were Porphyromonadaceae (7%), Lachnospiraceae (3%), 210 Helicobacteraceae (2%), Ruminococcacea (2%), and Tissierellaceae (2%).

The most abundant genus observed in POME was Syntrophus, which accounted for 9% of the 211 total gene fragments (Fig.2C). The remaining genus observed in POME include Syntrophomonas (5%), 212 Sedimentibacter (3%), Gracilibacter (3%), Solibacillus (3%). Most of the bacteria found in POME 213 digester sludge were anaerobic as methanogensis is an anaerobic process. In a previous study by 214 Krishnan et al. (2017), Parabacteroides, Levilinea, Smithella, Prolixibacter and Bellilinea were 215 identified as the common genera found in POME. Bellilinea was also found in DNA extracts from 216 217 POME in the present study. However, this genus represented a small majority, which only accounted for 1% of the community. In the EFB-POME co-compost, on the other hand, Coprococcus was 218 identified as the most common genera accounting for 3% of the gene fragments, followed by 219 Sulfuricuvum (2%), Sedimenterbacter (2%) and Proteiniphilium (2%). Coprococcus are anaerobic 220 221 bacteria and a major bacterial taxa in the rumen microbiota of some ruminants (Jia et al. 2016). The

bacteria from the *Coprococcus* family are commonly involved in the degradation of cellulosic materials
(Moore et al. 2011) and can be found enriched in xylan based cultures (Jia et al. 2016) which are often
found in anaerobic cellulose digestion. Apart from these genera, *Steroidobacter, Nitriliruptor, Anaeomyxobacter, Filomicrobium* and *Truepera* were also found inside lignocellulose biomass
compost by Krishnan et al. (2017).

The overall population in compost is illustrated in Fig.3A. The most common phyla found in 227 228 the EFB-POME co-compost was Chloroflexi (53% of the total gene fragments) and this phyla was 229 represented 100% of the total Chloroflexi by Anaerolinaceae family. The second most abundant phyla 230 was Bacteroidetes, which accounted for 15% of the total 16S rRNA gene fragments. The major family 231 in Bacteroidetes was Porphyromonadaceae comprising 47.5% of the total Bacteroidetes. Petrimonas 232 and Proteiniphilum were identified which represent 9.2% and 32.2% of the total Porphyromonadaceae 233 gene fragments. The remaining family in the Bacteroidetes was unclassified with 52.5% of the total 234 Bacteroidetes gene fragments. The third major phyla in compost was Firmicutes accounting for 12% of 235 the total gene fragments. Fig.3B shows that the major order was Clostridiales, which amounts to 89% 236 of the total Firmicutes gene fragments. The remaining were Bacillales and unclassified Firmicutes with 237 2.7% and 8.3%, respectively. Clostridiales were represented commonly by the family of 238 Lachnospiraceae (24.6% of the total Clostridiales gene fragments) followed by Tissierellaceae (23.8%), Ruminoccoccaceae (23%), Syntrophomonadaceae (7.7%), Gracilibacteraceae (3.8%), Christenellaceae 239 240 (2.3%) and Clostridiaceae (1.5%) as shown in Fig.3B.

The overall bacterial population of POME are shown in Fig.4A. Similar to EFB-POME co-241 compost, Cloroflexi was also identified as the dominant phyla (23% of the total gene fragments) which 242 was 100% represented (of the total Cloroflexi gene fragments) by the Anearolinaceae family. 243 Anearolinaceae dominates the population of POME and EFB-POME co-compost. Anearolinaceae is 244 anaerobic and involved in methanogenesis. This family of bacteria is indigenous in many environments 245 rich in oil and hydrocarbon (Liang et al. 2015) and associated with the anaerobic degradation of oil-246 related compounds (Sutton et al. 2013). Anaerolinaceae has been reported as the predominant species 247 248 isolated from anaerobic digester systems and has a fermentative metabolism, utilizing carbohydrates 249 and proteinaceous carbon sources under anaerobic conditions (McIlroy et al. 2017; Sun et al. 2016; 250 Yamada et al. 2006). The absence of the archaean methanogens in the data is due to the known poor ability of the standard 16S primers to amplify these organisms (Klindworth et al. 2013). As all known 251 methanogens are archaea, methanogenic archaea ecosystems are only served by specific 16S primers 252 such as S-D-Arch-0349-a-S-17 and S-D-Arch-0786-a-A-20 primer pair (Fischer et al. 2016). The 253 254 second common phyla identified in the POME population was Firmicutes with 12% of the total gene fragments (Fig.4B). The major order observed from this phylum was Clostridiales with 77.3% of the 255 total Firmicutes gene fragments. The family of Syntrophomonadaceae was the most dominant, 256 257 accounting for 31.7% of the total Clostridiales gene fragments. The remaining families observed were 258 Gracilibacteraceae (22.5%), Tissierellaceae (21.7%), Ruminococcaceae (5.7%), Clostridiaceae (5.7%) 259 and Lachnospiraceae (3.1%) as shown in Fig.4B. The family of bacteria found in Clostridiales were 260 similar to the community found in co-compost, with slight variations in abundances as many bacteria 261 in this family are thermotolerant and are to survive the composting process.

262

3.2 Comparative analysis of EFB-POME co-compost and POME bacterial communities

Sequence data sets retrieved from EFB-POME co-compost and POME digester sludge were 263 264 compared. Fig.5 compared the relative abundance of the 12 major genus represented in both EFB-POME compost and POME. There were fewer bacterial genera in POME than during the composting 265 266 process. The dominant bacteria in the compost were Syntrophobacter, Sulfuricuvum and Coprococcus. There is limited evidence that these bacteria are able to produce compost, and in fact are anaerobes. 267 268 These organisms likely represent the secondary fermentation of the compost, once the pile had collapsed and oxygen and easily metabolisable carbon had been exhausted. Their fermentative abilities are 269 270 directed to metabolites likely present after thermophilic composting of woody biomass. Bacteria that did not survive aerobic composting but were found in the POME sludge were Petrimonas, Syntrophus, 271 Treponema, Bellilinea, Solibacillus, Clostridium, Gracilibacter, Syntrophomonas, and Acholeplasma. 272 Most of these bacteria are anaerobes and facultative anaerobes as POME is an anaerobic digester 273 effluent. 274

In this study, *Sulfuricurvum* was identified as the predominant bacteria in the mature compost.
 Sulfuricurvum is chemolithoautotrophic and a sulphur-oxidizing bacterium, capable of thriving under
 microaerobic and anaerobic conditions (Kodama and Watanabe 2004). The condition inside the EFB-

POME compost is facultative anaerobic which is therefore, favourable for the growth of *Sulfuricurvum*. *Sulfuricurvum* has previously been identified in contaminated soil (Liu et al. 2015), river sediments (Liu et al. 2018), underground crude-oil storage (Kodama and Watanabe 2004) and wastewater sludge (Hatamoto et al. 2011). The presence of a chemolithoautotroph demonstrates how limited the nutrients were and how mature the compost was. Liu et al. (2015) reported that the abundance of *Sulfuricurvum* increases with higher moisture, since high moisture content is associated with low redox potential and anaerobic environments (Brockett et al. 2012).

285 Syntrophobacter was also found in a higher relative abundance in EFB-POME compost 286 compared to POME. Syntrophobacter has the ability to degrade propionate, which is usually isolated 287 from methanogenic ecosystems (Boone and Bryant 1980), characteristic of the POME sludge. In 288 anaerobic digestion, the acetogenesis stage is predominantly acetogenic bacteria such as 289 Syntrophobacter, which converts fermentation products with more than two carbon atoms, alcohols and 290 aromatics fatty acids into acetate and hydrogen (Kangle et al. 2012). In this stage, the bacteria convert 291 products from the first phase (hydrolysis) to butyric acid, propionic acid, ethanol, acetic acid, carbon 292 dioxide and hydrogen (Nalo et al. 2014).

293

3.3 Predicted functional metagenome profiles

294 Metagenome functional prediction was carried out using Phylogenetic Investigation of Communities by Reconstruction of Unobserved States (PICRUSt) analysis based on the Greengenes 295 296 16S rRNA database and KO. A bar graph was plotted to compare the abundance of the metabolic features between the two samples as shown in Fig.6. From the result of this study, energy metabolism 297 298 was found to be highly represented in POME and compost community. The subfunctions in energy metabolism included carbon fixation pathways in prokaryote, oxidative phosphorylation, nitrogen 299 300 metabolism, sulphur metabolism and methane metabolism. The proportion of sequences for the energy metabolism is higher in POME sample compared to compost, as the secondary fermentation of the 301 compost in anaerobic conditions is relatively energy limited. For the xenobiotics biodegradation and 302 metabolism, the subfunctions benzoates degradation, bisphenol degradation, drug metabolism by 303 cytochrome P450, naphthalene degradation and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon degradation were 304 305 observed to have higher sequence proportion in the compost community compared to the POME

306 community. These functional classes are explained by secondary fermentation of the remaining307 recalcitrant substrates in the now anoxic pile.

Carbohydrate metabolism such as nucleotide sugar, fructose and mannose, starch and sucrose 308 and butanoate were observed to be slightly higher in proportion in the POME community compared to 309 310 compost due to active anaerobic processing of the oil palm products in POME. The degradation of cellulose and hemicellulose during the composting process can produce carbohydrates (Toledo et al. 311 312 2017). Those compounds are easily degradable substances, which are preferentially degraded by aerobic 313 eubacteria. Carbohydrate metabolism plays an important role in degradation of hemicellulose and 314 cellulose during the composting process (Wei et al. 2018). Furthermore, amino acids are sources of 315 energy and carbon for bacterial metabolism produced throughout the composting process (López-González et al. 2015). Wu et al. (2017) suggest that a higher abundancy of bacteria with active amino 316 317 acid metabolism increases humic substance synthesis.

318 In order to determine the potential roles of microbial communities in the decomposition of plant polymers, carbon degradation enzymes were identified and their presence is illustrated in Fig.7. The 319 320 enzymes include genes encoding alpha-amylase, glucoamylase and neopullulanase for starch 321 degradation; beta-glucanase, endoglucanase, and beta-glucosidase for cellulose degradation; 322 arabinofuranosidase and xylanase for hemicelluloase degradation; and lastly, beta-hexosaminidase, chitinase, and peptidoglycan hydrolase involved in degradation of chitins derived from fungal 323 decomposition of the plant mass. Apart from that, genes related to chemotaxis was also more abundant 324 in the POME sample as this was a liquid culture. 325

Due to the poor amplification of archaean 16S sequences however, methanogenesis is only observed in a limited way in both samples. Limitations of 16S primers targeting the V3-V4 domains are clear in this study, where the primary fermentation was not observed. To overcome this limitation and obtain an unbiased view of the archaean diversity, shotgun metagenomics sequencing could be employed.

331

332 Conflict of interest

333 On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

334 References 1. Ahmad R, Jilani G, Arshad M, Zahir ZA, Khalid A (2007) Bio-conversion of organic wastes 335 for their recycling in agriculture: an overview of perspectives and prospects. Annals of 336 Microbiology 57 (4):471-479. doi:10.1007/bf03175343 337 338 2. Alessi AM, Bird SM, Bennett JP, Oates NC, Li Y, Dowle AA, Polikarpov I, Young JPW, McOueen-Mason SJ, Bruce NC (2017) Revealing the insoluble metasecretome of 339 340 lignocellulose-degrading microbial communities. Scientific Reports 7 (1):2356. 341 doi:10.1038/s41598-017-02506-5 342 3. Boone DR, Bryant MP (1980) Propionate-Degrading Bacterium, Syntrophobacter wolinii sp. 343 nov. gen. nov., from Methanogenic Ecosystems. Applied and environmental microbiology 40 344 (3):626-632 345 4. Brockett BFT, Prescott CE, Grayston SJ (2012) Soil moisture is the major factor influencing 346 microbial community structure and enzyme activities across seven biogeoclimatic zones in western Canada. 44 (1):9-20. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.09.003 347 348 5. Chan CS, Chan K-G, Tay Y-L, Chua Y-H, Goh KM (2015) Diversity of thermophiles in a 349 Malaysian hot spring determined using 16S rRNA and shotgun metagenome sequencing. 350 Frontiers in Microbiology 6:177. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.00177 6. Chandna P, Nain L, Singh S, Kuhad RC (2013) Assessment of bacterial diversity during 351 352 composting of agricultural byproducts. BMC Microbiology 13 (1):99. doi:10.1186/1471-2180-13-99 353 7. Chew YM, Lye S, Md. Salleh M, Yahya A (2018) 16S rRNA metagenomic analysis of the 354 symbiotic community structures of bacteria in foregut, midgut, and hindgut of the wood-355 feeding termite Bulbitermes sp. Symbiosis 76 (2):187-197. doi:10.1007/s13199-018-0544-5 356 Costea PI, Zeller G, Sunagawa S, Pelletier E, Alberti A, Levenez F, Tramontano M, Driessen 357 8. M, Hercog R, Jung F-E, Kultima JR, Hayward MR, Coelho LP, Allen-Vercoe E, Bertrand L, 358 Blaut M, Brown JRM, Carton T, Cools-Portier S, Daigneault M, Derrien M, Druesne A, de 359 Vos WM, Finlay BB, Flint HJ, Guarner F, Hattori M, Heilig H, Luna RA, van Hylckama 360 361 Vlieg J, Junick J, Klymiuk I, Langella P, Le Chatelier E, Mai V, Manichanh C, Martin JC,

362		Mery C, Morita H, O'Toole PW, Orvain C, Patil KR, Penders J, Persson S, Pons N, Popova
363		M, Salonen A, Saulnier D, Scott KP, Singh B, Slezak K, Veiga P, Versalovic J, Zhao L,
364		Zoetendal EG, Ehrlich SD, Dore J, Bork P (2017) Towards standards for human fecal sample
365		processing in metagenomic studies. Nature Biotechnology 35:1069. doi:10.1038/nbt.3960
366		https://www.nature.com/articles/nbt.3960#supplementary-information
367	9.	Escobar-Zepeda A, Vera-Ponce de León A, Sanchez-Flores A (2015) The Road to
368		Metagenomics: From Microbiology to DNA Sequencing Technologies and Bioinformatics.
369		Frontiers in Genetics 6 (348). doi:10.3389/fgene.2015.00348
370	10.	Fernández-Arrojo L, Guazzaroni M-E, López-Cortés N, Beloqui A, Ferrer M (2010)
371		Metagenomic era for biocatalyst identification. Current Opinion in Biotechnology 21 (6):725-
372		733. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2010.09.006
373	11.	Fischer MA, Güllert S, Neulinger SC, Streit WR, Schmitz RA (2016) Evaluation of 16S
374		rRNA Gene Primer Pairs for Monitoring Microbial Community Structures Showed High
375		Reproducibility within and Low Comparability between Datasets Generated with Multiple
376		Archaeal and Bacterial Primer Pairs. Frontiers in microbiology 7:1297-1297.
377		doi:10.3389/fmicb.2016.01297
378	12.	Griffiths RI, Whiteley AS, O'Donnell AG, Bailey MJ (2000) Rapid Method for Coextraction
379		of DNA and RNA from Natural Environments for Analysis of Ribosomal DNA- and rRNA-
380		Based Microbial Community Composition. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 66
381		(12):5488-5491
382	13.	Handelsman J (2004) Metagenomics: application of genomics to uncultured microorganisms.
383		Microbiology and molecular biology reviews 68 (4):669-685
384	14.	Hatamoto M, Miyauchi T, Kindaichi T, Ozaki N, Ohashi A (2011) Dissolved methane
385		oxidation and competition for oxygen in down-flow hanging sponge reactor for post-
386		treatment of anaerobic wastewater treatment. Bioresource technology 102 (22):10299-10304.
387		doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.08.099

- Ito T, Sekizuka T, Kishi N, Yamashita A, Kuroda M (2018) Conventional culture methods
 with commercially available media unveil the presence of novel culturable bacteria. Gut
 Microbes:1-15. doi:10.1080/19490976.2018.1491265
- 391 16. Jia Y, Wilkins D, Lu H, Cai M, Lee PKH (2016) Long-Term Enrichment on Cellulose or
- 392 Xylan Causes Functional and Taxonomic Convergence of Microbial Communities from
- Anaerobic Digesters. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 82 (5):1519-1529.
- doi:10.1128/aem.03360-15
- 395 17. Kangle K, Kore S, Kore V, Kulkarni G (2012) Recent trends in anaerobic codigestion: a
 396 review. Universal Journal of Environmental Research and Technology 2 (4):210-219
- 397 18. Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T, Peplies J, Quast C, Horn M, Glöckner FO (2013)
- 398 Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-
- 399 generation sequencing-based diversity studies. Nucleic Acids Research 41 (1):e1-e1.
- 400 doi:10.1093/nar/gks808
- 401 19. Kodama Y, Watanabe K (2004) Sulfuricurvum kujiense gen. nov., sp. nov., a facultatively
 402 anaerobic, chemolithoautotrophic, sulfur-oxidizing bacterium isolated from an underground
 403 crude-oil storage cavity. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology
 404 54 (6):2297-2300. doi:doi:10.1099/ijs.0.63243-0
- 405 20. Krishnan Y, Bong CPC, Azman NF, Zakaria Z, Othman NA, Abdullah N, Ho CS, Lee CT,
- 406 Hansen SB, Hara H (2017) Co-composting of palm empty fruit bunch and palm oil mill
- 407 effluent: Microbial diversity and potential mitigation of greenhouse gas emission. Journal of
- 408 Cleaner Production 146:94-100. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.08.118
- 409 21. Langille MGI, Zaneveld J, Caporaso JG, McDonald D, Knights D, Reyes JA, Clemente JC,
- 410 Burkepile DE, Vega Thurber RL, Knight R, Beiko RG, Huttenhower C (2013) Predictive
- 411 functional profiling of microbial communities using 16S rRNA marker gene sequences.
- 412 Nature Biotechnology 31:814. doi:10.1038/nbt.2676
- 413 https://www.nature.com/articles/nbt.2676#supplementary-information
- 414 22. Liang B, Wang L-Y, Mbadinga SM, Liu J-F, Yang S-Z, Gu J-D, Mu B-Z (2015)
- 415 Anaerolineaceae and Methanosaeta turned to be the dominant microorganisms in alkanes-

416 dependent methanogenic culture after long-term of incubation. AMB Express 5 (1):117-117.

417 doi:10.1186/s13568-015-0117-4

- 418 23. Liu J, Chen X, Shu H-y, Lin X-r, Zhou Q-x, Bramryd T, Shu W-s, Huang L-n (2018)
- 419 Microbial community structure and function in sediments from e-waste contaminated rivers at
- 420 Guiyu area of China. Environmental Pollution 235:171-179.
- 421 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.12.008
- 422 24. Liu J, He X-x, Lin X-r, Chen W-c, Zhou Q-x, Shu W-s, Huang L-n (2015) Ecological Effects
- 423 of Combined Pollution Associated with E-Waste Recycling on the Composition and Diversity
- 424 of Soil Microbial Communities. Environmental Science & Technology 49 (11):6438-6447.
- 425 doi:10.1021/es5049804
- 426 25. López-González JA, Suárez-Estrella F, Vargas-García MC, López MJ, Jurado MM, Moreno J
- 427 (2015) Dynamics of bacterial microbiota during lignocellulosic waste composting: Studies
- 428 upon its structure, functionality and biodiversity. Bioresource technology 175:406-416.

429 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.10.123

- 430 26. McDonald D, Price MN, Goodrich J, Nawrocki EP, DeSantis TZ, Probst A, Andersen GL,
- 431 Knight R, Hugenholtz P (2012) An improved Greengenes taxonomy with explicit ranks for
- 432 ecological and evolutionary analyses of bacteria and archaea. The ISME journal 6 (3):610-
- 433 618. doi:10.1038/ismej.2011.139
- 434 27. McIlroy SJ, Kirkegaard RH, Dueholm MS, Fernando E, Karst SM, Albertsen M, Nielsen PH
- 435 (2017) Culture-Independent Analyses Reveal Novel Anaerolineaceae as Abundant Primary
- 436 Fermenters in Anaerobic Digesters Treating Waste Activated Sludge. Frontiers in
- 437 Microbiology 8 (1134). doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.01134
- 438 28. Moore AM, Munck C, Sommer MOA, Dantas G (2011) Functional metagenomic
- 439 investigations of the human intestinal microbiota. Frontiers in microbiology 2:188-188.
- doi:10.3389/fmicb.2011.00188
- 441 29. MPOB MPOB (2017) Malaysian Oil Palm Industry Performance 2016 and Prospects for
- 442 2017. Malaysian Palm Oil Board (MPOB). Ministry of Plantation Industries & Commodities
- 443 (MPIC).

- 444 30. Nalo T, Tasing K, Kumar S, Bharti A (2014) Anaerobic digestion of municipal solid waste: a critical analysis. Int J Innov Res Sci Eng Technol 3 (4):2347-6710 445 31. Neher DA, Weicht TR, Bates ST, Leff JW, Fierer N (2013) Changes in Bacterial and Fungal 446 Communities across Compost Recipes, Preparation Methods, and Composting Times. PLOS 447 448 ONE 8 (11):e79512. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079512 32. Parks DH, Tyson GW, Hugenholtz P, Beiko RG (2014) STAMP: statistical analysis of 449 taxonomic and functional profiles. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 30 (21):3123-3124. 450 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu494 451 452 33. Raabe RD (1981) The Rapid Composting Method. Cooperative Extension, University of California, 453 454 34. Ryckeboer J, Mergaert J, Vaes K, Klammer S, De Clercq D, Coosemans J, Insam H, Swings J 455 (2003) A survey of bacteria and fungi occurring during composting and self-heating 456 processes, vol 53. 457 35. Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, Lesniewski RA, 458 Oakley BB, Parks DH, Robinson CJ, Sahl JW, Stres B, Thallinger GG, Van Horn DJ, Weber CF (2009) Introducing mothur: Open-Source, Platform-Independent, Community-Supported 459 460 Software for Describing and Comparing Microbial Communities. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 75 (23):7537-7541. doi:10.1128/AEM.01541-09 461 462 36. Sharpton TJ (2014) An introduction to the analysis of shotgun metagenomic data. Frontiers in Plant Science 5 (209). doi:10.3389/fpls.2014.00209 463
- 37. Siddiquee S, Shafawati SN, Naher L (2017) Effective composting of empty fruit bunches
 using potential Trichoderma strains. Biotechnology Reports 13:1-7.

466 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.btre.2016.11.001

38. Sulaiman F, Abdullah N, Gerhauser H, Shariff A (2011) An outlook of Malaysian energy, oil
palm industry and its utilization of wastes as useful resources. Biomass and Bioenergy 35
(9):3775-3786

470 39. Sun L, Toyonaga M, Ohashi A, Matsuura N, Tourlousse DM, Meng X-Y, Tamaki H, Hanada

471 S, Cruz R, Yamaguchi T, Sekiguchi Y (2016) Isolation and characterization of Flexilinea

- 472 flocculi gen. nov., sp. nov., a filamentous, anaerobic bacterium belonging to the class Anaerolineae in the phylum Chloroflexi. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary 473 Microbiology 66 (2):988-996. doi:doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.000822 474 40. Sutton NB, Maphosa F, Morillo JA, Abu Al-Soud W, Langenhoff AAM, Grotenhuis T, 475 476 Rijnaarts HHM, Smidt H (2013) Impact of long-term diesel contamination on soil microbial community structure. Applied and environmental microbiology 79 (2):619-630. 477 478 doi:10.1128/AEM.02747-12 Toledo M, Gutiérrez MC, Siles JA, García-Olmo J, Martín MA (2017) Chemometric analysis 479 41. and NIR spectroscopy to evaluate odorous impact during the composting of different raw 480 481 materials. Journal of Cleaner Production 167:154-162. 482 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.08.163 483 42. Verma D, Satyanarayana T (2011) An Improved Protocol for DNA Extraction from Alkaline 484 Soil and Sediment Samples for Constructing Metagenomic Libraries. Applied Biochemistry 485 and Biotechnology 165 (2):454. doi:10.1007/s12010-011-9264-5 486 43. Vishan I, Sivaprakasam S, Kalamdhad A (2017) Isolation and identification of bacteria from 487 rotary drum compost of water hyacinth. International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste 488 in Agriculture 6 (3):245-253. doi:10.1007/s40093-017-0172-8 44. Wang C, Dong D, Wang H, Müller K, Qin Y, Wang H, Wu W (2016) Metagenomic analysis 489 490 of microbial consortia enriched from compost: new insights into the role of Actinobacteria in lignocellulose decomposition. Biotechnology for Biofuels 9:22. doi:10.1186/s13068-016-491 492 0440-2 Wei H, Wang L, Hassan M, Xie B (2018) Succession of the functional microbial communities 493 45. and the metabolic functions in maize straw composting process. Bioresource technology 494 256:333-341. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.02.050 495 Wu J, Zhao Y, Qi H, Zhao X, Yang T, Du Y, Zhang H, Wei Z (2017) Identifying the key 496 46. factors that affect the formation of humic substance during different materials composting. 497
- 498 Bioresource technology 244:1193-1196. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.08.100

499	47.	Yamada T, Sekiguchi Y, Hanada S, Imachi H, Ohashi A, Harada H, Kamagata Y (2006)
500		Anaerolinea thermolimosa sp. nov., Levilinea saccharolytica gen. nov., sp. nov. and
501		Leptolinea tardivitalis gen. nov., sp. nov., novel filamentous anaerobes, and description of the
502		new classes Anaerolineae classis nov. and Caldilineae classis nov. in the bacterial phylum
503		Chloroflexi. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 56 (6):1331-
504		1340. doi:doi:10.1099/ijs.0.64169-0
505	48.	Yan X, Luo X, Zhao M (2016) Metagenomic analysis of microbial community in uranium-
506		contaminated soil. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 100 (1):299-310.
507		doi:10.1007/s00253-015-7003-5
508		
509		
510		
511		

List of figures captions:

Fig. 1 Rarefaction curve for a dissimilarity of 3% from sample POME and compost

Fig. 2 Relative abundance of prokaryotes 16S rRNA sequences in **(A)** phyla **(B)** family level and **(C)** genera level for POME and compost samples

Fig. 3 Taxonomic affiliation of 16S rRNA metagenomics fragment. The phylogenetic distribution for **(A)** the overall population and **(B)** Firmicutes in compost

Fig. 4 Taxonomic affiliation of 16S rRNA metagenomics fragment. The phylogenetic distribution for **(A)** the overall population and **(B)** Firmicutes in POME

Fig. 5 Heatmap visualizing the z-score distribution of the major genera among POME and compost samples

Fig. 6 Proportion of sequences for the predicted metabolic profile in POME and mature compost

Fig. 7 Number of sequences for the predicted enzymes involved in carbon (polysaccharides) degradation

List of figures:



Fig. 1





Fig. 3



Fig. 4



Fig.5







