

This is a repository copy of *Uptake of antibiotics and their toxicity to lettuce following routine irrigation with contaminated water in different soil types*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/150985/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Sallach, Jonathan Brett orcid.org/0000-0003-4588-3364, Bartelt-Hunt, Shannon L., Snow, Daniel D. et al. (2 more authors) (2018) Uptake of antibiotics and their toxicity to lettuce following routine irrigation with contaminated water in different soil types. Environmental Engineering Science. pp. 887-896. ISSN: 1557-9018

https://doi.org/10.1089/ees.2017.0376

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



- 1 Uptake of antibiotics and their toxicity to lettuce following routine irrigation with
- 2 contaminated water in soils with increasing sand content
- 3 J. Brett Sallach^{a,1,*}, Shannon L. Bartelt-Hunt^a, Daniel D. Snow^{b,c}, Xu Li^a, and Laurie Hodges^d
- ^aDepartment of Civil Engineering, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, PO BOX 886105, Lincoln,
- 5 NE, 68588-6105 USA
- ⁶ Nebraska Water Center, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, 202 Water Sciences Laboratory Bldg.
- 7 Lincoln, NE, 68583-0844 USA
- 8 °School of Natural Resources, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, 3310 Holdrege St. Lincoln, NE,
- 9 68583-0961 USA
- dDepartment of Agronomy & Horticulture, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, 202 Keim Hall,
- 11 Lincoln, NE, 68583-0915 USA
- *corresponding author: brett.sallach@york.ac.uk, telephone 01904 322999
- 13 Present Address
- ¹Environment Department, University of York, Wentworth Way, Heslington, York YO10 5NG,
- 15 UK
- 16 Key Words
- 17 Antibiotic, uptake, toxicity, soil texture, water reuse
- 18 Running Title
- 19 Antibiotic uptake and toxicity to lettuce

2

Abstract

3 To address the issue of global freshwater shortages, wastewater has become an increasingly valuable alternative for crop irrigation. As a result, trace levels of emerging contaminants, 4 5 including antibiotics, may occur in water used for food production. The objective of this study 6 was to investigate how soil texture affected the availability and uptake of three chemically 7 diverse antibiotics (lincomycin, oxytetracycline, and sulfamethoxazole) by lettuce grown in soils comprised of a silt clay and increasing percentages of sand. Lettuce was irrigated routinely with 8 9 antibiotic amended water (1 mg/L) from seed germination through the first harvest (40 days), switched to control water, and fate monitored at day 45 and 50. Sulfamethoxazole was the only 10 11 compound where tissue concentrations increased with increasing sand concentrations to 24.7 ng/g fresh weight (FW). Lincomycin was most readily accumulated with increasing 12 concentrations observed at the second harvest in both the loam (68.3 ng/g FW) and sandy soils 13 14 (66.6 ng/g FW). Apparent toxicity of the antibiotic mixture resulted in decreasing plant mass (37-72 %) with increasing sand content. Results from this study show that soil texture impacts 15 plant growth, contaminant transport, plant uptake, and toxic effects, which all contribute to, 16 observed concentrations in edible plant portions. 17

18

19

20

Introduction

1

2 Wastewater is an increasingly valuable resource as a potential alternative to freshwater owing to the fact that population growth and climate change have depleted water supplies 3 4 necessary for crop irrigation (Boxall, 2010; Monteiro and Boxall, 2010; Michael et al., 2013). The growing use of wastewater for crop irrigation coupled with increasing use of 5 6 pharmaceuticals, such as antibiotics, increases the potential for agroecosystem contamination by 7 these emerging contaminants (Toze, 2006; Du and Liu, 2012; Williams-Nguyen et al., 2016). 8 While the practice of wastewater reuse for agriculture has long been implemented in Israel, Jordan, Peru, and Saudi Arabia (WHO, 1989; Azov and Shelef, 1991), its increasing acceptance 9 10 is demonstrated by recent studies evaluating its viability in other regions including India (Salidas et al., 2015), Tanzania (Kahila et al., 2014), and Vietnam (Trinh et al., 2013). Typically, some 11 form of treatment is recommended prior to use of recycled wastewater; however, it has been 12 13 estimated that 20 million hectares of agricultural land is irrigated directly with untreated 14 wastewater (WHO, 2006). In some cities in developing countries, up to 60 % of vegetables consumed locally have been grown with untreated wastewater which was valued significantly 15 higher than traditional sources of irrigation water by area farmers (Ensick and vander Hoek, 16 2007). Once introduced into the agroecosystem, pharmaceutical contaminants present in 17 18 wastewater are capable of transport and uptake into plants (Thiele-Bruhn, 2003; Fatta-Kassinos et al., 2011; Pan and Chu, 2017; Sallach et al., 2015). 19 In the case of treated wastewater recycling, treatment technologies are not entirely 20 21 effective for removal of these chemicals. The efficacy in removal of antibiotics from wastewater in the treatment process is dependent on physicochemical properties, which vary considerably 22 between antibiotic compounds. The result is a range of removal efficiencies from 4 % for 23

oxytetracycline to 100 % for sulfadimethazine (Verlicchi et al., 2012). However, one of the 1 primary advantages in wastewater reuse is the recycling of nutrients, otherwise removed, with 2 great expense, in the treatment process (Duran-Alvarez and Jimenez-Cisneros, 2014). Life cycle 3 assessment studies have evaluated the use of wastewater management strategies that include the 4 5 separation and application of toilet fractions, a source of pharmaceutical contamination in raw 6 municipal wastewater, to agricultural applications with minimal treatment (Spangberg et al., 2014). Furthermore, management practices associated with concentrated animal feeding 7 operations (CAFOs) often involve the application of highly contaminated wash and runoff water 8 9 to agricultural lands. While some regulation exists regarding treatment requirements necessary for the reuse of wastewater, including recent EU regulations on the topic (European 10 Commission. 2016), they have traditionally focused on nutrient management rather than 11 contaminant control, with very limited consideration of emerging contaminants including 12 antibiotics and resulting antibiotic resistance (Paranychianakis et al., 2015). As a result, 13 14 agricultural wastewater reuse provides an additional pathway for antibiotics and other pharmaceutical contaminants to move within the agroecosystem (Bradford et al., 2008). 15

The combination of direct irrigation with untreated wastewater, insufficient management of agricultural wastewater, and the potential for nutrient reuse in municipal sourced wastewater may lead to increased exposure of pharmaceutical contamination, greater than the levels typically observed in wastewater treatment effluent. For example, antibiotics in raw agricultural wastewater have been detected at mg/L levels (Zilles *et al.*, 2005, Bartelt-Hunt *et al.*, 2011), with concentrations as high as 20 mg/L in wastewater lagoons (Peak *et al.*, 2007).

Hydroponic studies, where plants are exposed to antibiotics in a nutrient solution, have been conducted to characterize the mechanisms of root uptake and translocation of compounds in

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- staple vegetables (Chuang et al., 2015; Herklotz et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2013).
- 2 Incorporating soil-compound interactions and bioavailability, uptake from spiked soil regimes
- 3 has also been investigated (Boxall et al., 2006; Hawker et al., 2013; Carter et al., 2014, Chung et
- 4 al., 2017). Uptake resulting from other known exposure routes including the land application of
- 5 manure (Kumar et al., 2005; Dolliver et al., 2007; Kang et al., 2013) and municipal biosolids
- 6 (Wu et al., 2010; Holling et al., 2012; Sabourin et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2015), as well as
- 7 irrigation with contaminated water at concentrations representing various degrees of treatment
- 8 (Azanu et al., 2016; Jones-Lepp et al., 2010; Tanoue et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2013; Goldstein et
- 9 al., 2014; Sallach et al., 2015) have also been investigated for a number of pharmaceutical
- 10 contaminants and antibiotic compounds.
 - The degree of uptake is dependent upon environmental factors, properties of the compounds, and the plants themselves (Briggs *et al.*, 1982; Wu *et al.*, 2013; Carter *et al.*, 2014; Goldstein *et al.*, 2014). Of the studies that have investigated uptake via soil systems, most have investigated only a single soil type, with a few exceptions (Kang *et al.*, 2013; Goldstein *et al.*, 2014; Zhang *et al.*, 2015). Of the few studies that have investigated the impact of soil properties on plant uptake, conclusions have been inconsistent. For example, in two studies investigating the uptake of sulfamethoxazole, increased (Kang *et al.*, 2013) and decreased (Goldstein *et al.*,

2014) uptake was attributed to higher clay contents of the respective soils in each study.

The aim of this study was to investigate the soil sorption behavior and corresponding uptake of chemically diverse antibiotics by leaf lettuce, *Lactuca sativa* cv. Greenstar, to establish relationships between soil texture and antibiotic uptake at concentrations of 1 mg/L representing the reuse of untreated wastewater. The hypothesis is that an increasing proportion of sand compared to clay in soil would increase the bioavailability and subsequent uptake of antibiotics

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- by lettuce. Batch sorption experiments with three antibiotics (lincomycin, oxytetracycline and
- 2 sulfamethoxazole) individually and as a mixture were conducted to determine soil-water
- 3 partitioning coefficients (K_d). Unlike a previous study, where contaminants were inoculated in a
- 4 single irrigation event (Zhang et al. 2015), in the current study lettuce grown in three soils of
- 5 varying textures were exposed to the antibiotics via irrigation water routinely throughout the 40
- 6 day growth period under greenhouse conditions. Analysis of lettuce shoots, and soil collected
- 7 from the top and bottom of the soil profile were used to ascertain relationships between sorption
- 8 and accumulation/translocation to the edible plant portions. In addition, after the first lettuce
- 9 harvest, irrigation with contaminated water was replaced with clean dechlorinated water and a
- second and third harvest was conducted 5 and 10 days later to track the fate and mobility of each
- compound in the soil-plant system.

Materials and Methods

- 13 *Chemicals and Reagents.*
- Lincomycin, roxithromycin, doxycycline hyclate, and demeclocycline hydrochloride
- were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Sulfamethoxazole and oxytetracycline
- were obtained from MP Biomedicals, LLC (Solon, OH). ¹³C₆-Sulfamethazine was purchased
- 17 from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories (Andover, MA). Standard stock solutions were prepared
- with HPLC grade methanol and stored dark at -20°C. Surrogate and internal standard spiking
- solutions were prepared in methanol at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln (UNL) Water
- Sciences Laboratory. Calibration standards $(0.1 5 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{L})$ were prepared prior to each analysis
- in 3:1 (v:v) solution of Nanopure water (Barnstead, Dubuque, IA) and methanol.
- 22 Batch Sorption Study.

1 For each soil, duplicate batch sorption reactors were prepared for each compound individually as well as together as a mixture. For lincomycin and sulfamethoxazole, 5 g of soil 2 was combined with 25 mL of water with antibiotic concentrations of 10, 50, 100, 500, and 1,000 3 µg/L in 50 mL polypropelene tubes. A soil to water ratio of 0.5 g in 40 mL water was used for 4 5 oxytetracycline at concentrations of 100, 500, 1000, 1500, 5000 µg/L. Reactors containing a 6 mixture of all three antibiotics were prepared with the same concentrations and soil to water ratio as lincomycin and sulfamethoxazole. To provide the most accurate comparison of greenhouse 7 8 experimental conditions, de-chlorinated water was taken from the greenhouse and, along with 9 soil, was sterilized at 125°C and 15 psi. Soil and water were then mixed and allowed to equilibrate for 24 hrs at 20°C prior to spiking with antibiotics. Concentrations in eluent solution 10 11 were measured using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis. Additional details and validation are provided in Supplementary Material. 12 Greenhouse Study. 13 Three soils were prepared by mixing coarse sand and Sharpsburg silt clay at 75:25, 50:50 and 14 25:75 ratios by weight. The resulting soil properties were characterized at Midwest Laboratories 15 (Omaha, NE) and reported in Table 1. Soils were classified as sand, sandy loam, and loam. 16 17 Vegetable production flats, comprised of six 17-cm x 12-cm x 7-cm pots, were prepared in triplicate for each soil type. Each flat represented a single treatment unit. An additional flat was 18 19 prepared for each soil type for control samples with no antibiotic exposure. Seeds of a leafy 20 lettuce, Lactuca sativum cv. Greenstar, were planted in each soil type at an initial density of 8 seeds per pot. Upon germination, lettuce was thinned to 4 plants per pot. A final thinning, to two 21 22 plants per pot, took place upon the emergence of the plumule and first true leaves. Plants were grown in a greenhouse with temperature controlled at 15-18°C and 16 h of daily light. 23

Flats were sub-irrigated with 2 L of water to stimulate germination followed by 1 L watering events at the first indication of leaf wilting throughout the growth cycle. Sub-irrigation was conducted to simulate various types of furrow irrigation. Amended irrigation water was prepared by spiking dechlorinated tap water with the lincomycin, oxytetracycline, and sulfamethoxazole at a final concentration of 1 mg/L. Antibiotic spiking solutions were prepared weekly and stored frozen at -20°C, and amended irrigation water was prepared fresh, immediately before use for all irrigation events. Control flats were irrigated with the same volume of dechlorinated water with no antibiotic amendment. Treatment and control flats were ordered randomly on greenhouse benches and rotated at each watering to reduce biases related to variations in greenhouse microclimates and samples of soil and plant tissues were taken in triplicate at each harvest.

After 40 days, a single pot from each flat was randomly selected for harvest. Remaining lettuce continued to grow, however, antibiotics were not added to the irrigation water after the first harvest. A second and third harvest of lettuce and soil were collected at 45 and 50 days respectively. At all harvests, lettuce plants were cut at the cotyledonary node, just above the soil surface. Plant material was weighed, rinsed, and blotted dry prior to storage in plastic sample bags. Soil was carefully removed from the pot and the top and bottom 1.5 cm of the soil profile was collected separately in a sample storage bag for analysis. A subset of top and bottom soil was used to determine moisture content. Collected samples were immediately taken to the UNL Water Science Laboratory for further processing. A diagram detailing the subirrigation method as well as soil and lettuce harvesting is provided in the supplementary material (Supplementary Fig. S2).

- 1 Lettuce and Soil Extraction. Antibiotics were solvent extracted from lettuce and quantified by
- 2 LC-MS/MS following the method described in previous studies (Zhang et al., 2015, Sallach et
- 3 al., 2016), and soil extraction followed a two-step organic solvent aqueous extraction from
- 4 methods that are also described in previous work (Sallach et al., 2015). Additional details of the
- 5 extraction and analytical methods are provided in the Supplementary Material. Concentrations
- 6 are reported on a fresh weight (FW) basis, as moisture content in lettuce tissues were not
- 7 influenced by soil type or harvest date.
- 8 Data Analysis.
- 9 Statistical analysis was performed using Graphpad Prism V6 (Graphpad Software, Inc., La Jolla,
- 10 CA, USA) using 2-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparisons test to determine
- 11 significance.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Results and Discussion

13 *Uptake as a function of soil texture.*

Measured concentrations in lettuce and soils were evaluated as a function of soil texture and time. Sulfamethoxazole was the only compound that followed the hypothesis that increased percentage of sand in the soil mixture would result in increased bioavailability and subsequent uptake in the lettuce shoots when exposed to the antibiotic mixture in all irrigation events at the time of the first harvest (Figure 1). The concentration of sulfamethoxazole in lettuce grown in sand (25 ng/g FW) was greater than that grown in sandy loam (8.1 ng/g FW) or loam (3.3 ng/g FW). Similar to our previous work in a different soil (Sallach *et al.*, 2015), lincomycin was detected at the highest concentration of the three compounds in all three soils. The higher uptake of lincomycin results from its ionic speciation at the soil pH range in the current study (7.1-7.4).

- Lincomycin, with a p K_a of 7.6) existed in its cationic and neutral species. Sulfamethoxazole (1.6,
- 2 5.7) and oxytetracycline (3.57, 7.49, and 9.44) were dominated by their anionic and zwitterionic
- 3 forms. Uptake of positively charged ions has been demonstrated to be higher than other charged
- 4 organic ions (Goldstein et al., 2014). Unlike sulfamethoxazole, plant concentrations of
- 5 lincomycin did not increase with increasing sand content in the soil. The highest concentration at
- 6 the first harvest (40 days) was in the lettuce grown in the sandy loam soil (58 ng/g FW).
- 7 Oxytetracycline concentrations were also highest in the lettuce grown in the sandy loam soil
- 8 (18.3 ng/g FW).

- As shown in Table 2, leaf bioconcentration factors (BCFs) were determined by dividing the concentration in the lettuce leaves by the average concentration measured in the soil (Figure 2). BCFs represent an uptake efficiency that incorporates translocation from roots to the edible lettuce portion of the plant. BCFs for lincomycin and oxytetracycline are similar in both the loam and sandy soils with values ranging from 0.023-0.028. Increased uptake efficiency was observed for both compounds in lettuce grown in the sandy loam soil resulting in greater BCFs of 0.076 for lincomycin and 0.054 for oxytetracycline. Unlike the other two compounds, sulfamethoxazole uptake efficiency increased with increasing sand soil content from a low of 0.010 in loam to a high of 0.111 in the sand soil. Sulfamethoxazole BCFs determined in this study are consistent with BCF values reported in hydroponic systems where uptake and translocation were also found to be low (Herklotz *et al.*, 2010; Wu *et al.*, 2013).
- To add further insight into the influence of soil texture on the mobility of these antibiotics, batch sorption experiments for each compound in each soil were performed. Batch sorption experiments of the three compounds as a mixture also were conducted to replicate conditions in the greenhouse trial. The resulting isotherms are provided in the supplementary

- 1 information (Supplementary Fig. S4) while a summary of soil partitioning coefficients (K_d) and
- 2 R² values of the linear regressions are shown in Table 2. As a single solute, oxytetracycline was
- 3 most influenced by soil texture with K_d values of 1107, 485, and 260 L/Kg in loam, sandy loam,
- 4 and sand respectively. Lincomycin sorption was highest in the loam soil with a K_d of 10 L/Kg,
- 5 but was slightly lower in sandy loam compared to the sand at 5.5 and 5.9 L/Kg respectively.
- 6 Sulfamethoxazole sorption to soils was not measureable at low concentrations (10-100 ng/mL) in
- 7 any of the soils (Supplementary Fig. S4), with all of the compound accounted for in solution,
- 8 which is supported by the findings of Huang and Weber (1998) who found that aqueous phase
- 9 concentrations within two orders of magnitude in difference could increase the time to reach
- sorption equilibrium from a few hours for higher concentrations to several months at low
- 11 residual solution phase concentrations. Surprisingly, sulfamethoxazole at the higher solution
- concentrations showed no difference in K_d value between the three soils. When all compounds
- were present at the same concentrations in the multi-solute isotherms, the range of K_d values for
- lincomycin increased to 3.9-15.3 L/Kg where the least amount of sorption occurred in sandy
- loam and highest sorption in loam soils. An apparent decrease in sorption to both loam (1.9 L/Kg
- to 0.4 L/Kg) and sand (1.9 L/Kg to 1.0 L/Kg) soils occurred for sulfamethoxazole when all
- compounds were present in the mixture. However, reductions in R² values may indicate a
- deviation from linear sorption for sulfamethoxazole when in a mixture. For this reason,
- 19 Freundlich isotherms were also modelled to the data for mixtures of antibiotics (Table 2).
- 20 Generally, the two parameter model (K_F and n) better represented the mixture data and yielded
- 21 R^2 values ≥ 0.98 in all instances except for sulfamethoxazole in loam (R^2 =0.49). For
- 22 lincomycin, n values approaching one in all three soils, confirms linearity. Oxytetracycline was
- 23 not detected in solution, indicating that all of the compound present adsorbed to the soil. This

was not unexpected as the concentration range and soil-water ratio necessary to determine the individual oxytetracycline sorption isotherms were far greater than the other two compounds due to its highly sorptive behavior. These results show the influence of competitive sorption when compounds are present as a mixture. In practical applications, multiple compounds are likely to occur as mixtures and these results suggest that sorption behavior is likely to be impacted.

Overall, the confluence of data collected in this study shows that sorption is not the driving factor behind the accumulation of antibiotics in lettuce shoots. Even in the case of sulfamethoxazole, where increasing lettuce concentrations corresponded with increasing sand content, this behavior was not supported by the batch sorption isotherms that showed that changes in soil texture had no measurable effect on sulfamethoxazole sorption. However, the results do show that growth in a soil system, in general, does have a large impact on uptake trends compared to hydroponic systems. For example, Chuang et al., (2015) showed that uptake and translocation of oxytetracycline in lettuce grown hydroponically resulted in leaf concentrations twice that of lincomycin which were, again, twice as high as sulfamethoxazole. While similar, sulfamethoxazole in this study was found at low concentrations (3.3-24.7 ng/g FW), oxyetracycline leaf concentrations (11.3-18.4 ng/g FW) were lower than lincomycin concentrations (23.5-29.5 ng/g FW). This difference is partially explained by the high sorption partitioning of oxytetracycline, resulting from the dominant cation exchange mechanism for tetracycline compounds (Sassman and Lee, 2005), in all three soils which acts to reduce its mobility and corresponding bioavailability to the plant, factors not accounted for in hydroponic studies.

Partitioning coefficients did correlate strongly with the distribution of the antibiotics throughout the soil profile. Comparing the concentrations in the top and bottom of the soil profile

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 1 (Figure 2) shows that oxytetracycline, with highest K_d values in all three soils, remained mostly
- 2 in the bottom layer. In comparison, the compound with the lowest sorption and lowest K_d values
- 3 for all three soils was sulfamethoxazole which was detected at higher concentrations in the top
- 4 soil layer.
- 5 Fate in soil and lettuce.
- 6 After the first harvest, all remaining lettuce pots were irrigated with the control dechlorinated tap
- 7 water and samples were collected 5 (harvest 2) and 10 (harvest 3) days later. The leaf
- 8 concentration of lincomycin grown in the sandy loam soil decreased at both subsequent harvests
- 9 (Figure 1).Lettuce grown in the sand and loam soils showed highest concentrations detected at
- the second harvest. Even without additional amendment, the relatively high solubility of
- lincomcyin (13 g/L) likely allowed for desorption and resuspension into the uncontaminated pore
- water, making it available for uptake in the irrigation events following the first harvest.
- The lettuce concentrations of sulfamethoxazole in the sandy loam soil remained constant
- at all three harvests at around 8 ng/g FW. In the loam soil, the concentration increased slightly at
- each harvest while the opposite occurred in the sand soil where a decreasing concentration trend
- was observed.
- Oxytetracycline concentrations in lettuce were highest at the first harvest for all three
- soils. However, a sharp decrease in concentration was observed at harvest 2 before a slight
- increase in concentration at harvest 3. In fact, concentrations in lettuce harvested at 45 days were
- below the detection limit for a number of the replicates in all three soils (Figure 2).
- Oxytetracycline, even as a zwitterion, maintains a positively charged functional group and as a
- 22 results, cation exchange is more favorable than hydrophobic partitioning, which results in high

- sorption affinity (Sassman and Lee, 2005). High sorption and low solubility (0.022 g/L) limit its
- 2 ability to desorb and reincorporate into the uncontaminated irrigation water that was used
- 3 following the first harvest.

While the concentration of toxicants in edible plant portions is an important measure for 4 the understanding of human exposure of emerging contaminants, it is not enough to reveal all of 5 6 the behaviors of the dynamic soil-plant system over time. This is because the measure of 7 concentration is dependent upon both the rate of uptake of the contaminant as well as the rate of growth of the plant. Therefore, examining the total mass of accumulation, or net accumulation, 8 9 provides insight into the movement of the antibiotics with time. Net accumulation was calculated 10 by multiplying the contaminant concentrations in the lettuce plants by the average plant mass at the time of harvest (Figure 3). For both sulfamethoxazole and lincomycin, even in instances 11 when the concentration decreased, antibiotic uptake continued in the five days between harvest 1 12 13 and 2. This result highlights how increasing plant mass effectively dilutes contaminant 14 concentrations, an observation noted in a previous study (Sallach et al., 2015). Net lincomycin uptake continued to increase from harvest 2 to harvest 3 in the sand soils. However, in the loam 15 and sandy loam soil the total accumulated mass of lincomycin decreased from harvest 2 to 3. 16 This indicates that degradation of lincomycin occurred within the lettuce plant at a rate that 17 18 exceeded uptake. Degradation of sulfamethoxazole is also apparent in lettuce grown in the sand 19 soil where net accumulation decreased between harvest 2 and 3. While pharmaceutical degradation is known to occur in the environment, few studies have demonstrated its occurrence 20 21 in vegetable production (Goldstein et al., 2014). Further, this highlights the importance of the significant research gap where the fundamental understanding of the fateand biological impact of 22 antibiotic metabolites is not well known (Williams-Nguyen et al., 2016). 23

In soil, antibiotic transport and degradation both factor into the soil concentrations over the course of the three harvests. Generally, concentrations of each of the three compounds in both the top and bottom soil profile were reduced over the course of the ten days during which no additional antibiotics were added to the system. First order decay functions were generated for the 10-day time period between harvest 1 and 3 and degradation rate constants, k, and compound half-lives, t_{1/2}, were calculated. Values are summarized in Table 2 while isotherms and calculations are provided in the supplemental information. Based upon the partition coefficient K_d (Table 2), both sulfamethoxazole and oxytetracycline distributions in the soil profile behaved as expected. Because subirrigation requires irrigation water to flow from the bottom, up through the soil profile, we would expect the more sorptive compounds to be concentrated in the bottom soil layer. Oxytetracycline concentrations in the top profile were far lower than concentrations found in the bottom for all three soils. The least sorptive compound, sulfamethoxazole ($K_d=0.4-1.9$) was found at higher concentrations in the top soil as compared with the bottom in all soils and at all harvests. Both of these compound specific trends are supported by transport studies that show tetracycline mobility to be limited while sulfonamides may pose a risk to surface and groundwater contamination (Blackwell et al., 2007; Watanabe et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2012; Srinivasan and Sarmah et al., 2014). With a half-life ranging 3.4-3.7 days, lincomycin demonstrated the most rapid and consistent decay in all three soils. Although soils were exposed to the same concentrations of three antibiotics, higher initial concentrations of lincomycin were detected at the first harvest. This high concentration of the most degradable compound in our system may be a result of an initial lag phase in biodegradation, whereby the compound was able to build up in the soil during the first 40 days where irrigation with contaminated water retarded degradation via alteration in the microbial community. Irrigation

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- with uncontaminated water over the course of days 41-50 may have allowed the native bacteria
- 2 population to recover leading to the rapid degradation of the compound. This lag phase behavior
- 3 has been observed in other soil degradation studies and was attributed to the presence of a
- 4 sulfonamide, also included in our study, which has been shown to temporarily disrupt soil
- 5 bacteria populations (Monteiro and Boxall, 2009). Lincomycin and sulfamethoxazole
- 6 degradation rate decreased with increasing sand content from 8.3 days in loam to 14.6 days in
- 7 sand. This was expected as biological activity is known to decrease with increasing coarseness of
- 8 soil texture (Wardle, 1992). Oxytetracycline degradation was most rapid in the loam soil ($t_{1/2}$ =
- 9 6.6 days) but unlike sulfamethoxazole, was most persistent in sandy loam ($t_{1/2}$ =20.9 days).
- 10 Compared to other values reported, half-lives of oxytetracycline and sulfamethoxazole were on
- the same order of magnitude, but higher, than the biodegradation rates of a sulfonamide
- 12 (sulfamethazine) and tetracycline (chloretetracycline) antibiotic in a silt loam soil (Topp et al.,
- 13 2013). In strong agreement with our work, half-lives have been reported for sulfamethoxazole
- under aerobic and anaerobic conditions ranging from 9.0 to 18.3 days (Lin and Gan, 2011).
- 15 *Effects of routine irrigation with contaminated water*
 - In a previous study, which evaluated the uptake of these three compounds by lettuce in the same soil mixtures, a single exposure event was conducted with water spiked 5x higher than the antibiotic concentrations in the current study (Zhang *et al.*, 2015). Results from the prior study showed that 48 hours after exposure, only sulfamethoxazole was detected in lettuce leaves above detection limits (Zhang *et al.*, 2015). Consistent with results from the current study, sulfamethoxazole concentrations in lettuce increased with increasing percentage of sand in the soil mixture. However, when routine irrigation with contaminated water occurred throughout the growth cycle of the lettuce, both lincomycin and oxytetracycline were detected in leaves, and

17

18

19

20

21

22

- their concentrations in lettuce leaves exceeded sulfamethoxazole concentrations. The
- 2 significance of this, which was revealed by differences in exposure regimes between the two
- 3 studies, suggests that the processes by which oxytetracycline and lincomycin are internalized by
- 4 lettuce roots and translocated throughout the shoots are more time dependent than the kinetics
- 5 involved with sulfamethoxazole uptake. As discussed previously, this is supported by results in
- 6 the fate investigation for lincomycin where the total mass taken up by lettuce shoots increased
- 7 significantly in the five days following the final irrigation with spiked water (Figure 3).
- 8 *Toxicity*.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The growth of lettuce was affected by the soil texture, where the sand soil mixture resulted in significantly (P<0.0001) reduced mass of lettuce compared to both the loam and sandy loam soil (Figure 4). The difference in lettuce plant mass between loam and sandy loam was not statistically significant in the control group (P=0.146). For all soil types, irrigation with antibiotic amended water resulted in significantly decreased lettuce growth compared with its respective control (P<0.0001). The relative impact of the spiked water on the mass of lettuce increased with increasing sand content in the soil. A decrease of 37 %, 55 %, and 72 % of plant mass between controlled and treated plants was determined for lettuce grown in loam, sandy loam, and sand soil respectively. High percentage decreases in plant material (up to 60%) have also been associated with the pharmaceutical carbamazepine at similar soil concentrations (Carter et al., 2015). Furthermore, leaf discoloration and reduction in photosynthetic pigments resulted from carbamazepine exposure, consistent with the discoloration, yellowing, of leaves from lettuce grown in the sandy soil from the antibiotic spiked water. Lettuce was able to recover as soil concentrations declined in the 10 days between harvest 1 and harvest 3 where leaves from all three soils showed no signs of stress. These significant growth reductions suggest that

- agricultural productivity may be negatively impacted by the use of recycled wastewater, a
- 2 significant research gap, recently identified, relating to antibiotics in the agroecosystem
- 3 (Williams-Nguyen et al., 2016).
- Sulfonamide antibiotics, including sulfamethoxazole, have been shown to inhibit the 4 growth of rice at a concentration of 0.1 mg/L and maize grown in soil at 10 mg/kg (Liu et al., 5 6 2009; Michelini et al., 2012). However, rice sensitivity to tetracyclines was less acute as 7 concentrations in soil as high as 300 mg/kg, tetracyclines did not affect plant growth but did effect seed germination (Liu et al., 2009). This likely is attributed to tetracycline's high 8 9 adsorption to soils (Table 2) and is supported by the findings of Norman where root growth was 10 inhibited by oxytetracycline in a hydroponic system, but had no effect in soils (Norman, 1955). Oxytetracycline in hydroponic systems has also been shown to reduce plant growth in alfalfa; 11 however, at concentrations of 1 mg/L, the concentration of oxytetracycline in our irrigation 12 13 water, no effect was observed (Kong et al., 2007). Lincomycin has been shown to be toxic to a number of algae strains at the µg/L level (Andreozzi et al., 2006). Attributing toxicity to specific 14 antibiotics in a mixture is not possible, as mixture toxicities can have unpredictable and 15 concentration dependent synergistic or antagonistic effects (Liu et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2008; 16 Gonzalez-Pleiter et al., 2013). Consistent with our study, antibiotic toxic effects have also been 17 shown to be dependent upon soil characteristics; where plants were more sensitive in sandy loam 18 than with a high clay soil (Batchelder, 1982). Not only was the sand soil, without antibiotic, the 19 least ideal for optimal plant growth, it also amplified the toxic effect of the antibiotics to lettuce. 20

Conclusions

1 This study confirmed that soil texture plays an important role in the uptake of antibiotics by lettuce. However, correlation between increasing sand content and subsequent uptake and 2 translocation was only observed for sulfamethoxazole. This is because soil composition not only 3 4 affected the bioavailability of the contaminants but also the health of the plant When irrigation 5 was switched to non-contaminated water, lettuce recovery was observed resulting in an increase 6 in growth rate. In addition, examination of the net accumulation of antibiotic compounds by lettuce plants over time revealed that degradation of lincomycin and sulfamethoxazole within the 7 lettuce leaves occurred over the 10-day harvesting period. Results from this study should help in 8 9 the evaluation of best management practices for the use of recycled wastewater for irrigation. Areas with sandy soil should pay particularly close attention to plant toxicity resulting in 10 decreased yield. Furthermore, due to the persistence and mobility of antibiotic compounds in the 11 soil-plant system, a "finishing" period, utilizing uncontaminated irrigation water, may be suitable 12 to reduce the concentrations of antibiotics in vegetables meant for consumption. The time needed 13 to realize this reduction is dependent upon both contaminant and soil characteristics. 14 **Supplementary Material.** Equilibration time study of antibiotic batch sorption reactors 15 (Supplementary Fig. S1). Method Validation for soil and lettuce samples (Supplementary Table 16 S1). Schematic of soil-plant system using subirrigation (Supplementary Fig. S2), average of top 17 and bottom soil concentrations (Supplementary Table S2), equations related to decay functions 18 (Equations 1-2), antibiotic decay in soils (Supplementary Fig. S3), and linear sorption isotherms 19 (Supplementary Fig. S4). 20

Acknowledgements.

- 1 The authors recognize the contribution of Emily Hoehn and Lindsey Knight for assistance with
- 2 sample processing, and separation chemist Dave Cassada for analytical assistance. This work
- 3 was supported by the United States Department of Agriculture NIFA program [project number
- 4 2011-67019-20052].
- 5 Author Disclosure Statement.
- 6 No competing financial interests exist.

References

- Aga, D. S., Lenczewski, M., Snow, D., Muurinen, J., Sallach, J. B., Wallace, J. S. (2016).
- 11 Challenges in the measurement of antibiotics and in evaluating their impacts in
- agroecosystems: A Critical Review. *J. Environ. Qual.* 45, 407.

13

7

8

9

- Andreozzi, R., Canterino, M., Lo Giudice, R., Marotta, R., Pinto, G., Pollio, A. (2006).
- Lincomycin solar photodegradation, algal toxicity and removal from wastewaters by means
- of ozonation. Water Res. 40, 630.

17

Azanu, D., Mortey, C., Darko, G.; Weisser, J. J., Styrishave, B., Abaidoo, R. C. (2016). Uptake of antibiotics from irrigation water by plants. *Chemosphere* 157, 107.

20

Azov, Y., Shelef, G. (1991). Effluents quality along a multiple-state waste-water reclamation system for agricultural reuse. *Water Sci. Technol.* 23, 2119.

23

- 24 Bartelt-Hunt, S., Snow, D. D., Damon-Powell, T., Miesbach, D. (2011). Occurrence of steroid
- 25 hormones and antibiotics in shallow groundwater impacted by livestock waste control
- facilities. J. Contam. Hydrol. 123, 94.
- Batchelder, A. R. (1982). Chlortetracycline and oxytetracycline effects on plant-growth and development in soil systems. *J. Environ. Qual.* 11, 675.
- Blackwell, P. A., Kay, P., Boxall, A. B. A. (2007). The dissipation and transport of veterinary antibiotics in a sandy loam soil. *Chemosphere* 67, 292.

Boxall, A. B. A. (2010). Veterinary medicines and the environment. *Comparative and Veterinary Pharmacology*. 199, 291.

3 4

Boxall, A. B. A., Johnson, P., Smith, E. J., Sinclair, C. J., Stutt, E., Levy, L. S. (2006). Uptake of veterinary medicines from soils into plants. *J. Agri. Food Chem.* 54, 2288.

5 6

- Bradford, S. A., Segal, E., Zheng, W., Wang, Q. Q., Hutchins, S. R. (2008). Reuse of
 concentrated animal feeding operation wastewater on agricultural lands. *J. Environ. Qual.* 37, S97.
- Briggs, G. G., Bromilow, R. H., Evans, A. A. (1982). Relationships between lipophilicity and root uptake and translocation of non-ionized chemicals by barley. *Pestic. Sci.* 13, 495.

12

13 Carter, L. J., Harris, E., Williams, M., Ryan, J. J., Kookana, R. S., Boxall, A. B. A. (2014). Fate and uptake of pharmaceuticals in soil-plant systems. *J. Agri. Food Chem.* 62, 816.

15

Carter, L. J., Williams, M., Bottcher, C., Kookana, R. S. (2015). Uptake of Pharmaceuticals
 Influences Plant Development and Affects Nutrient and Hormone Homeostases. *Environ.* Sci. Technol. 49, 12509.

19 20

Chuang, Y. H., Zhang, Y. J., Zhang, W., Boyd, S. A., Li, H. (2015). Comparison of accelerated solvent extraction and quick, easy, cheap, effective, rugged and safe method for extraction and determination of pharmaceuticals in vegetables. *J. Chromatogr. A.* 1404-1.

22 23

21

Chung, H. S., Lee, Y. J.; Rahman, M. M., Abd El-Aty, A. M., Lee, H. S., Kabir, M. H., Kim, S.
 W., Park, B. J., Kim, J. E., Hacımüftüoğlu, F., et al. (2017). Uptake of the veterinary
 antibiotics chlortetracycline, enrofloxacin, and sulphathiazole from soil by radish. *Sci. Total Environ.* 605–606, 322.

28

Dolliver, H., Kumar, K., Gupta, S. (2007). Sulfamethazine uptake by plants from manureamended soil. *J. Environ. Qual.* 36, 1224.

31

Du, L. F., Liu, W. K. (2012). Occurrence, fate, and ecotoxicity of antibiotics in agroecosystems. A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 32, 309.

34

Duran-Alvarez, J. C., Jimenez-Cisneros, B. (2014). Beneficial and negative impacts on soil by the reuse of treated/untreated municipal wastewater for agricultural irrigation - A Review of the current knowledge and future perspectives. In Hernandez-Soriano, M.C., *Environmental Risk Assessment of Soil Contamination*. 137.

39

Ensink, J. H. J., van der Hoek, W. (2007). Editorial: New international guidelines for wastewater use in agriculture. *Trop. Med. Int. Health.* 12, 575.

42

European Commission. (2016). Development of minimum quality requirements for water reuse in agricultural irrigation and aquifer recharge October 2016.

Fatta-Kassinos, D., Kalavrouziotis, I. K., Koukoulakis, P. N., Vasquez, M. I. (2011). The risks associated with wastewater reuse and xenobiotics in the agroecological environment. *Sci. Total Environ.* 409-3555.

4

Goldstein, M., Shenker, M., Chefetz, B. (2014). Insights into the uptake processes of
 wastewater-borne pharmaceuticals by vegetables. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 5593.

7

Gonzalez-Pleiter, M., Gonzalo, S., Rodea-Palomares, I., Leganes, F., Rosal, R., Boltes, K.,
 Marco, E., Fernandez-Pinas, F. (2013). Toxicity of five antibiotics and their mixtures
 towards photosynthetic aquatic organisms: Implications for environmental risk assessment.
 Water Res. 47, 2050.

12

Hawker, D. W., Cropp, R., Boonsaner, M. (2013). Uptake of zwitterionic antibiotics by rice (Oryza sativa L.) in contaminated soil. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 263, 458.

15

Herklotz, P. A., Gurung, P., Heuvel, B. V., Kinney, C. A. (2010). Uptake of human pharmaceuticals by plants grown under hydroponic conditions. *Chemosphere*. 78, 1416.

18

Holling, C. S., Bailey, J. L., Heuvel, B. V., Kinney, C. A. (2012). Uptake of human
 pharmaceuticals and personal care products by cabbage (Brassica campestris) from fortified
 and biosolids-amended soils. *J. Environ. Monitor.* 14, 3029.

22 23

Huang, W. L., Weber, W. J. (1998). A distributed reactivity model for sorption by soils and sediments. 11. Slow concentration dependent sorption rates. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 32, 3549.

242526

27

Jones-Lepp, T. L., Sanchez, C. A., Moy, T., Kazemi, R. (2010). Method development and application to determine potential plant uptake of antibiotics and other drugs in irrigated crop production systems. *J. Agri. Food Chem.* 58, 11568.

28 29

Kang, D. H., Gupta, S., Rosen, C., Fritz, V., Singh, A., Chander, Y., Murray, H., Rohwer, C.
 (2013). Antibiotic uptake by vegetable crops from manure-applied soils. *J. Agri. Food Chem.* 61, 9992.

33

Kihila, J., Mtei, K. M., Njau, K. N. (2014). Wastewater treatment for reuse in urban agriculture, The case of Moshi Municipality, Tanzania *Phys. Chem. Earth.* 72, 104.

36

Kim, Y., Lim, S., Han, M., Cho, J. (2012). Sorption characteristics of oxytetracycline, amoxicillin, and sulfathiazole in two different soil types. *Geoderma*. 185, 97.

39

Kong, W. D., Zhu, Y. G., Liang, Y. C., Zhang, J., Smith, F. A., Yang, A. (2007). Uptake of
 oxytetracycline and its phytotoxicity to alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.). *Environ. Pollut.* 147,
 187.

43

Kumar, K., Gupta, S. C., Baidoo, S. K., Chander, Y., Rosen, C. J. (2005). Antibiotic uptake by plants from soil fertilized with animal manure. *J. Environ. Qual.* 43, 2082.

Lin, K. D., Gan, J. (2011). Sorption and degradation of wastewater-associated non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and antibiotics in soils. *Chemosphere*. 83, 240.

3

Liu, F., Ying, G. G., Tao, R., Jian-Liang, Z., Yang, J. F., Zhao, L. F. (2009). Effects of six selected antibiotics on plant growth and soil microbial and enzymatic activities. *Environ. Pollut.* 157, 1636.

7

Liu, L., Liu, Y. H., Liu, C. X., Wang, Z., Dong, J., Zhu, G. F., Huang, X. (2013). Potential effect
 and accumulation of veterinary antibiotics in Phragmites australis under hydroponic
 conditions. *Ecol. Eng.* 53, 138.

11

Liu, Y., Zhang, J., Gao, B. Y., Feng, S. P. (2014). Combined effects of two antibiotic contaminants on Microcystis aeruginosa. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 279, 148.

14

Michael, I., Rizzo, L., McArdell, C. S., Manaia, C. M., Merlin, C., Schwartz, T., Dagot, C.,
 Fatta-Kassinos, D. (2013). Urban wastewater treatment plants as hotspots for the release of
 antibiotics in the environment: A review. *Water Res.* 47, 957.

18

Michelini, L., Reichel, R., Werner, W., Ghisi, R., Thiele-Bruhn, S. (2012). Sulfadiazine uptake and effects on salix fragilis L. and zea mays L. plants. *Water Air Soil Poll*. 223, 5243.

21

Monteiro, S. C., Boxall, A. B. A. (2009). Factors affecting the degradation of pharmaceuticals in agricultural soils. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 28, 2546.

24

Monteiro, S. C., Boxall, A. B. A. (2010). Occurrence and fate of human pharmaceuticals in the environment. *Rev. Environ. Contam. T.* 202, 53.

27

Norman, A. G. (1955). Terramycin and plant growth. Agron. J. 47, 585.

29

Pan, M. Chu, L. M. (2017). Fate of antibiotics in soil and their uptake by edible crops. *Sci. Total Environ*. 500.

32

Paranychianakis, N. V, Salgot, M., Snyder, S. A., Angelakis, A. N. (2015) Water reuse in EU states: Necessity for uniform criteria to mitigate human and environmental risks. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2015, pp 1409–1468.

36

Peak, N., Knapp, C. W., Yang, R. K., Hanfelt, M. M., Smith, M. S., Aga, D. S., Graham, D. W. (2007). Abundance of six tetracycline resistance genes in wastewater lagoons at cattle feedlots with different antibiotic use strategies. *Environ. Microbiol.* 9, 143.

40

Sabourin, L., Duenk, P., Bonte-Gelok, S., Payne, M., Lapen, D. R., Topp, E. (2012). Uptake of pharmaceuticals, hormones and parabens into vegetables grown in soil fertilized with municipal biosolids. *Sci. Total Environ.* 431, 233.

Saldias, C., Speelman, S., Amerasinghe, P., van Huylenbroeck, G. (2015). Institutional and policy analysis of wastewater (re)use for agriculture: case study Hyderabad, India. *Water Sci. Technol.* 72, 322.

4

Sallach, J. B., Zhang, Y. P., Hodges, L., Snow, D., Li, X., Bartelt-Hunt, S. (2015). Concomitant
 uptake of antimicrobials and Salmonella in soil and into lettuce following wastewater
 irrigation. *Environ. Pollut.* 197, 269.

8

Sallach, J. B., Snow, D., Hodges, L., Li, X., Bartelt-Hunt, S. (2016). Development and
 comparison of four methods for the extraction of anitbiotics from a vegetative matrix.
 Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 35, 889.

12

Sassman, S. A., Lee, L. S. (2005). Sorption of three tetracyclines by several soils: Assessing the role of pH and cation exchange. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 39, 7452.

15

Spangberg, J., Tidaker, P., Jonsson, H. (2014). Environmental impact of recycling nutrients in human excreta to agriculture compared with enhanced wastewater treatment. *Sci. Total Environ.* 493, 209.

19

Srinivasan, P., Sarmah, A. K. (2014). Assessing the sorption and leaching behaviour of three sulfonamides in pasture soils through batch and column studies. *Sci. Total Environ.* 493, 535.

23

Tanoue, R., Sato, Y., Motoyama, M., Nakagawa, S., Shinohara, R., Nomiyama, K. (2012). Plant
 uptake of pharmaceutical chemicals detected in recycled organic manure and reclaimed
 wastewater. *J. Agri. Food Chem.* 60, 10203.

27

Thiele-Bruhn, S. (2003). Pharmaceutical antibiotic compounds in soils - a review. *J. Plant Nutr.* Soil Sc. 166, 145.

29 30

Topp, E., Chapman, R., Devers-Lamrani, M., Hartmann, A., Marti, R., Martin-Laurent, F., Sabourin, L., Scott, A., Sumarah, M. (2013). Accelerated biodegradation of veterinary antibiotics in agricultural soil following long-term exposure, and isolation of a sulfamethazine-degrading microbacterium sp. *J. Environ. Qual.* 42, 173.

35

Toze, S. (2006). Reuse of effluent water - benefits and risks. *Agr. Water Manage*. 80, 147.

37

Trinh, L. T., Duong, C. C., Van der Steen, P., Lens, P. N. L. (2013). Exploring the potential for wastewater reuse in agriculture as a climate change adaptation measure for Can Tho City, Vietnam. *Agr. Water Manage.* 128, 43.

41

Verlicchi, P., Al Aukidy, M., Zambello, E. (2012). Occurrence of pharmaceutical compounds in urban wastewater: Removal, mass load and environmental risk after a secondary treatment-A review. *Sci. Total Environ.* 429, 123.

Wardle, D. A. (1992). A comparitive-assessment of factors which influence microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen levels in soil. *Biol. Rev.* 67, 321.

3

Watanabe, N., Bergamaschi, B. A., Loftin, K. A., Meyer, M. T., Harter, T. (2010). Use and
 environmental occurrence of antibiotics in freestall dairy farms with manured forage fields.
 Environ. Sci. Technol. 44, 6591.

7

Williams-Nguyen, J., Sallach, J. B., Bartelt-Hunt, S., Boxall, A. B., Durso, L. M., McLain, J. E.,
 Singer, R. S., Snow, D. D., Zilles, J. L. (2016). Antibiotics and antibiotic resistance in
 agroecosystems: State of the science. *J. Environ. Qual.* 45, 394.

11

World Health Organization (WHO). (1989). Health guidelinies for the use of wastewater in agriuculture and aquaculture. In WHO: Geneva.

14

World Health Organization (WHO). (2006). Guidelines for the safe use of wastewater, excreta and greywater. In WHO: Geneva.

17

Wu, C. X., Spongberg, A. L., Witter, J. D., Fang, M., Czajkowski, K. P. (2010). Uptake of
 pharmaceutical and personal care products by soybean plants from soils applied with
 biosolids and irrigated with contaminated water. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 6157.

21

Wu, X. Q., Ernst, F., Conkle, J. L., Gan, J. (2013). Comparative uptake and translocation of
 pharmaceutical and personal care products (PPCPs) by common vegetables. *Environ. Int.* 60,
 15.

25

Wu, X. Q., Dodgen, L. K., Conkle, J. L., Gan, J. (2015). Plant uptake of pharmaceutical and
 personal care products from recycled water and biosolids: a review. *Sci. Total Environ.* 536,
 655.

29

Yang, L. H., Ying, G. G., Su, H. C., Stauber, J. L., Adams, M. S., Binet, M. T. (2008). Growth inhibiting effects of 12 antibacterial agents and their mixtures on the freshwater microalga
 Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 27, 1201.

33

Zhang, Y., Sallach, J.B, Hodges, L., Snow, D.D., Bartelt-Hunt, S., Eskridge, K.M., Li, X. (2015).
 Effects of soil texture and drought stress on the uptake of antibiotics and the internalization of Salmonella in lettuce following wastewater irrigation. *Environ. Pollut.* 208, 523.

37

Zilles, J., Shimada, T., Jindal, A., Robert, M., Raskin, L. (2005). Presence of macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin B and tetracycline antimicrobials in swine waste treatment processes and amended soil. *Water Environ. Res.* 77, 57.

- 42
- *corresponding author: brett.sallach@york.ac.uk, telephone 01904 322999