



Deposited via The University of Leeds.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/150968/>

Article:

Duchanois, RM, Liddle, ES, Fenner, RA et al. (2019) Correction to: Factors associated with water service continuity for the Rural Populations of Bangladesh, Pakistan, Ethiopia, and Mozambique (Environmental Science and Technology (2019) 53:8 (4355-4363) DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.8b07173). Environmental Science and Technology, 53 (15). p. 9338. ISSN: 0013-936X

<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b03482>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Correction to “Factors Associated with Water Service Continuity for the Rural Populations of Bangladesh, Pakistan, Ethiopia, and Mozambique”

Ryan M. DuChanois,¹ Elisabeth S. Liddle, Richard A. Fenner, Marc Jeuland, Barbara Evans, Oliver Cumming, Rashid U. Zaman, Ana V. Mujica-Pereira, Ian Ross, Matthew O. Gribble,* and Joe Brown*¹

Environ. Sci. Technol., 2019, 53 (8), 4355–4363. DOI: [10.1021/acs.est.8b07173](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b07173)

In the Results section of the originally published article,¹ there are several instances where we incorrectly reported predicted water service continuity (WSC) values as odds ratios (OR). We have provided a corrected version of the relevant text in the Results section below, with the updated text (i.e., WSC) shown in bold. The error does not affect the figures or conclusions of the article.

RESULTS

Pakistan. Page 4359. “... the model predicted higher WSC for tube wells/boreholes (WSC = 95%, CI = 75–99%), piped supply (WSC = 80%, CI = 47–95%), and other water sources (WSC = 88%, CI = 51–98%) compared to when financial contributions were not made for tube wells/boreholes (WSC = 85%, CI = 51–97%), piped supply (WSC = 57%, CI = 23–85%), and other water sources (WSC = 70%, CI = 28–94%).”

Ethiopia. Page 4359. “The model predicted WSC for springs (WSC = 91%, CI = 54–99%), surface water (WSC = 82%, CI = 36–97%), and other water sources (WSC = 79%, CI = 42–95%) to be higher than tube wells/boreholes (WSC = 73%, CI = 24–96%) when holding...”

Mozambique. Page 4360. “... the model predicted sources with good water appearance to have higher WSC for tube wells/boreholes (WSC = 97%, CI = 88–99%), dug wells (WSC = 98%, CI = 90–100%), surface water (WSC = 99%, CI = 93–100%), and other water sources (WSC = 98%, CI = 92–99%) compared to tube wells/boreholes (WSC = 93%, CI = 77–98%), dug wells (WSC = 94%, CI = 80–99%), surface water (WSC = 96%, CI = 85–99%), and other water sources (WSC = 94%, CI = 85–98%) with poor water appearance.”

REFERENCES

(1) DuChanois, R. M.; Liddle, E. S.; Fenner, R. A.; Jeuland, M.; Evans, B.; Cumming, O.; Zaman, R. U.; Mujica-Pereira, A. V.; Ross, I.; Gribble, M. O.; Brown, J. Factors Associated with Water Service Continuity for the Rural Populations of Bangladesh, Pakistan, Ethiopia, and Mozambique. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2019, 53 (8), 4355–4363.

Published: July 9, 2019