



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Iron-rich chitosan-pectin colloidal microparticles laden with ora-pro-nobis (Pereskia aculeata Miller) extract*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:  
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/150555/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Maciel, VBV, Yoshida, CMP, Boesch, C et al. (2 more authors) (2020) Iron-rich chitosan-pectin colloidal microparticles laden with ora-pro-nobis (Pereskia aculeata Miller) extract. Food Hydrocolloids, 98. 105313. ISSN 0268-005X

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2019.105313>

---

© 2019 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial No Derivatives 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

**IRON-RICH CHITOSAN-PECTIN COLLOIDAL MICROPARTICLES LADEN  
WITH ORA-PRO-NOBIS (*Pereskia aculeata* Miller) EXTRACT**

Vinicius B. V. Maciel<sup>1,3\*</sup>, Cristiana M. P. Yoshida<sup>2</sup>, Christine Boesch<sup>3</sup>,

Francisco M. Goycoolea<sup>3\*</sup>, Rosemary A. Carvalho<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of São Paulo, Faculty of Animal Science and Food Engineering, Av. Duque de Caxias Norte, 225 – CEP 13635-900 – Pirassununga – SP, Brazil.

<sup>2</sup> Federal University of São Paulo, Institute of Environmental, Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Rua São Nicolau, 210 – CEP 09913-030 – Diadema – SP, Brazil.

<sup>3</sup> University of Leeds, School of Food Science and Nutrition, LS2 9JT – Leeds – United Kingdom.

---

\*Corresponding authors:

University of Leeds, School of Food Science and Nutrition, LS2 9JT, Leeds, United Kingdom. Phone: +44 (0) 113 343 1412. E-mail: F.M.Goycoolea@leeds.ac.uk

University of São Paulo, Faculty of Animal Science and Food Engineering, Av. Duque de Caxias Norte, 225 – CEP 13635-900 – Pirassununga – SP, Brazil. Phone: +55 19 3565-6855. E-mail: viniciusbvm@yahoo.com.br

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 Iron deficiency represents a global nutrition gap that calls for innovative strategies  
3 including food fortification, while overcoming the drawbacks of taste and reactivity of  
4 iron. The aim of this work was to develop iron-rich colloidal microparticles laden with a  
5 Brazilian plant food extract from ora-pro-nobis (OPN, *Pereskia aculeata* Miller). We  
6 formulated electrostatic self-assembled complexes of oppositely charged chitosans and  
7 pectin laden with aqueous OPN extract. After characterisation of the physical properties,  
8 selected formulations were examined in their colloidal stability (50 mM NaCl,  
9 Dulbecco's modified eagle medium (DMEM), simulated gastric fluid (SGF) and  
10 simulated intestinal fluid (SIF)), production yield, iron association efficiency,  
11 transmission electron microscopy (TEM), cellular cytotoxicity and iron uptake using  
12 Caco-2 cells. The  $\zeta$ -potential varied from  $\sim -25$  mV to  $\sim +23$  mV regardless of the degree  
13 of acetylation (DA) of chitosan. The production yield ranged between 20-26 %. The  
14 particles were stable at DMEM, SGF and SIF during 3 h. Iron association efficiency was  
15  $\sim 60$  % for systems charge ratio ( $n^+/n^-$ ) = 5.00. TEM analyses revealed invariably  
16 spherical morphology. OPN-laden microparticles did not present cytotoxicity against  
17 Caco-2 cells. Higher cellular ferritin levels were determined for the particles comprising  
18 OPN extract and  $n^+/n^-$  = 5.00. We obtained in vitro proof of concept of the efficiency of  
19 chitosan/pectin particles to delivering iron from a Brazilian edible plant extract. The  
20 industrial potential of this approach as a viable alternative for iron fortification or  
21 supplementation by the food industry is yet to be realised.

22 **Key-words:** chitosan, pectin, ora-pro-nobis, microparticles, iron deficiency.

## 23 1. INTRODUCTION

24 Iron deficiency anaemia is the most frequent health problem in the world (Al Hassan,  
25 2015; Soleimani & Abbaszadeh, 2011) being classified as the seventh wide reason of  
26 diseases, incapacity and deaths in the world (Hurrell et al., 2004). Effective measures are  
27 needed to implement iron food fortification programmes. However, iron confers a  
28 metallic taste to food, induces adverse reactions such as oxidation of lipids, and if not  
29 fully absorbed, promotes an accumulation in the gastric lumen and consequently irritation  
30 of the mucosa (Saha, Pandhi, Gopalan, Malhotra, & Saha, 2007; Schümann, Ettle,  
31 Szegner, Elsenhans, & Solomons, 2007). Iron is an essential mineral for the human  
32 organism due to its requirements for several metabolic functions such as oxygen  
33 transport, drug metabolism, steroid synthesis, DNA synthesis, ATP production and  
34 electron transport (Crichton, 2001).

35 Colloidal particles loaded with iron could be used to address some of the  
36 shortcomings of iron salts in fortified foods and supplements. Nano- and microparticles  
37 can associate, protect, and subsequently release and favour the oral absorption of iron.  
38 Chitosan is a cationic polysaccharide with low toxicity, biodegradability,  
39 biocompatibility (Luo & Wang, 2014) and mucoadhesive properties (Menchicchi et al.,  
40 2014; George & Abraham, 2006). Pectin is a polyanionic polysaccharide with low  
41 toxicity and potential for biomedical application such as scaffolds, drug delivery, tissue  
42 engineering and gene therapy (Martins et al., 2018; Ribeiro et al., 2014; Nishijima, Iwai,  
43 Saito, Takida, & Matsue, 2009). Polyelectrolyte complexes can be formed using chitosan  
44 and pectin from the electrostatic interactions occurring between carboxylic acid groups  
45 of pectin and amino groups of chitosan (Maciel, Yoshida, & Franco, 2015). When these  
46 polymers are homogenised in aqueous solutions, the formation of a self- assembled  
47 electrostatic complex takes place with unique properties that differ from those of the  
48 original components (Chen et al., 2010). The interactions between these polymers have  
49 been exploited in pharmaceutical and biomedical research (Luo and Wang, 2014), to  
50 obtain films/membranes (Maciel et al., 2015; Meng et al., 2010), hydrogels (Berger,  
51 Reist, Mayer, Felt, & Gurny, 2004), micro/nanoparticles (Maciel, Yoshida, Pereira,  
52 Goycoolea, & Franco, 2017; Luo, Teng, Li, & Wang, 2015), scaffolds (Martins et al.,  
53 2018), gene delivery systems (Santos-Carballal et al., 2015) and to support bone tissue  
54 engineering (Mallick, Singh, Rastogi, & Srivastava, 2018).

55 Using the concept of self-assembly polyelectrolyte electrostatic complexation, in  
56 this work, microparticles of chitosan-pectin were developed and loaded with an iron-rich

57 aqueous extract from an edible indigenous Brazilian vegetable namely OPN (*Pereskia*  
58 *aculeata* Miller). OPN is a cactus found in American regions (southern of the United  
59 States (Florida) and southeast of Brazil) (Maciel, Yoshida, & Goycoolea, 2018; Gronner,  
60 Silva, & Maluf, 1999). OPN belongs to the Cactaceae family with scandent habits and  
61 easy cultivation in regions with temperature above 25 °C and intense solar luminosity.  
62 Consumption of OPN has been associated with the prevention of iron deficiency anaemia,  
63 osteoporosis, and constipation (Almeida & Correa, 2012). It is a non-conventional  
64 vegetable food regarded as a bush or weed that presents important nutritional value,  
65 especially high-quality proteins (17.4-28.4 %) with 85 % digestibility (Lima Junior et al.,  
66 2013) and minerals such as iron (~ 81-142 µg g<sup>-1</sup>) and calcium (Oliveira, Wobeto, Zanuzo,  
67 & Severgnini, 2013; Takeiti, Antônio, Motta, Collares-Queiroz, & Park, 2009). Non-  
68 conventional indigenous plants are used as a food, but normally, they are not part of the  
69 daily diet and their consumption circumscribes to the regions where they grow. Usually,  
70 these plants are underexploited and remain almost unknown. Their sustainable  
71 exploitation could represent a source of income as well as the discovery of novel and  
72 biofunctional foods with demonstrated health benefits. Given the considerable iron  
73 contents of OPN leaves, it can be regarded as an attractive alternative plant food to tackle  
74 iron deficiency anaemia.

75 Relevant strategies to combat the deficiency in iron comprise the supplementation  
76 and fortification using different iron salts (Zimmermann & Hurrell, 2007). Iron  
77 absorption mainly occur in the upper part of the small intestine and it is regulated to some  
78 extent by physiological demand. Iron is present in the diet in two forms, either as haem  
79 (Fe<sup>2+</sup>) and non-haem iron (Fe<sup>3+</sup>) which determines the mechanisms and quantity of iron  
80 absorption in the human body (Andrews, 1999). In majority of diets, iron is present in the  
81 non-haem form. According to Crichton et al. (2002) around 20 % of the non-haem iron  
82 consumed is absorbed through the gut enterocyte and available to the systemic  
83 circulation. Physiological factors and dietary components such as carbonate, oxalate,  
84 phosphates and phytate could promote a decrease in the bioavailability of iron (Somsook  
85 et al., 2005).

86 Research using iron from natural sources for the development of supplement  
87 formulations for oral delivery is scarce. Since the XIX century until now, iron is mainly  
88 supplemented using ferrous iron-based formulations (synthetic form) due to the low cost  
89 (Martnez-Navarrete, Camacho, Martnez-Lahuerta, Martnez-Monzo, & Fito, 2002).  
90 However, ferrous sulphate is very reactive; when used as iron-based supplements, it may

91 promote adverse gastrointestinal alterations related to the iron non-fully absorbed (Saha  
92 et al., 2007; Schümann et al., 2007). Therefore, the benefits involving an iron  
93 supplementation therapy are harshly limited (Schümann et al., 2007).

94 The main aim of this work was to examine the formulation of chitosan/pectin based  
95 microparticles laden with an aqueous extract of OPN, taken as a rich natural source of  
96 non-haem iron. We evaluated the formation of microparticles between the two  
97 biopolymers and OPN, and characterised their main physicochemical properties, namely  
98 the hydrodynamic size,  $\zeta$ -potential, morphology, production yield, stability in  
99 physiological media, as well as the bioiron association efficiency, cytotoxicity and uptake  
100 by Caco-2 cells.

101

## 102 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 103 **2.1 Materials**

104 Chitosan samples, here designed as low degree of acetylation sample “L-DA” and high  
105 degree of acetylation sample “H-DA” with high purity were acquired from Heppe  
106 Medical Chitosan GmbH (Halle, Germany) and with batch numbers 212-290814-02 and  
107 212-170614-01, respectively; pectin from citrus peel was donated by CPKelco (GENU@  
108 105, lot LI03024, Brazil) with high degree of esterification (DE = 68.2 %, Maciel et al.,  
109 2017). OPN leaves used to prepare the extract were kindly donated by the owners of São  
110 Luís Farm (Conceição do Araguaia-PA, Brazil). The exsiccate of OPN leaves was  
111 deposited in ESALQ/USP Herbarium (Piracicaba, Brazil), generating the number  
112 ESA136618. The reagents employed presented analytical grade. Water (ultrapure MilliQ,  
113 18.2 M $\Omega$  cm at 25 °C) was used to prepare overall solutions and reagents.

114

### 115 **2.2 Methods**

#### 116 2.2.1. Evaluation of the DA

117 The DA of chitosan was calculated according Lavertu et al. (2003). The analysis  
118 was performed using <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectroscopy (Bruker, DRX 500 model, Switzerland) at  
119 70 °C. Briefly, powder samples of both chitosans (5.0 mg) were solubilised in 1 mL of  
120 hydrochloric acid (HCl, 37.0 %). Afterwards, samples were frozen during 24 h at -20 °C  
121 and subsequently lyophilized during 12 h. Afterwards, one mL of D<sub>2</sub>O was added to tubes  
122 containing the lyophilized sample and subjected to the analysis. The DA (%) of chitosan  
123 was determined using the Equation 1:

124

125 
$$DA (\%) = 100 - \left[ \left[ \frac{H_1 D}{H_1 D + \frac{H-Ac}{3}} \right] * 100 \right]$$
 Eq. 1

126

127 where,  $H_1 D$  is the integral of peak of proton  $H_1$  of the deacetylated monomer and  $H-Ac$  is  
128 the integral of peak of proton  $H_1$  of the peak of the three protons of acetyl group.

129

### 130 2.2.2. Determination of molecular weight distribution of chitosan

131 The molecular weight distribution and the corresponding parameters (weight  
132 average molecular weight (Mw) and number average molecular weight (Mn)) of the two  
133 chitosan samples was carried out using an AF2000 Multiflow system (Postnova,  
134 Analytics, Germany) equipped with automatic sample injector (PN5300) and coupled to  
135 a MALS Detector, 21 angles (PN3621), refractive index (RI) detector (PN3150) and UV  
136 detector (PN3211, 280 nm and 220 nm wavelengths). The channel formed by a PTFE  
137 spacer between two walls was of trapezoidal geometry with 350  $\mu\text{m}$  thickness and it was  
138 kept at 30 °C. A regenerated cellulose membrane (Z-AF4-MEM-612-1KD) with a molar  
139 mass cut-off of 1 kDa was used as accumulation wall. Due to the cationic nature of  
140 chitosan, and in order to minimise the interactions with the membrane, a solution of  
141 diluted acetate buffer (0.18 M acetic acid/ 0.02 M sodium acetate pH 3.7) was used as the  
142 carrier liquid. This solution charged the membrane positively ensuring the elution of the  
143 polymer. Before measurements, all samples were filtered through membrane with 5  $\mu\text{m}$   
144 (EMD Millipore, USA). For the experiments, the detector flow rate was set to 0.5 mL  
145  $\text{min}^{-1}$  for all samples and 50  $\mu\text{L}$  volume of sample (2 mg  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ ) was injected at a rate of  
146 0.20 mL  $\text{min}^{-1}$  for an injection period of 6 min and cross flow (CF) set at 3 mL  $\text{min}^{-1}$ .  
147 After a focusing period of 3.30 mL  $\text{min}^{-1}$  and a transition period of 0.2 min, the profile of  
148 the crossflow was gradually decreased in 60 min through a series of consecutive steps as  
149 follows: 1) For 0.2 min, the CF was kept constant at 3 mL  $\text{min}^{-1}$ ; 2) CF was then decreased  
150 at an exponent of decay of 0.40 to 0.22 mL  $\text{min}^{-1}$  over 30 min period; 3) CF was further  
151 decreased to 0.11 mL  $\text{min}^{-1}$  during 5 min at 0.80 exponent decay; 4) CF was finally  
152 decreased to 0.06 mL  $\text{min}^{-1}$  at 0.80 power decay over 5 min after which 5) CF was kept  
153 at flow 0.06 mL  $\text{min}^{-1}$  for additional 20 min. Data and collection analysis were performed  
154 with NovaFFF version 2.0.9.9. The measurements were conducted in triplicate per sample  
155 and a blank (acetate buffer carrier liquid pH 3.7) was also run. All calculations were  
156 performed on the subtracted detector signals (sample minus blank signals). The RI signal

157 was used for  $M_w$  calculations using an average refractive index increment ( $dn/dc$ ) for  
158 chitosan of 0.19 (Nguyen, Winnik & Buschmann, 2009). Data were fitted to a Zimm  
159 model.

160

## 161 2.2.3 Preparation of microparticles using OPN extract

### 162 2.2.3.1 Chitosan solution

163 Chitosan was used as received and prepared according to Maciel et al. (2017). It  
164 was dissolved ( $5.0 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}$ , w/w) in sodium chloride solution (50 mM NaCl) with 5 %  
165 stoichiometric excess of HCl by constant magnetic stirring ( $25 \pm 1 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , 14 h). Afterwards  
166 the solution was filtered using EMD Millipore membranes ( $5.0 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ , USA).

167

### 168 2.2.3.2 Pectin solution

169 Pectin was used in the purified form. It was dissolved ( $5.0 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}$ , w/w) directly  
170 in OPN extract (section 2.2.3.3) and kept under constant magnetic stirring ( $50 \pm 1 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , 1  
171 h, to assure the complete solubilisation of the pectin) and followed at  $25 \pm 1 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  during 13  
172 h. The pH of the solution was not adjusted. Membranes ( $5.0 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ , EMD Millipore, USA)  
173 were used to filter the pectin solution.

174 The pectin purification was conducted according to the protocol described by  
175 Bernabé, Peniche, & Argüelles-Monal (2005). Pectin ( $2.0 \text{ g L}^{-1}$ ) was solubilised in a  
176 solution of sodium chloride (50 mM NaCl) by vigorous and constant magnetic stirring at  
177  $50 \pm 1 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  for 1 h and followed during 13 h at  $25 \pm 1 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ . The sample was filtered  
178 sequentially using sintered glass filters with four different pore diameters (80.0, 60.0, 40.0  
179 and  $< 10.0 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ) and five membranes with pore diameters (0.20, 0.45, 0.80, 1.20 and  $5.00 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ,  
180 EMD Millipore, USA). Ethanol was added gradually up to a final concentration (80.0  
181 %) to promote the pectin precipitation. The precipitate was removed using a centrifuge  
182 (Sorvall, R-5 plus model, USA) at 7000 rpm and  $10 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  during 30 min. Resultant solid  
183 material was carefully washed out using different ratios of ethanol/water (100/0, 90/10,  
184 80/20 and 70/30) during 5 min. Purified pectin was kept in an oven with air circulation  
185 (Tecnal, TE-394/1 model, Brazil) at  $25 \pm 1 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  for 48 h to evaporate the residual ethanol.

186

### 187 2.2.3.3 OPN extraction and characterisation

188 The methodology used to prepare the aqueous OPN extract was adapted from the  
189 previous work by Lima Junior et al. (2013). Briefly, OPN dried leaves (1 g) were  
190 dispersed in 100 mL of sodium chloride (50 mM NaCl) and maintained in magnetic

191 stirring. The temperature and time were 75 °C for 1 h, established in preliminary  
192 experiments. Two filtration steps were performed: the first using Buchner filters (sizes 1,  
193 2, 3 and 4) and the second with membranes of different pore sizes – 5.0 µm (Química  
194 Moderna, cellulose nitrate filters, Brazil) and 0.8 µm (Química Moderna, ester mixing  
195 membrane filters, Brazil).

196 Mineral characterisation of OPN extract: copper, iron, magnesium, and zinc were  
197 quantified by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) (Thermo  
198 Scientific, iCAPQc model, Germany); and calcium, manganese, phosphorous, potassium  
199 and sulphur were quantified by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry  
200 (ICP-OES) (Thermo Scientific, iCAP 7400 Radial model, Germany). Samples were all  
201 diluted ten-fold using 2.0 % HNO<sub>3</sub> before analysis. The standard phenanthroline method  
202 (SMEWW, 1999) was used to determine the total iron and ferrous iron (Fe<sup>2+</sup>) in the OPN  
203 extract. Ferric iron (Fe<sup>3+</sup>) was calculated through subtraction from total iron and ferrous  
204 iron. A standard curve using ferrous ammonium sulphate (0, 25, 50, 75, 100, 125, 150,  
205 175 and 200 µg of iron in 50 mL of solvent) was generated and samples diluted  
206 accordingly.

207

#### 208 2.2.3.4 Production yield of microparticles

209 Particles were produced using electrostatic self-assembling method according to the  
210 protocol described in our previous study (Fuenzalida et al. 2014). Briefly, chitosan-pectin  
211 microparticles systems comprising OPN extract were obtained considering different  
212 equivalent charge ratio (n<sup>+</sup>/n<sup>-</sup>) namely 0.10, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.00, 2.00, 4.00, and 5.00,  
213 and total equivalent charge (n<sup>+</sup> + n<sup>-</sup>) of 1.0 × 10<sup>-6</sup>. The total charge was also evaluated 2.0  
214 × 10<sup>-6</sup> and 3.0 × 10<sup>-6</sup>, but the results were not good to form microparticles, due to the  
215 formation of agglomerates in these systems. To screen the formation of complexes of  
216 varying equivalent charge ratio, the stock solutions of chitosan and pectin/OPN were  
217 mixed in a 96-well microtiter plate (Sarstedt, Germany). Different volume aliquots of  
218 chitosan solution (5.0 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>, w/v) were placed first into the microwells, to which  
219 varying volume aliquots of pectin solution (5.0 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>, w/w) prepared in OPN extract  
220 were dispensed and thoroughly mixed by flushing in and out of the pipette tip. A first  
221 screening enabled to discern between mixtures of clear/limpid appearance from slightly  
222 turbid ones. Two optimal formulations were selected for the next experiments, namely  
223 one with excess of pectin (n<sup>+</sup>/n<sup>-</sup> = 0.25) and the other containing chitosan in excess  
224 (n<sup>+</sup>/n<sup>-</sup> = 5.0), with negative and positive ζ-potential, respectively (Section 2.2.4).

225 For iron uptake experiments, the microparticles were prepared following the same  
226 protocol described above but replacing the OPN extract by FeSO<sub>4</sub> solution. The objective  
227 was to compare the particles containing different type and source of iron.

228 Isolated microparticles: whenever necessary, it was carried out by centrifugation  
229 (40 min, 12000 × g and 20 °C) using tubes with glycerol (15 μL). The pellets formed  
230 were resuspended in 50 mM NaCl or Dulbecco's modified eagle medium (DMEM, 100  
231 μL), depending on the further analysis. Microparticles were prepared in a laminar flow  
232 chamber under adequate microbiological conditions.

233

#### 234 2.2.4 ζ-potential and particles size distribution

235 The ζ-potential was measured by mixed-mode phase analysis light scattering (M3-  
236 PALS). The particle size distribution was measured by dynamic light scattering coupled  
237 with non-invasive back scattering (DLS-NIBS) at a scattering angle of 173° with  
238 automatic gain. Both measurements were carried out using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS  
239 (Malvern Instruments Ltd., Worcestershire, UK) equipped with a red laser light output  
240 (λ= 632.8 nm). Systems with charge ratios (n+/n-) 0.25 and 5.00 total charge 1.0 × 10<sup>-6</sup>  
241 and sodium chloride 50 mM (Section 2.2.3.4). All measurements were carried out in  
242 triplicate at 25 ± 0.2 °C.

243

#### 244 2.2.5 Production yield of microparticles

245 Microparticles elaborated with OPN extract were centrifuged (40 min, 12000 × g  
246 and 20 °C). The resultant pellet (after removing the supernatant) was frozen at -20 °C  
247 followed by the lyophilisation process for 24 h. The production yield of the particles was  
248 determined considering the chitosan, pectin (solubilised in OPN extract) and 50 mM NaCl  
249 masses used to prepare the initial solution and the mass of the pellet formed, according  
250 below:

251

$$252 \quad \text{Production yield (\%)} = \frac{M_{\text{pellet}}}{(M_c + M_p + M_{\text{NaCl}})} * 100 \quad \text{Eq. 2}$$

253

254 where,  $M_{\text{pellet}}$  is the mass of lyophilised particles (mg) containing OPN extract,  $M_c$  is the  
255 mass of chitosan (mg),  $M_p$  is the mass of pectin (mg) added of OPN extract or FeSO<sub>4</sub>  
256 solution and  $M_{\text{NaCl}}$  mass of NaCl (mg) used in the microparticles formation, respectively.

257

258 2.2.6 Stability of microparticles

259 The stability of the systems was analysed according to the protocol of Trapani et al.  
260 (2013). Briefly, an aliquot (50 µL) of microparticles isolated solution was incubated in a  
261 microtiter plate incubator (Heidolph, Titramax model, Germany) at 37 °C using cuvettes  
262 containing 1.0 mL of 50 mM NaCl, DMEM, SGF or SIF. SGF and SIF were prepared  
263 according with the United State Pharmacopeia 42 – National Formulary 37 (USP-NF,  
264 2019). The particle size was measured at times 0, 20, 40, 60, 120 and 180 min by DLS-  
265 NIBS (Section 2.2.4). Triplicate measurements were performed.

266

267 2.2.7 TEM analyses

268 The analyses were performed using JEM-1400 TEM (JEOL, Peabody, MA,  
269 USA) operated at 100 kV to verify the microparticles with or without OPN extract  
270 prepared with chitosan H-DA at values of charge ratios (n+/n-) 0.25 and 5.00). Equal  
271 amounts of fresh samples were homogenised with uranyl acetate solution (negative  
272 staining, 1 %, w/v). Samples (8 µL) were placed onto a copper grid covered with  
273 Formvar® film and the excess of liquid was removed with the aid of the filter paper.

274

275 2.2.8 Determination of iron association efficiency in microparticles

276 The determination of iron association efficiency (from OPN extract and FeSO<sub>4</sub>  
277 solution) in microparticles was determined using centrifugation process of the particles.  
278 Then, the iron present in the supernatant was quantified. Microparticles samples were  
279 centrifuged (40 min, 12000 × g and 20 °C). The iron quantity not associated was  
280 determined by ICP-MS in aliquots of supernatant (see section 2.2.3.3). A standard curve  
281 was used to determine the iron content. The data were the average of three independent  
282 experiments. The iron association efficiency in microparticles was determined by  
283 Equation 3 (Zariwala et al., 2013a):

284

285 
$$\text{Iron association efficiency (\%)} = \left[ \frac{(T_i - F_i)}{T_i} \right] * 100 \quad \text{Eq. 3}$$

286

287 where  $T_i$  is the total iron quantity added at the formulation and  $F_i$  is the unincorporated  
288 iron determined in the supernatant.

289 2.2.9 Iron availability studies using Caco-2 cell model

290 2.2.9.1 Cell cultivation and cell viability (MTT assay)

291 The Caco-2 cell line (HTB-37<sup>TM</sup>) was acquired from American Type Culture  
292 Collection (Rockville, MD, USA). The cells were cultivated in high glucose DMEM  
293 GlutaMAX<sup>TM</sup> medium, supplemented with foetal bovine serum (10 %) and penicillin-  
294 streptomycin (1 %) under standard conditions (37 °C and 5 % CO<sub>2</sub>). Cytotoxicity of the  
295 particles and pure OPN extract was evaluated using MTT assay (Gursoy, Garrigue,  
296 Razafindratsita, Lambert, & Benita, 2003). Briefly, confluent cells in 96 well plates were  
297 incubated with OPN extract diluted in DMEM to obtain different concentrations of iron  
298 (92.5, 185.0, 222.5, 445.0 and 890.0 ng mL<sup>-1</sup>) relating to the maximum content of iron  
299 present in the particles and linked to the quantity of pectin solution used to prepare the  
300 charge ratios (n+/n-) with excess of pectin (0.25) and excess of chitosan (5.00).

301 Microparticles were prepared at different concentrations of iron from diluted OPN  
302 extract, charge ratios (n+/n-) 0.25 and 5.00 and using chitosan with DA 22.3 %. Isolated  
303 particles were dispersed in cell culture medium (DMEM, 100 µL), incubated at 37 °C  
304 during 4 h and controlled atmosphere (5 % CO<sub>2</sub>). Afterwards, the samples were removed  
305 and replaced by DMEM. In each well 25 µL of MTT solution (5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>, prepared in  
306 PBS) was added. After 4 h of incubation the medium was aspirated, and DMSO was  
307 added to bleach out the dye. Absorbance was measured at 570 nm using a microplate  
308 reader (Tecan, Spark 10M model, Austria). Positive (DMEM without particles) and  
309 negative (induced cell death using 4 % triton X) controls were used (Gursoy et al., 2003).  
310 Each experiment was performed in three different cells passages with eight parallel wells.

311

#### 312 2.2.9.2 Iron uptake into Caco-2 cells

313 Iron uptake was determined as cellular ferritin content (Zariwala et al., 2013a).  
314 Briefly, Caco-2 cells were differentiated over 14 days with DMEM medium changes  
315 every two days. Then, DMEM medium was suctioned and the cells washed followed by  
316 the incubation in serum-free MEM (modified Eagle's medium) for 24 hours. The  
317 following day, Caco-2 cells were washed using buffer solution (three times). The  
318 microparticles containing iron combined with aliquots of test media MEM (pH 5.8,  
319 simulating the pH of duodenum) were buffered with 10 mM 2-[N-Morpholino] ethane-  
320 sulfonic acid. Cells were placed in an incubator at 37 °C during 2 h under agitation (25  
321 rpm) according to Zariwala et al. (2013a). Afterwards, the media containing the  
322 treatments were aspirated and the cells washed three times in a sequence: wash solution  
323 was used twice and another one using a surface bound iron removal solution. According  
324 to Glahn et al. (1995), the addition of 5 µM of sodium hydrosulphite and 1 µM of

325 bathophenanthroline disulfonate is necessary and efficient to remove the iron unabsorbed  
326 present in the cells surface. After the wash process, MEM was added into Caco-2 cells  
327 and placed in an incubator (37 °C during 24 h). Considering the effect of different iron  
328 sources (OPN extract and FeSO<sub>4</sub> solution) on iron uptake, parallel experiments with  
329 aqueous OPN extract and FeSO<sub>4</sub> solution containing equal iron concentrations (1522 ng  
330 mL<sup>-1</sup>) were carried out. After 24 h incubation, the medium was removed and cells washed  
331 with wash solution (twice). Different amounts of FeSO<sub>4</sub> solution (5, 10, 20, 50 and 80  
332 µM) were used to evaluate a dose-response pattern. The incubation time for the iron  
333 uptake process was set to 2 h, based on previous studies performed by Sharp (2005). The  
334 cells were harvested using a CelLytic lysis buffer (Sigma-Aldrich) including protease  
335 inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, UK) and lysed using a plate shaker at 4 °C, 15 min and  
336 50 rpm. Cells were scraped, placed into a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube and centrifuged  
337 for 15 min (14,000 g, 4 °C). The supernatants (whole cell lysate) were stored at -20 °C.  
338 Uptake experiments were performed in three independent experiments.

339

#### 340 2.2.9.3 Ferritin measurement in cell lysates

341 Ferritin content was determined by ELISA kit (Ramco Laboratories, UK) according  
342 to the methodology proposed by Zariwala, Somavarapu, Farnaud, & Renshaw (2013b).  
343 A standard curve was used covering a range of 0, 6-200 ng standard mL<sup>-1</sup>. Ferritin values  
344 were normalised to total cell protein (ng ferritin/mg protein). BCA assay (Pierce, Thermo  
345 Fisher Scientific, UK) was used to determine the total cell protein content.

346

### 347 2.3. Statistical analysis

348 The software Statistic (version 7.0, Statistic Inc., USA) was used to perform the statistical  
349 analysis. Differences between the averages were identified by ANOVA and Tukey' test  
350 (p < 0.05).

351

## 352 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 353 3.1 Chitosan characterisation

354 The characteristics of the used chitosan samples were as follows. L-DA: DA 8.6 %, Mw  
355  $4.12 \pm 0.30 \times 10^4$  g mol<sup>-1</sup>, Mn  $2.52 \pm 0.30 \times 10^4$  g mol<sup>-1</sup>, polydispersity index (PDI,  
356 =Mw/Mn)  $1.6 \pm 0.01$ ; H-DA: DA 22.3 %, Mw  $3.22 \pm 3.7 \times 10^4$  g mol<sup>-1</sup>, Mn  $2.02 \pm 0.30$   
357  $\times 10^4$  g mol<sup>-1</sup>, PDI (=Mw/Mn)  $1.6 \pm 0.14$ . These characteristics confirmed that the two  
358 chitosan samples differed only in the DA by almost 3-fold, and hardly at all on the

359 molecular weight parameters. All these parameters are known to be important in the  
360 formation and physicochemical characteristics of microparticles (Kleine-Brüggeney,  
361 Zorzi, El-Gueddari, Moerschbacher, & Goycoolea, 2015; Kumar & Ahuja, 2013). The  
362 Mw of the employed chitosans could be considered a medium range. Chitosans of this  
363 type have been found amenable for the formation of nanoparticles by ionotropic or by  
364 reverse emulsion gelation (Goycoolea et al. 2016).

365

### 366 **3.2 Mineral characterisation of OPN extract**

367 Results of mineral determination in OPN extract (Table 1) indicate a major concentration  
368 of potassium and magnesium, followed by sulphur, phosphorous and calcium. A  
369 significant quantity of total iron ( $2030 \pm 105 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ ) was analysed demonstrating its  
370 efficient extraction from the OPN dry leaves. As expected for plants (vegetable origin),  
371 the quantity of ferric iron ( $1370 \pm 107 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ ) was twice as high as compared to ferrous  
372 iron ( $660 \pm 44 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ ). Our results differ compared to those reported by Takeiti et al.  
373 (2009) and Lima Junior et al. (2013) in fresh OPN leaves and OPN extract, respectively,  
374 which could have related to external factors (such as climate and local growth conditions),  
375 harvesting season and pre-processing methods.

376

### 377 **3.3 $\zeta$ -Potential and particle size distribution analysis**

378 The particle size and  $\zeta$ -potential are relevant physicochemical parameters known to have  
379 a direct impact on the stability, biodistribution, process of absorption, cellular uptake and  
380 overall in vivo performance of different types of microparticles. They could also affect  
381 the drug loading capacity as well as the in vitro and in vivo drug release properties.

382 The  $\zeta$ -potential behaviour and the pH range to form the systems involving  
383 microparticles were defined in a previous work published from our group (Maciel et al.,  
384 2017). At different total charges ( $n^+ + n^-$ ) of  $2.0 \times 10^{-6}$  M and  $3.0 \times 10^{-6}$  M, aggregation  
385 inexorably occurred for all tested charge ratios ( $n^+/n^-$ ) tested. By contrast, at  $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$   
386 M, colloidal particles were formed when blending chitosan L-DA (DA 8.6 %) and pectin  
387 at the charge ratios ( $n^+/n^-$ ) 0.10 and 0.25 (excess of pectin), and 2.00, 4.00 and 5.00  
388 (excess of chitosan). Whereas with chitosan H-DA (DA 22.3 %) at the same total charge,  
389 the formation of particles was evidenced throughout all the tested charge ratios ( $n^+/n^-$ ).  
390 Based on these preliminary observations, new tests were carried out under identical  
391 conditions (varying  $n^+/n^-$  charge ratios, total charge  $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$  M and with both chitosans)

392 to confirm the formation of particles and measure the  $\zeta$ -potential and particles size.

393 It was expected that carboxylate groups of pectin (i.e. bearing negative charge)  
394 would be interacting electrostatically with amino groups from chitosan (i.e. bearing  
395 positive charge) to obtain particles under controlled conditions by polyelectrolyte  
396 complexation. Particles size below  $\sim 2600$  nm (microparticles) were found for complexes  
397 at charge ratios ( $n^+/n^-$ ) of 0.10, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.00 and 5.00 for chitosan withal DA  
398 8.6 % (Figure 1a). The PDI varied in the range  $0.12 \pm 0.04$  and  $0.33 \pm 0.07$ , in good  
399 agreement with published values for chitosan-based nanoparticles (Goycoolea et al.,  
400 2016; Kleine-Brüggeney et al., 2015). For the  $\zeta$ -potential values (Figure 1a), the charge  
401 ratios ( $n^+/n^-$ ) below 1.00 showed negative values ( $\sim -25$  to  $\sim -19$ ), thus confirming the  
402 excess of pectin charges in this complex system. Considering the charge ratios ( $n^+/n^-$ )  
403 above 1.00, the resultant charge of the system increased exhibiting a positive  $\zeta$ -potential,  
404 the expected consequence of the charge excess of chitosan. A resemblance among the  
405 results obtained for the systems using different chitosans was observed (DA 8.6 % and  
406 DA 22.3 %). Particles with average size lower than  $\sim 1500$  nm and negative  $\zeta$ -potential  
407 were found at charge ratio ( $n^+/n^-$ ) below 1.00 (Figure 1), as a result of the surplus of  
408 negatively charged pectin. At  $n^+/n^- > 1.00$ , the  $\zeta$ -potential of both systems reversed to  
409 positive in the systems comprised by both type of chitosans. The results provide  
410 unequivocal evidence that the colloidal particles are formed as the result of  
411 polyelectrolyte complexation driven by charge compensation. In support of this, note that  
412 at charge ratio ( $n^+/n^-$ ) near to the stoichiometric value, (between charge ratio ( $n^+/n^-$ )  
413  $\sim 1.0$  and  $\sim 2.0$ ), the  $\zeta$ -potential attains a neutral value. Our results agree with previous  
414 studies using chitosan (DA  $\sim 20$  %; Mw  $\sim 2.3 \times 10^5$  g·mol<sup>-1</sup>)/polyguluronate  
415 polyelectrolyte complexes (Argüelles-Monal, Cabrera, Peniche, & Rinaudo, 2000) and  
416 chitosan/pectin/insulin nano- and microparticles (Maciel et al., 2017). The complexation  
417 of chitin and pectin has also been studied in more recent studies using  $\zeta$ -potential as one  
418 of the main experimental technique (Kulikouskaya, Lazaouskaya, & Agabekov, 2019;  
419 Niu et al., 2019). Considering the above results, two systems were selected for each type  
420 of chitosan (L-DA = 8.6 % and H-DA = 22.3 %) for further studies: one involving a  
421 surplus of pectin ( $n^+/n^- = 0.25$ ) and other using surplus of chitosan ( $n^+/n^- = 5.00$ ). The  
422 total charge was kept at  $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$  M.

423

### 424 **3.4 Production yield of microparticles**

425 The production yields of iron-loaded microparticles (Table 2) were similar in all  
426 formulations studied, except for the chitosan DA 8.6 % and charge ratio ( $n^+/n^-$ ) 0.25.  
427 However, within experimental error the size data for the various formulations were of the  
428 same order of magnitude. Independently of chitosan DA and charge ratio ( $n^+/n^-$ ) studied,  
429 the production yield was kept between 20-26 %. The relatively low yield is the  
430 consequence of the incomplete incorporation of the formulation components in the  
431 formed complexes during the preparation and their loss to the supernatant, thus reducing  
432 the production yield. Iannone et al. (2017) evaluated the production yield of grape seed  
433 extract-loaded chitosan micro-particles with different concentration of extract in the  
434 system and obtained values between 42.3 and 64.8 %. In general, the results obtained for  
435 yield production were considered in overall good agreement with reported values on  
436 previous studies on chitosan microparticles for different drug delivery systems, namely  
437 12-48 % for tea polyphenol-Zn complex (Zhang & Zhao, 2015), 24-84 % for vancomycin  
438 (Cerchiara et al., 2015), 30-46 % for antituberculous drugs (Oliveira et al., 2017) and 33-  
439 58 % for vitamin B12 (Carlan, Estevinho, & Rocha, 2017).

440

### 441 **3.5 Stability of microparticles**

442 The evolution of the Z-average particle hydrodynamic diameter during incubation in four  
443 different environments, namely 50 mM NaCl, cell culture medium DMEM and simulated  
444 gastrointestinal fluids (SGF and SIF) is shown in the various panels of Figure 2. First,  
445 we evaluated the stability in 50 mM NaCl (i.e., the same solvent condition in which the  
446 particles were originally formed), aimed as a control to the rest of the experiments (Figure  
447 2a). At time zero, the particle size was essentially the same as that of the freshly prepared  
448 formulations (cf., Figure 1 and Figure 2a). A closer inspection of the plot reveals that for  
449 systems comprising chitosans of DA 8.6 and 22.3 % and charge ratio ( $n^+/n^-$ ) 5.00 there  
450 was an increase from 2.2 to 4.1  $\mu\text{m}$  and from 4.7 to 6.1  $\mu\text{m}$ , respectively, though no visible  
451 aggregation was observed. Conversely, systems comprising both chitosans and charge  
452 ratio ( $n^+/n^-$ ) 0.25, attained noticeably smaller sizes ( $\sim 1 \mu\text{m}$ ) and remained essentially  
453 unchanged during the course of the assay. Second, the systems incubated in DMEM  
454 showed overall smaller initial particle size ( $\sim 380 - \sim 550 \text{ nm}$ ) than in 50 mM NaCl (Figure  
455 2b). Systems comprising chitosan of DA 22.3 % and high charge ratio ( $n^+/n^- = 5.00$ ) were  
456 the largest and increased from  $\sim 550$  to  $\sim 900 \text{ nm}$ , while the corresponding systems of low  
457 charge ratio ( $n^+/n^- = 0.25$ ), increased from  $\sim 425$  to  $\sim 800 \text{ nm}$  over the course of the assay.  
458 Interestingly, particles comprising chitosan DA and 8.6 % and high charge ratio ( $n^+/n^- =$

459 5.00) remained stable up to 60 min (~500 nm), and beyond this time, increased to ~775  
460 nm. In turn, particles comprising chitosan DA and 22.3 % and low charge ratio ( $n^+/n^- =$   
461 0.25), showed only a slight increase in particle size from ~375 nm to ~450 nm. Third, in  
462 SGF (Figure 2c), the particles also attained sub-micron sizes invariably smaller than in  
463 50 mM NaCl and were consistently smaller for systems of charge ratio  $n^+/n^- = 5.00$  than  
464 0.25 (~250 vs. ~400 nm), independent of chitosan's DA. Finally, in SIF (Figure 2d), the  
465 particles attained sizes that varied from ~750 nm to ~3500 nm for systems of charge ratio  
466 ( $n^+/n^-$ ) 0.25 and 5.0, respectively. In all the systems, except those comprising chitosan  
467 DA and 8.6 % and high charge ratio ( $n^+/n^- = 5.00$ ), the particles size remained unchanged  
468 during incubation. For such system, an unusual behaviour was observed, in which the size  
469 initially increased from ~1250 to ~2500 nm and after 3 h it decreased back to the original  
470 value, thus describing a bell-shaped curve.

471 The colloidal stability against varying conditions of pH, ionic strength and  
472 simulated physiological conditions (e.g. during digestion) can largely affect their cellular  
473 uptake effective delivery of the payload. Often, the colloidal stability of microparticles  
474 is assessed only in PBS (pH 7.4) as a surrogate of plasma and other biological fluids  
475 (Soliman, Zhang, Merle, Cerruti, & Barralet, 2014). In previous studies (Goycoolea et al.  
476 2012), we have evaluated the stability of chitosan-based nanocapsules coated with  
477 chitosans of different DA in RPMI and MEM cell culture media and found that in general,  
478 the nanocapsules comprising chitosans of high DA and low  $M_w$  were the most stable. The  
479 role of the chitosan at the surface on the hydration in the presence of different ions has  
480 been crucial to explain the colloidal stability of these systems (Santander-Ortega, Peula-  
481 García, Goycoolea, & Ortega-Vinuesa, 2011). In our study, we were interested in  
482 evaluating the stability in cell culture medium (DMEM) as well as in simulated  
483 gastrointestinal fluids as these are relevant for in vitro cell culture studies and for the  
484 potential development of a formulation for oral delivery. As a control, we assessed the  
485 evolution of the particle size in NaCl 50 mM, the same solvent used to prepare the  
486 particles. Even when the particles comprising an excess of chitosan ( $n^+/n^- = 5.0$ )  
487 experienced a noticeable growth in their size, we were confident that they did not  
488 aggregate. It was interesting noting that in both DMEM cell culture medium and SGF,  
489 the particles attained a smaller size than that in 50 mM NaCl. We attribute these  
490 differences to the varying ionic strength and pH conditions from the originally used to  
491 produce the particles. Given that the particles form spontaneously by polyelectrolyte  
492 electrostatic self-assembly upon mixing, they are known to be kinetically trapped in their

493 conformation and size (Costalat, David, & Delair, 2014). Any subsequent change in the  
494 state of charge ionisation (i.e., driven by pH or ionic strength) in chitosan and pectin  
495 polyelectrolytes, may lead to a weakening of the complex and re-structural  
496 rearrangements that can result in either compaction or expansion of the originally formed  
497 complexes, as they attain a thermodynamically more stable state. These effects are  
498 particularly noticeable in Figure 2c and 2d, showing the evolution of the size upon  
499 incubation in SGF (pH 1.2) and SIF (pH 6.8), respectively. In SGF, the particles with an  
500 excess of chitosan ( $n_{+}/n_{-} = 5.0$ ), attain half as smaller size than those bearing an excess  
501 of pectin ( $n_{+}/n_{-} = 0.25$ ). By contrast, in SIF (pH 6.8), the carboxylate groups of pectin  
502 are bound to be fully ionised while the amino ones in chitosans are bound to be  
503 predominantly neutral, hence, the particles bearing an excess of pectin attained the  
504 smaller size. According to Vaarum & Smidsrod (2005), at pH around 7.0 (e.g. as in SIF),  
505 chitosan is present in non-ionised form, which could explain the non-stability of the  
506 particles containing surplus chitosan. By contrast, the compaction in size from the original  
507 condition observed in SGF, can be attributed to a reduced aggregation, the consequence  
508 of overall greater charge density, particularly for the particles comprising a surplus of  
509 chitosan ( $n_{+}/n_{-} = 5.0$ ). In studies developed by Huang et al. (2019) in zein/pectin core-  
510 shell nanoparticles, it was evaluated the influence of the ionic strength (0-70 mM) on  
511 particle stability. They observed an increase on particle size when the level of salt was  
512 increased from 0 to 50 mM, which may be due to a weakening of the electrostatic  
513 attraction between the polymers used to form the microparticles. It is known that steric  
514 and electrostatic repulsion, as well as weakening of hydrophobic attraction favour  
515 colloidal stability.

516 These results of our study agree closely with our previous work (Maciel et al. 2017),  
517 in which we used similar chitosan/pectin microparticles loaded with insulin. Indeed, in  
518 the previous study, we also observed overall colloidal stability in cell culture medium  
519 MEM SIF and SGF media. Also, our results confirmed the overall decrease in the particle  
520 size upon incubation in cell culture media and in SIF. Other studies, for example, Zhang,  
521 Wang, Ni, Zhang, & Shi (2016) produced nanoparticles based on chitosan and poly(2-  
522 acrylamido-2-methylpropanesulfonic acid) by electrostatic interaction varying the  
523 weight ratio of the constituents, structure and properties. They observed that smaller  
524 particles were generally more stable when compared to larger ones after exposition to  
525 physiological conditions. Andreani et al. (2015) verified that smaller particles tend to be  
526 more effective to enhance the process of absorption in the intestinal epithelium. Bagre,

527 Jain, & Jain (2013) prepared chitosan nanoparticles coated with alginate loaded with  
528 enoxaparin and reported their low stability in SIF conditions (pH 7.4). They attributed  
529 this to chitosan (Mw 150 kDa, DA 85 %, purified viscosity grade 80 cps). Chen et al.  
530 (2009) evaluated the stability of the oral heparin delivery systems based on chitosan  
531 nanoparticles. They observed stable systems under acid condition (pH 1.2) and attributed  
532 this behaviour to the electrostatic interaction existing amid the ionized form of chitosan  
533 and the bioactive payload (heparin). It was also verified that heparin began to release from  
534 the systems with the increase of the pH to 6.6 or 7.0, as a resulting of the swelling process.  
535 At pH 7.4, the release of heparin was attributed to the nanoparticles disintegration. These  
536 results suggested that the nanoparticle stability in neutral and slightly basic pH  
537 (physiological condition of intestine) decreases, promoting the release of heparin. Yuan,  
538 Jacquier, & O’Riordan (2018) produced chitosan-polyphosphoric acid beads to entrap  
539 different bioactive (bovine serum albumin, insulin, casein hydrolysate and whey protein  
540 isolate) and showed stability for the systems in SGF. In SIF, the stability was dependent  
541 of the protein type, with best results for insulin and whey protein isolate.

542

### 543 **3.6 Determination of iron association efficiency at microparticles**

544 Iron association efficiency was determined considering two sources of this mineral: OPN  
545 extract and FeSO<sub>4</sub> solution with the objective to evaluate whether different sources (plant  
546 and synthetic) would differ in their ability to uptake iron into Caco-2 cells. Furthermore,  
547 it was necessary to determine the iron association efficiency of the systems for calculation  
548 of iron uptake. The association efficiency of iron from two different sources (OPN extract  
549 and FeSO<sub>4</sub> solution) into particles considering charge ratios (n<sup>+</sup>/n<sup>-</sup>) 0.25 and 5.00 and  
550 chitosan H-DA (22.3 %) are shown in Table 3. The maximum amount of iron for the  
551 charge ratio 0.25 was 890 ng mL<sup>-1</sup>, while for the charge ratio 5.00 was 185 ng mL<sup>-1</sup>. Iron  
552 association efficiency (45.78 %) was achieved for the systems prepared with FeSO<sub>4</sub>  
553 solution and charge ratio (n<sup>+</sup>/n<sup>-</sup>) 0.25 than those prepared with OPN extract. The  
554 association efficiency increased (59-63 %) for overall systems prepared at charge ratio  
555 (n<sup>+</sup>/n<sup>-</sup>) 5.00, considering the different iron source. It suggests that a surplus of chitosan  
556 could substantially influence the association efficiency of the iron rich-OPN into  
557 microparticles. Moreover, it is worth noting that carboxylate groups from pectin could  
558 also complex iron in the microparticulate proposed system.

559 Model nanoparticulate systems have an elevated association or encapsulation  
560 efficiency (Bayat et al., 2008). Electrostatic interactions between carboxylate groups of

561 anionic polymers (i.e., pectin) and amino functions from chitosan have considerable  
562 influence in the association efficiency of bioactive in chitosan nanoparticles (Calvo,  
563 Remunan-Lopez, Vila-Jato, & Alonso, 1997). It is possible to notice clearly from our  
564 results, that the resultant iron association was related to the proportional quantity of  
565 chitosan in the system. Hermida, Roig, Bregni, Sabés-Xamaní, & Barnadas-Rodríguez  
566 (2010) and Xia & Xu (2005) evaluating the use of chitosan in liposome systems observed  
567 that the presence of this polymer promoted an improvement on iron loading. Bhatia &  
568 Ravi (2003) proposed that a steady complex could be formed between iron and chitosan,  
569 promoting better incorporation. This observation would explain the high association  
570 efficiency observed in charge ratio ( $n^+/n^-$ ) 5.00 (chitosan in excess). The fact that the  
571 production yield results were practically the same for overall formulations studied seems  
572 to be in accordance with the proposal that iron could be complexed in the system formed  
573 between chitosan and pectin. Further insight is needed to deepen our understanding of  
574 how iron interacts with the microparticles. This could indeed be addressed in future  
575 studies using FTIR, DSC, as well as other techniques such as AF4 coupled to ICP-OES.  
576 Whilst there is a lack of comparable studies investigating Fe association efficiency in  
577 chitosan-pectin particles, encapsulation of carotenoids into chitosan microparticles  
578 resulted in over 95 % efficiency (Rutz, Borges, Zambiazzi, da Rosa, & da Silva, 2016) and  
579 Alencaste et al. (2006) encapsulating vitamin E using chitosan/carboxymethylcellulose  
580 reported an efficiency of 81 %. Loading iron on solid lipid nanoparticles using stearic  
581 acid by double emulsion solvent evaporation process resulted in iron incorporation  
582 efficiency in the range of 48-87 % (Zariwala et al. 2013a).

583

### 584 **3.7 TEM analyses**

585 Micrographs tending to present, in the most of cases, spherical shape (highlighted with  
586 arrows in the figures), were recorded for systems using both chitosans and charge ratio  
587 ( $n^+/n^-$ ) indicating that the different DA studied would not be influencing the formation  
588 process of the particles (Figures 3). In the particles loaded with iron using chitosan DA  
589 22.3 % it was relevant to verify that the systems exhibited similar spherical shape than  
590 the corresponding blank samples (Maciel et al. 2017). Similar results were found in the  
591 literature regarding systems involving natural compounds-loaded into the particles. For  
592 instance, Belscak-Cvitanovic et al. (2015) produced micro-particles of alginate-protein  
593 coated with chitosan or pectin to delivery bioactive compounds (flavan-3-ol antioxidants  
594 and caffeine) found in green tea extract and obtained microbeads spherically shaped;

595 Iannone et al. (2017) studied the formation of chitosan microparticles to entrap grape seed  
596 extract and observed spherical shape of the microsystems; Ge, Yue, Chi, Liang, & Gao  
597 (2018) evaluated nanocomplexes of chitosan hydrochloride and carboxymethyl chitosan  
598 loaded-anthocyanin (natural pigment) and found spherical structure for the system;  
599 among other. Indeed, this is a topic that is receiving increasing traction.

600

### 601 **3.8 Evaluation of particle cytotoxicity**

602 The cytotoxicity experiments employing Caco-2 cells could be used as a preliminary  
603 information to further studies indicating the potential toxicity on the intestinal tissue and  
604 providing suitable concentrations in a permeability study that involves any new bioactive  
605 compounds (Okonogi, Duangrat, Anuchpreeda, Tachakittirungrod, &  
606 Chowwanapoonpohn, 2007). In vitro cytotoxicity of OPN extract free of particles and  
607 iron-loaded microparticles was performed (Figure 4) at different concentrations of loaded  
608 iron (92.5, 185.0, 222.5, 445.0 and 890.0 ng mL<sup>-1</sup>). These concentrations are reflecting  
609 the maximum content of iron present in the final particles. As we previously documented  
610 (Maciel et al. 2017), blank particles of chitosan-pectin did not lower the cell viability  
611 values below ~90 % even when applied at concentration 100 µg/cm<sup>2</sup> and 4 h incubation  
612 time. Likewise, OPN extract alone (Figure 4a) did not affect cell viability within the dose  
613 range studied (92.5-890.0 ng mL<sup>-1</sup>). This was an essential point to test before considering  
614 the properties of the OPN extract as a potential source of iron supplementation.

615 When OPN extract was encapsulated by the chitosan-pectin microparticles, the cell  
616 viability remained above ~80 % in all formulations (Figure 4b). An investigation focused  
617 on the bioactivities properties, purification process, conditions of OPN cultivation could  
618 provide an useful information for further studies. Loretz & Bernköp-Schnurch (2007) and  
619 our own studies (Maciel et al. 2017) have evaluated nano- and microparticles systems  
620 containing chitosan and observed a decrease in Caco-2 cell viability. The authors  
621 suggested that it would be related to the electrostatic interactions existent among the cell  
622 membrane and polymeric nanoparticles. Zhang & Zhao (2015) produced nanoparticles  
623 using chitosan and obtained upward ~84.0 % of cell viability. Sharma, Shree, Arora, &  
624 Kapila (2017) evaluated a lactose-iron complex and observed lower cytotoxicity for this  
625 system compared to ferrous sulphate solution.

626

### 627 **3.9 Iron uptake into Caco-2 cells**

628 According to Hu et al. (2019), natural polymer-based colloidal particles could be

629 administered by the oral route to deliver bioactive compounds. In this study, we  
630 addressed the efficacy of chitosan/pectin microparticles to deliver iron intracellularly. To  
631 this end we adopted an in vitro assay with intestinal Caco-2 cells. This cell line is an  
632 accepted model system to determine cellular iron uptake with ferritin induction frequently  
633 used as a readout (Zariwala et al., 2013a). Cell models such as Caco-2 cells, present  
634 various advantages related to their facility and reproducibility that permit the comparison  
635 of the results inter-laboratory easily (Lea, 2015).

636 The iron uptake by Caco-2 cells from chitosan-pectin microparticles from the two  
637 different sources of iron examined (OPN extract and FeSO<sub>4</sub> solution) was evaluated over  
638 a period of two hours, in line with previous studies (Zariwala et al., 2013b). The ferritin  
639 formation was determined after further two hours, and compared to iron available in OPN  
640 extract and FeSO<sub>4</sub> solution free of particles (Table 4). Of note, the excess of chitosan in  
641 the microparticle systems (n+/n- ratio 5.0) loaded with iron-rich OPN extract promoted  
642 an ~two-fold increase in cellular iron uptake, from  $3.53 \pm 0.21$  to  $6.81 \pm 0.36$  ferritin ng  
643 mg<sup>-1</sup> of cell protein. By contrast, when OPN extract was replaced by FeSO<sub>4</sub> solution as a  
644 source of iron in the formulations, the cells showed an overall greater absorption of  
645 ferritin. These results were expected considering the higher availability of ferrous iron (in  
646 FeSO<sub>4</sub> solution) in comparison to plant iron in OPN extract, where ~67 % is ferric iron.  
647 Interestingly, we observed that for microparticles of n+/n- ratio 5.0 loaded with OPN  
648 extract, the excess of chitosan resulted in an increase of iron association efficiency and  
649 uptake with respect to the particles with an excess of pectin (n+/n- ratio = 0.25). By  
650 contrast, the microparticles with an excess of pectin (n+/n- ratio 0.25) loaded with FeSO<sub>4</sub>  
651 showed a greater uptake by the cells. Even when we do not have currently an explanation  
652 to account for the observed differences, it is evident that the interplay between the  
653 interactions of ferric and ferrous and organic complexed iron with both chitosan and  
654 pectin in the complexed particles, along with the cellular uptake of the particle  
655 themselves, is what dictates the net cellular uptake of iron. Further mechanistic studies  
656 are necessary to fully uncover the phenomena at play.

657 Several studies have demonstrated that chitosan enhances the absorption of poorly  
658 permeable drugs when included in nano- and microparticle formulations for transmucosal  
659 delivery, an effect that could be attributed to its mucoadhesive properties (Fonte,  
660 Nogueira, Gehm, Ferreira, & Sarmiento, 2011). Chitosan has positive charges due to the  
661 amine groups found in its structure. It permits a strong electrostatic interaction on the cell  
662 surface and consequently muco- and bio-adherence, leading to an increase on absorption

663 (Zariwala et al., 2013a). Other studies have demonstrated the enhancing effect of chitosan  
664 in their test systems. Zariwala et al. (2013a), studying solid lipid particles coated with  
665 chitosan, obtained higher absorption of iron than from chitosan-free systems. Yang et al.  
666 (2017) evaluated a system composed of ferritin glycosylated by chitosan to encapsulate  
667 catechin, a bioactive polyphenolic compound, and found an improvement on absorption  
668 when compared to the results with free catechin.

669 The iron uptake from OPN extract was also confirmed when comparing the results  
670 with those of FeSO<sub>4</sub> solution (containing equivalent doses of iron), in both cases free of  
671 particles. It was evidenced that OPN extract had lower uptake than FeSO<sub>4</sub>. Meanwhile, it  
672 should be considered that the amount of total iron from OPN extract available to Caco-2  
673 cells was 1522 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> of which ~495 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> is ferric (Fe<sup>2+</sup>) iron. Hence the Caco-2  
674 cells had absorbed ~35 % of the Fe<sup>2+</sup> while for FeSO<sub>4</sub> solution, the absorption would be  
675 ~33 %. These results suggests that the proposed system could be a potentially promising  
676 alternative to iron supplementation in the future. A negative control using only serum-  
677 free MEM was used to demonstrate lack of iron uptake under these conditions.

678 The cells were treated with increasing concentrations of FeSO<sub>4</sub> solution (from 5.0  
679 to 80.0 μM) with the purpose of evaluating a dose-response effect. The measured ferritin  
680 concentration increased from 53.08 to 785.31 ng mg<sup>-1</sup> of cell protein and the data could  
681 be described by a function corresponding for one site specific binding with Hill slope  
682 (available from GraphPad), given as:

683

$$684 \quad Y = B_{max} * X^h / (K_d^h + X^h)$$

685

686 where  $Y$  = ferritin concentration (ng mg<sup>-1</sup> of cell protein),  $B_{max}$  is the maximum specific  
687 binding (ng mg<sup>-1</sup> of cell protein) extrapolated to high concentrations;  $K_d$  is the  
688 concentration of iron needed to achieve a half-maximum binding equilibrium, and  $h$  is  
689 the Hill slope. The best-fit values of the three parameters calculated were  $B_{max} = 776.4$   
690  $\pm 12.93$ ,  $K_d = 13.12 \pm 0.40$ , and  $h = 2.912 \pm 0.21$  ( $R^2 = 0.999$ ) (Figure S1). The value of  
691 the Hill slope ( $h = 2.912$ ) reflects a cooperative multiple binding sites process. The  
692 observed saturation-dose dependent process is fully consistent with the known  
693 mechanism of cellular iron uptake. For this to take place, ferrous iron first must be  
694 oxidized into the ferric form to bind transferrin. The Fe<sup>3+</sup>-transferrin attaches to  
695 transferrin receptors (TfR2) on the cell membrane to be subsequently endocytosed.

696 Ferritin is the primary storage form of iron in cells. Hence, the concentration of ferritin  
697 reports on the overall uptake of iron. Our results are in agreement with other published  
698 work that indicated an ideal concentration for iron uptake to be 20  $\mu\text{M}$  (Zariwala et al.,  
699 2013b).

700

#### 701 **4. CONCLUSION**

702 In summary, we have gained proof-of-concept of the preparation and characterisation of  
703 iron-loaded chitosan-pectin microparticles as a potential platform for iron delivery and  
704 food supplementation/fortification, using an aqueous extract from a non-conventional  
705 edible Brazilian plant, OPN (*Pereskia aculeata* Miller). Microparticle characterisation  
706 evidenced the charge ratio ( $n^+/n^-$ ) 5.00 and chitosan H-DA (22.3 %) as the optimal  
707 formulation. The average hydrodynamic diameter of the particles spanned  $< \sim 1000$  to  
708 2500 nm, production yield ( $\sim 26$  %), iron association efficiency ( $\sim 60$  %), spherical shape  
709 and stability in DMEM, SGF and SIF. Findings from cytotoxicity experiments revealed  
710 that all formulations involving particles at different iron concentration presented cell  
711 viability above  $\sim 80$  %. The results showed Caco-2 cell iron uptake from microparticles  
712 at levels slightly close to those particles prepared using  $\text{FeSO}_4$ . An excess of chitosan in  
713 the proposed system evidenced the influence of this polymer to improve the iron uptake.  
714 This study should provide important insights related to the use of iron bioavailable from  
715 plants, confirmed by the good absorption properties in vitro. Iron-loaded chitosan-pectin  
716 microparticles offer a potential and versatile system that could provide an attractive drug  
717 delivery process for traditional oral iron treatment.

718

#### 719 **5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

720 This study was performed with the financial support of the São Paulo Research  
721 Foundation (FAPESP) scholarships (grant#2016/18142-4 and grant#2015/07872-9) and  
722 Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES). The authors  
723 would like to thank the kind collaboration of Dr. Yadira Gonzalez with the determination  
724 of chitosan molecular weight and Dr. Jose Villa for the support during the cell culture  
725 studies.

726

#### 727 **6. REFERENCES**

728 Al Hassan, N. N. (2015). The prevalence of iron deficiency anemia in a Saudi University  
729 female students. *Journal of Microscopy and Ultrastructure*, 3(1), 25-28.

730 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmau.2014.11.003>.

731 Alencastre, J. B., Bentley, M. V. L. B., Garcia, F. S., De Moragas, M., Viladot, J. L., &  
732 Marchetti, J. M. (2006). A study of the characteristics and in vitro permeation properties  
733 of CMC/chitosan microparticles as a skin delivery system for vitamin E. *Brazilian*  
734 *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 42(1), 69-76. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1516-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1516-93322006000100007)  
735 [93322006000100007](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1516-93322006000100007).

736 Almeida, M. E. F., & Corrêa, A. D. (2012). Utilização de cactáceas do gênero *Pereskia*  
737 na alimentação humana em um município de Minas Gerais. *Ciência Rural*, 42(4), 751-  
738 756. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0103-84782012000400029>.

739 Andreani, T., Miziara, L., Lorenzon, E. N., Souza, A. L. R., Kiill, C. P., Fangueiro, J. F.,  
740 Garcia, M. L., Gremiao, P. D., Silva, A. M., & Souto, E. B. (2015). Effect of  
741 mucoadhesive polymers on the in vitro performance of insulin-loaded silica  
742 nanoparticles: Interactions with mucin and biomembrane models. *European Journal of*  
743 *Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics*, 93, 118-126.  
744 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpb.2015.03.027>.

745 Andrews, N. C. (1999). Disorders of iron metabolism. *The New English Journal of*  
746 *Medicine*, 341, 1986-1995. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199912233412607>.

747 Argüelles-Monal, W., Cabrera, G., Peniche, C., & Rinaudo, M. (2000). Conductimetric  
748 study of the interpolyelectrolyte reaction between chitosan and polygalacturonic acid.  
749 *Polymer*, 41(7), 2373-2378. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-3861\(99\)00396-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-3861(99)00396-1).

750 Bagre, A. O., Jain, K., & Jain, N. K. (2013). Alginate coated chitosan core shell  
751 nanoparticles for oral delivery of enoxaparin: In vitro and in vivo assessment.  
752 *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 456(1), 31-40.  
753 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2013.08.037>.

754 Bhatia, S. C., & Ravi, N. A. (2003). Mössbauer study of the interaction of chitosan and  
755 D-glucosamine with iron and its relevance to other metalloenzymes. *Biomacromolecules*,  
756 4(3), 723-727. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bm020131n>.

757 Bayat, A., Dorkoosh, F. A., Dehpour, A. R., Moezi, L., Larijani, B., Junginger, H. E., &  
758 Rafiee-Tehrani, M. (2008). Nanoparticles of quaternized chitosan derivatives as a carrier  
759 for colon delivery of insulin: Ex vivo and in vivo studies. *International Journal of*  
760 *Pharmaceutics*, 356(1-2), 259-266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2007.12.037>.

761 Belscak-Cvitanovic, A., Đorđević, V., Karlović, S., Pavlović, V., Komes, D., Jezek, D.,  
762 Bugarski, B., & Nedović, V. (2015). Protein-reinforced and chitosan-pectin coated  
763 alginate microparticles for delivery of flavan-3-ol antioxidants and caffeine from green

764 tea extract. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 51, 361-374.  
765 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2015.05.039>.

766 Bernabé, P., Peniche, C., & Argüelles-Monal, W. (2005). Swelling behavior of  
767 chitosan/pectin polyelectrolyte complex membranes. Effect of thermal cross-linking.  
768 *Polymer Bulletin*, 55(5), 367-375. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00289-005-0439-5>.

769 Berger, J., Reist, M., Mayer, J. M., Felt, O., & Gurny, R. (2004). Structure and  
770 interactions in chitosan hydrogels formed by complexation or aggregation for biomedical  
771 applications. *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics*, 57(1), 35-52.  
772 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0939-6411\(03\)00160-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0939-6411(03)00160-7).

773 Calvo, P., Remunan-Lopez, C., Vila-Jato, J. L., & Alonso, M. J. (1997). Novel  
774 hydrophilic chitosan-polyethylene oxide nanoparticles as protein carriers. *Journal of*  
775 *Applied Polymer Science*, 63(1), 125-132. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4628(19970103)63:1<125::AID-APP13>3.0.CO;2-4)  
776 [4628\(19970103\)63:1<125::AID-APP13>3.0.CO;2-4](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4628(19970103)63:1<125::AID-APP13>3.0.CO;2-4).

777 Carlan, I. C., Estevinho, B. N., & Rocha, F. (2017). Study of microencapsulation and  
778 controlled release of modified chitosan microparticles containing vitamin B12. *Powder*  
779 *Technology*, 318, 162-169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2017.05.041>.

780 Cerchiara, T., Abruzzo, A., Di Cagno, M., Bigucci, F., Bauer-Brandl, A., Parolin, C.,  
781 Vitali, B., Gallucci, M. C., & Luppi, B. (2015). Chitosan based micro- and nanoparticles  
782 for colon-targeted delivery of vancomycin prepared by alternative processing methods.  
783 *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics*, 92, 112-119.  
784 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpb.2015.03.004>.

785 Chen, M-C., Wong, H-S., Lin, K-J., Chen, H-L., Wey, S-P., Sonaje, K., Lin, Y-H., Chu,  
786 C-Y., & Sung, H-W. (2009). The characteristics, biodistribution and bioavailability of a  
787 chitosan-based nanoparticulate system for the oral delivery of heparin. *Biomaterials*,  
788 30(34), 6629-6637. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2009.08.030>.

789 Chen, P-H., Kuo, T-Y., Kuo, J-Y., Tseng, Y-Po., Wang, D-M., Lai, J-Y., & Hsieh, H-J.  
790 (2010). Novel chitosan-pectin composite membranes with enhanced strength,  
791 hydrophilicity and controllable disintegration. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 82, 1236-1242.  
792 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.06.057>.

793 Costalat, M., David, L., & Delair, T. (2014). Reversible controlled assembly of chitosan  
794 and dextran sulfate: A new method for nanoparticle elaboration. *Carbohydrate Polymers*,  
795 102 (1), 717-726. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2013.10.098>

796 Crichton, R. (2001). Inorganic biochemistry of iron metabolism: from molecular  
797 mechanisms to clinical consequences. (2nd ed.). Chichester: John Wiley & Sons Ltd,  
798 (Chapter 2).

799 Crichton, R. R., Wilmet, S., Legssyer, R., & Ward, R. J. (2002). Molecular and cellular  
800 mechanisms of iron homeostasis and toxicity in mammalian cells. *Journal of Inorganic*  
801 *Biochemistry*, 91, 9-18. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0162-0134\(02\)00461-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0162-0134(02)00461-0).

802 Fonte, P., Nogueira, T., Gehm, C., Ferreira, D., & Sarmiento, B. (2011). Chitosan-coated  
803 solid lipid nanoparticles enhance the oral absorption of insulin. *Drug Delivery and*  
804 *Translational Research*, 1(4), 299-308. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13346-011-0023-5>.

805 Fuenzalida, J. P., Weikert, T., Hoffmann, S., Vila-Sanjurjo, C., Moerschbacher, B. M.,  
806 Gropper S. S., Smith J. L., & Groff, J. K. (2009). *Advanced Nutrition and Human*  
807 *Metabolism*. (5th ed.). Wadsworth: Belmont.

808 Ge, J., Yue, P., Chi, J., Liang, J., & Gao, X. (2018). Formation and stability of  
809 anthocyanins-loaded nanocomplexes prepared with chitosan hydrochloride and  
810 carboxymethyl chitosan. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 74, 23-31.  
811 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2017.07.029>.

812 George, M., & Abraham, T. E. (2006). Polyionic hydrocolloids for the intestinal delivery  
813 of protein drugs: alginate and chitosan: a review. *Journal of Controlled Release*, 114(1),  
814 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2006.04.017>.

815 Glahn, R. P., Gangloff, M. B., Van Campen, D. R., Miller, D. D., Wien, E. M., & Norvell,  
816 W. A. (1995). Bathophenanthroline disulfonic acid and sodium dithionite effectively  
817 remove surface-bound iron from Caco-2 cell monolayers. *The Journal of Nutrition*,  
818 125(7), 1833-1840. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/125.7.1833>.

819 Goycoolea, F. M., Brunel, F., Gueddari, N. E., Coggiola, A., Lollo, G., Moerschbacher,  
820 B. M., Remuñán-López, C., Delair, T., Domard, A., & Alonso, M. J. (2016). Physical  
821 properties and stability of soft gelled chitosan-based nanoparticles. *Macromolecular*  
822 *Bioscience*, 16(12), 1873-1882. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mabi.201600298>.

823 Goycoolea, F. M., Valle-Gallego, A., Stefani, R., Menchicchi, B., David, L., Rochas, C.,  
824 Santander-Ortega, M. J., & Alonso, M. J. (2012). Chitosan-based nanocapsules: Physical  
825 characterization stability in biological media and capsaicin encapsulation. *Colloid and*  
826 *Polymer Science*, 290(14), 1423-1434. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00396-012-2669-z>.

827 Gronner, A., Silva, V. D., & Maluf, W. R. (1999). *Ora-pro-nobis (Pereskia aculeata): “a*  
828 *carne de pobre”*. Lavras: UFLA (Boletim Técnico de Hortaliças, 37).

829 Gursoy, N., Garrigue, J. S., Razafindratsita, A., Lambert, G., & Benita, S. (2003).

830 Excipient effects on in vitro cytotoxicity of a novel paclitaxel self-emulsifying drug  
831 delivery system. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Science*, 92(12), 2411-2418.  
832 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jps.10501>.

833 Hermida, L. G., Roig, A., Bregni, C., Sabés-Xamaní, M., & Barnadas-Rodríguez, R.  
834 (2010). Preparation and characterization of iron-containing liposomes: their effect on  
835 soluble iron uptake by Caco-2 cells. *Journal of Liposome Research*, 21(3), 203-212.  
836 <https://doi.org/10.3109/08982104.2010.517536>.

837 Hu, Q., Bae, M., Fleming, E., Lee, J.-Y., & Luo, Y. (2019). Biocompatible polymeric  
838 nanoparticles with exceptional gastrointestinal stability as oral delivery vehicles for  
839 lipophilic bioactives. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 89, 386-395.  
840 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2018.10.057>.

841 Huang, X., Liu, Y., Zou, Y., Liang, X., Peng, Y., McClements, D. J., & Hu, K. (2019).  
842 Encapsulation of resveratrol in zein/pectin core-shell nanoparticles: Stability,  
843 bioaccessibility, and antioxidant capacity after simulated gastrointestinal digestion. *Food*  
844 *Hydrocolloids*, 93, 261-269. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2019.02>.

845 Hurrell, R. F., Lynch, S., Bothwell, T., Cori, H., Glahn, R., Hertrampf, E., Kratky, Z.,  
846 Miller, D., Rodenstein, M., Streekstra, H., Teucher, B., Turner, E., Yeung, C. K., &  
847 Zimmermann, M. B. (2004). Enhancing the absorption of fortification iron. A sustain task  
848 force report. *International Journal of Vitamin Nutrition Research*, 74(6), 387-401.  
849 <https://doi.org/10.1024/0300-9831.74.6.387>.

850 Iannone, M., Mare, R., Paolino, D., Gagliardi, A., Froiio, F., Cosco, D., & Fresta, M.  
851 (2017). Characterization and in vitro anticancer properties of chitosan-microencapsulated  
852 flavan-3-ols-rich grape seed extracts. *International Journal of Biological*  
853 *Macromolecules*, 104(PtA), 1039-1045. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2017.07.022>.

854 Kleine-Brüggeney, H., Zorzi, G. K., El-Gueddari, N. E., Moerschbacher, B. M., &  
855 Goycoolea, F. M. (2015). A rational approach towards the design of chitosan-based  
856 nanoparticles obtained by ionotropic gelation. *Colloids Surface B*, 135, 99-108.  
857 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2015.07.016>.

858 Kulikouskaya, V. I., Lazouskaya, M. E., & Agabekov, V. E. (2019). Features of the  
859 Formation of Interpolyelectrolyte Complexes Based on Chitosan and Pectin. *Theoretical*  
860 *and Experimental Chemistry*, 54(6), 375-385. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11237-019-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11237-019-09584-8)  
861 [09584-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11237-019-09584-8).

862 Kumar, A., & Ahuja, M. (2013). Carboxymethyl gum kondagogu–chitosan  
863 polyelectrolyte complex nanoparticles: preparation and characterization. *International*  
864 *Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 62, 80-84.  
865 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2013.08.035>.

866 Lavertu, M., Xia, Z., Serreqi, A. N., Berrada, M., Rodrigues, A., Wang, D., Buschmann,  
867 M. D., & Gupta, A. (2003). A validated <sup>1</sup>H NMR method for the determination of the  
868 degree of deacetylation of chitosan. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*,  
869 32(6), 1149-1158. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0731-7085\(03\)00155-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0731-7085(03)00155-9).

870 Lima Junior, F. A., Conceição, M. C., Resende, J. V., Junqueira, L. A., Pereira, C. G., &  
871 Prado, M. E. T. (2013). Response surface methodology for optimization of the mucilage  
872 extraction process from *Pereskia aculeata* Miller. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 33(1), 38-47.  
873 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2013.02.012>.

874 Lea, T. (2015). Caco-2 cell line. In K. Verhoeckx, P. Cotter, I. López-Expósito, C.  
875 Kleiveland, T. Lea, A. Mackie, T. Requena, D. Swiatecka, & H. Wichers (Eds.), *The*  
876 *impact of food bio-actives on gut health* (pp. 103-111). Springer International Publishing.

877 Loretz, B., & Bernkop-Schnurch, A. (2007). In vitro cytotoxicity testing of non-thiolated  
878 and thiolated chitosan nanoparticles for oral gene delivery. *Nanotoxicology*, 1(2), 139-  
879 148. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17435390701554200>.

880 Luo, Y., Teng, Z., Li, Y., & Wang, Q. (2015). Solid lipid nanoparticles for oral drug  
881 delivery: Chitosan coating improves stability, controlled delivery, mucoadhesion and  
882 cellular uptake. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 122, 221-229.  
883 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.12.084>.

884 Luo, Y., & Wang, Q. (2014). Recent development of chitosan-based polyelectrolyte  
885 complexes with natural polysaccharides for drug delivery. *International Journal of*  
886 *Biological Macromolecules*, 64, 353-367.  
887 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2013.12.017>.

888 Maciel, V. B. V., Yoshida, C. M. P., & Franco, T. T. (2015). Chitosan/pectin  
889 polyelectrolyte complex as a pH indicator. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 132, 537-545.  
890 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2015.06.047>.

891 Maciel, V. B. V., Yoshida, C. M. P., Pereira, S. M. S. S., Goycoolea, F. M., & Franco, T.  
892 T. (2017). Electrostatic self-assembled chitosan-pectin nano- and microparticles for  
893 insulin delivery. *Molecules*, 22(10), E1707. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules22101707>.

894 Maciel, V. B. V., Yoshida, C. M. P., & Goycoolea, F. M. (2018). Agronomic Cultivation,  
895 Chemical Composition, Functional Activities and Applications of Pereskia Species - A  
896 Mini Review, *Current Medicinal Chemistry*, in press, 2018.  
897 <https://doi.org/10.2174/0929867325666180926151615>.

898 Mallick, S. P., Singh, B. N., Rastogi, A., & Srivastava, P. (2018). Design and evaluation  
899 of chitosan/poly(L-lactide)/pectin based composite scaffolds for cartilage tissue  
900 regeneration. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 112, 909-920.  
901 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.02.049>.

902 Martins, J. G., Camargo, S. E. A., Bishop, T. T., Popat, K. C., Kipper, M. J., & Martins,  
903 A. F. (2018). Pectin-chitosan membrane scaffold imparts controlled stem cell adhesion  
904 and proliferation. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 197, 47-56.  
905 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2018.05.062>.

906 Martnez-Navarrete, N., Camacho, M. M., Martnez-Lahuerta, J., Martnez-Monzo, J., &  
907 Fito, P. (2002). Iron deficiency and iron fortified foods – a review. *Food Research*  
908 *International*, 35(2-3), 225-231. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0963-9969\(01\)00189-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0963-9969(01)00189-2).

909 Menchicchi, B., Fuenzalida, J. P., Bobbili, K. B., Hensel, A., Swamy, M. J., & Goycoolea,  
910 F. M. (2014). Structure of chitosan determines its interactions with mucin.  
911 *Biomacromolecules*, 15(10), 3550-3558. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bm5007954>.

912 Meng, X., Tian, F., Yang, J., He, C-N., Xing, N., & Li, F. (2010). Chitosan and alginate  
913 polyelectrolyte complex membranes and their properties for wound dressing application.  
914 *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine*, 21(5), 1751-1759.  
915 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10856-010-3996-6>.

916 Nguyen, S., Winnik, F. M., & Buschmann, M. D. (2009). Improved reproducibility in the  
917 determination of the molecular weight of chitosan by analytical size exclusion  
918 chromatography. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 75(3), 528-533.  
919 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2008.08.013>.

920 Nishijima, T., Iwai, K., Saito, Y., Takida, Y., & Matsue, H. Chronic ingestion of apple  
921 pectin can enhance the absorption of quercetin. *Journal of Agricultural and Food*  
922 *Chemistry*, 57(6), 2583-2587. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf803547h>.

923 Niu, Z., Loveday, S. M., Barbe, V., Thielen, I., He, Y., & Singh, H. (2019). Protection of  
924 native lactoferrin under gastric conditions through complexation with pectin and chitosan.  
925 *Food Hydrocolloids*, 93, 120-130.  
926 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2019.02.020>.

927 Okonogi, S., Duangrat, C., Anuchpreeda, S., Tachakittirungrod, S., &

928 Chowwanapoonpohn, S. (2007). Comparison of antioxidant capacities and cytotoxicities  
929 of certain fruit peels. *Food Chemistry*, 103(3), 839-846.  
930 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.09.034>.

931 Oliveira, D. C. S., Wobeto, C., Zanuzo, M. R., & Severgnini, C. (2013). Composição  
932 mineral e teor de ácido ascórbico nas folhas de quatro espécies olerícolas não-  
933 convencionais. *Horticultura Brasileira*, 31(3), 472-475.  
934 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0102-05362013000300021>.

935 Oliveira, P. M., Matos, B. N., Pereira, P. A. T., Gratieri, T., Faccioli, L. H., Cunha-Filho,  
936 M. S. S., & Gelfuso, G. M. (2017). Microparticles prepared with 50–190 kDa chitosan as  
937 promising non-toxic carriers for pulmonary delivery of isoniazid. *Carbohydrate*  
938 *Polymers*, 174, 421-431. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.06.090>.

939 Ribeiro, L. N. M., Alcântara, A. C. S., Darder, M., Aranda, P., Araújo-Moreira, F. M., &  
940 Ruiz-Hitzky, E. (2014). Pectin-coated chitosan-LDH bionanocomposite beads as  
941 potential systems for colon-targeted drug delivery. *International Journal of*  
942 *Pharmaceutics*, 463(1), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2013.12.035>.

943 Rutz, J. K., Borges, C. D., Zambiasi, R. C., da Rosa, C. G., & da Silva, M. M. (2016).  
944 Elaboration of microparticles of carotenoids from natural and synthetic sources for  
945 applications in food. *Food Chemistry*, 202, 324-333.  
946 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.01.140>.

947 Saha, L., Pandhi, P., Gopalan, S., Malhotra, S., & Saha, P. K. (2007). Comparison of  
948 efficacy, tolerability, and cost of iron polymaltose complex with ferrous sulphate in the  
949 treatment of iron deficiency anemia in pregnant women. *Medscape General Medicine*,  
950 9(1), 1. PMID: 17435611.

951 Santander-Ortega, M. J., Peula- García, J. M., Goycoolea, F. M., & Ortega-Vinuesa, J. L.  
952 (2011). Chitosan nanocapsules: effect of chitosan molecular weight and acetylation  
953 degree on their electrokinetic and stability behaviour. *Colloids Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*  
954 82, 571-580. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2010.10.019>

955 Santos-Carballal, B., Aaldering, L. K., Ritzefeld, M., Pereira, S., Sewald, N.,  
956 Moerschbacher, B. M., Götte, M., & Goycoolea, F. M. (2015). Physicochemical and  
957 biological characterization of chitosan-microRNA nanocomplexes for gene delivery to  
958 MCF-7 breast cancer cells. *Scientific Reports*, 5, 13567.  
959 <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep13567>.

960 Schümann, K., Ettle, T., Szegner, B., Elsenhans, B., & Solomons, N. W. (2007). On risks  
961 and benefits of iron supplementation recommendations for iron intake revisited. *Journal*

962 of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology, 21(3), 147-168.  
963 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtemb.2007.06.002>.

964 Sharma, A., Shree, B. G. S., Arora, S., & Kapila, S. (2017). Preparation of lactose-iron  
965 complex and its cyto-toxicity, in-vitro digestion and bioaccessibility in Caco-2 cell model  
966 system. *Food Bioscience*, 20, 125-130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbio.2017.10.001>.

967 Sharp, P. (2005). Methods and options for estimating iron and zinc bioavailability using  
968 Caco-2 cell models: benefits and limitations. *International Journal for Vitamin and*  
969 *Nutrition Research*, 75(6), 413-421. <https://doi.org/10.1024/0300-9831.75.6.413>.

970 Soleimani, N., & Abbaszadeh, N. (2011) Relationship between anaemia, caused from the  
971 iron deficiency, and academic achievement among third grade high school female  
972 students. *International Conference on Education and Educational Psychology*, 29, 1877-  
973 1884. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.11.437>.

974 Soliman, G. M., Zhang, Y. L., Merle, G., Cerruti, M., & Barralet, J. (2014). Hydrocaffeic  
975 acid–chitosan nanoparticles with enhanced stability, mucoadhesion and permeation  
976 properties. *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics*, 88(3), 1026-  
977 1037. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpb.2014.09.003>.

978 Somsook, E., Hinsin, D., Buakhrong, P., Teanchai, R., Mophan, N., Pohmakotr, M., &  
979 Shiowatana, J. (2005). Interactions between iron(III) and sucrose, dextran, or starch in  
980 complexes. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 61, 281-287.  
981 <https://doi:10.1016/j.carbpol.2005.04.019>

982 Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. (1999). American  
983 Public Health Association (pp. 442-447).

984 Takeiti, C. Y., Antônio, G. C., Motta, E. M. P., Collares-Queiroz, F. P., & Park, K. J.  
985 (2009). Nutritive evaluation of a non-conventional leafy vegetable (*Pereskia aculeate*  
986 Miller). *International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition*, 60(Suppl. 1), 148-160.  
987 <https://doi.org/10.1080/09637480802534509>.

988 Trapani, A., Di Gioia, S., Ditaranto, N., Cioffic, N., Goycoolea, F. M., Carbone, A.,  
989 Garcia-Fuentes, M., Conese, M., & Alonso, M.J. (2013). Systemic heparin delivery by  
990 the pulmonary route using chitosan and glycol chitosan nanoparticles. *International*  
991 *Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 447(1-2), 115-123.  
992 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2013.02.035>.

993 USP-NF. (2019). United States Pharmacopeia 42-National Formulary 37. Rockville:  
994 United States Pharmacopeial Convention.

995 Xia, S., & Xu, S. (2005). Ferrous sulfate liposomes: preparation, stability and application

996 in fluid milk. *Food Research International*, 38(3), 289-296.  
997 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2004.04.010>.

998 Vaarum, K. M., & Smidsrod, O. (2005). Structure-property relationship in chitosans. In  
999 S. Dumitriu (Ed.), *Polysaccharides: Structural Diversity and Functional Versatility* (pp.  
1000 625-660), New York: Marcel Dekker Inc.

1001 Yang, R., Liu, Y., Gao, Y., Wang, Y., Blanchard, C., & Zhou, Z. (2017). Ferritin  
1002 glycosylated by chitosan as a novel EGCG nano-carrier: Structure, stability, and  
1003 absorption analysis. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 105(Pt 1), 252-  
1004 261. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2017.07.040>.

1005 Yuan, D., Jacquier, J. C., & O’Riordan, E. D. (2018). Entrapment of proteins and peptides  
1006 in chitosan-polyphosphoric acid hydrogel beads: a new approach to achieve both high  
1007 entrapment efficiency and controlled in vitro release. *Food Chemistry*, 239, 1200-1209.  
1008 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.07.021>.

1009 Zhang, L., Wang, J., Ni, C., Zhang, Y., & Shi, G. (2016). Preparation of polyelectrolyte  
1010 complex nanoparticles of chitosan and poly(2-acrylamido-2-methylpropanesulfonic  
1011 acid) for doxorubicin release. *Material Science and Engineering: C*, 58, 724-729.  
1012 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2015.09.044>.

1013 Zhang, H., & Zhao, Y. (2015). Preparation, characterization and evaluation of tea  
1014 polyphenole-Zn complex loaded  $\beta$ -chitosan nanoparticles. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 48, 260-  
1015 273. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2015.02.015>.

1016 Zariwala, M. G., Elsaid, N., Jackson, T. L., López, F. C., Farnaud, S., Somavarapu, S., &  
1017 Renshaw, D. (2013a). A novel approach to oral iron delivery using ferrous sulphate  
1018 loaded solid lipid nanoparticles. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 456(2), 400-  
1019 407. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2013.08.070>.

1020 Zariwala, M. G., Somavarapu, S., Farnaud, S., & Renshaw, D. (2013b). Comparison  
1021 study of oral iron preparations using a human intestinal model. *Scientia Pharmaceutica*,  
1022 81(4), 1123-1139. <https://doi.org/10.3797/scipharm.1304-03>.

1023 Zimmermann, M. B., & Hurrell, R. F. (2007). Nutritional iron deficiency. *Lancet*,  
1024 370(9586), 511-520. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(07\)61235-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61235-5).

1025  
1026  
1027  
1028  
1029

1030 **Table 1.** Mineral content present in ora-pro-nobis (OPN) extract.

<b>Minerals</b>	<b>Content</b>
Manganese	4593.00
Iron	2030.00
Copper	105.00
Zinc	373.00
Calcium	6.03
Magnesium	79.10
Potassium	465.00
Sulphur	26.70
Phosphorous	20.20

1031  
 1032  
 1033  
 1034  
 1035  
 1036  
 1037  
 1038  
 1039  
 1040  
 1041  
 1042  
 1043  
 1044  
 1045  
 1046  
 1047  
 1048  
 1049  
 1050  
 1051  
 1052

1053 **Table 2.** Production yield of chitosan-pectin microparticles loaded with ora-pro-nobis  
 1054 (OPN) extract prepared at different charge ratios (n+/n-) and comprising chitosans of  
 1055 varying degree of acetylation.

Chitosan (DA)	Charge ratio (n+/n-)	Production yield (%)
L-DA (8.6 %)	0.25	20.41 ± 0.96 <sup>b</sup>
	5.00	25.01 ± 0.84 <sup>a</sup>
H-DA (22.3 %)	0.25	26.38 ± 0.63 <sup>a</sup>
	5.00	26.09 ± 0.41 <sup>a</sup>

1056 Different letters differ statistically ( $p < 0.05$ ) by Tukey's test. Results are mean with SE of three independent  
 1057 experiments. L-DA = low degree of acetylation. H-DA = high degree of acetylation.

1058  
 1059  
 1060  
 1061  
 1062  
 1063  
 1064  
 1065  
 1066  
 1067  
 1068  
 1069  
 1070  
 1071  
 1072  
 1073  
 1074  
 1075  
 1076  
 1077  
 1078  
 1079  
 1080  
 1081

1082 **Table 3.** Iron association efficiency from different sources (ora-pro-nobis extract (OPN)  
 1083 and FeSO<sub>4</sub> solution) at the microparticles prepared in different charge ratios (n+/n-) and  
 1084 chitosan with degree of acetylation 22.3 %.

<b>Samples</b>	<b>Charge ratio (n+/n-)</b>	<b>Iron association efficiency (%)</b>
Chitosan/pectin/OPN extract	0.25	33.99 ± 2.54 <sup>d</sup>
Chitosan/pectin/OPN extract	5.00	59.56 ± 3.33 <sup>b</sup>
Chitosan/pectin/FeSO <sub>4</sub> solution	0.25	45.78 ± 2.87 <sup>c</sup>
Chitosan/pectin/FeSO <sub>4</sub> solution	5.00	63.21 ± 4.69 <sup>a</sup>

1085 Results are mean with SE of three independent experiments. Different letters differ statistically (p < 0.05)  
 1086 by Tukey's test.

1087  
 1088  
 1089  
 1090  
 1091  
 1092  
 1093  
 1094  
 1095  
 1096  
 1097  
 1098  
 1099  
 1100  
 1101  
 1102  
 1103  
 1104  
 1105  
 1106  
 1107  
 1108  
 1109

1110 **Table 4.** Caco-2 cells iron uptake from microparticles, ora-pro-nobis (OPN) extract and  
 1111 FeSO<sub>4</sub> solution.

<b>Samples</b>	<b>Charge ratio (n+/n-)</b>	<b>Ferritin (ng mg<sup>-1</sup> of cell protein)</b>
CS/PT/OPN extract	0.25	3.53 ± 0.21 <sup>g</sup>
CS/PT/OPN extract	5.00	6.81 ± 0.36 <sup>f</sup>
CS/PT/FeSO <sub>4</sub> solution	0.25	28.18 ± 1.97 <sup>d</sup>
CS/PT/FeSO <sub>4</sub> solution	5.00	16.41 ± 1.45 <sup>e</sup>
OPN extract <sup>#1</sup>	-	174.96 ± 15.44 <sup>c</sup>
FeSO <sub>4</sub> solution <sup>#2</sup>	-	508.79 ± 26.43 <sup>a</sup>
Negative control	-	0.89 ± 0.01 <sup>h</sup>

1112 Different letters denote statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) by Tukey's test. Results are mean  
 1113 average ± SE of three independent experiments. <sup>#1</sup>Amount of total iron: 1522 ng mL<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>#2</sup>Amount of iron  
 1114 Fe<sup>2+</sup>: 1522 ng mL<sup>-1</sup>. CS = chitosan, PT = pectin.

1115  
 1116  
 1117  
 1118  
 1119  
 1120  
 1121  
 1122  
 1123  
 1124  
 1125  
 1126  
 1127  
 1128  
 1129  
 1130  
 1131  
 1132  
 1133  
 1134

1135 **Table 5.** Dose-response effect for Caco-2 cells iron uptake using FeSO<sub>4</sub> solution at  
1136 different concentrations.

<b>FeSO<sub>4</sub> solution (μM)</b>	<b>Ferritin (ng mg<sup>-1</sup> of cell protein)</b>
5.0	53.08 ± 3.85 <sup>d</sup>
10.0	233.58 ± 16.52 <sup>c</sup>
20.0	607.58 ± 28.54 <sup>b</sup>
50.0	744.41 ± 42.11 <sup>a</sup>
80.0	785.31 ± 39.05 <sup>a</sup>

1137 Different letters differ statistically ( $p < 0.05$ ) by Tukey's test. Results are mean with SE of three independent  
1138 experiments.