

This is a repository copy of Modeling the economic impact of interventions for older populations with multimorbidity: a method of linking multiple single-disease models.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/150545/

Version: Supplemental Material

# Article:

Youn, J.-H., Stevenson, M.D. orcid.org/0000-0002-3099-9877, Thokala, P. et al. (2 more authors) (2019) Modeling the economic impact of interventions for older populations with multimorbidity: a method of linking multiple single-disease models. Medical Decision Making, 39 (7). pp. 842-856. ISSN 0272-989X

https://doi.org/10.1177/0272989x19868987

Youn J-H, Stevenson MD, Thokala P, Payne K, Goddard M. Modeling the Economic Impact of Interventions for Older Populations with Multimorbidity: A Method of Linking Multiple Single-Disease Models. Medical Decision Making. 2019;39(7):842-856. Copyright © 2019 The Author(s). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/0272989X19868987. Article available under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-ncnd/4.0/).

## Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

## Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

# **Figures**

Manuscript Title:

Modelling the economic impact of interventions for older populations with multimorbidity: a method of linking multiple single-disease models

#### Figure 1. A schematic diagram of the linked disease model

For each simulated individual with or without any of the diseases





Figure 2. Discrete event simulation (DES) model with and without model linkage<sup>+</sup>

<sup>+</sup>All y-axes of the diagrams show examples of variables defining the respective models and changes in their values over simulation time (x-axes); \*Global parameters: variables that apply to all simulated individuals such as discount rates, unit cost of interventions and utility associated with health events; \*\*Individual attributes: variables that reflect changes in individual characteristics over time such as age, a previous experience of disease events and utility multipliers relevant to the individual at specific event times; ‡Central routing variable was added after combining all single-disease model variables in the linked model to indicate in which disease model the next event is scheduled to occur.



Figure 3. The structure of the individual disease models



Osteoporosis model: Four fractures (hip, vertebral, wrist and proximal humerus) were included as osteoporotic fracture events. The events also included nursing home entry from hip fracture; death following fracture; and non-fracture related death (see Stevenson et al. 2009).

\*The 'utility updates' event was included in Figure 2a and 2c in order to reflect the differences in costs and utilities for the first year and subsequent years after each event. This event activated a transient utility state where a different utility value is applied when there is no actual disease event but there is a change in utilities and costs.



# Figure 4. Comparison of incremental costs and QALYs from the three individual disease models