



This is a repository copy of *Global loss of climate connectivity in tropical forests*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/148495/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Senior, R.A. orcid.org/0000-0002-8208-736X, Hill, J.K. and Edwards, D.P. (2019) Global loss of climate connectivity in tropical forests. *Nature Climate Change*, 9 (8). pp. 623-626. ISSN 1758-678X

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0529-2>

This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in *Nature Climate Change*. The final authenticated version is available online at:
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0529-2>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Global loss of climate connectivity in tropical forests

Rebecca A. Senior^{1*}, Jane K. Hill² and David P. Edwards¹

¹Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, Alfred Denny Building, University of Sheffield,
Western Bank, Sheffield, S10 2TN, UK

²Department of Biology, University of York, Wentworth Way, York, YO10 5DD, UK

***Corresponding author:** rebecca.a.senior@gmail.com (R.A. Senior)

ORCID iDs: orcid.org/0000-0002-8208-736X (R.A. Senior); orcid.org/0000-0003-1871-7715 (J.K. Hill)

Key words: climate change, connectivity, land-use change, fragmentation, range shift, tropics

Article type: Letter

Title characters: 55/90

References: 32/30

15 **Abstract**

16 Range shifts are a crucial mechanism enabling species to avoid extinction under climate
17 change^{1,2}. The majority of terrestrial biodiversity is concentrated in the tropics³, including
18 species considered most vulnerable to climate warming⁴, but extensive and ongoing
19 deforestation of tropical forests is likely to impede range shifts^{5,6}. We conduct a global
20 assessment of the potential for tropical species to reach analogous future climates – ‘climate
21 connectivity’ – and empirically test how this has changed in response to deforestation
22 between 2000 and 2012. We find that over 62% of tropical forest area (~ 10M km²) is already
23 incapable of facilitating range shifts to analogous future climates. In just 12 years, continued
24 deforestation has caused a loss of climate connectivity for over 27% of surviving tropical
25 forest, with accelerating declines in connectivity as forest loss increased. On average, if
26 species’ ranges shift as far down climate gradients as permitted by existing forest
27 connectivity, by 2070 they would still experience 0.77°C of warming under the least severe
28 climate warming scenario, up to 2.6°C warming for the most severe scenario. Limiting further
29 forest loss and focusing the global restoration agenda towards creating climate corridors are
30 global priorities for improving resilience of tropical forest biotas under climate change.

31 **200/200 words**

32 **Main text**

33 Species survived periods of past climate warming by shifting their distributions polewards or
34 upslope. Today, species are again moving as the world warms^{1,2}, but must now also contend
35 with fragmentation of natural habitats by anthropogenic land-use change⁷. The tropics are of
36 particular concern, being simultaneously the stronghold of most remaining terrestrial
37 biodiversity³ and the main source of new agricultural land⁸. Additionally, the tropics will
38 experience the earliest appearance of novel climates⁹, for which many tropical species will be
39 unequipped because of narrow thermal safety margins⁴ and limited dispersal relative to rates
40 of climate change^{10,11}.

41 The potential for a species to shift its range in response to climate change depends both on the
42 future availability of suitable habitat with an analogous climate, and on the connectivity
43 between that habitat and the species' current distribution¹². Many studies have addressed these
44 factors individually, but few have integrated them to quantify the connectedness of natural
45 areas to future climate analogues – hereafter: ‘climate connectivity’¹³. Of those studies that
46 do^{6,12,14}, none has applied the approach pan-tropically, nor considered how climate
47 connectivity has changed over time.

48 Here we combine a high-resolution forest cover layer¹⁵ with current and projected future
49 Mean Annual Temperature¹⁶ (hereafter: *temperature*), to quantify across the tropics: (1) the
50 potential for species to reach analogous future climate within existing forest cover (year:
51 2012), and (2) the change in climate connectivity from 2000 to 2012, during a period of
52 extensive deforestation. Climate connectivity was calculated using the method of McGuire et
53 al.⁶, whereby natural land cover – here defined as cells with more than 50% forest cover¹⁵ –
54 was partitioned into patches based on current temperature (~1950-2000; WorldClim v1.4),
55 and each forest patch traced to the coolest patch that could be reached by traversing a gradient

56 of hotter to cooler adjacent patches. All patches were then assigned mean future temperature
57 for the year 2070 (average for 2061-2080), derived from the HadGEM2-AO general
58 circulation model¹⁷ and Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5, which is the most
59 severe ('business-as-usual') IPCC scenario. To capture the extent to which forest cover
60 enables species to reach somewhere that, under future climate warming, is the same or cooler
61 than their current locations, climate connectivity was calculated as the current temperature of
62 each patch minus the future temperature of its designated destination patch. Negative values
63 indicate that the coolest reachable forest is still warmer under climate change than the current
64 temperature, and species now living in the source patch would fail to reach an analogous
65 climate under projected warming.

66 We found that, on average, if tropical species shifted as far along temperature gradients as
67 permitted by current forest cover, they would still experience 2.6°C of warming under
68 projected future climate change (median value across all realms; Figure 1a). By comparison,
69 average warming without any movement would be 4°C. Average climate connectivity was
70 generally higher for larger land masses ($F = 45.5$, $p < 0.001$; Supplementary Figure 14), and
71 varied by biogeographic realm ($F = 78.5$, $p < 0.001$; Figure 2a): the Neotropics and
72 Afrotropics were the least well connected, resulting in unavoidable warming of 2.9°C and
73 2.8°C, respectively. Range-shifting species in Indomalaya, Australasia and Oceania would
74 also fail to reach analogous temperatures, experiencing warming of 2.6, 2.4 and 2.2°C,
75 respectively. Thus, the average tropical forest, for any given realm, is not sufficiently
76 connected along a temperature gradient to enable species to avoid climate change by shifting
77 their distribution.

78 Overall, 62% of tropical forest area failed to achieve successful climate connectivity (≥ 0 ;
79 median value across realms), whereby species' range shifts within existing forest cover could
80 circumvent climate warming. This figure is comparable to the 59% observed in the

81 continental United States by McGuire et al.⁶, and is all the more concerning because of the
82 greater numbers of climate-vulnerable species in the tropics. Variation across biogeographic
83 realms showed slightly different patterns than for average climate connectivity ($F = 9.94$, $p <$
84 0.001 ; Figure 2b; considering only land masses with $< 100\%$ failure). Indomalaya was the
85 least successful realm with 70.1% of its forested area failing to connect to climate analogues,
86 followed by the Neotropics (66.8%), Afrotropics (62%), Oceania (57.8%), and Australasia
87 (37.4%). As in previous studies^{12,14}, regions with large, contiguous forest patches connecting
88 warmer lowland regions to cool uplands, such as the western Amazon, Congo Basin and New
89 Guinea (Figure 1a), can compensate somewhat for low average climate connectivity. That
90 said, in these locations the total path distance from source to target patch was often substantial
91 – up to $2,820$ km for one source patch in the Neotropics. Climate connectivity was
92 consistently low for regions with severe and extensive loss of lowland rainforests, such as
93 Indochina, Brazilian Atlantic forest and West Africa^{8,18}.

94 In only 12 years, change in climate connectivity was widespread – 26.6% of cells forested in
95 2000 or 2012 ($\sim 4\text{M km}^2$) experienced loss of climate connectivity, compared to 10% of cells
96 that experienced gains (Figure 1b). While average climate connectivity did not differ between
97 years ($F = 0.791$, $p = 0.374$; Figure 2a), the proportion of forested area that was successfully
98 connected decreased overall from 2000 to 2012 ($F = 13.6$, $p < 0.001$; Figure 2b), with
99 variation between realms ($F = 19.9$, $p < 0.001$; Figure 2b). The largest losses of climate
100 connectivity were seen in Indomalaya (-32.2%), followed by the Neotropics (-19.5%),
101 Australasia (-3.17%), and Oceania (-1.64%). Conversely, there was a considerable gain of
102 connected forest area in the Afrotropical realm ($+17.6\%$), likely driven by forest gain in
103 central Africa¹⁵.

104 Loss of climate connectivity from 2000 to 2012 increased non-linearly with increasing area of
105 forest loss ($F = 57$, $p < 0.001$; Figure 3; considering only land masses with $> 0\%$ forest loss),

106 and the shape of the relationship varied between realms ($F = 99.2$, $p < 0.001$; Figure 3).

107 Acceleration of climate connectivity loss with expanding deforestation is an inevitable and
108 concerning consequence of successively removing links between forest patches⁵. Observed
109 patterns were, however, shallower than under random deforestation (see Supplementary
110 Methods 6), which suggests that concentrating forest loss in certain areas, akin to land
111 sparing¹⁹, is a better way to maintain regional climate connectivity than peppering the
112 landscape with small-scale deforestation.

113 Reversal of the losses in climate connectivity already observed requires reinstating patch
114 connections, particularly along climate gradients²⁰. This objective could be achieved through
115 forest restoration initiatives such as the Bonn Challenge, which aims to restore 3.5 million
116 km² by 2030. Habitat corridors are not appropriate for all taxa and locations^{21,22}, but could be
117 of particular value in locations where poor climate connectivity (Figure 1a) or high
118 connectivity loss (Figure 1b) coincide with high species' vulnerability to climate change
119 (Supplementary Figure 15)²³ or high levels of endemism (Supplementary Figure 16).

120 The climate connectivity metric used here is a measure of the physical potential for thermally
121 restricted species to track climate through near-contiguous forest cover⁶. We focus on broad
122 trends across the Earth's most biodiverse terrestrial region, which requires assumptions and
123 simplifications that render our results less applicable at finer spatial scales and for particular
124 species²⁴. We do not incorporate any species-specific information, but suggest that loss of
125 climate connectivity increases the risk of extinction for thermally sensitive species, which
126 includes many tropical forest specialists that operate close to their upper thermal limits²⁵ and
127 have limited potential for physiological adaptation²⁶. Tropical ectotherms are already exposed
128 to maximum operative temperatures that are, on average, 17°C above their upper thermal
129 limits⁴, and must therefore rely on microhabitats and behaviour to avoid overheating. Other

130 factors, such as phenological shifts²⁷ and dispersal limits²⁸, will also affect the need and
131 capacity for species to shift their ranges.

132 We assumed that forest patches of 10 km² and above would be sufficiently large to facilitate
133 species range shifts, but in reality minimum patch size will depend on the species of interest.
134 Some mobile species could overcome patch fragmentation through long-distance dispersal
135 across the unsuitable matrix. However, in doing so they may incur other fitness costs, such as
136 reduced food intake and increased predation risk²⁹, such that loss of connectivity will
137 nonetheless be detrimental. High elevation patches might also be inherently vulnerable
138 because there are fewer places that are cooler. Repeating our analyses with different minimum
139 patch sizes and for high and low elevation patches separately revealed qualitatively similar
140 results (Supplementary Methods 1 and 2, respectively).

141 Our estimates of climate connectivity are conservative because the forest cover layer does not
142 distinguish between natural forest and tree plantations¹⁵. A precautionary reanalysis excluding
143 tree plantations for the seven countries where plantation boundaries were available (Brazil,
144 Cambodia, Colombia, Indonesia, Liberia, Malaysia, and Peru) revealed similar results, except
145 that from 2000 to 2012 the percentage of forest failing to connect to analogous climates
146 decreased by 2.9% when including plantations, compared to an increase of 8.7% if they were
147 excluded (see Supplementary Methods 3). We do not use sub-canopy temperature nor account
148 for variation in forest quality, but note that thermal buffering by forest canopy varies little
149 between pristine and degraded forests³⁰. Relative temperature change in the understorey, and
150 thus our broad conclusions, should therefore be consistent across forest types.

151 We focus on the most severe climate warming scenario (RCP8.5), which appears the most
152 likely outcome³¹. Repeating our analysis for the least severe scenario (RCP2.6) resulted in
153 similar overall trends, although the proportion of successfully connected forest was enhanced

154 and the loss of climate connectivity alleviated under RCP2.6 (Supplementary Methods 4).
155 Other climate variables – particularly temperature extremes and precipitation – are important
156 in determining the climatic niche of any given species. Unfortunately, projections of future
157 precipitation under climate change remain highly uncertain^{17,32} and are highly variable in
158 space, making it difficult to determine the gradient that species would follow to avoid
159 deleterious changes in precipitation.

160 Our study quantifies climate connectivity throughout the tropics and over time. Loss of forest
161 cover is extensive in the tropics^{8,15} and causes widespread and accelerating fragmentation of
162 remaining habitat⁵. Simultaneously, climate change poses an increasing risk to thermally
163 restricted tropical species⁴; the ability of these species to track climate will be important in
164 determining their risk of extinction under climate change. We found that, across most of the
165 tropics, current forest cover is insufficient to facilitate range shifts to future climate analogues,
166 and is likely to worsen as forest loss continues. Landscape planning for climate resilience
167 should limit the extent of forest loss to protect existing forest cover, via land-sparing
168 approaches and carbon-based payments for ecosystem services. Where opportunities arise to
169 protect or restore forest, such as through the global landscape restoration agenda,
170 disproportionate gains may come from connecting forest along climate gradients²⁰.

171 **1751/2000 words**

172 **References**

- 173 1. Chen, I.-C., Hill, J. K., Ohlemüller, R., Roy, D. B. & Thomas, C. D. Rapid Range Shifts of
174 Species Associated with High Levels of Climate Warming. *Science* **333**, 1024–1026 (2011).
- 175 2. Parmesan, C. Ecological and Evolutionary Responses to Recent Climate Change. *Annual*
176 *Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **37**, 637–669 (2006).
- 177 3. Jenkins, C. N., Pimm, S. L. & Joppa, L. N. Global patterns of terrestrial vertebrate diversity
178 and conservation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **110**, E2602–E2610
179 (2013).
- 180 4. Sunday, J. M. *et al.* Thermal-safety margins and the necessity of thermoregulatory behavior
181 across latitude and elevation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*
182 *States of America* **111**, 5610–5615 (2014).
- 183 5. Taubert, F. *et al.* Global patterns of tropical forest fragmentation. *Nature* (2018).
184 doi:10.1038/nature25508
- 185 6. McGuire, J. L., Lawler, J. J., McRae, B. H. & Theobald, D. M. Achieving climate
186 connectivity in a fragmented landscape. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
187 **113**, 7195–7200 (2016).
- 188 7. Tucker, M. A. *et al.* Moving in the Anthropocene: Global reductions in terrestrial
189 mammalian movements. *Science* **359**, 466–469 (2018).
- 190 8. Lewis, S. L., Edwards, D. P. & Galbraith, D. Increasing human dominance of tropical
191 forests. *Science* **349**, 827–832 (2015).
- 192 9. Mora, C. *et al.* The projected timing of climate departure from recent variability. *Nature*
193 **502**, 183–187 (2013).

- 194 10. Opdam, P. & Wascher, D. Climate change meets habitat fragmentation: Linking landscape
195 and biogeographical scale levels in research and conservation. *Biological Conservation* **117**,
196 285–297 (2004).
- 197 11. Loarie, S. R. *et al.* The velocity of climate change. *Nature* **462**, 1052–1055 (2009).
- 198 12. Littlefield, C. E., McRae, B. H., Michalak, J. L., Lawler, J. J. & Carroll, C. Connecting
199 today’s climates to future climate analogs to facilitate movement of species under climate
200 change. *Conservation Biology* **31**, 1397–1408 (2017).
- 201 13. Nuñez, T. A. *et al.* Connectivity Planning to Address Climate Change. *Conservation*
202 *Biology* **27**, 407–416 (2013).
- 203 14. Lawler, J. J., Ruesch, A. S., Olden, J. D. & McRae, B. H. Projected climate-driven faunal
204 movement routes. *Ecology Letters* **16**, 1014–1022 (2013).
- 205 15. Hansen, M. C. *et al.* High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover change.
206 *Science* **342**, 850–853 (2013).
- 207 16. Hijmans, R. J., Cameron, S. E., Parra, J. L., Jones, P. G. & Jarvis, A. Very high resolution
208 interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology* **25**,
209 1965–1978 (2005).
- 210 17. IPCC. *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group*
211 *I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*.
212 (Cambridge University Press, 2013).
- 213 18. Haddad, N. M. *et al.* Habitat fragmentation and its lasting impact on Earth’s ecosystems.
214 *Science Advances* **1**, (2015).

- 215 19. Green, R. E., Cornell, S. J., Scharlemann, J. P. W. & Balmford, A. Farming and the Fate
216 of Wild Nature. *Science* **307**, 550–555 (2005).
- 217 20. Elsen, P. R., Monahan, W. B. & Merenlender, A. M. Global patterns of protection of
218 elevational gradients in mountain ranges. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
219 **115**, 6004–6009 (2018).
- 220 21. Early, R. & Sax, D. F. Analysis of climate paths reveals potential limitations on species
221 range shifts. *Ecology Letters* **14**, 1125–1133 (2011).
- 222 22. Lees, A. C. & Peres, C. A. Conservation Value of Remnant Riparian Forest Corridors of
223 Varying Quality for Amazonian Birds and Mammals. *Conservation Biology* **22**, 439–449
224 (2008).
- 225 23. Pacifici, M., Visconti, P. & Rondinini, C. A framework for the identification of hotspots
226 of climate change risk for mammals. *Global Change Biology* **24**, 1626–1636 (2018).
- 227 24. Brito-Morales, I. *et al.* Climate Velocity Can Inform Conservation in a Warming World.
228 *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **33**, 441–457 (2018).
- 229 25. Sunday, J. M., Bates, A. E. & Dulvy, N. K. Thermal tolerance and the global
230 redistribution of animals. *Nature Climate Change* **2**, 686–690 (2012).
- 231 26. Araújo, M. B. *et al.* Heat freezes niche evolution. *Ecology Letters* **16**, 1206–1219 (2013).
- 232 27. Socolar, J. B., Epanchin, P. N., Beissinger, S. R. & Tingley, M. W. Phenological shifts
233 conserve thermal niches in North American birds and reshape expectations for climate-driven
234 range shifts. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **114**, 12976–12981 (2017).

- 235 28. Schloss, C. A., Nuñez, T. A. & Lawler, J. J. Dispersal will limit ability of mammals to
236 track climate change in the Western Hemisphere. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
237 *Sciences* **109**, 8606–8611 (2012).
- 238 29. Bonte, D. *et al.* Costs of dispersal. *Biological Reviews* **87**, 290–312 (2012).
- 239 30. Senior, R. A., Hill, J. K., Benedick, S. & Edwards, D. P. Tropical forests are thermally
240 buffered despite intensive selective logging. *Global Change Biology* **24**, 1267–1278 (2018).
- 241 31. Sanford, T., Frumhoff, P. C., Luers, A. & Gullett, J. The climate policy narrative for a
242 dangerously warming world. *Nature Climate Change* **4**, 164–166 (2014).
- 243 32. Corlett, R. T. Climate change in the tropics: The end of the world as we know it?
244 *Biological Conservation* **151**, 22–25 (2012).

245 **Methods**

246 We focused our study pan-tropically, including all land masses located between $\pm 23.4^\circ$
247 latitude. For those land masses with a true extent beyond the tropics, boundaries were
248 buffered by 100 km to reduce artificial truncation of climate gradients⁶. Maps were analysed
249 at 1-km resolution projected into the World Cylindrical Equal Area projection. All spatial
250 layers were processed with Python code implemented using the arcpy module in ArcMap
251 Version 10.4.1³³.

252 **Climate-partitioned forest patches**

253 Since we were interested in climate connectivity for species inhabiting tropical forests, we
254 calculated climate connectivity based on movement along a temperature gradient within
255 forested areas only. We defined cells as forest or non-forest using tree cover data from
256 Hansen et al. 2013¹⁵. For the year 2000, cells were defined as forested if they had > 50% tree
257 cover¹⁵. Results are conservative because the Hansen et al. dataset does not differentiate
258 between natural forest and tree plantations, but see Supplementary Methods 3 for analyses
259 excluding cells within tree plantations for those countries where plantation boundaries were
260 available (Brazil, Cambodia, Colombia, Indonesia, Liberia, Malaysia, and Peru). For the year
261 2012, cells were classified based on forest loss and forest gain¹⁵ relative to forest cover in
262 2000. If a cell had experienced forest loss from 2000 to 2012, it had gone from a forested to
263 non-forested state and the cell was classed as non-forest in 2012. Conversely, if a cell had
264 experienced forest gain from 2000 to 2012, it had gone from a non-forested to a forested state;
265 providing there had been no concomitant loss, the cell was classed as forest in 2012.
266 Summary statistics for forest cover in 2000 and 2012 by biogeographic realm can be found in
267 Supplementary Table 4.

268 We partitioned forest patches using a present-day (~1950-2000), 30-arc-second global layer
269 for Mean Annual Temperature (hereafter: temperature) from the WorldClim database Version
270 1.4^{6,16}, re-sampled to 1 km². The same approach was applied separately to forest cover in
271 2000 and 2012: temperature values were assigned to forested cells and reclassified to
272 increments of 0.5°C (full range: -18 to 32°C), based on evidence that tropical species are
273 sensitive to this degree of temperature difference^{34,35}. The resulting raster was converted to
274 polygons, whereby neighbouring forest cells with the same reclassified temperature value
275 were assigned to the same polygon (hereafter: forest patch). While our approach is not
276 specific to any particular taxon, it may be helpful to consider the method in the context of
277 range shifts by non-volant terrestrial animals¹³. We removed forest patches < 10 km², based
278 on the assumption that they could not support a population for long enough to enable range
279 shifts. See Supplementary Methods 1 for the implications of varying minimum patch size.
280 Patches within 2 km of each other and with the same temperature were aggregated,
281 conservatively assuming that populations could move across 2 km of non-forest to reach
282 suitable habitat⁶. See Supplementary Methods 5 for the implications of preventing patch
283 aggregation across major rivers and roads.

284 **Climate connectivity**

285 The logic behind the measure of climate connectivity in McGuire et al.⁶ is that it represents
286 the maximum temperature differential between current and future conditions that can be
287 achieved by traversing a gradient from hotter to cooler patches within existing natural habitat.
288 We assigned mean current and future temperature to all forest patches, again using data from
289 WorldClim. Future temperature was for the year 2070 (average for 2061-2080), derived from
290 the HadGEM2-AO general circulation model¹⁷ and Representative Concentration Pathway
291 (RCP) 8.5, which is the most severe ('business-as-usual') IPCC scenario. See Supplementary
292 Methods 4 for a re-analysis using RCP2.6, the least severe IPCC scenario.

293 To trace each forest patch to its final destination, we identified which patches were
294 neighbours, and iterated over all unique temperatures from cooler to hotter, each time
295 identifying the patch corresponding to that temperature and the identity of its coolest
296 neighbour. For patches with no cooler neighbours, the final destination patch is assigned as
297 itself. For all other patches, the destination is assigned as the final destination of its coolest
298 immediate neighbour. This algorithm ensures that the coolest destinations are passed on with
299 each iteration, enabling destination patches to extend beyond immediate neighbours. See
300 Supplementary Methods 7 for a full worked example⁶, and Supplementary Figure 13 for a
301 schematic diagram.

302 Once each origin patch has a designated final destination patch, climate connectivity is
303 calculated as the temperature difference between them. The key question is whether forest
304 cover is sufficient for organisms to reach a place that, under future climate warming, is the
305 same as or cooler than their current location. Thus, climate connectivity is the current
306 temperature of the origin patch minus the future temperature of the destination patch. Where
307 the value is zero or positive, the patch has achieved successful climate connectivity: there is
308 sufficient structural connectivity between forested areas for organisms to reach forest that is
309 same as or cooler than the temperatures they currently experience. Negative values indicate
310 that the coolest reachable forest is still warmer under climate change than the current
311 temperature, and inhabitant organisms would fail to reach an analogous climate under
312 projected warming.

313 **Statistical analyses**

314 All data were analysed in R (version 3.5.2)³⁶. The specific variables included are detailed
315 below. For all models, statistical significance was inspected by dropping each fixed effect in
316 turn and comparing to the full model³⁷. The significance of main effects involved in an

317 interaction was assessed in the same way, except reduced models were compared to a full
318 model without the interaction term.

319 **Current state of climate connectivity**

320 Climate connectivity was necessarily calculated at a patch-level, but because patches
321 themselves were not constant through time our spatial unit of replication was land mass.
322 There were 697 land masses in total, comprising whole islands, such as Borneo and
323 Madagascar, as well as sections of continents clipped to the extent of the tropics, such as for
324 Africa and Australia. To assess current status we calculated median climate connectivity for
325 each land mass, as well as the proportion of the total area of forested patches that failed to
326 achieve successful climate connectivity (i.e. climate connectivity < 0).

327 Median climate connectivity (range $-3.8-0^{\circ}\text{C}$; $n = 1394$) and percentage area of unsuccessful
328 connectivity (range 16-100%; $n = 88$) were modelled against year (categorical: 2000 or 2012)
329 and biogeographic realm (categorical: Neotropics, Afrotropics, Indomalaya, Australasia, and
330 Oceania), with an interaction between them. Model-predicted values with confidence intervals
331 are summarised in Supplementary Tables 5 and 6. Median climate connectivity models also
332 included (log) land mass area as an explanatory variable, fit using a Generalized Additive
333 Model (GAM) in the mgcv package³⁸. Area of unsuccessful connectivity was modelled as a
334 binary variable (sum patch area with climate connectivity < 0 versus sum patch area with
335 climate connectivity ≥ 0) using a Generalized Linear Model (GLM) with a quasi-binomial
336 error distribution to account for overdispersion, fit using the lme4 package³⁹. For these
337 models we assessed only land masses with $< 100\%$ of forest area classed as unsuccessful in
338 either year, which reduced the sample size to the extent that we were unable to include land
339 mass area as an additional explanatory variable.

340 **Change in climate connectivity**

341 Change of climate connectivity from 2000 to 2012 was first calculated at the level of the grid
342 cell. For both years, we created a binary raster of climate connectivity, where cells were either
343 successful (climate connectivity ≥ 0) or unsuccessful (climate connectivity < 0). Change was
344 then calculated as climate connectivity in 2012 minus climate connectivity in 2000, and could
345 take one of three values: no change (value of 0), loss of climate connectivity (value of -1), or
346 gain of climate connectivity (value of 1). Where cells changed from a forested to a non-
347 forested state, we assume a loss of climate connectivity for that cell. Where cells changed
348 from a non-forested to a forested state (e.g. via secondary forest regrowth on abandoned
349 farmland)⁴⁰, we assume a gain of climate connectivity for that cell. For analyses, loss of
350 climate connectivity was captured for each land mass by the proportion of the total area of
351 forested cells (forested in 2000, 2012 or both) that experienced a change from successful to
352 unsuccessful climate connectivity. An analogous approach was applied to quantify gain of
353 climate connectivity.

354 Area of connectivity loss was modelled as a binary variable (area losing connectivity versus
355 area not losing connectivity), for land masses with $> 0\%$ forest loss between 2000 and 2012 (n
356 $= 400$). Explanatory variables were: biogeographic realm and $(\log + 1)$ area of forest lost
357 between 2000 and 2012. We used a Generalized Additive Model (GAM) implemented in the
358 `mgcv` package³⁸, with a quasi-binomial error distribution to account for overdispersion. See
359 Supplementary Methods 6 for a comparison of observed patterns of connectivity loss
360 compared with 100 null scenarios.

361 **1453/3000 words**

362 **Code Availability**

363 Custom Python code to calculate climate connectivity can be downloaded from GitHub
364 (<https://github.com/rasenor/ClimateConnectivity>). These scripts have been directly adapted
365 from the methods in McGuire et al.⁶, and the R code therein
366 (<https://github.com/JennyMcGuire/ClimateConnectivity>).

367 **Data Availability**

368 Pan-tropical climate connectivity data that support the findings of this study have been
369 deposited in The Environmental Information Data Centre (EIDC) and are accessible at
370 [PENDING REF].

371 **Methods References**

- 372 33. ESRI. ArcGIS Desktop: Release 10. (2011).
- 373 34. Freeman, B. G. & Class Freeman, A. M. Rapid upslope shifts in New Guinean birds
374 illustrate strong distributional responses of tropical montane species to global warming.
375 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **111**, 4490–4494 (2014).
- 376 35. Raxworthy, C. J. *et al.* Extinction vulnerability of tropical montane endemism from
377 warming and upslope displacement: A preliminary appraisal for the highest massif in
378 Madagascar. *Global Change Biology* **14**, 1703–1720 (2008).
- 379 36. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. (2018).
- 380 37. Zuur, A. F. *Mixed Effects Models and Extensions in Ecology with R*. (Springer, 2009).
- 381 38. Wood, S. N. *Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R*. (CRC press, 2017).

- 382 39. Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. & Walker, S. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models
383 Using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software* **67**, 1–48 (2015).
- 384 40. Aide, T. M. *et al.* Deforestation and Reforestation of Latin America and the Caribbean
385 (2001–2010). *Biotropica* **45**, 262–271 (2013).

386 **End Notes**

387 **Correspondence**

388 All correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Rebecca A. Senior
389 (rebecca.a.senior@gmail.com).

390 **Acknowledgements**

391 We thank Jenny L. McGuire for making her code publicly available, and for providing us with
392 additional help and guidance. We are grateful to Michela Pacifici for providing maps of
393 climate vulnerability, and BirdLife International for providing maps of Key Biodiversity
394 Areas. We thank the reviewers for their many insightful comments and suggestions. Thanks
395 also to Felix K. S. Lim, Philip J. Platts and Sarah A. Scriven for helpful discussions. R.A.S.
396 was funded by a NERC studentship through the ACCE (Adapting to the Challenges of a
397 Changing Environment) Doctoral Training Partnership (Grant No. NE/L002450/1).

398 **Author Contributions**

399 R.A.S. and D.P.E conceived the study. R.A.S., D.P.E., and J.K.H developed the methods,
400 with R.A.S. writing scripts to calculate climate connectivity and performing statistical
401 analyses. R.A.S. wrote the first draft of the manuscript, with contributions from D.P.E. and
402 J.K.H.

403 **Conflicts of Interest**

404 Authors declare no conflicts of interest.

405 **Figure Captions**

406 **Figure 1 Maps of current climate connectivity and change in climate connectivity over**
407 **time.** Panel a shows climate connectivity in 2012, with positive values in blue indicating
408 successful connectivity and negative values in red indicating unsuccessful climate
409 connectivity. Panel b shows the change in climate connectivity from 2000 to 2012, where
410 positive values in blue indicate a gain of connectivity and negative values in red a loss of
411 connectivity. To aid visualisation we have shifted and magnified land masses in Oceania.

412 **79/100 words**

413 **Figure 2 Climate connectivity of land masses in different biogeographic realms.** Climate
414 connectivity in the year 2000 is represented by green circles and in 2012 by orange triangles.
415 Panel a shows results for median climate connectivity, with the dashed line indicating zero
416 climate connectivity at and above which successful climate connectivity is achieved. Panel b
417 shows results for the proportion of total forested area that fails to achieve successful climate
418 connectivity. Solid points in panels a and b are model-predicted values with 95% confidence
419 intervals; dotted lines in panel b indicate 95% confidence intervals that extend beyond 0 or
420 100%. Raw data in panels a and b are plotted in the background as semi-transparent points.

421 **112/100 words**

422 **Figure 3 The proportion of total forested area in each land mass that lost climate**
423 **connectivity between 2000 and 2012.** Connectivity loss (% area) is plotted against
424 increasing area of forest loss on a log₁₀ scale and across different biogeographic realms.
425 Neotropics = orange, Afrotropics = blue, Indomalaya = green, Australasia = yellow and
426 Oceania = pink. Points correspond to raw data, with point size indicating the number of
427 observations at that value. Fitted lines derive from model predictions with 95% confidence
428 intervals. **81/100 words**