



This is a repository copy of *Open access publishing in gastroenterology : good for the researcher and good for the public!*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/147960/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Rej, A., Menic, N., Nyamali, I. et al. (4 more authors) (2020) Open access publishing in gastroenterology : good for the researcher and good for the public! *Frontline Gastroenterology*, 11 (2). pp. 170-171. ISSN 2041-4137

<https://doi.org/10.1136/flgastro-2018-101166>

This article has been accepted for publication in 2019, *Frontline Gastroenterology* following peer review, and the Version of Record can be accessed online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/flgastro-2018-101166>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Open access publishing in Gastroenterology - good for the researcher and good for the public!

Anupam Rej¹, Natalie Menic¹, Immanuelle Nyamali¹, Jason M. Punnamkuzhy¹, Patrick Whelpdale¹, David S. Sanders^{1,2}, Matthew Kurien^{1,2}

- ¹. Academic Unit of Gastroenterology, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield, UK
- ². Academic Unit of Gastroenterology, Department of Infection, Immunity and Cardiovascular Disease, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

We wish to highlight to readers the benefits of making their work open access. Advances in the internet have enabled a rapid growth in open access publishing.¹ However, most scholarly articles still remain behind paywalls.²

Open access publishing has numerous benefits. Firstly, open access publishing enables equity to access. Anyone with internet access has the opportunity to access research published via the open access route.³ It is also important that the public have access to newly published research, as a lot of research activity receives public funding.⁴ Furthermore, open access may increase accessibility of research to developing countries,³ which has wider societal benefits.

Citation metrics can be important aspect of academic impact, with data on citation metrics of open access versus non-open access publications being variable.³ We reviewed the effect of open access publishing on citation metrics in the field of gastroenterology. We reviewed original research articles in Gut, Gastroenterology and the American Journal of Gastroenterology (AJG). Publications were cross referenced with the Web of ScienceTM database to determine overall citation rates.

Between Jan 2009 to Dec 2013, 3057 original research articles were published (Gastroenterology [n=1431], Gut [n=732], AJG [n=894]). Of these, 154 (5.0%) were open access publications (Gastroenterology [n=13], Gut [n=70], AJG [n=71]). Overall, open access publications in the three journals had significantly higher citation rates than non-open access publications (median citation rate: 38.5 versus 33, $p=0.044$), highlighting the benefits of open access publishing in the field of gastroenterology.

Whilst there are significant benefits of publishing via the open access route, there are potential hurdles preventing widespread adoption. There are questions regarding the quality of scientific journal publishing via the open access route. However, the methodological quality of studies has been demonstrated to be the same in open access versus non-open access publications.^{5 6} Cost may also be a barrier. With the Gold Model of open access publishing, the onus of the cost is with authors. However, there are groups aiming to shift the cost of open access publishing to funders or universities.⁷

To summarise, the benefits of open access publishing are significant, with our data highlighting the benefit on citation metrics for open access publishing in gastroenterology journals. We would recommend our colleagues to publish via this route.

Correspondence to: Dr Anupam Rej, Academic Unit of Gastroenterology, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield, UK; anupam.rej@sth.nhs.uk

Contributors: MK conceptualised the study. NM, IM, JMP, PW and MK analysed the data. AR, DSS and MK drafted the article. All authors approved the final article.

Funding: None declared

Competing Interests: None declared

References

1. Laakso M, Welling P, Bukvova H, et al. The development of open access journal publishing from 1993 to 2009. *PLoS One* 2011;6(6):e20961.
2. Khabsa M, Giles CL. The number of scholarly documents on the public web. *PLoS One* 2014;9(5):e93949.
3. Tennant JP, Waldner F, Jacques DC, et al. The academic, economic and societal impacts of Open Access: an evidence-based review. *F1000Res* 2016;5:632.
4. Parker M. The ethics of open access publishing. *BMC Med Ethics*. 2013;14:16.
5. Björk BC, Solomon D. Open access versus subscription journals: a comparison of scientific impact. *BMC Med*. 2012;10:73.
6. Pastorino R, Milovanovic S, Stojanovic J, et al. Quality Assessment of Studies Published in Open Access and Subscription Journals: Results of a Systematic Evaluation. *PLoS One* 2016;11(5):e0154217.
7. Schiltz M. Science Without Publication Paywalls: cOAlition S for the Realisation of Full and Immediate Open Access. *PLoS Med* 2018;15(9):e1002663.