



This is a repository copy of *The dynamics of mountain erosion: cirque growth slows as landscapes age*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/147552/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Barr, I.D., Ely, J. [orcid.org/0000-0003-4007-1500](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4007-1500), Spagnolo, M. et al. (2 more authors) (2019) The dynamics of mountain erosion: cirque growth slows as landscapes age. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 44 (13). pp. 2628-2637. ISSN 0197-9337

<https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4688>

---

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Barr, I. D., Ely, J. C., Spagnolo, M., Evans, I. S., and Tomkins, M. D. ( 2019) The dynamics of mountain erosion: cirque growth slows as landscapes age. *Earth Surf. Process. Landforms*, which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4688>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Barr Iestyn (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-9066-8738)

Ely Jeremy (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-4007-1500)

Evans Ian (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-7289-4902)

Tomkins Matt (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-5403-7405)

## **The dynamics of mountain erosion: cirque growth slows as landscapes age**

Iestyn D. Barr<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Jeremy C. Ely<sup>3</sup>, Matteo Spagnolo<sup>4</sup>, Ian S. Evans<sup>5</sup>, Matt D. Tomkins<sup>6,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Natural Sciences, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, M15 6BH, UK

<sup>2</sup>Cryosphere Research at Manchester (CRAM), Manchester, UK

<sup>3</sup>Department of Geography, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, S10 2TN

<sup>4</sup>School of Geosciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UF, UK

<sup>5</sup>Department of Geography, Durham University, Durham DH1 3LE, UK

<sup>6</sup>Department of Geography, School of Environment, Education and Development, University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK

**\*Correspondence:** [i.barr@mmu.ac.uk](mailto:i.barr@mmu.ac.uk)

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1002/esp.4688

## Abstract

Glacial cirques are widely used palaeoenvironmental indicators, and are key to understanding the role of glaciers in shaping mountain topography. However, notable uncertainty persists regarding the rate and timing of cirque erosion. In order to address this uncertainty, we analyse the dimensions of 2208 cirques in Britain and Ireland and model ice accumulation to investigate the degree of coupling between glacier occupation times and cirque growth. Results indicate that during the last ~120 ka, cirques were glacier-free for an average of  $52.0 \pm 21.2$  ka ( $43 \pm 18\%$ ); occupied by small (largely cirque-confined) glaciers for  $16.2 \pm 9.9$  ka ( $14 \pm 8\%$ ); and occupied by large glaciers, including ice sheets, for  $51.8 \pm 18.6$  ka ( $43 \pm 16\%$ ). Over the entire Quaternary (i.e., 2.6 Ma), we estimate that cirques were glacier-free for  $1.1 \pm 0.5$  Ma; occupied by small glaciers for  $0.3 \pm 0.2$  Ma; and occupied by large glaciers for  $1.1 \pm 0.4$  Ma. Comparing occupation times to cirque depths, and calculating required erosion rates reveals that continuous cirque growth during glacier occupation is unlikely. Instead, we propose that cirques attained much of their size during the first occupation of a non-glacially sculpted landscape (perhaps during the timeframe of a single glacial cycle). During subsequent glacier occupations, cirque growth may have slowed considerably, with the highest rates of subglacial erosion focused during periods of marginal (small glacier) glaciation. We propose comparatively slow rates of growth following initial cirque development because a 'least resistance' shape is formed, and as cirques deepen, sediment becomes trapped subglacially, partly protecting the bedrock from subsequent erosion. In support of the idea of rapid cirque growth, we present evidence from northern British Columbia, where cirques of comparable size to those in Britain and Ireland developed in less than 140 ka.

**Keywords:** Cirques, glacial erosion, erosion rate, glacial buzzsaw, Quaternary; Britain; Ireland

## 1. Introduction

Glacial cirques (e.g., Fig. 1) are armchair-shaped erosional hollows formed in upland environments (Evans & Cox, 1974, 1995). They are widely used as palaeoenvironmental indicators (Mîndrescu et al., 2010; Barr & Spagnolo, 2015) and are key to understanding the role of glaciers in shaping global-scale mountain topography (Oskin & Burbank, 2005; Egholm et al., 2009; Anders et al., 2010; Champagnac et al., 2012). Cirques are thought to form where glacial ice comes to occupy, erode, and enlarge pre-existing mountainside depressions (Evans, 2006; Turnbull & Davies, 2006), eventually evolving to distinct shapes and relatively characteristic sizes (Barr & Spagnolo, 2015; Evans & Cox, 2017). However, one of the key uncertainties about cirque formation is how long they take to develop fully, with current estimates ranging from ~125 ka to a million years or more (Andrews & Dugdale, 1971; Anderson, 1978; Larsen & Mangerud, 1981; Sanders et al., 2013). Many cirques have been repeatedly occupied by glaciers during the Quaternary (Graf, 1976), but it remains unclear whether their size is the product of cumulative erosion through multiple glaciations, or is largely reached during a single glacial cycle. It is also unclear whether cirque growth continues throughout glacial occupation, even when small glaciers have evolved into valley-glaciers/ice-caps/ice-sheets, or is focused during short windows of ‘active’ erosion when glaciers are solely confined to their cirques (Barr & Spagnolo, 2013; Crest et al., 2017). Addressing these issues is vital if cirques are to be used as robust palaeoenvironmental indicators, and is fundamental to understanding planetary-scale landscape evolution (Banks et al., 2008; Egholm et al., 2009; Mitchell & Humphries, 2015). With this in mind, here we analyse the dimensions of cirques in Britain and Ireland, and model former ice accumulation, to permit inferences about the rate and timing of cirque growth, supported by evidence from northern British Columbia.

## 2. Methods

We adopt three different methods to investigate cirques in Britain and Ireland. First, we analyse cirque dimensions. Second, we model the spatial extent of the zone of ice accumulation within each cirque under different climate scenarios using a positive degree-day (temperature-index) mass balance approach. Third, we run our ice accumulation/mass balance model throughout the timespan of a typical glacial cycle, and over the Quaternary as a whole, to estimate the duration and style of former glacier occupation within each cirque.

### 2.1. Analysing cirque dimensions

This work focuses on all recognisable ( $n = 2208$ ) cirques in Britain and Ireland that were mapped from remotely sensed data (Barr et al., 2017; Clark et al., 2018). For each cirque, length ( $L$ ), width ( $W$ ), and depth ( $H$ ) are calculated using ACME, a dedicated GIS tool (Spagnolo et al., 2017) (Fig. 1). We primarily focus on  $H$ , since cirque depth is largely controlled by subglacial erosion (Gordon, 1977), while controls on  $L$  and  $W$  are more complex, and likely include both glacial and periglacial processes (Sanders et al., 2012; Barr & Spagnolo, 2015).

### 2.2. Modelling former ice accumulation

To simulate the spatial extent of the zone of former ice accumulation ( $A_c$ ) within each cirque, we use a positive degree-day (temperature-index) mass balance model (e.g., Laumann & Reeh, 1993; Hock, 2003; Braithwaite, 2008). We do not model glacier dimensions, nor allow ice to incrementally accumulate (or ablate) year-on-year, but simply model the spatial extent of the zone of net ice accumulation within each cirque at the end of the balance year (i.e., in September) under different temperature scenarios. To achieve this, we apply equation 1 to each (30 x 30 m) pixel in a digital elevation model (DEM), and calculate  $A_c$  for each cirque by summing the surface area of the pixels that return positive values.

$$\sum P_m (snow) - (\sum T_m \times DDF) \quad (1)$$

Where,  $\sum P_m (snow)$  is the annual sum of mean monthly precipitation (in mm) for months with a mean near-surface temperature below a threshold value ( $T_{crit}$ ).  $\sum T_m$  is the annual sum of monthly positive degree-days (based on mean monthly temperatures above  $T_{crit}$ ), and  $DDF$  is the degree-day melt factor.

In our model,  $T_{crit}$  was set at 2°C (following Harrison et al., 2014), and the  $DDF$  ranged from 2.6 to 4.1 mm d<sup>-1</sup> °C<sup>-1</sup> (following Braithwaite, 2008). These values are considered representative of conditions within cirques, where melt is restricted by notable topographic shading (Hannah et al., 2000). To account for the role of solar radiation (in addition to the role of air temperature) in regulating melt,  $DDFs$  varied on a pixel by pixel basis, scaled according to modern annual solar radiation (calculated using the ArcGIS Solar Radiation tool). Thus, the pixel (across the entire dataset) with highest annual solar radiation was assigned a  $DDF$  of 4.1, and the pixel with the lowest, a value of 2.6.  $DDF$  was assumed to range linearly (with respect to solar radiation) between these extremes. Present-day monthly mean climatology (temperature and precipitation) was derived from gridded data (WorldClim v.2; Fick & Hijmans, 2017), which is representative of the period 1970 to 2000. Monthly climate grids were resampled from ~1 km to 30 m resolution using SRTM DEM data (vertical accuracy ~16 m). For temperature, this resampling assumed an altitudinal lapse rate of 6°C km<sup>-1</sup> (Rolland, 2003), whereas precipitation was resampled (to 30 m) without applying a lapse rate. The gridded climate data (Fick & Hijmans, 2017) were selected as they incorporate observations from a dense array of weather stations, and have been widely used for environmental modelling. In each cirque, ice was allowed to accumulate on all surfaces with

gradients  $<30^\circ$  (Harrison et al., 2014). Details of model validation and sensitivity are presented in supplementary material 1.

### 2.3. Estimating the duration and style of glacier occupation

The purpose of modelling the spatial extent of the zone of former ice accumulation ( $A_c$ ) within each cirque (i.e., Section 2.2.) is to estimate how long (during its history) each cirque has been glacier-free ( $t_{gf}$ ); glacier-occupied ( $t$ ); occupied by small (largely cirque-confined) glaciers ( $t_{marginal}$ ); and occupied by large glaciers (which extended beyond cirque confines) ( $t_{full}$ ). Here, we assume that distinctions between these different conditions are approximated by differences in the proportion of each cirque's total surface area that is accumulating ice. Specifically, if  $A_c < 10\%$  (i.e., if less than 10% of a cirque's surface area is accumulating ice), we classify cirques as glacier-free ( $t_{gf}$ ), and if  $A_c \geq 10\%$ , we classify cirques as glacier-occupied ( $t$ ). In the latter case, if  $A_c = 10\text{--}90\%$ , we classify cirques as occupied by small glaciers ( $t_{marginal}$ ); and if  $A_c > 90\%$ , we classify cirques as occupied by large glaciers ( $t_{full}$ ). The selection of 10% as a boundary within this scheme is justified on the assumption that when  $A_c < 10\%$ , any ice within a cirque is unlikely to form a coherent and rotationally flowing glacier. The selection of 90% as a boundary is justified on the assumption that when  $A_c > 90\%$ , any occupying glacier is likely to extend well beyond cirque confines. To analyse the duration and style of ice of ice occupation during the last glacial cycle (i.e., 120 ka to present), we force eq.1 with distal temperature depression ( $\Delta T$ ) data from the Greenland Ice Core Project (GRIP; Dansgaard et al., 1993), scaled to account for precipitation reduction with cooling climate (following Seguinot et al., 2018) for all 2208 mapped cirques (Fig. 2). To consider the duration and style of ice occupation over the Quaternary as a whole (i.e., 2.6 Ma to present), we use the composite benthic  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  stack from Lisiecki & Raymo (2005), and treat this as a proxy for temperature (thereby including the Mid-Pleistocene climate transition—Clark et al., 2006). Though there

are limitations to this approach (since long-term records lack detail, and benthic  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  is not a direct proxy for terrestrial temperature), the results provide first-order estimates of Quaternary ice mass occupation times for British and Irish cirques.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Cirque dimensions

For the entire population of cirques in Britain and Ireland (Fig. 3a),  $L$  (mean  $\pm 1$  standard deviation =  $774 \pm 426$  m) and  $W$  ( $786 \pm 365$  m) are comparable, but  $H$  is approximately three-times less ( $283 \pm 108$  m). All cirque size metrics have approximately log-normal frequency distributions—shown for  $H$  in Fig. 3b.

#### 3.2. Areas of former ice accumulation

Modelling the zone of ice accumulation indicates that for individual cirques in Britain and Ireland the  $\Delta T$  required for  $Ac \geq 10\%$  ranges from  $-0.2^\circ\text{C}$  (Coire an Laoigh, Scotland,  $56.81^\circ\text{N}$ ,  $4.88^\circ\text{W}$ , labelled 1 in Fig. 3a) to  $-10.2^\circ\text{C}$  (Keimeen, Ireland,  $51.72^\circ\text{N}$ ,  $9.27^\circ\text{W}$ , labelled 2 in Fig. 3a), with a mean of  $-5.0 \pm 2.1^\circ\text{C}$  (i.e.,  $\pm 1\sigma$ ) (Fig. 4). The  $\Delta T$  required for  $Ac > 90\%$  ranges from  $-1.6^\circ\text{C}$  (Sron a Gharbh-Choire, Scotland,  $56.76^\circ\text{N}$ ,  $4.92^\circ\text{W}$ , labelled 3 in Fig. 3a) to  $-12.3^\circ\text{C}$  (Knocknahillan, Ireland,  $53.52^\circ\text{N}$ ,  $9.69^\circ\text{W}$ , labelled 4 in Fig. 3a), with a mean of  $-6.7 \pm 2.0^\circ\text{C}$  (Fig. 4). The  $\Delta T$  required for an individual cirque to transition from  $Ac = 10\%$  to  $Ac = 90\%$  ranges from  $-0.1^\circ\text{C}$  (Fir Bhreugach, Scotland,  $57.65^\circ\text{N}$ ,  $6.28^\circ\text{W}$ , labelled 5 in Fig. 3a) to  $-6.9^\circ\text{C}$  (Coire nan Arr, Scotland,  $57.42^\circ\text{N}$ ,  $5.66^\circ\text{W}$ , labelled 6 in Fig. 3a), with a mean of  $-1.7 \pm 0.9^\circ\text{C}$  (Fig. 4).



### 3.3. Duration and style of glacier occupation

Using ice accumulation modelling and published  $\Delta T$  data, we estimate that during the last glacial cycle, cirques in Britain and Ireland were glacier-free ( $t_{gf}$ ) for between 11.5 ka (Coire an Laoigh), and 93.6 ka (Keimeen), with a mean of  $52.0 \pm 21.2$  ka ( $43 \pm 18\%$ ) (Fig. 5), and glacier-occupied ( $t$ ) for between 26.4 ka and 108.5 ka, with a mean of  $68.0 \pm 21.2$  ka ( $57 \pm 18\%$ ). When occupied, cirques contained small (largely cirque-confined) glaciers ( $t_{marginal}$ ) for between 0.9 ka (Lough Sallagh, Ireland,  $54.74^\circ\text{N}$ ,  $8.67^\circ\text{W}$ , labelled 7 in Fig. 3a) and 64.2 ka (Coire nan Arr, Scotland), with a mean of  $16.2 \pm 9.9$  ka ( $14 \pm 8\%$ ) (Fig. 5); and large glaciers (which extended beyond cirque confines), including ice sheets, ( $t_{full}$ ) for between 12.7 ka (Knocknahillan, Ireland,  $53.51^\circ\text{N}$ ,  $9.70^\circ\text{W}$ ) and 101.0 ka (Sron a Gharbh-Choire, Scotland,  $56.76^\circ\text{N}$ ,  $4.92^\circ\text{W}$ ), with a mean of  $51.8 \pm 18.6$  ka ( $43 \pm 16\%$ ) (Fig. 5). To investigate possible relationships between the duration and style of glacier occupation and resulting cirque depth,  $H$  is compared to  $t_{gf}$ ,  $t$ ,  $t_{marginal}$ , and  $t_{full}$  (Fig. 6). These plots show a weak, but statistically significant ( $p < 0.01$ ), positive relationship between  $t$  and  $H$  ( $r^2 = 0.11$ ; Fig. 6a), and a statistically significant positive relationship between  $t_{marginal}$  and  $H$  ( $r^2 = 0.49$ ; Fig. 6b). However, in the latter case, caution should be applied when interpreting this relationship since it partly reflects the control that  $H$  exerts on  $t_{marginal}$  (i.e., due to altitudinal controls on temperature, deep cirques take comparatively long to transition from  $Ac = 10\%$  to  $Ac = 90\%$ ), rather than vice-versa. The control that  $H$  exerts on  $t_{marginal}$  is demonstrated by the statistically significant relationship between  $H$  and the  $\Delta T$  required for individual cirques to transition from  $Ac = 10\%$  to  $Ac = 90\%$  ( $r^2 = 0.51$ ; Fig. 6d). There is no relationship between  $H$  and  $t_{full}$  ( $r^2 = 0.00$ ; Fig. 6c).

There are clear regional patterns in  $t$  and  $t_{full}$  (Fig. 7), with cirques in Scotland, for example, having experienced ice occupation for most ( $> 100$  ka) of the last glacial cycle, and those in SW Ireland and south Wales having experienced occupation for a far shorter period ( $<$

40 ka) (Fig. 7a). Notably,  $H$  does not show a correspondingly clear spatial pattern across Britain and Ireland (Fig. 3a).

When considering the Quaternary as a whole (based on Lisiecki & Raymo, 2005), we estimate that cirques in Britain and Ireland were glacier-free ( $t_{gf}$ ) for  $1.1 \pm 0.5$  Ma, and glacier-occupied ( $t$ ) for  $1.5 \pm 0.5$  Ma. When occupied, cirques contained small (largely cirque-confined) glaciers ( $t_{marginal}$ ) for  $0.3 \pm 0.2$  Ma; and large glaciers, including ice sheets, ( $t_{full}$ ) for  $1.1 \pm 0.4$  Ma. Given the long time period considered, these estimates are less precise than those based on the last glacial cycle alone.

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1. Evidence against continuous cirque growth

Ice accumulation modelling suggests that during the last glacial cycle, cirques in Britain and Ireland experienced glacier/ice-sheet occupation ( $t$ ) for an average of  $68.0 \pm 21.2$  ka. Over the Quaternary as a whole (based on Lisiecki & Raymo, 2005), modelling suggests  $1.5 \pm 0.5$  million years of glacier/ice occupation. Given a mean cirque depth of  $283 \pm 108$  m, and assuming a fluvial valley-head with an initial depth of 50–100 m (Lewis, 1949), continuous cirque growth throughout this period of occupation would imply an average vertical erosion rate of  $\sim 0.14$  mm  $a^{-1}$ . Such an erosion rate is possible, but lies towards the low end of published estimates, and modern cirque glaciers suggest that vertical erosion rates can be an order of magnitude higher (Table 1). In addition, since our estimate of cirque erosion is based on  $H$ —i.e., the altitudinal difference between the minimum and maximum altitude of a cirque (Fig. 1)—it likely represents the maximum erosion rate per cirque, since other parts of the cirque have lower maximum and/or higher minimum elevations, and therefore require the excavation of less bedrock. Importantly, if cirque growth is continuous during ice occupation, then cirque

depth would be expected to scale linearly with  $t$  and match clear spatial patterns in  $t$ . However, the relationship between  $H$  and  $t$  is weak (Fig. 6a) and the match in spatial patterns is not present (Fig. 7a vs. Fig. 3a). Thus, our results indicate that constant and continuous vertical erosion of cirques throughout glacier occupation is an unlikely scenario.

#### **4.2. Evidence for episodic cirque growth**

There is evidence from published literature (Barr & Spagnolo, 2013; Crest et al., 2017) to suggest that during glacier occupation, the rate of cirque growth fluctuates considerably. This suggests that cirque erosion predominantly occurs during periods of marginal glaciation, and may reduce (or even stop) when the landscape is occupied by larger ice masses (Barr & Spagnolo, 2013; Crest et al., 2017). The logic behind this assumption is that when glaciers are small, subglacial erosion is focused at the cirque floor and base of the cirque headwall (Barr & Spagnolo, 2015). By contrast, larger glaciers and ice sheets extend well beyond cirque confines, and focus erosion further down-valley (Derbyshire & Evans, 1976). Under such conditions, cirques themselves may become occupied by cold-based, low-gradient, and therefore minimally erosive glacial ice (Cook & Swift, 2012; Barr & Spagnolo, 2013, 2015; Crest et al., 2017), as the glacier surface slope becomes decoupled from bed slope, and basal shear stresses are reduced (Pedersen et al., 2014). At present, the only observational evidence to support this idea comes from the east-central Pyrenees, where Crest et al. (2017) found erosion rates during periods of cirque-type glaciation to be notably greater than when cirques were occupied by an extensive icefield (i.e.,  $0.03\text{--}0.35\text{ mm a}^{-1}$  vs.  $0\text{--}0.03\text{ mm a}^{-1}$ )—though still generally low when compared to values in Table 1. In Britain and Ireland, ice accumulation modelling suggests that during the last glacial cycle, cirques experienced marginal glacial conditions for an average of  $16.2 \pm 9.9\text{ ka}$ , and full (extensive) glacial conditions for  $51.8 \pm 18.6\text{ ka}$ . If erosion rates from

the east-central Pyrenees (Crest et al., 2017) are applied to Britain and Ireland, and we focus on cirque deepening alone, this implies that during the last glacial cycle, cirque depth increased by  $3.1 \pm 1.9$  m during periods of marginal glaciation, and only  $0.8 \pm 0.3$  m during full glacial conditions. The minimal impact of full glacial conditions might explain the clear lack of relationship between  $t_{full}$  and cirque size (Fig. 6c). Over the Quaternary as a whole, this approach implies a cumulative  $66 \pm 40$  m of cirque deepening during periods of marginal glaciation, and  $23 \pm 8$  m of deepening during full glacial conditions. Assuming a fluvial valley-head with an initial depth of 50–100 m (Lewis, 1949), these estimates of cumulative Quaternary erosion result in cirque depths lower than the mean cirque depth of  $283 \pm 108$  m observed in Britain and Ireland. This might indicate that overall cirque erosion rates in Britain and Ireland were higher than in the Pyrenees, though cirque depths in these regions are typically comparable (Delmas et al., 2014). An alternative explanation is that erosion rates from ‘recent’ glaciations are not representative of earlier periods, and that cirque growth slows as they age. Thus, using erosion rates from ‘recent’ glaciations to extrapolate throughout the Quaternary is likely to underestimate total cirque erosion.

### 4.3. A conceptual model for cirque growth

Evidence from the present study supports the idea that cirque growth is episodic, focused during periods of marginal glaciation and slowing considerably during full glacial conditions. However, we also propose that when cirques first initiate—i.e., when sizable glaciers first come to occupy a non-glacially sculpted landscape—erosion rates are likely to be particularly rapid, allowing cirques to attain much of their size very quickly. Our suggestion is that as cirques rapidly grow from pre-existing topographic depressions (driven by high erosion rates), they start to attain ‘least-resistance’ shapes (i.e., armchair-shaped hollows), which allow ice to be efficiently evacuated with minimal erosional impact. In attaining ‘least-resistance’

shapes, cirques often become overdeepened, thereby trapping subglacial sediment at their floors (Cook & Swift, 2012). This sediment may further reduce cirque erosion (deepening) by acting as a protective layer between the ice and bedrock at the cirque floor (Hooke, 1991; Gądek et al., 2015). In this scenario, spatial differences in the duration of glacier occupation become less important in regulating cirque size. This might explain why there is limited spatial pattern in cirque depth across Britain and Ireland (Fig. 3a), despite clear, and order of magnitude, differences in  $t$  (Fig. 7a). However, cirque depth does vary locally, and this variability might reflect differences in  $t_{\text{marginal}}$ ; differences in glacier dynamics during periods of marginal glaciation; differences in the efficiency of sediment evacuation; and/or other factors such as bedrock structure and lithology (Barr & Spagnolo, 2015).

Evidence to support the notion that cirques grow rapidly (as suggested above) is rare, often because the timing of cirque initiation is extremely difficult to constrain (Turnbull & Davies, 2006; Barr & Spagnolo, 2015). Developments in surface exposure dating potentially allow the timing of cirque de-activation (i.e., deglaciation) to be determined (Barth et al., 2016, 2018). However, there are very few locations where the timing of cirque initiation can be constrained with any certainty. One circumstance where chronologies of cirque initiation can be constrained is where cirques are eroded into tuyas (flat-topped volcanoes formed subglacially), which often have a clear and chronologically constrainable history. A classic example is Tuya Butte, northern British Columbia, which formed c.140 ka (Smellie & Edwards, 2016). Two glacial cirques are present at its northern end (Mathews, 1947; Allen et al., 1982) (Fig. 8) which, given the tuya's history, must have formed within the past 140 ka (i.e., during a single glacial cycle). These cirques have depths of 185 and 210 m. The lithology of this area is not directly comparable to that of the cirques of Britain and Ireland, which comprise 34 distinct geological units, ranging in strength from granites to mudstones (Barr et al., 2017). However, it is not obviously much weaker, as Tuya Butte is composed of weak

palagonitized hyaloclastite agglomerate capped by ~80 m of thick, massive lava flow basalt, likely comparatively resistant to glacial erosion (Allen et al., 1982; Smellie, 2017). If cirques in Britain and Ireland attained similar dimensions to those at Tuya Butte during a single glacial cycle (from an initial depression depth of 50–100 m), this would suggest erosion rates (during this period) of 1.0–3.4 mm a<sup>-1</sup>, if continuous during ice occupation; and 3.3–25.4 mm a<sup>-1</sup>, if confined to periods of marginal glaciation. Attaining such sizes during a single glacial cycle would also imply that cirque erosion rates were an order of magnitude lower over the rest of the Quaternary – i.e., 0.05–0.16 mm a<sup>-1</sup>, if continuous during ice occupation; and 0.16–1.24 mm a<sup>-1</sup>, if confined to periods of marginal glaciation. Note, it is worth emphasising that, since these erosion rates are based on  $H$ , they likely represent the maximum values per cirque (see Section 4.1.).

#### **4.4. Implications for understanding cirque erosion rates**

The conceptual model we propose here is that cirques grow quickly when they first initiate – perhaps attaining much of their size, and reaching a least-resistance shape, during a single glacial cycle. Thereafter (i.e., during subsequent phases of glaciation), cirque growth slows by an order of magnitude, and is perhaps focused during periods of marginal glaciation. This model is partly supported by broader scale glacial erosion rate data (i.e., not derived from cirques explicitly) (Hallet et al., 1996; Koppes & Montgomery, 2009), and modelling of glacial erosion over multiple glacial-interglacial cycles (MacGregor et al., 2009; Egholm et al., 2012). For example, Koppes & Montgomery (2009) note that both fluvial and glacial erosion rates are typically highest for landscapes forced out of equilibrium (e.g., following volcanic eruptions, or during glacial retreat). We suggest that the first glacial occupation of a non-glacially sculpted landscape is an extreme example of a shift in equilibrium state – explaining high erosion rates during such periods. Koppes & Montgomery (2009) report that modern temperate tidewater

glaciers (i.e., retreating glaciers, not in climatic equilibrium) typically have erosion rates in the 10–100 mm a<sup>-1</sup> range. In Britain and Ireland, we suggest that erosion rates of comparable magnitude (i.e., 3.3–25.4 mm a<sup>-1</sup>) would be required to allow cirques the size of those at Tuya Butte to form during a single glacial cycle (if erosion was confined to periods of marginal glaciation)—such rates are up to an order of magnitude higher than observed for modern cirque glaciers (Table 1). Over the rest of the Quaternary, we suggest erosion rates (i.e., 0.16–1.24 mm a<sup>-1</sup>) that are generally comparable with estimates from the Pyrenees (Crest et al., 2017) and derived from modern cirque glaciers globally (Table 1), with some exceptions which are notably higher (i.e., up to 6.0 mm a<sup>-1</sup>; Table 1). However, these exceptionally high published erosion rate estimates are often based on sediment volumes within proglacial streams/forelands (Reheis, 1975; Anderson, 1978; Larsen & Mangerud, 1981; Hicks et al., 1990; Bogen, 1996; Sanders et al., 2013), and some of this sediment may have been stored under glaciers for a considerable period (as noted by Koppes & Montgomery, 2009). It is therefore possible that such estimates are a measure of the rate at which sediment is being evacuated from the cirque, rather than the rate at which bedrock is being eroded.

In all, we suggest that erosion rate estimates derived from modern cirque glaciers are representative of periods of marginal glaciation, rather than longer-term averages; and may be biased by temporal decoupling of bedrock erosion and sediment evacuation.

#### **4.5. Implications for understanding landscape evolution**

The discussion above supports the idea that cirque erosion largely occurs during comparatively short periods of marginal (small-scale) glacier occupation, and that cirques attain much of their size during the first occupation of a non-glacially sculpted landscape (particularly susceptible to erosion). This raises questions about the role of glaciers in long-term landscape evolution, particularly in relation to the buzzsaw hypothesis, which suggests that glacial erosion can keep

pace with rates of tectonic uplift, and act as a fundamental limit to mountain height at a near-global scale (Brozović et al., 1997; Egholm et al., 2009; Pedersen et al., 2010; Mitchell & Humphries, 2015). The suggestion that cirque erosion is largely focused during an early phase of glaciation is difficult to reconcile with the buzzsaw hypothesis: however, the suggestion that subsequent erosion may be episodic, is not. For example, during periods of marginal glaciation, rates of erosion may (in some cases) be comparable to uplift rates (e.g. Crest et al., 2017). By contrast, during full glacial conditions, rates of erosion are likely to be significantly lower but, during such periods, tectonic uplift is also likely to be reduced due to glacio-isostatic depression. Thus, a cycle might develop, whereby low erosion rates are tied to periods of low uplift, and vice versa, allowing the glacial buzzsaw to operate, limiting mountain height, throughout cycles of Quaternary glaciation.

#### **4.6. Implications for interpreting cirque depth**

Spatial variability in cirque depth (within and between regions) has been used previously to infer palaeoenvironmental conditions, on the assumption that cirque size is largely dictated by the duration of glacier occupation, and by ice dynamics (i.e., the intensity of erosion) during such periods (e.g., Barr & Spagnolo, 2015). However, results from the present study suggest that cirque size is largely determined during an early stage of glaciation, and (to a lesser degree) during short phases of subsequent active erosion when glaciers are small. Given the suggestion that growth continues, but slowly, following an initial phase of glaciation, it is likely that the ergodic principle, whereby variation in feature size can be substituted (to some degree) for variation with time, can still be applied to cirques (Olyphant, 1981; Evans, 2006). However, spatial differences in cirque depth will reflect differences in bedrock lithology and structure (Barr & Spagnolo, 2015), as well as differences in conditions (e.g. differences in glacier dynamics) during the initial phase of glaciation, and perhaps during short (active) periods



during subsequent glacier occupation. Thus, spatial differences in cirque depth may reflect the complex interplay of controls, leading to difficulties with using cirque depth as robust source of palaeoenvironmental information.

## 5. Conclusions

In this study, we analyse the size characteristics of cirques in Britain and Ireland, to reveal information about the rate and timing of their growth. Ice accumulation modelling indicates that the temperature depression, relative to present, required for  $\geq 10\%$  of a cirque's surface area to be accumulating ice ranges from  $-0.2^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $-10.2^{\circ}\text{C}$ , with a mean of  $-5.0 \pm 2.1^{\circ}\text{C}$ . These temperature depression data suggest that during the last glacial cycle (i.e., 120 ka to present), cirques in Britain and Ireland were glacier-free for an average of  $52.0 \pm 21.2$  ka; occupied by small (largely cirque-confined) glaciers for  $16.2 \pm 9.9$  ka; and occupied by large glaciers for  $51.8 \pm 18.6$  ka. Over the Quaternary as a whole, modelling indicates that cirques were glacier-free for  $1.1 \pm 0.5$  Ma; occupied by small glaciers for  $0.3 \pm 0.2$  Ma; and occupied by large glaciers for  $1.1 \pm 0.4$  Ma. We suggest that continuous cirque growth during periods of glacier occupation is unlikely since spatial patterns in cirque size fail to match patterns in glacier-occupation time. A more realistic proposition is that during glacier occupation, cirque growth is episodic, and is maximised during periods of marginal glaciation, and may greatly reduce, or even stop, when the landscape is occupied by larger ice masses. We propose a conceptual model for cirque growth, whereby cirques attain much of their size when they first initiate (i.e., when glaciers first come to occupy a non-glacially sculpted landscape). This might occur during a single glacial cycle. Following this period (and despite potentially repeated glacier occupation), cirque growth slows by an order of magnitude, and, even then, is largely focused during periods of marginal glaciation. We propose generally slow rates of growth following initial cirque development because a least resistance shape is likely to form and, as cirques

deepen, sediment becomes trapped subglacially, partly protecting the bedrock from subsequent erosion. In support of the idea that much of a cirque's growth can occur during a single glacial cycle, we present evidence from northern British Columbia, where cirques are eroded into Tuya Butte – a flat-topped, formerly subglacial, volcano formed c.140 ka. Based on this evidence, we suggest that erosion rates derived from modern cirque glaciers are unlikely to be representative of longer-term conditions and primarily measure the rate at which sediment is being evacuated from cirques, which relates only indirectly to the rate at which bedrock is being eroded. Finally, our modelling suggests that palaeoenvironmental inferences made from cirque depth should be treated with caution, as cirque characteristics are primarily controlled by the initial phase of glaciation, and are perhaps modified during short periods of marginal glaciation.

### **Acknowledgments**

JCE acknowledges a NERC independent research fellowship grant number NE/R014574/1. We also thank the associate editor and two anonymous reviewers for their corrections, comments and suggestions.

Accepted

## References

- Allen, C. C., Jercinovic, M. J. & Allen, J. S. (1982). Subglacial volcanism in north-central British Columbia and Iceland. *The Journal of Geology*, 90(6), 699–715. Doi: 10.1086/628725
- Andrews, J. T., & Dugdale, R. E. (1971). Late Quaternary glacial and climatic history of northern Cumberland Peninsula, Baffin Island, NWT, Canada. Part V: Factors affecting corrie glacierization in Okoa Bay. *Quaternary Research*, 1, 532–551. Doi: 10.1016/0033-5894(71)90063-9
- Andrews, J. T., & LeMasurier, W. E. (1973). Rates of Quaternary glacial erosion and corrie formation, Marie Byrd Land, Antarctica. *Geology*, 1(2), 75–80. Doi: 10.1130/0091-7613(1973)1<75:ROQGEA>2.0.CO;2
- Anders, A. M., Mitchell, S. G., & Tomkin, J. H. (2010). Cirques, peaks, and precipitation patterns in the Swiss Alps: Connections among climate, glacial erosion, and topography. *Geology*, 38 (3), 239–242. Doi: [10.1130/G30691.1](https://doi.org/10.1130/G30691.1)
- Anderson, L. W. (1978). Cirque glacier erosion rates and characteristics of Neoglacial tills, Pangnirtung Fiord area, Baffin Island, NWT, Canada. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 10 (4), 749–760. Doi: 10.1080/00040851.1978.12004012
- Banks, M. E., McEwen, A. S., Kargel, J. S., Baker, V. R., Strom, R. G., Mellon, M. T., ... Jaeger, W.L. (2008). High Resolution Imaging Science Experiment (HiRISE) observations of glacial and periglacial morphologies in the circum-Arctis Planitia highlands, Mars. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 113(E12). Doi: 10.1029/2007JE002994
- Barr, I. D., & Spagnolo, M. (2013). Palaeoglacial and palaeoclimatic conditions in the NW Pacific, as revealed by a morphometric analysis of cirques upon the Kamchatka Peninsula. *Geomorphology*, 192, 15–29. Doi: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.03.011

- Barr, I. D., & Spagnolo, M. (2015). Glacial cirques as palaeoenvironmental indicators: Their potential and limitations. *Earth-science reviews*, 151, 48–78. Doi: 10.1016/j.earscirev.2015.10.004
- Barr, I. D., Ely, J. C., Spagnolo, M., Clark, C. D., Evans, I. S., Pellicer, X. M., ... Rea, B. R. (2017). Climate patterns during former periods of mountain glaciation in Britain and Ireland: Inferences from the cirque record. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 485, 466–475. Doi: 10.1016/j.palaeo.2017.07.001
- Barth, A. M., Clark, P. U., Clark, J., McCabe, A. M. & Caffee, M. (2016). Last Glacial Maximum cirque glaciation in Ireland and implications for reconstructions of the Irish Ice Sheet. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 141, 85–93. Doi: 10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.04.006
- Barth, A. M., Clark, P. U., Clark, J., Roe, G. H., Marcott, S. A., McCabe, A. M., ... Dunlop, P. (2017). Persistent millennial-scale glacier fluctuations in Ireland between 24 ka and 10 ka. *Geology*, 46(2), 151–154. Doi: 10.1130/G39796.1
- Bogen, J. (1996). Erosion rates and sediment yields of glaciers. *Annals of Glaciology*, 22, 48–52. Doi: 10.3189/1996AoG22-1-48-52
- Braithwaite, R. J. (2008). Temperature and precipitation climate at the equilibrium-line altitude of glaciers expressed by the degree-day factor for melting snow. *Journal of Glaciology*, 54(186), 437–444. Doi: 10.3189/002214308785836968
- Brook, M. S., Kirkbride, M. P., & Brock, B. W. (2006). Cirque development in a steadily uplifting range: rates of erosion and long-term morphometric change in alpine cirques in the Ben Ohau Range, New Zealand. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 31(9), 1167–1175. Doi: 10.1002/esp.1327
- Brozović, N., Burbank, D. W., & Meigs, A. J. (1997). Climatic limits on landscape development in the northwestern Himalaya. *Science*, 276(5312), 571–574. Doi: 10.1126/science.276.5312.571

- Champagnac, J. D., Molnar, P., Sue, C. & Herman, F. (2012). Tectonics, climate, and mountain topography. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 117(B2). Doi: 10.1029/2011JB008348
- Clark, C. D., Ely, J. C., Greenwood, S. L., Hughes, A. L., Meehan, R., Barr, I. D., ... Jordan, C. J. (2018). BRITICE Glacial Map, version 2: a map and GIS database of glacial landforms of the last British–Irish Ice Sheet. *Boreas*, 47(1), 11–27. Doi: 10.1111/bor.12273
- Clark, P. U., Archer, D., Pollard, D., Blum, J. D., Rial, J. A., Brovkin, V., Mix, A. C., Pisias, N. G. & Roy, M. (2006). The middle Pleistocene transition: characteristics, mechanisms, and implications for long-term changes in atmospheric pCO<sub>2</sub>. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 25(23-24), 3150–3184. Doi: 10.1016/j.quascirev.2006.07.008
- Cook, S. J., & Swift, D. A. (2012). Subglacial basins: their origin and importance in glacial systems and landscapes. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 115(4), 332–372. Doi: 10.1016/j.earscirev.2012.09.009
- Crest, Y., Delmas, M., Braucher, R., Gunnell, Y., Calvet, M., & ASTER Team. (2017). Cirques have growth spurts during deglacial and interglacial periods: Evidence from <sup>10</sup>Be and <sup>26</sup>Al nuclide inventories in the central and eastern Pyrenees. *Geomorphology*, 278, 60–77. Doi: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.10.035
- Dansgaard, W., Johnsen, S. J., Clausen, H. B., Dahl-Jensen, D., Gundestrup, N. S., Hammer, C. U., ... Bond, G. (1993). Evidence for general instability of past climate from a 250-kyr ice-core record. *Nature*, 364(6434), 218–220. Doi: 10.1038/364218a0
- Derbyshire, E., & Evans, I.S. (1976). The climatic factor in cirque variation. In Derbyshire, E. (Ed.), *Geomorphology and Climate* (pp. 447–494). Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- Egholm, D. L., Nielsen, S. B., Pedersen, V. K., & Lesemann, J. E. (2009). Glacial effects limiting mountain height. *Nature*, 460, 884–887. Doi: 10.1038/nature08263

- Egholm, D. L., Pedersen, V. K., Knudsen, M. F., & Larsen, N. K. (2012). Coupling the flow of ice, water, and sediment in a glacial landscape evolution model. *Geomorphology*, 141, 47–66. Doi: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2011.12.019
- Evans, I.S. (2006). Allometric development of glacial cirque form: geological, relief and regional effects on the cirques of Wales. *Geomorphology*, 80(3-4), 245–266. Doi: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.02.013
- Evans, I. S., & Cox, N. J., 1974. Geomorphometry and the operational definition of cirques. *Area*, 6, 150–153
- Evans, I. S., & Cox, N. J. (1995). The form of glacial cirques in the English Lake District, Cumbria. *Zeitschrift fur Geomorphologie, NF*, 39, 175–202.
- Evans, I. S., & Cox, N. J. (2017). Comparability of cirque size and shape measures between regions and between researchers. *Zeitschrift fur Geomorphologie*, 61, Suppl. 2, 81–103. Doi: 10.1127/zfg\_suppl/2016/0329
- Fick, S. E., & Hijmans, R. J. (2017). WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology*, 37(12), 4302–4315. Doi: 10.1002/joc.5086
- Gądek, B., Grabiec, M., & Kędzia, S. (2015). Application of Ground Penetrating Radar to Identification of Thickness and Structure of Sediments in Postglacial Lakes, Illustrated with an Example of the Mały Staw Lake (The Karkonosze Mountains). *Studia Geomorphologica Carpatho-Balcanica*, 49(1), 5–13. Doi: 10.1515/sgcb-2015-0006
- Gordon, J. E. (1977). Morphometry of cirques in the Kintail-Affric-Cannich area of northwest Scotland. *Geografiska Annaler: Series A, Physical Geography*, 59(3-4), 177–194. Doi: 10.2307/520798
- Graf, W. L. (1976). Cirques as glacier locations. *Arctic and Alpine Research*. 8, 79–90. Doi: 10.2307/1550611

- Hallet, B., Hunter, L., & Bogen, J. (1996). Rates of erosion and sediment evacuation by glaciers: A review of field data and their implications. *Global and Planetary Change*, 12(1-4), 213–235. Doi: 10.1016/0921-8181(95)00021-6
- Hannah, D. M., Gurnell, A. M., & McGregor, G. R. (2000). Spatio-temporal variation in microclimate, the surface energy balance and ablation over a cirque glacier. *International Journal of Climatology*, 20(7), 733–758. Doi: 10.1002/1097-0088(20000615)20:7<733::AID-JOC490>3.0.CO;2-F
- Harrison, S., Rowan, A. V., Glasser, N. F., Knight, J., Plummer, M. A., & Mills, S. C. (2014). Little Ice Age glaciers in Britain: Glacier-climate modelling in the Cairngorm mountains. *The Holocene*, 24(2), 135–140. Doi: 10.1177/0959683613516170
- Hicks, D. M., McSaveney, M. J., & Chinn, T. J. H. (1990). Sedimentation in proglacial Ivory Lake, Southern Alps, New Zealand. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 22(1), 26–42. Doi: 10.1080/00040851.1990.12002763
- Hock, R. (2003). Temperature index melt modelling in mountain areas. *Journal of hydrology*, 282(1-4), 104–115. Doi: 10.1016/S0022-1694(03)00257-9
- Hooke, R. L., (1991). Positive feedbacks associated with erosion of glacial cirques and overdeepenings. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 103(8), 1104–1108. Doi: 10.1130/0016-7606(1991)103<1104:PFAWEO>2.3.CO;2
- Koppes, M. N., & Montgomery, D. R. (2009). The relative efficacy of fluvial and glacial erosion over modern to orogenic timescales. *Nature Geoscience*, 2(9), 644–647. Doi: 10.1038/ngeo616
- Larsen, E., & Mangerud, J. (1981). Erosion rate of a Younger Dryas cirque glacier at Krakenes, western Norway. *Annals of Glaciology*, 2(1), 153–158. Doi: 10.3189/172756481794352216

- Laumann, T., & Reeh, N. (1993). Sensitivity to climate change of the mass balance of glaciers in southern Norway. *Journal of Glaciology*, 39, 656–665. Doi: 10.3189/S0022143000016555
- Lewis, W. V. (1949). Glacial movement by rotational slipping. *Geografiska Annaler*, 31(1-4), 146–158. Doi: 10.1080/20014422.1949.11880800
- Lisiecki, L. E., & Raymo, M. E. (2005). A Pliocene-Pleistocene stack of 57 globally distributed benthic  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  records. *Paleoceanography*, 20, PA1003. Doi: 10.1029/2004PA001071
- MacGregor, K. R., Anderson, R. S., & Waddington, E. D. (2009). Numerical modeling of glacial erosion and headwall processes in alpine valleys. *Geomorphology*, 103(2), 189–204. Doi: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2008.04.022
- Mathews, W. H. (1947). "Tuyas," flat-topped volcanoes in northern British Columbia. *American Journal of Science*, 245(9), 560–570. Doi: 10.2475/ajs.245.9.560
- Mills, H. H. (1979). Some implications of sediment studies for glacial erosion on Mount Rainier, Washington. *Northwest Science*, 53(3), 190–199.
- Mîndrescu, M., Evans, I. S., & Cox, N. J. (2010). Climatic implications of cirque distribution in the Romanian Carpathians: palaeowind directions during glacial periods. *Journal of Quaternary Science*, 25(6), 875–888. Doi: 10.1002/jqs.1363
- Mitchell, S. G., & Humphries, E. E. (2015). Glacial cirques and the relationship between equilibrium line altitudes and mountain range height. *Geology*, 43(1), 35–38. Doi: 10.1130/G36180.1
- Olyphant, G. A. (1981). Allometry and cirque evolution. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 92(9), 679–685. Doi: 10.1130/0016-7606(1981)92<679:AACE>2.0.CO;2
- Oskin, M., & Burbank, D. W. (2005). Alpine landscape evolution dominated by cirque retreat. *Geology*, 33(12), 933–936. Doi: 10.1130/G21957.1



- Pedersen, V. K., Huismans, R. S., Herman, F., & Egholm, D. L. (2014). Controls of initial topography on temporal and spatial patterns of glacial erosion. *Geomorphology*, 223, 96–116. Doi: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.06.028
- Pedersen, V. K., Egholm, D. L., & Nielsen, S. B. (2010). Alpine glacial topography and the rate of rock column uplift: a global perspective. *Geomorphology*, 122(1-2), 129–139. Doi: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2010.06.005
- Reheis, M. J. (1975). Source, transportation and deposition of debris on Arapaho glacier, Front Range, Colorado, USA. *Journal of Glaciology*, 14(7), 407–420. Doi: 10.3189/S0022143000021936
- Rolland, C. (2003). Spatial and seasonal variations of air temperature lapse rates in Alpine regions. *Journal of Climate*, 16(7), 1032–1046. Doi: 10.1175/1520-0442(2003)016<1032:SASVOA>2.0.CO;2
- Sanders, J. W., Cuffey, K. M., MacGregor, K. R., & Collins, B. D. (2013). The sediment budget of an alpine cirque. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 125, 229–248. Doi: 10.1130/B30688.1
- Sanders, J. W., Cuffey, K. M., Moore, J. R., MacGregor, K. R., & Kavanaugh, J. L. (2012). Periglacial weathering and headwall erosion in cirque glacier bergschrunds. *Geology*, 40(9), 779–782. Doi 10.1130/G33330.1
- Seguinot, J., Ivy-Ochs, S., Jouvét, G., Huss, M., Funk, M., & Preusser, F. (2018). Modelling last glacial cycle ice dynamics in the Alps. *The Cryosphere*, 12(10), 3265–3285. Doi: 10.5194/tc-12-3265-2018
- Smellie, J. L. (2017). Glaciovolcanism: A 21st Century Proxy for Palaeo-Ice. In Menzies, J., & van der Meer, J., (Eds.), *Past Glacial Environments* 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition (pp. 335–375). Elsevier. Doi: 10.1016/B978-0-08-100524-8.00010-5

Smellie, J. L., & Edwards, B. R. (2016). *Glaciovolcanism on Earth and Mars*. Cambridge:

Cambridge University Press. Doi: 10.1017/CBO9781139764384

Spagnolo, M., Pellitero, R., Barr, I. D., Ely, J. C., Pellicer, X. M., & Rea, B.R. (2017). ACME, a

GIS tool for automated cirque metric extraction. *Geomorphology*, 278, 280–286. Doi:

10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.11.018

Turnbull, J. M. & Davies, R. H. (2006). A mass movement origin for cirques. *Earth Surface*

*Processes and Landforms*, 31, 1129–1148. Doi: 10.1002/esp.1324

Accepted Article

Table 1. A global dataset of published cirque erosion rates, modified from Barr & Spagnolo (2015).

Location	Vertical erosion (mm a <sup>-1</sup> )	Headward erosion (mm a <sup>-1</sup> )	Sidewall erosion (mm a <sup>-1</sup> )	Citation
Marie Byrd Land, Antarctica	0.4	5.8	0.8	Andrews & LeMasurier (1973)
Arapaho Glacier, Front Range, Colorado, USA	0.095–0.17	-	-	Reheis (1975)
Pangnirtung Fiord, Baffin Island, Canada	0.008–0.076	-	-	Anderson (1978)
Kråkenes, Norway	0.5–0.6	0.1	-	Larsen & Mangerud (1981)
Rocky Mountains	0.147–1.811	-	-	Olyphant (1981)
Ivory Lake, Southern Alps, New Zealand	5.3–5.9	-	-	Hicks et al. (1990)
Ovre Beiarbreen, Norway	0.18	-	-	Bogen (1996)
Ben Ohau Range, New Zealand	0.29	0.44	-	Brook et al. (2006)
Nisqually Glacier, Washington, USA	5.0	-	-	Mills (1979)

Canadian Mountains, Columbia	Rocky British	0.5–0.9	1.2	-	Sanders et al. (2013)
------------------------------------	------------------	---------	-----	---	--------------------------




Fig. 1. Example cirque (Choire Dheirg, Scotland, 58.197°N, 4.974°W), mapped as a blue polygon. Cirque length (L) is the line within the cirque polygon that intersects the cirque threshold midpoint (TM—the threshold is marked as a dashed red line) and splits the polygon into two equal halves. Cirque width (W) is the line perpendicular to the length and intersecting the length line midpoint. Cirque depth (H), not shown in the figure, is the altitudinal difference between the minimum and maximum altitude within the cirque (i.e.,  $Z_{max} - Z_{min}$ ). Spagnolo et al. (2017) provide further details of how these cirque metrics are calculated using their GIS tool. Background shows a getmapping TM aerial image, viewed obliquely in Google Earth TM.




Fig. 2. Temperature offset ( $\Delta T$ ), relative to present, through the last glacial cycle (i.e., 120 ka to present), derived from the Greenland Ice Core Project (GRIP; Dansgaard et al., 1993), scaled to account for precipitation reduction with cooling climate (following Seguinot et al., 2018). This dataset is used to force eq. 1. Colours show periods of glacier-free conditions (white), marginal glaciation (light blue), and full glaciation (dark blue) for an example cirque (Cwm Marchlyn Mawr, Wales, 53.14°N, 4.07°W).

Accepted




Fig. 3. Cirques in Britain and Ireland (a) coloured according to depth ( $H$ ) (scale plotted according to the natural breaks method, which best illustrates spatial differences in these data), and (b) with  $H$  plotted as a frequency distribution. Note: in (b) the x-axis is plotted on a logarithmic scale. Numbers in (a) refer to cirques mentioned in the text: 1 = Coire an Laoigh, 2 = Keimeen, 3 = Sron a Gharbh-Choire, 4 = Knocknahillan, 5 = Fir Bhreugach, 6 = Coire nan Arr, 7 = Lough Sallagh.




Fig. 4. Modelled temperature offset ( $\Delta T^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), relative to present, required to accumulate ice within the cirques of Britain and Ireland. This figure shows the  $\Delta T$  required for between 10% and 90% of each cirque's surface area to be accumulating ice. The example indicated by arrows is Coire nan Arr, Scotland ( $57.42^{\circ}\text{N}$ ,  $5.66^{\circ}\text{W}$ ; labelled 6 in Fig. 3a), which is the cirque that requires the greatest  $\Delta T$  (i.e.,  $-6.9^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) to transition from  $\text{Ac} = 10\%$  to  $\text{Ac} = 90\%$ .






Fig. 5. Cirques in Britain and Ireland, classified according to the duration of the last glacial cycle (120 ka to present) that our modelling suggests they were glacier-free (i.e.,  $t_{gf}$ ;  $A_c < 10\%$ ); occupied by small (marginal), largely cirque-confined, glaciers (i.e.,  $t_{marginal}$ ;  $A_c$  10–90%); and occupied by large glaciers (which extended beyond cirque confines) (i.e.,  $t_{full}$ ;  $A_c > 90\%$ ).




Fig. 6. Cirque depth ( $H$ ) in Britain and Ireland plotted against the duration of the last glacial cycle (120 ka to present) that our modelling suggests they were (a) glacier-occupied ( $t$ ); (b) occupied by small (largely cirque-confined) glaciers ( $t_{\text{marginal}}$ ); and (c) occupied by large glaciers (which extended beyond cirque confines) ( $t_{\text{full}}$ ). (d)  $H$  plotted against the  $\Delta T$  required for individual cirques to transition from  $A_c = 10\%$  to  $A_c = 90\%$ . Note: in all examples, the y-axes are plotted on logarithmic scales.




Fig. 7. Spatial variability in the duration of the last glacial cycle (120 ka to present) that our modelling suggests cirques in Britain and Ireland were (a) glacier-occupied ( $t$ ); (b) occupied by small (largely cirqueconfined) glaciers ( $t_{\text{marginal}}$ ); and (c) occupied by large glaciers (which extended beyond cirque confines) ( $t_{\text{full}}$ ).





Fig. 8. Tuya Butte, northern British Columbia. (a) Landsat image viewed obliquely (from the northwest) in Google EarthTM. (b) PlanetScope satellite image, clearly showing the two cirques (A = 59.136°N, 130.570°W; B = 59.137°N, 130.560°W) eroded into the tuya's northern flank.

Accepted Article

## Graphical Abstract

This study investigates the rate and timing of cirque growth/erosion in Britain and Ireland over the Quaternary. On the basis of study findings, a conceptual model is proposed, whereby cirques grow quickly when they first initiate (perhaps attaining much of their size, and reaching a least-resistance shape, during a single glacial cycle), after which (during subsequent glacial cycles) cirque growth/erosion slows by an order of magnitude, and is focused during periods of marginal (small scale) glaciation.



Accepted A