

This is a repository copy of The Cochrane 2018 review on brief interventions in primary care for hazardous and harmful alcohol consumption: a distillation for clinicians and policy makers.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/147518/

Version: Supplemental Material

### Article:

Beyer, F.R., Campbell, F. orcid.org/0000-0002-4141-8863, Bertholet, N. et al. (5 more authors) (2019) The Cochrane 2018 review on brief interventions in primary care for hazardous and harmful alcohol consumption: a distillation for clinicians and policy makers. Alcohol and Alcoholism, 54 (4). pp. 417-427. ISSN 0735-0414

https://doi.org/10.1093/alcalc/agz035

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in Alcohol and Alcoholism following peer review. The version of record F R Beyer, F Campbell, N Bertholet, J B Daeppen, J B Saunders, E D Pienaar, C R Muirhead, E F S Kaner, The Cochrane 2018 Review on Brief Interventions in Primary Care for Hazardous and Harmful Alcohol Consumption: A Distillation for Clinicians and Policy Makers, Alcohol and Alcoholism is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1093/alcalc/agz035

#### Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



# Brief alcohol interventions: meta-analytic summary

## Table 3: Subgroup analyses for consumption (grams/week) for BI vs control comparison

Subgroup	Estimates - mean consumption (grams/week) [95% CI]		Test for subgroup differences
Gender <sup>*</sup>	<b>Men</b> (N = 3,486, K = 11)	Women (N=1,350, K=7)	
	-42.21 [-64.53 to -19.89]	-30.27 [-58.99 to -1.55]	Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.41 (P = 0.52), I <sup>2</sup> = 0.0%
Age	<b>Younger people</b> <sup>**</sup> (N = 1,638, K = 3)	<b>Not age restricted</b> (N = 13,559, K = 31)	
	-7.09 [-17.18 to 2.99]	-22.79 [-32.42 to -13.16]	Chi <sup>2</sup> = 4.87 (P = 0.03), I <sup>2</sup> = 79%
Setting	General practice (N = 8,811, K = 24)	Emergency care (N = 6,386, K = 10)	
	-25.69 [-37.30 to -14.08]	-9.73 [-17.52 to -1.93]	Chi <sup>2</sup> = 5.01 (P = 0.03), I <sup>2</sup> = 80%
Intervention style	Advice-based (N = 8,243, K = 20)	<b>Counselling-based</b> (N = 5,537, K = 12)	
	-32.68 [-45.75 to -19.60]	-0.17 [-2.96 to 2.61]	Chi <sup>2</sup> = 22.71 (P = 0.00), I <sup>2</sup> = 96%
Type of control	No alcohol content (N = 8,606, K = 18)	Alcohol content (N = 6,591, K = 16)	
	-24.41 [-36.47 to -12.35]	-12.80 [-22.93 to -2.66]	Chi <sup>2</sup> = 2.09 (P = 0.15), I <sup>2</sup> = 52%
Effectiveness v efficacy	Effectiveness (N = 7,091, K = 16)	Efficacy (N = 8,106, K = 18)	
	-27.06 [-41.49 to -12.63]	-14.37 [-23.97 to -4.76]	Chi <sup>2</sup> = 2.06 (P = 0.15), I <sup>2</sup> = 51%

\* excluding trials of men only; \*\* maximum 21, 24 and 25 years in three trials; N – number of participants; K – number of studies