

This is a repository copy of *Structured*, aerobic exercise reduces fat mass and is partially compensated through energy intake but not energy expenditure in women.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/147103/

Version: Accepted Version

## Article:

Myers, A, Dalton, M, Gibbons, C et al. (2 more authors) (2019) Structured, aerobic exercise reduces fat mass and is partially compensated through energy intake but not energy expenditure in women. Physiology & Behavior, 199. pp. 56-65. ISSN 0031-9384

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2018.11.005

© 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial No Derivatives 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

#### Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

1	Full title: Structured, aerobic exercise reduces fat mass and is partially compensated through
2	energy intake but not energy expenditure in women
3	Short title: Compensatory EI and EE after structured exercise in women
4	<sup>1</sup> Anna Myers*, <sup>2</sup> Michelle Dalton, <sup>3</sup> Catherine Gibbons, <sup>3</sup> Graham Finlayson & <sup>3</sup> John Blundell
	,, _,
5	<sup>1</sup> Centre for Sport and Exercise Science, Faculty of Health and Wellbeing, Sheffield Hallam
6	University
7	<sup>2</sup> School of Social and Health Sciences, Leeds Trinity University, Leeds, United Kingdom
8	<sup>3</sup> Appetite Control and Energy Balance Research, School of Psychology, Faculty of Medicine
9	and Health, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom
10	
11	*Corresponding Author:
12	Dr Anna Myers
13	Centre for Sport and Exercise Science
14	Sheffield Hallam University
15	Sheffield
16	S10 2BP
17	<u>a.myers@shu.ac.uk</u>
18	0114 225 2274
19	
20	Michelle Dalton (m.dalton@leedstrinity.ac.uk), Catherine Gibbons
21	(C.Gibbons@leeds.ac.uk), Graham Finlayson (G.S.Finlayson@leeds.ac.uk) John Blundell
22	(J.E.Blundell@leeds.ac.uk),

#### 23 ABSTRACT

#### 24 Background

Exercise-induced weight loss is often less than expected and highly variable in men and
women. Behavioural compensation for the exercise-induced energy deficit could be through
energy intake (EI), non-exercise physical activity (NEPA) or sedentary behaviour (SB). We
investigated this issue in women.

## 29 Methods

Twenty-four overweight [body mass index (BMI) M=27.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, SD=2.7] women [age M=33.1 years, SD=11.7] completed 12-weeks of supervised exercise (5x500kcal per week) in a non-randomised pre-post intervention study. Body mass (BM), waist circumference (WC), body composition, resting metabolic rate (RMR), total daily EI, individual meals, appetite sensations and appetite-related peptides were measured at baseline (week 0) and postintervention (week 12). Free-living physical activity (PA) and SB were measured (SenseWear) at baseline, week 1 and 10 of the exercise intervention, and at post-intervention (week 13).

## 37 Results

Following the 12-week exercise intervention BM [p=.04], BMI [p=.035], WC [p<.001] and fat mass (FM) [p=.003] were significantly reduced, and fat-free mass (FFM) significantly increased [p=.003]. Total [p=.028], ad libitum [p=.03] and snack box EI [p=.048] were significantly increased and this was accompanied by an increase in hunger [p=.01] and a decrease in fullness [p=.03] before meals. The peptides did not explain changes in appetite</p>

- 43 [p>.05]. There was no compensatory reduction in NEPA [p>.05] and no increase in SB, rather
- 44 there was a decrease in SB during the exercise intervention [p=.03].

## 45 Conclusions

46 Twelve-weeks of supervised aerobic exercise resulted in a significant reduction in FM and an 47 increase in FFM. Exercise increased hunger and EI which only partially compensated for the 48 increase in energy expenditure. There was no evidence for a compensatory reduction in NEPA 49 or an increase in SB. Dietary intervention, as an adjunct to exercise, may offset the 50 compensatory increase in EI and result in a greater reduction in BM.

## 51 Trial registration

Our trial was retrospectively registered on the International Standard Randomised Controlled
 Trials Registry (ISRCTN78021668, 27<sup>th</sup> September 2016) and can be found here:
 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1186/ISRCTN78021668">https://doi.org/10.1186/ISRCTN78021668</a>

## 55 KEY WORDS

56 Exercise, appetite control, weight loss, compensation, non-exercise physical activity, sedentary57 behaviour

58

#### 60 BACKGROUND

61 There is much discussion about the role of physical activity (PA) and/or exercise for reducing 62 obesity and promoting weight maintenance. The scepticism surrounding the efficacy of PA for 63 weight management arises from the observation that weight loss as a result of exercise 64 interventions is often less than expected (1) and the belief that increased exercise-induced 65 energy expenditure (EE) is automatically countered by an increase in energy intake (EI) (2). Despite this, observational studies demonstrate that habitual PA is associated with lower body 66 67 mass (BM) and fat mass (FM) (3, 4). Furthermore, experimental studies have shown that 68 structured exercise results in reduced BM and FM, often with an increase or preservation of 69 fat-free mass (FFM) (5-7). Exercise and/or PA is also a strong predictor of weight loss 70 maintenance (8). The evidence demonstrates that exercise is an integral component of weight 71 management interventions (5).

72 Despite significant reductions in average BM and FM with exercise, weight loss is often less 73 than the theoretically predicted reduction based on the exercise-induced EE, even when 74 adherence to the exercise intervention is strictly supervised and monitored and compliance is 75 high (1, 7). This less than theoretically predicted weight loss could, in part, be due to the use 76 of overly simplistic and static predictive equations that do not account for dynamic 77 physiological adaptations to weight loss and therefore overestimate the weight loss resulting 78 from a particular exercise-induced energy deficit (9). Additionally, compensation in response 79 to the energy deficit generated by the exercise regime would attenuate weight loss. This 80 compensation could arise through an increase in EI (7, 10), or compensation that acts to reduce 81 total daily EE such as a decrease in non-exercise physical activity (NEPA) or an increase in 82 sedentary behaviour (SB) (or subtle combinations of all these components of energy balance) 83 (11, 12). The literature regarding changes in EI, NEPA and SB in response to structured exercise is conflicting and many studies lack accurate and reliable measures of EI, EE, NEPAand SB (13, 14).

This study applied objective methodology to assess the influence of an exercise regime on EI
(food intake, appetite sensations and appetite-related peptides) and EE (PA and SB outside of
the structured exercise) in women. The specific objective was to examine whether a 12-week
supervised, structured aerobic exercise regime generated compensation through appetite,
NEPA or SB.

#### 91 METHODS

#### 92 Participants

93 Thirty-two overweight or obese inactive women were recruited to take part in the study. Only 94 women were recruited to reduce unwanted variability in the design. Of those 32 participants, 24 women aged 33.1 years (SD = 11.7) with a body mass index (BMI) of 27.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (SD = 95 96 2.7) completed the study. The following reasons were given for participant dropouts: did not 97 like exercise (week 1; n=1); exercise related injury (week 4; n=1); did not comply with 98 procedures (week 4; n=1); personal reasons (week 6; n=1); no reason provided (week 7; n=1); 99 time commitment of exercise too much (week 10; n=2); illness (week 12; n=1). Participants 100 were recruited from the University of Leeds, UK, and surrounding area using posters and email 101 mailing lists. An online screening survey was completed to assess the eligibility of potential 102 participants based on the following criteria: women aged 18-55 years, BMI between 25.0 and 103 34.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, not currently dieting to lose weight, inactive (less than 150 min/week of moderate-104 to-vigorous PA (MVPA) assessed by questionnaire), no increase in PA in previous four weeks, 105 weight stable (no significant weight loss  $(\geq 5\%)$ ) in the previous 6 months), non-smokers, not taking any medication or have any medical condition known to affect metabolism or appetite,
and acceptance of the study foods (≥3 liking of study foods on 7-point Likert scale). All
participants provided written informed consent before taking part in the study. The study
procedures and all study materials were reviewed and approved by the National Research
Ethics Service Committee Yorkshire & the Humber (ref: 09/H1307/7).

#### 111 Design

112 This study was a non-randomised pre-post study with a 12-week supervised aerobic exercise 113 intervention. Anthropometrics, body composition and resting metabolic rate (RMR) were 114 taken before (week 0) and at the end of the exercise intervention (week 12). Participants also 115 completed two probe days prior to the exercise intervention (week 0) commencing and two in 116 the final week (week 12) of the exercise intervention to assess eating behaviour and 117 subjective appetite sensations. On both measures and probe days, the participants arrived at 118 the research unit between 07:00 and 09:00 following a 10 hour fast (no food or drink except 119 water). Free-living PA and SB were measured before (week -1), during (week 1 and week 10) 120 and after (week 13) the intervention.

## 121 Measures days

A range of measurements were performed at week 0 (baseline) and week 12. Participants arrived at the laboratory following an overnight fast. RMR was measured (GEM, NutrEn Technology Ltd, Cheshire, UK) with participants laying supine for 40 min during which expired air was collected using a ventilated hood system. VO<sub>2</sub> and VCO<sub>2</sub> values were sampled every 30 seconds. The average of the final 30 min values was deemed to be the RMR expressed as kcal/d. BM and body composition (fat mass (FM) and fat-free mass

128 (FFM)) were measured using the BODPOD (Body Composition Tracking System, Life

129 Measurement, Inc., Concord, USA) which uses air displacement plethysmography.

130 Participants wore tight clothing and a swim cap to allow for an accurate measure of body

131 volume. Height was measured using a stadiometer (Seca Ltd., Birmingham, UK) and waist

132 circumference (WC) was measured horizontally in line with the umbilicus.

#### 133 Probe days

Twenty-four hour EI and subjective appetite sensations were measured during the probe day visits. Participants were provided with an individually fixed energy breakfast (25% of measured RMR) of muesli and milk and a choice of tea, coffee or water and were instructed to consume all food and drink within 10 min. The macronutrient composition of the breakfast was fixed at 55%, 30% and 15% for carbohydrate, fat and protein, respectively. Participants remained in the laboratory between breakfast and lunch and were able to use a desktop

140 computer/laptop, listen to music or read.

Four hours after breakfast, an ad libitum lunch consisting of chilli with rice, and strawberry
yoghurt with double cream was provided with water. Participant were then free to leave the
laboratory between lunch and dinner but were not allowed to consume any food or drink
except the bottle of water provided.

Participants returned to the laboratory four hours later for the ad libitum dinner of tomato and herb risotto, garlic bread, salad items, chocolate brownies and water. An ad libitum snack box containing an apple, two mandarins, roast ham, cheese, bread, margarine, crisps, chocolate buttons and a vanilla yoghurt was given to participants to take home in the evening.
Participants could eat any food items from the snack box but were instructed not to share the

foods. Participants returned the snack box containing any uneaten foods and food packaging
the following day. All of the ad libitum meals were presented in excess of expected
consumption and participants were instructed to eat until they reached a comfortable level of
fullness. EI was calculated by weighing foods to the nearest 0.1 g before and after
consumption and using energy equivalents for protein, fat and carbohydrate of 4, 9 and 3.75
kcal/g, respectively, and nutritional information from the manufacturers' food labels.

156 During probe days visual analogue scales (VAS) were completed immediately before and 157 after meals and periodically between meals to assess subjective appetite sensations using a 158 validated electronic appetite rating system (15). Area under the curve (AUC) was calculated 159 using the trapezoid method for subjective feelings of hunger, fullness, desire to eat and 160 prospective foods consumption throughout the whole day (post-breakfast (0 min), +15 min, 161 +30 min, +60 min, +90 min, +120 min, +180 min, +230 min, pre-lunch (+235 min), post-162 lunch (+260 min), +300 min, +360 min, +420 min, pre-dinner (+480 min), post-dinner (+500 163 min), +540 min, +600 min).

164 EI and subjective appetite sensations were averaged across the two baseline probe days and 165 the two post-intervention probe days to provide a single measure of EI and subjective appetite 166 sensations at both time points. Data were averaged in this way because, as part of a wider 167 project, the two probe days involved the consumption of a novel yoghurt or a calorie and 168 energy matched control yoghurt immediately after breakfast. As the two different yoghurts 169 had no effect on any of the outcome measures in this study, we included it as part of the total 170 breakfast intake and averaged the probe days at baseline and post intervention to give a more 171 robust pre and post intervention measure.

## 172 Free-living physical activity, sedentary behaviour and energy expenditure

173 Free-living PA, SB and EE were measured using the SenseWear Armband mini (SWA; 174 BodyMedia, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA), as has previously been described (3). Measures were 175 completed before the exercise intervention (week -1), week 1 and week 10 of the exercise 176 intervention and post-intervention (after the exercise intervention was complete; week 13). 177 Participants wore the SWA at all times apart from when showering, bathing or swimming, this 178 included wearing the SWA during structured exercise sessions. Participants wore the SWA on 179 the posterior surface of their upper non-dominant arm for a minimum of 22 hours/d for 7-8 180 days. The SWA measures motion (triaxial accelerometer), galvanic skin response, skin 181 temperature and heat flux. Proprietary algorithms available in the accompanying software 182 (SenseWear Professional software version 8.0, algorithm v5.2) calculate EE and classify the 183 intensity of activity. SB was classified as <1.5 METs, light 1.5-2.9 METs, moderate 3-5.9 METs and vigorous >6 METs (16). Moderate and vigorous PA was grouped together to form 184 185 one MVPA category to correspond with the guidelines for PA. Activity EE was calculated by 186 summing the energy expended in activities >1.5 METs. PA and SB variables were expressed 187 as average min/d and activity EE was expressed as average kcal/d by dividing the total min/d 188 or kcal/d recorded during the whole wear period by the number of days participants wore the 189 SWA. For a wear period to be valid there had to be  $\geq 5$  days of valid data ( $\geq 22$  hours/d) 190 including  $\geq 1$  weekend day (17). The SWA has been shown to accurately estimate time spent 191 in sedentary, light and moderate activities, total EE, EE at rest and EE during free-living light 192 and moderate intensity PA (18-21).

#### 193 Non-exercise physical activity

The duration of weekly prescribed exercise was averaged over 7 days for week 1 (M = 47.30min/d, SD = 6.96) and week 10 (M = 40.16 min/d, SD = 5.83) of the exercise intervention. Average structured exercise minutes per day was then subtracted from time spent in MVPA 197 per day measured using the SWA during week 1 and week 10 of the exercise intervention to 198 determine NEPA MVPA. Similarly, the five day exercise-induced EE (2500 kcal) was 199 averaged over 7 days (357.14 kcal/d) and subtracted from activity EE measured using the SWA 200 during week 1 and week 10 of the exercise intervention to determine NEPA activity EE.

#### 201 Exercise intervention

202 Participants were required to exercise at the laboratory exercise facility five times per week for 203 12-weeks. Each exercise session was individually tailored to expend 500 kcal at 70% of their 204 HR maximum (2500 kcal/wk). Participants completed a maximal treadmill fitness test and 205 expired air was collected and analysed using indirect calorimetry (SensorMedics Vmax29, 206 California, USA) to calculate EE during exercise. Standard stoichiometric equations were used 207 with respiratory data (VO<sub>2</sub>/VCO<sub>2</sub>) to calculate the energy expended at 70% HR maximum (22). 208 To account for changes in fitness and BM, a further VO<sub>2</sub> max test was performed during week 209 six of the intervention to recalculate the exercise duration required to expend 500 kcal at 70% 210 HR maximum. Compliance with the exercise intervention was monitored and tracked daily 211 using HR monitors (S610, POLAR, Finland) to ensure the correct intensity and duration of 212 exercise was achieved. Participants could choose from a selection of exercise equipment: 213 bicycle ergometers, cross-trainers, rowing ergometers and treadmills. Participants could attend 214 the laboratory exercise facility between 7 am and 7 pm Monday – Friday. The facility could 215 accommodate up to 6 participants exercising at any one time. The target total EE over the 12-216 week exercise intervention was 29,000 kcal for each participant. If participants missed an 217 exercise session for any reason they were required to make up the time they had missed by 218 exercising for longer on other days or exercising away from the laboratory over the weekend 219 providing they recorded their exercise session with the HR monitor. Participants were excluded

from the study on a case by case basis if they repeatedly missed exercise sessions and it wasdeemed unrealistic to make up the exercise they had missed.

#### 222 Blood parameters

223 Venous blood samples were collected into 10ml syringes and then transferred to EDTA-224 containing Monovette tubes. These tubes contained a mixture of inhibitors (dipeptidyl 225 peptidase IV (DPP4) inhibitor (10µl/ml blood), aprotinin (50µl/ml blood) and pefabloc SC 226 (50µl/ml blood)) to prevent degradation of the peptides to be measured. Samples were drawn 227 at eight time points during the morning of the probe day at 0 min and after breakfast at +15228 min, +30 min; +60 min; +90 min; +120 min; +180 min and +230 min for the measurement of 229 metabolic and appetite peptide levels. After collection, samples were centrifuged for 10 230 minutes at 4°C and 4000 rpm. Samples were immediately pipetted into Eppendorf tubes and 231 stored at -80°C awaiting analysis. Insulin, acylated ghrelin, peptide YY (PYY) and glucagon-232 like peptide 1 (GLP-1) were analysed in this study. Total PYY was measured due to feasibility. 233 Because the overwhelming composition of circulating total PYY is known to be PYY3–36, the 234 present PYY (total) assay effectively measured PYY3-36. A previous study showed an 235 essentially perfect correlation between this PYY (total) assay and a PYY3-36 selective 236 radioimunoassay. The relevant antibodies for PYY (total) used in the present study (originally 237 from Linco, St. Charles, Missouri), have been used by others to demonstrate the effects of 238 PYY3-36 (23). The inter- and intra- assay coefficients of variations were 6.35% and 6.2% for 239 insulin, 3.81% and 5.3% for leptin, 4.24% and 4.05% for GLP-1, 4.91% and 5.9% for PYY 240 (total) and 5.12% and 4.45% for acylated ghrelin, respectively.

Only a subset of participants completed the postprandial blood samples. Reasons for missingpeptide data included unsuccessful cannulation, and participants' unwillingness to take part in

this part of the study. All samples that were drawn, were analysed and have been included inthe manuscript.

#### 245 Statistical analysis

246 Data are reported as mean  $\pm$  SD throughout, unless otherwise stated. Statistical analysis was 247 performed using IBM SPSS for Windows (Chicago, Illinois, Version 21) and significance was 248 set at p < .05. All variables were checked for outliers and normality was assessed using the 249 Shapiro-Wilk test. Changes in anthropometrics, body composition and RMR from baseline to 250 post-intervention were assessed using paired sample t-tests. To examine changes in EI, free-251 living PA, SB, NEPA and activity EE in response to structured aerobic exercise, one-way 252 repeated measures ANCOVA were performed with baseline BMI entered as a covariate and 253 reported where significant. Change in subjective appetite sensations and appetite hormones 254 from baseline to post-intervention were assessed using two-way ANCOVA (Week\*Time) with 255 effects of baseline BMI reported where significant. Where appropriate Greenhouse-Geisser 256 probability levels were used to adjust for sphericity. Post hoc comparisons using Bonferroni 257 adjustments were used if statistical significance was detected. Because of the large individual 258 variations in fasting levels of metabolic and appetite hormones, the change from baseline was 259 computed at each time point for each individual for all of the variables. Simple linear regression 260 was also performed to identify whether differences in exercise-induced EE or change in total 261 EI explained the variation in body composition change between participants. The last 262 observation carried forward (LOCF) method was used to account for missing data for the eight 263 participants who dropped out of the study. The analyses that were conducted on the completer 264 dataset were repeated on the LOCF dataset. Results were reported only when LOCF analyses 265 differed from completer analyses.

#### 266 **RESULTS**

The prescribed total EE over the 12-week exercise intervention was 29,000 kcal for each
participant. The mean total measured exercise-induced EE was 28,792.3 kcal (SD = 872.96),
which was 99.3% of the prescribed EE.

## 270 Change in body composition, anthropometrics and resting metabolism

271 Paired sample t-tests revealed there was a significant reduction in BM [t(23) = 2.18, p = .04],

272 BMI [t(23) = 2.25, p = .035], WC [t(23) = 4.60, p < .001] and FM [t(23) = 3.36, p = .003] and 273 a significant increase in FFM [t(23) = 3.35, p = .003], see **Table 1**.

- Assuming 1 kg of BM (70:30 fat/lean tissue) is equivalent to 7,700 kcal (24), the predicted sample average weight loss resulting from the total exercise-induced energy deficit (28,792.29 kcal) was 3.74 kg. The observed weight loss was less than the predicted weight loss (22.19% of predicted) indicating compensation for the exercise-induced energy deficit occurred. There was no significant change in RMR from baseline to week 12 [p = .304], see **Table 1**.
- 279 \*\*Table 1 around here\*\*

There was considerable variability in weight loss and body composition change between participants. Seventeen participants lost weight, one participant remained the same and six participants gained weight following the 12-week supervised aerobic exercise intervention. Changes in BM ranged from -4.3 kg to +3.1 kg (see figure 1). Of the 24 participants, 20 reduced their FM, one remained the same and three gained FM with changes ranging from -4.4 kg to +4.9 kg. Two participants had unfavourable changes in both FM (increased) and FFM (decreased). Total exercise-induced EE did not explain the variation in BM change [F(1, 22) =

287 1.259, p = .274, R<sup>2</sup> = .054], FM change [F(1, 22) = 2.418, p = .134, R<sup>2</sup> = .099] or FFM change
288 [F(1, 22) = 1.475, p = .237, R<sup>2</sup> = .063].

## 289 Energy intake

Paired sample t-tests revealed participants total EI during week 12 probe days was significantly higher compared with total EI during baseline probe days [t(23) = 2.35, p = 0.028]. Furthermore, ad libitum EI (lunch, dinner and snack box EI combined) [t(23) = 2.31, p = .03]and snack box EI [t(23) = 2.09, p = .048] were also higher at week 12. However, there was no significant difference in lunch [p = .998] or dinner [p = .194] EI, see **Table 2.** When these analyses were adjusted for baseline BMI (ANCOVA), there was no effect of BMI and no interaction between BMI and the intervention.

As with body composition change, there was considerable variability in total EI change from baseline to week 12 between participants. Ten participants decreased their EI, whereas 14 participants increased their EI. Change in total EI ranged from -581.5 kcal/d to +763.9 kcal/d. Change in total EI did not explain the variation in BM change [F(1, 22) = 0.583, p = .453, R<sup>2</sup> = .026], FM change [F(1, 22) = 1.336, p = .260, R<sup>2</sup> = .057] or FFM change [F(1, 22) = 1.065, p = .313, R<sup>2</sup> = .046].

## **304** Subjective appetite sensations

305 There was no significant difference between baseline and week 12 fasting hunger ratings [t(23)]306 = 1.64, p = .12]. There was a main effect of week [F(1, 23) = 7.82, p = .01] with hunger being 307 higher (when measured over the whole day) at week 12 (M = 25.58 mm, SD = 16.49) compared 308 with baseline (M = 21.68 mm, SD = 17.11). Pairwise comparisons with Bonferroni adjustments 309 revealed VAS hunger ratings were significantly higher during the post-intervention probe days 310 compared with baseline immediately post-breakfast [t(23) = 2.08, p = .049], 15 min [t(23) =311 2.65, p = .014], 30 min [t(23) = 2.63, p = .015], 90 min [t(23) = 2.20, p = .038], immediately 312 post-lunch [t(23) = 2.33, p = .029], immediately post-dinner [t(23) = 2.63, p = .015] and 600 313 min [t(23) = 3.01, p = .006]. There was also a main effect of time [F(2.69, 61.95) = 66.99, p < .006]314 .001) but no week\*time interaction [F(6.12, 140.70) = 0.73, p = .63], see Figure 2a. Paired 315 sample t-tests revealed there was a significant increase in AUC for hunger [t(23) = 2.61, p =316 .016] throughout the whole day from baseline to week 12.

317 There was no significant difference between baseline and week 12 fasting fullness ratings [t(23)]318 = 1.03, p = .32]. There was a main effect of week [F(1, 23) = 5.55, p = .03], with fullness being 319 lower (when measured over the whole day) at week 12 [M = 56.12 mm, SD = 19.54] compared 320 with baseline [M = 60.06 mm, SD = 19.71]. Pairwise comparisons with Bonferroni adjustments 321 revealed VAS fullness ratings were significantly lower during the week 12 probe days 322 compared with baseline at 30 min [t(23) = 2.17, p = .040], 180 min [t(23) = 2.65, p = .014], 323 immediately post-lunch [t(23) = 2.78, p = .011], immediately post-dinner [t(23) = 2.49, p = .021] and at 600 min [t(23) = 2.41, p = .024]. There was also a main effect of time [F(4.26, p = .024)]. 324 325 (97.99) = 75.28, p < .001) but no week\*time interaction [F(7.54, 173.32) = 0.58, p = .78], see 326 Figure 2b. Paired sample t-tests revealed there was a significant decrease in AUC for fullness 327 [t(23) = 2.18, p = .04] throughout the whole day from baseline to week 12. The results of these 328 analyses did not change when controlling for baseline BMI (ANCOVA).

# 329 Change in free-living physical activity, sedentary behaviour and non-exercise physical330 activity

331 When the structured exercise sessions were included in the SWA data during the week 1 and 332 10 measurement period, the amount of time spent in MVPA was significantly different between 333 the four different time points [F(3, 66) = 18.57, p < .001]. Post hoc tests revealed MVPA was 334 significantly higher during the first and tenth week of the exercise intervention compared to 335 baseline and post-intervention [p < .05], see Figure 3a. Similarly, activity EE differed 336 significantly between the different time points [F(3, 66) = 17.16, p < .001]. Post hoc tests 337 revealed activity EE was also significantly higher during the first and tenth week of the exercise 338 intervention compared with baseline and post-intervention [p < .05], see Figure 3a.

339 A repeated measures ANCOVA revealed that there was a significant difference in mean 340 sedentary time between the different time points [F(3, 66) = 3.32, p = .03]. Post hoc tests 341 revealed that there was a significant increase in sedentary time between the first week of 342 exercise and the week following the completion of the exercise intervention [p = .02]. When 343 the repeated measures ANCOVA was conducted on the LOCF dataset [F(3, 93) = 5.11, p =344 .002], there was a significant decrease in SB from baseline to week 1 [p = .043] and baseline 345 to week 10 [p = .047] of the exercise intervention. The increase in sedentary time between the 346 first week of exercise and the week following the completion of the exercise intervention 347 remained significant [p = .02]. There was no covariate effect of baseline BMI and no interaction 348 between BMI and the intervention.

Sleep, sedentary time, light PA and MVPA are collinear which means an increase in one
category of activity would lead to a decrease in at least one other. The sum of the change in
sleep, sedentary time and light PA (all categories excluding MVPA) between baseline and

week 1 and baseline and week 10 was calculated to identify whether the increase in structured MVPA displaced these activities rather than displacing MVPA that participants already performed as part of their daily routines. The sum of all the activity categories other than MVPA between baseline and week 1 was -59.61 min/d (SD = 43.89) and between baseline and week 10 was -41.19 min/d (SD = 51.70). Change in MVPA from baseline to week 1 was +50.20 min/d (SD = 37.96) and from baseline to week 10 was +42.63 min/d (SD = 49.87). Structured MVPA appears to displace sleep, SB and light PA but not NEPA MVPA.

When the structured exercise was removed from the SWA data during week 1 and week 10 of the exercise intervention there was no significant difference between baseline, week 1, week 10 and post-intervention NEPA MVPA [F(3, 66) = 0.05, p = .99] or NEPA activity EE [F(3, 66) = 0.87, p = .46], see **Figure 3b**. NEPA MVPA ranged from 85.8 min/d to 88.7 min/d and NEPA activity EE ranged from 864.4 kcal/d to 760.1 kcal/d.

## 364 Change in fasting and postprandial appetite-related peptide response

365 There was a significant decrease in fasting insulin levels from baseline to post-intervention, as
366 shown in **Table 3**. There was no significant difference in fasting acylated ghrelin, PYY or GLP367 1 between baseline and post-intervention [p > .05].

#### 368 \*\*Table 3 around here\*\*

Postprandial profiles for insulin, acylated ghrelin, PYY, and GLP-1 at baseline and postintervention are displayed in **Figure 4**. There was a main effect of week for PYY [F(1, 17) = 9.14, p = .008] which was higher post-intervention (M = 51.19 ng/L, SD = 21.93) compared with baseline (M = 35.96 ng/L, SD = 16.36). Post hoc tests using the Bonferroni correction revealed that PYY was significantly higher during the post-intervention probe day at +30 min  $[p = .002], +60 \min [p = .003], and +90 \min [p = .041].$  There was a main effect of time [F(2.01, 34.23) = 17.24, p < .001] and a significant week\*time interaction [F(3.00, 51.06) = 3.17, p = .032].

There was no main effect of week for insulin [F(1, 17) = 1.29, p = .272], acylated ghrelin [F(1, 378 16) = 0.21, p = .651] or GLP-1 [F(1, 17) = 0.23, p = .642]. There was a significant main effect of time for insulin [F(1.31, 22.24) = 67.35, p < .001], acylated ghrelin [F(1.98, 31.65) = 64.34, p < .001] and GLP-1 [F(2.01, 34.19) = 34.50, p < .001], however there was no week\*time interaction for insulin [F(2.81, 47.68) = 0.96, p = .417], acylated ghrelin [F(3.23, 51.72) = 1.16, p = .335] or GLP-1 [F(2.80, 47.67) = 1.36, p = .268].

## 383 DISCUSSION

384 The 12-week exercise intervention resulted in a significant reduction in BM and FM, refuting 385 claims from some academics that exercise/PA does not promote weight loss (25). However, 386 weight loss was less than predicted and there was considerable variability in weight change 387 between individuals ranging from -4.3 kg to +3.1 kg. Less than predicted weight loss and large 388 individual variability in weight change have previously been reported in response to increased 389 exercise (1, 7). Total exercise-induced EE throughout the intervention (99.3% of prescribed on 390 average) did not contribute to the variability in weight change, thus ruling out the possibility 391 that the variability was due to adherence to the exercise intervention.

392 It has been suggested that exercise-induced EE will be compensated for through increased EI
393 or decreased NEPA to offset the negative energy balance, rendering exercise futile for weight
394 loss (26, 27). The exercise-induced energy deficit in the current study was not fully

395 compensated for as participants did in fact lose weight on average. However, partial 396 compensation was evident as participants lost less weight than predicted when calculated based 397 on the exercise-induced energy deficit. When calculated the increase in EI between baseline 398 and post-intervention probe days was repeated every day for 12-weeks the accumulated 399 increase in EI would be approximately 15,000 kcal. This is approximately half of the EE due 400 to exercise; thereby effectively reducing the exercise potency by 50%. It is also worth noting 401 that the static Wishnofsky predictive equation (24) for estimating weight loss is simplistic and 402 does not account for adaptations in other components of energy balance as a result of an energy 403 deficit (for example, increased EI, physiological reductions in RMR, an increase in FFM or a 404 decrease in NEPA) and could lead to overestimation of predicted weight loss (28). 405 Furthermore, the 1 kg of BM is equivalent to 7700 kcal rule (1 kg of BM consists of 70% fat 406 and 30% FFM) is based on short-term low-calorie diets and is not directly applicable to the 407 change in body composition induced by exercise. Indeed, in the current study, and others (29), 408 there was in fact a significant increase in FFM.

409 It was hypothesised that EI would increase post-intervention in response to increased exercise 410 as has previously been demonstrated (7, 10). Indeed, there was a significant increase in total, 411 ad libitum and snack box EI at week 12. While some studies show no change in EI, these are 412 often unsupervised and rely on self-report measures of EI (30). When calculated as a 413 proportion of the energy expended per exercise session, the increase in EI represented 414 compensation of 36%, which is similar to the 30% compensation observed by Whybrow et al. 415 (10). The participants in the Whybrow study were lean men and women and would be 416 expected to compensate for a negative energy balance more readily as they have less of a 417 'buffer' (FM) than overweight or obese individuals. That could explain why the degree of 418 compensation is similar in both studies despite the present study being considerably longer.

419 Participants had more FM in the current study and therefore compensation may not occur as 420 quickly as would be expected in lean individuals. It has previously been noted that BM 421 regulation is asymmetrical; a positive energy balance (and weight gain) is well tolerated 422 whereas a negative energy balance (and weight loss) is strongly defended against (31). This 423 study, together with previous research (32), provides further support for the asymmetry of 424 BM regulation evidenced by the compensatory increase in EI to defend against weight loss in 425 response to a prolonged period of increased exercise-induced EE. A strength of this study is 426 the objective measurement of 24 hour EI, however, it is acknowledged that using episodic 427 test meal intake to infer changes in habitual intake has limitations (33). Rather, probe day 428 measures of EI can be viewed as assays for eating behaviour and give an indication of 429 compensatory appetite responses to perturbations in energy balance that are free from 430 external influences (34). Similar test meals and probe day procedures to those reported in the 431 current study have previously been shown to detect exercise-induced compensation in eating 432 behaviour (7).

433 The increase in EI was accompanied by an increase in hunger throughout the day (mainly 434 during the morning) and decreased fullness reflected in AUC for hunger and fullness. The 435 results of the current study are similar to those observed in 'non-responders' in the study by 436 King et al. (6) with respect to change in BM (-0.9 kg), FM (-1.2 kg), EI (+164 kcal) and AUC 437 for hunger and fullness. A possible explanation is that the majority of the participants in the 438 current study are 'non-responders'; they do not achieve the predicted change in body 439 composition calculated from their exercise-induced EE. When the current sample are 440 categorised as 'responders' and 'non-responders' using the method described by King et al. 441 (6), two thirds are classified as 'non-responders'. Participants in the current study had a lower BMI at the start of the study  $(27.94 \text{ kg/m}^2 \text{ vs. } 31.80 \text{ kg/m}^2)$  which could explain why their 442

443 weight loss response was less pronounced than that observed in a previous study (6).

444 Furthermore, the study by King et al. (6) included men and men have been shown to exhibit a

445 greater weight loss in response to exercise than women (35, 36). However, this is not a

446 universal finding (37). The current findings in women should not be assumed to generalise to

447 men and further research is required to verify this.

448 Greater compensation in NEPA, rather than changes in EI, have previously been reported in 449 response to increased exercise (38). In the current study, SWA data was initially analysed 450 with structured exercise included in the data collected during week 1 and 10 of the exercise 451 intervention. When MVPA and activity EE were compared across the four time points 452 (baseline, week 1, week 10 and post-intervention) participants spent significantly more time 453 in MVPA and had significantly higher activity EE during week 1 and week 10 compared with 454 baseline and post-intervention. Total compensation in NEPA would be apparent if, for 455 example, MVPA and activity EE did not increase during the exercise intervention. MVPA 456 and activity EE returned to baseline values when PA was measured post-intervention. This 457 demonstrates that participants did not maintain their increased PA levels once the 458 intervention ended. Post-interventions PA levels similar to baseline have previously been 459 highlighted (39-42).

There was no evidence for a compensatory increase in SB. In fact, SB was lower in the weeks during the exercise intervention, but only the difference between week 1 of the exercise intervention and post-intervention reached statistical significance. This suggests that the structured exercise displaced some sedentary time. This is in contrast with previous research that suggests that interventions need to specifically target reductions in SB to change sedentary time (12). Indeed, the magnitude of the reduction in SB may have been greater with a specific component of the intervention to target reduced SB in the current study. Further

467 examination of activity monitor data suggests structured exercise also displaces some sleep 468 time and light PA, but the difference in sleep and light PA at the different time points 469 throughout the intervention were not significant. The sum of the difference in sleep, SB and 470 light PA between baseline and week 1 and baseline and week 10 was greater than the change 471 in MVPA (in the opposite direction) at the same time points. Furthermore, when the prescribed exercise was removed from SWA data during week 1 and 10, the remaining 472 473 NEPA MVPA was remarkably similar to baseline and post-intervention values (<3 minutes 474 difference between all four time points) and there was no significant difference in NEPA 475 activity EE across the four time points. Taken together, these findings suggest that increasing 476 MVPA through a structure exercise intervention displaces time spent sleeping, sedentary and 477 in light PA but not NEPA MVPA. This is in agreement with previous studies (40, 42) and a 478 recent systematic review that concluded no statistically or clinically significant mean change 479 in NEPA occurs during exercise training (11).

480 Appetite-related peptides were measured in this study in order to determine if any exercise-481 induced changes could be related to adjustments in fasting or postprandial gastrointestinal 482 signalling. However, the peptides did not account for changes in subjective appetite 483 sensations or in EI. PYY was higher on average during post-intervention probe days, 484 however this was not coupled with a decrease in hunger or an increase in fullness as might be 485 expected. In fact, there was a significant increase in hunger and decrease in fullness post-486 intervention. There was no change in postprandial profiles for insulin, acylated ghrelin or 487 GLP-1 in the present study. Acute studies suggest an exercise intensity of at least 65%  $\dot{V}O_2$  is 488 required to induce changes in appetite related peptides (43, 44). However, the present 489 findings are not comparable due to the assessment of longer-term exercise training. There 490 was a significant decrease in fasting insulin from baseline to post-intervention. As insulin

491 levels are proportional to FM it is likely the reduction in insulin was driven by the reduction 492 in FM following the exercise intervention. However, some studies have demonstrated 493 improved insulin sensitivity following exercise interventions independent of weight loss/body 494 composition changes whilst others have demonstrated improvements only occur with weight 495 loss (45). The relative importance of exercise and weight loss remains unclear and it is 496 possible both contributed to the reduction in fasting insulin levels in the present study. These 497 findings, while novel in this context, suggest that the changes in appetite are more likely due 498 to changes in body composition rather than changes in appetite peptides, as has previously 499 been proposed (46). It is possible that a greater change in body composition would be 500 required to see concomitant changes in appetite peptides.

501

The quasi-experimental design used in the present study allows certain inferences to be made from the presence or lack of changes in compensatory EI and EE behaviours before and after medium-term exercise training. However due to the single non-randomised sample it is not possible to rule out that the effects reported here would not have been seen after 12 weeks of rest (with the two conditions randomised). Future confirmation of these findings using a randomised controlled trial design would be valuable.

On average there was a significant increase in EI from baseline to post-intervention providing a plausible explanation for the less than predicted weight loss. However, change in total EI did not explain the variation in BM change. Laboratory measures of EI do not reflect the turbulence of the free-living environment in which eating behaviour is more haphazard and cannot be captured. Indeed, it is possible that the measure of EI obtained from the probe days may not reflect participants eating habits in the free-living environment.

515 therefore could not be included as a covariate in analyses. Since there does not seem to be

any discernible differences between sexes in the appetite and eating behaviour response to
acute and longer-term exercise interventions (37, 47), the authors think it is unlikely that the
menstrual cycle had a major impact on the study outcomes.

Finally, it is worth emphasising that exercise alone is clearly not the most effective way to lose weight, particularly when compared to standard behavioural interventions in which participants may lose 5-10% of weight. The present study demonstrates that exercise can produce modest fat loss without additional dietary assistance. However, the compensatory increase in energy intake observed suggests that an additional dietary intervention would support an even greater weight (fat) loss.

#### 525 CONCLUSIONS

526 Overweight women took part in an exercise intervention which comprised five mandatory 527 sessions of aerobic exercise per week for 12-weeks. No constraint was placed on other free-528 living behaviour (activity or eating) during the 12-weeks. Therefore, participants were able to 529 demonstrate compensation for the energy expended in exercise by an adjustment of their food 530 intake or the amount of SB or free-living PA. At the end of 12-weeks there was a significant 531 decrease in FM and an increase in FFM indicating that the exercise regime had been effective 532 and had generated a significant impact on body composition. However, there was considerable 533 individual variability and the changes in body composition were smaller than could have been 534 expected on the basis of the total energy expended through exercise (actual weight loss was 535 22.19% of predicted). Compensation for the exercise induced EE was detected in a significant 536 increase in EI but no increase in SB or decrease in free-living PA. In fact, the exercise actually 537 displaced SB. The effect of exercise on FM could be amplified by the addition of a dietary 538 strategy designed to prevent a compensatory increase in EI.

539 Despite finding a short-term increase in EI during laboratory probe days, the magnitude of this 540 effect was not sufficient to fully explain the difference between predicted and observed weight 541 loss. While food intake in the laboratory setting provides a plausible objective marker of 542 changes in free-living intake, it may not reflect absolute levels of energy consumed during the 543 intervention. Therefore it is not possible to decisively conclude from the present findings that 544 compensation for the exercise was due to EI alone. Future studies using other comprehensive 545 measures of EI and EE are needed to corroborate the present results. Moreover, future studies 546 should investigate how weight status (lean, overweight, obese), the amount of exercise applied 547 (volume, intensity) and the periodicity of exercise (frequent small bouts or fewer large bouts) 548 effect the relationship between exercise and behavioural consequences. Considering an effect 549 on EI, it is known that this end point is influenced by body composition (FM and FFM). These 550 variables are also influenced by exercise, therefore any effect of exercise may be mediated 551 indirectly via changes in body composition or directly through some mechanism involved in 552 cellular metabolism.

## 553 LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANCOVA, analysis of covariance; BM, body mass; BMI, body mass index; EE, energy
expenditure; EI, energy intake; FFM, fat-free mass; FM, fat mass; HR, heart rate; LOCF, last
observation carried forward; MVPA, moderate-to-vigorous physical activity; NEPA, nonexercise physical activity; PA, physical activity; RMR, resting metabolic rate; SB, sedentary
behaviour; SD, standard deviation; SWA, SenseWear Armband mini; VAS, visual analogue
scale; WC, waist circumference.

#### 560 DECLARATIONS

#### 561 Ethics approval and consent to participate

All participants provided written informed consent before taking part in the study. The study
procedures and all study materials were reviewed and approved by the National Research
Ethics Service Committee Yorkshire & the Humber (ref: 09/H1307/7).

## 565 Consent for publication

566 Not applicable

## 567 Availability of data and material

- The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding
- author on reasonable request.

## 570 **Competing interests**

571 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## 572 Funding

- 573 The research leading to these results has received funding from an EU project under grant
- agreements n° 289800 (SATIN).

## 575 Authors' contributions

- 576 AM, MD, CG, GF and JB designed research; AM, MD and CG conducted research; AM
- analysed data; AM, CG, GF and JB discussed data analysis and interpretation of the data; AM
- and JB wrote manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript.

## 579 Acknowledgements

580 We thank the participants for their commitment to completing this study.

581

## 582 **REFERENCES**

- Thomas D, Bouchard C, Church T et al. Why do individuals not lose more
   weight from an exercise intervention at a defined dose? An energy balance
   analysis. Obes. Rev. 2012;13(10):835-47.
- 586 2. Gray R. Health warning Exercise makes you fat. In. Daily Telegraph2009.
- Myers A, Gibbons C, Finlayson G, Blundell J. Associations among sedentary
  and active behaviours, body fat and appetite dysregulation: investigating the
  myth of physical inactivity and obesity. Br. J. Sports Med. 2016:bjsports-2015095640.
- 591 4. Shook RP, Hand GA, Drenowatz C et al. Low levels of physical activity are
  592 associated with dysregulation of energy intake and fat mass gain over 1 year.
  593 Am J Clin Nutr. 2015;102(6):1332-8.
- 594 5. Shaw K, Gennat H, O'Rourke P, Del Mar C. Exercise for overweight or obesity. 595 Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006;4(4).
- 596 6. King NA, Caudwell PP, Hopkins M, Stubbs JR, Naslund E, Blundell JE. Dual597 process action of exercise on appetite control: increase in orexigenic drive but
  598 improvement in meal-induced satiety. Am J Clin Nutr. 2009;90(4):921-7.
- King N, Hopkins M, Caudwell P, Stubbs R, Blundell J. Individual variability
  following 12 weeks of supervised exercise: Identification and characterization
  of compensation for exercise-induced weight loss. Int. J. Obes. 2008;32(1):17784.
- 8. Swift DL, Johannsen NM, Lavie CJ, Earnest CP, Church TS. The role of
  exercise and physical activity in weight loss and maintenance. Prog.
  Cardiovasc. Dis. 2014;56(4):441-7.

- 606 9. Hall KD, Sacks G, Chandramohan D et al. Quantification of the effect of energy 607 imbalance on bodyweight. The Lancet. 2011;378(9793):826-37.
- Whybrow S, Hughes DA, Ritz P et al. The effect of an incremental increase in exercise on appetite, eating behaviour and energy balance in lean men and women feeding ad libitum. Br. J. Nutr. 2008;100(05):1109-15.
- Fedewa MV, Hathaway ED, Williams TD, Schmidt MD. Effect of Exercise
  Training on Non-Exercise Physical Activity: A Systematic Review and MetaAnalysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Sports Med. 2016;47(6):1171-82.
- Kozey-Keadle S, Staudenmayer J, Libertine A et al. Changes in sedentary time
  and physical activity in response to an exercise training and/or lifestyle
  intervention. J Phys Act Health. 2014;11(7):1324-33.
- Washburn R, Lambourne K, Szabo A, Herrmann S, Honas J, Donnelly J. Does
  increased prescribed exercise alter non-exercise physical activity/energy
  expenditure in healthy adults? A systematic review. Clinical Obesity.
  2014;4(1):1-20.
- 14. Donnelly JE, Herrmann SD, Lambourne K, Szabo AN, Honas JJ, Washburn
  RA. Does Increased Exercise or Physical Activity Alter Ad-Libitum Daily Energy
  Intake or Macronutrient Composition in Healthy Adults? A Systematic Review.
  PLoS One. 2014;9(1):e83498.
- 625 15. Gibbons C, Caudwell P, Finlayson G, King N, Blundell J. Validation of a new 626 hand-held electronic data capture method for continuous monitoring of 627 subjective appetite sensations. Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act. 2011;8(1):57-64.
- Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Herrmann SD et al. 2011 Compendium of Physical
  Activities: a second update of codes and MET values. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.
  2011;43(8):1575-81.
- 631 17. Scheers T, Philippaerts R, Lefevre J. Variability in physical activity patterns as
  632 measured by the SenseWear Armband: how many days are needed? Eur. J.
  633 Appl. Physiol. 2012;112(5):1653-62.
- Berntsen S, Hageberg R, Aandstad A et al. Validity of physical activity monitors
  in adults participating in free-living activities. Br. J. Sports Med. 2010;44(9):65764.
- 637 19. Calabró MA, Lee J-M, Saint-Maurice PF, Yoo H, Welk GJ. Validity of physical
  638 activity monitors for assessing lower intensity activity in adults. Int. J. Behav.
  639 Nutr. Phys. Act. 2014;11(1):119-28.
- Malavolti M, Pietrobelli A, Dugoni M et al. A new device for measuring resting
  energy expenditure (REE) in healthy subjects. Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis.
  2007;17(5):338-43.
- Johannsen DL, Calabro MA, Stewart J, Franke W, Rood JC, Welk GJ. Accuracy
  of armband monitors for measuring daily energy expenditure in healthy adults.
  Med. Sci. Sports Exerc. 2010;42(11):2134-40.
- 646 22. Péronnet F, Massicotte D. Table of nonprotein respiratory quotient: an update.
  647 Can. J. Sport Sci. 1991;16(1):23-9.
- Degen L, Oesch S, Casanova M et al. Effect of peptide YY3–36 on food intake
  in humans. Gastroenterology. 2005;129(5):1430-6.

- 650 24. Wishnofsky M. Caloric equivalents of gained or lost weight. Am J Clin Nutr.
  651 1958;6(5):542-6.
- Malhotra A, Noakes T, Phinney S. It is time to bust the myth of physical inactivity
  and obesity: you cannot outrun a bad diet. Br. J. Sports Med. 2015:bjsports2015-094911.
- King NA, Caudwell P, Hopkins M et al. Metabolic and behavioral compensatory
  responses to exercise interventions: barriers to weight loss. Obesity.
  2007;15(6):1373-83.
- 658 27. Melanson EL. The effect of exercise on non-exercise physical activity and 659 sedentary behavior in adults. Obes. Rev. 2017;18:40-9.
- Thomas DM, Gonzalez MC, Pereira AZ, Redman LM, Heymsfield SB. Time to
  Correctly Predict the Amount of Weight Loss with Dieting. J. Acad. Nutr. Diet.
  2014;114(6):857-61.
- Stiegler P, Cunliffe A. The role of diet and exercise for the maintenance of fatfree mass and resting metabolic rate during weight loss. Sports Med.
  2006;36(3):239-62.
- 666 30. Caudwell P, Gibbons C, Finlayson G, Näslund E, Blundell J. Exercise and
  667 weight loss: No sex differences in body weight response to exercise. Exerc.
  668 Sport Sci. Rev. 2014;42(3):92-101.
- Blundell JE, Gillett A. Control of food intake in the obese. Obes. Res. 2001;9Suppl 4:263S-70S.
- Stubbs RJ, Hughes DA, Johnstone AM, Horgan GW, King N, Blundell JE. A
  decrease in physical activity affects appetite, energy, and nutrient balance in
  lean men feeding ad libitum. Am J Clin Nutr. 2004;79(1):62-9.
- Hill A, Rogers P, Blundell J. Techniques for the experimental measurement of
  human eating behaviour and food intake: a practical guide. International journal
  of obesity and related metabolic disorders: journal of the International
  Association for the Study of Obesity. 1995;19(6):361-75.
- 678 34. Gibbons C, Finlayson G, Dalton M, Caudwell P, Blundell JE. Metabolic
  679 phenotyping guidelines: studying eating behaviour in humans. J. Endocrinol.
  680 2014;222(2):G1-G12.
- Ballor D, Keesey RE. A meta-analysis of the factors affecting exercise-induced
  changes in body mass, fat mass and fat-free mass in males and females. Int.
  J. Obes. 1991;15(11):717-26.
- Bonnelly JE, Smith BK. Is exercise effective for weight loss with ad libitum diet?
  Energy balance, compensation, and gender differences. Exerc. Sport Sci. Rev.
  2005;33(4):169-74.
- 687 37. Caudwell P, Gibbons C, Hopkins M, King NA, Finlayson G, Blundell JE. No sex
  688 difference in body fat in response to supervised and measured exercise. Med.
  689 Sci. Sports Exerc. 2013;45(2):351-8.
- Stubbs RJ, Sepp A, Hughes DA, Johnstone AM. The effect of graded levels of
  exercise on energy intake and balance in free-living men, consuming their
  normal diet. Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 2002;56(2):129-40.

- 893 39. Rocha J, Paxman J, Dalton C, Winter E, Broom DR. Effects of a 12-week
  894 aerobic exercise intervention on eating behaviour, food cravings, and 7-day
  895 energy intake and energy expenditure in inactive men. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab.
  896 2016;41(11):1129-36.
- 40. Turner JE, Markovitch D, Betts JA, Thompson D. Nonprescribed physical activity energy expenditure is maintained with structured exercise and implicates a compensatory increase in energy intake. Am J Clin Nutr. 2010;92(5):1009-16.
- Hollowell RP, Willis LH, Slentz CA, Topping JD, Bhakpar M, Kraus WE. Effects
  of exercise training amount on physical activity energy expenditure. Med. Sci.
  Sports Exerc. 2009;41(8):1640-4.
- Church TS, Martin CK, Thompson AM, Earnest CP, Mikus CR, Blair SN.
  Changes in weight, waist circumference and compensatory responses with different doses of exercise among sedentary, overweight postmenopausal women. PLoS One. 2009;4(2):e4515.
- 43. Broom DR, Stensel DJ, Bishop NC, Burns SF, Miyashita M. Exercise-induced
  suppression of acylated ghrelin in humans. J. Appl. Physiol. 2007;102(6):2165710
- 711 44. Deighton K, Batterham RL, Stensel DJ. Appetite and gut peptide responses to
  712 exercise and calorie restriction. The effect of modest energy deficits. Appetite.
  713 2014;81:52-9.
- Find SR, Hawley JA. Update on the effects of physical activity on insulin sensitivity in humans. BMJ open sport & exercise medicine.
  2017;2(1):e000143.
- 46. Gibbons C, Finlayson G, Caudwell P, Näslund E, Blundell J. 12-weeks
  Supervised Aerobic Exercise Improves Appetite Regulation, Reduces Fat Mass
  And Adjusts Gastrointestinal Peptide Biomarkers. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.
  2015;47(5S):680.
- 721 47. Thackray AE, Deighton K, King JA, Stensel DJ. Exercise, appetite and weight
  722 control: are there differences between men and women? Nutrients.
  723 2016;8(9):583.
- 724

## 725 FIGURES, TABLES AND ADDITIONAL FILES

Figure 1. Individual variability in BM change between participants.

Figure 2. VAS (a) hunger and (b) fullness ratings during baseline (BL) and post-intervention (PI) probe days (error bars are standard error). \* = p < .05, indicates significant difference between baseline and post-intervention.

Figure 3. Time spent in MVPA and activity EE before (baseline; BL), during the 12-week exercise intervention (week 1 and 10) and after the exercise intervention (post-intervention; PI) measured using the SWA with structured exercise included (a) and removed (b) from the data (n=23), \*\* = p < .01, \*\*\* = p < .001.

- Figure 4. Postprandial profiles for insulin (a), acylated ghrelin (b), PYY (c), and GLP-1 (d) at
- 735 baseline (BL) and post-intervention (PI; n=18), \* = p < .05, \*\* = p < .01.