

This is a repository copy of On the potential of on-line free-surface constructed wetlands for attenuating pesticide losses from agricultural land to surface waters.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/146152/

Version: Accepted Version

## Article:

Ramos, A., Whelan, M.J., Guymer, I. et al. (2 more authors) (2019) On the potential of online free-surface constructed wetlands for attenuating pesticide losses from agricultural land to surface waters. Environmental Chemistry, 16 (8). pp. 563-576. ISSN 1448-2517

https://doi.org/10.1071/EN19026

© 2019 CSIRO Publishing. This is an author-produced version of a paper subsequently published in Environmental Chemistry. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

#### Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

1	
2	
3	On the potential of on-line free-surface constructed
4	wetlands for attenuating pesticide losses from agricultural
5	land to surface waters
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	A. Ramos <sup>1</sup> , M.J. Whelan <sup>2*</sup> , I. Guymer <sup>3</sup> , R. Villa <sup>1</sup> and B. Jefferson <sup>1</sup>
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Water Sciences Institute, Cranfield University, UK
25	<sup>2</sup> Centre for Landscape and Climate Research, University of Leicester, UK
26 27	<sup>3</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, University of Sheffield, UK
-' 28	*Author for Correspondence: miw72@le ac.uk
29	Autor for Concepting in Lenc. ac. and
20	

# 32

33

# 34 Abstract

35

36 Pesticides make important contributions to agriculture but losses from land to water can present problems for environmental management, particularly in catchments where surface 37 38 waters are abstracted for drinking water. "On-line" constructed wetlands have been 39 proposed as a potential means of reducing pesticide fluxes in drainage ditches and 40 headwater streams. Here, we evaluate the potential of two free-surface constructed wetland 41 systems to reduce pesticide concentrations in surface waters using a combination of field 42 monitoring and dynamic fugacity modelling. We specifically focus on metaldehyde, a 43 commonly-used molluscicide which is moderately mobile and has been regularly detected at 44 high concentrations in drinking water supply catchments in the UK over the past few years. We also present data for the herbicide metazachlor. Metaldehyde losses from the upstream 45 catchment were significant with peak concentrations occurring in the first storm events in 46 47 early autumn, soon after application. Concentrations and loads appeared to be minimally affected by transit through the monitored wetlands over a range of flow conditions. This was 48 probably due to short solute residence times (quantified via several tracing experiments 49 employing rhodamine WT – a fluorescent dye) exacerbated by solute exclusion phenomena 50 resulting from patchy vegetation. Model analyses of different scenarios suggested that, 51 52 even for pesticides with a relatively short aquatic half-life, wetland systems would need to 53 exhibit much longer residence times (RTs) than those studied here in order to deliver any 54 appreciable attenuation. If the ratio of wetland surface area to the area of the contributing catchment is assumed to be a surrogate for RT (i.e. not accounting for solute exclusion) then 55 model predictions suggest that this needs to be greater than 1% to yield load reductions of 3 56 57 and 7% for metaldehyde and metazachlor, respectively.

# 59 **1. Introduction**

60

Pesticides make important contributions to maintaining crop yield and quality in modern 61 agriculture. However, pesticide losses from land to surface waters have the potential to 62 63 cause ecological damage if their concentrations exceed effect thresholds for key taxa (Brock et al., 2006). Furthermore, they can represent major problems for water companies 64 abstracting for domestic supply. The European Drinking Water Directive (80/778/EEC, 65 amended 98/83/EC) sets limits for drinking water supplies of 0.1 µg L<sup>-1</sup> for any individual 66 67 pesticide and 0.5  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> for all pesticides at the point of supply (effectively in water leaving treatment works for distribution). A significant fraction of most pesticides in raw waters can 68 be removed by the treatment processes commonly employed by water supply companies. 69 However, some active ingredients are more difficult and/or expensive to remove. Recent 70 71 examples include clopyralid, metaldehyde, propyzamide and carbetamide which are 72 commonly applied to arable crops. Furthermore, even where significant removal is possible, 73 high concentrations in raw waters may still occasionally present compliance challenges (e.g. 74 Tediosi et al., 2012). Metaldehyde (a commonly-used molluscicide) has been regularly 75 detected at high concentrations in drinking water supply catchments in the UK over the past 76 few years (Kay and Grayson, 2014; Lu et al., 2017) and is particularly expensive to remove 77 by standard water treatment processes (Rolph et al., 2018). The UK water industry is coming under increasing pressure from regulators (e.g. the Drinking Water Inspectorate and the 78 Environment Agency) to find alternative solutions to supplement improvements in water 79 treatment technologies and the focus has started to shift to source control options 80 (Mohamad Ibrahim et al., 2019). Indeed, this is a requirement of Article 7 of the EU Water 81 82 Framework Directive (EC 2000) for catchments used for water supply (Dolan et al., 2014). Possible mitigation strategies include employing buffer zones to reduce overland flow and 83 associated pesticide transport, taking more care during sprayer fill-up and washdown 84 operations, treating farm yard runoff (e.g. using biobeds: Cooper et al., 2016), changing the 85 mix of active ingredients used, where possible (including product substitution: Mohamad 86 87 Ibrahim et al., 2019) and changing crop rotations to reduce overall catchment-scale usage. Many of these options will involve increased costs to farming and should, therefore, be 88 89 justified by a sound understanding of the processes contributing to pesticide loss from the 90 farm, as well as demonstrating efficacy. For example, establishing edge-of-field buffer 91 zones will be of little use if, as we have shown in the Upper Cherwell catchment (Tediosi et al., 2012), the main pathway for pesticide transfer from land to water is via field drains -92 93 which can effectively short-circuit riparian zones.

94 In cases where it is difficult or undesirable to effect land use change or to reduce application 95 rates, it may still be possible to reduce concentrations in the receiving surface water network by constructing attenuation features in fields or in ditches (Newman et al., 2015). Natural 96 and man-made wetland habitats are well known to provide efficient removal of organic 97 materials, nutrients and metals (e.g. CEH, 2001; Newman et al., 2015) via a combination of 98 99 sorption and biodegradation before they are released into the natural drainage network or reach water supply reservoirs. Ponds and free-surface wetlands are open areas of shallow 100 water which can be used for temporary storage of excess water during storm events. If the 101 storage period is long enough, relative to the removal rates operating within them (e.g. 102 degradation by microbes, volatilisation, sorption to sediment or uptake by vegetation), such 103 features can be effective for removing pollution from surface water runoff (Gregoire et al. 104 2009). A number of mesocosm studies have indicated that constructed wetland systems do 105 106 have the potential to dissipate a range of pesticides (e.g. Moore et al., 2000), and there is 107 some evidence that such systems may also perform effectively at the field scale (Schulz and 108 Peall, 2001; Moore et al., 2002; Moore et al., 2009; Passeport et al., 2013), although this evidence is rather limited. There is, therefore, a need to investigate the potential for 109 110 reducing pesticide transfers from land to surface waters using field- and ditch-scale 111 mitigation features (typically vegetated free-surface constructed wetlands). In this paper, we 112 assess the utility of such features for attenuating pesticide transport from land to surface waters with a specific focus on metaldehyde using a combination of high frequency 113 114 monitoring and numerical modelling.

115 116

# 117 **2. Methods**

118

## 119 2.1 Monitoring

120 The behaviour of metaldehyde and metazachlor in two existing on-line (in-stream) free-121 surface constructed wetland systems was investigated at Hope Farm in Knapwell, 122 Cambridgeshire, UK (Figure 1). The farm occupies 181 ha on calcareous clay loam belonging to the Hanslope soil series (Cranfield University, 2017). Metaldehyde is applied 123 via a bespoke hopper mounted on the back of a quadbike – most frequently in the August 124 post-harvest period. Metazachlor, a herbicide used to control grass and broad-leaved 125 weeds in arable crops, is applied via spraying in late August. The two monitored systems 126 (located to the north and south of the farm) have a free water surface (FWS) with emergent 127 vegetation. Vegetation cover is seasonal and is dominated by reeds (*Phragmites australis*) 128 129 and bulrushes (*Typha latifolia*) which start growing in spring (March onwards) and die back

130 in late autumn (October-November). The winter period is characterised by progressive stem 131 decay which may result in reduced flow resistance. At the south site, two wetlands with bank-full surface areas of 242 and 322 m<sup>2</sup> (see Table 1) have been placed in series (about 132 114 m apart) on a small stream (catchment area: 3.9 km<sup>2</sup>) which drains land which is not 133 under the control of Hope Farm: South Wetland 1 and South Wetland 2 (Figure 1; Figure 2), 134 located upstream and downstream respectively. At the north site, a single constructed 135 wetland with a bank-full surface area of 262 m<sup>2</sup> (North Wetland, Figure 2) has been 136 excavated on a drainage ditch (see Table 1 for details). This feature drains a catchment of 137 approximately 0.66 km<sup>2</sup> containing four fields. At the downstream end, a bund has been 138 constructed which outflows via a pipe (37 cm internal diameter). This means that the flow 139 regime on this system is intermittent (i.e. the wetland only flows when water levels exceed 140 141 the level of the outflow pipe).

142

#### 143 FIGURE 1 HERE

144

### 145 **TABLE 1 HERE**

146

Monitoring was conducted in a number of storm events and under base flow conditions over 147 one autumn-winter period (August-December 2014). At each feature, gauging structures (a 148 combination of flat plate 60° V-notch weirs and Washington State College Design Venturi 149 flumes) were established on the inlet and outlet to measure discharge. Water levels (stage) 150 151 were measured using a combination of pressure transducers (Mini-Divers: Van Essen Instruments, Netherlands) and ultrasonic devices (AV2150 submerged probe: Teledyne-152 153 ISCO, Lincoln, NE, USA). A tipping bucket rain gauge was installed locally (603 m from the 154 South Wetland 2 outlet and 1059 m from the North Wetland outlet) for hydrological modelling 155 and data interpretation. Water samples were collected using a combination of manual grab 156 sampling and ISCO 6712 automatic samplers (Teledyne-ISCO, Lincoln, NE, USA). The automatic samplers were used to collect samples every 8 hours. Where possible, samples 157 were typically analysed within a week of sample collection. In this case, samples were kept 158 in the dark at 4°C before analysis. Instrument issues meant that some samples needed to be 159 160 stored for several weeks. In this case, samples were either refrigerated (maximum storage time 3 weeks) or frozen. Possible losses of pesticides by sorption or degradation during 161 sample storage were evaluated via a stability study. Briefly, pesticide standards were added 162 to wetland water in polyethylene plastic bottles at nominal concentrations of 0.2 to 10 µg L<sup>-1</sup>. 163 incubated at either 4°C or -20 °C and analyzed over a period of 112 days. No significant 164 sorption was observed in the filter membrane and losses of all pesticides were below 3% 165

166 (refrigerated samples) and 10% (frozen samples), suggesting that stored samples were 167 stable (see Ramos et al., 2017 for full details). Samples were analysed for five pesticide 168 active ingredients (metaldehyde, propyzamide, metazachlor, carbetamide and quinmerac) using a novel LC/MS-MS method. Only data for metaldehyde and metazachlor are 169 presented here, as examples, but similar outcomes were observed for the others. The paper 170 by Ramos et al. (2017) details the method and the guality control measures which were 171 implemented. Briefly, the method employed a Waters Alliance 2695 liquid-chromatography 172 system coupled to a Quattro premier XE tandem quadrupole. A Kinetex C18 column (5 µm 173 150 × 2.1 mm, Phenomenex, UK) thermostated at 60 °C was used for chromatographic 174 separation with a flow rate of 0.3 mL min<sup>-1</sup> and an injection volume of 50  $\mu$ L. The mobile 175 phase consisted of ultra-pure water with 0.1% acetic acid and methanol with 0.1% acetic 176 acid. Electrospray ionization was performed in positive mode. The mass spectrometer was 177 178 operated under multiple reaction monitoring focussed, for metaldehyde, on a reaction with 179 precursor and fragment ions of m/z 198.9 and m/z 66.9, respectively, as recommended by 180 the Environment Agency (2009). Basic environmentally-relevant properties of metaldehyde are shown in Table 2. Data for the herbicide metazachlor are also presented in this paper 181 182 and this compound was analysed simultaneously using the same method. Method Limit of 183 Detection (LoD) and Limit of Quantification (LoQ) for both metaldehyde and metazachlor were 0.09 and 0.3 µg L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. These are high compared with the 0.1 µg L<sup>-1</sup> EU limit 184 for drinking water (80/778/EEC, amended 98/83/EC) but are adequate for monitoring 185 concentrations in headwater catchments where concentrations during runoff events are often 186 187 considerably higher.

188

## 189 FIGURE 2 HERE

190

## 191 TABLE 2 HERE

- 192
- 193 194

# 195 2.2 Dye tracing

- 196 A number of dye tracing exercises were conducted on each feature under different
- 197 conditions (see Fox *et al.*, 2000 and Whelan *et al.*, 2007 for examples). In each exercise,
- 198 Rhodamine WT was injected as a pulse upstream of the inlet of each feature to ensure that
- 199 the tracer was cross-sectionally well-mixed and, hence able to fully describe solute transport.
- 200 The breakthrough curve of the dye was monitored by measuring fluorescence with
- 201 instruments installed *in-situ* at the inlet and outlet of the feature. We employed two
- approaches to modelling solute behaviour in the studied wetlands: (1) the Aggregated Dead

Zone (ADZ) model of Beer and Young (1983) and (2) maximum entropy deconvolution 203 204 (Sonnenwald et al., 2015) to determine solute Residence Time Distributions (RTDs) for each system under different conditions. The "non-parametric" (empirical) RTD is the theoretical 205 206 distribution of solute in a system in response to an instantaneous input (Levenspiel, 1972) and makes no a priori assumptions about how the system operates (Stovin et al. 2010). 207 Both the ADZ and RTD reveal insights into the mixing characteristics of the studied systems 208 209 such as the identification of "short-circuiting" phenomena. Incomplete mixing can reduce the effectiveness of wetland features for pesticide mitigation by reducing contact with degrading 210 micro-organisms or sorption sites. 211

212

213

# 214 2.3 Numerical Modelling

A mathematical model was constructed to describe the fate and transport of pesticides in free-surface wetland systems. This provides an explanatory framework for interpreting the findings from the monitoring work and laboratory studies. It also allows the understanding gained from the project to be extrapolated to implementation scenarios (e.g. to predict the effectiveness of different ratios of catchment area to treatment size [surface area and volume]) for different assumptions about pesticide-specific properties.

221

The model was a dynamic adaptation of the steady-state QWASI model (Mackay *et al.*, 1983) and has recently been applied to investigate the behaviour of volatile methyl siloxanes in an Arctic lake (Krogseth *et al.*, 2017). The processes represented by the model are shown in Figure 3. The mass balance equations for pesticide in water and sediment can be written:

227

228 
$$\frac{dM_{w}}{dt} = E + f_{IN} \cdot D_{IN} + f_{a} \cdot D_{a} - f_{w} \cdot (D_{r} + D_{v} + D_{Q} + D_{SS} + D_{WS} + D_{dep}) + f_{s} \cdot (D_{SW} + D_{res})$$
(1)

229

$$\frac{dM_s}{dt} = f_w \cdot (D_{WS} + D_{dep}) - f_s \cdot (D_{SW} + D_{rs} + D_b + D_{res})$$
(2)

231

230

where  $M_w$  (mol) is the pesticide mass in the water column,  $M_s$  (mol) is the pesticide mass in the sediment, E (mol h<sup>-1</sup>) is direct emission to water,  $f_w$  is the pesticide fugacity in the water column,  $f_a$  is the pesticide fugacity in air,  $f_{IN}$  is the pesticide fugacity in inflowing water and  $f_s$ is the pesticide fugacity in sediment. The *D* values represent the magnitudes of chemical 236 transfer or transformation with subscripts as follows: IN is inflowing water, a is air to water 237 transfer, r is reaction in water, v is volatilisation, Q is advection in outflowing water, SS is suspended solids advection in the outflow, WS is diffusive exchange between the water 238 column and the sediment, dep is the deposition of contaminated sediment, SW is diffusive 239 exchange between the sediment and the water column, rs is reaction in sediment, b is burial 240 and res is sediment resuspention. Fugacity (f) is the medium-equivalent to partial pressure 241 in the gas phase (which is the reference fugacity) and is related to concentration via the 242 general relationship: 243

$$245 \qquad C = f.Z$$

246

where *Z* is the fugacity capacity (mol m<sup>-3</sup> Pa<sup>-1</sup>). For air,  $Z_{air}$  is simply calculated from temperature (*T*) as

249

$$250 \qquad Z_{air} = \frac{1}{R.T} \tag{4}$$

251

where *R* is the gas constant. For the other compartments *Z* is expressed in terms of partition coefficients at equilibrium. This means that by writing the mass balance equations in fugacity form, rather than in concentration form, thermodynamic gradients (i.e. the direction and magnitude of phase disequilibrium) are incorporated automatically via differences in fugacity (phases are in equilibrium if they have equal fugacities). All fugacity terms have units of Pa and all *D* values have units of mol Pa<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>.

258

# 259 FIGURE 3 HERE

260

261 Model equations were solved numerically using Euler's method with a time step of 0.1 hour.

262 Scenarios for free-surface wetland deployment were developed and treatment effectiveness 263 assessed.

263 as

264

(3)

# 266 3. Results and Discussion

# 267268 3.1 Monitoring: South Wetland

269 Examples of monitored discharge and metaldehyde concentrations in the inlet of SW1 and 270 the outlet of SW2 are shown in Figure 4 for the Autumn and early Winter (August-November) 271 2014. Conditions over this period were slightly wetter than the seasonal average for this part of the UK (total rainfall 1<sup>st</sup> of August to 31<sup>st</sup> of December was 335 mm compared to a long-272 273 term average of 262 mm for this period reported in nearby Cambridge: https://en.climate-274 data.org). The maximum daily rainfall in the monitoring period was 34 mm d<sup>-1</sup> which is relatively high. Two early storm events (14 and 26 mm d<sup>-1</sup> with maximum intensities of 10 275 and 5.4 mm h<sup>-1</sup>) on the 14<sup>th</sup> and 25<sup>th</sup> of August 2014 generated peak discharges of 46 and 276 78 L s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively and resulted in elevated concentrations of metaldehyde. Application 277 dates for metaldehyde in this catchment are unknown because most land is not part of 278 279 Hope Farm. However, we know that Hope Farm applied metaldehyde in accordance with agronomic recommendations on the 19<sup>th</sup> of August, so we can assume that most 280 application in the wider South Wetland catchment will have occurred around this time. In 281 the second of these events inlet metaldehyde concentrations peaked at about 9  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>. It is 282 283 worth reiterating that metaldehyde is applied as solid (wheat-based) pellets which act as bait for slugs. This means that metaldehyde may be leached from the recently-applied pellets by 284 these early rainfall events, as pellets do not typically disintegrate rapidly. Thereafter, 285 286 concentrations responded to subsequent events in October and November but not to the same levels. This is because the later events occurred several weeks after the main 287 application period so a significant fraction of metaldehyde would have broken down in the 288 289 soil. Similar "exhaustion" responses of concentrations to a series of storm events have been observed for propyzamide and carbetamide in the Cherwell catchment (Tediosi et al., 2012; 290 291 2013). Although the increase in concentration starts early on each storm event (i.e. concentrations increase with increasing discharge), concentrations continue to increase after 292 peak discharge (i.e. during hydrograph recession). This suggests that pathways for 293 metaldehyde transport to the stream are slower than those for bulk water transport. This is 294 295 consistent with the fact that metaldehyde is applied in pellet form and, thus needs to move 296 from the pellets to the soil prior to displacement from the soil. It is also consistent with the 297 fact that only a small mass of pesticide is required (both in relative and absolute terms) to 298 elevate concentrations significantly. Simultaneously, it is supported by the general insight from tracer studies which have been conducted elsewhere that, in most catchments, the 299 300 biggest contribution to stream flow is from the displacement of "old" (pre-event) water which

has been resident in the catchment from some time (e.g. Klaus *et al.*, 2013). In most cases,
 this old water should have low residual pesticide concentrations.

303

304 Metaldehyde concentrations in paired inlet and outlet samples (i.e. where the outlet sample 305 was collected within approximately one hour of the inlet sample time) in the South Wetland system are shown in Figure 5. The red dashed line shows the 1:1 relationship and the solid 306 black line shows the best-fit linear regression. The relationship between the inlet and outlet 307 concentrations was strong ( $R^2 > 0.9$ ). Moreover, most of the points fall close to the 1:1 line 308 suggesting that the outlet concentrations were similar to those in the inlet and the slope of 309 the best-fit line was (0.9) close to unity. These concentrations were also compared formally 310 using a paired t-test to assess whether differences were statistically significant. This 311 confirmed that there was no significant difference between them (p > 0.01). 312 313

## 314 FIGURE 4 HERE

315

# 316 FIGURE 5 HERE

317

318

# 319 3.2 Monitoring: North Wetland

320 Figure 6 shows daily rainfall, inflow discharge (V-notch weir) and the concentrations of 321 metaldehyde and metazachlor (for comparison) in the North Wetland inflow and outflow 322 between late August and early October 2014. Both the inlet ditch and the wetland were relatively dry in early August. However, the rainfall event on the 25<sup>th</sup> of August (26 mm day<sup>-</sup> 323 <sup>1</sup>) triggered a short (24 hour) hydrograph with a peak discharge of 20 L s<sup>-1</sup> on the 26<sup>th</sup>. The 324 325 flashy nature of this hydrograph suggests that rapid hydrological pathways such as overland flow played a significant role in this event. Soil moisture content prior to the event 326 was low and 26 mm of rainfall is unlikely to have reduced soil moisture deficits to anywhere 327 near field capacity. In fact, we calculate that less than 2% of the rainfall was translated into 328 329 runoff, so it is unsurprising that the shallower recession curves often associated with soil 330 drainage (evident in Figure 4 and to a lesser extent in Figure 7) are not apparent. By the end of the 27<sup>th</sup> of August (and through to the 13<sup>th</sup> of October) flow in both the inlet and outlet 331 332 of the wetland were negligible, although subsequent small precipitation events resulted in 333 some minor inflows. During this period, the North Wetland essentially behaved as a static 334 system with no significant inlet or outlet flows.

336 Concentrations of both metaldehyde and metazachlor in the inlet increased and then 337 decreased sharply during this storm event. However, inlet concentrations beyond the 28<sup>th</sup> 338 of August probably represent those in the pond behind the inlet weir and, hence, do not represent real inflows. No inlet samples were collected beyond the 6<sup>th</sup> of September. In 339 the wetland itself (sampling point close to the outlet), concentrations of both pesticides also 340 increased during the storm event of the 25th-26th of August (to about 18 and 150 µg L<sup>-1</sup> for 341 metaldehyde and metazachlor, respectively). However, subsequent decreases were more 342 343 gradual and may have resulted from a combination of dilution caused by minor rainfall 344 events in this period, some water turnover (high-concentration advective outflow replaced with lower concentration inflow), net sorption to sediment and *in-situ* losses (e.g. by 345 degradation and or volatilisation). For both compounds, the rate of decrease in 346 concentration to the 3<sup>rd</sup> of September was steeper than in the period thereafter. This may 347 reflect some dilution (inflow concentrations < outflow concentrations) but may also be a 348 result of some net sorptive losses from the water column to the sediment. Net sorption will 349 350 be negligible as thermodynamic equilibrium is reached. Unfortunately, no metaldehyde data 351 are available between the minor storm event on the 25/09/2014 and mid-October which 352 makes full interpretation of metaldehyde behavior challenging for this system - at least in 353 this period of static water. However, concentrations did decrease approximately 354 monotonically from 9.4 µg L<sup>-1</sup> on the 4<sup>th</sup> of September to about 7 µg L<sup>-1</sup> on the 25<sup>th</sup>. The behaviour of metazachlor during this period is also worthy of comment; concentrations 355 decreased guasi-exponentially from 54 µg L<sup>-1</sup> on the 4<sup>th</sup> of September to 2.6 µg L<sup>-1</sup> in early 356 October, with a  $DT_{50}$  of 9 days. The mechanisms for this removal are still not completely 357 358 understood but the exponential shape of the concentration decay suggests that some biodegradation of metazachlor may have occurred within the wetland over this period. For 359 reference, the laboratory-derived DT<sub>50</sub> of metazachlor reported for a water-sediment system 360 361 (PPDB, 2016) is 20 days. Advective solute losses via water infiltration into the bed and banks of the wetland would not have changed concentration in the water remaining. This is 362 363 explored in more detail in Section 3.4 on modelling.

364

### 365 FIGURE 6 HERE

366

Figure 7 shows the time series of metaldehyde concentrations in the inlet and outlet of the North Wetland, along with rainfall and discharge in the outflow, between mid-October and early December for periods when the outflow was active. As in Figure 4, concentrations in the inlet and outlet of this system were very similar (slope of regression between inlet and outlet concentrations = 1.03,  $R^2 = 0.96$ ) and there was no significant difference between paired concentrations (paired t test p < 0.01). Again, similar observations were made for the

- other pesticides including metazachlor (slope = 1.18,  $R^2 = 0.86$ : data not shown). Thus, as
- 374 for the South Wetland system, there is little or no pesticide removal in the North Wetland
- system for flow-active periods, even though the catchment area for this system is muchsmaller than that for the South Wetland.
- 377

# 378 FIGURE 7 HERE

379

# 380 3.3 Dye Tracing

Example fluorescence data from the inlet and outlet of South Wetland 1 is given in Figure 8. These data were collected under approximately steady state flow conditions with a discharge of 13.5 L s<sup>-1</sup>. The change in peak fluorescence between the inlet and outlet is principally caused by hydrodynamic dispersion and dilution (mixing) with the existing water in the wetland.

386

# 387 FIGURE 8 HERE

388

389 For steady state, the theoretical (or nominal) residence time can be estimated as the 390 quotient of the water volume and the flow rate (i.e. assuming idealised "plug flow"). For the 391 Hope Farm wetlands, which typically have an approximate volume of 105 m<sup>3</sup>, this yields a 392 nominal residence time of 130 minutes for a discharge of 13.5 L s<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 8). For a discharge of 5 L s<sup>-1</sup> the nominal residence time would be around 350 minutes, whilst for 40 L 393 s<sup>-1</sup> it is approximately 44 minutes. However, the peak-to-peak delay shown in Figure 8 is 394 only 25 minutes; far shorter than the nominal residence time. This discrepancy is not 395 uncommon and reflects "short circuiting", where a significant fraction of a tracer is retained 396 for periods which are much shorter than the nominal residence time. 397

398

Fitting the Aggregated Dead Zone (ADZ) model of Beer and Young (1983) to the tracer data 399 400 obtained in South Wetland 1 at Hope Farm suggested that solute fully mixes in only about 50% of the wetland volume, with the remainder exhibiting pure advection. Cumulative RTDs 401 402 (CRTD) obtained using maximum entropy deconvolution for several dye traces obtained at Hope Farm are shown in Figure 9. This analysis shows that the maximum solute residence 403 404 time in both the South Wetland systems was less than about 90 minutes for all flow 405 conditions examined. The  $t_{50}$  (the time for half the introduced solute to pass through the wetland) was between 10 and 50 minutes for South Wetland 1 and between 10 and 20 406 minutes for South Wetland 2. The t<sub>50</sub> of 25 minutes for South Wetland 1 at 13.5 L s<sup>-1</sup> is 407 408 comparable with the peak-to-peak delay of 25 minutes observed for this discharge in Figure

409 8. These times are significantly shorter than the nominal residence times (44 - 350 minutes 410 for flow rates of 40 and 5 L s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). The North Wetland has a smaller catchment area so discharges tend to be lower. In addition, the outflow is controlled by the bund at the 411 downstream end which is drained via a pipe. This creates longer residence times in this 412 system – particularly when the outflow is limited. Values of  $t_{50}$  of 60 and 360 minutes were 413 observed for discharge conditions of 17 and 0.5 L s<sup>-1</sup> respectively, although these values 414 were also significantly shorter than the nominal residence times for this system. Again, this 415 implies that the mixing volume is smaller than the total volume of the wetland and suggests 416 that more direct solute transport pathways exist, i.e. some solute is "excluded" from some 417 zones - reflecting the complexity of processes associated with real heterogeneous 418 vegetation (Sonnenwald et al., 2017). 419

420

#### 421 FIGURE 9 HERE

422

#### 423 3.4 Modelling

424

# 425 3.4.1 South Wetland System

Predictions of metaldehyde behaviour in the South Wetland system generated using the dynamic fugacity model based on QWASI (Mackay *et al.*, 1983; Mackay, 2001; Krogseth *et al.*, 2017) are shown in Figure 10 for the first two storm events in autumn 2014, under different assumptions of water depth and wetland water surface area. In all cases, the  $DT_{50}$ in water was assumed to be 12.2 days (i.e. the reported value for a water-sediment test in PPDB, 2016) and that in sediment was (arbitrarily) assumed to be 122 days.

432

433 The red lines show the model predictions of concentration in the outflow, the open symbols show the measured concentrations in the outflow and the green line shows the measured 434 435 concentrations in the inflow (linearly interpolated to give a time-continuous curve). In all 436 cases, the mass influx of chemical was calculated as the product of the measured influent concentration and the influent discharge. Figure 10a shows the expectation based on the 437 best-estimate of wetland conditions at the time of monitoring (water depth, z = 0.5 m, water 438 439 surface area,  $A = 210 \text{ m}^2$ ). There is a good match between the model predictions and the measured data – primarily because there is such a low hydraulic residence time in the South 440 441 Wetland, particularly during storm events. Increasing the water depth to 1 m had little effect, 442 although there was a slight reduction in concentrations due to increased dilution and 443 residence time (Figure 10b). Increasing the water surface area by a factor 2, with other factors remaining constant (Figure 10c) resulted in some (again, relatively minor) additional 444

445 attenuation. More significant attenuation was only really achieved (i.e. concentrations were 446 reduced by 36%) with a factor 10 increase in surface area (Figure 10d), although most of the 447 concentration change was due to dilution and the total mass removal of metaldehyde was still predicted to be <1%. This is largely due to the fact that even for the largest wetland 448 volume, the nominal residence time is still very low compared with the assumed  $DT_{50}$  of 449 metaldehyde (12.2 days). At peak discharge (69 L s<sup>-1</sup>), the nominal solute residence times 450 for these scenarios range from just 0.42 h (25 minutes) (Figure 10a) to 8.45 h (507 minutes) 451 452 (Figure 10c).

453

#### 454 FIGURE 10 HERE

- 455
- 456

### 457 3.4.2 North Wetland System

Model results for the North Wetland static period are illustrated in Figure 11 for metazochlor 458 459 (a and b) and metaldehyde (c and d). In all cases, an arbitrary system temperature of 15 °C was assumed, together with an Arrhenius activation energy of 60 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> which is typical of 460 461 many organic pollutants in environmental systems [Rocha and Walker 1995; Fenner et al., 462 2007] but which is slightly lower than the value of 65.4 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> recommended by EFSA for 463 regulatory evaluations (https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/pub/622). As for metaldehyde in the South Wetland, the initial concentration of both compounds in the wetland were 464 reasonably well predicted by the model suggesting that the input loads (the product of 465 discharge and measured concentrations) were accurate. In Figure 11a, standard PPDB 466 (2016) chemical-specific parameters for metazachlor were assumed. This clearly 467 underestimated the loss rate under static conditions in this system. In Figure 11b, the  $DT_{50}$ 468 469 in water for metazachlor was reduced to 5.6 days (135 h). Although this is shorter than the apparent half-life derived from directly fitting an exponential decay curve to the data (ca 9 470 471 days), this is adjusted in the model for partitioning between the freely dissolved phase and the suspended solids and for temperature (see Whelan et al., 2015). Figure 11c shows the 472 predicted concentrations of metaldehyde for default system dimensions and chemical 473 474 properties (PPDB, 2016). Again, model performance was reasonably good in terms of the 475 peak concentrations triggered by the storm event. However, the measured concentration data do not display first order kinetics (the initial decline in concentrations was more rapid 476 than in the period beyond 250 h) and the model performance is, therefore, relatively weak 477 478 overall and particularly in the latter part of the record. This implies that the  $DT_{50}$  adopted for metaldehyde (12.2 days) may be too low, even considering temperature adjustment, 479 480 although some model assumptions (e.g. the assumption of a time-constant water volume in 481 each scenario may explain some of the discrepancy). The effect of hypothetically changing

- pond surface area (by factors of 2, 5 and 10, with all other factors kept constant) on
  predicted concentrations in the North Wetland for the static period are also shown in Figure
  11d. The increase in system volume reduces the predicted peak concentration mainly due
- 485 to dilution.
- 486

# 487 FIGURE 11 HERE

488

The model was also applied to a period in November when the North Wetland was flowing 489 490 continuously (cf Figure 7) in order to evaluate the effect of increasing wetland area on metaldehyde concentrations. The predictions are shown in Figure 12 for the default case 491 and for increases in surface area by factors of 2, 5, 10 and 20 (i.e. A = 600, 1500, 3000 and 492 6000 m<sup>2</sup>, respectively). The assumption of  $A = 6000 \text{ m}^2$  represents a wetland area to 493 494 catchment area ratio of approximately 1%. This is a feasible area for a constructed wetland and is consistent with farm wetland siting for nutrient removal in Demark (e.g. Hashemi et 495 496 al., 2018) and with recommendations (1-2%) by Passeport et al. (2013) for "on-line" 497 features. Passeport et al. (2013) reported load reductions between 45 and 96% for 11 498 herbicides and 5 fungicides (mainly due to partly-reversible sorption) in a 1280 m<sup>2</sup> free 499 surface constructed wetland serving a tile-drained catchment of 46 ha (average hydraulic 500 retention time of about 8 hours) but this system was off-line (i.e. water was diverted from the 501 ditch to the wetland during the post application period). As in the case of the South Wetland 502 simulations, the modelled influx of metaldehyde was assumed to be the product of discharge and interpolated inflow concentrations. For the default assumptions, the predicted outflow 503 concentrations match the measured data reasonably well, reflecting the similarity of the 504 measured inflow and outflow concentrations. As the assumed wetland area increases (with 505 506 all other factors remaining constant), the wetland volume and nominal residence times 507 increase and predicted peak concentrations decrease by approximately 4, 12, 22 and 32%, respectively. However, the attenuation in metaldehyde flux is predicted to be only 0.06, 508 0.14, 0.41, 0.99 and 2.4%, respectively, for the default, x2, x5, x10 and x20 cases. In other 509 510 words, predicted concentration changes are principally the result of dilution rather than loss 511 processes. This is illustrated by the fact that predicted concentrations between 100 and 300 512 hours increase with increasing wetland surface area (i.e. the peak concentrations for increasing areas are lower but the residence times are longer so the pesticide mass remains 513 in the system for longer). When the model was run for metazachlor, with an assumed  $DT_{50}$  of 514 515 135 h - as inferred from the static period data, these predicted reductions were 0.29, 0.60, 1.52, 3.24 and 6.84% (data not shown). For metadehyde in the x20 scenario 83% of the in-516 system losses were predicted to be due to degradation ( $D_{t}$ ) and 16% due to volatilisation 517 518  $(D_{y})$ . For metazachlor 97% of in-system losses in the x20 scenario were predicted to be due

to degradation (*D<sub>r</sub>*). Net sorption to sediment is not predicted to represent a significant sink
in most storm events for either pesticide. Of course, incomplete mixing would reduce
residence times and, hence, reduce likely removal rates in reality.

522

It is widely recognised that biodegradation is often more rapid in shallow water bodies than 523 in ones. For example, high first order rate constants (k) of 0.3 and 0.75 h<sup>-1</sup> were reported for 524 linear alkylbenzene sulphonate (LAS) in shallow streams in Yorkshire (Fox et al., 2003) and 525 the Philippines (McAvoy et al., 2003). In contrast, lower values of k for LAS of 0.05 and 526 0.096 h<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, have been reported in deeper rivers in Italy (Whelan et al., 1999) and 527 Laos (Whelan et al., 2007). Similarly, in-stream denitrification rates have been inversely 528 related to depth (Seitzinger et al., 2002). This is probably because the size of the competent 529 microbial biomass in the water column is relatively low compared with the microbial 530 531 community in biofilms attached to the wetted perimeter of the channel, which means that degradation will depend on contact time with the fixed biofilm. In shallow systems, dissolved 532 533 pollutants are more likely to come into contact with the bed than in deep ones - especially if turbulence is also higher. For similar reasons, biodegradation is believed to proceed more 534 535 rapidly in natural river channels compared with channels with relatively smooth (e.g. 536 concrete lined) beds. This is because natural beds typically have a higher surface 537 roughness and, hence, a higher surface area on bed materials for biofilm development 538 (Boeije et al., 2000). Wetland shape can also influence performance. Carty et al. (2008) 539 suggest that wide farm wetlands with low aspect ratio (length to width less than 2.2) tend to perform better than long and thin ones, all other factors being equal and assuming full 540 mixing, although residence time still needs to be sufficient for the relevant treatment 541 processes to have an effect. The wetlands investigated here have low aspect ratios 542 543 (between 1.8 and 2.4) but without long residence times they were relatively ineffective.

544

545 **FIGURE 12 HERE** 

546

# 547 **4. Conclusions**

548

Some pesticides present significant challenges for European Drinking Water Directive
compliance in catchments used for domestic water supply. This is often the case,
periodically, in catchments with a high fraction of intensive agriculture. When artificial field
drainage is prevalent, drainflow usually represents a major transport pathway and this
makes in-field mitigation of pesticide transfers difficult.

555 Free-surface wetland features are relatively cheap to install using diggers and loaders 556 commonly available on farms and offer a potential means of attenuating pesticide transfers from agricultural land to surface waters (Passeport et al., 2013). We monitored the pesticide 557 558 attenuation performance of three small free-surface wetlands with different catchment areas 559 in Cambridgeshire, UK. Observed removal rates were relatively low - particularly during storm events, when pesticide loads were highest. A dynamic fugacity-based model of 560 561 chemical behaviour in free-surface wetlands was constructed in order to provide a 562 framework for interpreting the observed data and for evaluating different combinations of controlling factors in different scenarios. Model predictions broadly agree with 563 measurements for the wetlands investigated (although some adjustment of chemical-specific 564 properties was required to get a good match for the North Wetland system) and suggest that 565 poor attenuation performance can be largely explained by low solute residence times. Dye-566 tracing exercises and subsequent analysis suggest that incomplete mixing (short circuiting) 567 often occurs in the monitored wetlands, which will reduce residence times and attenuation 568 569 potential. This can be exacerbated by patchy vegetation, which can promote exclusion 570 zones for solute.

571

572 There is some potential for reducing peak concentrations and loads for relatively larger wetlands – particularly for low-discharge, high-concentration events, provided that long water 573 574 residence times can be achieved. Pesticide removal for the North Wetland system was estimated to be approximately 12% for metaldehyde and 20% for metazachlor under static 575 conditions. However, total mass removal (flux reduction) was negligible during storm events 576 (<1%). Although predicted peak concentrations of both compounds decreased when 577 assumed wetland surface area to catchment area ratio  $(R_A)$  was increased (*cet. par.*), 578 579 appreciable load reduction was predicted only when  $R_A$  was greater than about 1%. Even 580 then, load reduction was still <3% for metaldehyde and <7% for metazachlor, given a 29-fold increase in wetland area. 581

582

Overall, our data suggest that small on-line FWS wetland features may be relatively 583 584 ineffective at reducing pesticide concentrations and loads during storm events and, hence, are probably not a viable approach to deliver effective catchment management of pesticide 585 fluxes into receiving water bodies used for drinking water production. Wetland performance 586 587 will improve if the catchment size is small relative to the wetland dimensions (i.e. where 588 residence times are high). This means that a large number of such features would need to be constructed in the landscape to make an appreciable difference at the catchment scale. 589 590 Wetland performance may also be enhanced by ensuring that contact between solutes and

- wetland surfaces are maximised e.g. by installing baffles to enhance mixing, by designing
  wetlands with low water depth, segmenting wetlands into discrete basins (Scholz et al.,
  2007) and reducing the aspect ratio (provided full mixing can be ensured). The introduction
  of sorptive surface materials into the wetland may also be of value and further research is
  required to explore the efficacy of different materials for this purpose.
- 597
- 598

# 599 5. Acknowledgements

600

This work was jointly funded by the Chemicals Regulation Division (CRD) of the UK Health and Safety Executive (Project PS2248) and Lonza. Interpretations of the data are those of the authors and are not necessararily endorsed by the sponsors. We are grateful to the RSPB for allowing us to use Hope Farm as a study site and, in particular, the farm manager lan Dillon. We also acknowledge lan Bayliss and Vassia loannidou for help with weir and instrument installation, sample collection and dye tracing. None of the authors declare any conflict of interest.

# 610 6. References

- 611
- Beer T, Young PC (1983). Longitudinal dispersion in natural streams. Journal of
- 613 Environmental Engineering ASCE **109**, 1049–1067.
- Boeije GM, Schowanek D, Vanrolleghem P (2000). Incorporation of biofilm activity in
- 615 instream biodegradation modeling: a case study for LAS. *Water Research* **34**, 1479-1486.
- Brock TC, Arts GH, Maltby L, van den Brink PJ (2006). Aquatic risks of pesticides, ecological
- 617 protection goals, and common aims in European Union Legislation. *Integrated*
- 618 Environmental Assessment and Management **2**, 20-46.
- 619 Carty A, Scholz M, Heal K, Gouriveau F, Mustafa A (2008). The universal design, operation
- and maintenance guidelines for farm constructed wetlands (FCW) in temperate climates.
- 621 Bioresource Technology **99** 6780–6792.
- 622 CEH (2001) *Pesticide movement to surface waters at the catchment scale. Phase I: The role* 623 *of ditches/small streams.* MAFF Final Report PL0518.
- 624 Cooper RJ, Fitt P, Hiscock KM, Lovett AA, Gumm L, Dugdale SJ, Rambohul J, Williamson A,
- Noble L, Beamish J, Hovesen P (2016). Assessing the effectiveness of a three-stage on-
- 626 farm biobed in treating pesticide contaminated wastewater. *Journal of Environmental*
- 627 *Management* **181**, 874-882.
- 628 EC (1998). COUNCIL DIRECTIVE 98/83/EC On the quality of water intended for human 629 consumption. *Official Journal of the European Communities* L330/32.
- 630 Cranfield University (2017). *The Soils Guide*. Available: www.landis.org.uk. Cranfield
  631 University, UK. Last accessed 08/03/2017
- Dolan T, Howsam P, Parsons DJ, Whelan MJ (2014). Impact of European Water Framework
   Directive Article 7 on Drinking Water Directive compliance for pesticides: challenges of a
- 634 prevention-led approach. *Water Policy* **16**, 280–297.
- EC (2000). Directive 2000/60/EC establishing a framework for community action in the field
  of water policy. *Official Journal of the European Communities* L 327/1–72.
- EFSA (2010). Conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active
  substance metaldehyde. *EFSA Journal* 8(10):1856. European Food Safety Authority.

- 639 Environment Agency (2009). *The determination of metaldehyde in waters using*
- 640 chromatography with mass spectrometric detection. Standing Committee of Analysts,
- 641 Environment Agency National Laboratory Service, 50pp.
- 642 Fenner K, Lanz V, Scheringer M, Borsuk M (2007). Relating Atrazine Degradation Rate in
- Soil to Environmental Conditions: Implications for Global Fate Modeling. *Environmental Science and Technology* **41**, 2840-2846.
- Fox KK, Holt M, Daniel M, Buckland H, Guymer I (2000). Removal of linear alkylbenzene
  sulfonate from a small Yorkshire stream. *Science of the Total Environment* 251, 265-275.
- 647 Gregoire C, Elsaesser D, Huguenot D, Lange J, Lebeau T, Merli A, Mose R, Passeport E,

648 Payraudeau S, Schutz T, Schulz R, Tapia-Padila G, Tournebize J, Trevisan M, Wanko A

649 (2009). Mitigation of Agricultural Nonpoint-Source Pesticide Pollution in Artificial Wetland

- Ecosystems. *Environmental Chemistry Letters* **7**, 205-236.
- Hashemi F, Olesen JE, Børgesen CD, Tornbjerg H, Thodsen H, Dalgaard T (2018). Potential
- benefits of farm scale measures versus landscape measures for reducing nitrate loads in a
- Danish catchment. *Science of the Total Environment* **637-638**, 318-335.
- Kay P, Grayson R (2014). Using water industry data to assess the metaldehyde pollution
  problem. *Water and Environment Journal* 28, 410-417.
- Klaus J, Zehe E, Elsner M, Külls C, McDonnell JJ (2013). Macropore flow of old water
  revisited: experimental insights from a tile-drained hillslope. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 17, 103-118.
- 659 Krogseth IS, Whelan MJ, Christensen GN, Breivik K, Evenset A, Warner NA (2017).
- 660 Understanding of cyclic volatile methyl siloxane fate in a high latitude lake is constrained by
- uncertainty in organic carbon-water partitioning *Environmental Science and Technology* 51,
  401–409.
- Levenspiel O (1972). *Chemical Reaction Engineering*, John Wiley and Sons, England, 2ndEdition.
- Lu Q, Whitehead PG, Bussi G, Futter MN, Nizzetto L (2017). Modelling metaldehyde in
  catchments: A River Thames case-study. *Environmental Science: Processes and Impacts* **19**, 586-595.

- 668 McAvoy DC, Masscheleyn P, Peng C, Morrall SW, Casilla AB, Lim JMU, Gregorio EG
- (2003). Risk Assessment approach for untreated wastewater using the QUAL2E water
   quality model. *Chemosphere* 52, 55-66.
- Mackay D, Joy M, Paterson S (1983). A Quantitative Water Air Sediment Interaction
- (QWASI) fugacity model for describing the fate of chemicals in lakes. *Chemosphere* **12**, 981-997.
- Mackay D (2001). *Multimedia Environmental Models. The Fugacity Approach.* Second
  Edition, Lewis, New York.
- 676 Mohamad Ibrahim IH, Gilfoyle L, Reynolds R, Voulvoulis N (2019). Integrated catchment
- 677 management for reducing pesticide levels in water: Engaging with stakeholders in East
- Anglia to tackle metaldehyde. *Science of the Total Environment* **656**,1436-1447.
- Moore MT, Rodgers Jr JH, Cooper CM, Smith S (2000). Constructed wetlands for mitigation
  of atrazine-associated agricultural runoff. *Environmental Pollution* **110**, 393–399.
- Moore MT, Schulz R, Cooper CM, Smith S, Rodgers Jr JH (2002). Mitigation of chlorpyrifos
   runoff using constructed wetlands. *Chemosphere* 46, 827-835.
- Moore MT, Cooper CM, Smith S, Callum RF, Knight SS, Locke MA, Bennett ER (2009).

684 Mitigation of two pyrethroid insecticides in a Mississippi Delta constructed wetland.

- 685 *Environmental Pollution* **157**, 250–256.
- Newman JR, Duenas-Lopez MA, Acreman M, Palmer-Felgate EJ, Verhoeven JTA, Scholz
- 687 M, Maltby E (2015). Do on-farm natural, restored, managed and constructed wetlands
- 688 mitigate agricultural pollution in Great Britain and Ireland? A report of research carried out by
- the Centre for Ecology & Hydrology on behalf of the Department for Environment, Farming
- and Rural Affairs, with support from the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC).
- 691 Defra Report No. WT0989.
- 692 Passeport E, Tournebize J, Chaumont C, Guenne A, Coquet Y (2013). Pesticide
- 693 Contamination Interception Strategy and Removal Efficiency in Forest Buffer and Artificial
- 694 Wetland in a Tile-Drained Agricultural Watershed. *Chemosphere* **91**, 1289-1296.
- 695 PPDB (2016). *The Pesticide Properties Database*. Agriculture & Environment Research Unit,
- 696 University of Hertfordshire (http://sitem.herts.ac.uk/aeru/ppdb/en).

- 697 Ramos AM, Whelan MJ, Cosgrove S, Villa R, Jefferson B, Campo P, Jarvis P, Guymer I
- 698 (2017). A multi-residue method to determine pesticides in surface water by liquid-
- chromatography tandem quadrupole mass spectrometry. *Water and Environment Journal***31**, 380-387.
- Rocha F, Walker A (1995). Simulation of the persistence of atrazine in soil at different sites
  in Portugal. *Weed Research* 35, 179-186.
- Rolph C, Jefferson B, Hassard F, Villa R (2018). Metaldehyde removal from drinking water
  by adsorption onto filtration media: mechanisms and optimisation. *Environmental Science Water Research & Technology* 4, 1543-1552.
- Scholz M, Harrington R, Carroll P, Mustafa A (2007). The Integrated Constructed Wetlands
  (ICW) concept. *Wetlands* 27, 337–354.
- 708 Schulz R, Peall SKC (2001). Effectiveness of a constructed wetland for retention of
- nonpoint-source pesticide pollution in the Lourens River catchment, South Africa.
- 710 Environmental Science and Technology **35**, 422-426.
- 711 Seitzinger SP, Styles RV, Boyer EW, Alexander RB, Billen G, Howarth RW, Mayer B, van
- 712 Breemen N (2002). Nitrogen Retention in Rivers: Model Development and Application to
- 713 Watersheds in the Northeastern U.S.A. *Biogeochemistry* **57**/**58**, 199-237.
- 714 Sonnenwald F. Stovin V, Guymer I (2015). Deconvolving Smooth Residence Time
- 715 Distributions from Raw Solute Transport Data. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*,
- 716 10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0001190, 04015022.
- Sonnenwald F, Hart JR, West P, Stovin VR, Guymer I (2017). Transverse and longitudinal
- mixing in real emergent vegetation at low velocities. *Water Resources Research* **53**,
- 719 (doi:10.1002/2016WR019937)
- 720 Stovin VR, Guymer I, Chappell MJ, Hattersley JG (2010). The use of deconvolution
- techniques to identify the fundamental mixing characteristics of urban drainage structures.
- 722 Water Science and Technology **61**(8), 2075-2081.
- Tediosi A, Whelan MJ, Rushton KR, Thompson TRE, Gandolfi C, Pullan SP (2012).
- 724 Measurement and conceptual modelling of herbicide transport to field drains in a heavy clay
- soil with implications for catchment-scale water quality management. *Science of the Total*
- 726 *Environment* **438**, 103-112.

- Tediosi A, Whelan MJ, Rushton KR, Gandolfi C (2013). Predicting rapid herbicide leaching
  to surface waters from an artificially drained headwater catchment using a one dimensional
  two-domain model coupled with a simple groundwater model. *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology* 145, 67–81.
- Whelan MJ, Gandolfi C, Bischetti GB (1999). A simple stochastic model of point source
  solute transport in rivers based on gauging station data with implications for sampling
  requirements. *Water Research* 33, 3171-3181.
- 734 Whelan MJ, van Egmond R, Guymer I, Lacoursiere JO, Vought LMB, Finnegan C, Fox KK,
- 735 Sparham C, O'Connor S, Vaughan M, Pearson JM (2007). The Behaviour of Linear Alkyl
- 736 Benzene Sulphonate Under Direct Discharge Conditions in Vientiane, Lao PDR. *Water*
- 737 *Research* **41**, 4730-4740.
- Whelan MJ, Coulon F, Hince G, Rayner J, McWatters R, Spedding T, Snape I (2015). Fate
- and Transport of Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Engineered Biopiles in Polar Regions.
- 740 *Chemosphere* **131**, 232-240.
- 741

**Table 1.** Details of the wetland features assessed in this study. All systems were unlined and had a natural bed consisting of approximately 15cm of organic rich fine sediment. All systems were excavated around 2000 and planted with reeds (*Phragmites australis*) and bulrushes (*Typha latifolia*) but the plants are unmanaged and the original vegetation distributions have altered over time. Water depth in each system during the winter is typically around 0.5 m.

748

Wetland	Surface Area (m²)	Length (m)	Width (m)	Length: Width Ratio
South Wetland 1	242	30	16.8	1.79
South Wetland 2	322	36	18.6	1.93
North Wetland	262	28	11.5	2.43

749

750

751

**Table 2.** Environmentally-relevant properties for (a) metaldehyde (PPDB, 2016) and (b) metazachlor.  $K_d$  is the soil solid to water partition coefficient,  $K_{OC}$  is the organic carbon to water partition coefficient,  $K_{fOC}$  is the Freundlich isotherm organic carbon to water partition coefficient range derived from EFSA (2010), *Sol* is aqueous solubility,  $K_{AW}$  is the air to water partition coefficient (the dimensionless Henry's Law constant) and  $DT_{50}$  is the dissipation half life. W-S is water and sediment, \* refers to the range of water  $DT_{50}$  in water-sediment tests derived from EFSA (2010).

759 760

	K <sub>d</sub>	K <sub>oc</sub>	<b>K</b> <sub>fOC</sub>	Sol	K <sub>AW</sub>	DT <sub>50</sub> (days)		
	(L kg <sup>-1</sup> )	(L kg <sup>-1</sup> )	(L kg⁻¹)	(mg L <sup>-1</sup> )		Soil	W-S	W-S (water)*
(a)	0.23	240	38-149	188	1.43 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	5.1	12.2	10 - >1000
(b)	0.78	54	54-220	450	1.80 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	8.6	20.6	49 - 384

761

762



Figure 1. (a) General location of Hope Farm, Cambridgeshire; (b) The location of the
monitored wetlands on the stream and drainage network (NW is North Wetland; SW is South
Wetland; automatic samplers and gauge structures were installed at the inlet and outlet of
each feature); (c) Catchment area and elevation map for the South Wetland system derived
from UK Ordnance Survey Terrain 5 Data (5 m gridded digital elevation model).



- **Figure 2.** Detailed plans of the monitored wetlands showing the location of automatic
- samplers and gauging structures. The wetland perimeter represents a bank-full water level.
- The 1 m contours are drawn relative to a datum on the perimeter.





Figure 4. Stream discharge at the V-notch weir (Q, right axis) and the concentration of metaldehyde (left axis) in samples collected from the inlet (SW1) and outlet (SW2) of the South Wetland system between mid-August and early-December 2014.





**Figure 5.** Comparison of equivalent inlet and outlet concentrations in the South Wetland.

Dashed lines show the 1:1 relationship. Solid black lines show the best-fit linear regression
 (equation and R<sup>2</sup> values also shown).





**Figure 6.** Inflow discharge and the concentrations of metaldehyde and metazachlor in the inlet and outlet of the North Wetland between late August and early October 2014.



**Figure 7.** Stream discharge at the outflow (Q, right axis) and the concentration of

- 815 metaldehyde (left axis) in samples collected from the inlet and outlet of the North Wetland
- system between mid-October and early-December 2014.
- 817



Figure 8. Example fluorescence data for South Wetland 1 for a discharge of 13.5 L s<sup>-1</sup>.



**Figure 9.** Cumulative Residence Time Distributions for Hope Farm Wetlands (a) South Wetland 1; (b) South Wetland 2; (c) North Wetland for tracing exercises conducted under a range of different discharges in 2014.





Figure 10. Predicted changes in aqueous-phase metaldehyde concentrations over time in South Wetland 1 in response to two storm events. The time scale is from 14:30 on the 14th of August. The DT<sub>50</sub> in water was assumed to be 12.2 days (i.e. the reported value for a water-sediment test in PPDB, 2016) and that in sediment was (arbitrarily) assumed to be 122 days. In all cases, the dashed line is stream discharge at the outlet, the open square symbols show the measured concentrations at the outlet, the green line shows the predicted concentration at the inlet and the red line shows the predicted concentration at the outlet for (a) z=0.5 m, A=210 m<sup>2</sup>; (b) z=1 m, A=210 m<sup>2</sup>; (c) z=1 m, A=420 m<sup>2</sup>; (d) z=1m, A=2100 m<sup>2</sup> where *z* is the water depth and *A* is the water surface area. 



Figure 11. Predicted changes in aqueous-phase metazachlor and metaldehyde concentrations over time in the North Wetland in August and September 2014. The time scale is from 0:00 on the 24<sup>th</sup> of August. (a) metazachlor with a DT<sub>50</sub> in water of 494 h (20.6 days) (i.e. reported from a water sediment test in the PPDB Pesticide Database); (b) metazachlor with a DT<sub>50</sub> in water of 135 h (i.e. equivalent to an effective temperature-adjusted  $DT_{50}$  of ca 207 h [9 d]); (c) metaldehyde with a  $DT_{50}$  in water of 292 h (12.2 days). In all cases z=0.5 m and the catchment area was assumed to be 0.66 km<sup>2</sup>; (d) metaldehyde with changes in A (x1=300 m<sup>2</sup>; x2=600 m<sup>2</sup>; x5=1500 m<sup>2</sup>; x10=3000 m<sup>2</sup>). 



854

Figure 12. Measured and predicted concentrations of metaldehyde and outflow discharge (Q) in the North Wetland for November 2014. The time scale is from 0:00 on the 13<sup>th</sup> of November. (a) Default case (A=300 m<sup>2</sup>); (b) Predictions for A = x2, x5, x10 and x20 (600, 1500, 3000 and 6000 m<sup>2</sup>).