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#### Abstract

A hole in a graph is a chordless cycle of length at least 4. A theta is a graph formed by three paths between the same pair of distinct vertices so that the union of any two of the paths induces a hole. A wheel is a graph formed by a hole and a vertex that has at least 3 neighbors in the hole. In this series of papers we study the class of graphs that do not contain as an induced subgraph a theta nor a wheel. In Part II of the series we prove a decomposition theorem for this class, that uses clique cutsets and 2 -joins, and consequently obtain a polynomial time recognition algorithm for the class. In this paper we further use this decomposition theorem to obtain polynomial time algorithms for maximum weight clique, maximum weight stable set and coloring problems. We also show that for a graph $G$ in the class, if its maximum clique size is $\omega$, then its chromatic number is bounded by $\max \{\omega, 3\}$, and that the class is 3 -clique-colorable.


## 1 Introduction

In this article, all graphs are finite and simple. We say that a graph $G$ contains a graph $H$ if $H$ is isomorphic to an induced subgraph of $G$, and that $G$ is $H$-free if it does not contain $H$. For a family of graphs $\mathcal{H}, G$ is $\mathcal{H}$-free if for every $H \in \mathcal{H}, G$ is $H$-free.

A hole in a graph is a chordless cycle of length at least 4. A theta is a graph formed by three paths between the same pair of distinct vertices so that the union of any two of the

[^0]paths induces a hole. A wheel is a graph formed by a hole and a vertex that has at least 3 neighbors in the hole.

In this series of papers we study (theta, wheel)-free graphs. This project is motivated and explained in more detail in Part I of the series [3], where two subclasses of (theta, wheel)-free graphs are studied. In Part II of the series [11], we prove a decomposition theorem for (theta, wheel)-free graphs that uses clique cutsets and 2-joins, and use it to obtain an $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{4} m\right)$-time recognition algorithm for the class (where $n$ denotes the number of vertices and $m$ the number of edges of a given graph). In this part we use the decomposition theorem from [11] to obtain further properties of the graphs in the class and to construct polynomial time algorithms for maximum weight clique, maximum weight stable set, and coloring problems. In Part IV of the series [12] we show that the induced version of the $k$-linkage problem can be solved in polynomial time for (theta, wheel)-free graphs.

## The main results and the outline of the paper

Throughout the paper we will denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the class of (theta, wheel)-free graphs. Also, $n$ will denote the number of vertices and $m$ the number of edges of a given graph.

For completeness, in Section 2, we state the decomposition theorem for $\mathcal{C}$ and several other results proved in previous parts that will be needed here. Fundamental for our algorithms are the 2-join decomposition techniques developed in [14] which we also describe here, as well as prove some preliminary lemmas.

In Section 3, we prove that every graph in $\mathcal{C}$ contains a bisimplicial vertex, and use this property to give an $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2} m\right)$-time algorithm for the maximum weight clique problem on $\mathcal{C}$, as well as to show that the class is 3-clique-colorable.

In Section 4, we give an $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{6} m\right)$-time algorithm for the maximum weight stable set problem on $\mathcal{C}$.

In Section 5, we give an $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{5} m\right)$-time algorithm that optimally colors graphs from $\mathcal{C}$. We also prove that every graph in $\mathcal{C}$, with maximum clique size $\omega$, admits a coloring with at most $\max \{\omega, 3\}$ colors.

Since $\mathcal{C}$ contains all chordal graphs, clearly $\mathcal{C}$ has unbounded clique-width. In Section 6, we show how an example of Lozin and Rauthenbach [8] implies that the class of graphs from $\mathcal{C}$ that have no clique cutset also has unbounded clique-width.

## Terminology and notation

A clique in a graph is a (possibly empty) set of pairwise adjacent vertices. We say that a clique is big if it is of size at least 3. A stable set in a graph is a (possibly empty) set of pairwise nonadjacent vertices. A diamond is a graph obtained from a complete graph on 4 vertices by deleting an edge. A claw is a graph induced by vertices $u, v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ and edges $u v_{1}, u v_{2}, u v_{3}$.

A path $P$ is a sequence of distinct vertices $p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{k}, k \geq 1$, such that $p_{i} p_{i+1}$ is an edge for all $1 \leq i<k$. Edges $p_{i} p_{i+1}$, for $1 \leq i<k$, are called the edges of $P$. Vertices $p_{1}$ and $p_{k}$ are the ends of $P$. A cycle $C$ is a sequence of vertices $p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{k} p_{1}, k \geq 3$, such that $p_{1} \ldots p_{k}$ is a path and $p_{1} p_{k}$ is an edge. Edges $p_{i} p_{i+1}$, for $1 \leq i<k$, and edge $p_{1} p_{k}$ are called the edges of $C$. Let $Q$ be a path or a cycle. The vertex set of $Q$ is denoted by $V(Q)$. The length of $Q$ is the number of its edges. An edge $e=u v$ is a chord of $Q$ if $u, v \in V(Q)$, but $u v$ is not an edge of $Q$. A path or a cycle $Q$ in a graph $G$ is chordless if no edge of $G$ is a chord of $Q$.

Let $G$ be a graph. For $x \in V(G), N(x)$ is the set of all neighbors of $x$ in $G$, and $N[x]=N(x) \cup\{x\}$. For $S \subseteq V(G), G[S]$ denotes the subgraph of $G$ induced by $S$. For disjoint subsets $A$ and $B$ of $V(G)$, we say that $A$ is complete (resp. anticomplete) to $B$ if every vertex of $A$ is adjacent (resp. nonadjacent) to every vertex of $B$.

In a graph $G$, a subset $S$ of vertices and/or edges is a cutset if its removal yields a disconnected graph.

When clear from the context, we will sometimes write $G$ instead of $V(G)$.

## 2 Decomposition of (theta, wheel)-free graphs

To state the decomposition theorem for graphs in $\mathcal{C}$ we first define the basic classes involved and then the cutsets used.

## Basic classes

We will refer to P-graphs and line graphs of triangle-free chordless graphs (which we now define) as basic graphs.

A graph $G$ is chordless if no cycle of $G$ has a chord. An edge of a graph is pendant if at least one of its endnodes has degree 1. A branch vertex in a graph is a vertex of degree at least 3. A branch in a graph $G$ is a path of length at least 1 whose internal vertices are of degree 2 in $G$ and whose endnodes are both branch vertices. A limb in a graph $G$ is a path of length at least 1 whose internal vertices are of degree 2 in $G$ and whose one endnode has degree at least 3 and the other one has degree 1. Two distinct branches are parallel if they have the same endnodes. Two distinct limbs are parallel if they share the same vertex of degree at least 3 .

Cut vertices of a graph $R$ that are also branch vertices are called the attaching vertices of $R$. Let $x$ be an attaching vertex of a graph $R$, and let $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{t}$ be the connected components of $R \backslash x$ that together with $x$ are not limbs of $R$ (possibly, $t=0$, when all connected components of $R \backslash x$ are limbs). If $x$ is the end of at least two parallel limbs of $R$, let $C_{t+1}$ be the subgraph of $R$ formed by all the limbs of $R$ with endnode $x$. The graphs $R\left[V\left(C_{i}\right) \cup\{x\}\right]$ (for $i=1, \ldots, t$ ) and the graph $C_{t+1}$ (if it exists) are the $x$-petals of $R$.

For any integer $k \geq 1$, a $k$-skeleton is a graph $R$ such that:
(i) $R$ is connected, triangle-free, chordless and contains at least three pendant edges (in particular, $R$ is not a path).
(ii) $R$ has no parallel branches (but it may contain parallel limbs).
(iii) For every cut vertex $u$ of $R$, every component of $R \backslash u$ has a vertex of degree 1 in $R$.
(iv) For every vertex cutset $S=\{a, b\}$ of $R$ and for every component $C$ of $R \backslash S$, either $R[C \cup S]$ is a chordless path from $a$ to $b$, or $C$ contains at least one vertex of degree 1 in $R$.
(v) For every edge $e$ of a cycle of $R$, at least one of the endnodes of $e$ is of degree 2 .
(vi) Each pendant edge of $R$ is given one label, that is an integer from $\{1, \ldots, k\}$.
(vii) Each label from $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ is given at least once (as a label), and some label is used at least twice.
(viii) If some pendant edge whose one endnode is of degree at least 3 receives label $i$, then no other pendant edge receives label $i$.
(ix) If $R$ has no branches then $k=1$, and otherwise if two limbs of $R$ are parallel, then their pendant edges receive different labels and at least one of these labels is used more then once.
(x) If $k>1$ then for every attaching vertex $x$ and for every $x$-petal $H$ of $R$, there are at least two distinct labels that are used in $H$. Moreover, if $\bar{H}$ is a union of at least one but not all $x$-petals, then there is a label $i$ such that both $\bar{H}$ and $(R \backslash \bar{H}) \cup\{x\}$ have pendant edges with label $i$.
(xi) If $k=2$, then both labels are used at least twice.

Note that if $R$ is a skeleton, then it edgewise partitions into its branches and its limbs. Also, there is a trivial one-to-one correspondence between the pendant edges of $R$ and the limbs of $R$ : any pendant edge belongs to a unique limb, and conversely any limb contains a unique pendant edge.

If $R$ is a graph, then the line graph of $R$, denoted by $L(R)$, is the graph whose vertices are the edges of $R$, and such that two vertices of $L(R)$ are adjacent if and only if the corresponding edges are adjacent in $R$.

A $P$-graph is any graph $B$ that can be constructed as follows:

- Pick an integer $k \geq 1$ and a $k$-skeleton $R$.
- Build $L(R)$, the line graph of $R$. The vertices of $L(R)$ that correspond to pendant edges of $R$ are called pendant vertices of $L(R)$, and they receive the same label as their corresponding pendant edges in $R$.
- Build a clique $K$ with vertex set $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$, disjoint from $L(R)$.
- $B$ is now constructed from $L(R)$ and $K$ by adding edges between $v_{i}$ and all pendant vertices of $L(R)$ that have label $i$, for $i=1, \ldots, k$.

We say that $K$ is the special clique of $B$ and $R$ is the skeleton of $B$.
Lemma 2.1 Every P-graph $G$ contains two distinct branches of length at least 2 (in particular, these two branches both contain a vertex of degree 2).

PROOF - Let $i$ be a label of $G$ that is used at least twice (it exists by (vii)) and consider two pendant edges of the skeleton $R$ of $G$ that receive this label. Then, by condition (viii) the limbs that contain these pendant edges are of length at least 2, and hence they correspond to branches of length at least 2 in $G$ (note that by (i) the degree of $v_{i}$ in $G$ is at least 3).

Lemma 2.2 ([3]) $G$ is the line graph of a triangle-free chordless graph if and only if $G$ is (wheel, diamond, claw)-free.

Lemma 2.3 ([11]) Every P-graph is (theta, wheel, diamond)-free.

## Cutsets

A vertex cutset $S$ is a clique cutset if $S$ is a clique. Note that every disconnected graph has a clique cutset: the empty set.

An almost 2-join in a graph $G$ is a pair $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ that is a partition of $V(G)$, and such that:

- For $i=1,2, X_{i}$ contains disjoint nonempty sets $A_{i}$ and $B_{i}$, such that every vertex of $A_{1}$ is adjacent to every vertex of $A_{2}$, every vertex of $B_{1}$ is adjacent to every vertex of $B_{2}$, and there are no other adjacencies between $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$.
- For $i=1,2,\left|X_{i}\right| \geq 3$.

An almost 2-join $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ is a 2-join when for $i \in\{1,2\}, X_{i}$ contains at least one path from $A_{i}$ to $B_{i}$, and if $\left|A_{i}\right|=\left|B_{i}\right|=1$ then $G\left[X_{i}\right]$ is not a chordless path.

We say that ( $X_{1}, X_{2}, A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{1}, B_{2}$ ) is a split of this 2-join, and the sets $A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{1}, B_{2}$ are the special sets of this 2-join. We often use the following notation: $C_{i}=X_{i} \backslash\left(A_{i} \cup B_{i}\right)$ (possibly, $C_{i}=\emptyset$ ).

We are ready to state the decomposition theorem from [11].
Theorem 2.4 ([11]) If $G$ is (theta, wheel)-free, then $G$ is a line graph of a triangle-free chordless graph or a $P$-graph, or $G$ has a clique cutset or a 2-join.

We now describe how we decompose a graph from $\mathcal{C}$ into basic graphs using the cutsets in the above theorem.

## Decomposing with clique cutsets

If a graph $G$ has a clique cutset $K$, then its vertex set can be partitioned into sets $(A, K, B)$, where $A$ and $B$ are nonempty and anticomplete. We say that $(A, K, B)$ is a split for the clique cutset $K$. When $(A, K, B)$ is a split for a clique cutset of a graph $G$, the blocks of decomposition of $G$ with respect to ( $A, K, B$ ) are the graphs $G_{A}=G[A \cup K]$ and $G_{B}=G[K \cup B]$.

A clique cutset decomposition tree for a graph $G$ is a rooted tree $T$ defined as follows.
(i) The root of $T$ is $G$.
(ii) Every non-leaf vertex of $T$ is a graph $G^{\prime}$ that contains a clique cutset $K^{\prime}$ with split ( $\left.A^{\prime}, K^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$. The children of $G^{\prime}$ in $T$ are the blocks of decomposition $G_{A^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ and $G_{B^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ of $G^{\prime}$ with respect to $\left(A^{\prime}, K^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$, and at least one of the graphs $G_{A^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ and $G_{B^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ do not admit a clique cutset.
(iii) Every leaf of $T$ is a graph with no clique cutset.
(iv) $T$ has at most $n$ leaves.

Theorem 2.5 ([13]) A clique cutset decomposition tree of an input graph $G$ can be computed in time $O(\mathrm{~nm})$.

Note that for a non-leaf vertex $G^{\prime}$ of $T$, the corresponding clique cutset $K^{\prime}$ of $G^{\prime}$ is also a clique cutset of $G$. The following lemmas proved in [3] will also be needed.

Lemma 2.6 ([3]) If $G$ is a wheel-free graph that contains a diamond, then $G$ has a clique cutset.

A star cutset in a graph is a vertex cutset $S$ that contains a vertex (called a center) adjacent to all other vertices of $S$. Note that a nonempty clique cutset is a star cutset.

Lemma 2.7 ([3]) If $G \in \mathcal{C}$ has a star cutset, then $G$ has a clique cutset.

## Decomposing with 2-joins

We first state some properties of 2 -joins in graphs with no clique cutset. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the class of all graphs from $\mathcal{C}$ that do not have a clique cutset. By Lemma 2.7, no graph from $\mathcal{D}$ has a star cutset and by Lemma 2.6 no graph from $\mathcal{D}$ contains a diamond. Also, let $\mathcal{D}_{\text {basic }}$ be the class of all basic graphs from $\mathcal{C}$ that do not have a clique cutset.

An almost 2-join with a split ( $X_{1}, X_{2}, A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{1}, B_{2}$ ) in a graph $G$ is consistent if the following statements hold for $i=1,2$ :
(i) Every component of $G\left[X_{i}\right]$ meets both $A_{i}, B_{i}$.
(ii) Every vertex of $A_{i}$ has a non-neighbor in $B_{i}$.
(iii) Every vertex of $B_{i}$ has a non-neighbor in $A_{i}$.
(iv) Either both $A_{1}, A_{2}$ are cliques, or one of $A_{1}$ or $A_{2}$ is a single vertex, and the other one is a disjoint union of cliques.
(v) Either both $B_{1}, B_{2}$ are cliques, or one of $B_{1}, B_{2}$ is a single vertex, and the other one is a disjoint union of cliques.
(vi) $G\left[X_{i}\right]$ is connected.
(vii) For every vertex $v$ in $X_{i}$, there exists a path in $G\left[X_{i}\right]$ from $v$ to some vertex of $B_{i}$ with no internal vertex in $A_{i}$.
(viii) For every vertex $v$ in $X_{i}$, there exists a path in $G\left[X_{i}\right]$ from $v$ to some vertex of $A_{i}$ with no internal vertex in $B_{i}$.

Note that the definition contains redundant statements (for instance, (vi) implies (i)), but it is convenient to list properties separately as above.

Lemma 2.8 ([3]) If $G \in \mathcal{D}$, then every almost 2-join of $G$ is consistent.
By this lemma every 2-join of a graph of $\mathcal{D}$ is consistent.
We now define the blocks of decomposition of a graph with respect to a 2 -join. Let $G$ be a graph and $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{1}, B_{2}\right)$ a split of a 2 -join of $G$. Let $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ be positive integers. The blocks of decomposition of $G$ with respect to $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ are the two graphs $G_{1}^{k_{1}}$ and $G_{2}^{k_{2}}$ that we describe now. We obtain $G_{1}^{k_{1}}$ from $G$ by replacing $X_{2}$ by a marker path $P_{2}=a_{2} \ldots b_{2}$ of length $k_{1}$, where $a_{2}$ is a vertex complete to $A_{1}, b_{2}$ is a vertex complete to $B_{1}$, and $V\left(P_{2}\right) \backslash\left\{a_{2}, b_{2}\right\}$ is anticomplete to $X_{1}$. The block $G_{2}^{k_{2}}$ is obtained similarly by replacing $X_{1}$ by a marker path $P_{1}=a_{1} \ldots b_{1}$ of length $k_{2}$.

In [11] the blocks of decomposition w.r.t. a 2 -join that we used in construction of a recognition algorithm had marker paths of length 2 . In this paper we will use blocks whose marker paths are of length 3 . So, unless otherwise stated, when we say that $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are blocks of decomposition w.r.t. a 2 -join we will mean that their marker paths are of length 3.

Lemma 2.9 ([3]) Let $G$ be a graph with a consistent 2-join $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ and $G_{1}$, $G_{2}$ be the blocks of decomposition with respect to this 2-join whose marker paths are of length 2. Then the following hold:
(i) $G$ has no clique cutset if and only if $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ have no clique cutset.
(ii) $G \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are in $\mathcal{C}$.

Lemma 2.10 Let $G$ be a graph from $\mathcal{D}$. Let $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ be a 2-join of $G$, and $G_{1}, G_{2}$ the blocks of decomposition with respect to this 2-join whose marker paths are of length at least 2. Then $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are in $\mathcal{D}$ and they do not have star cutsets.

PROOF - By Lemma 2.8, $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ is consistent. Let $G_{1}^{\prime}$ and $G_{2}^{\prime}$ be blocks of decomposition w.r.t. $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ whose marker paths are of length 2 . Then for $i \in\{1,2\}, G_{i}$ is obtained from $G_{i}^{\prime}$ by subdividing ( 0 or several times) an edge of its marker path. Subdividing an edge whose one endnode is of degree 2 cannot create a clique cutset, nor a theta, nor a wheel, and hence the result follows from Lemma 2.9 and Lemma 2.7.

A 2-join $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ of $G$ is a minimally-sided 2-join if for some $i \in\{1,2\}$ the following holds: for every 2-join $\left(X_{1}^{\prime}, X_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ of $G$, neither $X_{1}^{\prime} \subsetneq X_{i}$ nor $X_{2}^{\prime} \subsetneq X_{i}$. In this case $X_{i}$ is a minimal side of this minimally-sided 2-join.

A 2-join $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ of $G$ is an extreme 2-join if for some $i \in\{1,2\}$ and all $k \geq 3$ the block of decomposition $G_{i}^{k}$ has no 2-join. In this case $X_{i}$ is an extreme side of such a 2-join.

Graphs in general do not necessarily have extreme 2-joins (an example is given in [14]), but it is shown in [14] that graphs with no star cutset do. It is also shown in [14] that if $G$ has no star cutset then the blocks of decomposition w.r.t. a 2 -join whose marker paths are of length at least 3, also have no star cutset. This is then used to show that in a graph with no star cutset, a minimally-sided 2-join is extreme. We summarize these results in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.11 ([14]) Let $G$ be a graph with no star cutset. Let $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{1}, B_{2}\right)$ be a split of a minimally-sided 2-join of $G$ with $X_{1}$ being a minimal side, and let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be the corresponding blocks of decomposition whose marker paths are of length at least 3. Then the following hold:
(i) $\left|A_{1}\right| \geq 2,\left|B_{1}\right| \geq 2$, and in particular all the vertices of $A_{2} \cup B_{2}$ are of degree at least 3.
(ii) If $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ do not have star cutsets, then $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ is an extreme 2-join, with $X_{1}$ being an extreme side (in particular, $G_{1}$ has no 2-join).

The following simple lemma is useful and not proved in the previous papers of the series.

Lemma 2.12 Let $G$ be in $\mathcal{D}$. Let $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{1}, B_{2}\right)$ be a split of a minimally-sided 2-join of $G$ with $X_{1}$ being a minimal side, and let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be the corresponding blocks of decomposition. If the block of decomposition $G_{1}$ is a $P$-graph, then $X_{1}$ contains a vertex that has degree 2 in $G$.

PROOF - By Lemma 2.1, $G_{1}$ contains a vertex $v$ of degree 2 that is not in the marker path of $G_{1}$. We claim that $v$ has also degree 2 in $G$. If $v \in X_{1} \backslash\left(A_{1} \cup B_{1}\right)$, then it is clear, so suppose $v$ is in $A_{1} \cup B_{1}$, say in $A_{1}$ up to symmetry. Note that ( $X_{1}, X_{2}$ ) is consistent by Lemma 2.8. Since $v$ has degree 2 in $G_{1}$, condition (vii) in the definition of consistent 2-joins applied to $v$ implies that $v$ has precisely one neighbor in $X_{1} \backslash A_{1}$ and one neighbor in the marker path of $G_{1}$. Since by Lemma $2.11\left|A_{1}\right| \geq 2$, it follows that $G\left[A_{1}\right]$ is disconnected. Hence, by condition (iv) in the definition of consistent 2 -joins, $\left|A_{2}\right|=1$. It follows that $v$ has the same degree in $G_{1}$ and in $G$.

In [14] it is shown that one can decompose a graph with no star cutset using a sequence of 'non-crossing' 2 -joins into graphs with no star cutset and no 2 -join (which will in our case be basic). This will be particularly important when using 2 -join decomposition to solve the stable set problem. We now describe such 2-join decomposition obtained in [14].

A flat path of $G$ is any path of $G$ of length at least 3, whose interior vertices are of degree 2 , and whose ends do not have a common neighbor. When $\mathcal{M}$ is a collection of vertex-disjoint flat paths of $G$, a 2 -join $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ of $G$ is $\mathcal{M}$-independent if for every path $P$ from $\mathcal{M}$ we have that either $V(P) \subseteq X_{1}$ or $V(P) \subseteq X_{2}$.

## 2-Join decomposition tree $T_{G}$ of depth $p \geq 1$ of a graph $G$ that has no star cutset and has a 2 -join

(i) The root of $T_{G}$ is $\left(G^{0}, \mathcal{M}^{0}\right)$, where $G^{0}:=G$ and $\mathcal{M}^{0}=\emptyset$.
(ii) Each vertex of $T_{G}$ is a pair $(H, \mathcal{M})$, where $H$ is a graph of $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is a set of disjoint flat paths of $H$.
The non-leaf vertices of $T_{G}$ are pairs $\left(G^{0}, \mathcal{M}^{0}\right), \ldots,\left(G^{p-1}, \mathcal{M}^{p-1}\right)$. Each non-leaf vertex $\left(G^{i}, \mathcal{M}^{i}\right)$ has two children. One is $\left(G^{i+1}, \mathcal{M}^{i+1}\right)$, the other one is $\left(G_{B}^{i+1}, \mathcal{M}_{B}^{i+1}\right)$. The leaf-vertices of $T_{G}$ are the pairs $\left(G_{B}^{1}, \mathcal{M}_{B}^{1}\right), \ldots,\left(G_{B}^{p}, \mathcal{M}_{B}^{p}\right)$ and $\left(G^{p}, \mathcal{M}^{p}\right)$. Graphs $G_{B}^{1}, G_{B}^{2}, \ldots, G_{B}^{p}, G^{p}$ have no star cutset nor 2-join.
(iii) For $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}, G^{i}$ has a 2 -join $\left(X_{1}^{i}, X_{2}^{i}\right)$ that is extreme with extreme side $X_{1}^{i}$ and that is $\mathcal{M}^{i}$-independent. Graphs $G^{i+1}$ and $G_{B}^{i+1}$ are blocks of decomposition of $G^{i}$ w.r.t. $\left(X_{1}^{i}, X_{2}^{i}\right)$ whose marker paths are of length at least 3 . The block $G_{B}^{i+1}$ corresponds to the extreme side $X_{1}^{i}$, i.e. $X_{1}^{i} \subseteq V\left(G_{B}^{i+1}\right)$.
Set $\mathcal{M}_{B}^{i+1}$ consists of paths from $\mathcal{M}^{i}$ whose vertices are in $X_{1}^{i}$. Note that the marker path used to construct the block $G_{B}^{i+1}$ does not belong to $\mathcal{M}_{B}^{i+1}$.
Set $\mathcal{M}^{i+1}$ consists of paths from $\mathcal{M}^{i}$ whose vertices are in $X_{2}^{i}$ together with the marker path $P^{i+1}$ used to build $G^{i+1}$.
(iv) $\mathcal{M}_{B}^{1} \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{M}_{B}^{p} \cup \mathcal{M}^{p}$ is the set of all marker paths used in the construction of the vertices $G^{1}, \ldots, G^{p}$ of $T_{G}$, and the sets $\mathcal{M}_{B}^{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{M}_{B}^{p}, \mathcal{M}^{p}$ are pairwise disjoint.

Vertex $\left(G^{p}, \mathcal{M}^{p}\right)$ is a leaf of $T_{G}$ and is called the deepest vertex of $T_{G}$.
The 2-join decomposition tree is described slightly differently in [14], but the following result follows easily from the proofs in [14].

Lemma 2.13 ([14]) There is an algorithm with the following specification.
Input: A graph $G$ that has no star cutset and has a 2-join.
Output: A 2-join decomposition tree $T_{G}$ of depth at most $n$.
Running time: $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{4} m\right)$.
Lemma 2.14 If $G \in \mathcal{D}$ has a 2-join, then $T_{G}$ can be constructed, and all graphs $G_{B}^{1}, G_{B}^{2}, \ldots, G_{B}^{p}, G^{p}$ that correspond to the leaves of $T_{G}$ are in $\mathcal{D}_{\text {Basic }}$.

Proof - By Lemma $2.7 G$ has no star cutset, and hence we can construct $T_{G}$. By Lemma 2.10 all graphs that correspond to vertices of $T_{G}$ belong to $\mathcal{D}$. By construction graphs $G_{B}^{1}, G_{B}^{2}, \ldots, G_{B}^{p}, G^{p}$ have no star cutset nor 2-join. By Lemma 2.7 it follows that none of them has a clique cutset, and hence by Theorem 2.4 all of them are basic.

## 3 Maximal cliques and clique coloring

A vertex $v$ of a graph $G$ is simplicial if $N(v)$ is a clique, and it is bisimplicial if $N(v)$ is a disjoint union of two cliques that are anticomplete to each other. Note that every simplicial vertex is also bisimplicial. We now show that every graph $G \in \mathcal{C}$ has a bisimpicial vertex, which we then use to obtain an algorithm for finding a maximum weight clique of $G$ and to prove that $G$ is 3 -clique-colorable.

Theorem 3.1 If $G \in \mathcal{C}$ then for every clique $K$ of $G$, either $K=V(G)$ or there is a bisimplicial vertex (of $G$ ) in $G \backslash K$.

Proof - The proof is by induction on $|V(G)|$.
If $R$ is a triangle-free chordless graph, then $L(R)$ does not contain a claw nor a diamond, and hence every vertex of $L(R)$ is bisimplicial. If $G$ is a P-graph, then by Lemma 2.1 it contains at least two branches of length at least 2 . The clique $K$ contains internal vertices of at most one of these branches. Hence, $G \backslash K$ contains a vertex of degree 2, that is therefore bisimplicial. So, when $G$ is basic the result holds.

Let us now suppose that $\left(A, K^{\prime}, B\right)$ is a split of a clique cutset $K^{\prime}$ of $G$, and let $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$ be the blocks of decomposition w.r.t. this clique cutset. Then clique $K$ is contained in $G_{A}$ or in $G_{B}$. W.l.o.g. suppose that $K$ is contained in $G_{B}$. By induction, there is a bisimplicial vertex in $G_{A} \backslash K^{\prime}$, and hence in $G \backslash K$.

So, let us suppose that $G$ is not basic and that it does not admit a clique cutset. By Theorem 2.4, $G$ admits a 2 -join ( $X_{1}^{\prime}, X_{2}^{\prime}, A_{1}^{\prime}, A_{2}^{\prime}, B_{1}^{\prime}, B_{2}^{\prime}$ ). Then $K$ is contained in $G\left[X_{1}^{\prime} \cup A_{2}^{\prime}\right]$ or in $G\left[X_{2}^{\prime} \cup B_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. W.l.o.g. suppose that $K$ is contained in $G\left[X_{2}^{\prime} \cup B_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. Let $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{1}, B_{2}\right)$ be a split of a minimally-sided 2-join of $G$ with $X_{1} \subseteq X_{1}^{\prime}$ being a minimal side, and let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be the corresponding blocks of decomposition. By Lemma 2.7, $G$ does not have a star cutset. So by Lemma 2.11 (ii) $G_{1}$ does not have a 2 -join. By Lemma 2.10, $G_{1} \in \mathcal{D}$, and so by Theorem 2.4, $G_{1}$ is basic. Additionally, by Lemma 2.11 (i), $\left|A_{1}\right|,\left|B_{1}\right| \geq 2$, and hence, by (iv) and (v) of definition of consistent 2-join, $A_{2}$ and $B_{2}$ are cliques. Also we may assume that $K \cap A_{1}=\emptyset$, since otherwise for $u \in K \cap A_{1}$ and $v \in K \cap B_{1}, u, v \in B_{1}^{\prime}$, and hence any $b \in B_{2}^{\prime}$ is a vertex of $X_{2}^{\prime}$ that has a neighbor in both $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$, contradicting the assumption that ( $X_{1}, X_{2}$ ) is a 2-join of $G$ such that $X_{1} \subseteq X_{1}^{\prime}$. It follows that $K \subseteq X_{2} \cup B_{1}$. If $G_{1}$ is the line graph of a triangle-free chordless graph, then every vertex of $A_{1}$ is bisimplicial in $G_{1}$ and hence bisimplicial in $G$ (since $A_{2}$ is a clique). So, let us assume that $G_{1}$ is a P-graph. By Lemma 2.12, a vertex $u$ of $X_{1}$ is of degree 2 in $G$. If $B_{1}$ is a clique then, since $\left|B_{1}\right| \geq 2$ and by (viii) of definition of consistent 2-join, it follows that $u \notin B_{1}$, and therefore $u \notin K$ and the result holds (since $A_{2}$ is a clique). If $B_{1}$ is not a clique, then $b_{2}$ is a center of a claw of $G_{1}$, and hence it is contained in the special clique $K^{\prime}$ of P-graph $G_{1}$ (where $b_{2}$ is the vertex of the marker path of $G_{1}$ that is complete to $B_{1}$ ). So $K^{\prime} \subseteq B_{1} \cup\left\{b_{2}\right\}$. Now, since every vertex of $X_{1} \backslash K^{\prime}$ is bisimplicial in $G_{1}$, every vertex of $X_{1} \backslash B_{1}$ is bisimplicial in $G$ (since $A_{2}$ is a clique).

## Maximum weight clique

Let $G$ be a graph and $w: V(G) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ a weight function on $G$. A maximum weight clique of $G$ is a clique $K$ of $G$, such that $\sum_{v \in K} w(v)$ has the maximum value. If $K$ is a maximum weight clique of $G$, we denote by $\omega_{w}(G)$ the value of the sum $\sum_{v \in K} w(v)$.

Theorem 3.2 There is an algorithm with the following specifications:
Input: $A$ weighted graph $G \in \mathcal{C}$.
Output: A maximum weight clique of $G$.
Running time: $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2} m\right)$.
Proof - By Theorem 3.1, $G$ contains a vertex $v$ that is bisimplicial. This vertex can be found in time $\mathcal{O}(n m)$. Let $N(v)$ consist of (possibly empty) cliques $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$. Then

$$
\omega_{w}(G)=\max \left\{\omega_{w}(G \backslash v), \omega_{w}\left(\{v\} \cup K_{1}\right), \omega_{w}\left(\{v\} \cup K_{2}\right)\right\},
$$

and if $K$ is the maximum weight clique of $G \backslash v$, then a maximum weight clique of $G$ is $K$, $\{v\} \cup K_{1}$ or $\{v\} \cup K_{2}$. So it is enough to find a maximum weight clique of $G \backslash v$, which can be done by applying (recursively) the same procedure on $G \backslash v$.

The total running time of this algorithm is $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot n m)=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2} m\right)$.

## Clique coloring

A $k$-clique-coloring of a graph $G$ is a function $c: V(G) \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$, such that for every inclusion-wise maximal clique $K$ of size at least $2, c(K)=\{c(v): v \in K\}$ has at least 2 elements. We say that $G$ is $k$-clique-colorable if it admits a $k$-clique-coloring. The clique-chromatic number of $G$, denoted by $\chi_{C}(G)$, is the smallest number $k$ such that $G$ is $k$-clique-colorable.

There are graphs in $\mathcal{C}$ that are not 2-clique-colorable, as shown in Figure 1. We now prove that every $G \in \mathcal{C}$ is 3 -clique-colorable.


Figure 1: Graph from $\mathcal{C}$ that is not 2-clique-colorable

Theorem 3.3 If $G \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\chi_{C}(G) \leq 3$.
Proof - The proof is by induction on $|V(G)|$. By Theorem 3.1, $G$ contains a vertex $v$ that is bisimplicial. By induction, we can 3-clique-color $G \backslash v$. Let $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ be disjoint, anticomplete cliques such that $K_{1} \cup K_{2}=N(v)$. To obtain a 3-clique-coloring of $G$ from the 3-clique-coloring of $G \backslash v$ it is enough to color $v$ with a color different from a vertex of $K_{1}$ and a vertex of $K_{2}$ (note that if $K_{i}$ is empty, for some $i \in\{1,2\}$, then any of the three colors satisfies the property).

## 4 Stable set problem

Let $G$ be a graph and $w: V(G) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ a weight function on $G$. A maximum weight stable set of $G$ is a stable set $S$ of $G$, such that $\sum_{v \in S} w(v)$ has the maximum value. If $S$ is
a maximum weight stable set of $G$, we denote by $\alpha_{w}(G)$ the value of the sum $\sum_{v \in S} w(v)$.
In this section we give a polynomial-time algorithm for finding a maximum weight stable set of a weighted graph in $\mathcal{C}$. To do this we first introduce a different way to decompose w.r.t. a 2 -join, one that is suited for the stable set problem.

A gem $\Gamma$ is the graph defined with $V(\Gamma)=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}, z\right\}$ and $E(\Gamma)=$ $\left\{p_{1} p_{2}, p_{2} p_{3}, p_{3} p_{4}, p_{1} z, p_{2} z, p_{3}, p_{4} z\right\}$. Vertex $z$ is the center of the gem $\Gamma$. Let $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{1}, B_{2}\right)$ be a split of a 2 -join of $G \in \mathcal{C}$ and $C_{i}=X_{i} \backslash\left(A_{i} \cup B_{i}\right)$, for $i \in\{1,2\}$. To build a gem-block $G_{2}^{g}$ replace $X_{1}$ by an induced path pxyq plus a vertex $z$ complete to this path, such that $p$ (resp. $q$ ) is complete to $A_{2}$ (resp. $B_{2}$ ) and these are the only edges between $\{p, x, y, q, z\}$ and $X_{2}$. Note that $G_{2}^{g}$ is not necessarily in $\mathcal{C}$. Let $a:=\alpha_{w}\left(G\left[A_{1} \cup C_{1}\right]\right), b:=\alpha_{w}\left(G\left[B_{1} \cup C_{1}\right]\right), c:=\alpha_{w}\left(G\left[C_{1}\right]\right)$ and $d:=\alpha_{w}\left(G\left[X_{1}\right]\right)$. We give the following weights to the new vertices of $G_{2}^{g}: w(p)=a, w(x)=a+b-d, w(y)=d$, $w(q)=2 d-a$ and $w(z)=c+d$.

Lemma 4.1 ([14]) If $G_{2}^{g}$ is the gem-block of $G$, then the weights of $G_{2}^{g}$ are non-negative and $\alpha_{w}\left(G_{2}^{g}\right)=\alpha_{w}(G)+d$.

The gem blocks are useful for computing $\alpha$, but they are not preserving for our class $\mathcal{C}$, so we cannot recursively decompose using gem blocks. Instead, we will first construct the 2-join decomposition tree $T_{G}$ (as in Section 2) using marker paths of length 3, and then we will reprocess it by replacing marker paths by gems. As a consequence, the leaves of our decomposition tree may fail to be basic. So, we define extensions of basic graphs in the following way.

Let $P$ be a flat path of $G$ of length 3. Extending $P$ means adding a new vertex $z$ that is complete to $V(P)$ and anticomplete to the rest of the graph. An extension of a pair $(G, \mathcal{M})$, where $G$ is a graph and $\mathcal{M}$ a set of vertex-disjoint flat paths of $G$ of length 3 , is any weighted graph obtained by extending the flat paths of $\mathcal{M}$ and giving any non-negative weights to all the vertices. An extension of $G$ is any graph that is an extension of $(G, \mathcal{M})$ for some $\mathcal{M}$. We define $\mathcal{D}_{\text {BASIC }}^{\text {EXT }}$ to be the class of all graphs that are an extension of a graph from $\mathcal{D}_{\text {BASIC }}$.

Let us examine the graphs in $\mathcal{D}_{\text {BASIC }}^{\mathrm{EXT}}$. If $G$ is a line graph of a triangle-free chordless graph, then an extension $G^{\prime}$ of $G$ is again a line graph (but not of a triangle-free chordless graph). Indeed, if $R$ is the root graph of $G$, then a flat path of $G$ of length 3 correspond to a path $B=b_{1} b_{2} b_{3} b_{4} b_{5}$ of $R$ all of whose interior vertices are of degree 2 . Hence, $G^{\prime}=L\left(R^{\prime}\right)$, where $R^{\prime}$ is the graph obtained from $R$ by adding edge $b_{2} b_{4}$ for every such $B$. Similarly, if $G$ is a P-graph with special clique $K$ and skeleton $R$, then each flat path $P=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}$ of $G$ either corresponds to a path $B=b_{1} b_{2} b_{3} b_{4} b_{5}$ of $R$ that belongs to a branch or a limb of $R$, or an endnode of $P$, say $a_{4}$ belongs to $K$ (in the latter case let $C=c_{1} c_{2} c_{3} c_{4}$ be the subpath of a limb of $R$ such that $L(R[V(C)])$ is the path $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$ in $\left.G\right)$. To obtain $R^{\prime}$ from $R$, for each $B$ we add the edge $b_{2} b_{4}$, and for each $C$ we add the edge $c_{2} c_{4}$. Then an extension $G^{\prime}$ of $G$ is obtained from $L\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ by adding clique $K$ and edges between them so
that for every $v \in K, N_{G^{\prime}}(v)=N_{G}(v) \cup Z_{v}$, where $Z_{v}$ is the set of all centers of gems that were used to extend flat paths with endnode $v$.

Lemma 4.2 There is an algorithm with the following specifications:
Input: A weighted graph $G^{\prime} \in \mathcal{D}_{\text {BASIC }}^{\mathrm{EXT}}$.
Output: A maximum weight stable set of $G^{\prime}$.
Running time: $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{4}\right)$.
PROOF - Let $G^{\prime}$ be an extension of $G \in \mathcal{D}_{\text {BASIC }}$. In order to compute a maximum weight stable set of $G^{\prime}$, we first need to compute $G$ and then decide if $G$ a line graph of a trianglefree chordless graph or a P-graph. Since $G$ is diamond-free, every diamond of $G^{\prime}$ is contained in some gem of $G^{\prime}$. So, to obtain $G$ from $G^{\prime}$ it is enough to find all gems contained in $G^{\prime}$. This can be done in time $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{4}\right)$. To decide whether $G$ is a line graph of a triangle-free chordless graph or a P-graph it is enough to test whether or not $G$ contains a claw (the line graph of a triangle-free chordless graph does not contain a claw, and a P-graph does). In case $G$ is a P-graph, we find its special clique $K$ by finding all centers of claws and extend them to a maximal clique (in case there is only one center of claw, say $u$, then we check whether $u$ is contained in a clique of size 3 , and if it is we extend that clique to a maximal clique, and otherwise $K=\{u\})$. All this can also be done in time $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{4}\right)$.

If $G$ is the line graph of a triangle-free chordless graph, then $G^{\prime}$ is also a line graph, so the maximum weighted stable set of $G^{\prime}$ can be computed in time $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$ using Edmonds' algorithm [4].

If $G$ is a P-graph, then $G^{\prime} \backslash K$ is a line graph $L\left(R^{\prime}\right)$, and hence maximum weight stable set of $G^{\prime}$ is either contained in $L\left(R^{\prime}\right)$, or has exactly one vertex of $K$. So, it is enough to compute a maximum weight stable set of $L\left(R^{\prime}\right)$, and a maximum weight stable set of $G^{\prime} \backslash N[v]$, for each $v \in K$. Since, for each $v \in K$ the graph $G^{\prime} \backslash N[v]$ is a line graph, we conclude that using Edmonds' algorithm a maximum weight stable set of $G^{\prime}$ can be computed in time $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{4}+n \cdot n^{3}\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{4}\right)$.

Lemma 4.3 There is an algorithm with the following specifications:
Input: $A$ weighted graph $G \in \mathcal{D}$.
Output: A maximum weight stable set of $G$.
Running time: $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{4} m\right)$.
PROOF - Check whether $G$ contains a 2 -join (this can be done in time $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2} m\right)$ by the algorithm in [1]). If it does not, then by Theorem $2.4 G \in \mathcal{D}_{\text {BASIC }} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\text {BASIC }}^{\mathrm{EXT}}$, and hence we compute maximum weight stable set in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{4}\right)$ time by Lemma 4.2.

Otherwise, we construct the 2-join decomposition tree $T_{G}$ (of depth $1 \leq p \leq n$ ) using marker paths of length 3 in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{4} m\right)$ time by Lemma 2.13. By Lemma 2.14 all graphs $G_{B}^{1}, \ldots, G_{B}^{p}, G^{p}$ that correspond to the leaves of $T_{G}$ are in $\mathcal{D}_{\text {BASIC }}$. We now reprocess $T_{G}$.

Let $P^{1}$ be the marker path used in the construction of $G^{1}$. We replace $G^{1}$ by the corresponding gem block $G^{1 g}$. To do this we need to compute the weights $a^{1}, b^{1}, c^{1}, d^{1}$ that need to be assigned to the vertices of the gem, and this amounts to computing four weighted stable set problems on $G_{B}^{1}$. Since $G_{B}^{1} \in \mathcal{D}_{\text {BASIC }} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\text {BASIC }}^{\text {EXT }}$ this can be done in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{4}\right)$ time by Lemma 4.2. By Lemma $4.1 \alpha_{w}\left(G^{1 g}\right)=\alpha_{w}(G)+d^{1}$. In all the other graphs that correspond to the vertices of $T_{G}$ and contain $P^{1}$, we extend $P^{1}$ using weights $a^{1}, b^{1}, c^{1}, d^{1}$. We continue this process for $i=2, \ldots, p$. So if $P^{i}$ is the marker path used in construction of $G^{i}$, we compute the weights needed to transform it into a gem block, by computing four weighted stable set problems on $G_{B}^{i}$ whose paths in $\mathcal{M}_{B}^{i}$ have all already been extended. Since this graph is in $\mathcal{D}_{\text {BASIC }}^{\text {EXT }}$, this can be done in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{4}\right)$ time by Lemma 4.2. In all the graphs that correspond to vertices of $T_{G}$ that contain $P^{i}$ we extend $P^{i}$ using calculated weights $a^{i}, b^{i}, c^{i}, d^{i}$.

The last graph we reprocess is $G^{p}$, and let us denote by $G^{p g}$ the graph that we obtain at the end of the reprocessing procedure. By repeated application of Lemma 4.1, $\alpha_{w}\left(G^{p g}\right)=$ $\alpha_{w}(G)+d^{1}+\ldots+d^{p}$, and so we deduce $\alpha_{w}(G)$. The proof of Lemma 4.1 actually shows how to keep track of a stable set of $G$ whose weight is $\alpha_{w}(G)$. Since $p \leq n$, this algorithm can be implemented to run in time $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{4} m+n \cdot n^{4}\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{4} m\right)$.

Theorem 4.4 There is an algorithm with the following specifications:
Input: $A$ weighted graph $G \in \mathcal{C}$.
Output: A maximum weight stable set of $G$.
Running time: $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{6} m\right)$.
PROOF - By Theorem 2.5 we construct the clique cutset decomposition tree $T$ of $G$ in $\mathcal{O}(n m)$ time. So all the leaves of $T$ are graphs from $\mathcal{D}$. By using Tarjan's method from [13] to compute a maximum weight stable set of $G$ it is enough to compute $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ maximum weight stable sets on the leaves of $T$ (each one of which can be computed in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{4} m\right)$ time by Lemma 4.3). Therefore, this algorithm can be implemented to run in time $\mathcal{O}\left(n m+n^{2} \cdot n^{4} m\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{6} m\right)$.

## 5 Vertex coloring

A $k$-coloring of a graph $G$ is a function $c: V(G) \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$, such that for every $u v \in E(G), c(u) \neq c(v)$. We say that $G$ is $k$-colorable if it admits a $k$-coloring. The
chromatic number of $G$, denoted by $\chi(G)$, is the smallest number $k$ such that $G$ is $k$ colorable. In this section we give a polynomial-time coloring algorithm for $\mathcal{C}$ and prove that every $G \in \mathcal{C}$ is $\max \{3, \omega(G)\}$-colorable.

A graph is sparse if every edge is incident with at least one vertex of degree at most 2 . Note that every sparse graph is chordless. A proper 2-cutset of a connected graph $G$ is a pair of non-adjacent vertices $a, b$ such that there is a partition $(X, Y,\{a, b\})$ of $V(G)$ with $X$ and $Y$ anticomplete, both $G[X \cup\{a, b\}]$ and $G[Y \cup\{a, b\}]$ contain a path from $a$ to $b$ and neither $G[X \cup\{a, b\}]$ nor $G[Y \cup\{a, b\}]$ is a chordless path. We say that $(X, Y,\{a, b\})$ is a split of this proper 2-cutset. The blocks of decomposition $G_{X}$ and $G_{Y}$ w.r.t. this cutset are defined as follows. Block $G_{X}$ (resp. $G_{Y}$ ) is the graph obtained by taking $G[X \cup\{a, b\}]$ (resp. $G[Y \cup\{a, b\}]$ ) and adding a new vertex $u$ (resp. $v$ ) complete to $\{a, b\}$ (and anticomplete to the rest).

A decomposition theorem for the class of chordless graphs is proved in [7]. An improvement of this theorem, that is an extreme decomposition for this class, is proved in [9]. We give both results in the following theorem.

Theorem $5.1([\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{9}])$ If $G$ is a 2-connected chordless graph, then either $G$ is sparse or $G$ admits a proper 2-cutset. Additionally, if $(X, Y,\{a, b\})$ is a split of a proper 2-cutset of $G$ such that $|X|$ is minimum among all such splits, then $a$ and $b$ both have at least two neighbors in $X$, and $G_{X}$ is sparse.

A $k$-edge-coloring of a graph $G$ is a function $c: E(G) \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$, such that for every two distinct edges with a common vertex, say $u v$ and $u w, c(u v) \neq c(u w)$. $G$ is $k$-edge-colorable if it admits a $k$-edge-coloring. The edge-chromatic number of $G$ is the smallest number $k$ such that $G$ is $k$-edge-colorable.

The edge-coloring of chordless graphs is studied in [9], where the authors obtained the following result. For a graph $G$, let $\Delta(G)=\max \{\operatorname{deg}(v): v \in V(G)\}$ and $\delta(G)=$ $\min \{\operatorname{deg}(v): v \in V(G)\}$.

Theorem 5.2 ([9]) Every chordless graph $G$ is $\{3, \Delta(G)\}$-edge-colorable. Moreover, there is an $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3} m\right)$-time algorithm that finds such an edge-coloring.

In this section we will prove a variant of the previous theorem (see Lemma 5.5). The following result is an important step towards obtaining a $\max \{3, \omega(G)\}$-coloring for our basic classes.

Lemma 5.3 ([9]) Let $G=(V, E)$ be a sparse graph and suppose that a list $L_{u v}$ of colors is associated with each edge $u v \in E$. Let $S$ be a stable set of $G$ that contains all vertices of $G$ of degree at least 3. Suppose that for every vertex $u \in S$, all edges incident to $u$ receive the same list. If for each edge $u v \in E,\left|L_{u v}\right| \geq \max \{\operatorname{deg}(u), \operatorname{deg}(v)\}$ and for each edge $u v \in E$ with no end in $S,\left|L_{u v}\right| \geq 3$, then there is an edge-coloring $c$ of $G$ such that, for each edge $u v \in E, c(u v) \in L_{u v}$. Furthermore, there is an $\mathcal{O}(n m)$-time algorithm that finds such an edge-coloring c.

Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$, where $1 \leq k \leq 3$, be some vertices of a branch $B$ of $G$, such that they do not induce a path of length 2 . Furthermore, let the list of colors $L_{i},\left|L_{i}\right| \geq 2$, be associated with $v_{i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$, such that if $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ are adjacent, for some $1 \leq i<j \leq k$, then $L_{i} \cap L_{j} \neq \emptyset$. Note that branch $B$ can be edge-colored with $\left|\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} L_{i}\right|$ colors, so that every edge incident with $v_{i}$ is colored with a color from $L_{i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Indeed, if no two of the vertices from the set $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$ are adjacent, then we can color $B$ greedily (starting from one endnode of $B$ ). If w.l.o.g. $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are adjacent, then we can obtain the desired edge-coloring by first coloring the edge $v_{1} v_{2}$ (with a color from $L_{1} \cap L_{2}$ ) and then greedily coloring the rest of the branch (starting from the other edge incident with $v_{1}$ and the other edge incident with $v_{2}$ ). We say that such an edge-coloring of the branch $B$ is according to the lists $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{k}$.

A vertex $v$ of $G$ is free if it is of degree 2 and both of its neighbors are also of degree 2 . Vertices $u$ and $v$ of $G$ are parallel if they are of degree 2, and contained in distinct parallel branches of $G$. A ring of $G$ is a hole of $G$ that has at most one vertex that is of degree at least 3 in $G$. A small theta of $G$ is an induced subgraph of $G$ isomorphic to $K_{2,3}$ ( $K_{2,3}$ is complete bipartite graph whose sides have sizes 2 and 3 ). Note that if $H$ is a small theta of a sparse graph $G$, then only degree 3 vertices of $H$ can have neighbors in $G \backslash H$.

A set $S=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}, 1 \leq k \leq 3$, of vertices is good if the following hold:
(i) at most one of $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$ is of degree 2 and not free;
(ii) if $k=3$, then $S$ is not contained in a ring of $G$ of length 5 ;
(iii) if $k=3$ and some $v_{i} \in S$ is of degree 2 and not free, then the two vertices from $S \backslash\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ are not adjacent.

Lemma 5.4 Let $G$ be a triangle-free sparse graph with $\delta(G)=2$, and let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k} \in$ $V(G), 1 \leq k \leq 3$, be such that $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$ does not induce a path of length 2. To vertices $v_{i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$, the lists of colors $L_{i} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, s\}$, where $s=\max \{3, \Delta(G)\}$, are associated so that $\left|L_{i}\right| \geq \operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i}\right)$. Furthermore, if $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ are adjacent, for some $1 \leq i<j \leq k$, then $L_{i} \cap L_{j} \neq \emptyset$. If one of the following holds:
(1) $k=3,\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$ is contained in a ring of length 5 and $\left|L_{1} \cup L_{2} \cup L_{3}\right| \geq 3$;
(2) $k \leq 3$ and the set $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$ is good;
(3) $k=2$, and if $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are both of degree 2, then $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ is not contained in a small theta of $G$;
then there is an s-edge-coloring of $G$, such that every edge incident with $v_{i}$ is colored with a color from $L_{i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Furthermore, there is an $\mathcal{O}(n m)$-time algorithm that finds such an edge-coloring.

PROOF - We prove the result for each of the cases (1), (2) and (3) separately.
(1) Let $B=u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} u_{4} u_{5} u_{1}$ be the ring of $G$ that contains $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$, and w.l.o.g. $v_{1}=u_{1}$, $v_{2}=u_{2}$ and $v_{3}=u_{4}$. Furthermore, let $v$ be vertex of $B$ with maximum degree. As a first step, we $s$-edge-color $B$.

First, assume that $\left|L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right| \geq 3$. Then, we color $u_{1} u_{2}$ with a color $c \in L_{1} \cap L_{2}$, then color edges $u_{1} u_{5}$ and $u_{2} u_{3}$ with distinct colors from $L_{1} \backslash\{c\}$ and $L_{2} \backslash\{c\}$, respectively, and finally color edges $u_{3} u_{4}$ and $u_{1} u_{5}$ with distinct colors from $L_{3}$. So, w.l.o.g. let $L_{1}=L_{2}=\{1,2\}$. Then we may assume $3 \in L_{3}$, and let $c \in L_{3} \backslash\{3\}$. Now, we color the edges $u_{1} u_{5}$ and $u_{2} u_{3}$ with a color $c^{\prime} \in\{1,2\} \backslash\{c\}, u_{1} u_{2}$ with the color from $\{1,2\} \backslash\left\{c^{\prime}\right\}, u_{3} u_{4}$ in 3 and $u_{4} u_{5}$ in c.

So, we have obtained an $s$-edge-coloring of $B$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by removing all vertices of $B$ except $v$. Now, to complete the $s$-edge-coloring of $G$ we $s$-edge-color $G^{\prime}$ using Lemma 5.3 (such that all edges receive the list $\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$ ), and then permute the colors (in this edge-coloring of $G^{\prime}$ ) such that all edges incident with $v$ (in $G$ ) have different colors.
(2) We prove the claim by induction on $|V(G)|$. If $k=1$, then to obtain an $s$-edge-coloring of $G$ we first $s$-edge-color $G$ by Lemma 5.3 (such that all edges receive the list $\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$ ), and then permute the colors such that edges incident with $v_{1}$ have colors from the list $L_{1}$. So, we may assume that $k \geq 2$. Also, by induction, we may assume that $G$ is connected. We now consider the following cases.

Case 1. $\Delta(G)=2$.
If there is an edge $e$ of $G$ that is not incident with $v_{1}, v_{2}$ nor $v_{3}$, then to obtain an edge coloring of $G$ we first edge-color the path $G \backslash\{e\}$ according to the lists $L_{1}, L_{2}$ and $L_{3}$ and then color the edge $e$. So, we may assume that every edge of $G$ is incident with at least one of $v_{1}, v_{2}$ or $v_{3}$.

Let $k=2$. Then $G$ is of length 4 and vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are not adjacent. So, to obtain an edge coloring of $G$ we first color edges incident with $v_{1}$ (with colors from $L_{1}$ ) and then edges incident with $v_{2}$ (with colors from $L_{2}$ ).

Let $k=3$. Since $G$ is not a hole of length 5 , we have that $G=u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} u_{4} u_{5} u_{6} u_{1}$, and no two vertices from $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$ are adjacent. So, we may assume w.l.o.g. that $v_{1}=u_{1}$, $v_{2}=u_{3}$ and $v_{3}=u_{5}$. If there is a color $c \in L_{1} \cap L_{2} \cap L_{3}$, then to obtain an edge-coloring of $G$ we first color edges $u_{1} u_{2}, u_{3} u_{4}$ and $u_{5} u_{6}$ with $c$, and then color the remaining edges according to the lists $L_{1}, L_{2}$ and $L_{3}$. So, let us assume that $L_{1} \cap L_{2} \cap L_{3}=\emptyset$. Then to obtain an edge-coloring of $G$ we first greedily edge-color the path $u_{6} u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}$ according to the lists $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$. Note that either the colors of $u_{6} u_{1}$ and $u_{2} u_{3}$ are distinct, or $u_{6} u_{1}$ and $u_{2} u_{3}$ are colored with the same color which is not in $L_{3}$. In both cases we can color the remaining edges of $G$ according to the list $L_{3}$.

Case 2. $v_{1}$ is contained in a ring.

By Case 1 we may assume that $\Delta(G) \geq 3$. Let $B$ be the ring of $G$ that contains $v_{1}$, let $v$ be the vertex of $B$ of degree at least 3 and let $G^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting vertices of $B \backslash v$ (and edges incident with these vertices). Note that $G^{\prime}$ is triangle-free sparse and that $v$ is of degree at least 3 , of degree 1 or is free in $G^{\prime}$. Furthermore, if $v$ is of degree 1 in $G^{\prime}$, then let $P=v \ldots v^{\prime}$ be the limb of $G^{\prime}$ that contains $v$; otherwise $P=\{v\}$ and $v^{\prime}=v$. Also, let $V^{\prime \prime}=(V(G) \backslash(V(B) \cup V(P))) \cup\left\{v^{\prime}\right\}$ and $G^{\prime \prime}=G\left[V^{\prime \prime}\right]$.

In this case we will assume that $k=3$, that is, if $k=2$, then we take $v_{3}$ to be an arbitrary vertex such that $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$ satisfies conditions of this lemma, and $L_{3}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$ (such $v_{3}$ exists: if $v_{2} \notin B$, then we may define $v_{3}$ to be $v$ or a free vertex of $B$; if $v_{2} \in B$, then we may define $v_{3}$ to be a vertex from $V^{\prime \prime} \backslash\{v\}$ of degree at least 3 or free). It suffices to consider the following cases.
Case 2.1. $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\} \subseteq V(B)$.
To obtain an $s$-edge-coloring of $G$ we first edge-color $B$ according to the lists $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{k}$ (as in Case 1). Then, we s-edge-color $G^{\prime}$ using Lemma 5.3 (such that all edges receive the list $\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$ ), and then permute the colors (in this edge-coloring of $G^{\prime}$ ) such that all edges incident with $v$ (in $G$ ) have different colors.
Case 2.2. $v_{2} \in B$ and $v_{3} \notin B$.
W.l.o.g. $v_{1} \neq v$. First assume that $P=\{v\}$. If $v_{3}$ is not adjacent to $v$, then to obtain a desired edge-coloring of $G$ we first edge-color $B$ according to the lists $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ (as in Case 1). Let $L$ be the set of colors used for coloring edges incident with $v$ in this coloring. Then, to complete $s$-edge-coloring of $G$ we, by induction, edge-color $G^{\prime}$ such that the lists $L^{\prime}$ and $L_{3}$ are associated with vertices $v$ and $v_{3}$, where $L^{\prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash L$ if $v_{2} \neq v$, or $L^{\prime}=L_{2} \backslash L$ if $v=v_{2}$. So, let us assume that $v_{3}$ is adjacent to $v$. Then $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are not adjacent and not adjacent to $v$, and they are free or $v_{2}=v$. If $v=v_{2}$, then to obtain a desired edge-coloring of $G$ we first, by induction, edge-color $G^{\prime}$ such that the lists $L_{2}$ and $L_{3}$ are associated with vertices $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$, and then edge-color $B$ (as in Case 1 ) such that the lists $L_{1}$ and $\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash L_{2}^{\prime}$ are associated with $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$, where $L_{2}^{\prime}$ is the set of colors used for coloring edges incident with $v$ in edge-coloring of $G^{\prime}$. Hence, suppose that $v \neq v_{2}$. Let $c \in L_{3}$. Then to obtain a desired edge-coloring of $G$ we first edge-color $B$ such that the lists $L_{1}, L_{2}$ and $\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\{c\}$, are associated with $v_{1}, v_{2}$ and $v$. Then, to complete $s$-edge-coloring of $G$ we, by induction, edge-color $G^{\prime}$ such that the lists $\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash L$ and $L_{3}$ are associated with vertices $v$ and $v_{3}$, where $L$ is the set of colors used for coloring edges incident with $v$ in this edge-coloring of $B$.

Next, assume that $P \neq\{v\}$. If $v_{3} \notin V(P)$, then we first edge-color $B$ such that the lists $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are associated with $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ (as in Case 1 ). Then we edge-color $P$ such that the edge incident with $v$ is colored with a color not used for coloring edges incident with $v$ in this edge-coloring of $B$. Finally, we edge color $G^{\prime \prime}$ by induction, such that the lists $L^{\prime \prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\{c\}$ and $L_{3}$ are associated with $v^{\prime}$ and $v_{3}$, where $c$ is the color used for coloring edge of $P$ incident with $v^{\prime}$ (note that $L^{\prime \prime} \cap L_{3} \neq \emptyset$, since $\left|L^{\prime \prime}\right|=s-1$ ). So, suppose that $v_{3} \in V(P)$. If $v_{3}$ is not adjacent to $v$, then we first edge-color $B$ such
that the lists $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are associated with $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ (as in Case 1 ). Then we greedily edge-color $P$ from $v$ to $v^{\prime}$, such that the edge incident with $v$ is colored with a color not used for coloring edges incident with $v$ in this edge-coloring of $B$, and that edges incident with $v_{3}$ are colored with colors from $L_{3}$. To complete edge-coloring of $G$, we edge color $G^{\prime \prime}$ using Lemma 5.3 (such that all edges receive the list $\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$ ), and then permute the colors (in this edge-coloring of $G^{\prime \prime}$ ), such that all edges incident with $v^{\prime}$ (in $G$ ) have different colors. Finally, suppose that $v$ and $v_{3}$ are adjacent. Then $v_{3}$ is of degree 2 and not free, and so $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are not adjacent, $v_{1}$ is free and $v_{2}$ is either free or $v_{2}=v$. In particular, no vertex of $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ is adjacent to $v$. If $L_{2} \cap L_{3} \neq \emptyset$ then let $c \in L_{2} \cap L_{3}$, and otherwise let $c$ be any color from $L_{3}$. Then we first edge-color $B$ (as in Case 1), such that: if $v \neq v_{2}$, then lists $L_{1}, L_{2}$ and $\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\{c\}$ are associated $v_{1}, v_{2}$ and $v$; if $v=v_{2}$, then lists $L_{1}$ and $L_{2} \backslash\{c\}$ are associated $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$. Then we greedily edge-color $P$ such that $v v_{3}$ is colored with $c$ and the other edge from $P$ incident with $v_{3}$ with a color from $L_{3} \backslash\{c\}$. Finally, we edge-color $G^{\prime \prime}$ using Lemma 5.3 (such that all edges receive the list $\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$ ), and then permute the colors (in this edge-coloring of $G^{\prime \prime}$ ) such that all edges incident with $v^{\prime}($ in $G)$ have different colors.
Case 2.3. $v_{2}, v_{3} \notin V(B)$.
First, assume that $P=\{v\}$ (i.e. $v=v^{\prime}$ ). If $v_{1}=v$, then to obtain an $s$-edge-coloring of $G$, we first $s$-edge-color $G^{\prime}$ by induction, such that the lists $L_{1}, L_{2}$ and $L_{3}$ are associated with $v_{1}, v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ (note that $\left|L_{1}\right| \geq 4$, and hence $\left|L_{1} \cup L_{2} \cup L_{3}\right| \geq 4$ ). Finally, we edge-color $B$ and then permute the colors in this edge-coloring of $B$ such that all edges incident with $v$ receive different color. So, suppose $v \neq v_{1}$. If $v_{1}$ is not adjacent to $v$, then we first $s$-edgecolor $G^{\prime}$ by induction, such that the lists $L_{2}$ and $L_{3}$ are associated with $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$, and then edge-color $B$ (as in Case 1 ) such that the lists $L_{1}$ and $\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash L$ are associated with $v_{1}$ and $v$, where $L$ is the set of colors used for coloring edges incident with $v$ in this edge-coloring of $G^{\prime}$. Finally, suppose that $v_{1}$ is adjacent to $v$. Then $v_{1}$ is of degree 2 and not free, so $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ are either of degree at least 3 or free, and hence $\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$ is anticomplete to $v$. Let $c$ be a color from $L_{1}$, and $L^{\prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\{c\}$. Hence, to obtain an $s$-edgecoloring of $G$, we first edge-color $G^{\prime}$ by induction, such that the lists $L^{\prime}, L_{2}$ and $L_{3}$ are associated with $v, v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ (note that $\left|L^{\prime}\right|=s-1 \geq 3$, and hence $\left|L^{\prime} \cup L_{2} \cup L_{3}\right| \geq 3$ ), and then edge-color $B$ (as in Case 1) such that the lists $L_{1}$ and $\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash L^{\prime \prime}$ are associated with $v_{1}$ and $v$, where $L^{\prime \prime}$ is the set of colors used for coloring edges incident with $v$ in this edge-coloring of $G^{\prime}$.

Now, suppose that $v \neq v^{\prime}$. If $v_{2}, v_{3} \in V^{\prime \prime}$, then we first, by induction, $s$-edge-color $G^{\prime \prime}$ such that the lists $L_{2}$ and $L_{3}$ are associated with $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$. Then we edge-color $P$ greedily from $v^{\prime}$ to $v$ (note that $v$ and $v^{\prime}$ are not adjacent), such that the edge incident with $v^{\prime}$ is colored with a color not used for coloring edges incident with $v^{\prime}$ in this edge-coloring of $G^{\prime \prime}$, and that the edge incident with $v$ is colored with a color from $L_{1}$. Let this color be $c$. To complete edge-coloring of $G$, we edge-color $B$ such that: if $v_{1}=v$, then the list $L_{1} \backslash\{c\}$ is associated with $v_{1}$; if $v_{1} \neq v$, then the lists $L_{1}$ and $L=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\{c\}$ are associated
with $v_{1}$ and $v$ (note that $L \cap L_{1} \neq \emptyset$, since $|L|=s-1$ ). Next, suppose that $v_{2}, v_{3} \in V(P)$. In this case, we first edge-color $P$ such that the lists $L_{2}, L_{3}$ and possibly $L_{1}$ (if $v_{1}=v$ ) are associated with $v_{2}, v_{3}$ and possibly $v_{1}$ (if $v_{1}=v$ ). Let $c$ be the color of the edge incident with $v$ in this edge-coloring of $P$. Then we edge-color $B$ such that: if $v_{1}=v$, then the list $L_{1} \backslash\{c\}$ is associated with $v_{1}$; if $v_{1} \neq v$, then the lists $L_{1}$ and $L=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\{c\}$ are associated with $v_{1}$ and $v$ (note that $L \cap L_{1} \neq \emptyset$, since $|L|=s-1$ ). To complete edgecoloring of $G$, we $s$-edge-color $G^{\prime \prime}$ using Lemma 5.3 (such that all edges receive the list $\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$ ), and then permute the colors (in this edge-coloring of $G^{\prime \prime}$ ) such that all edges incident with $v^{\prime}($ in $G)$ have different colors. Finally, we may assume that $v_{2} \in V(P) \backslash\left\{v^{\prime}\right\}$ and $v_{3} \in V^{\prime \prime} \backslash\left\{v^{\prime}\right\}$. To obtain $s$-edge-coloring of $G$ we first $s$-edge-color $P$ such that the lists $L_{2}$ and possibly $L_{1}$ (if $v_{1}=v$ ) are associated with $v_{2}$ and possibly $v_{1}$ (if $v_{1}=v$ ). Let $c$ (resp. $c^{\prime}$ ) be the color of the edge incident with $v$ (resp. $v^{\prime}$ ) in this edge-coloring of $P$. Next, by induction, we $s$-edge-color $G^{\prime \prime}$ such that the lists $L_{3}$ and $L^{\prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\left\{c^{\prime}\right\}$ are associated with $v_{3}$ and $v^{\prime}$ (note that $L_{3} \cap L^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$, since $\left|L^{\prime}\right|=s-1$ ). To complete $s$-edgecoloring of $G$ we $s$-edge-color $B$ such that: if $v_{1}=v$, then the list $L_{1} \backslash\{c\}$ is associated with $v_{1}$; if $v_{1} \neq v$, then the lists $L_{1}$ and $L=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\{c\}$ are associated with $v_{1}$ and $v$ (note that $L \cap L_{1} \neq \emptyset$, since $|L|=s-1$ ).

By Case 2, from now on we may assume that no vertex from $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$ is contained in a ring, and by Case 1 we may assume that $\Delta(G) \geq 3$. In particular, since every vertex of $G$ is contained in a ring or a branch, every vertex of $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$ is contained in a branch of $G$.

Case 3. $v_{1}$ is free.
Let $B$ be the branch of $G$ that contains $v_{1}$ with endnodes $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, and let $G^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting internal vertices of $B$ (and edges incident with these vertices). Note that since $G$ is sparse, vertices $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are free or of degree at least 3 in $G^{\prime}$, and every neighbor of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ is of degree 2 in $G$ and $G^{\prime}$. In particular, for every $v \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$, if $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, v\right\}$ is not contained in a ring of length 5 of $G^{\prime}$, then the set $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, v\right\}$ is good in $G^{\prime}$.
Case 3.1. Neither $v_{2}$ nor $v_{3}$ is adjacent to both $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$.
First, let us assume that $V(B) \cap\left\{v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\} \neq \emptyset$. If $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\} \subseteq V(B)$, then to obtain an $s$-edge-coloring of $G$ we first $s$-edge-color $B$ according to the lists $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{k}$, and then, by induction, s-edge-color $G^{\prime}$ with the list $L_{i}^{\prime}$ associated with $u_{i}$, for $i \in\{1,2\}$. The list $L_{i}^{\prime}$, for $i \in\{1,2\}$, is defined as follows: $L_{i}^{\prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\left\{c_{u_{i}}\right\}$ if $u_{i} \notin\left\{v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$ and $L_{i}^{\prime}=L_{j} \backslash\left\{c_{u_{i}}\right\}$ if $u_{i}=v_{j}$, for some $2 \leq j \leq k$, where $c_{u_{1}}$ (resp. $c_{u_{2}}$ ) is the color of the edge incident with $u_{1}$ (resp. $u_{2}$ ) in this edge-coloring of $B$.

Let us now assume that w.l.o.g. $v_{2} \in B$, but $v_{3} \notin B$ (in this case $k=3$ ), and w.l.o.g. let $v_{2}$ be in the $u_{1} v_{1}$-subpath of $B$. If $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ are not adjacent (i.e. $v_{2} \neq u_{1}$, or $v_{2}=u_{1}$ and $v_{3}$ is not adjacent to $u_{1}$ ), then to obtain desired $s$-edge-coloring of $G$ we first $s$-edge-color $B$ according to the lists $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, and such that the edges incident with $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ receive
different colors (this can be done since the edge incident with $u_{2}$ is the last that we color, and we have at least 2 options for coloring it). Then, by induction, we s-edge-color $G^{\prime}$ such that the lists $L_{1}^{\prime}, L_{2}^{\prime}$ and $L_{3}$ are associated with vertices $u_{1}, u_{2}$ and $v_{3}\left(L_{1}^{\prime}\right.$ and $L_{2}^{\prime}$ are defined as in the previous part of the proof, and they satisfy $\left|L_{1}^{\prime} \cup L_{2}^{\prime}\right| \geq 3$, since $\left.L_{1}^{\prime} \neq L_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. So, let us assume that $v_{3}$ is adjacent to $u_{1}$ and $v_{2}=u_{1}$. Let $c \in L_{2} \cap L_{3}$. To obtain desired $s$-edge-coloring of $G$, we first greedily $s$-edge-coloring $B$ starting with the edge incident with $u_{1}$ and giving it a color $c^{\prime} \in L_{2} \backslash\{c\}$, and such that the color of the edge incident with $u_{2}$ is not $c^{\prime}$. Then, by induction, we s-edge-color $G^{\prime}$ such that the lists $L_{1}^{\prime \prime}=L_{2} \backslash\left\{c^{\prime}\right\}$, $L_{2}^{\prime \prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\left\{c_{u_{2}}\right\}$ and $L_{3}$ are associated with vertices $u_{1}, u_{2}$ and $v_{3}$, where $c_{u_{2}}$ is the color of the edge incident with $u_{2}$ in the edge-coloring of $B$ (note that $\left|L_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cup L_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq 3$, since $L_{1}^{\prime \prime} \neq L_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ ).

Finally, let us assume that $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ are not in $B$. Observe that $\left\{u_{1}, v_{1}, v_{2}, u_{2}\right\}$ cannot induce a path, since otherwise both $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ would be of degree 2 and not free. Therefore, by the case we are in, at most one of the sets $\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, u_{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, u_{2}\right\}$ induces a path of length 2. W.l.o.g. assume that $\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, u_{1}\right\}$ does not induce a path of length 2. Furthermore, if $u_{1}$ is adjacent to $v_{2}$ or $v_{3}$, then that vertex is not free or degree at least 3 in $G$. Also, by the case we are in, $\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, u_{1}\right\}$ cannot be contained in a ring of $G^{\prime}$ of length 5 . Hence the set $\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, u_{1}\right\}$ is good in $G^{\prime}$. Now, to obtain desired $s$-edge-coloring of $G$ we first, by induction, s-edge-color $G^{\prime}$ such that the lists $\widetilde{L}_{1}, L_{2}$ and possibly $L_{3}$ (if $k=3$ ) are associated with vertices $u_{1}, v_{2}$ and possibly $v_{3}$ (if $k=3$ ), where $\widetilde{L}_{1}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\left\{c_{1}^{\prime}\right\}$ ( $c_{1}^{\prime} \notin L_{1}$ if $\left|L_{1}\right|=2$, or arbitrary otherwise). Then branch $B$ is greedily 3 -edge-colored in the following way: we color the edge incident with $u_{1}$ with color $c_{1}^{\prime}$, the edge incident with $u_{2}$ with a color not used for coloring edges incident with $u_{2}$ in $G^{\prime}$, then color $v_{1} u_{2}$-subpath of $B$ (greedily from $u_{2}$ to $v_{1}$ ) and finally color $u_{1} v_{1}$-subpath of $B$ (greedily from $v_{1}$ to $u_{1}$ ).
Case 3.2. $v_{2}$ is adjacent to both $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$.
In this case, $v_{2}$ is of degree 2 and not free in $G$. Also, if $k=3$, then $v_{3}$ must be free or of degree at least 3 in $G$, and it follows that $v_{3}$ is anticomplete to $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ and so is free or of degree at least 3 in $G^{\prime}$.

First, assume that $k=2$ or $v_{3} \notin B$. Note that in this case, if $k=3$, then $\left\{u_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$ is not contained in a ring of $G^{\prime}$ of length 5 . So, to obtain desired s-edge-coloring of $G$ we do the following. First, by induction, we $s$-edge-color $G^{\prime}$ so that the lists $\widetilde{L}_{1}, L_{2}$ and possibly $L_{3}$ (if $k=3$ ) are associated with vertices $u_{1}, v_{2}$ and possibly $v_{3}$ (if $k=3$ ), where $\widetilde{L}_{1}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\left\{c_{1}^{\prime}\right\}\left(c_{1}^{\prime} \notin L_{1}\right.$ if $\left|L_{1}\right|=2$, or arbitrary otherwise $)$. Then branch $B$ is greedily 3 -edge-colored in the following way: we color the edge incident with $u_{1}$ with color $c_{1}^{\prime}$, the edge incident with $u_{2}$ with a color not used for coloring edges incident with $u_{2}$ in $G^{\prime}$, then color $v_{1} u_{2}$-subpath of $B$ (greedily from $u_{2}$ to $v_{1}$ ) and finally color $u_{1} v_{1}$-subpath of $B$ (greedily from $v_{1}$ to $u_{1}$ ).

Next let us assume that $v_{3}$ is in $B$ and free. Then $v_{1}$ and $v_{3}$ are not adjacent, and let us w.l.o.g. assume that $v_{3}$ is in the $v_{1} u_{2}$-subpath of $B$. Then to obtain desired $s$-edge-coloring of $G$ we first, by induction, s-edge-color $G^{\prime}$ such that the lists $\widetilde{L}_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are associated
with vertices $u_{1}$ and $v_{2}$, where $\widetilde{L}_{1}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\left\{c_{1}^{\prime}\right\}\left(c_{1}^{\prime} \notin L_{1}\right.$ if $\left|L_{1}\right|=2$, or arbitrary otherwise). Then branch $B$ is greedily 3-edge-colored in the following way: we color the edge incident with $u_{1}$ with color $c_{1}^{\prime}$, the edge incident with $u_{2}$ with a color not used for coloring edges incident with $u_{2}$ in $G^{\prime}$, then color $v_{1} u_{2}$-subpath of $B$ (greedily from $u_{2}$ to $v_{1}$ ) and finally color $u_{1} v_{1}$-subpath of $B$ (greedily from $v_{1}$ to $u_{1}$ ).

So, w.l.o.g. let $v_{3}=u_{1}$. Then to obtain desired $s$-edge-coloring of $G$ we first, by induction, s-edge-color $G^{\prime \prime}=G \backslash\left\{v_{2}\right\}$, such that the lists $L_{1}, L_{3}^{\prime \prime}$ and $L^{\prime \prime}$ are associated with vertices $v_{1}, v_{3}$ and $u_{2}$, where $L_{3}^{\prime \prime}=L_{3} \backslash\left\{c^{\prime}\right\}$ for some $c^{\prime} \in L_{2} \cap L_{3}$, and $L^{\prime \prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\left\{c^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ for some $c^{\prime \prime} \in L_{2} \backslash\left\{c^{\prime}\right\}$ (note that $\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}, u_{2}\right\}$ is not contained in ring of $G^{\prime \prime}$ of length 5). Finally, we color the edge $u_{1} v_{2}$ in $c^{\prime}$ and $u_{2} v_{2}$ in $c^{\prime \prime}$.

By Case 3, from now on we may assume that no vertex from $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$ is free. Therefore it suffices to consider the following cases.

Case 4. $v_{1}$ and possibly $v_{3}($ if $k=3)$ are of degree at least 3 .
If $v_{2}$ is also of degree at least 3 , then the proof follows from Lemma 5.3 (with $S$ the set of all vertices of degree at least 3 , lists $L_{i}$, for $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, given to edges incident with $v_{i}$, and list $\{1, \ldots, s\}$ given to all other edges). So, suppose that $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{2}\right)=2$. Let $B=u_{1} \ldots u_{2}$ be the branch of $G$ that contains $v_{2}$, and $G^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting internal vertices of $B$ (and edges incident with these vertices). Note that since $G$ is sparse $\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}\right\}$ is anticomplete to $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ and each of the vertices $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ is free or of degree at least 3 in $G^{\prime}$.

First, let us assume that $\Delta(G)=4$. If $v_{1}, v_{3} \notin B$, then to obtain $s$-edge-coloring of $G$, we first $s$-edge-color $B$ according to $L_{2}$. Let $L^{\prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, \Delta(G)\} \backslash\left\{c_{u_{1}}\right\}$ and $L^{\prime \prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, \Delta(G)\} \backslash\left\{c_{u_{2}}\right\}$, where $c_{u_{1}}$ (resp. $c_{u_{2}}$ ) is the color of the edge incident with $u_{1}$ (resp. $u_{2}$ ) in this edge-coloring of $B$. Finally, we $s$-edge-color $G^{\prime}$ using Lemma 5.3, such that the lists $L_{1}, L^{\prime}, L^{\prime \prime}$ and possibly $L_{3}($ if $k=3)$ are associated with edges incident with $v_{1}, u_{1}, u_{2}$ and possibly $v_{3}$ (if $k=3$ ), respectively, and the list $\{1,2, \ldots, \Delta(G)\}$ associated with all other edges. If w.l.o.g. $v_{1}=u_{1}$, then to obtain $s$-edge-coloring of $G$, we first $s$ -edge-color $B$ according to the lists $L_{1}, L_{2}$ and possibly $L_{3}$ (if $v_{3}=u_{2}$ ). Next, we associate with $v_{1}$ the list $L_{1}^{\prime}=L_{1} \backslash\left\{c_{u_{1}}\right\}$, and to $u_{2}$ the list $L^{\prime \prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, \Delta(G)\} \backslash\left\{c_{u_{2}}\right\}$ if $v_{3} \neq u_{2}$, or $L^{\prime \prime}=L_{3} \backslash\left\{c_{u_{2}}\right\}$ if $v_{3}=u_{2}$, where $c_{u_{1}}$ (resp. $c_{u_{2}}$ ) is the color of the edge incident with $u_{1}$ (resp. $u_{2}$ ) in this edge-coloring of $B$. Then we $s$-edge-color $G^{\prime}$, by induction, such that the lists $L_{1}^{\prime}, L^{\prime \prime}$ and possibly $L_{3}$ (if $k=3$ and $v_{3} \neq u_{2}$ ) are associated with $u_{1}, u_{2}$ and possibly $v_{3}$ (if $k=3$ and $v_{3} \neq u_{2}$ ).

Finally, let $\Delta(G)=3$. In this case $L_{1}=L_{3}=\{1,2,3\}$, and hence any 3-edge-coloring of $G$ respects the lists $L_{1}$ and $L_{3}$. So, to obtain a desired $s$-edge-coloring of $G$ we first $s$-edge-color $G$ using Lemma 5.3 (we give the list $\{1,2,3\}$ to all edges) and then permute the colors such that the edges incident with $v_{2}$ receive colors from the list $L_{2}$.
(3) We prove the claim by induction on $|V(G)|$. By induction, we may assume that $G$ is connected. It suffices to consider the following cases.

Case 1. $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are of degree at least 3 .
The proof in this case follows from Lemma 5.3 (with $S$ the set of all vertices of degree at least 3 , lists $L_{i}$, for $i \in\{1,2\}$, given to edges incident with $v_{i}$, and list $\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$ given to all other edges).

Case 2. $v_{1}$ is of degree 2.
If $\Delta(G)=2$ then $G$ is a hole and it is easy to see how to obtain the desired coloring. So we may assume that $\Delta(G) \geq 3$. We now consider the following cases.

Case 2.1. $v_{1}$ is contained in a ring of $G$.
Let $B$ be that ring, let $v$ be the vertex of degree at least 3 of $B$, and let $G^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting degree 2 vertices of $B$ (and edges incident with these vertices). Note that $G^{\prime}$ is triangle-free sparse and that $v$ is of degree at least 3 , of degree 1 or is free in $G^{\prime}$. Also, since $G$ is sparse, $v$ is not adjacent to a vertex of degree at least 3 . In particular, if $v$ is contained in a small theta of $G$ (or any of its induced subgraphs), then $v$ is not a degree 2 vertex of this small theta. Finally, if $v$ is of degree 1 in $G^{\prime}$, then let $P=v \ldots v^{\prime}$ be the limb of $G^{\prime}$ that contains $v$; otherwise $P=\{v\}$ and $v=v^{\prime}$. Also, let $V^{\prime \prime}=\left(V(G) \backslash(V(B) \cup V(P)) \cup\left\{v^{\prime}\right\}\right.$ and $G^{\prime \prime}=G\left[V^{\prime \prime}\right]$.

If $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\} \in V(B)$, then we proceed as in Case 2.1 of part (2). So, let us assume that $v_{2} \notin V(B)$. Our proof in this case is similar to the proof of Case 2.3 of part (2).

First, let $P=\{v\}$ (i.e. $v=v^{\prime}$ ). If $v_{1}=v$, then to obtain an $s$-edge-coloring of $G$, we first $s$-edge-color $G^{\prime}$ by induction such that the lists $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are associated with $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$. To complete edge-coloring of $G$, we edge-color $B$ and then permute the colors in this edge-coloring of $B$ such that all edges incident with $v$ receive different color. So, suppose $v \neq v_{1}$. If $v_{1}$ is not adjacent to $v$, then we first $s$-edge-color $G^{\prime}$ (using part (2)) such that the list $L_{2}$ is associated with $v_{2}$, and then edge-color $B$ (as in Case 1 of (2)) such that the lists $L_{1}$ and $\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash L$ are associated with $v_{1}$ and $v$, where $L$ is the set of colors used for coloring edges incident with $v$ in this edge-coloring of $G^{\prime}$. So, suppose that $v_{1}$ is adjacent to $v$. Then we first s-edge-coloring $G^{\prime}$ by induction such that the lists $L_{2}$ and $L^{\prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\{c\}$ are associated with $v_{2}$ and $v$, where $c \in L_{1}$ is arbitrary (note that $L_{2} \cap L^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$, since $\left|L^{\prime}\right|=s-1$ ). To complete edge-coloring of $G$ we edge-color $B$ (as in Case 1 of (2)) such that the lists $L_{1}$ and $\widetilde{L}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash L^{\prime \prime}$ are associated with $v_{1}$ and $v$, where $L^{\prime \prime}$ is the list of colors used for coloring edges incident with $v$ in this edge-coloring of $G^{\prime}$ (note that $\left.c \in L_{1} \cap \widetilde{L}\right)$.

Suppose now that $v \neq v^{\prime}$. If $v_{2} \in V^{\prime \prime}$, then we first $s$-edge-color $G^{\prime}$ (using part (2)) such that the list $L_{2}$ is associated with $v_{2}$. Then we edge-color $P$ greedily from $v^{\prime}$ to $v$ (note that $v$ and $v^{\prime}$ are not adjacent), such that the edge incident with $v^{\prime}$ is colored with a color not used for coloring edges incident with $v^{\prime}$ in this edge-coloring of $G^{\prime \prime}$, and that the edge incident with $v$ is color with a color from $L_{1}$. Let this color be $c$. To complete edge-coloring of $G$, we edge color $B$ such that: if $v_{1}=v$, then the list $L_{1} \backslash\{c\}$ is associated with $v_{1}$; if $v_{1} \neq v$, then the lists $L_{1}$ and $L=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\{c\}$ are associated with $v_{1}$
and $v$ (note that $L \cap L_{1} \neq \emptyset$, since $|L|=s-1$ ). Next, suppose that $v_{2} \in V(P)$. In this case, we first edge-color $P$ such that the lists $L_{2}$ and possibly $L_{1}$ (if $v_{1}=v$ ) are associated with $v_{2}$ and possibly $v_{1}$ (if $v_{1}=v$ ). Let $c$ be the color of the edge incident with $v$ in this edge-coloring of $P$. Then we edge-color $B$ such that: if $v_{1}=v$, then the list $L_{1} \backslash\{c\}$ is associated with $v_{1}$; if $v_{1} \neq v$, then the lists $L_{1}$ and $L=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\{c\}$ are associated with $v_{1}$ and $v$ (note that $L \cap L_{1} \neq \emptyset$, since $|L|=s-1$ ). To complete edge-coloring of $G$, we $s$-edge-color $G^{\prime \prime}$ using Lemma 5.3 (such that all edges receive the list $\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$ ), and then permute the colors (in this edge-coloring of $G^{\prime \prime}$ ) such that all edges incident with $v^{\prime}$ (in $G$ ) have different colors.

By Case 2.1, from now on we may assume that neither $v_{1}$ nor $v_{2}$ is contained in a ring of $G$. Let $B=u_{1} \ldots u_{2}$ be the branch of $G$ that contains $v_{1}$, and let $G^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting internal vertices of $B$ (and edges incident with these vertices). Since $G$ is sparse vertices $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are free or of degree at least 3 in $G^{\prime}$, and every neighbor of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ is of degree 2 in $G$ and $G^{\prime}$. In particular, if $u_{1}$ (resp. $u_{2}$ ) is contained in a small theta of $G$ (or any of its induced subgraphs), then $u_{1}$ (resp. $u_{2}$ ) is not a degree 2 vertex of this small theta.
Case 2.2. $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are not parallel.
In this case $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{2}\right\}$ is not contained in a ring of $G^{\prime}$ of length 5 .
If $v_{2} \in B$, then to obtain $s$-edge-coloring of $G$ we first $s$-edge-color $B$ according to the lists $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, and then by induction $s$-edge-color $G^{\prime}$ such that the list $L^{\prime}$ and $L^{\prime \prime}$ are associated with $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$. Lists are defined as follows: $L^{\prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\left\{c_{u_{1}}\right\}$ (resp. $\left.L^{\prime \prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\left\{c_{u_{2}}\right\}\right)$ if $u_{1} \neq v_{2}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.u_{2} \neq v_{2}\right)$ or $L^{\prime}=L_{2} \backslash\left\{c_{u_{1}}\right\}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.L^{\prime \prime}=L_{2} \backslash\left\{c_{u_{2}}\right\}\right)$ if $u_{1}=v_{2}$ (resp. $u_{2}=v_{2}$ ), where $c_{u_{1}}$ (resp. $c_{u_{2}}$ ) is the color of the edge incident with $u_{1}$ (resp. $u_{2}$ ) in the edge-coloring of $B$.

So, let $v_{2} \notin B$. Since $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{2}\right\}$ does not induce a path of length 2 (by the case we are in), and since the set is good in $G^{\prime}$, to obtain $s$-edge-coloring of $G$ we first $s$-edgecolor $B$ according to the list $L_{1}$, and then by part (2) $s$-edge-color $G^{\prime}$ with the lists $L^{\prime}=$ $\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\left\{c_{u_{1}}\right\}, L^{\prime \prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\left\{c_{u_{2}}\right\}$ and $L_{2}$ associated with $u_{1}, u_{2}$ and $v_{2}$, where $c_{u_{1}}$ (resp. $c_{u_{2}}$ ) is the color of the edge incident with $u_{1}$ (resp. $u_{2}$ ) in the edge-coloring of $B$ (note that $L_{2} \cap L^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ and $L_{2} \cap L^{\prime \prime} \neq \emptyset$ ).
Case 2.3. $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are parallel.
If $v_{1}$ or $v_{2}$ is free, then we can apply part (2). So, suppose that both $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are not free. Let $B^{\prime}$ be the branch of $G$ that contains $v_{2}$.
Case 2.3.1. At least one of the branches $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ is of length at least 3 .
W.l.o.g. let $B^{\prime}$ be of length at least 3 and $v_{2}$ adjacent to $u_{1}$. Now we define colors $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ that are going to be used when edge-coloring $G$ :

- if $v_{1}$ is adjacent to both $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, then $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are distinct colors from $L_{1}$;
- if $v_{1}$ is adjacent to $u_{1}$, but not adjacent to $u_{2}$, then $c_{1}$ is a color from $L_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ a color not from $\left\{c, c_{1}\right\}$, where $c$ is a color from $L_{1}$ distinct from $c_{1}$;
- if $v_{1}$ is adjacent to $u_{2}$, but not adjacent to $u_{1}$, then $c_{2}$ is a color from $L_{1}$ and $c_{1}$ a color not from $\left\{c, c_{2}\right\}$, where $c$ is a color from $L_{1}$ distinct from $c_{2}$.

Now, we first, by part (2), s-edge-color $G^{\prime}$ such that the lists $L^{\prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\left\{c_{1}\right\}$, $L^{\prime \prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\left\{c_{2}\right\}$ and $L_{2}$ are associated with $u_{1}, u_{2}$ and $v_{2}$ (note that $\left|L^{\prime} \cup L^{\prime \prime} \cup L_{2}\right| \geq 3$, since $L^{\prime} \cup L^{\prime \prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$ ). To complete the edge-coloring of $G$ we color the branch $B$ in the following way: we first color the edges incident with $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ with colors $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, respectively, and then greedily edge-color the rest of $B$ starting from $v_{1}$ and according to the list $L_{1}$.
Case 2.3.2. Branches $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ are of length 2 .
First, let us assume that both $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are of degree at least 4 in $G$, and let $G^{\prime \prime}=$ $G \backslash\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$. Then $G^{\prime \prime}$ is triangle-free sparse and vertices $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are free or of degree at least 3 in $G^{\prime \prime}$. Now we define colors $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}$ that are going to be used when edge-coloring $G$ :

- if $\left|L_{1} \cap L_{2}\right| \geq 2$ and $c^{\prime}, c^{\prime \prime} \in L_{1} \cap L_{2}$, then $c_{1}=c_{4}=c^{\prime}, c_{2}=c_{3}=c^{\prime \prime}$;
- if $\left|L_{1} \cap L_{2}\right|=1$ and $L_{1} \cap L_{2}=\{c\}$, then $c_{1}=c_{4}=c, c_{2}=c^{\prime}$ and $c_{3}=c^{\prime \prime}$, where $c^{\prime} \in L_{2} \backslash\{c\}$ and $c^{\prime \prime} \in L_{1} \backslash\{c\} ;$
- if $L_{1} \cap L_{2}=\emptyset$, then $c_{1}, c_{3} \in L_{1}, c_{1} \neq c_{3}$, and $c_{2}, c_{4} \in L_{2}, c_{2} \neq c_{4}$.

Now, by induction, we edge-color $G^{\prime \prime}$ such that the lists $\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$ and $\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\left\{c_{3}, c_{4}\right\}$ are associated with $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, and then color edges $u_{1} v_{1}, u_{1} v_{2}$, $u_{2} v_{1}$ and $u_{2} v_{2}$ in colors $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ and $c_{4}$, respectively.

So, we may assume that w.l.o.g. $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(u_{1}\right)=3$. Let $\widetilde{G}$ be the graph obtained from $G \backslash\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ by adding the edge $u_{1} u_{2}$. Since $u_{1}$ is of degree 2 in $\widetilde{G}$, graph $\widetilde{G}$ is sparse, and since $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ is not contained in a small theta of $G$ graph $\widetilde{G}$ is triangle-free. Furthermore, since at least one neighbor of $u_{1}$ in $\widetilde{G}$ is of degree $2, u_{1}$ is not a degree 2 vertex of some small theta of $\widetilde{G}$.

We define lists of colors $\widetilde{L}_{1}$ and $\widetilde{L}_{2}$ that are going to be used for obtaining an edgecoloring of $\widetilde{G}($ and $G)$ :
(i) if $\left|L_{1} \cap L_{2}\right| \geq 2$ and $c_{1}, c_{3} \in L_{1} \cap L_{2}$, then $\widetilde{L}_{1}=\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$ and $\widetilde{L}_{2}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\left\{c_{3}\right\}$, where $c_{2} \notin\left\{c_{1}, c_{3}\right\}$;
(ii) if $\left|L_{1} \cap L_{2}\right|=1$ and $L_{1} \cap L_{2}=\left\{c_{1}\right\}$, then $\widetilde{L}_{1}=\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$ and $\widetilde{L}_{2}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\left\{c_{2}\right\}$, where $c_{2} \in L_{1} \backslash\left\{c_{1}\right\}$;
(iii) if $L_{1} \cap L_{2}=\emptyset$, then $\widetilde{L}_{1}=\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$ and $\widetilde{L}_{2}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\left\{c_{2}\right\}$, where $c_{1} \in L_{1}$ and $c_{2} \in L_{2}$.
Now, by induction, we $s$-edge-color $\widetilde{G}$ such that the lists $\widetilde{L}_{1}$ and $\widetilde{L}_{2}$ are associated with $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$. Furthermore, we can permute the colors $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ in case (i), such that the edge
$u_{1} u_{2}$ is colored with $c_{1}$. Finally, to obtain an edge-coloring of $G$ we extend the obtained edge-coloring of $\widetilde{G} \backslash\left\{u_{1} u_{2}\right\}$ in the following way. In case (i) we color the edges $u_{1} v_{1}, u_{1} v_{2}$, $u_{2} v_{1}$ and $u_{2} v_{2}$ with colors $c_{1}, c_{3}, c_{3}$ and $c_{1}$, respectively; in case (ii) we color the edges $u_{1} v_{1}$, $u_{1} v_{2}, u_{2} v_{1}$ and $u_{2} v_{2}$ with colors $c_{1}, c_{3}, c_{2}$ and $c_{1}$, respectively, where $c_{3} \in L_{2} \backslash\left\{c_{1}\right\}$; in case (iii) we color the edges $u_{1} v_{1}, u_{1} v_{2}, u_{2} v_{1}$ and $u_{2} v_{2}$ with colors $c_{3}, c_{4}, c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, respectively, where $c_{3} \in L_{1} \backslash\left\{c_{1}\right\}$ and $c_{4} \in L_{2} \backslash\left\{c_{2}\right\}$.

Note that this proof yields an $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{nm})$-time algorithm that finds described edge-coloring. Indeed, all steps in the proof can be done in linear time, except when Lemma 5.3 is applied (which takes $\mathcal{O}(n m)$ ), but then the edge-coloring of $G$ can be completed in linear time.

Lemma 5.5 Let $G$ be a triangle-free chordless graph, and let $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ be distinct vertices of $V(G)$ both of degree at least 1. Let $\widetilde{G}$ be a graph obtained from $G$ by adding a path $Q=q_{1} \ldots q_{k}, k \geq 2$, (whose vertices are disjoint from vertices of $G$ ) and edges $q_{1} v_{1}$ and $q_{k} v_{2}$ (these are the only edges between $G$ and $Q$ ). Assume that $\widetilde{G}$ is also trianglefree chordless. Suppose that we are given two lists of colors $L_{1}, L_{2} \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$, where $s=\max \{3, \Delta(G)\}$, such that $\left|L_{1}\right| \geq \operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(v_{1}\right),\left|L_{2}\right| \geq \operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(v_{2}\right)$, and if $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are adjacent, then $L_{1} \cap L_{2} \neq \emptyset$. Also, suppose that if both $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are of degree 1 in $G$ and $\left|L_{1}\right|=\left|L_{2}\right|=1$, then their neighbors in $G$ are distinct. Then there exists an $s$-edgecoloring of $G$ such that every edge of $G$ incident with $v_{i}$ is colored with a color from $L_{i}$, for $i \in\{1,2\}$. Furthermore, such an edge coloring can be obtained in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3} m\right)$-time.

Proof - We prove this lemma by induction on $|V(G)|$. By induction, Theorem 5.2 and Lemma 5.4, we may assume that $G$ is connected.

Case 1. $G$ contains a vertex $v$ of degree 1 .
First, suppose that $G$ is a path, i.e. $P=v \ldots v^{\prime}$. If $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\} \cap\left\{v, v^{\prime}\right\}=\emptyset$, then we $s$-edgecolor this path according to the lists $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$. If $\left|\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\} \cap\left\{v, v^{\prime}\right\}\right|=1$ and w.l.o.g. $v=v_{1}$, then we first color the edge incident with $v$ (with a color from $L_{1}$ if $v_{1} v_{2} \notin E(G)$, or a color from $L_{1} \cap L_{2}$ is $v_{1} v_{2} \in E(G)$ ) and then greedily $s$-edge-color the rest of $G$ (starting from $v$ ) according to the list $L_{2}$. If w.l.o.g. $v_{1}=v$ and $v_{2}=v^{\prime}$, we first color edges incident with $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ (with colors from $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ if $v_{1} v_{2} \notin E(G)$, or a color from $L_{1} \cap L_{2}$ if $v_{1} v_{2} \in E(G)$ ), and then greedily edge-color the rest of $G$.

So, suppose that $G$ is not a path. Let $B=v \ldots v^{\prime}$ be the limb of $G$ that contains $v$ and let $G^{\prime}$ the graph induced by $(V(G) \backslash V(B)) \cup\left\{v^{\prime}\right\}$. If $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\} \subseteq V(B)$, then we first $s$-edge-color $B$ in the following way: if $B$ is not of length 2 or $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\} \neq\left\{v, v^{\prime}\right\}$, then we $s$-edge-color $B$ as in the previous paragraph; if $B$ is of length 2 and w.l.o.g $v_{1}=v$ and $v_{2}=v^{\prime}$, then we color the edge incident with $v$ with a color $c \in L_{1}$ and then color the edge incident with $v^{\prime}$ with a color from $L_{2} \backslash\{c\}$ (note that $\left|L_{2}\right| \geq 3$ ). To complete edge-coloring of $G$ we $s$-edge-color $G^{\prime}$ using Theorem 5.2 and permute the colors in this edge-coloring of $G^{\prime}$ so that the edges incident with $v^{\prime}$ (in $G$ ) all receive different colors.

If $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\} \subseteq V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, then we first, by induction, $s$-edge-color $G^{\prime}$ so that the lists $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are associated with $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ (note that $v^{\prime}$ is of degree at least 2 in $G^{\prime}$, so a vertex is of degree 1 in $G^{\prime}$ iff it is of degree 1 in $G$ ). Then, we greedily $s$-edge-color $B$ (starting from $v^{\prime}$ ) such that edges incident with $v^{\prime}$ all receive different colors.

Finally, suppose w.l.o.g. that $v_{1} \in V(B) \backslash\left\{v^{\prime}\right\}$ and $v_{2} \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left\{v^{\prime}\right\}$. If both $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are of degree 1 and adjacent to $v^{\prime}$, then we first color edges incident with $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ (with colors from $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ ), then $s$-edge-color $G \backslash\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ using Theorem 5.2 and finally permute the colors in this edge-coloring of $G \backslash\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ such that edges incident with $v^{\prime}$ all receive different colors. So, suppose that w.l.o.g. $v_{2}$ is of degree at least 2 or not adjacent to $v^{\prime}$. Then, to obtain an $s$-edge-coloring of $G$, we first greedily $s$-edge-color $B$ such that edges incident with $v_{1}$ receive colors from the list $L_{1}$. Let $L^{\prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\{c\}$, where $c$ is the color of the edge incident with $v^{\prime}$ in this edge-coloring of $B$. Also, let $Q^{\prime \prime}$ be the path induced by $V(Q)$ and vertices of the $v_{1} v^{\prime}$-subpath of $B$, and let $Q^{\prime}$ be the path induced by $V\left(Q^{\prime \prime}\right) \backslash\left\{v^{\prime}\right\}$. Then $Q^{\prime}$ is disjoint from $G^{\prime}$, its endnodes are adjacent to $v^{\prime}$ and $v_{2}$, and the graph induced by $V\left(G^{\prime}\right) \cup V\left(Q^{\prime}\right)$ is triangle-free chordless. Hence, to complete $s$-edgecoloring of $G$ we, by induction, $s$-edge-color $G^{\prime}$ so that the lists $L_{2}$ and $L^{\prime}$ are associated with $v_{2}$ and $v^{\prime}$ (note that $\operatorname{deg}_{G^{\prime}}\left(v^{\prime}\right) \geq 2$, and if $v^{\prime} v_{2} \in E(G)$, then $\operatorname{deg}_{G^{\prime}}\left(v_{2}\right) \geq 2$ and hence $L^{\prime} \cap L_{2} \neq \emptyset$ since $\left.\left|L^{\prime}\right|=s-1\right)$.

By Case 1, we may assume that $\delta(G) \geq 2$. By Theorem 5.1, it is enough to consider the following cases.

Case 2. $G$ is sparse.
Follows from part (3) of Lemma 5.4. Indeed, in this case $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are not degree 2 vertices that belong to a small theta $H$ of $G$, since otherwise $\widetilde{G}[V(H) \cup V(Q)]$ is a cycle with chords.
Case 3. $G$ has a cutvertex.
Let $v$ be a cutvertex of $G$ and let $\left(X_{1}, X_{2},\{v\}\right)$ be a partition of $V(G)$ such that $X_{1}$ is anticomplete to $X_{2}$.

First, let us assume that $v_{i} \in X_{i}$, for $i \in\{1,2\}$. Let $Q_{i}^{\prime}$, for $i \in\{1,2\}$, be a chordless path between $v_{3-i}$ and $v$ in $G$, and let $Q_{i}$ be the path induced in $\widetilde{G}$ by $\left(V(Q) \cup V\left(Q_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right) \backslash\{v\}$. Then $Q_{i}$ is disjoint from $G\left[X_{i} \cup\{v\}\right]$, its endnodes are adjacent to $v$ and $v_{i}$ respectively, and the graph induced by $X_{i} \cup\{v\} \cup V\left(Q_{i}\right)$ is triangle-free chordless. So, if $v_{i}$ is not adjacent to $v$, for some $i \in\{1,2\}$, then we obtain an $s$-edge-coloring of $G$ in the following way. We first $s$-edge-color $G\left[X_{3-i} \cup\{v\}\right]$ using Theorem 5.2 and then permute the colors in this edge-coloring so that edges incident with $v_{3-i}$ receive colors from the list $L_{3-i}$. Let $L^{\prime}$ be the set of colors used for coloring edges incident with $v$ in this coloring, and let $L=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash L^{\prime}$. Then, by induction, we $s$-edge-color $G\left[X_{i} \cup\{v\}\right]$ so that edges incident with $v_{i}$ receive colors from the list $L_{i}$ and edges (from $G\left[X_{i} \cup\left\{v_{i}\right\}\right]$ ) incident with $v$ receive colors from the list $L$. Merging these colorings we obtain an $s$-coloring of $G$. So, let us assume that $v_{i}$ is adjacent to $v$, for $i \in\{1,2\}$. Let $c_{i} \in L_{i}$, for $i \in\{1,2\}$, be distinct colors. To obtain an $s$-edge-coloring of $G$ we first, by induction, $s$-edge-color $G\left[X_{1} \cup\{v\}\right]$,
such that edges incident with $v_{1}$ receive colors from the list $L_{1}$ and edges incident with $v$ (in $G\left[X_{1} \cup\{v\}\right]$ ) colors from the list $\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\left\{c_{2}\right\}$. Let $L$ be the set of colors used for coloring edges incident with $v$ in this coloring, and let $L^{\prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash L$. Then, to obtain a desired edge-coloring of $G$ we, by induction, $s$-edge-color $G\left[X_{2} \cup\{v\}\right]$, so that edges incident with $v_{2}$ receive colors from the list $L_{2}$ and edges incident with $v$ (in $G\left[X_{2} \cup\{v\}\right]$ ) colors from the list $L^{\prime}$ (note that $c_{2} \in L^{\prime} \cap L_{2}$ ).

So, we may assume w.l.o.g. that $v_{1}, v_{2} \in X_{1} \cup\{v\}$. To obtain an $s$-edge-coloring of $G$, we first, by induction, $s$-edge-color $G\left[X_{1} \cup\{v\}\right]$ so that the lists $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are associated with $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$. Then we $s$-edge-color $G\left[X_{2} \cup\{v\}\right]$ using Theorem 5.2 and permute the colors in this edge-coloring so that edges incident with $v$ (in $G$ ) all receive different colors.
Case 4. $G$ has a proper 2-cutset $\{a, b\}$.
By Case 2 we may assume that $G$ is 2 -connected. Let a proper 2-cutset $\{a, b\}$ of $G$, with the split ( $X_{1}, X_{2},\{a, b\}$ ), be chosen so that the side $X_{1}$ is minimum among all such splits, that is, by Theorem 5.1, such that the block of decomposition $G_{X_{1}}$ is sparse and $a$ and $b$ each have at least two neighbors in $X_{1}$. Then, $G\left[X_{1} \cup\{a, b\}\right]$ is also triangle-free sparse, and since $G_{X_{1}}$ is sparse, each of the vertices $a$ and $b$ is of degree at least 3 or free in $G\left[X_{1} \cup\{a, b\}\right]$.
Case 4.1. $v_{i} \in X_{i}$, for $i \in\{1,2\}$.
First, let us assume that $v_{1}$ is adjacent to both $a$ and $b$. Since $G_{X_{1}}$ is sparse, $v_{1}$ is of degree 2. By the minimality of $X_{1}$, this implies that $G\left[\left(X_{1} \backslash\left\{v_{1}\right\}\right) \cup\{a, b\}\right]$ is a chordless path. Let $Q^{\prime}$ be a chordless path in $G$ whose one endnode is $v_{2}$, the other is a vertex of $\{a, b\}$, and no interior vertex is in $\{a, b\}$. Then $V(Q) \cup V\left(Q^{\prime}\right) \cup X_{1} \cup\{a, b\}$ induces in $\widetilde{G}$ a cycle with a chord ( $a v_{1}$ or $b v_{1}$ ), a contradiction. Therefore $v_{1}$ cannot be adjacent to both $a$ and $b$. Similarly, $G\left[X_{1} \cup\{a, b\}\right]$ is not a hole of length 5 . Indeed, if we suppose the opposite, then $v_{1}$ is adjacent to $a$ or $b$, w.l.o.g. to $a$. Now, if $Q^{\prime}$ is a path from $v_{2}$ to $a$ in $G\left[X_{2} \cup\{a, b\}\right]$ whose interior does not go through $b$, then $V(Q) \cup\left(Q^{\prime}\right) \cup X_{1} \cup\{a, b\}$ induces a cycle with chord $a v_{1}$, a contradiction. Finally, note that $\{a, b\}$ is not contained in a ring of length 5 of $G\left[X_{1} \cup\{a, b\}\right]$. Indeed, if we suppose the opposite, then, since $G\left[X_{1} \cup\{a, b\}\right]$ is not a hole of length 5 , the degree at least 3 vertex of this ring is a cutvertex of $G$.

By the previous paragraph w.l.o.g. $v_{1}$ is not adjacent to $b$. Next, suppose that $v_{1} a$ is also not an edge. Then, to obtain an $s$-edge-coloring of $G$ we first $s$-edge-color $G\left[X_{2} \cup\{a, b\}\right]$ using Theorem 5.2, and then permute the colors so that edges incident with $v_{2}$ receive colors from the list $L_{2}$. Let $L_{a}^{\prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.L_{b}^{\prime}\right)$ be the set of colors used for coloring edges incident with $a\left(\right.$ resp. $b$ ) in this coloring, and let $L_{a}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash L_{a}^{\prime}$ (resp. $L_{b}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash L_{b}^{\prime}$ ). We complete $s$-edge-coloring of $G$ using part (2) of Lemma 5.4, that is, we $s$-edge-color $G\left[X_{1} \cup\{a, b\}\right]$ so that edges incident with $v_{1}, a$ and $b$ receive colors from the lists $L_{1}, L_{a}$ and $L_{b}$.

Hence, we may assume that $v_{1}$ is adjacent to $a$ (but not to $b$ ). Let $c_{1} \in L_{1}$, and $Q^{\prime}$ be the path induced by $V(Q) \cup\left\{v_{1}\right\}$. Then $Q^{\prime}$ is disjoint from $G\left[X_{2} \cup\{a, b\}\right]$, its endnodes are adjacent to $a$ and $v_{2}$, and $\widetilde{G}\left[X_{2} \cup\{a, b\} \cup V\left(Q^{\prime}\right)\right]$ is triangle-free chordless. So, to obtain
an $s$-edge-coloring of $G$ we first, by induction, $s$-edge-color $G\left[X_{2} \cup\{a, b\}\right]$, so that edges incident with $v_{2}$ receive colors from the list $L_{2}$ and edges incident with $a$ (in $G\left[X_{2} \cup\{a, b\}\right]$ ) colors from the list $\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash\left\{c_{1}\right\}$. Let $L_{a}^{\prime}$ (resp. $L_{b}^{\prime}$ ) be the set of colors used for coloring edges incident with $a$ (resp. $b$ ) in this coloring, and let $L_{a}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash L_{a}^{\prime}$ (resp. $\left.L_{b}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash L_{b}^{\prime}\right)$. To complete $s$-edge-coloring of $G$ we $s$-edge-color $G\left[X_{1} \cup\{a, b\}\right]$ using part (2) of Lemma 5.4, so that edges incident with $v_{1}, a$ and $b$ receive colors from the lists $L_{1}, L_{a}$ and $L_{b}$, respectively (note that $c_{1} \in L_{1} \cap L_{a}$ ).
Case 4.2. $v_{1}, v_{2} \in X_{i} \cup\{a, b\}$, for some $i \in\{1,2\}$.
Note that if $a$ and $b$ are of degree 1 in $G\left[X_{j} \cup\{a, b\}\right]$, for some $j \in\{1,2\}$, then their neighbors in $X_{j}$ are distinct. Indeed, if we suppose the opposite, then their common neighbor is a cutvertex of $G$.

Now, to obtain an $s$-edge-coloring of $G$ we first, by induction, s-edge-color $G\left[X_{i} \cup\{a, b\}\right]$, so that edges incident with $v_{j}$, for $j \in\{1,2\}$, receive colors from the list $L_{j}$. Let $L_{a}$ (resp. $L_{b}$ ) be the set of colors used for coloring edges incident with $a$ (resp. $b$ ) in this coloring, and let $L_{a}^{\prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash L_{a}$ (resp. $L_{b}^{\prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\} \backslash L_{b}$ ). Let $Q_{3-i}^{\prime}$ be a chordless path from $a$ to $b$ in $G\left[X_{i} \cup\{a, b\}\right]$, and $Q_{3-i}$ be the path induced by $V\left(Q_{3-i}^{\prime}\right) \backslash\{a, b\}$. Then $Q_{3-i}$ is disjoint from $G\left[X_{3-i} \cup\{a, b\}\right]$, its endnodes are adjacent to $a$ and $b$, and $G\left[X_{3-i} \cup\{a, b\} \cup V\left(Q_{3-i}\right)\right]$ is triangle-free chordless. So, to complete $s$-edge-coloring of $G$ we, by induction, $s$-edge-color $G\left[X_{3-i} \cup\{a, b\}\right]$, so that edges incident with $a$ and $b$ receive colors from the lists $L_{a}^{\prime}$ and $L_{b}^{\prime}$.

Finally, let us explain how this proof yields an $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3} m\right)$-time algorithm. By Case 1, in linear time we can reduce the problem to the one where $\delta(G) \geq 2$. By Lemma 5.4, Case 2 can be done in $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{nm})$ time. In Case 3, either we use induction and apply Lemma 5.4, or we use Theorem 5.2 to color the entire side. In each step of Case 4 we first find a desired 2-cutset, which can be done in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2} m\right)$ time (see [9]), and then edge-color "the basic" side, which can be done in $\mathcal{O}(n m)$ time (by Lemma 5.4). Since there is at most $\mathcal{O}(n)$ steps and each time we use Theorem 5.2 the entire side is colored, the running time of the algorithm is $\mathcal{O}\left(n \cdot\left(n^{2} m+n m\right)+n^{3} m\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3} m\right)$, as claimed.

Lemma 5.6 Let $G \in \mathcal{D}$ and let $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{1}, B_{2}\right)$ be a split of a minimally-sided 2-join of $G$, with $X_{1}$ being its minimal side, and let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be the corresponding blocks of decomposition. Let $s=\max \{3, \omega(G)\}$, and assume that we are given an $s$-coloring $c$ of $G\left[X_{2}\right]$. We can extend $c$ to an s-coloring of $G$ in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3} m\right)$-time. Furthermore, if $G$ is a basic graph then it can be $\max \{3, \omega(G)\}$-colored in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3} m\right)$-time.

Proof - By Lemma 2.11, $\left|A_{1}\right|,\left|B_{1}\right| \geq 2$, and by Lemma 2.8, $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ is a consistent 2-join. Hence $A_{2}$ and $B_{2}$ are cliques. Also, by Lemma $2.10, G_{1} \in \mathcal{D}$, and by Lemma 2.11, $G_{1}$ does not have a 2 -join. So, by Theorem $2.4, G_{1}$ is basic.

Let $L_{a}$ (resp. $L_{b}$ ) be the set of colors that $c$ assigns to vertices of $A_{2}$ (resp. $B_{2}$ ). Let $L_{a}^{\prime}=\{1, \ldots, s\} \backslash L_{a}$ and $L_{b}^{\prime}=\{1, \ldots, s\} \backslash L_{b}$. We want an $s$-coloring of $G\left[X_{1}\right]$ in which the
vertices of $A_{1}$ are colored with colors from $L_{a}^{\prime}$ and vertices of $B_{1}$ are colored with colors from $L_{b}^{\prime}$.

First suppose that $G_{1}$ is a line graph of a triangle-free chordless graph. Then $G_{1}$ is claw-free and hence (since $\left.\left|A_{1}\right|,\left|B_{1}\right| \geq 2\right) A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$ are both cliques. Let $R$ be the triangle-free chordless graph such that $L(R)=G\left[X_{1}\right]$. Since $R$ is triangle-free, $A_{1}$ (resp. $B_{1}$ ) corresponds to the set of edges incident to vertex $v_{1}$ (resp. $v_{2}$ ) of $R$ that is of degree at least 1 in $R$. Note that $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are not adjacent since $A_{1} \cap B_{1}=\emptyset$. Since $A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{1}, B_{2}$ are all cliques, $\operatorname{deg}_{R}\left(v_{1}\right) \leq\left|L_{a}^{\prime}\right|$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{R}\left(v_{2}\right) \leq\left|L_{b}^{\prime}\right|$. We associate lists $L_{a}^{\prime}$ and $L_{b}^{\prime}$ to vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ respectively, and the result follows from Lemma 5.5.

Now suppose that $G_{1}$ is a P-graph with special clique $K$ and skeleton $R$. Let $K^{\prime}$ be the vertices of $K$ that are centers of claws. Note that all centers of claws of $G_{1}$ are in $K^{\prime}$. For $u \in K^{\prime}$, by (viii) of the definition of the skeleton of a P-graph, all pendant vertices of $L(R)$ that are adjacent to $u$ are of degree 2 in $G_{1}$. Let $H$ be the graph obtained from $G\left[X_{1}\right]$ by removing degree 2 vertices of $G_{1}$ that are adjacent to a vertex of $K^{\prime}$. Then $H$ is claw-free, and hence by Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, $H$ is the line graph of a triangle-free chordless graph, say $R_{H}$.

If $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$ are both cliques, then (since $\left.\left|A_{1}\right|,\left|B_{1}\right| \geq 2\right) A_{1} \cup B_{1} \subseteq V(H)$, and we $s$-color $H$, similarly to the case when $G_{1}$ was the line graph of triangle-free chordless graph, so that vertices of $A_{1}$ (resp. $B_{1}$ ) are colored with colors from $L_{a}^{\prime}$ (resp. $L_{b}^{\prime}$ ). This coloring easily extends to an $s$-coloring of $G\left[X_{1}\right]$ since $s \geq 3$.

So we may assume that $A_{1}$ is not a clique. Since $G \in \mathcal{D}$, by Lemma 2.6, $G$ is diamondfree, and hence (since $\left|A_{1}\right| \geq 2$ ) it follows that $\left|A_{2}\right|=1$. Therefore $\left|L_{a}^{\prime}\right| \geq 2$. Let $a_{2}$ be the vertex of the marker path of $G_{1}$ that is complete to $A_{1}$. Since $A_{1}$ is not a clique, $a_{2}$ is center of a claw and hence $a_{2} \in K^{\prime}$. It follows that $K \cap X_{1} \subseteq A_{1}$, and so $B_{1}$ is a clique. Let $A_{1}^{\prime}=A_{1} \cap V(H)$ and $A_{1}^{\prime \prime}=A_{1} \backslash A_{1}^{\prime}$. So vertices of $A_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ are all of degree 2 in $G_{1}$, and hence of degree 1 in $H$. Also, $A_{1}^{\prime} \subseteq K$ (since the vertices that are adjacent to centers of claws of $G_{1}$, and in particular to $a_{2}$, must be either in $K$ or of degree 2 in $G_{1}$ ), and hence $A_{1}^{\prime}$ is a (possibly empty) clique.

We first $s$-color $H$ so that the vertices of $A_{1}^{\prime}$ (resp. $B_{1}$ ) receive the colors from $L_{a}^{\prime}$ (resp. $L_{b}^{\prime}$ ), and then we extend this coloring to the desired coloring of $G\left[X_{1}\right]$. Clique $B_{1}$ of $G_{1}$ corresponds to edges incident to a vertex $v_{2}$ of $R_{H}$. We assign list $L_{b}^{\prime}$ to $v_{2}$. Since $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are cliques, $\operatorname{deg}_{R_{H}}\left(v_{2}\right) \leq\left|L_{b}^{\prime}\right|$. If $A_{1}^{\prime}=\emptyset$ then we $s$-color $H$ by Theorem 5.2 (in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3} m\right)$-time) and then permute colors so that the vertices of $B_{1}$ are colored with colors from $L_{b}^{\prime}$. So let us assume that $A_{1}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$, and let $v_{1}$ be the vertex of $R_{H}$ whose incident edges correspond to vertices of $A_{1}^{\prime}$. We assign list $L_{a}^{\prime}$ to $v_{1}$. Since $A_{2}$ and $A_{1}^{\prime}$ are cliques, $\operatorname{deg}_{R_{H}}\left(v_{1}\right) \leq\left|L_{a}^{\prime}\right|$. Note that $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are not adjacent in $R_{H}$ since $A_{1} \cap B_{1}=\emptyset$. It now follows from Lemma 5.5 that we can obtain the desired $s$-coloring of $H$ in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3} m\right)$-time. So, we may assume that we have an $s$-coloring of $H$ in which vertices of $A_{1}^{\prime}$ (resp. $B_{1}$ ) are colored with colors from $L_{a}^{\prime}$ (resp. $L_{b}^{\prime}$ ). We now extend that to the desired $s$-coloring of $G\left[X_{1}\right]$. Since $s \geq 3$ and vertices of $X_{1} \backslash H$ all have degree 2, we can greedily extend the coloring of $H$ to vertices of $X_{1} \backslash\left(H \cup A_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Since $\left|A_{2}\right|=1$ and $s \geq 3$, it follows that
$\left|L_{a}^{\prime}\right| \geq 2$. Since vertices of $A_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ are of degree 1 in $H$, we can clearly extend the coloring to them as well, so that they receive a color from $L_{a}^{\prime}$.

Therefore, s-coloring of $G\left[X_{2}\right]$ can be extended to an $s$-coloring of $G$ in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3} m\right)$-time. Observe that this proof also shows that any basic graph can be colored in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3} m\right)$-time.

Theorem 5.7 There is an algorithm with the following specifications:
Input: $A$ graph $G \in \mathcal{C}$.
Output: $A \chi(G)$-coloring of $G$.
Running time: $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{5} m\right)$.
Furthermore, if $G \in \mathcal{C}$ then $\chi(G) \leq \max \{3, \omega(G)\}$.
PROOF - We can decide in linear time if $G$ is 2-colorable, and if it is 2-colorable we can 2-color it (also in linear time). So it is enough to give an algorithm that outputs a $\max \{3, \omega(G)\}$-coloring of $G$.

Claim: Every $G \in \mathcal{D}$ can be $\max \{3, \omega(G)\}$-colored in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{4} m\right)$-time.
Proof of Claim: Let $G \in \mathcal{D}$ and let $s=\max \{3, \omega(G)\}$. We $s$-color $G$ as follows. First check whether $G$ contains a 2-join (this can be done in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2} m\right)$-time by the algorithm in [1]). If it does not, then by Theorem $2.4 G$ is basic, and hence it can be $s$-colored in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3} m\right)$-time by Lemma 5.6. Otherwise, by Lemma 2.13, we construct a 2-join decomposition tree $T_{G}$ (of depths $1 \leq p \leq n$ ) using marker paths of length 3 , in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{4} m\right)$-time. By Lemma 2.14 all graphs $G_{B}^{1}, \ldots, G_{B}^{p}, G^{p}$ that correspond to the leaves of $T_{G}$ are in $\mathcal{D}_{\text {BASIC }}$.

All the 2-joins used in the construction of $T_{G}$ are extreme 2-joins. For our purpose here we want them to be minimally-sided 2 -joins. Note that by Lemma 2.11, every minimallysided 2 -join is an extreme 2-join, but not every extreme 2-join is a minimally-sided one. The way $T_{G}$ is constructed in [14] first a minimally-sided 2-join is found and then in order to achieve $\mathcal{M}$-independence, it is possibly pulled in the direction of minimal side to obtain another extreme 2-join that is then used in the construction of $T_{G}$. If we do not care about $\mathcal{M}$-independence (as we do not here), we can have the algorithm that constructs $T_{G}$ just use the minimally-sided 2-join that is first found. This way we obtain $T_{G}$ with all the other properties, except $\mathcal{M}$-independence, in which every 2 -join used is minimally-sided (which is what we need here).

To obtain the desired coloring of $G$, we process vertices of $T_{G}$ from bottom up. We start with $G^{p}$. As $G^{p}$ is basic, we color it in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3} m\right)$-time by Lemma 5.6. Since $G^{p}$ and $G_{B}^{p}$ are blocks of decomposition w.r.t. a minimally-sided 2-join of $G^{p-1}$, with $G_{B}^{p}$ being a block that corresponds to a minimal side, by Lemma 5.6 we extend the coloring of $G^{p}$ to $G^{p-1}$ in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3} m\right)$-time. We proceed like this up the tree, all the way to the root of $T_{G}$, namely $G^{0}=G$. As the depth of $T_{G}$ is at most $n$, it follows that $G$ can be $s$-colored in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{4} m\right)$-time. This completes the proof of the Claim.

We now consider $G \in \mathcal{C}$. By Theorem 2.5 we construct the clique-cutset decomposition tree $T$ of $G$ in $\mathcal{O}(n m)$-time. So all the leaves of $T$ are graphs from $\mathcal{D}$, and there are at most $n$ of them. So to $s$-color all the leaves, by the Claim, it takes time $\mathcal{O}\left(n \cdot n^{4} m\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{5} m\right)$. Finally, process the tree from bottom up, permuting colors of the blocks of decomposition so they agree on the clique cutset and paste the colorings of the blocks together. Going this way all the way up to the root of $T$, we obtain the desired coloring of $G$ in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{5} m\right)$-time. $\square$

## 6 A note on clique-width

In this section we show that the class $\mathcal{D}_{\text {BASIC }}$ has unbounded clique-width (and hence unbounded rank-width [10]). So the class of (theta,wheel)-free graphs with no clique cutset has unbounded clique-width.

For $k \geq 3$, let $C_{k}$ be a chordless cycle of length $k$. For $k \geq 1$, let $H_{k}$ be the graph on vertex set $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k+1}, u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}, v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right\}$, such that $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k+1}\right\}$ induces a chordless path of length $k$, and the only other edges of $H_{k}$ are $u^{\prime} w_{1}, u^{\prime \prime} w_{1}, v^{\prime} w_{k+1}$ and $v^{\prime \prime} w_{k+1}$.

Let $\Phi_{k}$ be the class of planar bipartite $\left(C_{3}, \ldots, C_{k}, H_{1}, \ldots, H_{k}\right)$-free graphs of vertex degree at most 3 .

Lemma 6.1 ([8]) For any positive integer $k$, the tree- and clique-width of graphs in $\Phi_{k}$ is unbounded.

Note that every $\left(H_{1}, C_{3}, C_{4}\right)$-free graph is chordless and triangle-free, so the class of triangle-free chordless graphs is the superclass of $\Phi_{4}$, which, by previous lemma, has unbounded clique-width. Furthermore, if $\Phi_{4}^{\prime}$ is the class of 2-connected graphs from $\Phi_{4}$, then $\Phi_{4}^{\prime}$ also has unbounded clique-width (see, for example, [5]). Moreover, the following holds.

Lemma 6.2 ([5]) If $\mathcal{G}$ is a class of graph that has unbounded clique-width, then the class $L(\mathcal{G}):=\{L(G): G \in \mathcal{G}\}$ also has unbounded clique-width.

This lemma, together with our previous observations, implies that the class $L\left(\Phi_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ has unbounded clique-width. Since $L\left(\Phi_{4}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\text {BASIC }}$, we conclude that the class $\mathcal{D}_{\text {BASIC }}$ has unbounded clique-width.

Interestingly, N.K. Le [6] proved that the class of (theta, wheel, prism)-free graphs that do not have a clique cutset has bounded clique-width (using the decomposition theorem for this class from [3]). This means that one can use the machinery of [2] and [13] to obtain faster polynomial-time algorithms for coloring and stable set problem for (theta, wheel, prism)-free graphs.
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