



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Dynamics of Locomotor Fatigue during Supra-critical Power Exercise*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/144103/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Swisher, AR, Koehn, B, Yong, S et al. (3 more authors) (2019) Dynamics of Locomotor Fatigue during Supra-critical Power Exercise. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 51 (8). pp. 1720-1726. ISSN 0195-9131

<https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000001965>

© 2019 American College of Sports Medicine. This is an author produced version of "Swisher, A, Koehn, B, Yong, S et al. Dynamics of locomotor fatigue during supra-critical power exercise. <http://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000001965>" published in *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise* ISSN 0195-9131. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial (CC BY-NC) licence. This licence allows you to remix, tweak, and build upon this work non-commercially, and any new works must also acknowledge the authors and be non-commercial. You don't have to license any derivative works on the same terms. More information and the full terms of the licence here:
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

Dynamics of locomotor fatigue during supra-critical power exercise

Austin R Swisher^{1#}, Blake Koehn^{1#}, Stanley Yong¹, Jonathan Cunha¹, Carrie Ferguson², Daniel T Cannon¹

¹School of Exercise & Nutritional Sciences, San Diego State University

²School of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Leeds

[#]equal contribution

Author Contributions

Concept and design: CF, DTC. Data acquisition: ARS, BK, SY, JC, DTC. Data analysis: ARS, BK, SY, DTC. Data interpretation: ARS, BK, SY, JC, CF, DTC. Manuscript drafting: ARS, BK, DTC. Critical Revision: ARS, BK, SY, JC, CF, DTC. All authors approved the final version.

Corresponding Author

DT Cannon
San Diego State University
5500 Campanile Drive
MC 7251
San Diego, CA 92182
dcannon@sdsu.edu

Running title: Dynamics of locomotor fatigue

32 **Abstract**

33 **PURPOSE** We aimed to measure 1) the dynamics of locomotor fatigue during constant
34 supra-critical power cycling, and 2) the magnitude of any reserve in locomotor power at
35 intolerance to constant and ramp-incremental cycling in recreationally-active volunteers.

36 **METHODS** Fifteen participants (7 women and 8 men, 22 ± 3 yr, 3.34 ± 0.67 L.min⁻¹
37 $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$) completed ramp-incremental and very-heavy constant power (205 ± 46 W)
38 exercise to the limit of tolerance. Immediately following intolerance, the ergometer was
39 switched into the isokinetic mode and participants completed a short (~5 s) maximal
40 isokinetic effort at 70 rpm. The time course of locomotor fatigue during constant supra-
41 critical power exercise was characterized with these short maximal isokinetic sprints at
42 30, 60, 120, 180 s and at the limit of tolerance. Each bout was terminated following the
43 isokinetic sprint.

44 **RESULTS** Constant power exercise duration was 312 ± 37 s. Isokinetic power production
45 at 30, 60, 120, 180 s and the limit of tolerance (at 312 ± 37 s) was 609 ± 165 , 503 ± 195 ,
46 443 ± 157 , 449 ± 133 , and 337 ± 94 W, respectively. Of the total decline in isokinetic power,
47 ~36% occurred within the first minute of exercise and significant ($p<0.05$) reductions in
48 isokinetic power occurred at all time-points vs the baseline maximal isokinetic power
49 (666 ± 158 W). Additionally, a significant power reserve of 132 ± 74 W (64% of the task
50 requirement) and 119 ± 80 W (47%) was present at the limit of constant power and ramp-
51 incremental exercise, respectively.

52 **CONCLUSIONS** Locomotor fatigue occurred rapidly during supra-critical power
53 exercise with pseudo-exponential kinetics. Instantaneous isokinetic power production at
54 the limit of tolerance exceeded that of the task requirement, regardless of the constant,

55 or ramp work rate profile. Thus, the perceptual and physiologic limits were dissociated
56 at the limit of tolerance in recreationally-active volunteers.

57

58 Abstract word count: 275 (275 max).

59

60 **Key words:** isokinetic, cycling, fatigue, exercise tolerance

61

62 **Abbreviations**

63 CI_{95} , 95% confidence interval

64 P_{iso} , isokinetic power

65 $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$, peak oxygen uptake

66 **Introduction**

67 Exercise intolerance worsens quality of life and is a powerful predictor of mortality (1-3).
68 Improving exercise tolerance has consistent positive impacts on healthy people and
69 those with disease (4-6). Therefore, understanding the mechanisms of exercise
70 intolerance is crucial for identifying effective prevention and rehabilitation strategies for
71 chronic conditions that impact physical function.

72

73 Fatigue is a loss in the capacity for developing force and/or velocity that is reversible by
74 rest (7). Fatigue plays a crucial role in determining exercise intolerance. Some of the
75 mechanisms contributing to fatigue during dynamic, whole-body exercise include
76 heightened perception of exertion or dyspnea, inhibitory afferent signals from the
77 skeletal muscle environment, reduced membrane excitability, and local muscle
78 metabolic and ionic factors that directly inhibit cross-bridge function (7-14). Collectively,
79 the mechanisms are often grouped as 'central' and 'peripheral' according to the
80 placement with the hierarchy of the neuromuscular system. Typically, central
81 components are 'north' of the neuromuscular junction to include the motor and spinal
82 nerves, spinal cord, brain stem, and brain.

83

84 The dynamics, or rate of accumulation, of locomotor fatigue may provide information
85 about how the intracellular environment underpins intolerance, as the dynamic behavior
86 of key fatigue-related metabolites is well established in the literature. For example, the
87 time-course of inorganic phosphate (Pi) conforms to a pseudo-exponential profile with a
88 half-time of approximately 30 s. Thus, for constant power exercise most of the change

89 in [Pi] occurs within the first 2-3 minutes of contraction (10, 15, 16). Pi elicits substantial
90 reductions in force, particularly when concentrations rise to ~10-20 mM during high-
91 intensity exercise (12, 13). During high-intensity contraction, reductions in muscle power
92 behave with similar dynamics to Pi (15, 17, 18). Muscle power also *recovers* with similar
93 dynamics to the intramuscular phosphates (17, 20). However, data from the literature on
94 the time-resolution for muscle power measurements during dynamic, large muscle mass
95 exercise is poor (19). Thus far, there are no data on the initial, rapid dynamics of
96 locomotor fatigue during whole-body, dynamic exercise. Therefore, the time-course of
97 fatigue during the first ~3 min of high-intensity exercise is unknown.

98

99 Ideally, measurement of locomotor fatigue is applicable to whole-body, large muscle
100 mass, dynamic exercise (walking, running, cycling). Additionally, it is critical that
101 contraction velocity be controlled for unless the majority of the power-velocity
102 relationship is measured (21, 22) - locomotor power is dependent on the parabolic
103 relationship of power and contraction velocity. Assessing fatigue using a combination of
104 cadence-independent cycling tasks followed immediately by a maximal isokinetic effort
105 allows the contraction velocity-specific decline in task-specific power to be measured in
106 accordance with these criteria. The recovery of skeletal muscle power following a bout
107 of high-intensity exercise is rapid, with most of the recovery occurring within the first two
108 minutes of recovery and nearly full recovery somewhere between 3 and 8 minutes (20,
109 23-25). In fact, even 5-10 s of rest results in substantial recovery when measuring
110 neuromuscular function (20, 25, 26). These data show the importance of rapidly
111 measuring locomotor fatigue (27), and highlight one of the key drawbacks of using

112 electrical stimulation, transcranial magnetic stimulation, or single-joint maximal voluntary
113 contraction, particularly when following whole-body exercise. This approach requires, at
114 minimum, a 1-2 min delay from the time of interest following cycling (28), save for the
115 most recent innovation using a cycle ergometer capable of near-instantaneous
116 measurement (27). Knee-extension exercise, of course provides near instantaneous
117 assessment of neuromuscular fatigue (11, 24) with the drawback of being somewhat
118 less taxing to the cardiopulmonary system.

119
120 Exercise intolerance should arise when the reduction in maximal evocable locomotor
121 power is sufficient enough to constrain the exercise task (29). This is a contentious
122 issue, however, during whole-body exercise even in healthy people. Some evidence
123 shows there is a large 'reserve' in locomotor power (8), and some that there is little to
124 no meaningful reserve at the limit of tolerance in healthy people (22, 23, 30). Further
125 complicating the matter is the task profile (constant vs variable vs ramp) and task
126 duration to intolerance appears to affect the size of the reserve (8, 31). Whether or not
127 the limit of tolerance is consistently accompanied by a 'reserve' in locomotor power
128 remains uncertain, and is likely variable across health and disease (30).

129
130 The purposes of this study are twofold. Firstly, we aimed to measure the dynamics of
131 locomotor fatigue at the onset of high-intensity cycling. Second, we aimed to measure
132 the reserve in locomotor power at intolerance to constant and ramp-incremental cycling.

133

134 **Materials and Methods**

135 *Participants*

136 Fifteen healthy, recreationally active volunteers took part in this study (7 women, 8 men,
137 22 ± 3 yr, 173 ± 12 cm, 66 ± 12 kg, 3.34 ± 0.67 L.min⁻¹ $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$). Volunteers provided written
138 informed consent and were screened for cardiovascular risks with the Physical Activity
139 Readiness Questionnaire (PAR-Q) prior to beginning the study. The San Diego State
140 University Institutional Review Board approved the protocol.

141

142 *Exercise tests*

143 Participants completed 6 laboratory visits, each separated by a minimum of 24 hours.
144 Within each laboratory visit the participants completed two experimental phases: 1)
145 short (~5 s) bouts of maximal effort isokinetic cycling at 70 rpm (measured in triplicate)
146 to determine maximal isokinetic power at baseline (Figure 1A, Figure 2A); and 2) a
147 ramp-incremental or constant power exercise test, terminated with a short (~5 s)
148 maximal isokinetic effort at 70 rpm (Figure 1B).

149

150 *Visit 1*

151 The first laboratory visit included a ramp-incremental test to the limit of tolerance. The
152 ramp test began with 1 min rest and a 2 min warm-up phase at 25 W. Following the
153 warm-up, power was increased at 25 W.min⁻¹ until intolerance. Each participant was
154 instructed to maintain a cadence > 70 rpm. Failure to maintain > 60 rpm, despite strong
155 verbal encouragement, marked the termination of the ramp-incremental phase.
156 Immediately following intolerance, the cycle ergometer was switched from hyperbolic to
157 isokinetic mode (70 rpm) and the participant was instructed to give a maximum effort for
158 5 s. Participants then completed a 5 min recovery phase at 20 W (Figure 1A).

159

160 *Visits 2-6*

161 During the second visit (Figure 1B), participants completed a constant power exercise
162 test to the limit of tolerance. To assign each participant's constant power, 2 min worth of
163 ramp incrementation (50 W) was subtracted from peak ramp power. This was a
164 modified approach (32) to estimate an ~6 min duration exercise test, based on our pilot
165 testing. Following completion of warm-up at 20 W, power was increased abruptly to the
166 assigned constant power and participants were instructed to maintain the power until
167 the limit of tolerance. Failure to maintain > 60 rpm, despite strong encouragement,
168 marked the end of the constant power phase. The cycle ergometer was immediately
169 switched from hyperbolic to isokinetic mode where participants were again strongly
170 encouraged for 5 s of maximal effort cycling. Participants then completed a 5 min active
171 recovery phase of light cycling at 20 W.

172

173 The remaining 4 visits were completed in random order and consisted of constant
174 power cycling at the same work rate during visit 2. However, the durations of the final 4
175 visits were 30, 60, 120, and 180 s (Figure 1B). These tests were completed with
176 essentially the same format, yet halted at the pre-determined times rather than
177 continued to the limit of tolerance. Within the final ~5 s of the exercise bout, participants
178 were instructed to decelerate to ~ 70 rpm to avoid an overcompensation of the flywheel
179 braking action at the onset of the isokinetic cycling. At the completion of the pre-
180 determined constant power cycling, the cycle ergometer was switched from hyperbolic

181 to isokinetic mode where participants gave a maximal effort for 5 s. A 5 min active
182 recovery phase at 20 W followed, marking the end of the test.

183

184 *Ergometry*

185 The computer-controlled electromagnetically-braked cycle ergometer (Excalibur Sport
186 PFM, Lode BV, Groningen, NL) is instrumented with force transducers in the bottom
187 bracket spindle. Left and right torque (Nm) was measured independently (peak force
188 2000 N, < 0.5 N resolution and measurement uncertainty of < 3%). Instantaneous
189 angular velocity of the crank ($\text{rad}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$) was measured with a resolution of 2° using three
190 independent sensors sampling in series (measurement uncertainty of < 1%). During
191 isokinetic efforts, power was calculated every 2° from torque and angular velocity
192 measurements.

193

194 *Cardiopulmonary Measurements*

195 Respired gases and ventilation were measured breath-by-breath with a commercial
196 metabolic measurement system (VMax Spectra, CareFusion, San Diego, CA USA). The
197 system was calibrated immediately prior to each experiment. A 3 L syringe (Hans
198 Rudolph Inc., Shawnee, KS, USA) was used to calibrate the mass flow sensor from
199 ~ 0.2 to $8.0 \text{ L}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$, mimicking flow rates expected at rest and during exercise. The CO_2
200 and O_2 analysers were calibrated using gases of known concentrations (O_2 26.0% and
201 16.0%; CO_2 0.0% and 4.0%).

202

203 *Statistical analyses*

204 A Wilcoxon test was used to assess task power vs. isokinetic power at exercise
205 intolerance. This was due to a violation in the assumption of equal variance in each
206 attempt to use the paired t-test. A one-factor (time) repeated measures analysis of
207 variance was performed to analyze the reduction in isokinetic power over time. In this
208 case, all Bartlett's and Brown-Forsythe tests were non-significant. *Post hoc* t-tests were
209 used to determine the location of differences in the event of a significant omnibus test.
210 Statistical tests were considered significant at $p < 0.05$. All data was analyzed using the
211 Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS v22. SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA).
212 Results are reported as mean \pm SD.

213

214 **Results**

215 *Oxygen uptake during ramp-incremental and constant power exercise*

216 $\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$ during ramp-incremental and constant power exercise was 3.3 ± 0.7 L/min and
217 3.4 ± 0.7 L/min, respectively. $\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$ was not different between ramp-incremental and
218 constant power exercise ($p > 0.05$).

219

220 *Time course of locomotor fatigue during constant power exercise to intolerance*

221 Constant power exercise duration was 312 ± 37 s. Maximal isokinetic power output
222 declined ($F[5,84]=10.2$, $p < 0.05$) throughout heavy constant power exercise (Figure 2B).
223 P_{iso} at 30, 60, 120, 180 s, and the limit of tolerance was 609 ± 165 , 503 ± 195 , $443 \pm$
224 157 , 449 ± 133 , and 337 ± 94 W, respectively. Of the total decline in isokinetic power,

225 ~36% occurred within the first minute of exercise and reductions ($p<0.05$) in isokinetic
226 power occurred at the final 3 time-points vs the baseline P_{iso} (666 ± 158 W; Figure 2B).

227
228 The dynamics of locomotor fatigue were similar when the participants were split based
229 on sex (Figure 2C, 2D). For men and women, the constant power tolerance was $323 \pm$
230 36 and 299 ± 38 s, respectively. The total decline in P_{iso} at the limit of tolerance was
231 ~50% of the baseline power for both men and women. The dynamics appeared
232 somewhat different for men as P_{iso} declined ($F[5,42]=25.6$, $p<0.05$) such that by 60 s
233 ~30% of the total decline in P_{iso} had occurred (Figure 2C). For women, the same
234 measurement in P_{iso} decline ($F[5,36]=19.7$, $p<0.05$) equaled ~48% (Figure 2D).

235

236 *Isokinetic power and power reserve following intolerance*

237 At baseline, participants generated a maximum isokinetic (70 rpm) power of 666
238 ± 158 W. Constant power (205 ± 46 W) exercise duration was 312 ± 37 s. At
239 intolerance, participants generated an isokinetic power of 337 ± 94 W, and this was
240 greater than the required constant power ($p<0.05$; Figure 3A). This resulted in a power
241 reserve of 132 ± 74 W or ($64 \pm 35\%$ of the constant power). During ramp-incremental
242 exercise, participants achieved a peak power of 254 ± 47 W. At intolerance, participants
243 generated a maximal isokinetic (70 rpm) power of 373 ± 101 W, and this was greater
244 than peak ramp power ($p<0.05$; Figure 3B). Therefore, participants exhibited a reserve
245 for instantaneous power of 119 ± 80 W (or $47 \pm 31\%$ of ramp peak power). Additionally,
246 there was no difference ($p>0.05$) in the magnitude of the power reserve at intolerance

247 between ramp-incremental and constant power exercise (Figure 3). These same
248 findings were extended when the analysis was split based on sex (Figure 3C-F).

249

250 **Discussion**

251 We aimed to measure the dynamics of locomotor fatigue during high-intensity exercise
252 and the reserve in locomotor power at intolerance to constant and ramp-incremental
253 cycling. Our major findings are: 1) locomotor fatigue occurs pseudo-exponentially and
254 rapidly, with ~36% of total fatigue accumulating within the first minute of exercise, and
255 2) a $47 \pm 31\%$ and $64 \pm 35\%$ locomotor power reserve is present at the limit of tolerance
256 in ramp-incremental and constant power exercise, respectively. Therefore, locomotor
257 fatigue accumulates rapidly during high-intensity exercise, following an approximately
258 exponential profile. However, at the limit of tolerance, participants exhibited a reserve
259 for instantaneous power production that exceeds the task requirement.

260

261 *Locomotor fatigue within the first minutes of high-intensity exercise*

262 Similar to knee-extension exercise (24), approximately half of the locomotor fatigue
263 occurred early in the cycling bout. At the onset of high-intensity exercise, the finite
264 kinetics of oxidative phosphorylation necessitates that glycolysis and phosphocreatine
265 breakdown make substantial contributions to maintain ATP concentration (~8.2 mM),
266 with the greatest contribution at the start of the exercise bout (33). During the initial ~10
267 s of high-intensity exercise, [PCr] rapidly falls while [Pi] rises. Pi concentration is tightly
268 correlated to force production, such that high [Pi] decreases ATPase activity and power

269 production (13). During the first 30 s of exercise in our experiment, significant fatigue
270 has already accumulated (Figure 2B).

271
272 In addition to depletion of the finite PCr stores, glycolysis contributes substantially to
273 ATP resynthesis during high-intensity exercise, and is activated within seconds of
274 exercise onset (34). While lactate is the preferred fuel of mitochondrial oxidative
275 phosphorylation, the associated acidosis may be detrimental to muscle function (35).
276 This occurs due to an acidic muscular environment reducing the number of high-force
277 cross bridges in fast fibers, the force per cross bridge in both fast and slow fibers, and
278 myofibrillar Ca^{2+} sensitivity (14, 36).

279
280 Continual depletion of the finite energy (PCr, glycogen) sources leads to raised
281 concentrations of Pi, ADP, and lactate in working skeletal muscles. The additive effects
282 of Pi and H^+ decrease power through cross-bridge disruption when elevated above
283 resting concentrations (13). Locomotor fatigue accumulates with a similar time course to
284 that of intramuscular metabolites. We feel this kinetic association suggests the
285 decline in voluntary power being explained by the accumulation of phosphate and
286 fatigue-related intramuscular metabolites. However, there is clearly a complex
287 interaction of many fatigue-inducing mechanisms in skeletal muscle and our study does
288 not offer mechanistic insight into these mechanisms. In addition to the changes in high-
289 energy phosphates, low pH, plasma membrane excitability through loss of intracellular
290 K^+ (37), and cross bridge sensitivity to suboptimal Ca^{2+} (35, 36) are critically important
291 in the fatigue process. While the dynamics of increased interstitial K^+ or reduced

292 intracellular Ca^+ and pH may not match with the kinetics of locomotor fatigue, they likely
293 work synergistically with the phosphate changes to reduce force output. This is
294 particularly true in experiments completed at near physiologic temperatures (35).

295

296 We do want to highlight an important limitation in this section, in that the nature of the
297 exercise in our current experiment is substantially different to that of most experiments
298 where ^{31}P MRS was used to measure intramuscular metabolites. In most examples,
299 knee extension is generally the exercise mode (15). Perhaps the closest design might
300 be that of Rodenburg et al., where the ergometer required hip and knee extension (38).
301 However, even in this case there appears to be a rapid increase in the Pi/PCr ratio with
302 increasing power output. This suggests that exercise similar to cycling should be no
303 different to isolated muscle experiments or single-leg knee extension.

304

305 *Total locomotor fatigue accumulation at limit of tolerance*

306 Constant power exercise was maintained for 312 ± 37 s. Progressive reduction in
307 maximal isokinetic power output is seen up until this point (Figure 2B). While women
308 exhibited lower P_{iso} generation at baseline, the relative reduction in P_{iso} at the limit of
309 tolerance was similar to men (~50% of baseline P_{iso}). The dynamics of locomotor fatigue
310 accumulation appeared to be somewhat faster in women, however we would need a
311 larger sample size to be sure about sex differences.

312

313 Critical power represents the highest work rate that will elicit a metabolic steady-state,
314 and therefore demarcates unpredictably-sustainable from predictably-unsustainable

315 exercise. While fatigue related metabolites reach a plateau at ~2-3 min during sub-CP
316 exercise, continual accumulation up to intolerance is seen in supra-CP exercise (15).
317 Similarly, participants performing sub-CP exercise were able to maintain voluntary
318 velocity-specific peak power between 3 and 8 min of exercise (19). Together, this lends
319 support to the close linkage between the intramuscular environment, locomotor fatigue,
320 and exercise tolerance (14). Our data show a continual accumulation of locomotor
321 fatigue during supra-CP exercise, and fit closely with this assertion that the
322 intramuscular events underpin the reduction in voluntary muscle power.

323

324 In addition to peripheral contributors to fatigue and the fall in isokinetic power
325 production, afferent feedback (including conscious perception of effort) and efferent
326 feed-forward mechanisms may modulate exercise tolerance. Muscle force production
327 falls progressively and evoked peak power reaches an approximate plateau (at ~40% of
328 time trial) during non-sustainable exercise (24). However, by intolerance, it appears as
329 though peripheral locomotor fatigue is 'regulated' to some maximal value (28, 39).
330 Additionally, early accumulation of peripheral fatigue is compensated for by increased
331 motor drive, and task failure therefore may be due, ultimately, to increased central
332 fatigue arising late in the exercise task (40). Therefore, in addition to intramuscular
333 fatigue-related metabolite buildup, central regulation and emotional responses to
334 exercise likely play a role in the development of locomotor fatigue (41). As we did not
335 measure contributions of 'central' and 'peripheral' mechanisms of fatigue, we can only
336 provide speculation as to the origin of locomotor fatigue in our data. Therefore, the close
337 dynamic association of our locomotor power data to the buildup of intramuscular

338 metabolites may only be coincidental, and not causal. A new approach is now available
339 that may provide some insight into the fatigue-mechanisms through instantaneous
340 assessment of neuromuscular fatigue following cycling exercise, albeit isometric (27).
341 Finally, our data only provide insight for a single contraction velocity. The shape of the
342 power-velocity relationship (42) suggests that the magnitude of change will be larger at
343 high contraction velocities, but the dynamics of the fatigue at those contraction
344 velocities is unknown.

345

346 *A reserve in locomotor power generating capacity at the point of intolerance*

347 Brief maximum isokinetic power at the limit of tolerance (and at 70 rpm) was higher than
348 the task power in both ramp-incremental and constant power exercise (Figure 3). This
349 represents a temporary separation between perceptual and physiological limits of power
350 production. It is important to note that there was no difference in $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ in ramp and
351 constant power exercise, showing individuals did attain systems limits in both exercise
352 test formats. Additionally, the reserve in locomotor power represents a near-
353 instantaneous (~5 s) capacity for power production. This is in contrast to a sustained
354 (>30s) maximal isokinetic effort in which power output falls nearer to critical power
355 immediately following intolerance (43). Finally, the contraction velocity likely influences
356 the magnitude of the power reserve, as power generation is a parabolic function of
357 velocity (42, 44). While the portion of the power-velocity relationship that encompasses
358 cycling becomes flatter with muscle fatigue (45), the absolute isokinetic power
359 generated at intolerance at 70rpm is likely to be less than that of higher contraction
360 velocities. This is especially true for short bursts of sprint type exercise. Conversely,

361 during longer, incremental exercise protocols there are surprisingly negligible benefits
362 across a range of 40-120rpm for $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ or peak power achieved during the incremental
363 test (42, 44). This is of course in contrast to higher selected pedaling frequencies in
364 competitive cycling where both efficiency-velocity, and power-velocity relationships must
365 be considered. The relevancy of the 'reserve' in locomotor power in our experiment,
366 therefore, is that the power output is constrained to the contraction velocity similar to
367 that used by our volunteers during the constant or ramp task. However, this design
368 decision to make isokinetic measurements only at 70rpm is also a weakness of our
369 study – a more complete measurement of the power-velocity relationship would clearly
370 have been a more desirable choice. We did not choose this design due to the
371 substantial burden on research volunteers, as it would require many-fold more visits to
372 the laboratory.

373

374 Additional recruitment of motor units is responsible for the instantaneous increase in
375 force production, suggesting that the limit of tolerance during the exercise task is not
376 defined by a ceiling of motor recruitment and power production – this is in contrast to
377 single limb exercise (29). Exercise tolerance may in some circumstances therefore be
378 limited by perception of effort, rather than neuromuscular fatigue that 'caps' power
379 production sufficient to continue the task (8). However, these perceptual effects may be
380 dependent on the population studied (chronic cardiopulmonary disease vs healthy vs
381 athlete). Contrary to our findings, no reserve was present following whole body exercise
382 in men with VO_{2max} 4.2 ± 1.0 L/min (22). It is possible that highly-trained individuals
383 maintain a close association of perceptual and physiologic limits and exhibit central and

384 peripheral fatigue mechanisms different to that of our recreationally-active participants -
385 and certainly to that of patients with cardiopulmonary disease (30). No research has
386 examined oxidative capacity as a modifier of the locomotor power reserve, or the
387 mechanisms that underpin this phenomenon.

388

389 **Conclusions**

390 We aimed to measure 1) the dynamics of locomotor fatigue at the onset of high-intensity
391 cycling, and 2) the reserve in locomotor power following constant and ramp-incremental
392 cycling to the limit of tolerance. Maximal voluntary isokinetic power fell progressively
393 during constant power exercise. However, ~36% of the total fatigue occurred in the first
394 60 s of exercise, showing rapid, approximately exponential kinetics.

395

396 The dynamics of locomotor fatigue were similar to the dynamics reported for primary
397 fatigue-related intramuscular metabolites, suggesting a close mechanistic link between
398 the intramuscular milieu and voluntary muscle power. Instantaneous isokinetic power
399 production at the limit of tolerance exceeded that of the task requirement, regardless of
400 the work rate profile. Thus, the perceptual and physiologic limits were dissociated at the
401 limit of tolerance in recreationally active volunteers. While the dynamics of locomotor
402 fatigue may reflect the disturbances in the intramuscular metabolic environment, the
403 limit of tolerance may be predominantly determined by mechanisms limiting voluntary
404 motor unit recruitment.

405

406 **Competing Interests**

407 Authors have no competing interests.

408

409 **Funding**

410 ARS and SY were supported by the SDSU Summer Undergraduate Research Program.

411

412 **Acknowledgements**

413 The results of the study are presented clearly, honestly, and without fabrication,
414 falsification, or inappropriate data manipulation. The results of the present study do not
415 constitute endorsement by ACSM. Thank you to our volunteers for your time and
416 dedication.

417

418

419 **Figure 1.** Schematic of the exercise testing protocols. **Panel A:** Visit 1 consisted of
420 ramp-incremental exercise terminated with a maximal isokinetic effort at the limit of
421 tolerance. Filled and open symbols represent 5 s maximal isokinetic power (P_{iso})
422 measured either in triplicate at baseline (filled) or at the termination of the exercise test
423 (open). **Panel B:** Visits 2-6 were variable duration constant power tests terminated with
424 P_{iso} . Constant power tests were terminated with maximal P_{iso} at either predetermined
425 times (30, 60, 120, 180 s; shaded symbols) or at the limit of tolerance (open symbol).

426

427 **Figure 2.** Construction of the dynamics of locomotor fatigue during constant supra-
428 critical power exercise. **Panel A:** Representation of a single P_{iso} , in this case at baseline
429 with no prior exercise. Dashed line and filled symbol represents mean during maximal
430 effort. **Panel B:** The dynamics of locomotor fatigue are presented as the reduction in
431 maximal P_{iso} during exercise as a function of time ($F[5,84]=10.2, p<0.05$). Solid circle is
432 the baseline mean as measured in Panel A. Lines represents mean (solid) and SD
433 (dashed) constant power task requirement. **Panel C:** The dynamics of locomotor
434 fatigue, as in Panel B, however with only the men included ($F[5,42]=25.6, p<0.05$).
435 **Panel D:** Only the women included ($F[5,36]=19.7, p<0.05$). *Different to baseline P_{iso}
436 ($p<0.05$).

437

438

439 **Figure 3.** Comparison between task requirement and maximal isokinetic power (P_{iso}) at
440 the limit of tolerance. **Panel A:** P_{iso} at intolerance to constant power exercise was
441 greater ($p<0.05$) than the task requirement. **Panel B:** P_{iso} at intolerance to ramp

442 incremental exercise was greater ($p < 0.05$) than the peak power achieved during the
443 ramp. **Panel C:** P_{iso} at intolerance to constant power exercise was greater ($p < 0.05$) than
444 the task requirement in men only. **Panel D:** P_{iso} at intolerance to ramp incremental
445 exercise was greater ($p < 0.05$) than the peak power achieved during the ramp in men
446 only. **Panel E:** P_{iso} at intolerance to constant power exercise was greater ($p < 0.05$) than
447 the task requirement in women only. **Panel F:** P_{iso} at intolerance to ramp incremental
448 exercise was greater ($p < 0.05$) than the peak power achieved during the ramp in women
449 only.

450

451

452 **References**

453

454 1. Myers J, Prakash M, Froelicher V, Do D, Partington S, Atwood JE. Exercise
455 capacity and mortality among men referred for exercise testing. *N Engl J Med.*
456 2002;346(11):793-801. Epub 2002/03/15. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa011858
457 346/11/793 [pii]. PubMed PMID: 11893790.

458 2. Paffenbarger RS, Jr., Hyde RT, Wing AL, Lee IM, Jung DL, Kampert JB. The
459 association of changes in physical-activity level and other lifestyle characteristics with
460 mortality among men. *N Engl J Med.* 1993;328(8):538-45. Epub 1993/02/25. PubMed
461 PMID: 8426621.

462 3. Newman AB, Simonsick EM, Naydeck BL, Boudreau RM, Kritchevsky SB, Nevitt
463 MC, et al. Association of long-distance corridor walk performance with mortality,
464 cardiovascular disease, mobility limitation, and disability. *Jama.* 2006;295(17):2018-26.
465 doi: 10.1001/jama.295.17.2018. PubMed PMID: 16670410.

466 4. Belardinelli R, Georgiou D, Cianci G, Purcaro A. Randomized, controlled trial of
467 long-term moderate exercise training in chronic heart failure: effects on functional
468 capacity, quality of life, and clinical outcome. *Circulation.* 1999;99(9):1173-82. PubMed
469 PMID: 10069785.

470 5. Bendstrup KE, Ingemann Jensen J, Holm S, Bengtsson B. Out-patient
471 rehabilitation improves activities of daily living, quality of life and exercise tolerance in
472 chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Eur Respir J.* 1997;10(12):2801-6. PubMed
473 PMID: 9493664.

474 6. Warburton DE, Nicol CW, Bredin SS. Health benefits of physical activity: the
475 evidence. *CMAJ : Canadian Medical Association journal = journal de l'Association
476 medicale canadienne.* 2006;174(6):801-9. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.051351. PubMed PMID:
477 16534088; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1402378.

478 7. Gandevia SC. Spinal and supraspinal factors in human muscle fatigue.
479 *Physiological reviews.* 2001;81(4):1725-89. PubMed PMID: 11581501.

480 8. Marcora SM, Staiano W. The limit to exercise tolerance in humans: mind over
481 muscle? *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2010;109(4):763-70. Epub 2010/03/12. doi:
482 10.1007/s00421-010-1418-6. PubMed PMID: 20221773.

483 9. Laurin J, Pertici V, Dousset E, Marqueste T, Decherchi P. Group III and IV
484 muscle afferents: role on central motor drive and clinical implications. *Neuroscience.*
485 2015;290:543-51. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2015.01.065. PubMed PMID: 25659344.

486 10. Cady EB, Jones DA, Lynn J, Newham DJ. Changes in force and intracellular
487 metabolites during fatigue of human skeletal muscle. *J Physiol.* 1989;418:311-25. Epub
488 1989/11/01. PubMed PMID: 2621621.

- 489 11. Froyd C, Beltrami FG, Millet GY, Noakes TD. Central Regulation and
490 Neuromuscular Fatigue during Exercise of Different Durations. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.*
491 2016;48(6):1024-32. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0000000000000867. PubMed PMID: 26741123.
- 492 12. Westerblad H, Allen DG, Lannergren J. Muscle fatigue: lactic acid or inorganic
493 phosphate the major cause? *News Physiol Sci.* 2002;17:17-21. Epub 2002/02/01.
494 PubMed PMID: 11821531.
- 495 13. Cooke R, Franks K, Luciani GB, Pate E. The inhibition of rabbit skeletal muscle
496 contraction by hydrogen ions and phosphate. *J Physiol.* 1988;395:77-97. PubMed
497 PMID: 2842489; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1191984.
- 498 14. Grassi B, Rossiter HB, Zoladz JA. Skeletal muscle fatigue and decreased
499 efficiency: two sides of the same coin? *Exerc Sport Sci Rev.* 2015;43(2):75-83. Epub
500 2015/02/18. doi: 10.1249/JES.0000000000000043. PubMed PMID: 25688762.
- 501 15. Jones AM, Wilkerson DP, DiMenna F, Fulford J, Poole DC. Muscle metabolic
502 responses to exercise above and below the "critical power" assessed using 31P-MRS.
503 *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol.* 2008;294(2):R585-93. Epub 2007/12/07. doi:
504 00731.2007 [pii]
505 10.1152/ajpregu.00731.2007. PubMed PMID: 18056980.
- 506 16. Jones AM, Wilkerson DP, Fulford J. Muscle [phosphocreatine] dynamics
507 following the onset of exercise in humans: the influence of baseline work-rate. *J Physiol.*
508 2008;586(3):889-98. Epub 2007/12/08. doi: jphysiol.2007.142026 [pii]
509 10.1113/jphysiol.2007.142026. PubMed PMID: 18063663.
- 510 17. Rossiter HB, Ward SA, Howe FA, Kowalchuk JM, Griffiths JR, Whipp BJ.
511 Dynamics of intramuscular 31P-MRS P(i) peak splitting and the slow components of
512 PCr and O2 uptake during exercise. *J Appl Physiol.* 2002;93(6):2059-69. Epub
513 2002/10/23. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00446.2002
514 00446.2002 [pii]. PubMed PMID: 12391122.
- 515 18. Allen DG, Trajanovska S. The multiple roles of phosphate in muscle fatigue.
516 *Front Physiol.* 2012;3:463. Epub 2012/12/19. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2012.00463. PubMed
517 PMID: 23248600; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3518787.
- 518 19. Cannon DT, White AC, Andriano MF, Kolkhorst FW, Rossiter HB. Skeletal
519 muscle fatigue precedes the slow component of oxygen uptake kinetics during exercise
520 in humans. *J Physiol.* 2011;589(Pt 3):727-39. Epub 2010/12/08. doi:
521 jphysiol.2010.197723 [pii]
522 10.1113/jphysiol.2010.197723. PubMed PMID: 21135050.
- 523 20. Sargeant AJ, Dolan P. Effect of prior exercise on maximal short-term power
524 output in humans. *J Appl Physiol.* 1987;63(4):1475-80. Epub 1987/10/01. PubMed
525 PMID: 3693183.

- 526 21. Ferguson C, Cannon DT, Wylde LA, Benson AP, Rossiter HB. Power-velocity
527 and power-efficiency implications in the limitation of ramp incremental cycle ergometry.
528 J Appl Physiol (1985). 2015;jap 01067 2015. doi: 10.1152/jappphysiol.01067.2015.
529 PubMed PMID: 26702026.
- 530 22. Ferguson C, Wylde LA, Benson AP, Cannon DT, Rossiter HB. No reserve in
531 isokinetic cycling power at intolerance during ramp incremental exercise in endurance-
532 trained men. J Appl Physiol (1985). 2016;120(1):70-7. doi:
533 10.1152/jappphysiol.00662.2015. PubMed PMID: 26565019.
- 534 23. Coelho AC, Cannon DT, Cao R, Porszasz J, Casaburi R, Knorst MM, et al.
535 Instantaneous quantification of skeletal muscle activation, power production, and fatigue
536 during cycle ergometry. J Appl Physiol (1985). 2015;118(5):646-54. doi:
537 10.1152/jappphysiol.00948.2014. PubMed PMID: 25539940.
- 538 24. Froyd C, Millet GY, Noakes TD. The development of peripheral fatigue and short-
539 term recovery during self-paced high-intensity exercise. J Physiol. 2013;591(Pt 5):1339-
540 46. Epub 2012/12/12. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2012.245316
541 jphysiol.2012.245316 [pii]. PubMed PMID: 23230235; PubMed Central PMCID:
542 PMC3607875.
- 543 25. Allen D, Westerblad H. What limits exercise during high-intensity aerobic
544 exercise? Eur J Appl Physiol. 2010;110(3):661-2; author reply 3-4. doi: 10.1007/s00421-
545 010-1538-z. PubMed PMID: 20549512.
- 546 26. Mira J, Lapole T, Souron R, Messonnier L, Millet GY, Rupp T. Cortical voluntary
547 activation testing methodology impacts central fatigue. Eur J Appl Physiol.
548 2017;117(9):1845-57. doi: 10.1007/s00421-017-3678-x. PubMed PMID: 28687954.
- 549 27. Doyle-Baker D, Temesi J, Medysky ME, Holash RJ, Millet GY. An Innovative
550 Ergometer to Measure Neuromuscular Fatigue Immediately after Cycling. Med Sci
551 Sports Exerc. 2018;50(2):375-87. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0000000000001427. PubMed
552 PMID: 28930862.
- 553 28. Amann M, Dempsey JA. Locomotor muscle fatigue modifies central motor drive
554 in healthy humans and imposes a limitation to exercise performance. J Physiol.
555 2008;586(1):161-73. Epub 2007/10/27. doi: jphysiol.2007.141838 [pii]
556 10.1113/jphysiol.2007.141838. PubMed PMID: 17962334.
- 557 29. Bigland-Ritchie B, Furbush F, Woods JJ. Fatigue of intermittent submaximal
558 voluntary contractions: central and peripheral factors. J Appl Physiol (1985).
559 1986;61(2):421-9. doi: 10.1152/jappl.1986.61.2.421. PubMed PMID: 3745035.
- 560 30. Cannon DT, Coelho AC, Cao R, Cheng A, Porszasz J, Casaburi R, et al. Skeletal
561 muscle power and fatigue at the tolerable limit of ramp-incremental exercise in COPD. J
562 Appl Physiol (1985). 2016;121(6):1365-73. doi: 10.1152/jappphysiol.00660.2016.
563 PubMed PMID: 27660300.

- 564 31. Davies MJ, Benson AP, Birch KM, Cannon DT, Ferguson C. Limitations to high-
565 intensity exercise tolerance: implications of the task power. *Proc Physiol Soc.*
566 2018;41:C053.
- 567 32. van der Vaart H, Murgatroyd SR, Rossiter HB, Chen C, Casaburi R, Porszasz J.
568 Selecting Constant Work Rates for Endurance Testing in COPD: The Role of the
569 Power-Duration Relationship. *COPD.* 2014;11(3):267-76. Epub 2013/11/05. doi:
570 10.3109/15412555.2013.840572. PubMed PMID: 24182350.
- 571 33. Kemp GJ, Meyerspeer M, Moser E. Absolute quantification of phosphorus
572 metabolite concentrations in human muscle in vivo by ³¹P MRS: a quantitative review.
573 *NMR Biomed.* 2007;20(6):555-65. Epub 2007/07/14. doi: 10.1002/nbm.1192. PubMed
574 PMID: 17628042.
- 575 34. Walsh B, Stary CM, Howlett RA, Kelley KM, Hogan MC. Glycolytic activation at
576 the onset of contractions in isolated *Xenopus laevis* single myofibres. *Exp Physiol.*
577 2008;93(9):1076-84. Epub 2008/06/03. doi: expphysiol.2008.042440 [pii]
578 10.1113/expphysiol.2008.042440. PubMed PMID: 18515473.
- 579 35. Nelson CR, Fitts RH. Effects of low cell pH and elevated inorganic phosphate on
580 the pCa-force relationship in single muscle fibers at near-physiological temperatures.
581 *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol.* 2014;306(7):C670-8. doi: 10.1152/ajpcell.00347.2013.
582 PubMed PMID: 24452378.
- 583 36. Fitts RH. The cross-bridge cycle and skeletal muscle fatigue. *J Appl Physiol.*
584 2008;104(2):551-8. Epub 2007/12/29. doi: 01200.2007 [pii]
585 10.1152/jappphysiol.01200.2007. PubMed PMID: 18162480.
- 586 37. Clausen T, Nielsen OB. Potassium, Na⁺,K⁺-pumps and fatigue in rat muscle. *J*
587 *Physiol.* 2007;584(Pt 1):295-304. Epub 2007/08/04. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2007.136044.
588 PubMed PMID: 17673509; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2277047.
- 589 38. Rodenburg JB, de Boer RW, Jeneson JA, van Echteld CJ, Bar PR. ³¹P-MRS
590 and simultaneous quantification of dynamic human quadriceps exercise in a whole body
591 MR scanner. *J Appl Physiol (1985).* 1994;77(2):1021-9. Epub 1994/08/01. doi:
592 10.1152/jappl.1994.77.2.1021. PubMed PMID: 8002487.
- 593 39. Hureau TJ, Olivier N, Millet GY, Meste O, Blain GM. Exercise performance is
594 regulated during repeated sprints to limit the development of peripheral fatigue beyond
595 a critical threshold. *Exp Physiol.* 2014;99(7):951-63. doi:
596 10.1113/expphysiol.2014.077974. PubMed PMID: 24728680.
- 597 40. Decorte N, Lafaix PA, Millet GY, Wuyam B, Verges S. Central and peripheral
598 fatigue kinetics during exhaustive constant-load cycling. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.*
599 2012;22(3):381-91. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0838.2010.01167.x. PubMed PMID: 20807390.

- 600 41. Sidhu SK, Cresswell AG, Carroll TJ. Corticospinal responses to sustained
601 locomotor exercises: moving beyond single-joint studies of central fatigue. *Sports Med.*
602 2013;43(6):437-49. doi: 10.1007/s40279-013-0020-6. PubMed PMID: 23456490.
- 603 42. Zoladz JA, Rademaker AC, Sargeant AJ. Human muscle power generating
604 capability during cycling at different pedalling rates. *Exp Physiol.* 2000;85(1):117-24.
605 Epub 2000/02/09. PubMed PMID: 10662901.
- 606 43. Yong S, Swisher AR, Ferguson C, Cannon DT. Does maximal isokinetic power at
607 exercise intolerance approximate critical power? *FASEB J.* 2017;31(1 Suppl):707.15.
- 608 44. Zoladz JA, Rademaker AC, Sargeant AJ. Non-linear relationship between O₂
609 uptake and power output at high intensities of exercise in humans. *J Physiol.* 1995;488 (Pt 1):211-7. Epub 1995/10/01. PubMed PMID: 8568657; PubMed Central PMCID:
610 PMCPMC1156714.
611
- 612 45. Beelen A, Sargeant AJ. Effect of fatigue on maximal power output at different
613 contraction velocities in humans. *J Appl Physiol.* 1991;71(6):2332-7. Epub 1991/12/01.
614 PubMed PMID: 1778931.
615