

This is a repository copy of *The 2018 Otto Aufranc Award: How does genome-wide variation affect osteolysis risk after THA?*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/143342/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

MacInnes, S.J., Hatzikotoulas, K., Fenstad, A.M. et al. (9 more authors) (2019) The 2018 Otto Aufranc Award: How does genome-wide variation affect osteolysis risk after THA? Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research, 477 (2). pp. 297-309. ISSN 0009-921X

https://doi.org/10.1097/01.blo.0000533629.49193.09

© 2019 Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. This is an author produced version of a paper subsequently published in Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



The 2018 Otto Aufranc Award

How Does Genome-wide Variation Affect Osteolysis Risk After THA?

Running title: The Genetics of Osteolysis

Scott J. MacInnes PhD, Konstantinos Hatzikotoulas PhD, Anne Marie Fenstad MSc, Karan Shah PhD, Lorraine Southam PhD, Ioanna Tachmazidou PhD, Geir Hallan PhD, Hårvard Dale PhD, Kalliope Panoutsopoulou PhD, Ove Furnes PhD, Eleftheria Zeggini PhD, J. Mark Wilkinson PhD

S. J. MacInnes, K. Shah, J. M. Wilkinson Department of Oncology and Metabolism, University of Sheffield, The Medical School, Sheffield, UK

K. Hatzikotoulas, I. Tachmazidou, K. Panoutsopoulou, E. Zeggini Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Cambridge, UK

A. M. Fenstad, H. Dale The Norwegian Arthroplasty Register, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway

L. Southam Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics, Oxford, UK

G. Hallan, O. Furnes Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

This study was funded by Arthritis Research UK grants 21163 and 18030 (EZ, JMW), Western Norway Regional Health Authority (OF), the National Institute for Health Research funded Sheffield Bone Biomedical Research Unit (SJM, JMW), and the Wellcome Trust (grant 098051) (EZ).

All ICMJE Conflict of Interest Forms for authors and Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research[®] editors and board members are on file with the publication and can be viewed on request.

Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research[®] neither advocates nor endorses the use of any treatment, drug, or device. Readers are encouraged to always seek additional information, including FDA approval status, of any drug or device before clinical use.

AU: Please do not delete query boxes or remove line numbers; ensure you address each query in the query box. You may modify text within selected text or outside the selected text (as appropriate) without deleting the query. These studies were approved by the National Research Ethics Service in England (NRES 12/YH/0390, October 30, 2012) and by the Directorate of Health in Norway (Ref. 08/8916, October 20, 2008) and the regional Ethical Committee of Western Norway (Ref. 08/10018, September 8, 2008). All work was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki, and all participants provided written informed consent.

This work was performed at the University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK; Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway; and the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Cambridge, UK.

J. M. Wilkinson ⊠ Room EU14 Department of Oncology and Metabolism University of Sheffield The Medical School Beech Hill Road Sheffield, S10 2JF, UK email: j.m.wilkinson@sheffield.ac.uk

1 Abstract

Background Periprosthetic osteolysis resulting in aseptic loosening is a leading cause of THA
revision. Individuals vary in their susceptibility to osteolysis and heritable factors may
contribute to this variation. However, the overall contribution that such variation makes to
osteolysis risk is unknown.

Questions/purposes We conducted two genome-wide association studies to (1) identify
genetic risk loci associated with susceptibility to osteolysis; and (2) identify genetic risk loci
associated with time to prosthesis revision for osteolysis.

9 Methods The Norway cohort comprised 2624 patients after THA recruited from the 10 Norwegian Arthroplasty Registry, of whom 779 had undergone revision surgery for osteolysis. The UK cohort included 890 patients previously recruited from hospitals in the 11 north of England, 317 who either had radiographic evidence of and/or had undergone revision 12 surgery for osteolysis. All participants had received a fully cemented or hybrid THA using a 13 small-diameter metal or ceramic-on-conventional polyethylene bearing. Osteolysis 14 15 susceptibility case-control analyses and quantitative trait analyses for time to prosthesis 16 revision (a proxy measure of the speed of osteolysis onset) in those patients with osteolysis were undertaken in each cohort separately after genome-wide genotyping. Finally, a meta-17 18 analysis of the two independent cohort association analysis results was undertaken. 19 Results Genome-wide association analysis identified four independent suggestive genetic signals for osteolysis case-control status in the Norwegian cohort and 11 in the UK cohort (p 20 \leq 5 x 10⁻⁶). After meta-analysis, five independent genetic signals showed a suggestive 21 association with osteolysis case-control status at $p \le 5 \ge 10^{-6}$ with the strongest comprising 18 22 correlated variants on chromosome 7 (lead signal rs850092, $p = 1.13 \times 10^{-6}$). Genome-wide 23 quantitative trait analysis in cases only showed a total of five and nine independent genetic 24

| 25 | signals for time to revision at $p \le 5 \ge 10^{-6}$, respectively. After meta-analysis, 11 independent |
|----|---|
| 26 | genetic signals showed suggestive evidence of an association with time to revision at $p \leq 5 \ x$ |
| 27 | 10^{-6} with the largest association block comprising 174 correlated variants in chromosome 15 |
| 28 | (lead signal rs10507055, $p = 1.40 \times 10^{-7}$). |
| 29 | Conclusions We explored the heritable biology of osteolysis at the whole genome level and |

identify several genetic loci that associate with susceptibility to osteolysis or with premature

revision surgery. However, further studies are required to determine a causal association 31

32 between the identified signals and osteolysis and their functional role in the disease.

33 Clinical Relevance The identification of novel genetic risk loci for osteolysis enables new

- investigative avenues for clinical biomarker discovery and therapeutic intervention in this 34
- disease. 35

30

36 Introduction

Despite improvements in modern prosthetic design, 5% to 10% of THA prostheses undergo
revision within 10 years [28, 32]. Although osteolysis after THA has been mitigated by the
use of highly crosslinked polyethylene bearings [22], osteolysis and its sequelae aseptic
loosening remain a leading indication for revision surgery, accounting for 55% of THA
revision procedures worldwide [43]. Revision surgery carries a three- to eightfold greater inhospital mortality, higher morbidity, and poorer functional outcome versus primary THA [9,
31, 58].

44 Aseptic loosening is the clinical endpoint of periprosthetic osteolysis, which describes a progressive resorption of bone caused by a host inflammatory response to particulate wear 45 debris [15, 25, 44, 45]. This inflammatory bone loss is mediated by proinflammatory 46 cytokines that upregulate osteoclastogenesis directly or indirectly through receptor activator 47 of nuclear factor kB ligand signaling [7, 19-21, 24, 52, 56] while also downregulating 48 49 osteoblastogenesis [27]. The exact mechanisms involved in this process are still not fully 50 understood, although several studies have implicated innate immune signaling through pattern recognition receptor activation by danger and pathogen-associated molecular patterns 51 52 [3, 5, 41, 48].

53 Evidence from in vitro studies suggest that individuals vary in their immunologic response to wear debris [12, 37]; however, the component of osteolysis that is attributable to heritable 54 55 factors remain unclear. Similarly, the genes that modulate the time after surgery when osteolysis occurs in patients who develop the disease also remain relatively unexplored, and 56 may differ to those that modulate susceptibility. Several investigators have explored the 57 58 relationship between genetic variation within candidate genes and susceptibility to periprosthetic osteolysis with the first identified association being with the promoter region of 59 the gene encoding tumor necrosis factor (TNF) [53]. Subsequently, several associations 60

between single nucleotide polymorphism (SNPs) in proinflammatory cytokines and bone
turnover pathways and osteolysis have been identified [1, 2, 11, 13, 14, 26, 30, 33-35, 47,
51]. However, our knowledge of the genetics of osteolysis is currently based entirely on
studies using the "candidate" gene approach in which the threshold for identifying an
association is low. The only genetic association with osteolysis identified to date that has
been independently replicated is found at the TNF promoter [11, 53].

Candidate gene studies, which are based on a priori hypotheses about the role of a selected 67 gene or a group of pathway-related genes, have several limitations. These include low sample 68 sizes leading to low statistical power to detect modest to small effect sizes that are 69 characteristic of most complex diseases and incomplete coverage of variation across the 70 genes of interest. Limited knowledge of the etiopathogenesis of disease also restricts the 71 selection of candidate genes and misses variation in genes lying in previously unsuspected 72 73 pathways. In contrast, genome-wide association studies utilize a hypothesis-free approach 74 enabling the examination of a set of maximally informative markers capturing variation across the whole genome. This approach has established thousands of reproducible 75 76 associations with complex diseases (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/) [23, 40]. To date, there have been no systematic studies of the genetic architecture of osteolysis at the whole genome 77 level. 78

We conducted two genome-wide association studies and a subsequent meta-analysis to (1)
identify genetic risk loci associated with susceptibility to osteolysis; and (2) identify genetic
risk loci associated with time to prosthesis revision for osteolysis.

82 Patients and Methods

The Norwegian cohort comprised patients with osteolysis and osteolysis-free matched control
patients after THA. The participants were identified from the Norwegian Arthroplasty

85 Register and recruited by postal return of a saliva sample for DNA extraction between April 2009 and December 2011. All patients had previously undergone primary cemented or hybrid 86 (cemented femur) THA for idiopathic osteoarthritis. The recruitment strategy for the 87 88 Norwegian cohort was planned to minimize confounders between the patients with osteolysis and those in the control group as follows: All live patients recorded in the Norwegian 89 Arthroplasty Register as having had a revision for the indication of osteolysis or aseptic 90 loosening (n = 2029) were invited to participate. The revision patients were recruited first and 91 the control group patients individually matched at a ratio of approximately three to one to be 92 93 of the same age (± 2 years), sex, implant fixation method, bearing couple material and head size (22-mm or 28-mm bearing only), and year of primary surgery (± 2 years). Patients who 94 had undergone primary THA for an inflammatory arthropathy, femoral neck fracture, 95 96 secondary osteoarthritis, or who had a history of infection were excluded. Patients who had 97 previously undergone revision arthroplasty were also excluded as were those of self-reported non-European Caucasian ancestry. This exclusion criteria were also confirmed at genotype 98 99 screening. In all, 923 patients who had previously undergone revision surgery for osteolysis responded to the invitation and provided a saliva sample for DNA analysis. A matched group 100 101 of 1957 patients identified within the Norwegian Arthroplasty Register as having primary THA for idiopathic osteoarthritis and with no recorded revision surgery episodes for the 102 operated hip provided a saliva sample as disease-negative controls. 103 104 The 890 patients in the UK cohort had been previously recruited into a research program

examining the genetics of osteolysis, having previously undergone either cemented or hybrid

106 THA with a metal-on-conventional polyethylene bearing couple for primary osteoarthritis.

107 The osteolysis group comprised 317 patients with any osteolysis, with or without aseptic

108 loosening, diagnosed on plain AP and lateral radiographs of the hip using the Harris criteria

109 [16, 17], and the control group comprised 573 asymptomatic patients at a minimum of 7

110 years after primary THA and who had not undergone any revision surgery and were free from plain radiographic evidence of osteolysis at the time of recruitment. These participants were 111 112 identified through hospital records from the north of England and recruited between April 2000 and August 2010 as part of previous ethically approved osteolysis studies [13, 30, 53] 113 and had DNA archived in South Yorkshire and North Derbyshire Musculoskeletal Biobank. 114 115 In both cohorts, patients in the osteolysis group were younger, and a greater proportion were men when compared with the control group (Table 1). Patients in the control population also 116 had a longer time since primary THA than the patients with osteolysis, and a greater 117 proportion in the Norway cohort had fully cemented prostheses and ceramic-on-polyethylene 118 119 bearing couples. These findings are consistent with known osteolysis risk factors [15, 18, 49] and were adjusted for by inclusion as covariates in all subsequent analyses. 120 DNA Sample Quality Control, Genotyping, and Association Analyses 121 Genomic DNA from the Norwegian cohort was genotyped on the Infinium Illumina 122 HumanCoreExome-24 BeadChip Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Genotypes were 123 124 called using the Illumina Genome Studio Gencall calling algorithm. All samples underwent standard quality control (QC) procedures with exclusion criteria as follows: (1) call rate <125 80%; (2) gender discrepancy; (3) excess heterozygosity (separately for minor allele frequency 126 127 $(MAF) \ge 1\%$ and < 1%; (4) duplicates and/or related; (5) ethnicity outliers; and (6) Fluidigm concordance (this identity check looks at sample concordance between Illumina and Fluidigm 128 genotypes). Variants were excluded based on the following: (1) call rate < 98%; (2) Hardy-129 Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) $p \le 1 \ge 10^{-4}$; (3) cluster separation score < 0.4; (4) MAF < 130 131 0.01; and (5) < four minor allele counts in cases and controls separately. In total, after the 132 exclusion of samples and variants that failed the QC criteria, 785 osteolysis patients, 1846 control patients, and 508,957 directly typed SNPs remained. Phasing and imputation were 133 carried out remotely on the Haplotype Reference Consortium (HRC) free servers using 134

| 135 | IMPUTE2 and SHAPEIT3 | software | (http:// | 'www.ha | aploty | pe-reference- | -consortium.org/) |). |
|-----|-----------------------------|----------|----------|---------|--------|---------------|-------------------|----|
|-----|-----------------------------|----------|----------|---------|--------|---------------|-------------------|----|

Briefly, the HRC reference panel consists of 64,976 human haplotypes at 39,235,157 SNPs

using whole-genome sequence data from 20 studies of predominantly European ancestry

138 [38]. After imputation and additional QC exclusions (variants with MAF < 0.05, HWE p \leq

139 10^{-4} , and imputation info score ≤ 0.4), the number of variants reached 5,397,933 and

- 140 5,397,567 for case-control status and time-to-revision analyses, respectively. In all, 2631
- 141 individuals (779 patients with osteolysis and 1846 patients in the control group) passed the
- 142 QC criteria and were used in the case-control analysis, and in the time-to-revision analysis,
- 143 only cases were used.
- 144 Genomic DNA from patients in the UK cohort was genotyped using the Illumina 610k

beadchip. After QC, the data set was phased and imputed using the HRC reference panel by

applying the same QC metrics used for the Norwegian cohort. After QC, 5,314,896 variants

in 895 individuals proceeded to case-control analysis and 5,415,184 variants in 317

- 148 individuals proceeded to time-to-revision analysis.
- 149 Association analyses for osteolysis case-control status and time to revision in those patients

150 with osteolysis were conducted separately for the Norwegian and UK cohorts and made using

the frequentist likelihood ratio test and method ml in SNPTEST v2.5.2

152 (<u>https://mathgen.stats.ox.ac.uk/genetics_software/snptest/</u>) [36]. To account for population

stratification, the first 10 principal components were included as covariates in the association

testing. Sex, age at operation, prosthesis fixation method, bearing couple material

155 combination, and lysis-free survival were also used as covariates in the association analysis.

- 156 The same covariates were used for the time-to-prosthesis revision analysis. Because of the
- 157 large number of variants tested in genomic studies and the variable levels of linkage
- 158 (nonindependence) between the variables, p values of $\leq 5 \times 10^{-6}$ were taken as indicating a
- suggestive association between variant and disease status and $p \le 5 \ge 10^{-8}$ as indicating

- 160 genome-wide significance. Power was calculated using Quanto v1.2.4 [38] using $p = 5 \ge 10^{-8}$
- and fixed the sample size to the size of each cohort separately.
- 162 Meta-analysis
- 163 We performed a meta-analysis of the two analyzed cohorts using the fixed-effects inverse-
- 164 variance weighted model implemented in METAL
- 165 (http://www.sph.umich.edu/csg/abecasis/metal/) [54]. The total sample size in the combined
- 166 cohort consisted of 1096 patients and 2419 control group participants. Variants with per-
- 167 cohort MAF 0.05, imputation information score > 0.4, and HWE $p \ge 10^{-4}$ were included in
- the analysis. To test the heterogeneity of the results, we computed Cochran's Q and the I^2
- 169 statistic.
- 170 Data Availability
- 171 Anonymized genotypes of the Norwegian cohort included in this study are publicly available
- through the European Genome-Phenome Archive (EGA) under accession number
- 173 EGAS00001001883, data set EGAD00010001289.

174 **Results**

- 175 Genetic Loci Associated With Osteolysis Case-control Status
- 176 In the Norwegian cohort, we found a total of 12 SNPs comprising four independent signals
- 177 (Manhattan Plot [Fig. 1A], QQ Plot [Fig. 1B]) that were associated with osteolysis case-
- 178 control status at $p \le 5 \ge 10^{-6}$. A summary of the loci associated with osteolysis is shown
- 179 (Supplemental Table 1 [Supplemental materials are available with the online version of
- 180 CORR[®].]). The variant with the most statistically significant p value was rs8101944, an
- upstream variant of PLPP2 (phospholipid phosphatase 2; PPAP2C [phosphatidic acid
- phosphatase type 2C]) on chromosome 19 (effect allele [EA] T, effect allele frequency [EAF]
- 183 0.06, odds ratio [OR], 0.68; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.51-0.89; $p = 1.26 \times 10^{-6}$).

- 185 (Manhattan Plot [Fig. 2A], QQ Plot [Fig. 2B]) that were associated with osteolysis case-
- 186 control status at $p \le 5 \ge 10^{-6}$. A summary of the loci associated with osteolysis case-control
- 187 status is shown (Supplemental Table 2 [Supplemental materials are available with the online
- 188 version of CORR[®].]). The variant with the most statistically significant p value was
- 189 rs12135813, an intergenic variant (EA C, EAF 0.37; OR, 0.60; 95% CI, 0.49–0.74; p = 4.34 x
- 190 10^{-7}) and lies between the PLXNA2 (plexin A2) and MIR205HG genes on chromosome 1.
- 191 Genetic Loci Associated With Time to Prosthesis Revision
- 192 In the Norwegian cohort, we identified 32 SNPs comprising five independent signals
- 193 (Manhattan Plot [Fig. 3A], QQ Plot [Fig. 3B]) that were associated with time to revision at p
- 194 $\leq 5 \ge 10^{-6}$. A summary of the loci associated with time to revision is shown (Supplemental
- 195 Table 3 [Supplemental materials are available with the online version of CORR[®].]). The
- 196 variant with the most statistically significant p value is rs282329 (EA T, EAF 0.66; beta \pm
- standard error [SE] 0.25 ± 0.05 ; p = 3.06×10^{-7}) and lies between the VEZT (vezatin,
- adherens junctions transmembrane protein) and METAP2 (methionyl aminopeptidase 2)
- 199 protein coding genes on chromosome 12.
- 200 Genome-wide analysis in the UK cohort identified 19 signals comprising nine independent
- signals (Manhattan Plot [Fig. 4A], QQ Plot [Fig. 4B]) that were associated with time to
- revision at $p \le 5 \ge 10^{-6}$. A summary of the loci associated with time-to-revision status is
- shown (Supplemental Table 4 [Supplemental materials are available with the online version
- of CORR[®].]). The variant with the most statistically significant p value was rs184396151 (EA
- 205 G, EAF 0.67; beta \pm SE 1.34 \pm 0.17; p = 6.70 x 10⁻⁷) and lies within CUX2 (cut-like
- 206 homeobox 2) protein coding gene on chromosome 12.
- 207 Genetic Loci Association Meta-analyses

208 The results showed that 5,411,522 variants with MAF \ge 0.05 were common to both the Norwegian and UK osteolysis case-control analyses. After meta-analysis, no signals 209 approached the genome-wide significance threshold of $p \le 5 \ge 10^{-8}$ (Manhattan Plot [Fig. 210 5A], QQ Plot [Fig. 5B]). A summary of the loci that associated with osteolysis case-control 211 status at $p \le 5 \ge 10^{-6}$ is shown (Supplemental Table 5 [Supplemental materials are available 212 with the online version of CORR[®].]). Twenty-nine SNPs, with the same direction of effect in 213 both cohorts and comprising five independent signals, showed suggestive evidence for an 214 association with osteolysis susceptibility with $p \le 5 \ge 10^{-6}$. The strongest signal was in 215 chromosome 7 (Fig. 6) with 18 correlated variants showing $p \le 5 \ge 10^{-6}$. The lead variant 216 rs850092 (EA A, EAF 0.72; OR, 1.41; 95% CI, 1.23–1.61; $p = 1.13 \times 10^{-6}$), is located within 217 DPY19L2P3 (DPY19L2 pseudogene 3). 218

In a meta-analysis across the Norwegian and UK cohorts for time to revision, a total of 219 5,418,572 variants were analyzed (Manhattan Plot [Fig. 7A], QQ Plot [Fig. 7B]). A summary 220 of the loci that were associated with time to revision at $p < 5 \ge 10^{-6}$ is shown (Supplemental 221 Table 6 [Supplemental materials are available with the online version of CORR[®].]). In all, 222 223 209 variants with the same direction of effect in both cohorts and comprising 11 independent signals showed suggestive evidence for association with time-to-prosthesis revision with $p \leq p$ 224 5 x 10⁻⁶. rs10507055 (Fig. 8) had the most statistically significant p value (EA T, EAF 0.37; 225 beta \pm SE -0.22 \pm 0.04; p = 1.40 x 10⁻⁷) and is in the same region of chromosome 12 as 226 rs282329, which had the most statistically significant p value in the Norwegian cohort 227 association analysis. A block of 174 correlated variants with $p \le 5 \ge 10^{-6}$ was found in 228 chromosome 15 (Fig. 9). rs12899987 is the lead variant and lies within the gene OTUD7A 229 (EA T, EAF 0.81; beta \pm SE 0.26 \pm 0.05; p = 2.80 x 10⁻⁷). 230

231 Discussion

232 Although osteolysis after THA has been mitigated substantially by the use of highly crosslinked polyethylene bearings, osteolysis and its sequelae aseptic loosening remain a 233 leading indication for revision surgery. Previous studies have observed that interindividual 234 235 differences in susceptibility to osteolysis may have a genetic basis [1, 2, 34, 53], but this question has not been examined systematically at the genome-wide level. In this study, in two 236 European cohorts, we explored the contribution that variation across the human genome 237 238 makes to osteolysis and found evidence of a modest heritable contribution to disease susceptibility. We found replicating evidence for suggestive association of several genetic 239 240 loci with susceptibility to osteolysis and with time to revision in those patients with osteolysis. The largest association block in the case-control meta-analysis centered on the 241 gene encoding DPY19L2 pseudogene 3 on the short arm of chromosome 7 (intronic variant 242 243 rs850092). This gene has not been characterized previously and its function is unknown. However, this signal also lies adjacent to microRNA 550a-3 (MiR550A3). MicroRNAs are a 244 recently discovered group of RNAs that function to regulate the production of other peptides 245 and are currently being explored as putative biomarkers and treatments for musculoskeletal 246 and other diseases [4, 6, 39, 42]. In our quantitative trait meta-analysis of time-to-prosthesis 247 revision, we identified a large block of 174 correlated variants in chromosome 15. The lead 248 signal for this block was intronic variant rs12899987 that lies within the gene encoding OTU 249 deubiquitinase 7A (OTUD7A). OTUD7A is an intracellular enzyme that modulates NFkB 250 signaling through TRAF6 that is pivotal in proinflammatory cytokine signaling in 251 periprosthetic osteolysis [55] and represents a potentially actionable target in its prevention 252 [29]. The most statistically significant signal in the time-to-revision analysis lies within the 253 gene LOC105369917. The function of this gene has not been explored. However, this signal 254 also lies adjacent to two further microRNA sites, MiR331 and MiR3685. Further exploration 255 by fine mapping of these loci is required to identify the causal variants at each signal. 256

257 This study has several limitations. Although these cohorts represent a nationwide and a large regional cohort purposely collected for the study of osteolysis genetics, the sample sizes 258 remain small compared with other population-based genomic studies [10, 50, 57]. For the 259 260 case-control analysis, we had > 80% power to detect ORs of 1.5 to 1.9 for variants with MAF 5% to 15% using the combined sample size and combined case/control ratio (1:2.2). For the 261 continuous trait, we assumed a population mean of 0 and a SD of 1. The combined sample 262 size had > 80% power to detect variants at genome-wide significance ($p < 5 \ge 10^{-8}$) with 263 modest effect size (beta of 1.3 to 1.5) for common variants (MAF, 0.5 to 0.15) and moderate 264 265 effect size (beta of 1.6 to 2.0) for variants with MAF 0.14 to 0.05. However, similar sample sizes have been used previously to identify the genetic underpinnings in other complex 266 musculoskeletal diseases, including the association of Wnt signaling with Dupuytren's 267 268 disease (n = 960 cases) [8].

269 The case-ascertainment approach also differed between the cohorts. The UK participants were recruited face to face using the primary hospital record and included radiographic 270 evidence of osteolysis or the revision operative record, as described previously, and 271 272 comprised all patients who fit the relevant inclusion and exclusion criteria. The known epidemiologic risk factors for osteolysis were therefore also reflected in the UK study 273 274 population. Although this provides evidence for the generalizability of our findings to other populations, we had to adjust for these covariates in the genetic association analyses. All 275 analyses are shown postadjustment for these clinical risk factors as well as for unidentified 276 277 population and analytical stratification using principal component and regression analysis. The Norwegian cohort study design and recruitment were made using the Norwegian 278 Arthroplasty Register as the source data set with documented revision events and indications 279 280 recorded by the operating surgeon. The patients with osteolysis were recruited before the control patients and at a control:case ratio of 3:1 with screening to match the demographic 281

282 characteristics and implant type and operation year (± 2 years) as closely as possible. This approach allowed the recruitment of a large case-control matched population but increases 283 284 the risk of ascertainment bias because patients with silent osteolysis may have been recorded as controls and cases could have been misdiagnosed. Despite this approach, small statistical 285 differences in population demographics remained because of the large sample sizes involved, 286 although their clinical relevance may be limited. For example, the mean age at primary 287 288 operation in the Norwegian control patients was 66 years, whereas it was 64 years in the patients with osteolysis. We adjusted for these residual differences in the Norwegian cohort 289 290 association analysis in the same manner as we did for the UK cohort.

We found more genetic signals within the UK versus the Norwegian population despite the 291 smaller cohort size. These differences may be genuine. The observed differences might also 292 reflect differences in case ascertainment or other unknown biases between the cohorts. 293 294 However, the population-level genomic architecture of both cohorts by variant allele 295 frequency was similar, indicating no significant genetic heterogeneity between the cohorts. The study participants in each cohort also came from different healthcare economies with 296 potential differences in diagnostic and treatment thresholds. Individual surgeons' clinical 297 298 practices also differ, resulting in management variation both between and within the cohorts. However, these types of classification differences are unlikely to map to particular genotypes, 299 and thus their likely effect is to create noise limiting the ability of the study to detect genuine 300 301 genetic signals rather than increasing the false-positive discovery rate.

We, and others, have previously shown the association of osteolysis with variation in several candidate genes [11, 30]. In these studies, the genes are selected based on their known biologic function or previous association of the selected variants with other diseases that share biologic similarities. The threshold for statistical significance is also set low (typically at p < 0.05), favoring the identification of a positive association. Although these discovery studies lend support to the concept of a disease driven by heritable variation, these
associations commonly are not reproduced when examined in independent cohorts [46], and
the overall contribution of genetic variation to the disease remains unanswered. In contrast,
genome-wide studies allow examination of the overall genetic architecture of the disease that
underpins the differences in susceptibility between individuals. However, these studies
require larger sample sizes and are accompanied by substantially more stringent thresholds
for significance.

The data presented here suggest the association of several previously unstudied genomic loci with osteolysis. The observations that such loci may reside within areas of the genome about which we still know very little provide the opportunity for novel avenues for exploration of the disease. However, further replication of the observed associations is required to confirm their validity, fine-mapping to precisely localize causal associations, and experimental study of their biologic function will enable us to clearly understand their role in osteolysis biology and to translate this new knowledge into diagnostic and therapeutic tools.

321

322

Acknowledgments

We thank the Norwegian Arthroplasty Register patients and staff and the South Yorkshire and North Derbyshire Musculoskeletal Biobank for facilitating these studies.

References

- Ambruzova Z, Gallo J, Mrazek F, Kubistova Z, Onderkova J, Petrek M. Association of cytokine
 gene polymorphisms with expansile periprosthetic osteolysis in total hip arthroplasty. *Tiss* Antigens. 2006;67:528-528.
- Bachmann HS, Hanenkamp S, Kornacki B, Frey UH, Bau M, Siffert W, Wedemeyer C. Gender dependent association of the GNAS1 T393C polymorphism with early aseptic loosening after
 total hip arthroplasty. *J Orthop Res.* 2008;26:1562-1568.
- Bi Y, Seabold JM, Kaar SG, Ragab AA, Goldberg VM, Anderson JM, Greenfield EM. Adherent
 endotoxin on orthopedic wear particles stimulates cytokine production and osteoclast
 differentiation. J Bone Miner Res. 2001;16:2082-2091.
- Budd E, Waddell S, de Andres MC, Oreffo ROC. The Potential of microRNAs for Stem Cell-based
 Therapy for Degenerative Skeletal Diseases. *Curr Mol Biol Rep.* 2017;3:263-275.
- 3345.Burton L, Paget D, Binder NB, Bohnert K, Nestor BJ, Sculco TP, Santambrogio L, Ross FP,335Goldring SR, Purdue PE. Orthopedic wear debris mediated inflammatory osteolysis is336mediated in part by NALP3 inflammasome activation. J Orthop Res. 2013;31:73-80.
- 6. Chakraborty C, Sharma AR, Sharma G, Sarkar BK, Lee SS. The novel strategies for nextgeneration cancer treatment: miRNA combined with chemotherapeutic agents for the treatment of cancer. *Oncotarget*. 2018;9:10164-10174.
- 3407.Clohisy JC, Frazier E, Hirayama T, Abu-Amer Y. RANKL is an essential cytokine mediator of
polymethylmethacrylate particle-induced osteoclastogenesis. J Orthop Res. 2003;21:202-212.
- Bolmans GH, Werker PM, Hennies HC, Furniss D, Festen EA, Franke L, Becker K, van der Vlies
 P, Wolffenbuttel BH, Tinschert S, Toliat MR, Nothnagel M, Franke A, Klopp N, Wichmann HE,
 Nurnberg P, Giele H, Ophoff RA, Wijmenga C, Dutch Dupuytren Study G, German Dupuytren
 Study G, LifeLines Cohort S, BSS-Godd Consortium. Wnt signaling and Dupuytren's disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;365:307-317.
- Doro C, Dimick J, Wainess R, Upchurch G, Urquhart A. Hospital volume and inpatient mortality
 outcomes of total hip arthroplasty in the United States. *J Arthroplasty.* 2006;21:10-16.
- 10. Flint J, Munafo M. Schizophrenia: genesis of a complex disease. *Nature*. 2014;511:412-413.
- 35011.Gallo J, Mrazek F, Petrek M. Variation in cytokine genes can contribute to severity of351acetabular osteolysis and risk for revision in patients with ABG 1 total hip arthroplasty: a352genetic association study. BMC Med Genet. 2009;10:109.
- 35312.Gordon A, Greenfield EM, Eastell R, Kiss-Toth E, Wilkinson JM. Individual susceptibility to354periprosthetic osteolysis is associated with altered patterns of innate immune gene355expression in response to pro-inflammatory stimuli. J Orthop Res. 2010;28:1127-1135.
- Gordon A, Kiss-Toth E, Stockley I, Eastell R, Wilkinson JM. Polymorphisms in the Interleukin-1
 Receptor Antagonist and Interleukin-6 Genes Affect Risk of Osteolysis in Patients with Total
 Hip Arthroplasty. Arthritis Rheum. 2008;58:3157-3165.
- Gordon A, Southam L, Loughlin J, Wilson AG, Stockley I, Hamer AJ, Eastell R, Wilkinson JM.
 Variation in the secreted frizzled-related protein-3 gene and risk of osteolysis and heterotopic
 ossification after total hip arthroplasty. *J Orthop Res.* 2007;25:1665-1670.
- Harris WH. Wear and periprosthetic osteolysis: the problem. *Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2001 Dec;(393):66-70.*
- Harris WH, McGann WA. Loosening of the femoral component after use of the medullary-plug
 cementing technique. Follow-up note with a minimum five-year follow-up. *J Bone Joint Surg.* 1986;68-A:1064-1066.
- Harris WH, Penenberg BL. Further follow-up on socket fixation using a metal-backed
 acetabular component for total hip replacement. A minimum ten-year follow-up study. *J Bone Joint Surg.* 1987;69-A:1140-1143.
- 37018.Havelin LI, Engesaeter LB, Espehaug B, Furnes O, Lie SA, Vollset SE. The Norwegian371Arthroplasty Register: 11 years and 73,000 arthroplasties. Acta Orthop. 2000;71:337-353.

- Haynes DR, Crotti TN, Potter AE, Loric M, Atkins GJ, Howie DW, Findlay DM. The
 osteoclastogenic molecules RANKL and RANK are associated with periprosthetic osteolysis. J *Bone Joint Surg.* 2001;83-B:902-911.
- 375 20. Holding CA, Findlay DM, Stamenkov R, Neale SD, Lucas H, Dharmapatni AS, Callary SA,
 376 Shrestha KR, Atkins GJ, Howie DW, Haynes DR. The correlation of RANK, RANKL and TNFalpha
 377 expression with bone loss volume and polyethylene wear debris around hip implants.
 378 Biomaterials. 2006;27:5212-5219.
- Holt G, Murnaghan C, Reilly J, Meek RM. The biology of aseptic osteolysis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2007 Jul;460:240-52.*
- Hopper RH, Jr., Ho H, Sritulanondha S, Williams AC, Engh CA, Jr. Otto Aufranc Award:
 Crosslinking Reduces THA Wear, Osteolysis, and Revision Rates at 15-year Followup Compared
 With Noncrosslinked Polyethylene. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2018;476:279-290.
- 384 23. International HapMap Consortium. A haplotype map of the human genome. *Nature*.
 385 2005;437:1299-1320.
- Jiranek WA, Machado M, Jasty M, Jevsevar D, Wolfe HJ, Goldring SR, Goldberg MJ, Harris WH.
 Production of cytokines around loosened cemented acetabular components. Analysis with
 immunohistochemical techniques and in situ hybridization. *J Bone Joint Surg.* 1993;75-A:863 879.
- Kadoya Y, Kobayashi A, Ohashi H. Wear and osteolysis in total joint replacements. *Acta Orthop Suppl. 1998 Feb;278:1-16.*
- Kolundzic R, Orlic D, Trkulja V, Pavelic K, Troselj KG. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in the
 interleukin-6 gene promoter, tumor necrosis factor-alpha gene promoter, and transforming
 growth factor-beta1 gene signal sequence as predictors of time to onset of aseptic loosening
 after total hip arthroplasty: preliminary study. *J Orthop Sci.* 2006;11:592-600.
- Koulouvaris P, Ly K, Ivashkiv LB, Bostrom MP, Nestor BJ, Sculco TP, Purdue PE. Expression
 profiling reveals alternative macrophage activation and impaired osteogenesis in
 periprosthetic osteolysis. *J Orthop Res.* 2008;26:106-116.
- 28. Labek G, Thaler M, Janda W, Agreiter M, Stockl B. Revision rates after total joint replacement:
 400 cumulative results from worldwide joint register datasets. *J Bone Joint Surg.* 2011;93-B:293401 297.
- 402 29. Lin TH, Pajarinen J, Lu L, Nabeshima A, Cordova LA, Yao Z, Goodman SB. NF-kappaB as a
 403 Therapeutic Target in Inflammatory-Associated Bone Diseases. *Adv Protein Chem Struct Biol.*404 2017;107:117-154.
- 40530.MacInnes SJ, Del Vescovo E, Kiss-Toth E, Ollier WE, Kay PR, Gordon A, Greenfield EM,406Wilkinson JM. Genetic variation in inflammatory and bone turnover pathways and risk of407osteolytic responses to prosthetic materials. J Orthop Res. 2015;33:193-198.
- 408 31. Mahomed NN, Barrett JA, Katz JN, Phillips CB, Losina E, Lew RA, Guadagnoli E, Harris WH, Poss
 409 R, Baron JA. Rates and outcomes of primary and revision total hip replacement in the United
 410 States medicare population. *J Bone Joint Surg.* 2003;85-A:27-32.
- 32. Makela KT, Matilainen M, Pulkkinen P, Fenstad AM, Havelin L, Engesaeter L, Furnes O,
 Pedersen AB, Overgaard S, Karrholm J, Malchau H, Garellick G, Ranstam J, Eskelinen A. Failure
 rate of cemented and uncemented total hip replacements: register study of combined Nordic
 database of four nations. *BMJ.* 2014;348:f7592.
- 41533.Malik MH, Bayat A, Jury F, Kay PR, Ollier WE. Genetic susceptibility to total hip arthroplasty416failure--positive association with mannose-binding lectin. J Arthroplasty. 2007;22:265-270.
- 41734.Malik MH, Bayat A, Jury F, Ollier WE, Kay PR. Genetic susceptibility to hip arthroplasty failure-418-association with the RANK/OPG pathway. Int.Orthop. 2006;30:177-181.
- Malik MH, Jury F, Bayat A, Ollier WE, Kay PR. Genetic susceptibility to total hip arthroplasty
 failure: a preliminary study on the influence of matrix metalloproteinase 1, interleukin 6
 polymorphisms and vitamin D receptor. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2007;66:1116-1120.

- 422 36. Marchini J, Howie B, Myers S, McVean G, Donnelly P. A new multipoint method for genome423 wide association studies by imputation of genotypes. *Nat Genet.* 2007;39:906-913.
- Matthews JB, Green TR, Stone MH, Wroblewski BM, Fisher, Ingham E. Comparison of the
 response of primary human peripheral blood mononuclear phagocytes from different donors
 to challenge with model polyethylene particles of known size and dose. *Biomaterials.*2000;21:2033-2044.
- 428 38. McCarthy S, Das S, Kretzschmar W, Delaneau O, Wood AR, Teumer A, Kang HM, Fuchsberger 429 C, Danecek P, Sharp K, Luo Y, Sidore C, Kwong A, Timpson N, Koskinen S, Vrieze S, Scott LJ, 430 Zhang H, Mahajan A, Veldink J, Peters U, Pato C, van Duijn CM, Gillies CE, Gandin I, Mezzavilla 431 M, Gilly A, Cocca M, Traglia M, Angius A, Barrett JC, Boomsma D, Branham K, Breen G, 432 Brummett CM, Busonero F, Campbell H, Chan A, Chen S, Chew E, Collins FS, Corbin LJ, Smith 433 GD, Dedoussis G, Dorr M, Farmaki AE, Ferrucci L, Forer L, Fraser RM, Gabriel S, Levy S, Groop 434 L, Harrison T, Hattersley A, Holmen OL, Hveem K, Kretzler M, Lee JC, McGue M, Meitinger T, 435 Melzer D, Min JL, Mohlke KL, Vincent JB, Nauck M, Nickerson D, Palotie A, Pato M, Pirastu N, 436 McInnis M, Richards JB, Sala C, Salomaa V, Schlessinger D, Schoenherr S, Slagboom PE, Small 437 K, Spector T, Stambolian D, Tuke M, Tuomilehto J, Van den Berg LH, Van Rheenen W, Volker 438 U, Wijmenga C, Toniolo D, Zeggini E, Gasparini P, Sampson MG, Wilson JF, Frayling T, de Bakker 439 PI, Swertz MA, McCarroll S, Kooperberg C, Dekker A, Altshuler D, Willer C, Iacono W, Ripatti S, 440 Soranzo N, Walter K, Swaroop A, Cucca F, Anderson CA, Myers RM, Boehnke M, McCarthy MI, 441 Durbin R, Haplotype Reference Consortium. A reference panel of 64,976 haplotypes for 442 genotype imputation. Nat Genet. 2016;48:1279-1283.
- 44339.Nguyen LT, Sharma AR, Chakraborty C, Saibaba B, Ahn ME, Lee SS. Review of Prospects of444Biological Fluid Biomarkers in Osteoarthritis. Int J Mol Sci. 2017;18.
- 445 40. Pe'er I, Yelensky R, Altshuler D, Daly MJ. Estimation of the multiple testing burden for
 446 genomewide association studies of nearly all common variants. *Genet Epidemiol.*447 2008;32:381-385.
- 448 41. Pearl JI, Ma T, Irani AR, Huang Z, Robinson WH, Smith RL, Goodman SB. Role of the Toll-like
 449 receptor pathway in the recognition of orthopedic implant wear-debris particles.
 450 *Biomaterials.* 2011;32:5535-5542.
- 42. Roitbak T. Silencing a Multifunctional microRNA Is Beneficial for Stroke Recovery. *Front Mol*452 *Neurosci.* 2018;11:58.
- 43. Sadoghi P, Liebensteiner M, Agreiter M, Leithner A, Bohler N, Labek G. Revision surgery after
 total joint arthroplasty: a complication-based analysis using worldwide arthroplasty registers.
 J Arthroplasty. 2013;28:1329-1332.
- 456 44. Schmalzried TP, Jasty M, Harris WH. Periprosthetic bone loss in total hip arthroplasty.
 457 Polyethylene wear debris and the concept of the effective joint space. *J Bone Joint Surg.*458 1992;74-A:849-863.
- 45. Schmalzried TP, Shepherd EF, Dorey FJ, Jackson WO, dela RM, Fa'vae F, McKellop HA, McClung
 460 CD, Martell J, Moreland JR, Amstutz HC. The John Charnley Award. Wear is a function of use,
 461 not time. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2000:36-46.
- 46. Stelmach P, Kauther MD, Fuest L, Kurscheid G, Gehrke T, Klenke S, Jager M, Wedemeyer C,
 463 Bachmann HS. Relationship between GNAS1 T393C polymorphism and aseptic loosening after
 464 total hip arthroplasty. *Eur J Med Res.* 2017;22:29.
- 465 47. Stelmach P, Wedemeyer C, Fuest L, Kurscheid G, Gehrke T, Klenke S, Jager M, Kauther MD,
 466 Bachmann HS. The BCL2 -938C>A Promoter Polymorphism Is Associated with Risk for and Time
 467 to Aseptic Loosening of Total Hip Arthroplasty. *PloS one.* 2016;11:e0149528.
- 468 48. Takagi M, Tamaki Y, Hasegawa H, Takakubo Y, Konttinen L, Tiainen VM, Lappalainen R,
 469 Konttinen YT, Salo J. Toll-like receptors in the interface membrane around loosening total hip
 470 replacement implants. *J Biomed Mater Res A.* 2007;81:1017-1026.
- 471 49. Tsao AK, Jones LC, Lewallen DG. What patient and surgical factors contribute to implant wear
 472 and osteolysis in total joint arthroplasty? *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2008;16 Suppl 1:S7-13.

- 473 50. Van Cauwenberghe C, Van Broeckhoven C, Sleegers K. The genetic landscape of Alzheimer
 474 disease: clinical implications and perspectives. *Genet Med.* 2016;18:421-430.
- 475 51. Wedemeyer C, Kauther MD, Hanenkamp S, Nuckel H, Bau M, Siffert W, Bachmann HS. BCL2476 938C>A and CALCA-1786T>C polymorphisms in aseptic loosened total hip arthroplasty. *Eur J*477 *Med Res.* 2009;14:250-255.
- 478 52. Westacott CI, Taylor G, Atkins R, Elson C. Interleukin 1 alpha and beta production by cells
 479 isolated from membranes around aseptically loose total joint replacements. *Ann Rheum Dis.*480 1992;51:638-642.
- 481 53. Wilkinson JM, Wilson AG, Stockley I, Scott IR, Macdonald DA, Hamer AJ, Duff GW, Eastell R.
 482 Variation in the TNF gene promoter and risk of osteolysis after total hip arthroplasty. *J Bone*483 *Miner Res.* 2003;18:1995-2001.
- 484 54. Willer CJ, Li Y, Abecasis GR. METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide 485 association scans. *Bioinformatics*. 2010;26:2190-2191.
- 486 55. Xu J, Wu HF, Ang ES, Yip K, Woloszyn M, Zheng MH, Tan RX. NF-kappaB modulators in 487 osteolytic bone diseases. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* 2009;20:7-17.
- 488 56. Xu JW, Konttinen YT, Lassus J, Natah S, Ceponis A, Solovieva S, Aspenberg P, Santavirta S.
 489 Tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-alpha) in loosening of total hip replacement (THR). *Clin Exp*490 *Rheumatol.* 1996;14:643-648.
- 491 57. Zengini E, Hatzikotoulas K, Tachmazidou I, Steinberg J, Hartwig FP, Southam L, Hackinger S,
 492 Boer CG, Styrkarsdottir U, Gilly A, Suveges D, Killian B, Ingvarsson T, Jonsson H, Babis GC,
 493 McCaskie A, Uitterlinden AG, van Meurs JBJ, Thorsteinsdottir U, Stefansson K, Davey Smith G,
 494 Wilkinson JM, Zeggini E. Genome-wide analyses using UK Biobank data provide insights into
 495 the genetic architecture of osteoarthritis. *Nat Genet.* 2018 (in press).
- 496 58. Zhan C, Kaczmarek R, Loyo-Berrios N, Sangl J, Bright RA. Incidence and short-term outcomes
 497 of primary and revision hip replacement in the United States. *J Bone Joint Surg.* 2007;89498 A:526-533.

Legends

Figure 1A-B. (A) Manhattan plot of the Norwegian cohort case-control status analysis showing the -log10 p values for each variant (y axis) plotted against their respective chromosomal position (x axis) and illustrating four independent genetic association peaks in 785 patients with osteolysis versus 1846 osteolysis-free patients. (B) Graph showing QQ plot of the p values for the Norwegian cohort case-control status, where the x-axis indicates the expected $-\log 10$ p values and the y-axis the observed ones. The red line represents the null hypothesis of no association at any locus and λ is the genomic inflation factor.

Figure 2A-B. (A) Manhattan plot of the UK cohort case-control status analysis showing the log10 p values for each variant (y axis) plotted against their respective chromosomal position (x axis) and illustrating 11 independent genetic association peaks in 317 patients with osteolysis versus 517 osteolysis-free patients. (B) Graph showing QQ plot of the p values for the UK cohort case-control status association analysis, where the x-axis indicates the expected –log10 p values and the y-axis the observed ones. The red line represents the null hypothesis of no association at any locus and λ is the genomic inflation factor.

Figure 3A-B. (A) Manhattan plot of the Norwegian cohort time to revision association analysis in osteolysis patients only (n = 785) showing the -log10 p values for each variant (yaxis) plotted against their respective chromosomal position (x-axis) and illustrating five independent genetic association peaks. (B) Graph showing QQ plot of the p values for the Norwegian cohort time to revision association analysis, where the x-axis indicates the expected –log10 p values and the y-axis the observed ones. The red line represents the null hypothesis of no association at any locus and λ is the genomic inflation factor.

Figure 4A-B. (A) Manhattan plot of the UK cohort time to revision association analysis in osteolysis patients only (n = 317) showing the -log10 p values for each variant (y axis)

plotted against their respective chromosomal position (x axis) and illustrating nine independent genetic association peaks. (B) Graph showing QQ plot of the p values for the UK cohort time to revision association analysis, where the x-axis indicates the expected $-\log 10$ p values and the y-axis the observed ones. The red line represents the null hypothesis of no association at any locus and λ is the genomic inflation factor.

Figure 5A-B. (A) Manhattan plot of the Norwegian and UK cohort case-control status metaanalysis showing the -log10 p values for each variant (y-axis) plotted against their respective chromosomal position (x-axis) and illustrating five independent genetic association peaks in 1096 patients with osteolysis versus 2419 osteolysis-free patients. (B) Graph showing QQ plot of the p values for the Norwegian and UK cohort case-control status meta-analysis, where the x-axis indicates the expected $-\log 10$ p values and the y-axis the observed ones. The red line represents the null hypothesis of no association at any locus and λ is the genomic inflation factor.

Figure 6. Regional association plot showing the lead osteolysis susceptibility signal at rs850092 in the case-control association meta-analysis. Each filled circle represents the p value of analyzed variants (as $-\log_{10} p$ values) plotted against their physical position (NCBI Build 37). The p value at the index variant is represented by a purple circle. The other variants in the region are colored depending on their degree of correlation (r²) with the index variant according to a scale from r² = 0 (blue) to r² = 1 (red). Gene location is annotated based on the UCSC genome browser.

Figure 7A-B. (A) Manhattan plot of the Norwegian and UK cohort time-to-revision association meta-analysis in osteolysis patients only (n = 1096) showing the -log10 p values for each variant (y-axis) plotted against their respective chromosomal position (x-axis) and illustrating 11 independent genetic association peaks. (B) Graph showing QQ plot of the p values for the Norwegian cohort time-to-revision association analysis, where the x-axis indicates the expected $-\log 10$ p values and the y-axis the observed ones. The red line represents the null hypothesis of no association at any locus and λ is the genomic inflation factor.

Figure 8. Regional association plot showing the lead time to prosthesis revision signal at rs10507055 in the association meta-analysis. Each filled circle represents the p value of analyzed variants (as $-\log_{10} p$ values) plotted against their physical position (NCBI Build 37). The p value at the index variant is represented by a purple circle. The other variants in the region are colored depending on their degree of correlation (r²) with the index variant according to a scale from r² = 0 (blue) to r² = 1 (red). Gene location is annotated based on the UCSC genome browser.

Figure 9. Regional association plot showing the lead time to revision signal at rs12899987 in the association meta-analysis. Each filled circle represents the p value of analyzed variants (as $-\log_{10} p$ values) plotted against their physical position (NCBI Build 37). The p value at the index variant is represented by a purple circle. The other variants in the region are colored depending on their degree of correlation (r²) with the index variant according to a scale from $r^2 = 0$ (blue) to $r^2 = 1$ (red). Gene location is annotated based on the UCSC genome browser.