

This is a repository copy of An end-to-end approach to self-folding origami structures.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/142528/

Version: Accepted Version

# Article:

An, B., Miyashita, S., Ong, A. et al. (5 more authors) (2018) An end-to-end approach to self-folding origami structures. IEEE Transactions on Robotics, 34 (6). pp. 1409-1424. ISSN 1552-3098

https://doi.org/10.1109/TRO.2018.2862882

© 2018 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other users, including reprinting/ republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collective works for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted components of this work in other works. Reproduced in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

# Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

# Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



# An End-to-End Approach to Self-Folding Origami Structures

Byoungkwon An, Shuhei Miyashita, Aaron Ong, Michael T. Tolley, Martin L. Demaine, Erik D. Demaine, Robert J. Wood and Daniela Rus

Abstract—This paper presents an end-to-end approach to automate the design and fabrication process for self-folding origami structures. Self-folding origami structures by uniform heat are robotic sheets composed of rigid tiles and joint actuators. When they are exposed to heat, each joint folds into a preprogrammed angle. Those folding motions transform themselves into a structure, which can be used as body of 3D origami robots, including walkers, analog circuits, rotational actuators, and micro cell grippers. Given a 3D model, the design algorithm automatically generates a layout printing design of the sheet form of the structure. The geometric information, such as the fold angles and the folding sequences, is embedded in the sheet design. When the sheet is printed and baked in an oven, the sheet self-folds into the given 3D model. We discuss (1) the design algorithm generating multiple-step self-folding sheet designs, (2) verification of the algorithm running in  $O(n^2)$  time, where *n* is the numbers of the vertices, (3) implementation of the algorithm, and (4) experimental results, several self-folded 3D structures with up to 55 faces and two sequential folding steps.

Index Terms—Cellular and Modular Robots; Smart Actuators; Printable Origami Robots; Self-Folding

#### I. INTRODUCTION

**F**Olding is a method to transform a device during or after fabricaiton. The foldings on a structure or a machine can yield the dimensional transformation of the device (Fig. 1), such as a two-dimensional sheet of paper folding into a threedimensional origami artwork, or the solar panels of a satellite unfolding on its orbit to make a wide two-dimensional surface receiving sun light. Folding is widely used for engineering applications, including space projects [1], [2], soft-robots [3], micro-scale fabrications [4], [5], and microrobotics [6]–[8]. Folding is also found in nature, for example, in insect wings [9], leaves [10], [11], and proteins [12].

Self-folding origami structures are robotic sheets composed of tiles and joint actuators [13], [14]. They are developed to simplify the folding process of folding-based designed devices. Each joint actuator holds the neighbor tiles [15]. When the joint receives a signal, it folds the neighbor tiles into an angle. These local foldings yield a global transformation of the sheets [16]. Self-folding origami structures by uniform heat receive heat as a signal. When the robotic sheet is uniformly exposed to heat, the actuators fold the sheet into the target

B. An is with Autodesk Research, San Francisco, CA 94111, USA, www.drancom.com, kwon.an@autodesk.com

S. Miyashita, E. Demaine, M. Demaine, and D. Rus are with the Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence Laboratory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA.

A. Ong and M. Tolley are with the Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, University of California, San Diego, CA 92093, USA.

R. Wood is with the School of Engineering and Applied Sciences and Wyss Institute for Biologically Inspired Engineering, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA.

Manuscript received mmmmm dd, yyyy; revised mmmmm dd, yyyy.



Fig. 1. Self-folding Stanford Bunny. (Top-left) Input 3D graphic model. (Top-right) 3D self-folded structure. (Bottom) Frames from the experiment of self-folding by uniform heating. The time elapsed since exposure to uniform heating is indicated in the lower-right corner of each frame (in minutes and seconds).

robotic devices, such as printable robots [17]–[19], sensors [20], and micro-scale grippers that hold a single cell [21]. Because 2D fabrication processes are used for making 3D self-folding robots, the process of fabricating complex structures becomes relatively simple. A self-folding sheet transforms itself into arbitrary 3D surfacial shapes on-demand. This process enables rapid prototyping with relatively lower fabrication cost.

Folding fabricated robotic sheets into 3D devices is relatively easy and simple because the general controllers and planners for the sheets have been studied. However, the design and building process of origami robotic sheets is difficult. This study aims to develop an automated design and fabrication process for self-folding origami robots. We explore an end-toend approach, including an algorithm and a system that automates the design and fabrication. Given 3D input models, the algorithm outputs the layouts of the self-folding origami. By printing the algorithmically designed layouts, the user builds robotic sheets. Upon being baked in an oven, these sheets transform into physical devices (Fig. 2). We also develop a new method and algorithm to control the multiple-step folding by uniform heat. The edges of the sheets have predefined folding temperatures. This allows us to create 3D devices that require multiple folding steps, advancing the prior work that supported only single-step self-folding [22].

Our contributions include the following:

- 1) a new method for achieving multiple-step self-folding under uniform heat,
- 2) a design algorithm that takes a 3D model as an input and computes layouts of single- or multiple-step self-folding sheets in  $O(n^2)$  time, where *n* is the number of vertices in the 3D model,
- an implemented design automation system including the design algorithm, and
- 4) demonstration of automatically designed self-folding sheets. The self-folded models are comprised up to 55 faces, and the sheet is self-folded in up to 2 steps.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section III describes and analyzes its model for self-folding origami. Section IV describes the design algorithm. Section V discusses the system implementation. Section VI explores the experiments. Section VII discusses the lessons learned and options for future research.

# II. RELATED WORK

# A. Programmable Matter by Folding

Our prior work introduced universal self-folding devices called programmable matter by folding [13], [15]. We used a box-pleated crease pattern, which is a universal crease pattern [23], to transform a sheet of special material into any shape composed of O(n) cubes, where *n* is the length of the side. Its re-programmability (re-usability), folding planning, programming methods, and design and programming automation have been studied theoretically and experimentally [13], [15], [16].

While general folding theory and algorithms for creating folding patterns have been studied for decades, design theory and algorithms for the self-folding origami using a uniform energy source is a recent direction of research interest. [23]–[29] introduce various computational origami designs, and [13], [30] discuss the theoretical and experimental complexity of folding patterns. This paper introduces a design algorithm and its verification as well as a compilation-like approach to automate fabrication of self-folding origami.

#### B. Self-Folding Materials

The self-folding technique has been developed in a broad spectrum at the micrometer scale [31], [32], the millimeter scale [33], and the centimeter scale [34]. There are various self-folding materials that work with heat [19], [20], [35], [36], [20], electronics [17], light [37], cells [38], surface tension [39], and microwaves [40]. Recently, 3D printing technology has been proposed as an on-demand synthesis method for self-folding shape memory polymers (SMP) [41], [42]. As a result, the complexity and scale of the fabricated structures has increased, and the development of the computational methods have become more important. In this paper, we explain the theoretical, system, and experimental aspects of our

computational methods. We develop an algorithm to automate the design of sheets that will self-fold as a specified geometric shape. Furthermore, we develop a new method for multiplestep folding (sequential folding). We implement the algorithms as a software pipeline. We performed experiments with two selected self-folding materials reacting to uniform heat from our prior work [35], [36].

## C. Multi-Step Self-Folding

Most origami shapes are made using multiple folding steps. This process is called sequential folding. For each fold step, some hinges rotate from their original fold angles to other fold angles. Multiple-step folding allows to share some space for the hinge rotations because, after every fold step, the folding trajectory is cleared for the other hinges' trajectories. To control the folding trajectories of self-folding origami sheets, we introduced a fold planning algorithm [16] that determines an optimized folding sequence of a paper piece to achieve a given (or muliple given) origami structures. one or many desired origami shapes. The multiple-step folding plan, which the algorithm built, was implemented with a selffolding sheet [13]. The optimized plan was compiled to a flexible electronic circuit while the fold sequence was manually controlled with a switch. By transfering energy to selected folding hinge, the hinge was triggered by the electrically produced local heat [17], [18]. This paper introduces multiplestep self-folding origami sheets that fold into users' desired shapes with multiple fold steps with no manual intervention. The self-folding sheets work with uniform heat, no on-board controllers, and no local heat control.

#### III. MODELS AND DEFINITIONS

A uniform heat self-folding sheet is defined as a crease pattern composed of cuts (outlines) and folding edges (hinges), as shown in Fig 3. Each edge contains a fold angle and folding group. All the edges of the sheet are controlled using global signals such as uniform heat. The folding group is identified by a predefined temperature, and when a folding group signal is transmitted to a sheet, the edges in the folding group simultaneously fold themselves. Then, when the signal for the second folding group is transmitted to the sheet, the edges of the second group fold. For example, when the uniform heat temperature surrounding a self-folding sheet is p degrees, all the edges of the p degree group are self-folded, and when the uniform heat temperature reaches q degrees where q > p, all the edges of the q degree group are self-folded.

#### A. Fold Angle

In this paper, a *folding actuator* is composed of three layers (Fig. 4, 6). The top and bottom layers of the actuator are heat resistant materials, while the middle layer is a shrinking material. Since all layers are firmly attached to each other, when the actuator is exposed to heat, a section of the uncovered middle layer shrinks, allowing the hinge to fold. The size of the folding angle is controlled by the size of the gap (see  $w_t$ ,  $w_b$  in Fig. 5, 6)



Fig. 2. A visual overview of the self-folding origami development process. Two examples are self-folding bunny and egg.



Fig. 3. Visualized self-folding crease pattern representing a bunny shape (left) and an egg shape (right). The solid lines are cuts and the dashed lines are edges (hinges). Each edge contains a fold angle.





Fig. 4. Three self-folding origami with one, two, and three self-folding (hinge) actuators. The arrows show the shrinking directions. (Top row) origami patterns. (Middle row) initiation fold states. (Bottom row) final fold states.

Fig. 5. Structure of self-folding actuator model.

The middle layer is made of a shape memory polymer (SMP), which has the property of shrinking in the presence of heat. The top and bottom layers of the composite are the structural elements of the object and can be made out of any structural material. We used polyvinyl chloride (PVC), prestrained polystyrene (PP, the material used in the children's toy "Shrinky Dinks"), and polyolefin (commonly used for shrink wrap) for the middle layer. We used polyester sheets and paper for the top and bottom layers.

The fold angle of each edge is encoded in the geometric



Fig. 6. Self-folding actuator models with three fold angles. (Left) before activation and (Right) after activation. The arrows show the shrinking directions.

structures of the hinges. Fig. 4 shows simplified models of self-folding sheets. The gaps  $w_t$ ,  $w_b$  of the top and bottom layers determine the fold angles and directions (see Fig. 6). For example, if the gap (Fig. 6(a)) is wider than the gap at another location (Fig. 6(b)), the former (Fig. 6(a)) folds to a greater extent. If the gap of the bottom layer is wider than the gap of the top layer, the actuating edge bends in the other direction (Fig. 6(c)).

# B. Time Step

We achieve sequential folding by using a multi-material shrinking layer that is segmented into several regions, each capable of shrinking in a different temperature range. This layer is placed on the middle of the multiple-step self-folding sheet, and transforms uniform heat to fold angles of the hinges. In other words, each material shrinks sequentially after a material finishes the shrinking. While the temperature increases, different regions of the layer shrink at different times. Fig. 7 shows an example. The left and right edges of the self-folding sheet are placed on material 1, reacting to 60°C. The two middle edges are on material 2, reacting to 110°C. When this sheet is baked in the oven, the two outside edges fold first, and then the inside edges fold. We demonstrate this multimaterial middle layer by manual building with jigsaw-puzzlelike placement. A multi-material printer, like MultiFab [43] or Objet Connex 500, can be used to automate this fabrication.

#### IV. DESIGN ALGORITHM

The *multi-step* self-folding origami design algorithm converts a shape represented as a 3D mesh<sup>1</sup> or a 3D origami model<sup>2</sup> into a *self-folding origami design*, which is the structural layout of the self-folding origami. Just as the crease pattern of an origami model contains the information required to produce a folded origami object, a self-folding origami



Fig. 7. Self-folding sheet with two-step folding. The middle layer is composed of two different materials: Material 1 reacts at  $60^{\circ}$ C and material 2 reacts at  $110^{\circ}$ C.

design contains information to fabricate a multi-step selffolding origami. The design is composed of the layers' layouts of the self-folding origami. The self-folding origami can be printed or fabricated according to the design.

The algorithm compiles an input model to the self-folding origami design with the following phases (Fig. 8): (1) unfolding a given 3D mesh, (2) computing fold angles, (3) constructing a self-folding crease pattern, (4) constructing a self-folding origami design, (5) drawing a self-folding origami layout, and (6) compiling time-step information to the layout of the middle layer.

Phases (1)–(5) of the algorithm first compiles structural information (Sec. IV-A). If the multi-step folding is necessary, the algorithm runs Phase (6) to compile the time information to the middle layer design of the layout (Sec. VI-B).

If the input is an origami model associated to its crease pattern and fold angles, the algorithm starts in Phase (3) after skipping Phases (1) and (2).

**Theorem 1.** Any mesh with n faces can be folded from a multiple-step self-folding pattern built by a design algorithm in  $O(n^2)$  time.

Thm. 1 provides the design algorithm's geometric correctness of output self-folding origami design. Sec. IV-C shows a proof of the theorem.

The notations of the paper are listed in Table I.

# A. Compile Structural Information

1) Unfolding a 3D Mesh: The objective of this phase is to compute the unfolding of a given 3D shape. Several algorithms exist to unfold 3D meshes or 3D origami designs [44]–[46]. Given a mesh, a set of *nets*<sup>3</sup> is constructed on a plane without any collisions [47]. In this paper, we transform the 3D mesh in a graph and unfold it using Prim's algorithm (a minimum spanning tree algorithm) [48]. As the algorithm unfolds the 3D mesh, it maintains the relationship between the vertices of the unfolded 2D structure and the 3D mesh.

We define a mesh M is a pair (V,F), where V is a finite set of the vertices, and F is a finite set of the faces of the mesh. A unfolding (net) N is four-tuple (V', E', F', T), where V' is a finite set of the vertices, E' is a finite set of the edges

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A polygon mesh is a collection of faces that defines a polyhedral object. <sup>2</sup>An origami model is a folded state of a paper structure, that is represented with a crease pattern and folded angles [16].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>A net of a mesh is an arrangement of edge-jointed faces in a plane.



Fig. 8. Six phases of self-folding origami design algorithm

Notation	Name		
(Mesh)			
M = (V, F)	Mesh		
V	Vertex set of M		
F	Face set of M		
U	Angle set of M		
v	Vertex of M		
е	Edge		
$f_{i}f_{i}$	Face in F		
$n_i$	Normal vector of $f_i$		
u	Fold angle		
n	The number of vertices of $M$		
O(n)	The number of faces of $M$		
(Unfolding)			
$N = (V', E', \overline{F'}, T)$	Unfolding (Net)		
V'	Vertex set of N		
E'	Edge set of N		
F'	Face set of N		
Т	State set of N		
t	State; $t \in \{\langle cut \rangle, \langle hinge \rangle\}$		
N' = (V', E', F', T, U')	Self-folding crease pattern		
M'(N')	Folded state Mesh of $N'$		
U'	Angle set of $N'$		
e(e')	Original edge $e$ of $e'$		
f(f')	Original face $f$ of $f'$		
(Folding Actuator)			
$g(u); g: A \to D$	Actuator design function of u		
Α	Fold angle set		
D	Actuator design set		
и	Fold angle $(-180 \le u \le 180); u \in D$		
$d = (w_t, w_c, w_b)$	Actuator design; $d \in D$		
$w_t$	Gap on top layer of actuator		
W <sub>c</sub>	Gap on middle layer of actuator		
Wb	Gap on the top layer of actuator		
$w_t(d)$	Gap on top layer of $d$		
$w_c(d)$	Gap on middle layer of $d$		
$w_b(d)$	Gap on bottom layer of d		
ε	None; No gap		
S	Fold actuator sample set		
s = (u, d)	Fold actuator sample		
(Layout Design)			
H	Self-folding origami model		
$L = (L_t, L_c, L_B)$	Self-folding origami layout		
$L_t = (V_t, E_t)$	The top layer of L		
$L_c = (V_c, E_c)$	The middle layer of L		
$L_h = (V_h, E_h)$	The bottom layer of L		

TABLE I Notations

 $e' = \{a, b\}, a \text{ and } b \text{ are in } V', F' \text{ is a finite set of the faces of the net, } T \text{ is a finite set of } (e',t), \text{ and } t \text{ is a state of } e' \text{ in } \{\langle cut \rangle, \langle hinge \rangle\}. e(e') \in E(M) \text{ is an original edge of } e' \in E'. f(f') \in F(M) \text{ is an original face of } f' \in F'. \text{ Since all the vertices of the nets are originally from a mesh, during the unfolding process, tracking functions for } e(e') \text{ and } f(f') \text{ can be constructed.}$ 

2) Computing Fold Angles: The goal of this phase is to compute the fold angles associated with all the edges of a given mesh (Fig. 9). In origami theory [49], an edge (hinge)

is a line segment between two faces. A *fold angle* of an edge is the supplement of the dihedral angle between two faces (Fig. 9). The sign of the fold angle is determined by the hinge: either a valley fold (+) or a mountain fold (-).

**Lemma 1.** Given a mesh, a finite set U of all fold angles of the mesh is computed in  $O(n^2 \times m)$  time, where n vertices and m edges are in the mesh.

*Proof.* For each edge, if the edge is not cut, there are two neighboring faces sharing the edge (Algorithm 1 Step 1). Using the dot product and the cross product of their normal vectors, the algorithm calculates the fold angle (Steps (b), (c)). Since there are at most  $n^2$  edges, the algorithm computes and stores all angles in  $O(n^2 \times m)$  time.

**Corollary 1.** The angles of the mesh can be computed in  $O(n^2)$  by update Step 1 (See footnote <sup>4</sup>)

#### Algorithm 1: Computing Fold Angles <sup>4</sup>

**Input** : M = (V, F), where all the normal vectors of the faces point outside and the vertices of each face  $(v_1, v_2, ..., v_k)$  are positioned counter-clockwise from the top view of each face.

## **Output:** U

1) For each edge  $e = \{a, b\} \in E(M)$ , where  $e \neq \langle cut \rangle$ .

a) Find two faces  $f_1, f_2$  where  $f_1$  contains directional edge (a,b), and  $f_2$  contains directional edge (b,a).

- b) Get  $u = acos(\frac{n_1 \cdot n_2}{|n_1||n_2|})$ , where  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are the normal vectors of  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ , respectively.
- c) If u≠0, and directions of (a,b) and n<sub>1</sub>×n<sub>2</sub> are different, assign '-' to u; otherwise, assign '+' to u.
- d) Insert (e, u) into U.

3) Constructing the Self-Folding Crease Pattern: This phase takes two inputs, a set of nets and fold angles and computes a *self-folding crease pattern* (the abstracted self-folding information), as shown in Fig. 9. In this section, we show that Algorithm 2 constructs a correct self-folding crease pattern (Lemma 4). Lemma 2 shows the construction of a self-folding crease pattern, and Lemma 3 shows the correctness of the constructed crease patterns.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>The time complexity improves from  $O(n^2 \times m)$  to  $O(n^2)$  when Step 1) of Alg. 5 is replaced by following statement:

<sup>1)</sup> For each face  $f_1 \in E(M)$  and for each edge  $e = \{a, b\}$  of  $f_1$ , where  $e \neq \langle cut \rangle$ :

Mesh M Unfolding (Net) N Fold Angle Set U Crease Pattern N' Fold Steps E' Design H Layout  $L = (L_t, L_c, L_b)$ (e<sub>1</sub>, -0.6°) (e'<sub>1</sub>, d<sub>1</sub>) (e<sub>1</sub>, g<sub>1</sub>) (e'<sub>2</sub>, d<sub>2</sub>) (e'<sub>3</sub>, d<sub>3</sub>) (e2, 1.4°) (e<sub>2</sub>, g<sub>2</sub>) (e<sub>3</sub>, 10.2°) (e<sub>3</sub>, g<sub>3</sub>) (e'<sub>4</sub>, d<sub>4</sub>) (e₄, 17.1°) (e<sub>4</sub>, g<sub>4</sub>) (e<sub>5</sub>, 21.6°) (e'<sub>5</sub>, d<sub>5</sub>) (e<sub>5</sub>, g<sub>5</sub>)  $(e'_{6}, d_{6})$ (e<sub>6</sub>, 25.0°) (e<sub>6</sub>, g<sub>6</sub>) (e<sub>7</sub>, 53.6°) (e'<sub>7</sub>, d<sub>7</sub>) (e<sub>7</sub>, g<sub>7</sub>)

Fig. 9. Data structures of self folding origami design algorithm



Fig. 10. The fold angle at a crease is the supplement of the dihedral angle.

Algorithm 2: Constructing Self-Folding Crease Pattern			
<b>Input</b> : $N = (V', E', F', T), U$ <b>Output:</b> $N' = (V', E', F', T, U')$			
1) For each $(e,u) \in U$ , insert $(e'(e),u)$ into $U'$ 2) Construct $N' = (V', E', F', T, U')$			

**Lemma 2.** Given a net N and a finite fold angle set U, Algorithm 2 constructs a self-folding crease pattern N' in  $O(n^2)$  time.

*Proof.* Given N and U for each element  $(e,u) \in U$ , Algorithm 2 transforms the element into (e'(e), u) and inserts it to U'. The algorithm builds a self-folding crease pattern N' = (V', E', F', T, U') by adding U' on N. The algorithm runs in  $O(n^2)$  time.

**Lemma 3.** Given a mesh M, its net N, its angle set U the self-folding crease pattern N' generated by Algorithm 2, M'(N') is equivalent to M, where M'(N') is the folded state of N'.

*Proof.* Let  $L = \{f'_1, f'_2, ..., f'_k\}$ , where  $L \subseteq F'$ ,  $e(e') = \exists e(e'')$ , e' is an edge of  $f'_i$ , e'' is an edge of  $f'_j$ , j < i and L = F'. Let  $L_t$  be  $\{f'_1, f'_2, ..., f'_t\} \subseteq L$ . Let  $F(M_t)$  be  $\{f_i = f(f'_i) | f'_i \in L_t\}$ . Let  $F(M'_t)$  be  $\{f''_1, f''_2, ..., f''_t\}$ , where each  $f''_i$  is a face of the folded state of  $f'_i \in L$ .

For each  $t \ge 1$ , P(t) is  $M'_t = M_t$ , where  $L_t = F(N_t)$ , and  $N_t$  is the crease pattern of  $M_t$ .

**Basis:** P(1):  $M'_1 = M_1$  because  $f_1 = f''_1$ .

**Induction step:** For each  $k \ge 1$ , we assume that P(k) is true, and we show that it is true for t = k + 1.

Suppose the inductive hypothesis is that  $M'_k$  is equal to  $M_k$ , and  $f_{k+1}$  and  $f''_{k+1}$  are the same shape. By the definition of  $L_{k+1}$ ,  $f'_{k+1}$  must be connected to  $f'_s \in L_k$ , and  $f(f'_{k+1})$  is connected to  $f(f'_s)$ , where s < k+1.

Let u' be the fold angle of e' between  $f''_s$  and  $f''_{k+1}$ . Then u = u', where u is the fold angle of e(e'). Thus,  $f_{k+1} = f''_{k+1}$  and  $F(M'_{k+1}) = F(M_{k+1})$ . Therefore  $M'_{k+1} = M_{k+1}$ , and P(t) is true.

**Lemma 4.** Given M, N, and U(M), Algorithm 2 correctly generates a self-folding crease pattern in  $O(n^2)$  time.

*Proof.* Lemma 2 shows that Algorithm 2 builds a self-folding crease pattern in  $O(n^2)$  time. Lemma 3 shows that this self-folding crease pattern is correct. Therefore, Lemma. 4 is true.

4) Constructing a Self-Folding Origami Design: Given a self-folding origami crease pattern and actuator design function, this phase generates a self-folding origami design (Fig. 9). A self-folding origami design is an abstracted model of the actuators and the outlines.

A self-folding origami design is a finite set of pair (e', d), where e' is an edge, and d is an actuator design. An *actuator design* d is  $(w_t, w_c, w_b)$ , where  $w_t$ ,  $w_c$ , and  $w_b$  are in  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\varepsilon\}$  and are the gaps on the top, middle, and bottom layers, respectively (Fig. 5). If a variable is in  $\mathbb{R}$ , the variable is a gap. If a variable is  $\varepsilon$ , then there is no gap. The model in Fig. 5 is  $(w_t, \varepsilon, w_b)$ . The gaps of the top and bottom layers are  $w_t$  and  $w_b$ . Because  $w_c$  is  $\varepsilon$ , the middle layer has no gap.

An actuator design can express an outline. For example, if an actuator design is (0,0,0), all three layers of this actuator have cuts, and these cuts become an outline.

 $g: A \rightarrow D$  denote an *actuator design function*, where A is a set of angles between  $-180^{\circ}$  and  $+180^{\circ}$  and D is a set of actuator designs. The function is dependent on the self-folding material. Each type of self-folding material has a different function. The implementation of g for the experiments is discussed in Sec. V.

*Proof.* Algorithm 3 constructs self-folding origami design H. U' contains the fold angles of the edges, while T contains the types of the edges. Given angle u, g(u) outputs actuator design d (Step 1-(a)). According to edge type t and g(u), Algorithm 3 computes each design of the actuator.

For each edge, if the edge is a hinge, the algorithm inserts (e',d) into H. The algorithm removes the edge type from T after inserting the actuator design of the edge (Step 1-(c)-(iii)). After Step 1, all edges in T are the cuts of both input mesh and unfolding. Step 2 compiles these edges into actuator design (0,0,0). All edges of N' are compiled to H. The algorithm runs in  $O(n^2)$  time.

Algorithm 3: Constructing Self-Folding Origami Design
Input : $N' = (V', E', F', T, U'), g : A \rightarrow D$
Output: H
1) For each $(e', u) \in U'$ :
a) $d \leftarrow g(u)$
b) If $t = \langle hinge \rangle$ , where $(e', t) \in T$ :
i) Insert $(e',d)$ into H
c) If $t = \langle cut \rangle$ :
i) $d \leftarrow (w_t(d), 0, w_b(d))$
ii) Insert $(e',d)$ into H
iii) $T \leftarrow T - \{(e', \langle cut \rangle)\}$
2) For each $(e', \langle cut \rangle) \in T$ :
a) $d \leftarrow (0,0,0)$
b) Insert $(e',d)$ into H

5) Constructing a Self-Folding Origami Layout: A selffolding origami layout contains the graphical information of each layer. Given a self-folding origami design, this phase generates three layers of the layout (Fig. 9). For each element of a self-folding origami design, an actuator layout of a layer is drawn (Fig. 11).

Lemma 5. A self-folding origami design has a valid selffolding origami layout, computable in  $O(n^2)$  time.

Proof. The output of Algorithm 4 is the self-folding origami layout L. L composes three nets  $L_t$ ,  $L_c$ , and  $L_b$ . They are the graphical information of the top, middle, and bottom layers, respectively. The algorithm builds the nets.

Each element (e', d) in D contains the gap of each layer and the shape of the bridge. Given an edge, the gap of an actuator of a layer, and a bridge shape, Algorithm 5 draw the layout of the actuator of the target layer. d contains correct actuator and outline information, and  $w_t$ ,  $w_c$ , and  $w_b$  of d are correct values. Steps (a)-(c) construct the actuator layout for e'. Steps (d)-(i) add this layout of each layer. The algorithm runs  $O(n^2)$ while Steps (a)-(c) are O(1). 

Lemma 6. Each edge of a self-folding origami design has a valid folding actuator.

Proof. All actuators and cuts of a self-folding crease pattern are described with fold actuators.  $(1, \varepsilon, 0)$  is an example of a valley fold actuator.  $(0, \varepsilon, 1)$  is an example of a mountain fold actuator. (0, 0, 0) is an example of a cut. Each actuator is composed of three layers. Steps (a)-(c) of Algorithm 4 draw an actuator or a cut using Algorithm 5, which draws each layer of the actuator. For example, if an actuator is  $(1, \varepsilon, 0)$ , Step (a) of Algorithm 4 runs Algorithm 5 on 1 as  $w_0$ . Algorithm 5 draws the top layer of the actuator with a gap. In Step (b), Algorithm 5 skips the drawing because  $w_0$  is  $\varepsilon$ . In Step (c), Algorithm 5 draws a line  $\{a, b\}$ , because  $w_0$  is 1. These three layers become an actuator like Fig. 6. Algorithm 5 draws a layer of an actuator, as shown in Fig. 11. Algorithm 5 is O(1). Therefore, Steps (a)-(c) run in O(1). 

Algorithm 4: Drawing Self-Folding Origami Layout			
Input : H			
<b>Output:</b> $L = (L_t, L_c, L_b)$			
1) For each $(e', d = (w_t, w_c, w_b)) \in H$			
a) Run Algorithm 5 on $e'$ and $w_t$ as $w_0$ , and			
Algorithm 5 returns $G_t = (V_t'', E_t'')$			
b) Run Algorithm 5 on $e'$ and $w_c$ as $w_0$ , and			
Algorithm 5 returns $G_c = (V_c'', E_c'')$			
c) Run Algorithm 5 on $e'$ and $w_b$ as $w_0$ , and			
Algorithm 5 returns $G_b = (V_b'', E_b'')$			
d) $V_t \leftarrow V_t \cup V''_t$ where $L_t = (V_t, E_t)^T$			

- e)  $E_t \leftarrow E_t \cup E_t''$ f)  $V_c \leftarrow V_c \cup V_c''$  where  $L_c = (V_c, E_c)$
- g)  $E_c \leftarrow E_c \cup E_c''$ h)  $V_b \leftarrow V_b \cup V_b''$  where  $L_b = (V_b, E_b)$ i)  $E_b \leftarrow E_b \cup E_b''$
- 2) Construct  $L = (L_t, L_c, L_b)$



Fig. 11. For input edge $e_i = \{a, b\}$ , Steps (a)–(g) of Alg. 5 draws a rectangle as an actuator layout (a), Steps (h) and (i) rotates the layout (b), and Steps (j)-(k) shifts the layout (c).

#### B. Compile Time Step Information

6) Construction the middle layer: In our previous paper [16], we introduced algorithms that, given the final folded state of an origami, determine a folding sequence. The folded state has information about the number of hinges and their final angles. The folding sequence has information about when the folding groups of hinges are folded, where a group of hinges fold simultaneously. We found that, in practice, some origami structures had to be constructed with more than one folding step. A collision is a common issue of failure, for this reason, the folding trajectory should be more accurately controlled. Fortunately, there are many origami shapes can be realized with multiple-folding steps. Our prior approach was to use an on-board electronic controller to selectively transfer was

Algorithm 5: Construct Actuator Layout

**Input** :  $e' = \{a, b\}, w_0$ Output: G = (V'', E'')1) If  $w_0 = \varepsilon$ , then  $V'' \leftarrow \phi$  and  $E'' \leftarrow \phi$  and return. 2) If  $w_0 = 0$ , then insert *a*, *b* into V'' and  $\{a, b\}$  into E''. 3) If  $w_0 \neq 0$ : a)  $l \leftarrow (\text{length of } e')/2$ b)  $v_1 \leftarrow (w_0, l)$ c)  $v_2 \leftarrow (w_0, -l)$ d)  $v_3 \leftarrow (-w_0, -l)$ e)  $v_4 \leftarrow (-w_0, l)$ f) Insert  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$  into V''g) Insert  $\{v_1, v_2\}, \{v_2, v_3\}, \{v_3, v_4\}, \{v_1, v_4\}$  into E''h)  $\theta \leftarrow arctan2(y_b - y_a, x_b - x_a)$ i) Rotate all vertices in V'' through  $\theta$ j)  $c \leftarrow (a+b)/2$ k) For each  $v \in V''$ ,  $v \leftarrow v + c$ 

energy to a folding hinge [17], [18]. The hinge was triggered by the local heat made by the energy. In this section, we introduce self-folding origami that transform themselves into user's desired shapes with multiple-folding steps. The origami work with uniform heat, no on-board controllers, and no local heat control.

To achieve multiple-step sequential folding with uniform heat, we extend the self-folding origami model with a multimaterial shrinking layer (Fig. 7). The top and bottom layers of this model are automatically designed by Algorithm 4. The middle layer is composed of multiple materials that react to different temperatures. Intuitively, the edges made of materials reacting to lower temperatures fold first. Then the other folding edges reacting to higher temperatures fold after that. Additional details of the model are described in Sec. III-B. Given a self-folding crease pattern, a folding sequence can be automatically computed – in our prior work [16], we introduced a folding-planning algorithm that computes optimized folding sequences by grouping the simultaneously foldable edges and minimizing folding steps. For k-step sequential folding, k shrinking materials are used for the middle layer.

The middle layer of self-folding origami is algorithmically designed. In this section, we describe an algorithm for generating the design of a middle layer (Fig. 12, Algorithm 6).

An edge e in this section is a three-tuple (a,b,g), where a and b are vertices, and g is a folding group. The edges with the same folding group are folded at the same time. The edges of the smaller folding groups always fold before the edges of larger folding groups. For example, the edges of group 1 fold before the edges of group 2.

**Lemma 7.** A self-folding crease pattern with sequential folding steps has a valid shrinking layer design, computable in  $O(n^2)$  time, where *n* and O(n) are the numbers of vertices and faces, respectively.

*Proof.* Algorithm 6 constructs a multi-material shrinking layer. The algorithm is composed of four parts. Steps 1-3 prepare the geometry, Step 4 tessellates the possible boundaries



Fig. 12. Example of the construct of a multi-material middle layer (Algorithm 6). (Left) Crease Pattern N'. The red dotted lines are the first step folding creases, and the blue dashed lines are the second step folding creases. (Right) Middle Layer of Layout  $L_c$ . The red solid line polygon is shrinking material 1. The blue dashed line polygon is shrinking material 2. Material 1 reacts at the first folding step. Material 2 reacts at the second folding step.

Algorithm 6:	Constructing	Multi-Material	Middle L	aver
--------------	--------------	----------------	----------	------

<b>Input</b> : $N' = (V', E', F', T, U'), L_c = (V_c, E_c)$	)
<b>Output:</b> $L_c = (V_c, E_c)$	

- 1) For each e in E', if e is an outline, set  $\langle None \rangle$  to group g(e).
- Split all faces in F' into triangle faces, and set (None) to the groups of all newly made edges during the triangulation.
- 3) For each face f = (a, b, c) in F':
  - a) Insert a new vertex i in V', where i is the center of the incircle of the triangle f.
  - b) For each edge  $e = (v_1, v_2, g)$  of f:
    - i) Insert face  $((v_1, v_2, i), g(e))$  into F'', where g(e) is the folding group of edge  $(v_1, v_2)$ .
    - ii) Insert  $(v_1, i, g(e)), (v_2, i, g(e))$  into B.
    - iii) If e is an outline, insert  $(v_1, v_2, g(e))$  into B.
- 4) For each e in B, where f∈ F' and f' ∈ F" are the neighbor faces of e, and g(f) is (None) and g(f') is not (None):
  - a)  $g(f) \leftarrow g(f')$ .
  - b) Change the groups of all edges of f to g(f').
- 5) Repeat 4) until the group of no edges in *B* is  $\langle None \rangle$ .
- 6) For each e in B, where f and f' in F" are sharing e, and g(f) is equal to g(f'):
  - a) Remove *e* from *B*.
- 7)  $V_c \leftarrow V_c \cup V'$
- 8) For each  $(v_1, v_2, g) \in B$ , insert  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  into  $E_c$

of the materials, and Steps 5-6 assign all areas to a shrinking material. Step 7 removes unnecessary boundaries and merges the areas. Step 8 outputs B, the design of the multi-material shrinking layer. Each edge of B is assigned a folding group. All edges of each folding group represent the boundary of the shrinking material for this folding group.

Given a self-folding crease pattern N', the algorithm sets  $\langle None \rangle$  to the groups of outline edges (Step 2) and the groups of new edges generated during the triangulation (Step 3). In Step 4, it splits each triangle into three small triangles. It adds vertex *i*, where *i* is the center of the inscribed circle of the triangle. In Step 4-b, the algorithm constructs a boundary edge set *B* and small triangle set F''. Step 4 runs in O(n). After building F'', some small triangles (faces) in F'' are not assigned to any groups. The algorithm moves the faces in the



Fig. 13. Design of the multiple-step folding algorithm. (Input Origami) An input origami represents a final fold state of origami. Each colored crease line represents an angle and a step. Each line of an angle of compound folding is 180 degrees. The red dotted lines are the first step folding creases, and the blue dashed lines are the second step folding creases. All lines are valley folds. (Top, Bottom Layers) The red solid lines are cut traces. The top and bottom layers are rigid materials. (Middle Layer) The red solid line polygons are shrinking material 1. The blue dashed line polygons are shrinking material 1 and 2 react sequentially.

 $\langle None \rangle$  group to the group of a neighbor face (Step 5). After this step, all faces are assigned to exactly one folding group. For these steps, we chose the triangle shape as it is the most commonly used polygon for mesh given its consistent convex property. In this regard, any partitioning algorithm, including Voronoi partitioning, shall work.

O(n) is the number of the edges in the  $\langle None \rangle$  group after Step 4. Each time Step 6 runs, at least one group of an edge in *B* is changed from  $\langle None \rangle$ . Thus, Steps 5-6 run in  $O(n^2)$ .

The algorithm merges the areas with the same material by removing the boundary edges in *B* (Step 7). The algorithm exports a valid shrinking layer (Step 8). Since all the small faces are assigned to a group, Step 7 runs in O(n). The total running time is  $O(n^2)$ , and the running space is O(n).

Fig 13 shows the input and output of the algorithm. The inputs are the final folding states of the origami structures.

#### C. Proof of Theorem

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.

**Proof.** We derive the layout of the self-folded origami whose folded state is equivalent to the input model (Lemma 3). We also show how a sequence of origami folding is encoded into the associated self-folded origami (Lemma 7). Each group of edges is associated with a middle layer material that reacts to heat. Thus each edge can be folded according to the target angle. The total required computation time is  $O(n^2)$ .



Fig. 14. Graph of an implemented actuator design function for the pin alignment process. The inset images show the test strips used to characterize the fold angle as a function of the size of the gap on the inner structural sheet. Each bar is the standard deviations from the average of the angles of three hinges (Tab.II).

#### V. IMPLEMENTATION

#### A. Software for Compiling a Printable 2D Design

We implemented the design algorithm in Java. The input file formats are Wavefront .obj for a 3D mesh and AutoCAD .dxf for a 3D origami design [16]. The output files are in .dxf format.

To support the various manufacturing processes of the selffolding origami, the software supports script files to define the template of the fabrication files (outputs). To demonstrate automatically generated self-folding origami with two manufacturing processes, we built two template scripts: a *foldingalignment* manufacturing process [35] and a *pin-alignment* manufacturing process [36].

#### B. Actuator Design Function

The folding angle is determined by the combination of the thicknesses of three layers. Our previous work revealed that the torque inducible is proportional to the thickness [36], namely the mass of SMP, albeit the mass also increases in the same proportion. This implies that in order to exploit the maximum lifting torque of a hinge, using less dense structural sheet is a solution. We also identified various issues caused by the physical limitation associated with practical self-folding.

Given a fold angle u, an actuation design function g outputs an actuator design d. An actuator design is composed of three parameters  $(w_t, w_c, w_b)$  (Sec. III). We implement this function by sampling the profile and construct a fold angle sample set S. When g receives u, if u is in S, g outputs d in S; otherwise, g approximates and outputs a design. This function is formally defined as shown in Def. 1.

**Definition 1.** An actuator design function is  $g: A \rightarrow D$ , where: 1. A is a set of the angles  $u \ (-180^{\circ} \le u \le 180^{\circ})$ , 2. D is a set of the actuator designs  $\{d_1, d_2, d_3, ..., d_i, ...\}$ 



(Sec. IV-A4),

3. S is a finite set of the fold angle samples  $s_i = (u,d)$  for  $u(s_i) < u(s_{i+1})$ ,

4.  $s_0 = (0, (0, \varepsilon, 0)) \in S$ ,

5. if  $(u,d) \in S$ , then g(u) = d, and

6. if  $(u,d) \notin S$ , then  $g(u) = (w(d_i) + \frac{u-u_i}{u_{i+1}-u_i} \times (w(d_{i+1}) - w(d_i)))$ ,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $b(d_i) + \frac{u-u_i}{u_{i+1}-u_i} \times (b(d_{i+1}) - b(d_i)))$ , where  $u_i = u(s_i)$ ,

 $u_{i+1} = u(s_{i+1}), d_i = d(s_i), d_{i+1} = d(s_{i+1}), u_i < u < u_{i+1}, and s_i, s_{i+1} \in S.$ 

g(u) is continuous for  $u \in A$ . If u is in u(s) for  $s = (u, d) \in S$ , g(u) outputs d. Otherwise, g(u) constructs an actuator design d according to the actuator ratio  $\frac{u-u_1}{u_2-u_1}$  and designs  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ , where  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are the angles of  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ , and  $u_1 < u < u_2$ .

Theoretical model covers geometric properties, such as collisions, edge types, or scalability. The geometry issues are characterized by material functions which can experimentally be built. The other practical issues include thickness, transition temperatures, force, gravity, and self-folding hinges connected with many faces. To handle these issues, we define an actuator design function and develop a planning algorithm. The function works as an interface between the algorithm and experiments. To minimize the gap between theory and experiment, we have implemented the function using experimental data. We plugged this function into the pipeline system (software), as an input. It covers the unpredictable characteristics of selffolding transitions.

To implement the actuator design function, we characterize the fold angle as a function of the actuator geometry. We built eight self-folding strips with gaps on the inner layer in the range of 0.25mm-2mm and baked them at  $170^{\circ}C$ . Each strip had three actuators with identical gap dimensions. After baking, we measured the fold angle of each self-folded actuator with a different gap, as shown in Fig. 14. This method is modified from our prior work in [36]. This time, we automated the design process of the strips using our self-folding origami design pipeline. We can easily generate another set of strips for a different range of gaps.

#### VI. EXPERIMENTS

#### A. Fold Structure Control

We evaluated the self-folding pipeline by building selffolding origami sheets for four shapes: a house, a humanoid, an egg, and a bunny (Fig. 15). The bunny is the most complex shape we self-folded by heating. Given the 3D models of these input shapes, the pipeline outputs a set of .dxf files containing

Fig. 15. (Top) Setf-folded 3D shape and bunny shapes. Each scale bar is 10mm. (Bottom) Input models. We modeled the house and humanoid designs with paper and coded them into origami patterns. We modeled the egg and bunny shapes using CAD software.

TABLE III COMPLEXITY OF TARGET MODEL

	House	Humanoid
# of Faces	9	41
# of Actuators	8	44
Fold Angle Range	-135.0°- 90.0°	-100.0°- 125.0°
	Egg	Bunny
# of Faces	50	55
# of Actuators	48	54
Fold Angle Range	-0.6°- 55.0°	-103.4°- 67.1°

the layout of each self-folding origami. We built and baked each self-folding origami according to two different fabrication processes: folding alignment [35] and pin alignment [36]. The pipeline successfully built the shapes in a relatively short time (see Table V).

We built the humanoid and house origami shapes using paper. The 3D shape of the house was composed of nine faces, and its 2D unfolding contained eight actuators. The 3D shape of the humanoid was composed of 41 faces, and its 2D sheet contained 44 self-folding actuators (Table III). Figs. 16 (a) and (b) show the fabrication files of the house shape and the humanoid shape.

The egg shape was modeled in CAD software (Solidworks, Dassault Systemes SolidWorks Corp.) and exported as a 3D mesh with 2,538 faces. We reduced the number of the faces to 50 (MeshLab, Visual Computing Lab, ISTI, CNR) and then unfolded it with our software. The 2D sheet of the egg contained 48 actuators (Table III). We generated the fabrication files for the egg shape from this model. Fig. 16 (c) shows the fabrication files of the egg shape.

For the bunny shape, we downloaded the 3D Stanford Bunny (Rev 4, Stanford Computer Graphics Laboratory), which contains 948 faces, and reduced the number of the faces to 55 using MeshLab. We unfolded this mesh and created the fabrication files with our software. Fig. 16(d) shows the fabrication files of the bunny shape.

After we built the fabrication files, we manufactured physical self-folding origami sheets for the house, humanoid, egg, and bunny shapes. Folding alignment was used for the house and humanoid shapes, whereas pin alignment was used for the egg and bunny shapes. The algorithm of the pipeline is general enough to apply to two different self-folding approaches



Fig. 16. Fabrication layout for self-folding origami. (a) and (b) are fabrication layouts of the folding alignment process generated for the house and humanoid. The left and right sides of each house and humanoid are the top and bottom layers, respectively. The line in the center guides the folding alignment while the top layer and the bottom layer are sandwiched. (c) and (d) are fabrication layouts of the pin alignment process generated for the egg and bunny. The tiny holes are for the pin alignments. The left, middle, and right sides of each egg and bunny are the top layer, the bottom layer, and the final outline.



Fig. 17. The histograms of the fold angles. The x-axis is fold angles. The y-axis is frequency. The width is  $2.5^{\circ}$ .

# (Table IV).

Each shape has various fold angles. The distributions of these angles are shown in the histograms in Fig. 17. The angles of the humanoid have the widest range, although the most frequent angles are  $90^{\circ}$ . The bunny includes the most diverse angles in both valley and mountain folds.

We heated the house and humanoid at  $65^{\circ}$ C without preheating the oven. We put each sheet into the oven at room temperature and then increased the heat to  $65^{\circ}$ C. The egg and bunny were baked in an oven preheated to  $120^{\circ}$ C. While the sheet of the egg shape was placed on the preheated ceramic



Fig. 19. Frames from experiment of the self-folding humanoid shape by uniform heating. The sheet was built using the folding alignment process. The time elapsed since exposure to uniform heating is indicated in the upper-right corner of each frame (in minutes and seconds).

plate, the sheets of the humanoid, house, and bunny shapes were hung on bars in the oven to reduce the effect of gravity on the self-folding process<sup>4</sup>. Fig. 19, 20, and 1 show frames of the videos taken during the experiments with the self-folding bunny, humanoid, and egg shapes, respectively. To determine the reliability of the pipeline, we baked 10 self-folding bunnies and 8 eggs and measured their well-formed rates. When all vertices meet in a 3 mm (the circle size of the vertices) radius circle, the result is called a well-folded shape; otherwise, it is called a failed shape.

Our self-folding algorithm designed self-folding origami sheets that accurately reproduced the house and humanoid

<sup>4</sup>See [36] for an analysis of the forces provided by such self-folding actuators in the presence of gravity as well as the resulting design constraints.



Fig. 20. Frames from the experiment of the self-folding egg shape by uniform heating. The sheet was built with the folding alignment process. The time elapsed since exposure to uniform heating is indicated in the lower-right corner of each frame (in minutes and seconds).

TABLE IV FABRICATION AND MATERIAL OF SELF-FOLDING SHEETS

	House & Humanoid	Egg & Bunny
Fabrication Process	Folding	Pin
Folding Temp.	65°C	120°C
Top & Bottom Layers	Mylar	Paper
Middle Layer	PVC (Polyvinyl	PP (Prestrained
	Chloride)	Polystyrene)

TABLE V COMPUTING AND SELF-FOLDING TIMES

		House	Humanoid	
Computing Time		392.17 ms	478.17 ms	
Folding Time		4m 57s	4m 58s	
		Egg	Bunny	
Computing	Time	478.2 ms	464.5 ms	
Folding Time		2m 37s	6m 26s	
CPU	Intel Core i3-2350M (2.30 GHz)			
RAM	4 GB			
Storage	500 GB 540 IDD			
_	(TOSHIBA I			
Graphics	Intel I	ID Gra		

shapes. The house, humanoid, and bunny shapes we pended while they were self-folding by not strong enough to lift the whole bod on a plate.

Using the proposed pipeline, the self-rotate structures were rapidly designed and built (Table V). The computing time for each model was less than 0.5 sec. The self-folding time was also relatively short. All shapes folded themselves in 7 min; the egg folded itself on a preheated ceramic plate in 3 min. The time to physically construct the 2D self-folding origami sheets took longer than all of the other steps combined because the construction includes manual labor, such as  $CO_2$  laser machining, alignment, layer lamination, and release cutting.

The failure rate of the egg shape was 0% while the failure rate of the bunny shape was 20.0%. Two out of the 10 bunnies failed because of overfolding, creating collisions during the process. Delamination of the SMP layers from the structural layers was observed along the overfolded edges. The total failure rate was 11.1% (Table VI, Fig. 21).

During the self-folding of some bunny shapes, slight collisions of the faces (which did not interrupt the folding procedure) were observed. This can be addressed by using a self-folding simulator to minimize the collision while the pipeline generates the design. Alternatively, we can use a multiple-step folding algorithm.

# B. Time Control

Fig. 13 shows the multiple-step self-folding patterns used

TABLE VI FAILURE RATES

	Egg	Bunny	Total
Run	8	10	18
Failure	0	2	2
Failure Rate	0%	20%	11.1%



Fig. 21. Self-folded bunny and egg shapes. The scale bar is 10 mm.



Fig. 22. Front and backettee of the self-folder egg bar is 10 mm.

egg and bunny. Each scale

# for the time-control experiments.

1) Compound Folding: To achieve multiple-step selffolding, two materials, PVC (SMP 1), which reacts at  $\sim$ 65°C, and polyolefin (SMP 2), which reacts at 80°C, are used for actuation to enable a two-step self-folding process. The experimental result of compound self-folding is shown in Fig. 23. The experiment was conducted on the water in an oven, and the temperature was raised to 80°C from room temperature. Note that the elapsed time shown was measured starting from the time that deformation on creases was observed. First, two creases actuated by PVC started self-folding (33 sec - 53 sec) then a crease actuated by polyolefin followed (86 sec - 96 sec). As a result, the structure was folded into a fourth of the original size (105 sec).

2) Box and Latch: We designed two self-folding shapes to demonstrate the significance of sequential folding (Figs. 24 and 25). The first design presents a folded box (Fig. 25(d)),



Fig. 23. Frames from the experiment of compound folding. Two actuation materials differentiate the timings of self-folding and enable compound folding.

TABLE VII FABRICATION, MATERIAL, AND TIME SPECIFICATION OF BOX AND LATCH SHAPE

	Compound Folding	Box	Latch
Fabrication Process	Folding	Pin	Pin
Top & Bottom Layers	Mylar	Paper	Paper
Middle Layer (SMP 1)	PVC	Polyolefin	Polyolefin
Middle Layer (SMP 2)	Polyolefin	Polystyrene	Polystyrene
Folding Time of SMP 1	86 sec	82 sec	90 sec
Folding Time of SMP 2	105 sec	200 sec	118 sec

which requires sequential folding, while the second addresses the issue of latching in order to lock the assembled structure (Fig. 25(h)). Both shapes require a two-stage folding sequence for proper assembly. (Unsuccessful single-stage versions of these designs are shown in Fig. 25(b) and 25(f).)

To achieve sequential folding, we used a multi-material layer (Fig. 7) composed of polyolefin (SMP 1) for the first stage of folding and pre-strained polystyrene (SMP 2) for the second. Fig. 25 (a), (c), (e), and (g) show 2D laminates, where the transparent hinges show the region composed of polyolefin, and the solid-colored hinges show the region composed of pre-strained polystyrene. To fabricate these laminates we used pin-alignment (Fig. 5). We cut the generated .dxf files from the algorithm presented earlier for all the layers using a laser system (ULS PLS6MW). The layers were laminated using adhesive layers. Finally, the laminate was heated in a convection oven (12 qt. Fagor Halogen) until the final structure was achieved.

We performed eight trials for each shape with the oven starting from room temperature and set to a target temperature of 175°C. The sequential folding specified in input origami was achieved. Box SMP 1 reacted before Box SMP 2. Latch SMP 1 reacted before Latch SMP 2. Box SMP 1 reacted before Box SMP 2. Latch start an average time and temperature of 82 sec at 99°C for the box and 90 sec at 94°C for the latch. The polystyrene began folding for the box at 200 sec at 140°C and at 118 sec at 111°C for the latch. Fig. 26 shows the relative temperature of actuation measured using a K-type thermocouple (Fluke 87 V Digital Multi-meter). This graph shows a distinct difference in actuation temperature for the polyolefin and polystyrene SMPs for each shape.

# VII. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper we described an end-to-end approach to designing and building self-folding origami sheets activated by uniform heat. We introduced a design pipeline that automatically generates folding information for an arbitrary 3D shape and then compiles this information into fabrication files. We modeled single- and multiple-step self-folding origami sheets that fold into arbitrary fold angles. We proposed a design algorithm for such sheets and proved its correctness. We also demonstrated the implementation of this pipeline and characterized the actuator design function to convert the theoretical design into a physical self-folding origami. Finally,





(b)



(c)





Fig. 24. Frames from the experiment of box and latch. (From the top left) (a) Single-material middle layer for box, (b) multi-material middle layer for box, (c) single-material middle layer for latch, and (d) multi-material middle layer for latch.

we validated this approach experimentally by generating selffolding origami for the fabrication of seven target shapes with up to 55 faces and up to 2 step folds. These were correctly designed and baked into their respective physical shapes under uniform heat.

Several practical challenges remain to be addressed in the physical fabrication of self-folding origami sheets. Delamination of the SMP layers from the structural layers occurred along the edges of our self-folding origami when baking the egg and bunny shapes. This can be mitigated by sealing the edges of the sheet or with improved adhesion.

Another challenge is the evaluation of self-folding origami. Although the back side of the bunny shape in Fig. 22 shows the completion of the shape, it was difficult to evaluate or analyze the completeness of the self-folded model. The development of benchmarks and criteria for evaluating the quality of self-folding origami would support a systematic approach to methodological improvements in this area. In our future work, we aim to extend this approach to create mobile/actuatable self-folded machines.



Fig. 25. Unfolded (left column) and folded (right column) structures for the box and latch. (a) single-material middle layer for box, (b) failed box assembly for a single-material middle layer, (c) multi-material middle layer for box, (d) successful sequential folding of box for multi-material middle layer, (e) single-material middle layer for latch, (f) failed latch assembly for a single-material middle layer, (g) multi-material middle layer for latch, and (h) successful sequential folding of latch for multi-material middle layer.



Fig. 26. Relative temperatures of actuations for SMP 1 and 2 for box and latch shapes. SMP 1 and 2 are used for the first and second folding steps of the shape, respectively. Each bar is the standard deviations from the average. Eight points of each material represent the relative temperatures of eight trials of each shape.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Daniel M. Aukes, Laura Meeker, John Romanishin, and Mikhail Volkov for their insightful discussions and technical support for this research. We are grateful for the support for this work provided in part

# by NSF grants EFRI-1240383 and CCF-1138967.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. Miura, "Method of Packaging and Deployment of Large Membranes in Space," The Institute of Space and Astronautical Science, 1985.
- [2] S. A. Zirbel, R. J. Lang, M. W. Thomson, D. A. Sigel, P. E. Walkemeyer, B. P. Trease, S. P. Magleby, and L. L. Howell, "Accommodating Thickness in Origami-Based Deployable Arrays 1," *Journal of Mechanical Design*, vol. 135, no. 11, p. 111005, Nov. 2013.
- [3] C. D. Onal, R. J. Wood, and D. Rus, "An Origami-Inspired Approach to Worm Robots," *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 430–438, 2013.
- [4] S. T. Brittain, O. J. A. Schueller, H. Wu, S. Whitesides, and G. M. Whitesides, "Microorigami: Fabrication of Small, Three-Dimensional, Metallic Structures," *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, vol. 105, no. 2, pp. 347–350, Jan. 2001.
- [5] A. P. Gerratt, I. Penskiy, and S. Bergbreiter, "Integrated silicon-PDMS process for microrobot mechanisms." *ICRA*, pp. 3153–3158, 2010.
- [6] A. M. Hoover, E. Steltz, and R. S. Fearing, "RoACH: An autonomous 2.4g crawling hexapod robot." *IROS*, pp. 26–33, 2008.
- [7] P. S. Sreetharan, J. P. Whitney, M. D. Strauss, and R. J. Wood, "Monolithic fabrication of millimeter-scale machines," *Journal of Micromechanics and Microengineering*, vol. 22, no. 5, p. 055027, May 2012.
- [8] A. T. Baisch, O. Ozcan, B. Goldberg, D. Ithier, and R. J. Wood, "High speed locomotion for a quadrupedal microrobot," *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, vol. 33, no. 8, pp. 1063–1082, Jul. 2014.
- [9] F. Haas and R. J. Wootton, "Two Basic Mechanisms in Insect Wing Folding," *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, vol. 263, no. 1377, pp. 1651–1658, Dec. 1996.
- [10] T. Eisner, "Leaf folding in a sensitive plant: A defensive thorn-exposure mechanism?" *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 78, no. 1, pp. 402–404, Jan. 1981.
- [11] H. Kobayashi, B. Kresling, and J. F. V. Vincent, "The geometry of unfolding tree leaves," *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, vol. 265, no. 1391, pp. 147–154, Jan. 1998.
- [12] M. Karplus and D. L. Weaver, "Protein-folding dynamics," *Nature*, vol. 260, no. 5, pp. 404–406, Apr. 1976.
- [13] E. Hawkes, B. An, N. M. Benbernou, H. Tanaka, S. Kim, E. D. Demaine, D. Rus, and R. J. Wood, "Programmable matter by folding," in *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, Jun. 2010, pp. 12441–12445.
- [14] A. Firouzeh, Y. Sun, H. Lee, and J. Paik, "Sensor and actuator integrated low-profile robotic origami," in 2013 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS). IEEE, 2013, pp. 4937–4944.
- [15] B. An and D. Rus, "Designing and programming self-folding sheets," *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, vol. 62, no. 7, pp. 976–1001, Jul. 2014.
- [16] B. An, N. Benbernou, E. D. Demaine, and D. Rus, "Planning to fold multiple objects from a single self-folding sheet," *Robotica*, vol. 29, no. 01, pp. 87–102, Jan. 2011.
- [17] S. Felton, M. Tolley, E. Demaine, D. Rus, and R. Wood, "A method for building self-folding machines," *Science*, vol. 345, no. 6, pp. 644–646, Aug. 2014.
- [18] S. M. Felton, M. T. Tolley, C. D. Onal, D. Rus, and R. J. Wood, "Robot self-assembly by folding: A printed inchworm robot," in *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2013, pp. 277–282.
- [19] S. Miyashita, S. Guitron, M. Ludersdorfer, C. R. Sung, and D. Rus, "An untethered miniature origami robot that self-folds, walks, swims, and degrades," in *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2015, pp. 1490–1496.
- [20] S. Miyashita, L. Meeker, M. Gouldi, Y. Kawahara, and D. Rus, "Self-folding printable elastic electric devices: Resistor, capacitor, and inductor," in *Robotics and Automation (ICRA), 2014 IEEE International Conference on.* IEEE, 2014, pp. 1446–1453.
- [21] K. Malachowski, M. Jamal, Q. Jin, B. Polat, C. J. Morris, and D. H. Gracias, "Self-Folding Single Cell Grippers," *Nano Letters*, vol. 14, no. 7, pp. 4164–4170, Jul. 2014.
- [22] B. An, S. Miyashita, M. T. Tolley, D. M. Aukes, L. Meeker, E. D. Demaine, M. L. Demaine, R. J. Wood, and D. Rus, "An end-to-end approach to making self-folded 3D surface shapes by uniform heating," 2014 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), pp. 1466–1473, 2014.

- [23] N. M. Benbernou, E. D. Demaine, M. L. Demaine, and A. Ovadya, "Universal hinge patterns to fold orthogonal shapes," in Origami<sup>5</sup>: Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Origami in Science, Mathematics and Education (OSME 2010). Singapore: A K Peters, July 13–17 2010, pp. 405–420.
- [24] E. D. Demaine and J. O'Rourke, *Geometric Folding Algorithms*, ser. Linkages, Origami, Polyhedra. Cambridge University Press, Aug. 2008.
- [25] T. Tachi, "Origamizing Polyhedral Surfaces," IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 298–311, 2010.
- [26] E. D. Demaine, S. L. Devadoss, J. S. B. Mitchell, and J. O'Rourke, "Continuous foldability of polygonal paper." *CCCG*, pp. 64–67, 2004.
- [27] E. Demaine, M. Demaine, and J. Ku, "Folding Any Orthogonal Maze," in Origami 5. A K Peters/CRC Press, Nov. 2011, pp. 449–454.
- [28] E. Demaine, S. Fekete, and R. Lang, "Circle Packing for Origami Design Is Hard," in *Origami 5*. A K Peters/CRC Press, Nov. 2011, pp. 609–626.
- [29] C. Sung, E. D. Demaine, M. L. Demaine, and D. Rus, "Edge-Compositions of 3D Surfaces," *Journal of Mechanical Design*, vol. 135, no. 11, p. 111001, Nov. 2013.
- [30] M. W. Bern and B. Hayes, "The Complexity of Flat Origami." SODA, pp. 175–183, 1996.
- [31] L. Ionov, "Soft microorigami: self-folding polymer films," Soft Matter, vol. 7, no. 15, pp. 6786–6791, 2011.
- [32] Y. W. Yi and C. Liu, "Magnetic actuation of hinged microstructures," *Journal of Microelectromechanical Systems*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 10–17, Mar. 1999.
- [33] S. M. Felton, M. T. Tolley, and R. J. Wood, "Mechanically programmed self-folding at the millimeter scale," in *Automation Science and Engineering (CASE), 2014 IEEE International Conference on*. IEEE, 2014, pp. 1232–1237.
- [34] S. M. Felton, M. T. Tolley, B. Shin, C. D. Onal, E. D. Demaine, D. Rus, and R. J. Wood, "Self-folding with shape memory composites," *Soft Matter*, vol. 9, no. 32, pp. 7688–7694, 2013.
- [35] S. Miyashita, C. D. Onal, and D. Rus, "Self-pop-up cylindrical structure by global heating," in *IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*. IEEE, 2013, pp. 4065–4071.
- [36] M. T. Tolley, S. M. Felton, S. Miyashita, D. Aukes, D. Rus, and R. J. Wood, "Self-folding origami: shape memory composites activated by uniform heating," *Smart Materials and Structures*, vol. 23, no. 9, p. 094006, 2014.
- [37] Y. Liu, J. K. Boyles, J. Genzer, and M. D. Dickey, "Self-folding of polymer sheets using local light absorption," *Soft Matter*, vol. 8, no. 6, p. 1764, 2012.
- [38] K. Kuribayashi-Shigetomi, H. Onoe, and S. Takeuchi, "Cell Origami: Self-Folding of Three-Dimensional Cell-Laden Microstructures Driven by Cell Traction Force," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 7, p. 51085, Dec. 2012.
- [39] T. G. Leong, P. A. Lester, T. L. Koh, E. K. Call, and D. H. Gracias, "Surface Tension-Driven Self-Folding Polyhedra," *Langmuir*, vol. 23, no. 17, pp. 8747–8751, Aug. 2007.
- [40] K. Yasu and M. Inami, "POPAPY: Instant Paper Craft Made Up in a Microwave Oven," in Advances in Computer Entertainment. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012, pp. 406–420.
- [41] Q. Ge, C. K. Dunn, H. J. Qi, and M. L. Dunn, "Active origami by 4D printing," *Smart Materials and Structures*, vol. 23, no. 9, p. 094007, Sep. 2014.
- [42] Y. Mao, K. Yu, M. S. Isakov, J. Wu, M. L. Dunn, and H. Jerry Qi, "Sequential Self-Folding Structures by 3D Printed Digital Shape Memory Polymers," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 5, p. 13616, 2015. [Online]. Available: http://www.nature.com/articles/srep13616
- [43] P. Sitthi-Amorn, J. E. Ramos, Y. Wang, J. Kwan, J. T. Lan, W. Wang, and W. Matusik, "MultiFab: a machine vision assisted platform for multimaterial 3D printing." ACM Trans. Graph. (), vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 129– 129:11, 2015.
- [44] T. Tachi, "Origamizing polyhedral surfaces," *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 298–311, Mar. 2010. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2009.67
- [45] S. Takahashi, H.-Y. Wu, S. H. Saw, C.-C. Lin, and H.-C. Yen, "Optimized Topological Surgery for Unfolding 3D Meshes," *Computer Graphics Forum*, vol. 30, no. 7, pp. 2077–2086, Nov. 2011.
- [46] T. Tachi, "Simulation of Rigid Origami," in *Origami 4*. A K Peters/CRC Press, Apr. 2011, pp. 175–187.
- [47] M. Bern, E. D. Demaine, D. Eppstein, E. Kuo, A. Mantler, and J. Snoeyink, "Ununfoldable polyhedra with convex faces," *Computational Geometry*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 51–62, Feb. 2003.
- [48] R. C. Prim, "Shortest connection networks and some generalizations," *Bell System Technical Journal, The*, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 1389–1401, 1957.

[49] E. D. Demaine and J. O'Rourke, "Flattening Polyhedra," in *Geometric Folding Algorithms*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010, pp. 279–284.