



This is a repository copy of *Editorial: Carbon dioxide utilization*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:  
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/141973/>

Version: Published Version

---

**Article:**

Styring, P. and Armstrong, K. (2018) Editorial: Carbon dioxide utilization. *Frontiers in Energy Research*, 6. 78. ISSN 2296-598X

<https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2018.00078>

---

**Reuse**

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:  
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>



# Editorial: Carbon Dioxide Utilization

*Peter Styring\* and Katy Armstrong*

*Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, UK Centre for Carbon Dioxide Utilization, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom*

**Keywords:** ICCDU, carbon dioxide, CCU, CDU, carbon dioxide utilization

## Editorial on the Research Topic

### Carbon Dioxide Utilization

The ICCDU is the foremost conference on Carbon Dioxide Utilization (CDU) on an international stage. The 14th Edition was hosted at the University of Sheffield, the first time it has been hosted by the United Kingdom. The conference was organized by the CO<sub>2</sub>Chem Network ([www.co2chem.com](http://www.co2chem.com)), the largest global network in the field. When this Research Topic was conceived we were very clear that this should not be considered as a set of conference proceedings, but high quality original research papers that reflected the general flavor of the conference. Each paper has had the rigorous peer review that would be expected, as I am sure you will discover.

In the last decade there has been considerable advancement in CDU chemistry and engineering. There has been a transition from bench scale chemistry through to commercial implementation across the world. This Research Topic reflects this transition as it covers areas as diverse as carbon dioxide capture, the chemistry and laboratory scale engineering and even capture free utilization, while also addressing the commercial and social aspects of utilization across the supply chain. While the editors did not impose any barriers to the type of utilization covered, it was noticeable that the majority of papers focused in the conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> into fuels. This demonstrates the need to develop methodologies toward the production of low carbon fuels, particularly for mobility applications, that have superior physical and environmental properties when compared to fossil fuels.

The paper on capture of CO<sub>2</sub> (Reed et al.) offered a new approach to purification through a pressure swing adsorption (PSA) process, based on an ionic liquid coated on to sustainable cellulose fibers. The best materials show capacity similar to aqueous MEA solutions but with reduced costs and faster cycle kinetics. One key finding was that the best performance was achieved for 25% loading of the ionic liquid on to the low-cost cellulose, an effect of the thin film coated on to a highly textured support surface. This was evidenced in SEM studies. The process was further used to integrate the capture unit to a low temperature plasmolysis reactor (Moss et al.) to produce CO, a key constituent of syngas. Different concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> in the purified flue gas were reacted by plasmolysis, and the results showed that conversion of 80% CO<sub>2</sub> in N<sub>2</sub> into CO was far more efficient than when using pure CO<sub>2</sub>. This has potential implications in cost reduction in plasma-catalyzed processes.

In most CDU processes, catalysis is expected to play a major role. This was exemplified in the use of a copper catalyst to convert syngas to methanol in a single pass (Ahoba-Sam et al.). Methanol is not only an important transport fuel or additive, but also a key intermediate in chemicals manufacture. The role of the solvent in the low-temperature process was investigated and it was observed that the best syngas conversion was achieved using diglyme. Indeed, methanol is a key feedstock in the production of butanol, a direct gasoline drop-in fuel, using a non-catalytic process (Dowson and Styring). The novelty of the latter process is that it does not require a CO<sub>2</sub> capture step, instead using the product production to do a reactive capture from flue gas concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> in nitrogen.

## OPEN ACCESS

### **Edited and reviewed by:**

Ah-Hyung Alissa Park,  
Columbia University, United States

### **\*Correspondence:**

Peter Styring  
[p.styring@sheffield.ac.uk](mailto:p.styring@sheffield.ac.uk)

### **Specialty section:**

This article was submitted to  
Carbon Capture, Storage, and  
Utilization,  
a section of the journal  
Frontiers in Energy Research

**Received:** 03 July 2018

**Accepted:** 20 July 2018

**Published:** 20 August 2018

### **Citation:**

Styring P and Armstrong K (2018)  
Editorial: Carbon Dioxide Utilization.  
*Front. Energy Res.* 6:78.  
doi: 10.3389/fenrg.2018.00078

While some might say that the conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> back to a fuel is an energy inefficient process, it is argued that there is a need for synthetic fuels as we move toward a low carbon economy (Wilson and Styring). Synthetic fuels derived from CO<sub>2</sub> are not necessarily the same as those derived from fossil oil as the former have zero sulfur content. Furthermore, they produce far less particulate matter on combustion, because synthetic fuels are low in aromatic and branched hydrocarbons making them more environmentally attractive.

An interesting article from Sweden investigates how synthetic fuels can be produced electrochemically from CO<sub>2</sub> emitted from fossil fuel combustion and biogenic processes (Hansson et al.). This emphasizes the need for local clean energy sources and appropriate CO<sub>2</sub> sources when devising CDU processes. The paper concludes that electro-fuels could be produced from CO<sub>2</sub> but that the limiting factor is a potential supply exceeding demand in Sweden and the availability of clean energy in the country: it is proposed that by 2030 the major market could be derived from lignocellulose-based CDU.

Finally, two papers consider the techno-economic and social aspects of CDU. The first looks at what barriers there are at the present time to the implementation of CDU commercially (Kant). Public acceptance of new technologies is often key to the successful implementation. Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) has suffered across Europe because of public opposition, to the extent that CCS is not now permitted in countries such as Germany. The second paper considers the best ways to address public concerns (Jones et al.) to present CDU as a truly environmentally-friendly technology, based on an evidential approach. The paper recognizes that research in this area is limited but offers a potential agenda for its continued study and implementation of the data.

It is gratifying to see the level of interest that this Research Topic has generated. At the time of writing this Editorial, 18 June 2018, the combined views recorded for the papers in this topic are over 26,000. Recent developments since the

2016 conference mean that the status of CDU is increasing internationally. A 2018 report from Mission Innovation, supported by the G20 governments and the European Union as a block, has recommended significant increase in funding for CO<sub>2</sub> utilization chemistries and technologies. A number of papers published in this Research Topic featured in the evidence presented to the panels and in the final policy document ([https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2018/05/f51/Accelerating%20Breakthrough%20Innovation%20in%20Carbon%20Capture%2C%20Utilization%2C%20and%20Storage%20\\_0.pdf](https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2018/05/f51/Accelerating%20Breakthrough%20Innovation%20in%20Carbon%20Capture%2C%20Utilization%2C%20and%20Storage%20_0.pdf)). It is becoming clear that the use of CO<sub>2</sub> as a chemical feedstock is becoming an integral tool in a move toward a low carbon future and a circular economy. CDU means that less fossil carbon enters the supply chain, satisfying the top level of the Lansink waste protocol. Furthermore, it also addresses issues of reuse and recycling as part of an industrial carbon cycle.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

PS was the primary author of this Editorial paper. KA provided additional information and proof reading of the paper.

## FUNDING

We acknowledge the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council for funding the CO<sub>2</sub>Chem Network which organised ICCDU 2016 under grant numbers (EP/K007947/1 and EP/H035702/1).

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

*Copyright © 2018 Styring and Armstrong. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.*