



This is a repository copy of *Relative contribution of abundant and rare species to species–energy relationships* .

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/1416/>

Article:

Evans, K.L., Greenwood, J.J.D. and Gaston, K.J. (2005) Relative contribution of abundant and rare species to species–energy relationships. *Biology Letters*, 1 (1). pp. 87-90. ISSN 1744-957X

<https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2004.0251>

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

ELECTRONIC APPENDIX

This is the Electronic Appendix to the article

Relative contribution of abundant and rare species
to species-energy relationships

by

Karl L. Evans, Jeremy J.D. Greenwood & Kevin J. Gaston

Biol. Lett. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2004.0251)

Electronic appendices are refereed with the text; however, no attempt is made to impose a uniform editorial style on the electronic appendices.

Supplementary materials

Methods

Our spatial correlation models fit a spatial covariance matrix to the data and use this to adjust test statistics accordingly. Our spatial models assumed a power spatial covariance structure as, for each response variable, this gave a better fit to the null model than five alternative covariance structures: spherical, gaussian, linear, linear log and power. Comparing null spatial models to ones that assumed independent errors demonstrated that all our response variables were significantly spatially autocorrelated (likelihood ratio tests $P < 0.0001$ in all cases). Further details of these models are provided by Littell *et al.* (1996).

Using R we constructed 1,000 non-identical random assemblages for each of the species richness values that we consider, with the exception of that of the complete assemblage, giving a total of 37 species richness values. We then assessed the form of the species-energy relationships in each of these random assemblages using GLMs. It was not practical to do so using spatial models due to the intensive computer time that these currently require. Each of our spatial models takes approximately 40 minutes to run on a high-specification PC and thus constructing spatial models for each of our 37,000 random assemblages would require more computer time (>24,000 hours) than we could allocate.