



**UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS**

This is a repository copy of *The Impact of Climate Change on Fertility*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/139653/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Walsh, BS, Parratt, SR, Hoffmann, AA et al. (4 more authors) (2019) The Impact of Climate Change on Fertility. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 34 (3). pp. 249-259. ISSN 0169-5347

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2018.12.002>

---

© 2018 Published by Elsevier Ltd. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial No Derivatives 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

**Reuse**

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

**Journal:** TREE

**Document type:** Opinion

**Title:** The Impact of Climate Change on Fertility

**Keywords:** reproduction, species distributions, thermal tolerance, thermal fertility limits, critical thermal limits

**Author details:** Benjamin S Walsh<sup>1\*</sup>, Steven R Parratt<sup>1\*</sup>, Ary A Hoffmann<sup>2</sup>, David Atkinson<sup>1</sup>, Rhonda R Snook<sup>3</sup>, Amanda Bretman<sup>4</sup>, Tom A R Price<sup>1</sup>

\*Authors contributed equally

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Integrative Biology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

<sup>2</sup>School of BioSciences, Bio21 Institute, the University of Melbourne, Australia

<sup>3</sup>Department of Zoology, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

<sup>4</sup>Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

## Glossary

**Critical thermal limits (CTL):** CTLs are a suite of commonly used measures of the maximum and minimum temperatures at which organisms can viably function. Individuals are exposed to either static stressful temperatures or gradually ramping temperatures and observed for physiological failure; e.g., uncoordinated movement, heat coma, or death [1]. Typically, either the duration of exposure or the temperature at which loss of viability is observed is recorded as the thermal limit.

**Fecundity:** The total number of offspring an individual can produce across a set interval or lifetime.

**Fertility:** The ability of an organism to produce viable offspring. Fertility can be measured in a number of ways but always reaches its lower limit when conditions prevent an individual from producing any offspring (i.e. sterility).

**Hardening:** Increased thermal tolerance shown by organisms after a short period of exposure to a stressful but non-lethal temperature within the same life stage. Hardening tests are one component of a species plastic response when exposed to stressful temperatures [2].

**Sterility:** Describes an individual that cannot produce any offspring over a defined period, and thus is synonymous with complete infertility.

**Thermal fertility limits (TFL):** Outlined here for the first time, TFLs refer to a level and duration of thermal stress that renders individuals unable to reproduce. For populations and species this can be defined as the temperature at which a given proportion of individuals are qualitatively sterile and it includes both higher ( $TF_{MAX}$ ) and lower ( $TF_{MIN}$ ) thermal stress

limits. For example, the upper  $TF_{MAX}$  of male *Drosophila buzzatii* – measured as permanent sterility of 80% of individuals after 6 hours – is 38.5°C [3].

## 1 **Abstract**

2 Rising global temperatures are threatening biodiversity. Studies on the impact of  
3 temperature on natural populations usually use lethal or viability thresholds, termed the  
4 ‘critical thermal limit’. However, this overlooks important sub-lethal impacts of temperature  
5 that could affect species’ persistence. Here, we discuss a critical but overlooked trait,  
6 fertility, which can deteriorate at temperatures less severe than an organism’s lethal limit.  
7 We argue that studies examining the ecological and evolutionary impacts of climate change  
8 should consider the ‘Thermal Fertility Limit’ (TFL) of species; we propose that a framework  
9 for designing TFL studies across taxa be developed. Given the importance of fertility for  
10 population persistence, understanding how climate change affects TFLs is vital for assessing  
11 future biodiversity impacts.

## 12 **1. Biodiversity Under Climate Change**

13 Climate change will continue to have an increasingly dramatic effect on the global thermal  
14 environment [4], including increases in average local temperatures and the frequency of  
15 heat waves [5, 6]. These shifts present a major threat to biodiversity and are starting to  
16 have severe impacts on the distribution and abundance of natural populations and species  
17 [7, 8]. The capacity of species to respond ecologically and evolutionarily to the challenges of  
18 global thermal change will affect future biodiversity. Determining key thermally-sensitive  
19 traits across species, and quantifying the ability of species to buffer the effects of thermal  
20 stress on these traits, is therefore a critical research priority [9].

21 Understanding the long-term impacts of climate change on populations requires robust  
22 predictive models that can project responses to both current global temperatures and  
23 future climate change scenarios. Currently, many such models are based on empirically  
24 derived 'critical thermal limit' (CTL, see Glossary) estimates, which describe the upper and  
25 lower temperature bounds beyond which critical biological functions (e.g. movement or  
26 respiration) fail [8, 10]. Comparative studies have shown that measures of such viability  
27 limits more robustly predict the current distributions of many species than measures  
28 derived from changes in mean fitness traits under thermal stress [11]. For this reason, CTLs  
29 have also been used to infer species' sensitivity to climate change [8, 12-14]. However, using  
30 only thermal limits to viability may be misleading because different measures of CTLs do not  
31 always correlate within a single species or population, leading to inconsistent estimates of  
32 population persistence [15]. It has been suggested that a multi-trait approach to thermal  
33 tolerance may give more robust estimates of species responses to climate change [15]. In  
34 particular, the focus of thermal limits needs to move away from the incapacitating and  
35 lethal effects of thermal stress, to investigate how sub-lethal temperatures impact fitness-  
36 related traits such as reproduction, which are critical for population stability and  
37 persistence.

## 38 **2. Sensitivity of Fertility to Temperature**

39 Fertility is a major component of individual fitness and is a central determinant of  
40 population growth and persistence. Evidence from a wide variety of taxa suggest that the  
41 germ line and associated reproductive physiology is sensitive to thermal stress, particularly  
42 high temperatures [16-20]. Evidence, mostly from pollen development, suggests that

43 meiosis is a more thermally sensitive process than mitosis [reviewed in 21, 22]. In mammals,  
44 the descended testicle has evolved to ensure that spermatogenesis occurs at cooler-than-  
45 body temperatures [23 and references therein]. Indeed, temperature induced infertility  
46 imposes major economic costs in tropical climates [24]. However, although a number of  
47 studies have examined how temperature impacts reproductive traits (Table 1), these often  
48 use vastly different methodologies and measure different aspects of reproductive biology.  
49 This collection of disparate studies makes quantitative comparisons of the impact of high  
50 temperature on reproduction very difficult. Possibly for this reason, thermal limits to  
51 fertility have not been systematically incorporated into predictions of species responses to  
52 climate change.

53 Here, we argue that the effect of temperature on fertility requires a broad analogue of CTL,  
54 termed the 'Thermal Fertility Limit' (TFL). This term would capture both the upper ( $TF_{MAX}$ )  
55 and lower ( $TF_{MIN}$ ) temperature boundaries at which a species loses fertility. This new term  
56 will facilitate researchers in bringing together related work on how environmental stress  
57 impacts this broadly important component of biology, and will highlight the important  
58 biological and ecological distinction between fertility and survival when assessing species'  
59 response to climate change. We suggest that a framework be developed that will allow  
60 researchers to design and conduct thermal fertility studies in a way that generates  
61 comparable datasets across taxa. A large database of TFL measures across multiple species  
62 and populations relevant to thermal stress levels encountered in nature would provide the  
63 power to answer important evolutionary and ecological questions regarding the impact of  
64 climate change on natural populations at risk (Box 1 and Figure 1). We do not propose that  
65 TFL measures would replace CTLs. Rather, we suggest that the combination of these

66 measures, the geographic distribution of these two limits, and the extent to which they  
67 correlate within and among species, will give valuable insight into species' ability to persist  
68 and adapt to global thermal change. To do this, we need to consider how temperature is  
69 likely to affect fertility at a mechanistic level, and how researchers can design and conduct  
70 studies of TFLs in a standardised and broadly comparable way.

### 71 **3. Towards a Methodological Framework for the Study of TFLs**

72 The adoption of standardised measures for CTLs [11, 25], typically either a direct or proxy  
73 measure of viability, has facilitated large-scale comparative studies of species' responses to  
74 climate change [8]. A challenge for the study of TFLs will be to develop a similarly  
75 standardised measure for fertility. This is a non-trivial task given the inherent complexity  
76 and potential species-specificity of reproductive components that contribute to fertility  
77 (Figure 2). This complexity is highlighted by the diverse methodologies and metrics of  
78 fertility employed in the existing literature on the effect of temperature on fertility (Table  
79 1). For maximum utility, TFL studies should be carefully designed to either produce a  
80 quantitative point estimate of temperature limits for fertility for comparative species  
81 distribution modelling, or to generate effect size estimates for fertility loss at a given  
82 thermal stress level for future meta-analyses between groups.

#### 83 ***Factors in Designing TFL Studies***

84 Despite the diverse elements of fertility described in Figure 2, we argue that the most  
85 ecologically precise limit to fertility is the point at which the qualitative ability of an  
86 organism to produce viable adult offspring under controlled conditions is lost. This limit  
87 yields a precise metric that can be applied to quantitative comparisons among taxa.

88 However, for many species, measuring offspring production directly may be impractical, for  
89 instance if generation times are extremely slow. In such instances, proxy measurements  
90 that can be empirically correlated with fertility may also serve to capture the effect of  
91 temperature. For example, in some *Drosophila*, qualitative sperm motility has been used to  
92 quantify male fertility following heat stress, as this correlates strongly with reproductive  
93 output [reviewed in 26]. In plants, the percentage of pollen grains that germinate *in vitro*  
94 correlates with fruit productivity and has been employed as a measure of TFLs [21, 27]. It  
95 would be unrealistic to attempt to identify a trait that captures the effect of temperature on  
96 fertility across all of biology, but taxa-specific proxies like these may be sufficient to enable  
97 meaningful comparative studies.

98 Whichever measurement is used, assessing fertility over a range of static temperatures will  
99 allow us to generate a fertility reaction norm. From these reaction norms we can determine  
100 the temperature at which fertility drops by a given percentage compared to benign controls;  
101 a measure analogous to a 'Lethal Dosage' in toxicology and one already used for some  
102 measures of CTLs [28]. The exact proportion of fertility loss that is ecologically relevant for  
103 population stability and thus represents a true thermal fertility limit, is likely to vary from  
104 species to species. With enough data on the reproductive and population biology of a given  
105 organism, these thresholds could be explicitly modelled. Or, if reaction norms are  
106 established across a broad enough range of temperatures then it should be possible to  
107 determine any threshold and to assess if these are correlated across species.

108 Further, unlike viability limits, fertility is not necessarily an irreversible binary trait. Evidence  
109 suggests that complete sterility at extreme temperatures is preceded by quantitative  
110 fertility loss at intermediate conditions [29, 30]. Furthermore, recovery of fertility can occur

111 in some heat-sterilised animals if they are returned to benign conditions [31, 32], although  
112 under severe thermal stress sterility can be permanent [3, pers. obs., 19]. Researchers  
113 should carefully consider the time frame over which qualitative fertility is assessed following  
114 heat stress, and potentially account for the recovery of fertility over time; a two-day knock-  
115 down in fertility may be inconsequential for long-lived species but catastrophic for  
116 organisms that exist as adults for only days. This highlights an important consideration when  
117 comparing the utility of CTLs and TFLs, reinforcing that TFLs have a much more complicated  
118 relationship with time than CTLs.

119 A second important practical consideration arises when selecting an ecologically relevant  
120 temperature treatment. Researchers have shown that the response of organisms to thermal  
121 stress is affected by both the intensity of the temperature chosen and also the duration of  
122 exposure [25]. This is further complicated when one considers the effect that hardening  
123 treatments [1], ramping [13], and the observed differences between static and cyclic  
124 temperature treatments [33, and references therein] have on thermal performance in many  
125 organisms. Unlike CTLs, where the effect of temperature is often immediately visible, loss of  
126 fertility requires subsequent assays following exposure to heat, and so ramping assays are  
127 unlikely to be useful. Instead, researchers must choose regimes of static or fluctuating  
128 temperature stress that reflect current or future thermal extremes for natural populations.  
129 The need to finely balance high-throughput, standardised repeatable assays with ecological  
130 realism will be a major challenge for TFL research.

131 To summarise, if researchers think about the exact trait they are going to measure, the  
132 thermal regime under which it will be measured, and consider that fertility may recover  
133 over time, then they will be well on their way to having a robust framework for studying

134 TFLs (Box 2). Investigating this in model species, and testing whether it predicts species  
135 distributions better than current methods, will be a key step in determining how important  
136 TFLs are in nature.

#### 137 **4. Can Species Maintain Fertility in the Face of Thermal Change?**

138 Many species are predicted to have populations pushed beyond their critical thermal  
139 maxima ( $CT_{MAX}$ ) by climate change [14]. As thermal fertility maxima ( $TF_{MAX}$ ) are expected to  
140 often be lower than  $CT_{MAX}$ , rapid climate change is likely to push many populations and  
141 species beyond their  $TF_{MAX}$ . Developing standardised measures of TFLs will provide tools to  
142 investigate how species might physiologically acclimate and adapt to these changing  
143 thermal environments.

##### 144 ***Are Thermal Fertility Limits Plastic?***

145 Organisms could show phenotypic plasticity in TFLs within their own lifetime or through  
146 intergenerational carry-over effects. Sub-optimal temperatures experienced at early life-  
147 history stages can affect traits such as adult size [34]. Experiencing some level of thermal  
148 stress can increase the fitness of individuals for a similar stress later in life, a process known  
149 as acclimation. For CTLs there is significant, but very limited, scope for coping with rising  
150 temperatures through plasticity [35]. For instance, the degree of plasticity in upper thermal  
151 tolerance appears weakly associated with species distribution ranges [13]. However, it is not  
152 known if similar plasticity exists for TFLs, and whether plasticity in TFLs is greater than that  
153 for CTLs. Exposing organisms to acclimation treatments followed by TFL measurement, or

154 investigating inter-generation carry-over effects for TFLs, may shed new light on the ability  
155 of organisms to buffer the effects on fitness of ecological change.

156 There is mixed evidence for the impact of acclimation on temperature-induced sterility.  
157 Male *Drosophila buzzatti* regain fertility faster following a heat stress if they had previous  
158 experienced a heat-shock [3]. However, both *Drosophila subobscura* and *Tribolium*  
159 *castaneum* have been shown to exhibit more extreme fertility loss when exposed to  
160 multiple rather than single periods of heat stress, which does not indicate an acclimation  
161 response [17, 36]. Where plasticity in thermal fertility traits does exist, the underlying  
162 mechanisms remain largely unknown. However, individuals are likely to cope with stress in  
163 part by using heat-shock proteins, which are important in mediating upper thermal limits in  
164 insect species [37]. Many, including Hsp70, are up-regulated during hardening treatments,  
165 helping individuals to offset the negative fitness consequences of thermal stress [38]. Heat  
166 shock proteins are a ubiquitous component in living systems: importantly, they are found in  
167 gametes, including human spermatozoa [39]. Exploring the scope for heat-shock protein  
168 expression to buffer the deleterious effect of high temperature on fertility, and the variation  
169 in this within closely related species might explain patterns of variation in TFLs.

### 170 ***Can Thermal Fertility Limits Evolve?***

171 Over long periods of environmental change, selection should favour more thermally-  
172 tolerant genotypes and a rise in both CTLs and TFLs. Including the evolvability of thermally  
173 sensitive traits into models of species' response to climate change generates vastly different  
174 predictions than equivalent models parameterised with only current measure of thermal  
175 sensitivity [8]. However, current evidence suggests there is very little standing genetic  
176 variation and evolvability for high temperature CTLs [8], although this is debated [reviewed

177 in 25]. Whether TFLs can evolve rapidly is unknown. Limited evidence in *Drosophila* has  
178 shown male sterility under heat stress can be variable within species and may be under  
179 selection to be locally adapted across populations originating from different thermal  
180 regimes [17, 19, 31, 40], suggesting that TFLs may be evolvable. Quantifying standing  
181 variation in TFLs across genotypes and populations of multiple species would be a good first  
182 approach for testing this.

183 Species with CTLs that are low and evolutionarily constrained are predicted to be at  
184 particular risk from climate change [12]. For instance, tropical species have been shown to  
185 often lack genetic variation that would enable rapid evolution to cope with changing  
186 climatic variables such as temperature and desiccation [14, 41]. Establishing how these  
187 species' TFLs respond to increasing temperatures may be critical for predicting how they will  
188 be impacted by climate change. If TFLs are substantially lower than CTLs, then these species  
189 may be more vulnerable than currently predicted. However, if TFLs are more evolvable than  
190 CTLs, this may compensate for their initially low TFLs, making CTLs more important  
191 predictors of distributions in a warming world. Until both CTLs and TFLs are examined across  
192 a variety of taxa, and the evolvability of TFLs determined, confidence in predictions about  
193 which taxa are going to be particularly vulnerable will be low (Box 1).

194 Whether populations or species can respond to thermally-induced loss of fertility, either  
195 through short-term plasticity or long-term adaptive change, is unclear. This is partly because  
196 of knowledge gaps regarding the impact of extreme temperature on fertility in animals and  
197 plants. A fundamental understanding of how extreme increases and decreases in  
198 temperature influence reproduction with negative effects on fertility is required before the  
199 ecological relevance and potential evolution of TFLs can be determined. However, it is

200 precisely these answers that are ultimately among the most important to know, as they will  
201 improve predictions on how climate change may affect species abundance and distribution,  
202 and thereby change biodiversity across the globe.

### 203 **Concluding Remarks**

204 Here, we have introduced and discussed the idea that measuring the thermal limit of  
205 fertility across multiple species and a broad range of taxa could be critical when assessing  
206 the impacts of global thermal change on biodiversity. While the use of critical thermal limits  
207 has proven to be informative for modelling current and future distributions of species [8, 13,  
208 14], CTLs may overestimate species' ability to cope with stressful temperatures. Research  
209 exploring TFLs (see Outstanding Questions) is needed to ascertain the extent to which they  
210 correlate with CTLs. To this end, we propose a general framework for TFL studies to  
211 promote large-scale cross-taxa assessments of this important but largely neglected trait.  
212 Focusing on TFLs with broadly standardised methodologies may improve our knowledge of  
213 how climate change will affect species' abundance, distribution, and persistence. However,  
214 the current literature on how thermal stress impacts fertility is fragmented. Stronger and  
215 more unified thermal fertility research might radically improve our predictions about the  
216 impacts of global thermal change.

## 217 **Box 1: Groups at Risk**

218 **Figure 1 Examples of organisms that may be particularly at risk to losing fertility due to**  
219 **high temperatures.**

220 Certain groups of organisms are likely to be most vulnerable to temperature-driven fertility  
221 loss. These groups may provide important case studies and primary avenues of research (Fig  
222 1).

### 223 **Ectothermic Species**

224 Most plant species cannot regulate the temperature of their tissues (excluding a number of  
225 species of flower [42]), forcing them to withstand ambient temperatures. Likewise,  
226 ectothermic animals may also be vulnerable [5], as they rely on behavioural rather than  
227 physiological thermoregulation to avoid stressful microenvironments. Smaller ectothermic  
228 animals are even more at risk, as they will reach ambient temperatures faster.

### 229 **Endemic Species and Species with Small Ranges**

230 Rare or endemic species with small latitudinal ranges are likely to be particularly at risk to  
231 losing fertility as ambient temperatures increase because i) they are likely to lack the  
232 genetic variation and gene flow required to adapt to novel stressors [7], and ii) in many  
233 cases they may be unable to shift their distribution range to track changing climates. This  
234 will be particularly true for island endemics and species that live within specialised  
235 elevational niches in mountains.

### 236 **Aquatic Species**

237 Aquatic species, particularly broadcast spawners, are likely to be at risk because the specific  
238 heat capacity of water will result in rapid changes in tissue temperatures. Further, gametes  
239 in the water from spawning organisms will be exposed directly to stressful temperatures, so  
240 they will need to evolve robust physiological responses to high temperatures to retain form and  
241 function. This is likely to be a greater issue for freshwater and shallow water organisms, as  
242 these environments experience greater fluctuations in temperatures, exposing these  
243 organisms to acute stress events.

#### 244 **Sessile Species and Life Stages**

245 Sessile organisms, such as plants, corals and juvenile stages (e.g. pupal stages in  
246 holometabolous insects), in which movement to cooler areas during temperature spikes is  
247 not possible, may be particularly vulnerable. Similarly, due to their limited dispersal ability,  
248 belowground communities may be especially vulnerable to fertility loss under climate  
249 change [43].

### 250 **Box 2 Considerations When Designing TFL Experiments**

251 1. **Trait selection:** We suggest that wherever possible researchers measure both  
252 qualitative and quantitative offspring production in order to capture the ecological  
253 impact of high temperature on fertility. Where this is impossible, careful selection of  
254 proxy measures of fertility that can be empirically correlated with an individual's  
255 ability to produce offspring could be considered. Holistic measures such as these are  
256 most likely to generate broadly comparable data sets across taxa.

257

- 258 2. **Life-history stage:** Whilst reproduction occurs almost invariably during adult life-  
259 history stages, reproductive development and maturation can begin much earlier.  
260 Researchers should therefore consider which life stage(s) of their organism to  
261 expose to stress. For instance, do heat-treated juveniles mature into sterile adults  
262 whilst heated adults remain fertile?
- 263
- 264 3. **Ecologically valid thermal environment:** Careful attention should be given to  
265 selecting temperature regimes that reflect the current or future extremes that  
266 organisms are likely to face. For instance, are temperature spikes over a matter of a  
267 few hours more likely to impact a species' fertility than a rise in mean daytime  
268 temperature? A large body of work on CTLs has demonstrated that measures of  
269 thermal performance can be highly sensitive to the duration of stress [25], rates of  
270 temperature ramping [13] and the intensity and frequency of any temperature  
271 fluctuations [44]. The latter point in particular may be key for thermal fertility, as  
272 some animals can recover fertility during periods of benign temperatures including  
273 night time [45]. Once researchers have selected a regime of temperature delivery  
274 they should strive, where possible, to measure thermal fertility over a range of  
275 temperature values. This will help capture the thermal fertility reaction norm of their  
276 organism.
- 277
- 278 4. **Implications for population stability:** To estimate the population-level effects of  
279 high temperature on fertility, researchers should consider what percentage loss of  
280 fertility represents a meaningful threat to population stability. Factors such as the  
281 effective population size of the organism in a nature, the potential fecundity of

282 individuals and their generation time could be used to estimate a specie's sensitivity  
283 to fertility loss. Researchers can then determine the degree of thermal stress  
284 required to push their study organism beyond this threshold.

285

286 5. **Critical thermal and fertility limits:** The power of TFLs to predict species' response to  
287 climate change will be related to the extent to which fertility and viability limits  
288 correlate with each other and across species. Low correlation would suggest that  
289 one metric cannot be substituted for the other. Which species have high and which  
290 species have low correlation and what impacts this relationship? Thus, researchers  
291 should determine both fertility and viability limits of their organism under relevant  
292 thermal regimes.

293

294 **Table 1: Examples of Thermal Impacts on Fertility**

<b>Taxonomic group</b>	<b>Organism</b>	<b>Species</b>	<b>Impact of temperature on fertility</b>	<b>Measure</b>	<b>Refs</b>
Cnidarian	Coral	<i>Acropora digitifera</i>	Increase of 2°C reduced the number of sperm bundles by almost 50%, and reduced egg size	Gamete number	[49]
Insect	Bed bug	<i>Cimex lectularius</i>	Egg production and hatching success can fall to almost zero as a result of thermal stress	Fecundity	[30]
	Red mason bee	<i>Osmia bicornis</i>	Changed odour profile, altering female mating preference	Mating preference	[50]
	Beetle	<i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i>	Males reared at extreme high temperatures produce smaller sperm than benign controls	Sperm form and function	[51]
	Beetle	<i>Tribolium castaneum</i>	Stressed males reduce sperm viability, competitiveness. Inseminated sperm within female storage organs less viable when female stressed. Transgenerational impact reducing longevity of offspring sired by stressed males	Sperm form and function, offspring production	[36]
	Dragonfly	<i>Micrathyrta spp.</i>	Species within the genus that struggle to maintain optimal body temperatures are less efficient at defending perches at high temperatures, and lose out on breeding sites to larger species	Courtship behaviour	[52]
	Fruit fly	<i>Bactrocera tryoni</i>	Reduced mating latency at cold temperatures, reduced mating frequency at cold temperatures	Mating latency, mating frequency,	[53]
	Fruit fly	Family: Drosophilidae	Reduced mating success. Impairment of sperm elongation, resulting in loss of sperm motility and thus lower fertility	Offspring production, mating success, sperm motility	[17, 26, 29, 31, 54-56]
	Oriental fruit moth	<i>Grapholita molesta</i>	A 2h heat stress during pupation reduced fecundity but increased other adult fitness traits such as survival	Fecundity, gamete viability	[57]
	Wasp	<i>Aphidius avenae</i>	Low mating success rate due to reduced courtship behaviour. Reduced sperm count after developmental stress, with males at high stress fully sterile. Reduced fertilisation results in fewer females, secondarily altering sex ratios. Stressed females produce fewer eggs	Courtship behaviour, gamete number, fertilisation success and offspring production	[32, 58]

Poales	Barley	<i>Hordeum vulgare</i>	Developing anther cells are compromised during thermal stress, while developing ovule cells are not	Gamete viability	[59]
	Rice	<i>Oryza sativa</i>	High temperature during flowering increased pollen sterility, with greater sterility if CO <sub>2</sub> levels were high	Gamete viability	[60]
Polemoniales	Tomato	<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	Under thermal stress pollen viability was reduced and anthers developed abnormalities. Thermally tolerant genotypes showed resistance	Gamete viability	[61]
Vertebrate	Chicken	<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>	An 8 week thermal stress results in increased sperm death and associated drop in fertility	Sperm concentration	[18]
	Cow	<i>Bos taurus</i>	Ovulation failure and abortion rate is higher in cows inseminated during warm seasons	Fertilization	[62]
	Guppy fish	<i>Poecilia reticulata</i>	Males raised at stressful temperatures have shorter, slower sperm than individuals raised at benign temperatures	Sperm form and function	[63]
	Mouse	<i>Mus musculus</i>	Reduced sperm count for over 60 days after 30 minute heat shock	Gamete number	[16]
	Pig	<i>Sus sp.</i>	Sperm DNA damage higher and sperm concentration lower during warm wet season.	Sperm form and function	[24]
	Sea lion	<i>Otaria flavescens</i>	Stressed males desert females to thermoregulate, foregoing mating opportunities	Courtship and mating behaviour	[64]
	Zebra finch	<i>Taeniopygia guttata</i>	Daily heat waves reduced the proportion of sperm exhibiting normal morphology	Sperm form and function	[65]

296 **Acknowledgements**

297 The authors thank the Editor and three anonymous reviewers for their constructive  
298 comments on this paper. This work was funded by the UK Natural Environment Research  
299 Council (grant NE/P002692/1 to TP, AB and RS).

## 300 **References**

- 301 1. Overgaard, J. et al. (2012) Validity of thermal ramping assays used to assess thermal  
302 tolerance in arthropods. *PLoS One* 7 (3), e32758.
- 303 2. Loeschcke, V. and Hoffmann, A.A. (2007) Consequences of heat hardening on a field  
304 fitness component in *Drosophila* depend on environmental temperature. *Am. Nat.* 169 (2),  
305 175-183.
- 306 3. Jørgensen, K.T. et al. (2006) Heat tolerance and the effect of mild heat stress on  
307 reproductive characters in *Drosophila buzzatii* males. *J. Therm. Biol.* 31 (3), 280-286.
- 308 4. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2014) Climate Change 2014–Impacts,  
309 Adaptation and Vulnerability: Regional Aspects, Cambridge University Press.
- 310 5. Kingsolver, J.G. et al. (2013) Heat stress and the fitness consequences of climate change  
311 for terrestrial ectotherms. *Funct. Ecol.* 27 (6), 1415-1423.
- 312 6. Buckley, L.B. and Huey, R.B. (2016) Temperature extremes: geographic patterns, recent  
313 changes, and implications for organismal vulnerabilities. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 22 (12), 3829-  
314 3842.
- 315 7. Hoffmann, A. (2010) Physiological climatic limits in *Drosophila*: patterns and implications.  
316 *J. Exp. Biol.* 213 (6), 870-880.
- 317 8. Kellermann, V. et al. (2012) Upper thermal limits of *Drosophila* are linked to species  
318 distributions and strongly constrained phylogenetically. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109  
319 (40), 16228-16233.
- 320 9. Moritz, C. and Agudo, R. (2013) The future of species under climate change: resilience or  
321 decline? *Science* 341 (6145), 504-508.

- 322 10. Geerts, A. et al. (2015) Rapid evolution of thermal tolerance in the water flea *Daphnia*.  
323 *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 5 (7), 665-668.
- 324 11. Overgaard, J. et al. (2014) Sensitivity to thermal extremes in Australian *Drosophila*  
325 implies similar impacts of climate change on the distribution of widespread and tropical  
326 species. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 20 (6), 1738-1750.
- 327 12. Bush, A. et al. (2016) Incorporating evolutionary adaptation in species distribution  
328 modelling reduces projected vulnerability to climate change. *Ecol. Lett.* 19 (12), 1468-1478.
- 329 13. Mitchell, K.A. et al. (2011) Phenotypic plasticity in upper thermal limits is weakly related  
330 to *Drosophila* species distributions. *Funct. Ecol.* 25 (3), 661-670.
- 331 14. Kellermann, V. et al. (2009) Fundamental evolutionary limits in ecological traits drive  
332 *Drosophila* species distributions. *Science* 325 (5945), 1244-1246.
- 333 15. Blackburn, S. et al. (2014) Evolutionary capacity of upper thermal limits: beyond single  
334 trait assessments. *J. Exp. Biol.* 217 (11), 1918-1924.
- 335 16. Pérez-Crespo, M. et al. (2008) Scrotal heat stress effects on sperm viability, sperm DNA  
336 integrity, and the offspring sex ratio in mice. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.* 75 (1), 40-47.
- 337 17. Porcelli, D. et al. (2016) Local adaptation of reproductive performance during thermal  
338 stress. *J. Evol. Biol.* 30 (2), 422-429.
- 339 18. Karaca, A. et al. (2002) The effects of heat stress and sperm quality classification on  
340 broiler breeder male fertility and semen ion concentrations. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 43 (4), 621-628.
- 341 19. Vollmer, J. et al. (2004) Heat and cold-induced male sterility in *Drosophila buzzatii*:  
342 genetic variation among populations for the duration of sterility. *Heredity* 92 (3), 257-262.
- 343 20. Reinhardt, K. et al. (2015) An ecology of sperm: sperm diversification by natural  
344 selection. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 46, 435-459.

- 345 21. Sage, T.L. et al. (2015) The effect of high temperature stress on male and female  
346 reproduction in plants. *Field Crops Res.* 182, 30-42.
- 347 22. Paupière, M.J. et al. (2014) The metabolic basis of pollen thermo-tolerance: perspectives  
348 for breeding. *Metabolites* 4 (4), 889-920.
- 349 23. Moreno, R.D. et al. (2012) Molecular basis of heat stress damage in mammalian testis. In  
350 Testis: Anatomy, Physiology and Pathology (Nemoto, Y. and Inaba, N. eds), pp. 127-155,  
351 Nova Science Publishers, Inc.
- 352 24. Peña, S.T. et al. (2018) Tropical summer induces DNA fragmentation in boar  
353 spermatozoa: implications for evaluating seasonal infertility. *Reprod. Fertil. Dev.*
- 354 25. Terblanche, J.S. et al. (2007) Critical thermal limits depend on methodological context.  
355 *Proc. R. Soc. Lond., B, Biol. Sci.* 274 (1628), 2935-2943.
- 356 26. David, J. et al. (2005) Male sterility at extreme temperatures: a significant but neglected  
357 phenomenon for understanding *Drosophila* climatic adaptations. *J. Evol. Biol.* 18 (4), 838-  
358 846.
- 359 27. Acar, I. and Kakani, V.G. (2010) The effects of temperature on in vitro pollen germination  
360 and pollen tube growth of *Pistacia* spp. *Sci. Hort.* 125 (4), 569-572.
- 361 28. Lutterschmidt, W.I. and Hutchison, V.H. (1997) The critical thermal maximum: history  
362 and critique. *Can. J. Zool.* 75 (10), 1561-1574.
- 363 29. Chakir, M. et al. (2002) Male sterility thermal thresholds in *Drosophila*: *D. simulans*  
364 appears more cold-adapted than its sibling *D. melanogaster*. *Genetica* 114 (2), 195-205.
- 365 30. Rukke, B.A. et al. (2018) Temperature stress deteriorates bed bug (*Cimex lectularius*)  
366 populations through decreased survival, fecundity and offspring success. *PLoS One* 13 (3),  
367 e0193788.

- 368 31. Rohmer, C. et al. (2004) Heat induced male sterility in *Drosophila melanogaster*:  
369 adaptive genetic variations among geographic populations and role of the Y chromosome. *J.*  
370 *Exp. Biol.* 207 (16), 2735-2743.
- 371 32. Nguyen, T.M. et al. (2013) Heat stress affects male reproduction in a parasitoid wasp. *J.*  
372 *Insect. Physiol.* 59 (3), 248-254.
- 373 33. Sgrò, C.M. et al. (2016) What can plasticity contribute to insect responses to climate  
374 change? *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 61, 433-451.
- 375 34. Atkinson, D. (1994) Temperature and organism size: a biological law for ectotherms?  
376 *Adv. Ecol. Res.* 25, 1-58.
- 377 35. Sørensen, J.G. et al. (2016) Evolutionary and ecological patterns of thermal acclimation  
378 capacity in *Drosophila*: is it important for keeping up with climate change? *Curr. Opin.*  
379 *Insect. Sci.* 17, 98-104.
- 380 36. Sales, K. et al. (2018) Experimental heatwaves compromise sperm function and cause  
381 transgenerational damage in a model insect. *Nat. Commun.* 9 (1), 4771.
- 382 37. Krebs, R. and Loeschcke, V. (1994) Costs and benefits of activation of the heat-shock  
383 response in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Funct. Ecol.*, 730-737.
- 384 38. Sørensen, J. et al. (2001) Genetic variation in thermal tolerance among natural  
385 populations of *Drosophila buzzatii*: down regulation of Hsp70 expression and variation in  
386 heat stress resistance traits. *Funct. Ecol.* 15 (3), 289-296.
- 387 39. Miller, D. et al. (1992) Characterization and cellular distribution of human spermatozoal  
388 heat shock proteins. *Hum. Reprod.* 7 (5), 637-645.
- 389 40. Pedersen, L.D. et al. (2011) The effects of inbreeding and heat stress on male sterility in  
390 *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc. Lond.* 104 (2), 432-442.

- 391 41. Deutsch, C.A. et al. (2008) Impacts of climate warming on terrestrial ectotherms across  
392 latitude. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 105 (18), 6668-6672.
- 393 42. Watling, J.R. et al. (2008) Mechanisms of thermoregulation in plants. *Plant Signal.*  
394 *Behav.* 3 (8), 595-597.
- 395 43. Berg, M.P. et al. (2010) Adapt or disperse: understanding species persistence in a  
396 changing world. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 16 (2), 587-598.
- 397 44. Davies, C. et al. (2016) Effect of stable and fluctuating temperatures on the life history  
398 traits of *Anopheles arabiensis* and *An. quadriannulatus* under conditions of inter- and intra-  
399 specific competition. *Parasit. Vectors* 9 (1), 342.
- 400 45. Zhao, F. et al. (2014) Night warming on hot days produces novel impacts on  
401 development, survival and reproduction in a small arthropod. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 83 (4), 769-778.
- 402 46. Hoffmann, A.A. et al. (2003) Adaptation of *Drosophila* to temperature extremes:  
403 bringing together quantitative and molecular approaches. *J. Therm. Biol.* 28 (3), 175-216.
- 404 47. Pitnick, S. et al. (1995) Delayed male maturity is a cost of producing large sperm in  
405 *Drosophila*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 92 (23), 10614-10618.
- 406 48. Cooper, E.J. (2014) Warmer shorter winters disrupt Arctic terrestrial ecosystems. *Annu.*  
407 *Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 45, 271-295.
- 408 49. Paxton, C.W. et al. (2016) Effect of elevated temperature on fecundity and reproductive  
409 timing in the coral *Acropora digitifera*. *Zygote* 24 (4), 511-516.
- 410 50. Conrad, T. et al. (2017) The effect of temperature on male mating signals and female  
411 choice in the red mason bee, *Osmia bicornis* (L.). *Ecol. Evol.* 7 (21), 8966-8975.
- 412 51. Vasudeva, R. et al. (2014) Developmental temperature affects the expression of  
413 ejaculatory traits and the outcome of sperm competition in *Callosobruchus maculatus*. *J.*  
414 *Evol. Biol.* 27 (9), 1811-1818.

- 415 52. May, M.L. (1977) Thermoregulation and reproductive activity in tropical dragonflies of  
416 the genus *Micrathyria*. *Ecology* 58 (4), 787-798.
- 417 53. Meats, A. and Fay, F. (2000) Distribution of mating frequency among males of the  
418 Queensland fruit fly, '*Bactrocera tryoni*' (Froggatt), in relation to temperature, acclimation  
419 and chance. *Gen. Appl. Ent.* 29, 27.
- 420 54. Gefen, E. and Gibbs, A.G. (2009) Interactions between environmental stress and male  
421 mating success may enhance evolutionary divergence of stress-resistant *Drosophila*  
422 populations. *Evolution* 63 (6), 1653-1659.
- 423 55. Araripe, L. et al. (2004) Male sterility thresholds in a tropical cosmopolitan drosophilid,  
424 *Zaprionus indianus*. *J. Therm. Biol.* 29 (2), 73-80.
- 425 56. Batista, M.R.D. et al. (2018) Altitudinal distribution of two sibling species of the  
426 *Drosophila tripunctata* group in a preserved tropical forest and their male sterility thermal  
427 thresholds. *J. Therm. Biol.* 71, 69-73.
- 428 57. Zheng, J. et al. (2017) Are adult life history traits in oriental fruit moth affected by a mild  
429 pupal heat stress? *J. Insect. Physiol.* 102, 36-41.
- 430 58. Roux, O. et al. (2010) How does heat shock affect the life history traits of adults and  
431 progeny of the aphid parasitoid *Aphidius avenae* (Hymenoptera: Aphidiidae)? *Bull. Entomol.*  
432 *Res.* 100 (5), 543-549.
- 433 59. Oshino, T. et al. (2007) Premature progression of anther early developmental programs  
434 accompanied by comprehensive alterations in transcription during high-temperature injury  
435 in barley plants. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 278 (1), 31-42.
- 436 60. Matsui, T. et al. (1997) Effects of high temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on spikelet  
437 sterility in indica rice. *Field Crops Res.* 51 (3), 213-219.

- 438 61. Müller, F. et al. (2016) High-temperature-induced defects in tomato (*Solanum*  
439 *lycopersicum*) anther and pollen development are associated with reduced expression of B-  
440 class floral patterning genes. *PLoS One* 11 (12), e0167614.
- 441 62. De Rensis, F. et al. (2017) Causes of declining fertility in dairy cows during the warm  
442 season. *Theriogenology* 91, 145-153.
- 443 63. Breckels, R.D. and Neff, B.D. (2013) The effects of elevated temperature on the sexual  
444 traits, immunology and survivorship of a tropical ectotherm. *J. Exp. Biol.* 216 (14), 2658-  
445 2664.
- 446 64. Campagna, C. and Le Boeuf, B.J. (1988) Thermoregulatory behaviour of southern sea  
447 lions and its effect on mating strategies. *Behaviour* 107 (1), 72-89.
- 448 65. Hurley, L.L. et al. (2018) Experimental heatwaves negatively impact sperm quality in the  
449 zebra finch. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 285 (1871), 20172547.

450 **Figure 1 Examples of organisms that may be particularly at risk to**  
451 **losing fertility due to high temperatures.**

452 *Please note this figure will be part of Box 1*

453 Clockwise from top left: broadcast spawning fish such as carp, small ectothermic insects  
454 including pollinating bees, endemic animals with limited latitudinal or elevation ranges such  
455 as the flightless cormorant, disease vectors including mosquitos, coral species that are  
456 important to highly diverse reefs, and endemic plant species including the Scottish  
457 primrose. All photos in this figure are licensed under CC BY 2.0, Credits: Joaquim Alves  
458 Gaspar, Charles Sharp, Toby Hudson & David Glass).

459 **Figure 2: A Generalized and Simplified Schematic of the Stages in**  
460 **Sexual Reproduction and Examples of Organisms for which the**  
461 **Effect of Temperature has been Measured on these Stages (see**  
462 **Table 1)**

463 Fertility is the emergent product of multiple physiological, developmental and behavioural  
464 processes. Not all steps are relevant to all organisms, indeed the diversity and complexity of  
465 this cascade across sexual organisms is not fully captured here. However, in all cases the  
466 'success' of fertility begins by generating gametes and ends with the production of viable  
467 offspring. High temperature may perturbate single or multiple steps in this process but early  
468 meiotic stages can be particularly thermally sensitive [21]. High temperature may affect  
469 several of these traits simultaneously within an individual, for example by both arresting  
470 gametogenesis and reducing investment in copulation behaviours. On the other hand, the  
471 effect of high temperature on a single trait, say testis development, may subsequently have  
472 cascading effects on downstream elements of reproduction such as sperm counts and  
473 motility. Photo credits: A (barley) = Raul Dupagne, B (guppy) = Baskua, C (*Drosophila* mating)  
474 = D. Chai, D (coral reef) = Toby Hudson, E (rooster) = Pete Linforth. All photos licensed under  
475 CC BY 2.0.



