

This is a repository copy of *The evolutionary history of dogs in the Americas*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/139498/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Ní Leathlobhair, M, Perri, A R, Irving-Pease, E K et al. (47 more authors) (2018) The evolutionary history of dogs in the Americas. Science. pp. 81-85. ISSN: 0036-8075

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao4776

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Title: The Evolutionary History of Dogs in the Americas

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Authors: Máire Ní Leathlobhair^{1*}, Angela R. Perri^{2,3*}, Evan K. Irving-Pease^{4*}, Kelsey E. Witt^{5*},

Anna Linderholm^{4,6}*, James Haile^{4,7}, Ophelie Lebrasseur⁴, Carly Ameen⁸, Jeffrey Blick^{9,†}, Adam R.

Boyko¹⁰, Selina Brace¹¹, Yahaira Nunes Cortes¹², Susan J. Crockford¹³, Alison Devault¹⁴,

Evangelos A. Dimopoulos⁴, Morley Eldridge¹⁵, Jacob Enk¹⁴, Shyam Gopalakrishnan⁷, Kevin Gori¹,

Vaughan Grimes¹⁶, Eric Guiry¹⁷, Anders J. Hansen^{7,18}, Ardern Hulme-Beaman^{4,8}, John Johnson¹⁹,

Andrew Kitchen²⁰, Aleksei K. Kasparov²¹, Young-Mi Kwon¹, Pavel A. Nikolskiy^{21,22}, Carlos

Peraza Lope²³, Aurélie Manin^{24,25}, Terrance Martin²⁶, Michael Meyer²⁷, Kelsey Noack Myers²⁸,

Mark Omura²⁹, Jean-Marie Rouillard^{14,30}, Elena Y. Pavlova^{21,31}, Paul Sciulli³², Mikkel-Holger S.

Sinding^{7,18,33}, Andrea Strakova¹, Varvara V. Ivanova³⁴, Christopher Widga³⁵, Eske Willerslev⁷,

Vladimir V. Pitulko²¹, Ian Barnes¹¹, M. Thomas P. Gilbert^{7,36}, Keith M. Dobney⁸, Ripan S.

Malhi^{37,38}, Elizabeth P. Murchison^{1,a,§}, Greger Larson^{4,a,§} and Laurent A. F. Frantz^{4,39,a,§}

13 14 15

Affiliations:

- 16 1 Transmissible Cancer Group, Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Cambridge,
- 17 Cambridge, U.K.
- 18 2 Department of Archaeology, Durham University, Durham, U.K.
- 19 3 Department of Human Evolution, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Leipzig,
- 20 Germany
- 21 4 The Palaeogenomics & Bio-Archaeology Research Network, Research Laboratory for
- 22 Archaeology and History of Art, The University of Oxford, Oxford, UK.
- 5 School of Integrative Biology, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, USA
- 24 6 Department of Anthropology, Texas A&M University, College Station, USA
- 25 7 Centre for GeoGenetics, Natural History Museum of Denmark, University of Copenhagen,
- 26 Copenhagen, Denmark
- 27 8 Department of Archaeology, Classics and Egyptology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK
- 28 9 Department of Government and Sociology, Georgia College and State University, USA
- 29 10 Department of Biomedical Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, USA
- 30 11 Department of Earth Sciences, Natural History Museum, London, UK
- 31 12 Department of Anthropology, University at Albany-SUNY, Albany, New York, USA
- 32 13 Pacific Identifications Inc., Victoria, Canada
- 33 14 Arbor Biosciences, Ann Arbor, USA.
- 34 15 Millennia Research Limited, Victoria, Canada
- 35 16 Department of Archaeology, Memorial University, Queen's College, St. John's, Canada
- 36 17 Department of Anthropology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada
- 37 18 The Qimmeq Project, University of Greenland, Nuussuaq, Greenland
- 38 19 Department of Anthropology, Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History, USA
- 39 20 Department of Anthropology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA
- 40 21 Institute for the Material Culture History, Russian Academy of Sciences, St Petersburg, Russia
- 41 22 Geological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
- 42 23 Centro INAH Yucatán, Mérida, Yucatán, México
- 43 24 Department of Archaeology, BioArCh, University of York, York, UK
- 44 25 UMR 7209, Archéozoologie, Archéobotanique. Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris,
- 45 France
- 46 26 Research and Collections Center, Illinois State Museum, Springfield, USA

- 47 27 Touray & Meyer Vet Clinic, Serrekunda, Gambia
- 48 28 Glenn A. Black Laboratory of Anthropology, Indiana University Bloomington, USA
- 49 29 Department of Mammalogy, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge,
- 50 MA, USA
- 51 30 Chemical Engineering Department, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA.
- 52 31 Arctic & Antarctic Research Institute, St Petersburg, Russia
- 53 32 Department of Anthropology, Ohio State University, Columbus, USA
- 54 33 Natural History Museum, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
- 55 34 VNIIOkeangeologia Research Institute, 1 Angliisky Ave., St Petersburg, 190021, Russia
- 56 35 Center of Excellence in Paleontology, East Tennessee State University, Gray, USA
- 57 36 Norwegian University of Science and Technology, University Museum, Trondheim, Norway
- 58 37 University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Department of Anthropology, USA
- 59 38 University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Carl R. Woese Institute for Genomic Biology, USA
- 60 39 School of Biological and Chemical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK
 - * These authors contributed equally to this work
- ^a These authors co-supervised this work
- [†] deceased

67 68 69

70 71

72

73

74

75

76 77

78 79 80

81

82

83

84

85 86

87

88

899091

92

§ Corresponding authors: Laurent A. F. Frantz – <u>laurent.frantz@arch.ox.ac.uk</u>; Greger Larson –
greger.larson@arch.ox.ac.uk; Elizabeth P. Murchison - <u>epm27@cam.ac.uk</u>

Abstract (129 words): Dogs were present in the Americas prior to the arrival of European colonists, but the origin and fate of these pre-contact dogs are largely unknown. We sequenced 71 mitochondrial and seven nuclear genomes from ancient North American and Siberian dogs spanning ~9,000 years. Our analysis indicates that American dogs were not domesticated from North American wolves. Instead, American dogs form a monophyletic lineage that likely originated in Siberia and dispersed into the Americas alongside people. After the arrival of Europeans, native American dogs almost completely disappeared, leaving a minimal genetic legacy in modern dog populations. Remarkably, the closest detectable extant lineage to pre-contact American dogs is the canine transmissible venereal tumor, a contagious cancer clone derived from an individual dog that lived up to 8,000 years ago.

Main Text (2362 words): The history of the global dispersal of dogs remains contentious (1). In North America, the earliest confirmed dog remains have been radiocarbon dated to \sim 9,900 calibrated years before present (cal BP) (Koster, Illinois; (2, 3)), approximately 6,000 years after the earliest unambiguous evidence of humans arriving in North America (4). While these early dogs were most likely not domesticated *in situ* (5), the timing of their arrival and their geographic origins are unknown. Studies of the control region of mitochondrial DNA have suggested that the precontact American dog population was largely replaced following the introduction of European dogs after the arrival of Europeans, and Eurasian Arctic dogs (e.g., Siberian huskies) during the Alaskan gold rush (5–7). It remains possible, however, that some modern American dogs retain a degree of ancestry from the pre-contact population (8, 9).

We sequenced complete mitochondrial genomes (mitogenomes) from 71 archaeological dog remains collected in North America and Siberia (Fig. 1a; Table S1) and analyzed these with 145

mitogenomes derived from a global dataset of modern and ancient canids (3). A phylogenetic tree 93 constructed from the mitogenomes indicated that all sampled pre-contact dogs (spanning ~9,000 94 95 years) formed a monophyletic group within dog haplogroup A (Fig. 1b; Fig. S3; Fig. S6), which we refer to as pre-contact dogs (PCD). This analysis indicated that the most closely related 96 97 mitochondrial lineage to the PCD clade are ~9,000 year-old dogs from Zhokhov Island in Eastern Siberia (3) (Fig. 1b; Fig. S3; Fig. S6). In addition, molecular clock analyses suggest that all PCD 98 dogs shared a common ancestor ~14,600 years ago (95% high posterior density [HPD]: 16,484-99 100 12,965; Fig. 1b; Fig. S6), which diverged from a shared ancestor with the Zhokhov Island dogs ~1,000 years earlier (95% HPD:17,646-13,739; Fig. 1b; Fig. S6). Interestingly, these time frames 101 are broadly coincident with early migrations into the Americas (10–12). 102

103104

105 106

107

108

109

110

111112

113114

115116

117118119

120 121

122123

124

125

126 127

128

129

130 131

132

133134

135

136137

138

To further investigate the evolutionary history of PCD, we generated low coverage nuclear genome sequences (~0.005-2.0x) from seven pre-contact dogs sampled in six locations in North America spanning ~9,000 years (Table S1). We analyzed these nuclear data alongside publicly available datasets including 45 modern canid whole genomes sampled from Eurasia and the Americas (Table S2)(13–16). A neighbor-joining tree constructed using single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) revealed that, like the mitogenome phylogeny, PCD individuals clustered in a distinct monophyletic lineage that is more closely related to dogs than to either Eurasian or North American wolves (Fig. 1c). Furthermore, our nuclear genome analysis indicated that the closest-related sister clade to PCD consists of modern Arctic dogs from the Americas (including Alaskan malamutes, Greenland dogs and Alaskan huskies) and Eurasia (Siberian huskies; Fig. 1c). Treemix (3) (Fig. 1d), outgroup f3-statistics (Fig. S13) and D-statistics (Fig. S14; Fig. S15) also supported this phylogenetic structure. Combined, our mitochondrial and nuclear results indicate that PCD were not domesticated *in situ* from North American wolves, but were instead introduced by people into the Americas via Beringia from a population that was related to modern Arctic dogs.

Studies of nuclear data have identified two modern clades of global dogs: an East Asian clade (including dingoes) and a Western Eurasian clade (including European, Indian, and African dogs)(9, 14, 16). These analyses placed modern Arctic dogs with either Western Eurasian (16, 17) or East Asian dogs (9, 14). Our analyses of nuclear data revealed a close relationship between Arctic dogs and PCD which together form a clade (PCD/Arctic) that is basal to both Western Eurasian and East Asian dogs and suggests the existence of a third monophyletic clade of dogs (Fig. 1c). Though all three clades are well-supported, the relationships between them are ambiguous. For example, our outgroup f3-statistics analysis (Fig. S13) indicated that the PCD/Arctic clade is basal to the two other Eurasian dog clades. However, when excluding specific East Asian dogs that possess evidence of gene flow from European dogs (Table S7; (14)), East Asian dogs became the most basal clade in a neighbor joining tree, and the PCD/Arctic clade became the sister clade to Western Eurasian dogs (Fig. S11). Conversely, admixture graphs ((3); Fig. S25) and TreeMix (18) (Fig. 1d) suggested that the PCD/Arctic clade is closest to East Asian dogs and West Eurasian dogs are the most basal. Conflicting phylogenies based on nuclear data have been reported on numerous occasions (1, 14, 16), and these inconsistent topologies could result either from substantial postdivergence gene flow among Eurasian dogs (Fig. 1c; Fig. S25; (3, 14)), or from a near simultaneous divergence of all three lineages.

Our nuclear data indicates that modern Arctic dogs sampled from both Siberia and North America cluster in a distinct phylogenetic group that forms a sister taxon to PCD (Fig. 1c). This close

phylogenetic relationship between modern American Arctic dogs (Alaskan malamutes, Alaskan huskies and Greenland dogs) and modern Eurasian Arctic dogs (Siberian huskies; Fig. 1c; Fig. S11; Fig. S13) suggests that PCD are not the direct ancestor of modern American Arctic dogs. It is possible that modern American Arctic dogs are the descendants of dogs brought by the Paleo-Eskimo (\sim 6,000 years ago) or by the Thule (\sim 1,000 years ago)(19). However, both mitogenomic and low coverage nuclear data from a late Paleo-Eskimo dog from Kodiak Island, Alaska (Uyak: AL3198: Fig. 1a: Table S1) indicate that this dog is more closely related to PCD than to modern American Arctic dogs (Fig. S10; Fig. S4). This suggests that modern American Arctic dogs are not the descendants of Paleo-Eskimo dogs and that Paleo-Eskimos likely acquired local dogs in North America or brought Siberian dogs that were genetically indistinguishable from PCD. Our sampling did not include dogs from sites associated with the Thule culture, so it is plausible that the modern American Arctic dogs included in our analysis, such as Alaskan malamutes and Greenland dogs, are the descendants of dogs introduced by the Thule. Alternatively, the modern American Arctic dogs that we sampled may be the descendants of recently introduced Eurasian Arctic dogs, many of which were introduced during the 19th-century Alaskan gold rush and as sled dog racing stock (6). Regardless, modern American Arctic dog populations have complex histories with potential genetic contributions from both American and Eurasian Arctic dogs (3).

Interestingly, genomic analyses of canine transmissible venereal tumor (CTVT) genomes indicated a close affinity with modern Arctic dogs (20). CTVT is a contagious cancer clone that manifests as genital tumors and spreads between dogs by the transfer of living cancer cells during mating. This clone first originated from the cells of an individual dog, the "CTVT founder dog", which lived several thousand years ago, and still carries the genome of this individual (20). To investigate the relationship between the CTVT founder dog and PCD, we analyzed two CTVT genomes alongside a panel of modern and ancient canid genomes.

In order to accomodate for the fact that CTVT is a cancer, and to limit the impact of somatic mutations, we confined our genotyping analysis to SNPs which mapped to genomic regions that have retained both parental chromosomal copies in CTVT (20), and excluded singleton SNPs exclusively called in CTVT genomes. Remarkably, CTVT clustered with PCD on neighbor-joining trees (Fig. 1c; Fig. S10; Fig. S11), a Bayesian tree (Fig. S12), Treemix (Fig. 1d) and admixture graphs (Fig. S25). This result is further supported by both outgroup f3 (Fig. S13) and D-statistics (Fig. S14; Fig. S15). These findings indicate that the CTVT founder dog is more closely related to PCD than to modern Arctic dogs. Multiple horizontal transfers of mitochondrial genomes from dog hosts to CTVT tumors has led to the replacement of the founder dog's mitogenome (21, 22), thus we could not determine the mitochondrial haplogroup of the CTVT founder dog and we limited our analyses to the nuclear genome.

To assess whether the CTVT founder dog lived prior to, or after dogs entered North America, we re-estimated its temporal origin by sequencing the nuclear genomes of two CTVT tumors, 608T and 609T. 608T is a CTVT tumor from the skin of a ten-month-old puppy which was likely engrafted from its mother's vaginal tumor (609T) during birth. We identified mutations with a clock-like mutational process which were present in 608T, but not detectable in 609T, and used these to derive a lower bound for a somatic mutation rate for CTVT (3). Applying this rate to the total burden of clock-like somatic mutation in the CTVT lineage (3), we estimated that the CTVT founder dog

lived up to 8,225 years ago (3). This time frame postdates the initial arrival of dogs into the Americas, raising the possibility that CTVT may have originated in a dog living in North America.

To further assess this scenario, we quantified the degree of introgression between North American endemic canids (coyotes and North American wolves), PCD dogs, modern Arctic dogs, and the CTVT founder dog. Our analyses indicated that, unlike Arctic dogs, PCD dogs share number of derived alleles with coyotes and North American wolves, indicative of admixture (Fig. S16; Fig. S17). The CTVT founder dog also showed some weak evidence of coyote ancestry, but did not appear to possess admixture with North American wolves (Fig. S16; Fig. S17). Because coyotes are restricted to North America, this suggests that CTVT may have originated there. Since we did not ascertain the degree of coyote ancestry in ancient PCD-related dogs in Northern Siberia (such as the Zhokov Island dogs, Fig 1), however, this analysis does not establish the location in which CTVT originated. Furthermore, studies that used somatic mutations to reconstruct the phylogeography of the CTVT clone indicated a deep divergence in Asia and a recent introduction to the Americas (21). Altogether, these results suggest a scenario in which CTVT originated in Asia from a dog that was closely related to PCD, although we cannot exclude the possibility that the clone arose in America, then dispersed early into Asia before being reintroduced to America.

The legacy of PCD in modern American dog populations is uncertain. It has been suggested that some North American wolves obtained a mutation leading to black coat color possibly via admixture with early American dogs (23). This allele was not present, however, in either of the two higher coverage ancient PCD dogs in this study (3) or in CTVT (20). Additional ancient genomes are necessary to determine if this allele was present in the PCD population.

In addition, previous studies have argued that some modern American dog populations possess a genetic signature from indigenous American dogs (8, 9, 24). To test this hypothesis, we analyzed nuclear data obtained from more than 5,000 modern dogs (including American village dogs) genotyped on a 180K SNP array (9). We found 7-20% PCD ancestry in modern American Arctic dogs using f4 ratios (Alaskan husky, Alaskan malamute and Greenland dogs; Table S10&S11; Supplementary Material). This result, however, could reflect ancient population substructure in Arctic dogs rather than genuine admixture (Supplementary Material). Our *f4* ratio analysis did not detect a significant admixture signal from PCD into any modern American dogs of European ancestry (Table S10).

Our ADMIXTURE analysis detected varying degrees of PCD/Arctic ancestry in three individual Carolina dogs (0-33%; Fig. S20). This analysis, however, could not distinguish between PCD and Arctic ancestry, and we cannot rule out that this was result of admixture from modern Arctic dogs and not from PCD (3). The majority of modern American dog populations, including 138 village dogs from South America and multiple "native" breeds (e.g., hairless dogs and Catahoulas), possess no detectable traces of PCD ancestry (Fig. S20; Table S10; Fig. 2a), though this analysis may suffer from ascertainment bias.

To further assess the contribution of PCD to modern American dog populations, we also analyzed 590 additional modern dog mitogenomes, including 169 village and breed dogs that were sampled in North and South America (21). We identified two modern American dogs (a chihuahua and a mixed breed dog from Nicaragua) that carried PCD mitochondrial haplotypes (Fig. S5); consistent

- with a limited degree of PCD ancestry (<2%) in modern American dogs. We also identified three
- East Asian dogs that carried a PCD haplotype, possibly as a result of ancient population
- substructure or recent dog dispersal (Fig. S5; (3)). Although greater degrees of PCD ancestry may
- remain in American dogs which have not yet been sampled, our results suggest that European dogs
- almost completely replaced native American dog lineages. This near disappearance of PCD likely
- resulted from the arrival of Europeans, which led to shifts in cultural preferences and the
- persecution of indigenous dogs (25). Introduced European dogs may also have brought infectious
- diseases to which PCD were susceptible.
- 238
- 239 The first appearance of dogs in the North American archaeological record occurs ~6,000 years after
- the earliest evidence of human activity (4, 11). In addition, our molecular clock analysis indicates
- that the PCD lineage appeared \sim 6,500 years after North American human lineages (Fig. 1b)(10).
- 242 These discrepancies suggest that dogs may not have arrived into the Americas alongside the first
- 243 human migration. A recent human genetic study suggests that Northern Native American
- populations admixed with an East Siberian population \sim 11,500 years ago(12). This timing is
- compatible with both the archaeological record and our PCD divergence time estimate and suggests
- a scenario in which dogs were brought to the Americas several thousand years after the first people
- 247 arrived.
- 248
- 249 This initial dog population entered North America then dispersed throughout the Americas where it
- remained isolated for at least 9,000 years. Within the past 1,000 years, however, there have been at
- least three independent re-introductions of dogs. The first may have consisted of Arctic dogs that
- arrived with the Thule culture ~1,000 years ago (6). Then, beginning in the 15th century, Europeans
- brought a second wave of dogs that appear to have almost completely replaced indigenous dogs.
- Lastly, Siberian huskies were introduced to the American Arctic during the Alaskan gold rush (25).
- 255 As a result of these more recent introductions, the modern American dog population is largely
- derived from Eurasian breeds, and the closest known extant vestige of the first American dogs now
- exists as a worldwide transmissible cancer.

References and Notes:

- 1. G. Larson *et al.*, Rethinking dog domestication by integrating genetics, archeology, and biogeography. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **109**, 8878–8883 (2012).
- 262 2 A Parri et al. New evidence of the earliest demostic degs in the Americas A
- 262 2. A. Perri *et al.*, New evidence of the earliest domestic dogs in the Americas, *Am. Antiq.*,
- 263 under review (2018).
- See supplementary materials.
- 265 4. T. Goebel, M. R. Waters, D. H. O'Rourke, The late Pleistocene dispersal of modern humans
- 266 in the Americas. *Science*. **319**, 1497–1502 (2008).
- 5. J. A. Leonard *et al.*, Ancient DNA evidence for Old World origin of New World dogs.
- 268 Science. 298, 1613–1616 (2002).
- 269 6. S. K. Brown, C. M. Darwent, E. J. Wictum, B. N. Sacks, Using multiple markers to
- elucidate the ancient, historical and modern relationships among North American Arctic dog
- 271 breeds. *Heredity* . **115**, 488–495 (2015).
- 7. K. E. Witt *et al.*, DNA analysis of ancient dogs of the Americas: Identifying possible
- founding haplotypes and reconstructing population histories. *J. Hum. Evol.* **79**, 105–118 (2015).
- 8. B. van Asch *et al.*, Pre-Columbian origins of Native American dog breeds, with only limited
- 275 replacement by European dogs, confirmed by mtDNA analysis. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 280, 20131142

- 276 (2013).
- 277 9. L. M. Shannon *et al.*, Genetic structure in village dogs reveals a Central Asian
- 278 domestication origin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 112, 13639–13644 (2015).
- 279 10. M. Raghavan et al., Genomic evidence for the Pleistocene and recent population history of
- 280 Native Americans. Science. 349, aab3884 (2015).
- 281 11. K. E. Graf, I. Buvit, Human Dispersal from Siberia to Beringia: Assessing a Beringian
- Standstill in Light of the Archaeological Evidence. *Curr. Anthropol.* **58**, S583–S603 (2017).
- 283 12. J. V. Moreno-Mayar et al., Terminal Pleistocene Alaskan genome reveals first founding
- 284 population of Native Americans. *Nature*. **553**, 203–207 (2018).
- 285 13. Z. Fan *et al.*, Worldwide patterns of genomic variation and admixture in gray wolves.
- 286 *Genome Res.* **26**, 163–173 (2016).
- 287 14. G.-D. Wang et al., Out of southern East Asia: the natural history of domestic dogs across the
- 288 world. Cell Res. 26 (2016), pp. 21–33.
- 289 15. A. H. Freedman *et al.*, Genome sequencing highlights the dynamic early history of dogs.
- 290 *PLoS Genet.* **10**, e1004016 (2014).
- 291 16. L. A. F. Frantz et al., Genomic and archaeological evidence suggest a dual origin of
- 292 domestic dogs. Science. 352, 1228–1231 (2016).
- 293 17. B. M. Vonholdt et al., Genome-wide SNP and haplotype analyses reveal a rich history
- 294 underlying dog domestication. *Nature*. **464**, 898–902 (2010).
- 295 18. J. K. Pickrell, J. K. Pritchard, Inference of population splits and mixtures from genome-wide
- allele frequency data. *PLoS Genet.* **8**, e1002967 (2012).
- 297 19. M. Raghavan et al., The genetic prehistory of the New World Arctic. Science. 345 (2014).
- 298 20. E. P. Murchison et al., Transmissible dog cancer genome reveals the origin and history of an
- 299 ancient cell lineage. *Science*. **343**, 437–440 (2014).
- 300 21. A. Strakova *et al.*, Mitochondrial genetic diversity, selection and recombination in a canine
- 301 transmissible cancer. *Elife*. **5** (2016), doi:10.7554/eLife.14552.
- 302 22. C. A. Rebbeck, A. M. Leroi, A. Burt, Mitochondrial capture by a transmissible cancer.
- 303 *Science*. **331**, 303 (2011).
- 304 23. T. M. Anderson *et al.*, Molecular and evolutionary history of melanism in North American
- 305 gray wolves. *Science*. **323**, 1339–1343 (2009).
- 306 24. H. G. Parker *et al.*, Genomic Analyses Reveal the Influence of Geographic Origin,
- Migration, and Hybridization on Modern Dog Breed Development. Cell Rep. 19, 697–708 (2017).
- 308 25. M. Derr, A Dog's History of America: How Our Best Friend Explored, Conquered, and
- 309 Settled a Continent (Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2005).
- 310 26. E. J. Lee *et al.*, Ancient DNA analysis of the oldest canid species from the Siberian Arctic
- and genetic contribution to the domestic dog. *PLoS One.* **10**, e0125759 (2015).
- 312 27. S. Peterson, thesis (2010).
- 313 28. G. Monaghan, C. Peebles, The construction, use, and abandonment of Angel Site Mound A:
- tracing the history of a Middle Mississippian town through its earthworks. *Am. Antiq.* **75**, 935–953
- 315 (2010).
- 316 29. E. A. Bluhm, A. Liss, in *Chicago Area Archaeology* (Illinois Archaeological Survey,
- 317 Urbana, 1961).
- 318 30. P. W. Parmalee, A. A. Paloumpis, N. Wilson, Peoples occupying the Apple Creek Site,
- 319 Illinois. *Illinois State Museum Reports of Investigations*. **23** (1972).
- 320 31. P. W. Parmalee, A. A. Paloumpis, N. Wilson, "Animals Utilized by Woodland Peoples
- 321 Occupying the Apple Creek Site, Illinois, Research Papers 5" (Illinois State Museum, Illinois

- 322 Valley Archaeological Program, Springfield, I, 1972).
- 323 32. J. B. Griffin, Late prehistory of the Ohio Valley. *Handbook of North American Indians*. 15,
- 324 547–559 (1978).
- 325 33. F. Church, J. P. Nass Jr, J. P. Hart, C. B. Reith, Central Ohio Valley during the Late
- 326 Prehistoric Period: Subsistence-Settlement Systems' Responses to Risk. Northeast Subsistence-
- 327 Settlement Change: AD 700--1300, 11 (2002).
- 328 34. W. C. Mills, Explorations of the Baum prehistoric village site (FJ Heer, 1906).
- 329 35. J. B. Griffin, Fort Ancient Has No Class: The Absence of an Elite Group in Mississippian
- 330 Societies in the Central Ohio Valley. Archeological Papers of the American Anthropological
- 331 *Association*. **3**, 53–59 (1992).
- 332 36. E. G. Squier, E. H. Davis, Ancient Monuments of the Mississippi Valley: Comprising the
- 333 Results of Extensive Original Surveys and Explorations (Smithsonian Institution, 1848).
- 334 37. F. W. Putnam, in Additional Mounds of Duval and Clay Counties, Florida., C. B. Moore,
- Ed. (Journal of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, 1896), pp. 26–27.
- 336 38. D. F. Morey, Burying key evidence: the social bond between dogs and people. *J. Archaeol.*
- 337 *Sci.* **33**, 158–175 (2006/2).
- 338 39. K. Nolan, P. Sculli, Rejoinder to Sciulli and Purcell: Two Late Prehistoric Dogs from the
- 339 Reinhardt Site (33PI880), Pickaway County, Ohio. *Pa. Archaeol.* **84**, 65–73 (2014).
- 340 40. C. B. Moore, Aboriginal sites on the Tennessee river. *Journal of the Academy for Natural*
- 341 *Sciences of Philadelphia.* **16**, 169–428 (1915).
- 342 41. T. C. Rick, J. M. Erlandson, R. L. Vellanoweth, T. J. Braje, From pleistocene mariners to
- 343 complex hunter-gatherers: The archaeology of the california channel islands. *Journal of World*
- 344 *Prehistory.* **19**, 169–228 (2005).
- 345 42. T. C. Rick et al., Dogs, humans and island ecosystems: the distribution, antiquity and
- ecology of domestic dogs (Canis familiaris) on California's Channel Islands, USA. *Holocene*. 18,
- 347 1077–1087 (2008).
- 348 43. W. S. Webb, D. L. DeJarnette, "The Flint River Site, Museum Paper 23" (Geological
- 349 Survey of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, 1948).
- 350 44. D. M. Warren, thesis (2004).
- 351 45. W. D. Lipe, J. N. Morris, T. A. Kohler, *Dolores Archaeological Program: Anasazi*
- 352 Communities at Dolores: Grass Mesa Village (USDI Bureau of Reclamation, Engineering and
- 353 Research Center, Denver, 1988).
- 354 46. J. M. Galloy, PART V: WOODLAND PERIOD The Janey B. Goode Site (11S1232):
- 355 Highlights of Investigations at a Massive Late Prehistoric Site in the American Bottom. *Illinois*
- 356 *Archaeology*. **22**, 529–552 (2010).
- 357 47. Q. L. Borgic, J. M. Galloy, Domesticated Dog Remains from the Janey B. Goode Site
- 358 (2004).
- 359 48. G. L. Houart, Koster: a stratified Archaic site in the Illinois valley (1971) (available at
- 360 http://core.tdar.org/document/147855).
- 361 49. K. W. Butzer, Changing Holocene Environments at the Koster Site: A Geo-Archaeological
- 362 Perspective. Am. Antiq. 43, 408–413 (1978).
- 363 50. J. E. Buikstra, in *The Archaeology of Death*, R. Chapman, I. Kinnes, K. Randsborg, Eds.
- 364 (Cambridge University Press, 1981), pp. 123–132.
- 365 51. E. R. Hajic, Koster site archaeology I: stratigraphy and landscape evolution (Center for
- 366 Amer Archeology Pr, 1990).
- 367 52. S. Struever, F. A. Holton, Koster: Americans in search of their prehistoric past (1979)

- 368 (available at http://core.tdar.org/document/117353/koster-americans-in-search-of-their-prehistoric-
- 369 past)
- 370 53. J. A. Brown, R. K. Vierra, What happened in the Middle Archaic?: Introduction to an
- *ecological approach to Koster Site Archaeology* (Academic Press Orlando, 1983).
- 372 54. R. J. Jeske, R. Lurie, THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL VISIBILITY OF BIPOLAR
- 373 TECHNOLOGY: AN EXAMPLE FROM THE KOSTER SITE. MidCont. J. Archaeol. 18, 131–
- 374 160 (1993).
- 375 55. A. L. Boon, thesis, Indiana University of Pennsylvania (2013).
- 376 56. E. R. Hajic, in *Encyclopedia of Geoarchaeology*, A. S. Gilbert, Ed. (Springer Netherlands,
- 377 2017), Encyclopedia of Earth Sciences Series, pp. 457–458.
- 378 57. M. D. Wiant et al., The archaic period in the lower Illinois river basin. Archaic Societies:
- 379 *Diversity and Complexity across the Midcontinent*, 229–286 (2009).
- 380 58. D. F. Morey, M. D. Wiant, Early Holocene Domestic Dog Burials From the North American
- 381 Midwest. Curr. Anthropol. 33, 224–229 (1992).
- 382 59. F. C. Hill, A Middle Archaic Dog Burial in Illinois (Foundation for Illinois Archaeology,
- 383 1972).
- 384 60. W. S. Webb, D. L. DeJarnette, "Little Bear Creek Site, Museum Paper 26" (1948).
- 385 61. F. S. Barkalow Jr., Vertebrate Remains from Archaeological Sites in the Tennessee Valley
- 386 of Alabama. South. Indian Stud. 24, 3–41 (1972).
- 387 62. C. P. Lope, M. A. Masson, T. S. Hare, C. Pedro, P. C. Delgado Ku, The Late Postclassic
- 388 chronology of Mayapan: new radiocarbon evidence. *Ancient Mesoamerica*. 17, 153–176 (2006).
- 389 63. T. Proskouriakoff, in Mayapan, Yucatan, Mexico, Carnegie Institute of Washington
- 390 *Publication 619* (1962), vol. 619, p. 87.
- 391 64. A. L. Smith, in Mayapan, Yucatan, Mexico, Carnegie Institute of Washington Publication
- 392 *619* (1962), p. 619.
- 393 65. M. A. Masson, C. P. Lope, Animal use at the Postclassic Maya center of Mayapan. *Quat.*
- 394 *Int.* **191**, 170–183 (2008).
- 395 66. A. E. Kane, C. K. Robinson, Dolores Archaeological Program: Anasazi Communities at
- 396 Dolores: McPhee Village (USDI Bureau of Reclamation, Engineering and Research Center.,
- 397 Denver, 1988).
- 398 67. M. L. Fowler, Modoc Rock Shelter: An Early Archaic Site in Southern Illinois. Am. Antiq.
- **24**, 257–270 (1959).
- 400 68. P. W. Parmalee, "Appendix II: animals remains from the Modoc Rock Shelter site,
- 401 Randolph County, Illinois" (Illinois State Museum, Springfield, Illinois, 1959), pp. 61–63.
- 402 69. W. S. Webb, D. L. DeJarnette, The Perry Site, 1Lu25. Geological Survey of Alabama,
- 403 Alabama Natural History Museum Paper. 25 (1948).
- 404 70. E. Futato, Middle and Late Archaic Settlement at the Perry Site, 1LU25, Lauderdale
- 405 County, Alabama. *Journal of Alabama Archaeology*. **48**, 80–92 (2002).
- 406 71. J. A. Tuck, Archaic Indian Cemetery in Newfoundland. Sci. Am. 222, 112–121 (1970).
- 407 72. J. A. Tuck, An Archaic Cemetery at Port Au Choix, Newfoundland, Am. Antig. 36, 343–358
- 408 (1971).
- 409 73. J. A. Tuck, Ancient people of Port au Choix (1976).
- 410 74. B. C. Hood, The Maritime Archaic Indians of Labrador: Investigating Prehistoric Social
- 411 Organization. Newfoundland and Labrador Studies. 9 (1993) (available at
- 412 https://journals.lib.unb.ca/index.php/NFLDS/article/view/940).
- 413 75. M. A. P. Renouf, Palaeoeskimo Seal Hunters at Port au Choix, Northwestern

- 414 Newfoundland. Newfoundland and Labrador Studies. 9 (1993) (available at
- 415 https://journals.lib.unb.ca/index.php/NFLDS/article/view/941).
- 416 76. E. J. Guiry, V. Grimes, Domestic dog (Canis familiaris) diets among coastal Late Archaic
- 417 groups of northeastern North America: A case study for the canine surrogacy approach. *Journal of*
- 418 *Anthropological Archaeology.* **32**, 732–745 (2013).
- 419 77. A. Perri, in Economic Zooarchaeology: Studies in Hunting, Herding and Early Agriculture,
- 420 P. Rowley-Conwy, D. Serjeantson, P. Halstead, Eds. (Oxbow, 2017).
- 421 78. G. M. Allen, *Dogs of the American aborigines* (Museum [of Comparative Zoology], 1920),
- 422 vol. 63.
- 423 79. W. A. Ritchie, An Early Site in Cayug a County, New York. *Research Records*. 7 (1945).
- 424 80. M. Eldridge, A. Parker, C. Mueller, S. Crockford, "Archaeological investigations at Ya
- 425 asqalu'i/Kaien Siding, Prince Rupert Harbour" (Millennia Research Limited for CN, 2014).
- 426 81. A. Parker, M. Eldridge, in *Proceedings of the 42nd Annual Conference on Computer*
- 427 Applications and Quantitative Methods in Archaeology, F. Giligny, F. Djindjian, L. Costa, P.
- 428 Moscati, S. Rober, Eds. (Archaeopress, Oxford, 2014), pp. 114–122.
- 429 82. S. J. Crockford, "Analysis of the vertebrate fauna from neighbouring Prince Rupert Harbour
- 430 sites GbTo-54 and GbTo-13: prehistoric mountain goat capital of North America" (Pacific
- 431 IDentification for Millenia Research Limited, 2014).
- 432 83. K. C. Nolan, Distributional Survey of the Reinhardt Site (33PI880), Pickaway County,
- 433 Ohio: A Strategy for Deciphering the Community Structure of a Fort Ancient Village. *MidCont. J.*
- 434 *Archaeol.* **36**, 105–130 (2011).
- 435 84. K. C. Nolan, Archaeological Survey of the Reinhardt Tract Property through a Certified
- 436 Local Government (CLG) Grant on behalf of the City of Columbus in Harrison Township,
- 437 Pickaway County, Ohio, Volume I: Survey Results. Report Submitted to the Ohio Historic
- 438 Preservation Office, Columbus, OH (2009) (available at
- http://www.academia.edu/download/464862/Reinhardt Tract CLG reportVolI Final-web.doc).
- 440 85. K. C. Nolan, R. A. Cook, An evolutionary model of social change in the Middle Ohio
- Valley: Was social complexity impossible during the late woodland but mandatory during the late
- prehistoric? *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*. **29**, 62–79 (2010/3).
- 443 86. J. E. Kerber, NATIVE AMERICAN TREATMENT OF DOGS IN NORTHEASTERN
- 444 NORTH AMERICA: ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND ETHNOHISTORICAL PERSPECTIVES.
- 445 Archaeology of Eastern North America. 25, 81–95 (1997).
- 446 87. R. A. Cook, DOGS OF WAR: POTENTIAL SOCIAL INSTITUTIONS OF CONFLICT,
- 447 HEALING, AND DEATH IN A FORT ANCIENT VILLAGE. Am. Antiq. 77, 498–523 (2012).
- 448 88. M. A. Potter, R. S. Baby, Hopewellian Dogs. *Ohio J. Sci.* **64**, 36–40 (1964).
- 449 89. W. D. Frankforter, G. A. Agogino, The Simonsen Site: Report for the Summer of 1959.
- 450 *Plains Anthropol.* **10**, 65–70 (1960).
- 451 90. G. A. Agogino, W. D. Frankforter, A Paleo-Indian Bison-Kill in Northwestern Iowa. Am.
- 452 Antiq. 25, 414–415 (1960).
- 453 91. J. C. Equihua, Proyecto Salvamento Arqueológico Tizayuca 2006. Informe. Secuencias
- estratigráficas y conjuntos arquitectónicos, tomo I. Technical report submitted to the INAH (2008).
- 455 92. A. Manin, thesis, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle (2015).
- 456 93. A. Hrdlička, *The Anthropology of Kodiak Island* (Wistar Institute of Anatomy and Biology,
- 457 1944).
- 458 94. R. F. Heizer, G. W. Hewes, A. Hrdlicka, nd Archaeology of the Uyak Site, Kodiak Island,
- 459 Alaska (1956) (available at http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/277048.pdf).

- 460 95. A. F. Steffian, Fifty years after Hrdlicka: Further excavation of the Uyak site, Kodiak Island,
- 461 Alaska. Contributions to the anthropology of southcentral and southwestern Alaska, 141–164
- 462 (1992).
- 463 96. C. F. West, K. N. Jarvis, Osteometric Variation in Domestic Dogs (Canis familiaris) from
- the Kodiak Archipelago, Alaska. Int. J. Osteoarchaeol. 25, 289–298 (2015).
- 465 97. G. M. Allen, Dog Skulls from Uyak Bay, Kodiak Island. *J. Mammal.* **20**, 336–340 (1939).
- 466 98. G. R. Scott, Affinities of Prehistoric and Modern Kodiak Islanders and the Question of
- 467 Kachemak-Koniag Biological Continuity. *Arctic Anthropol.* **29**, 150–166 (1992).
- 468 99. D. W. Clark, Contributions to the Later Prehistory of Kodiak Island, Alaska. National
- 469 Museum of Man Mercury Series, No. 20. National Museums of Canada: Ottawa (1974).
- 470 100. C. F. West, C. A. France, Human and Canid Dietary Relationships: Comparative Stable
- 471 Isotope Analysis From the Kodiak Archipelago, Alaska. *J. Ethnobiol.* **35**, 519–535 (2015).
- 472 101. C. P. Groves, The advantages and disadvantages of being domesticated. *Perspectives in*
- 473 *Human Biology*. **4**, 1–12 (1999).
- 474 102. P. Tacon, C. Pardoe, Dogs make us human (2002) (available at
- 475 http://www98.griffith.edu.au/dspace/handle/10072/16675).
- 476 103. F. J. Simoons, Eat Not this Flesh: Food Avoidances from Prehistory to the Present (Univ of
- Wisconsin Press, 1994).
- 478 104. L. B. Gregory, The Hatch site: A preliminary report. Virginia Archeological Society,
- 479 *Quarterly Bulletin.* **34**, 239–248 (1980).
- 480 105. E. S. Gregory, Weyanoke Old Town. Archeological Society of Virginia Quarterly Bulletin.
- **481 41**, 49–71 (1986).
- 482 106. J. P. Blick, The Archaeology and Ethnohistory of the Dog in Virginia Algonquian Culture as
- 483 Seen from Weyanoke Old Town. *Algonquian Papers-Archive*. **31** (2000) (available at
- https://ojs3.library.carleton.ca/index.php/ALGQP/article/view/849).
- 485 107. C. F. Feest, *The Virginia Indian in Pictures, 1612-1624* (Smithsonian Institution, 1967).
- 486 108. B. C. McCary, *Indians in Seventeenth-Century Virginia* (University Press of Virginia,
- 487 Charlottesville, 1957).
- 488 109. J. P. Blick, A preliminary report on the osteometric analysis of some aboriginal dogs (Canis
- familiaris) from Weyanoke Old Town, 44 PG 51, Prince George County, Virginia. Bull. Am. Assoc.
- 490 *Hist. Nurs.* **43** (1988).
- 491 110. H. C. Rountree, Pocahontas's People: The Powhatan Indians of Virginia Through Four
- 492 *Centuries* (University of Oklahoma Press, 1990).
- 493 111. R. Beverley, *The History and Present State of Virginia* (The University of North Carolina
- 494 Press, Chapel Hill, 1705).
- 495 112. C. F. Feest, Virginia Algonquians (Smithsonian Institution, 1978).
- 496 113. W. A. Ritchie, *The Archaeology of New York State* (Knopf Doubleday Publishing Group,
- 497 1965).
- 498 114. J. A. Strong, Late Woodland dog ceremonialism on Long Island in comparative and
- 499 temporal perspective. The Bulletin of the Journal of the New York State Archaeological Association.
- **91**, 32–38 (1985).
- 501 115. A. Perri, thesis, Durham University (2013).
- 502 116. W. D. Wallis, The Micmac Indians of Eastern Canada (U of Minnesota Press, 1955).
- 503 117. J. E. Kerber, A. D. Leveillee, R. L. Greenspan, AN UNUSUAL DOG BURIAL FEATURE
- 504 AT THE LAMBERT FARM SITE, WARWICK, RHODE ISLAND: PRELIMINARY
- 505 OBSERVATIONS. Archaeology of Eastern North America. 17, 165–174 (1989).

- 506 118. T. Harriot, A Brief and True Report of the New Found Land of Virginia
- 507 (digitalcommons.unl.edu, London, 1588).
- 508 119. W. Strachey, The History of Travel into Virginia Britannia (Hakluyt Society, London,
- 509 1612).
- 510 120. J. Smith, The Generall Historie of Virginia, New-England, and the Summer Isles (1624).
- 511 121. J. Brereton, True Relation of the Discoveries of the North Part of Virginia (1602).
- 512 122. P. Hulton, America 1585: The Complete Drawings of John White (1984) (available at
- 513 http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00377996.1985.9958273).
- 514 123. K. A. Kuckelman, The Archaeology of Yellow Jacket Pueblo (Site 5MT5): Excavations at a
- 515 Large Community Center in Southwestern Colorado (2003), (available at
- 516 http://www.crowcanyon.org/yellowjacket.).
- 517 124. V. V. Pitulko, A. Kasparov, Ancient Arctic Hunters: Material Culture and Survival Strategy.
- 518 *Arctic Anthropol.* **33**, 1–36 (1996).
- 519 125. V. V. Pitulko, A. K. Kasparov, Archaeological dogs from the Early Holocene Zhokhov site
- in the Eastern Siberian Arctic. *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports.* **13**, 491–515 (2017/6).
- 521 126. J. Dabney et al., Complete mitochondrial genome sequence of a Middle Pleistocene cave
- bear reconstructed from ultrashort DNA fragments. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110, 15758–
- 523 15763 (2013).
- 524 127. P. B. Damgaard *et al.*, Improving access to endogenous DNA in ancient bones and teeth.
- 525 Sci. Rep. 5, 11184 (2015).
- 526 128. M. Meyer, M. Kircher, Illumina sequencing library preparation for highly multiplexed target
- 527 capture and sequencing. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.* **2010**, db.prot5448 (2010).
- 528 129. K. E. Witt et al., DNA analysis of ancient dogs of the Americas: Identifying possible
- founding haplotypes and reconstructing population histories. *J. Hum. Evol.* **79**, 105–118 (2015).
- 530 130. M. E. Allentoft *et al.*, Population genomics of Bronze Age Eurasia. *Nature*. **522**, 167–172
- 531 (2015).
- 532 131. S. Lindgreen, AdapterRemoval: easy cleaning of next-generation sequencing reads. *BMC*
- 533 Res. Notes. 5, 337 (2012).
- 534 132. H. Li, R. Durbin, Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform.
- 535 *Bioinformatics*. **25**, 1754–1760 (2009).
- 536 133. M. Schubert et al., Improving ancient DNA read mapping against modern reference
- 537 genomes. *BMC Genomics*. **13**, 178 (2012).
- 538 134. M. Kircher, Analysis of high-throughput ancient DNA sequencing data. *Methods Mol. Biol.*
- **840**, 197–228 (2012).
- 540 135. R. E. Green et al., A draft sequence of the Neandertal genome. Science. 328, 710–722
- 541 (2010).
- 542 136. P. Skoglund et al., Genomic insights into the peopling of the Southwest Pacific. *Nature*.
- **543 538**, 510–513 (2016).
- 544 137. W. Haak *et al.*, Massive migration from the steppe was a source for Indo-European
- 545 languages in Europe. *Nature*. **522**, 207–211 (2015).
- 546 138. L. A. F. Frantz et al., Genomic and archaeological evidence suggest a dual origin of
- 547 domestic dogs. *Science*. **352**, 1228–1231 (2016).
- 548 139. H. Jónsson, A. Ginolhac, M. Schubert, P. L. F. Johnson, L. Orlando, mapDamage2.0: fast
- approximate Bayesian estimates of ancient DNA damage parameters. Bioinformatics. 29, 1682–
- 550 1684 (2013).
- 551 140. B. Bai et al., DoGSD: the dog and wolf genome SNP database. Nucleic Acids Res. 43,

- 552 D777–D783 (2014).
- 553 141. A. R. Quinlan, I. M. Hall, BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic
- features. *Bioinformatics*. **26**, 841–842 (2010).
- 555 142. E. P. Murchison *et al.*, Transmissible [corrected] dog cancer genome reveals the origin and
- history of an ancient cell lineage. Science. **343**, 437–440 (2014).
- 557 143. P. Skoglund, E. Ersmark, E. Palkopoulou, L. Dalén, Ancient Wolf Genome Reveals an
- Early Divergence of Domestic Dog Ancestors and Admixture into High-Latitude Breeds. *Curr.*
- 559 *Biol.* **25**, 1515–1519 (2015).
- 560 144. H. Li et al., The Sequence Alignment / Map (SAM) Format and SAMtools 1000 Genome
- Project Data Processing Subgroup. *Data Processing*, 1–2 (2009).
- 562 145. O. Thalmann *et al.*, Complete mitochondrial genomes of ancient canids suggest a European
- origin of domestic dogs. *Science*. **342**, 871–874 (2013).
- 564 146. K. Katoh, G. Asimenos, H. Toh, in *Bioinformatics for DNA Sequence Analysis*, D. Posada,
- Ed. (Humana Press), *Methods in Molecular Biology*, pp. 39–64.
- 566 147. K. Katoh, D. M. Standley, MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
- improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 772–780 (2013).
- 568 148. A. Stamatakis, RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with
- thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics*. **22**, 2688–2690 (2006).
- 570 149. B. van Asch *et al.*, Pre-Columbian origins of Native American dog breeds, with only limited
- 571 replacement by European dogs, confirmed by mtDNA analysis. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **280**, 20131142
- 572 (2013).
- 573 150. A. Strakova *et al.*, Mitochondrial genetic diversity, selection and recombination in a canine
- transmissible cancer. *Elife*. **5** (2016), doi:10.7554/eLife.14552.
- 575 151. J.-F. Pang et al., mtDNA data indicate a single origin for dogs south of Yangtze River, less
- than 16,300 years ago, from numerous wolves. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **26**, 2849–2864 (2009).
- 577 152. S. Björnerfeldt, M. T. Webster, C. Vilà, Relaxation of selective constraint on dog
- 578 mitochondrial DNA following domestication, 990–994 (2006).
- 579 153. Z. Hao, Q. Zhang, B. Qu, The complete mitochondrial genome of the Chinese indigenous
- 580 dog. *Mitochondrial DNA A DNA Mapp Seq Anal.* **27**, 88–89 (2016).
- 581 154. K. M. Webb, M. W. Allard, Mitochondrial genome DNA analysis of the domestic dog:
- identifying informative SNPs outside of the control region. *J. Forensic Sci.* **54**, 275–288 (2009).
- 583 155. J.-H. Zhao, W. Liu, The complete mitochondrial genome of the Simao Chinese indigenous
- 584 dog. *Mitochondrial DNA A DNA Mapp Seq Anal.* **27**, 545–546 (2016).
- 585 156. M. W. Pedersen et al., Postglacial viability and colonization in North America's ice-free
- 586 corridor. *Nature*. **537**, 45–49 (2016).
- 587 157. A. J. Drummond, M. A. Suchard, D. Xie, A. Rambaut, Bayesian Phylogenetics with
- 588 BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **29**, 1969–1973 (2012).
- 589 158. R. Lanfear, P. B. Frandsen, A. M. Wright, T. Senfeld, B. Calcott, PartitionFinder 2: New
- 590 Methods for Selecting Partitioned Models of Evolution for Molecular and Morphological
- 591 Phylogenetic Analyses. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **34**, 772–773 (2017).
- 592 159. A. J. Drummond, A. Rambaut, B. Shapiro, O. G. Pybus, Bayesian coalescent inference of
- past population dynamics from molecular sequences. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **22**, 1185–1192 (2005).
- 594 160. C. Drögemüller et al., A mutation in hairless dogs implicates FOXI3 in ectodermal
- 595 development. Science. **321**, 1462 (2008).
- 596 161. N. Patterson, A. L. Price, D. Reich, Population structure and eigenanalysis. *PLoS Genet.* 2,
- 597 e190 (2006).

- 598 162. S. Purcell et al., PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based
- 599 linkage analyses. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **81**, 559–575 (2007).
- 600 163. E. Paradis, J. Claude, K. Strimmer, APE: Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in R
- 601 language. *Bioinformatics*. **20**, 289–290 (2004).
- 602 164. F. Ronquist, J. P. Huelsenbeck, MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed
- 603 models. *Bioinformatics*. **19**, 1572–1574 (2003).
- 604 165. H. E. L. Lischer, L. Excoffier, PGDSpider: an automated data conversion tool for
- connecting population genetics and genomics programs. *Bioinformatics*. **28**, 298–299 (2012).
- 606 166. P. O. Lewis, A likelihood approach to estimating phylogeny from discrete morphological
- 607 character data. Syst. Biol. **50**, 913–925 (2001).
- 608 167. N. Patterson et al., Ancient admixture in human history. Genetics. 192, 1065–1093 (2012).
- 609 168. T. M. Anderson et al., Molecular and evolutionary history of melanism in North American
- 610 gray wolves. *Science*. **323**, 1339–1343 (2009).
- 611 169. D. Reich, K. Thangaraj, N. Patterson, A. L. Price, L. Singh, Reconstructing Indian
- 612 population history. *Nature*. **461**, 489–494 (2009).
- 613 170. D. H. Alexander, J. Novembre, K. Lange, Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in
- 614 unrelated individuals. *Genome Res.* **19**, 1655–1664 (2009).
- 615 171. G. Larson et al., Rethinking dog domestication by integrating genetics, archeology, and
- 616 biogeography. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 1203005109– (2012).
- 617 172. A. Eriksson, A. Manica, Effect of ancient population structure on the degree of
- polymorphism shared between modern human populations and ancient hominins. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
- 619 *Sci. U. S. A.* **109**, 13956–13960 (2012).
- 620 173. C. Murgia, J. K. Pritchard, S. Y. Kim, A. Fassati, R. A. Weiss, Clonal origin and evolution
- of a transmissible cancer. *Cell.* **126**, 477–487 (2006).
- 622 174. C. A. Rebbeck, R. Thomas, M. Breen, A. M. Leroi, A. Burt, Origins and evolution of a
- 623 transmissible cancer. *Evolution*. **63**, 2340–2349 (2009).
- 624 175. A. Rimmer *et al.*, Integrating mapping-, assembly- and haplotype-based approaches for
- 625 calling variants in clinical sequencing applications. *Nat. Genet.* **46**, 912–918 (2014).
- 626 176. A. McKenna *et al.*, The Genome Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for analyzing
- next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Res. 20, 1297–1303 (2010).
- 628 177. B. Decker *et al.*, Comparison against 186 canid whole-genome sequences reveals survival
- strategies of an ancient clonally transmissible canine tumor. *Genome Res.* **25**, 1646–1655 (2015).
- 630 178. A. Vaysse *et al.*, Identification of genomic regions associated with phenotypic variation
- between dog breeds using selection mapping. *PLoS Genet.* 7, e1002316 (2011).
- 632 179. K. Lindblad-Toh et al., Genome sequence, comparative analysis and haplotype structure of
- 633 the domestic dog. *Nature*. **438**, 803–819 (2005).
- 634 180. G. Ha, A. Roth, D. Lai, A. Bashashati, J. Ding, Integrative analysis of genome-wide loss of
- 635 heterozygosity and monoallelic expression at nucleotide resolution reveals disrupted pathways in
- 636 triple-negative breast Genome (2012) (available at
- http://genome.cshlp.org/content/22/10/1995.short).
- 638 181. C. Fraley, A. E. Raftery, T. B. Murphy, L. Scrucca, mclust Version 4 for R: Normal Mixture
- Modeling for Model-Based Clustering, Classification, and Density Estimation. 2012. University of
- 640 Washington: Seattle.
- 641 182. P. Concannon, S. Whaley, D. Lein, R. Wissler, Canine gestation length: variation related to
- 642 time of mating and fertile life of sperm. Am. J. Vet. Res. 44, 1819–1821 (1983).
- 643 183. A. C. Okkens, T. W. Hekerman, J. W. de Vogel, B. van Haaften, Influence of litter size and

- breed on variation in length of gestation in the dog. Vet. Q. 15, 160–161 (1993).
- 645 184. B. B. Gavrilovic, K. Andersson, C. Linde Forsberg, Reproductive patterns in the domestic
- dog--a retrospective study of the Drever breed. *Theriogenology*. **70**, 783–794 (2008).
- 647 185. L. B. Alexandrov et al., Clock-like mutational processes in human somatic cells. Nat. Genet.
- **47**, 1402–1407 (2015).
- 649 186. D. P. Blaine, A Domestic Treatise on the Diseases of Horses and Dogs (T. Boosey, 1810).
- 650 187. S. M. Waszak *et al.*, Germline determinants of the somatic mutation landscape in 2,642
- 651 cancer genomes. *bioRxiv* (2017), p. 208330.

654

- **Figure 1 Sample location and ancestry of pre-contact dogs a.** A map depicting the location and age of the archeological remains analyzed in this study. Each dot represents a single sample, and
- 655 multiple samples per archeological site are grouped in boxes. Sites mentioned in the text are
- labelled. **b.** A tip calibrated Bayesian mitochondrial phylogenetic tree of dogs, within haplogroup
- A. This analysis was conducted with 71 novel ancient mitogenomes together with 145 publicly
- available mitogenomes from both modern and ancient canids (3) (Fig. S6). Red branches represent
- modern dogs. Blue horizontal bars on nodes represent 95% High Density Posterior age. The grey
- shaded area represents the time frame during which people entered the Americas (10-12) c. A
- neighbor-joining tree built with whole genomes (3). **d** An admixture graph constructed with
- 662 TreeMix (based on transversions; Supplementary Material) depicting the relationship between PCD
- 663 (including the Port Du Choix [AL3194] and Weyanoke Old Town [AL3223] samples) and other
- dog and wolf populations. We only used Greenland dogs and Malamute (American Arctic dogs) for
- this analysis as these are the least admixed with Western Eurasian dogs (3).

666

- Figure 2 Legacy of pre-contact dogs in modern american dogs a. A map showing the locations of dog populations obtained from (9) and their degree of relatedness (D-statistics) with the ~4ky old Port au Choix dog (AL3194; see (3) and Fig. S14). Higher values (in red) represent closer
- relatedness. **b.** A map depicting the multiple introductions of dogs into the Americas.

671

- 672 **Acknowledgement:** We thank L. Orlando, R. K. Wayne and D. Meltzer for their valuable
- 673 comments, B. M. Kemp, M. Masson and J. Chupasko for support and J. Southon (Keck Carbon
- 674 Cycle Accelerator Mass Spectrometer, University of California, Irvine) for the radiocarbon date on
- 675 the Port au Choix dog. We would like to acknowledge the use of the University of Oxford
- Advanced Research Computing (ARC) facility for providing computing time. We thank the Illinois
- State Museum, the Illinois State Archaeological Survey, the G. A. Black Laboratory of
- Archaeology at Indiana University Bloomington, the Instituto Nacional de Antropologia e Historia
- and the Ohio Historical Society for access to material. We thank The Rooms (Museum Division),
- Board Executive and Government of Newfoundland and Labrador for permission to access and
- sample the Port au Choix material. We are grateful to M. Ptaszynska for useful information and to
- S. Zhang for assistance with samples. **Funding:** L.A.F.F. was supported by the Wellcome Trust
- 683 (210119/Z/18/Z) and by Wolfson College (University of Oxford). L.A.F.F., J.H. A.L., A. H-B.
- O.L., K.M.D. and G.L. were supported either by a European Research Council grant (ERC-2013-
- 685 StG-337574-UNDEAD) or Natural Environmental Research Council grants (NE/K005243/1 and
- NE/K003259/1), or both. M.N.L. and E.P.M. were supported by the Wellcome Trust
- 687 (102942/Z/13/A). A.R.P. was supported by the Max Planck Society. E.K.I.P. was supported by a
- 688 Clarendon Fund Scholarship, University of Oxford. M.T.P.G was supported by European Research
- 689 Council grant (ERC-2015-CoG-681396 Extinction Genomics). A.M. was supported by the

- Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle. K.E.W. and R.S.M were supported by a National Science
- Foundation grant (BCS-1540336) and a Wenner-Gren grant. V.G. was supported by a Social
- 692 Sciences and Humanities Research Council Insight Grant. V.V.P., E.Y.P., and P.A.N. were
- supported by Russian Science Foundation project N16-18-10265-RNF. We thank the staff of the
- Danish National High-Throughput Sequencing Centre for assistance in data generation and the
- 695 Illinois State Museum Society for funding. Author contributions: L.A.F.F, G.L. and E.P.M
- 696 conceived the project and designed research; A.P., K.D. and G.L. coordinated the archaeological
- analyses and sampling collection efforts with input from R.P.M, C.A., A.B-H and K.E.W.; A.P.,
- 698 C.A, J.B, E.G., A.J.H, M-H.S.S., S.J.S, M.E., V.G., J.J, A.K.K, P.A.N, C.P.L, A.M.. T.M., K.N.M.,
- 699 M.O., E.Y.P, P.S, V.V.I., C.W. and V.V.P provided/collected samples; K.W.E, A.L., J.H., O.L.,
- S.B., A.D. E.A.D., J.E., J-M. R., M-H.S.S. conducted the ancient laboratory work with input from
- 701 R.P.M., G.L., L.A.F.F, E.W., I.B., and M.T.P.G.; M.M., E.P.M., and A.S. provided/collected CTVT
- samples; M.N.L. and Y-M.K. conducted the CTVT analyses with input from E.P.M., K.G., and
- 703 L.A.F.F.; M.N.L., L.A.F.F and E.K.I.P conducted the analyses of ancient data with input from S.G.,
- A.K., A.B. and E.P.M.; L.A.F.F., G.L., E.P.M., M.N.L. and A.P., wrote the paper with input from
- all other authors. **Competing interests:** A.D., J.E, and J-M.R. are employees of Arbor Biosciences
- which provided target enrichment kits used in this study. J-M.R. is also a founder of Arbor
- 707 Biosciences. Data and materials availability: The reads for the ancient data have been deposited
- at the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) with project number PRJEB22026. Reads for new
- 709 CTVT genomes were deposited at the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) with project number
- 710 PRJEB22148.

- 712 Supplementary Materials:
- 713 Material and Methods
- 714 Figs. S1 to S28
- 715 Tables S3 to S8, and S12 to S16
- 716 Captions for Tables S1, S2, S9 to S11
- 717 References 26-187

718

- 719 Other Supporting Online Material for this manuscript includes the following:
- 720 Tables S1, S2, and S9 to S11 (Excel)