

This is a repository copy of *Production and spectroscopic characterization of lytic polysaccharide monoxygenases*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/139496/>

Version: Accepted Version

Book Section:

Hemsworth, Glyn R. orcid.org/0000-0002-8226-1380, Ciano, Luisa orcid.org/0000-0002-1667-0856, Davies, Gideon J. orcid.org/0000-0002-7343-776X et al. (1 more author) (2018) Production and spectroscopic characterization of lytic polysaccharide monoxygenases. In: *Methods in Enzymology*. *Methods in enzymology* . , pp. 63-90.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.mie.2018.10.014>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

1 Production and Spectroscopic Characterisation of Lytic Polysaccharide 2 Monoxygenases

3 Glyn R. Hemsworth^{1,2†}, Luisa Ciano^{3†}, Gideon J. Davies³, Paul H. Walton^{3*}

4
5 ¹School of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds
6 LS2 9JT, United Kingdom

7 ²Astbury Centre for Structural Molecular Biology, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, United Kingdom

8 ³Department of Chemistry, University of York, York YO10 5DD, United Kingdom

9
10 *to whom correspondence should be addressed: paul.walton@york.ac.uk

11 †these authors contributed equally to this chapter.

12 Contents

13	1. Introduction	2
14	2. Expression and Purification of LPMOs	3
15	2.1 Recombinant expression and purification from the <i>E. coli</i> periplasm	4
16	2.2 Recombinant expression and purification from the <i>E. coli</i> cytoplasm	6
17	2.3 Recombinant expression and purification from yeast.....	7
18	2.4 Recombinant expression and purification from fungi	8
19	3. Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Spectroscopy.....	9
20	3.1 EPR sample preparation for LPMOs.....	10
21	3.2 Multi-frequency collection.....	12
22	3.3 Simulation procedures	13
23	6. Acknowledgements.....	18
24	7. References	18

25 Abstract

26 Lytic Polysaccharide Monoxygenases (LPMOs, also known as PMOs) are a recently
27 discovered family of enzymes that play a key role in the breakdown of polysaccharide
28 substrates. The ability of LPMOs to introduce chain breaks, using an oxidative mechanism, has
29 particularly attracted attention as the world seeks more cost-effective and environmentally
30 friendly ways of producing second generation biofuels for the future. LPMOs are mononuclear
31 copper dependent enzymes and have an unusual active site which includes the N-terminal
32 residue of the protein in the copper coordination sphere. This N-terminal histidine sidechain
33 is also methylated in fungal enzymes, the molecular reason for which is still a debated topic.
34 The production of these enzymes poses several challenges if we are to understand their
35 chemical mechanisms. Here we describe the methods that have been used in the field to
36 produce LPMOs and provide information on the workflows that we use for our Electron
37 Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) Spectroscopy experiments. EPR has been a particularly
38 powerful tool in the study of these enzymes and our objective with this chapter is to provide
39 some helpful information for researchers for whom this technique might be daunting or
40 theoretically difficult to access.

41 **Keywords:** LPMO, biofuel, bioinorganic chemistry, EPR, protein production


42 1. Introduction

43 The production of second generation biofuels from lignocellulosic feedstocks has been hotly
44 pursued for the last decade as a more sustainable fuel source in comparison to oil and first-
45 generation biofuels (several reviews are available, e.g. (Merino and Cherry, 2007; Naik *et al.*,
46 2010; Saini *et al.*, 2015)). One of the major challenges towards the realisation of the cost-
47 effective production of these fuels, however, has been the nature of the substrate, cellulose,
48 and its resistance to degradation (Himmel *et al.*, 2007). The study of enzymes involved in the
49 breakdown of this substrate was pioneered by Reese and Mandels through the 1950s
50 (Mandels and Reese, 1957; Reese *et al.*, 1950), 60s (Mandels and Reese, 1960; Mandels *et al.*,
51 1962) and 70s (Mandels *et al.*, 1971, 1974), and we continue to make new discoveries in this
52 area to this day (See recent reviews by Bischof *et al.* (2016) and Payne *et al.* (2015)). What is
53 now viewed as the “classical” model of enzymatic cellulose deconstruction requires the
54 combined action of essentially three distinct enzymatic activities to allow the liberation of
55 single glucose molecules from this challenging substrate (Reviewed in Payne *et al.* (2015)).
56 The glycoside hydrolases involved include endoglucanases, cellobiohydrolases and β -
57 glucosidases, which synergise with one another to bring about this molecular conversion for
58 metabolism in nature. These naturally occurring enzymes, however, despite their efficiency
59 in degrading their substrates, have arguably been too slow to make the enzymatic conversion
60 of biomass cost effective in the biorefinery. As such, new enzymatic activities have been
61 sought after (as reviewed by Harris *et al.* (2014) and Horn *et al.* (2012)). In this context, Reese,
62 in his original 1950 publication, suggested that there was likely an additional activity required
63 to disrupt the crystalline structure of cellulose to allow glycoside hydrolases access to the
64 substrate (Reese *et al.*, 1950). This activity is now thought to be provided by a family of
65 enzymes known as Lytic Polysaccharide Monooxygenases (LPMOs) (See Beeson *et al.* (2015),
66 Ciano *et al.* (2018) and Hemsworth *et al.* (2015) for recent reviews).

67
68 Prior to the demonstration of LPMO activity in 2010 (Vaaje-Kolstad *et al.*, 2010), LPMOs were
69 defined in two families in the carbohydrate active enzymes database (www.cazy.org)
70 (Lombard *et al.*, 2014) – Glycoside hydrolase-61 (GH61) and carbohydrate binding module-33
71 (CBM33) (See Morgenstern *et al.* (2014) for a review). In 2010, Harris *et al.* (2010) had
72 demonstrated that proteins classified as GH61s from *Thielavia terrestris* and *Thermoascus*
73 *aurantiacus* were able to reduce the enzyme load required for the depolymerisation of
74 cellulose 2-fold in a metal dependent manner. The biochemical basis for this became clear
75 when Vaaje-Kolstaad *et al.* showed that the structurally related protein, CBP21 from *Serratia*
76 *marcescens* (classified as a CBM33 in CAZy), was able to oxidatively induce chain breaks into
77 chitin in a reducing agent and O₂ dependent manner, thereby establishing CBM33s as LPMOs
78 for the first time (Vaaje-Kolstad *et al.*, 2010). Subsequent work demonstrated that GH61s
79 were also oxidative enzymes and that they required a copper cofactor to function (Phillips *et al.*,
80 2011; Quinlan *et al.*, 2011). LPMOs have now been discovered from diverse organisms
81 across the tree of life and have been implicated in the deconstruction of a range of
82 polysaccharides beyond cellulose and chitin (Agger *et al.*, 2014; Bennati-Granier *et al.*, 2015;
83 Borisova *et al.*, 2015; Couturier *et al.*, 2018; Frommhagen *et al.*, 2015; Lo Leggio *et al.*, 2015;
84 Simmons *et al.*, 2017; Vu *et al.*, 2014a). Due to their redox function, LPMOs are now defined
85 in CAZy as auxiliary activities (AA) (Levasseur *et al.*, 2013) with six families currently classified
86 - AA9, AA10, AA11, AA13, AA14 and AA15.

87

88 LPMOs are unusual in being monooxygenases which utilise only a single copper ion in their
89 active site (see Solomon *et al.* (2014) for a useful review on copper dependent enzymes).
90 Indeed, there have been recent suggestions that LPMOs may, under certain conditions, also
91 function as peroxygenases, but further work is still required to determine the true
92 physiological co-substrate for LPMOs (Bissaro *et al.*, 2017; Kuusk *et al.*, 2018). LPMOs bind
93 their single copper cofactor in a motif that has been dubbed the “histidine brace” (Quinlan *et al.*
94 *et al.*, 2011), an arrangement of two histidine residues, one of which is the N-terminal residue,
95 which coordinate the copper ion in a T-shaped geometry (Figure 1) (see reviews by Ciano *et al.*
96 *et al.* (2018), Hemsworth *et al.* (2013a), Vaaje-Kolstad *et al.* (2017) and Vu & Ngo (2018)). In
97 addition, for fungal enzymes at least, there is a modification to the N-terminal histidine
98 sidechain in the form of τ -N-methylation which is only detected when proteins are expressed
99 in fungal hosts (Frandsen *et al.*, 2016; Lo Leggio *et al.*, 2015; Li *et al.*, 2012; Quinlan *et al.*,
100 2011; Vu *et al.*, 2014a, 2014b). The importance of this modification is still debated, but it is
101 clear that LPMOs remain active in its absence when expressed in *e.g.* *Pichia pastoris* (Bennati-
102 Granier *et al.*, 2015; Bey *et al.*, 2013; Couturier *et al.*, 2018; Wu *et al.*, 2013). The requirement
103 for the N-terminal histidine in the mature protein has dictated the strategies that have been
104 used to produce these enzymes which we will discuss in this chapter. We will also describe
105 our workflows for conducting Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) spectroscopy on these
106 enzymes. EPR has been a powerful tool in contributing towards unravelling the steps along
107 the reaction coordinate catalysed by LPMOs. EPR is a conceptually challenging technique,
108 however, which requires expertise to both successfully simulate the data and to interpret the
109 resulting spin Hamiltonian parameters in a meaningful way to gain insights into the electronic
110 aspects of the copper active site. We hope that the topics covered in this chapter will,
111 therefore, prove useful not only for those working on LPMOs, but more broadly for
112 researchers interested in studying diverse copper dependent enzymes.



113
114 Figure 1. Example structure of an AA9 LPMO. **a**, overall structure of *Thermoascus aurantiacus*
115 AA9 LPMO shown in cartoon coloured from N- (blue) to C-terminus (red). **b**, Close up view of
116 the active site copper ion (orange sphere) coordinated by the histidine brace which includes
117 the N-terminal methylated histidine of the protein.

118

119 2. Expression and Purification of LPMOs

120 LPMOs have been identified from diverse organisms including bacteria (Vaaje-Kolstad *et al.*,
121 2005a, 2010), viruses (Chiu *et al.*, 2015), fungi (Karkehabadi *et al.*, 2008; Langston *et al.*, 2011;

122 Phillips *et al.*, 2011; Quinlan *et al.*, 2011; Saloheimo *et al.*, 1997) and more recently higher
123 eukaryotes (Sabbadin *et al.*, 2018). This can pose challenges towards the choice of expression
124 strategy in order to produce pure proteins to be studied. This choice is compounded by the
125 fact that these proteins are typically secreted in their native hosts, leading to the generation
126 of the N-terminal histidine integral to the active site (for reviews please see Hemsworth *et al.*
127 (2013a) and Vaaje-Kolstad *et al.* (2017)). Endogenously, LPMOs will often also contain
128 disulfide bonds, and eukaryotic family members can be further modified by N- and O-
129 glycosylation, as well as methylation of the N-terminal histidine. The choice of expression
130 system must therefore be tailored towards the target enzyme and can have a significant
131 impact on the protein yield, and potentially on the activity of the enzyme though this has not
132 been investigated in great detail.

133 2.1 Recombinant expression and purification from the *E. coli* periplasm

134 *Escherichia coli* is the workhorse of the academic lab when it comes to protein production.
135 Bacterial LPMOs of the AA10 class are therefore most commonly purified from this organism.
136 The most common approach has been to secrete the resultant protein to the periplasm
137 (Courtade *et al.*, 2017; Forsberg *et al.*, 2014a; Hemsworth *et al.*, 2013b; Vaaje-Kolstad *et al.*,
138 2005a, 2010). It is also possible, however, to express these enzymes in the cytoplasm using
139 specialised *E. coli* strains (Forsberg *et al.*, 2011, 2014b; Gregory *et al.*, 2016). These have
140 largely been our methods of choice for LPMO production and though fungal enzymes are
141 rarely produced using these strategies, we were able to express and purify an AA11 from
142 *Aspergillus oryzae* using a periplasmic secretion system in *E. coli* (Hemsworth *et al.*, 2014).

143
144 In order to target the protein to the periplasm, we carefully design our constructs for cloning
145 into a pelB containing vector and typically use HiFi DNA Assembly (New England Biolabs), In-
146 Fusion (Takara) or PIPE cloning (Klock and Lesley, 2009). This strategy allows the target gene
147 to be placed directly after the signal sequence without the addition of any residues from use
148 of restriction enzymes and negates the need to use site directed mutagenesis later to remove
149 any undesired residues. Others have found success using the CBP21 leader peptide as the
150 signal sequence (Crouch *et al.*, 2016; Gardner *et al.*, 2014), and one should always consider
151 screening other potential leader peptides in order to improve the chances of obtaining good
152 yields of soluble protein in the periplasm. In addition, careful thought needs to be put towards
153 the use of affinity tags using the periplasmic secretion strategy. An affinity tag would typically
154 be placed at the C-terminus in this case. We have tended to avoid using His-tags for fear that
155 they might interfere with copper binding and produce unwanted copper binding sites during
156 our EPR analyses and also, potentially, reactivity studies. If a His-tag is used to purify the
157 protein and not removed prior to such an analysis, then careful controls must be performed
158 as Cu binding to the tag cannot be excluded if the metal ion is added in excess. EPR can offer
159 a quick and powerful way to check the sample for the presence of any additional/adventitious
160 binding sites (see below). Other affinity tags can also be used of course, with a strep-tag
161 recently used for the purification of the latest LPMO discovered in family AA15 providing a
162 prominent example (Sabbadin *et al.*, 2018).


163
164 Once the desired constructs are obtained and confirmed as correct by Sanger sequencing,
165 plasmids are transformed typically into BL21(DE3) *E. coli* cells as a first port of call. Other *E.*
166 *coli* strains should also be considered. Transformants are plated out on selective LB agar
167 plates and allowed to grow at 37 °C overnight. A 5 mL LB liquid culture is then inoculated using

168 a single colony the next day and the cells are allowed to grow at 37 °C in a shaker at 180 rpm
169 throughout the day. Once the culture is visibly turbid, 500 µL is used to inoculate a 50 mL
170 culture which is left to grow overnight in the same conditions. The next day, 2 L baffled flasks
171 each containing 500 mL of LB are then inoculated using 5 mL each from the overnight culture
172 and these are incubated at 37 °C, shaking at 180 rpm. The OD₆₀₀ is monitored closely and once
173 this has reached 0.6 the temperature is then typically cooled to 16 °C for about an hour.
174 Protein expression can then be induced by the addition of isopropyl β-D-1-
175 thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) to a final concentration of 1 mM and the cultures are left
176 overnight. The next day cells are harvested by centrifugation at 8,000 x g, the spent media
177 discarded and cell pellets can be frozen at -80 °C until ready for subsequent use.

178
179 We use a modified periplasmic preparation protocol rather than the cold osmotic shock
180 protocol (Neu and Heppel, 1965) that is often used as we have found that we recovered better
181 yields of protein using this approach. Typically, cell paste is thoroughly resuspended in 3x
182 volumes of 20% sucrose (20 mM Tris can also be included to stabilise pH). To this, 40 µL of 10
183 mg/mL lysozyme is added for every gram of cell paste being used and the suspension is then
184 left on ice for 1 hour. 60 µL of 1 M MgSO₄ is then added for every gram of cell paste being
185 used and the cells are left on ice for an additional 20 minutes. Cell debris is then harvested by
186 centrifugation at 10,000 x g for 20 minutes and the supernatant is removed as Fraction I to a
187 fresh container and kept on ice. The pellet is re-suspended in ice cold water and this is also
188 left on ice for a further 1 hour (some DNase can be added at this point to try and reduce the
189 viscosity of the solution produced at the end of the process). The cell debris is again removed
190 by centrifugation at 10,000 x g for 20 minutes and the supernatant is removed as Fraction II.
191 Fraction I and II can be checked at this point to see whether the LPMO is abundantly present
192 in one fraction over the other, however, usually both fractions are combined for subsequent
193 purification.

194
195 If using an affinity tag, standard chromatographic approaches can be used for the purification
196 of LPMO. We typically perform purifications using FPLC instruments using an affinity
197 chromatography step for the initial purification and gel filtration for a final “polishing” step.
198 In the absence of a tag we would typically aim to purify via ion exchange chromatography
199 followed by gel filtration (see Figure 2a and b for example gels). We would recommend using
200 Good’s buffers throughout any purification, these represent biologically compatible buffers
201 which should not interact significantly with biologically relevant cations such as copper (Good
202 *et al.*, 1966). If attempting to measure copper binding to LPMO it is imperative that all buffers
203 are thoroughly de-metallated prior to the final step of the purification. This is typically
204 achieved by cycling the buffer through a 10 mL chelex column (Bio-Rad) for 24 hours before
205 being used in gel filtration. The protein should also be thoroughly treated with EDTA (typically
206 at 10 mM concentration or higher for at least an hour) to remove any metal that might already
207 be bound since LPMOs have very high affinity for copper. Indeed, it is probably this high
208 affinity for copper that resulted in low level activity, which could have been mistaken for
209 hydrolytic activity, during early studies on GH61s (Karlsson *et al.*, 2001). The high affinity of
210 the enzyme for copper allows it to scavenge its cofactor even when present at very low
211 concentration and could also have contributed to the initial confusion over the identity of the
212 correct metal co-factor following the initial structure determinations for these enzymes
213 (Karkehabadi *et al.*, 2008; Vaaje-Kolstad *et al.*, 2005b, 2010).

214



215
 216 Figure 2. Example gels for LPMO Purification strategies. **a**, gel showing initial purification of
 217 *BaAA10* from the periplasm using an SP ion exchange column. **b**, subsequent purification of
 218 *BaAA10* using a Superdex 75 size exclusion column to yield the final sample (Hemsworth *et*
 219 *al.*, 2013b). **c**, SDS-PAGE gel showing stages from the purification of *BaAA10* using a SUMO-
 220 tagged construct (Gregory *et al.*, 2016).

221
 222 If an apo-enzyme is not desired, but rather a copper loaded sample is to be used for study,
 223 prior to the final gel filtration step, CuCl_2 can be added in a slight excess (typically 1.5x) in
 224 order to load the protein with copper prior to gel filtration. The sample is then typically passed
 225 through a 16/600 Superdex 75 column (GE Healthcare) to remove any excess copper, giving
 226 a highly purified, copper loaded sample ready for study. In order to store protein, we typically
 227 concentrate to 10 mg/mL or more using a 3, 5 or 10 kDa Vivaspin column depending on the
 228 size of the LPMO (avoid cellulose-based membranes concentrators). The sample is then flash
 229 frozen in liquid nitrogen for storage at -80°C .

230
 231 Before discussing other strategies for LPMO production in *E. coli* and other organisms, there
 232 is another option for protein secretion to obtain mature LPMOs - to secrete the protein from
 233 gram positive bacteria into the culture medium (Nakagawa *et al.*, 2015). We have not used
 234 this approach ourselves, but this could be an excellent strategy for large scale LPMO
 235 production with industry often using gram-positive expression systems for the production of
 236 many stable and industrially-useful enzymes.

237 2.2 Recombinant expression and purification from the *E. coli* cytoplasm

238 Given that LPMOs are typically extracellular enzymes that contain disulfide bonds, the
 239 periplasmic expression protocol described above often represents the first port of call for
 240 protein production (Courtade *et al.*, 2017; Forsberg *et al.*, 2014a; Hemsworth *et al.*, 2013b;
 241 Vaaje-Kolstad *et al.*, 2005a, 2010). This is not the only option however, and there are reports
 242 of LPMOs being expressed intracellularly using cleavable tags at the N-terminus to aid
 243 purification (Forsberg *et al.*, 2011, 2014b; Gregory *et al.*, 2016). In order to achieve this, the
 244 protease to be used to remove that tag must be carefully selected in order to leave the native
 245 N-terminal histidine available for copper binding. Factor Xa (Forsberg *et al.*, 2014b) and
 246 enterokinase are two such proteases. We prefer the use of a SUMO-tag (Champion-pET-
 247 SUMO, Invitrogen) which can be removed using SUMO-protease (Invitrogen) (Gregory *et al.*,
 248 2016). This method has the advantage that the SUMO-tag can act as a solubility enhancing
 249 tag in addition to providing an N-terminal histidine tag to aid in the purification of the protein.
 250 SUMO-protease recognises the tertiary structure of the SUMO domain and cleaves directly

251 after a di-glycine motif which can be placed directly upstream of the N-terminal His coding
252 region, so that cleavage results in the release of the native protein. Constructs can be carefully
253 designed using the cloning strategies discussed above in order to achieve this without the use
254 of restriction enzymes. This strategy was used to improve the yield of the AA10 from *Bacillus*
255 *amyloliquefaciens* as much as 3-fold (Gregory *et al.*, 2016). While this protein lacked disulfide
256 bonds which would have aided in the correct folding of the protein intracellularly, we have
257 since used this strategy to express disulfide containing LPMOs intracellularly using specialised
258 cells such as the NEB Express T7 cells (New England Biolabs) (Unpublished data).

259

260 The specific protocol for protein expression in *E. coli* is much the same as that discussed above
261 with cells grown in shake flasks and the temperature typically being lowered to 16 or 20 °C
262 upon IPTG induction to ensure correct protein folding. Cells are harvested the same way by
263 centrifugation at 8,000 x g, with spent media being discarded and cell pellets frozen at -80 °C
264 until ready for lysis.

265

266 Since the protein is now present in the cytoplasm, there is no need to perform the laborious
267 periplasmic prep protocol in order to release the protein from the cells. Cells are simply
268 resuspended in Buffer A (typically 50 mM HEPES pH 7, 250 mM NaCl, 30 mM imidazole) and
269 can be lysed by one's preferred method (typically sonication or French press is used in our
270 laboratories). The cell lysate can then be loaded directly onto a nickel resin to which the His₆-
271 SUMO-tagged LPMO will bind (Figure 2c). Protein is then released by the application of a
272 gradient from 0 to 100% buffer B (Buffer A + 300 mM imidazole) and 1.8 mL fractions are
273 collected across the gradient. Peak fractions containing His₆-SUMO-LPMO are combined
274 ready for tag removal. In order to prepare for tag cleavage, it is important to remove excess
275 imidazole and NaCl from the sample which can be detrimental to SUMO-protease function.
276 This can be achieved by buffer exchange on a 10 kDa MW cut-off concentrator, using a
277 desalting column or by dialysis. The protease buffer should also contain a reducing agent to
278 ensure optimal SUMO-protease activity since it is a cysteine protease. We, therefore, typically
279 buffer exchange our samples into 20 mM Tris pH 8, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol. Small scale tag-
280 cleavage reactions should be set up the first time that this strategy is used to try to obtain
281 optimal ratio of protease to SUMO-LPMO which can then be scaled up to the whole sample.
282 We typically perform tag removal at a SUMO-LPMO concentration of 1-2 mg/mL overnight at
283 4 °C.

284

285 Once the cleavage has been performed, the released tag, any uncleaved protein and the
286 SUMO-protease are removed from the sample by passing it back down a nickel column
287 ensuring that the flow through, which will contain the mature LPMO, is collected (Figure 2c).
288 The differences in the LPMO's affinity for copper over nickel ensure that there is not
289 transmetallation of the enzyme. The enzyme sample can then be concentrated, copper
290 loaded or thoroughly EDTA treated as described above, before a final polishing step using a
291 16/600 Superdex 75 column (GE Healthcare) to yield the final sample (Figure 2c).

292 2.3 Recombinant expression and purification from yeast

293 For many LPMOs, expression in *E. coli* has not been possible and so laboratories have turned
294 to other expression systems for challenging targets. *Pichia pastoris* is generally the most
295 common yeast expression system used for protein production in academic laboratories and
296 has been used for the production of several LPMOs (Kittl, Kracher, Burgstaller, Haltrich, &

297 Ludwig, 2012; Bennati-Granier *et al.*, 2015; Tanghe *et al.*, 2015). While *P. pastoris* lacks the
298 machinery required to perform the methylation of the N-terminal histidine found in fungal
299 LPMOs, it maintains the ability to glycosylate proteins which may be important to ensure the
300 correct folding of LPMOs from eukaryotic organisms.

301

302 The most common vector used for LPMO production in *P. pastoris* is the commercially
303 available pICZ α (Invitrogen) (Bennati-Granier *et al.*, 2015; Couturier *et al.*, 2018; Kittl *et al.*,
304 2012), although other vectors such as the pPpT4 vector can also be used (Tanghe *et al.*, 2015).
305 These are shuttle vectors which allow all of the cloning to be performed in *E. coli* for speed
306 and ease before the vector is introduced into the *P. pastoris* host for protein expression. As
307 for expression in *E. coli*, similar factors need to be considered during construct design in *P.*
308 *pastoris*. The vectors contain signal sequences to direct the protein for secretion and so the
309 LPMO sequence must be carefully cloned such that the codon for the N-terminal histidine is
310 immediately placed after the cleavage site for the signal peptide. Alternatively, if the protein
311 is from a fungal source the native leader sequence of the LPMO gene can also be used rather
312 than the signal peptide available in the vector (Kittl *et al.*, 2012; Tanghe *et al.*, 2015).

313

314 The target gene is typically placed under the control of the AOX1 promoter in these vectors,
315 allowing induction of protein expression using methanol (Bennati-Granier *et al.*, 2015;
316 Couturier *et al.*, 2018; Kittl *et al.*, 2012). Cells are typically grown in defined media based on
317 the protocol provided by Invitrogen so we will not re-describe this here. Thanks to the use of
318 the signal sequence the protein is directed out of the cell into the media and so the protein
319 needs to be directly purified from here. Affinity tags can be incorporated at the C-terminus of
320 the protein to assist in the purification, but in many cases the protein has been purified
321 without the use of an affinity tag, for example Kittle *et al* (2012) used a multi-step purification
322 process involving hydrophobic chromatography, ion-exchange chromatography and finally
323 size-exclusion chromatography.

324

325 Copper loading procedures are much the same as those described above for LPMOs expressed
326 in *E. coli*, with the addition of a small excess of copper prior to the final gel-filtration step in
327 the purification. If the protein is to be used for crystallography, it is often necessary to
328 deglycosylate it. In our laboratories this step is typically achieved using Endoglycosidase H
329 (New England Biolabs) following the manufacturer's protocols. If, however, the protein is to
330 be used in assays, we typically do not deglycosylate the protein as this can affect both protein
331 stability and, potentially, protein-substrate interactions.

332 2.4 Recombinant expression and purification from fungi


333 The majority of LPMOs that have been studied to date are found in fungi and so there are
334 clear advantages to expressing these proteins in fungal hosts. These include correct insertion
335 of methylation on the N-terminal histidine sidechain as well as protein secretion into the
336 extracellular milieu following correct glycosylation and protein folding. Fungal recombinant
337 expression systems, however, are far less well established in academic laboratories. We have,
338 therefore, benefited greatly from our long-term collaboration with Novozymes who use a
339 highly specialised *Aspergillus oryzae* expression system for LPMO production on a large scale
340 (Frandsen *et al.*, 2016; Lo Leggio *et al.*, 2015; Quinlan *et al.*, 2011). The high yields offered by
341 this system are able to meet the high sample demands for EPR (see below). These systems
342 are not readily available for use by others, however, and so examples of recombinant LPMO

343 expression from fungal sources are harder to find in the literature. Phillips *et al* (2011) early
344 on were able to purify *Neurospora crassa* LPMOs directly from secretomes when the fungus
345 was grown on cellulose. Since then, the Marletta lab and their colleagues have been able to
346 use a *N. crassa* expression system to produce both *N. crassa* LPMOs (Vu *et al.*, 2014b) and
347 LPMOs from other fungi (Span *et al.*, 2017). Using this approach, a knock-in system is used to
348 insert the LPMO gene downstream of a glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase
349 promoter (GAP) (Bardiya and Shiu, 2007). The DNA is then incorporated into the *N. crassa*
350 genome by recombination and colonies in which the gene has been successfully incorporated
351 need to be screened for LPMO production. As for *Pichia pastoris*, the LPMO should be
352 secreted into the growth media allowing direct purification from the cleared media. Affinity
353 tags can be incorporated at the C-terminus of the protein to assist in this respect if they do
354 not interfere with subsequent planned experiments.

355

356 3. Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Spectroscopy

357 Once pure protein is obtained, a key technique that has been used in the field to gain insight
358 into the copper environment in LPMOs is Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR)
359 spectroscopy. The fact that copper in its oxidised (+2) state ($S=1/2$, Kramers' doublet) has a
360 single unpaired electron makes it EPR active. Therefore, by collecting EPR spectra from pure
361 LPMOs it has been possible to gain additional insight into the electronic state of the copper
362 centre in the resting protein. In addition, upon the inclusion of polysaccharide substrates in
363 the samples, it is sometimes possible to observe marked changes in the EPR spectra (Figure
364 3) (Bissaro *et al.*, 2018; Borisova *et al.*, 2015; Frandsen *et al.*, 2016; Simmons *et al.*, 2017),
365 suggesting significant changes occur at the copper active site in the presence of substrate,
366 which might be of key importance to activating oxygen and hence catalysis. In order to
367 interpret these spectra and understand what the changes in the EPR mean, it is necessary to
368 simulate the data. While there are algorithms that will attempt to simulate the data
369 automatically, these often fall short of a truly meaningful simulation. Optimal EPR simulation
370 is, therefore, still best performed by an expert EPR spectroscopist and requires considerable
371 patience and knowhow in order to obtain the best possible outcome. Here we describe the
372 steps taken to prepare samples for EPR analysis and provide information on how we interpret
373 our EPR spectra.



374
 375 Figure 3: Example of changes observed in the X-band CW EPR spectra of an AA9 upon addition
 376 of substrate. **a**, *LsAA9A-G6* (top) and *LsAA9A* with no cellohexaose (bottom) in low chloride
 377 conditions. **b**, *LsAA9A-G6* (top) and *LsAA9A* with no cellohexaose (bottom) in high chloride
 378 conditions. * indicates signals from copper site where water is the exogenous ligand, other
 379 signals from species with chloride as exogenous ligand. Figure adapted from Frandsen *et al*
 380 (2016).

381 3.1 EPR sample preparation for LPMOs

382 Most EPR spectroscopy on LPMOs has been performed in frozen solution at X-band
 383 frequencies (ca 9.3 GHz). At these frequencies, sample holders are typically quartz tubes with
 384 roughly 3 to 5 mm internal diameters. The height of the resonator cavity will be of the order
 385 of 10-15 mm, meaning that ideally a sample volume of ca 300-600 μL is required. In reality,
 386 by optimising the collection parameters, good EPR spectra can be obtained with much smaller
 387 volumes, in the order of 80 to 120 μL with a concentration of at least 0.15 mM Cu. Albeit often
 388 seen by biochemists as a highly demanding technique in terms of protein consumption, EPR
 389 is non-destructive, and often samples can be fully recovered and re-used after the
 390 experiments. In our hands, the repeated cooling/warming cycles that a sample typically
 391 experiences during collection of EPR spectra do not lead to protein degradation. Obtaining a
 392 signal with good signal-to-noise is a function of several experimental variables including the
 393 capabilities of the EPR spectrometer, the temperature at which the spectra are collected, the
 394 number of scans and the experimental settings. However, good spectra with excellent signal-
 395 to-noise ratios can be obtained with sample concentrations and volumes reported above at
 396 100 to 170 K with acquisition settings reported in Table 1. Of particular note is the power
 397 setting which must be at a level which does not cause saturation of the absorbance, especially
 398 in concentrated samples. This level should be such that relaxation rates are greater than
 399 absorption rates. For copper spectroscopy, relatively high power settings can be used
 400 because of the high relaxation rates associated with a metal centre. For detailed spectra,
 401 then higher sample concentrations may be needed, possibly up to 1-2 mM concentration.
 402 Great caution is needed at these concentrations however since face-to-face dimerization of
 403 LPMOs may occur with the result that two nearby Cu centres will change their coordination
 404 geometries or will lead to dipolar relaxation effects that perturb spin Hamiltonian values. Our

405 experience in this regard is that concentrations of ca 2 mM lead to spectral changes that could
406 be associated with protein dimerization.

407

408 Table 1: Standard acquisition parameters for frozen solution X-band EPR spectra of LPMOs.

Centre field	3000 G
Sweep	2300 – 3700 G
Modulation amplitude	4 G
Modulation frequency	100 kHz
Time constant	163.8 msec
Sweep time	92 sec
Conversion time	90 msec
Power	5 – 10 mW
Scans	1 – 8
Temperature	150 – 170 K

409

410

411 EPR spectra collected in aqueous solution often require the use of a glassing agent such as
412 glycerol or sucrose to minimise the formation of ice crystals. Such crystals can increase
413 microwave scattering, reduce the microwave cavity quality factor, cause changes in protein
414 structure, pH or ionic strength, or even shatter the microwave tube! For smaller tubes which
415 are used with high frequency EPR this can be a particular problem. Tube breakages for X-
416 band EPR tubes are less common, especially if thick wall tubes are used. For work with LPMO
417 solutions, glycerol is typically the glassing agent of choice (10-50% v/v), although sucrose can
418 also be used (2 mg/ μ L). When combined with very rapid freezing then the resulting sample
419 usually forms a good glass. The cooling method needs to be carefully considered however in
420 that very rapid freezing is required to prevent entropic driven processes from occurring at the
421 metal centre. For instance, at room temperature the copper coordination may include the
422 three atoms of the ligating histidine brace along with a fourth exogenous ligand. Cooling
423 slowly to typical EPR collection temperatures may drive the formation of a five coordinate Cu
424 site in which a further water molecule is recruited into the coordination sphere. The result
425 would be that the EPR spectrum collected at low temperature is not representative of the
426 solution phase structure. Therefore, very rapid freezing is required to ‘trap’ the room
427 temperature species. This is best achieved by plunging the EPR sample tube into a cold liquid
428 (e.g. methanol in dry ice (Chaplin *et al.*, 2016)) rather than into liquid nitrogen where—despite
429 the very low temperature of the liquid—the resulting bubbles of N₂ insulate the tube from
430 the liquid to prevent very rapid freezing. Notwithstanding the advantages of rapid cooling of
431 samples, the experimenter needs to be aware that any heterogeneity in protein structure,
432 where the interconversion is slower than the cooling timescale, may be trapped by the cooling
433 process, such that sample heterogeneity is increased, the result of which is a severe loss of
434 spectral resolution. It is not unusual for rapidly-cooled samples to have broader spectral
435 peaks than those samples cooled less rapidly (Guzzi *et al.*, 2001). Necessarily, therefore,
436 different cooling techniques need to be explored. In our experience, a happy compromise
437 can be found for X-band LPMO samples when a sample at room temperature is plunged into
438 the stream of cooling N₂ gas which cools the resonator. Also, on occasion for high
439 concentration samples, it has been possible to collect spectra of LPMO solutions at room
440 temperature. The slow tumbling rate of the protein in solution means that the spectrum is

441 still anisotropic, from which it is possible to link the spin Hamiltonian parameters at room
442 temperature with those at low temperature (unpublished data).

443

444 The type of glassing agent that is employed in these studies is an important consideration in
445 experimental design. The principal consideration is that glassing agents have a 'poly-ol'
446 structure which can mimic that of the natural polysaccharide substrate of the enzyme and
447 possibly interfere with the Cu active site by binding close to it. Accordingly, the dependency
448 of the spectrum on the concentration of glassing agent should be established in any
449 experiment. Unfortunately, an examination of the LPMO literature shows that such
450 dependency experiments are relatively rare and only appear to have been done in a handful
451 of cases (Sabbadin *et al.*, 2018). When there is evidence to suggest that the glassing agent is
452 interfering with the Cu centre, then it is recommended changing the agent to another (*e.g.*
453 DMSO, Ficoll) or that the spectrum is collected without any glassing agent at all. The latter
454 method appears to work surprisingly well for LPMO samples (Bissaro *et al.*, 2018; Borisova *et al.*
455 *et al.*, 2015; Chaplin *et al.*, 2016; Forsberg *et al.*, 2014b, 2014a, 2016).

456

457 One of the great advantages of EPR is that spectra can be obtained on a sample in any state
458 of matter: liquid, solid, slurry, frozen glass or even a gas. For LPMOs, of course, since the
459 substrates are usually insoluble polysaccharides, the interaction of the LPMO with its natural
460 substrate can be studied directly. Moreover, introducing a solid phase sample into a solution
461 to create a slurry, essentially removes the need for a glassing agent. Necessarily, the nature
462 of the solid phase means that protein binding may be slow or incomplete and it is advisable
463 to leave the slurry sample for some time before then acquiring the EPR spectra. In the
464 majority of cases, at least part of the protein binds very rapidly (Frandsen *et al.*, 2016;
465 Simmons *et al.*, 2017), but for some samples we have noticed that full binding can take up to
466 several hours and/or cannot be achieved, so would recommend that samples are left
467 incubating overnight in the absence of oxygen and/or a reducing agent. It is also important
468 that the introduced sample is isotropically distributed within the EPR tube, otherwise
469 alignment effects could complicate the spectrum. Beyond these considerations, it appears as
470 if solid state slurries of LPMO solutions with their insoluble substrates are perfectly good
471 samples for both CW and pulsed EPR studies (Bissaro *et al.*, 2018; Borisova *et al.*, 2015;
472 Frandsen *et al.*, 2016; Simmons *et al.*, 2017).


473 3.2 Multi-frequency collection

474 EPR spectra of LPMOs in the Cu(II) states give spin Hamiltonian parameters in which there is
475 either a typical 'type 2' Cu centre with large $|A_z|$ values (ca 400-600 MHz) (Borisova *et al.*,
476 2015; Chaplin *et al.*, 2016; Couturier *et al.*, 2018; Forsberg *et al.*, 2014a, 2016; Frandsen *et al.*,
477 2016; Hansson *et al.*, 2017; Hemsworth *et al.*, 2014; Quinlan *et al.*, 2011; Sabbadin *et al.*, 2018;
478 Simmons *et al.*, 2017; Span *et al.*, 2017) or a more rhombic Cu(II) centre that has a reduced
479 $|A_z|$ value but large $|A_x|$ and $|A_y|$ values (Bissaro *et al.*, 2018; Forsberg *et al.*, 2014b; Gregory
480 *et al.*, 2016; Hemsworth *et al.*, 2013b). In both cases the perpendicular region of the spectrum
481 cannot be unambiguously simulated from the X-band data alone. For this reason, if an in-
482 depth analysis is required, collecting spectra at different frequencies is paramount.
483 Depending on the kind of information desired, one can decide to use lower (*e.g.* S-band) or
484 higher (*e.g.* Q-band) frequency than X-band, with the latter being usually the preferred
485 method. A couple of examples of this approach are present in the LPMO literature (Couturier
486 *et al.*, 2018; Hansson *et al.*, 2017), but it is easy to imagine that more and more will appear as

487 the fine details of the electronics at the Cu active site are investigated. For Q-band spectra,
488 quartz tubes of ca 2 mm in diameter are employed, while the samples are typically 15-30 μ L
489 in volume at a concentration of copper of 1 mM or higher. The same, if not greater, caution
490 in optimising the acquisition settings as for X-band should be exercised when collecting Q-
491 band spectra. In our experience, obtaining good quality Q-band spectra, especially if protein
492 concentration is an issue due to protein aggregation at high concentration or limited amount
493 of protein available, is a non-trivial problem, but it can be sometimes overcome with patience
494 and trials of different settings. Typical settings used for our experiments include centre field
495 at 1150 mT, sweep width 150 mT, modulation width 0.6 – 1 mT, time constant 0.3 s, scan time
496 1 – 2 min, power 0.5 – 1 mW.

497
498 Collecting CW Q-band spectra has a further benefit in that EPR spectra of LPMOs can
499 sometimes contain high field features (Bissaro *et al.*, 2018). These features under simulation
500 (see below) are very hard to assign unambiguously as they could arise either from large
501 hyperfine coupling constants or from low principal g values. There are indeed cases in the
502 literature where such features have been simulated with low g values. It is, however, well
503 known in the wider EPR community that the high field signals may arise from a spectral
504 artefact known as an overshoot feature, associated with large $|A_z|$ hyperfine coupling values
505 (see figure 4). By collecting CW EPR spectra at different frequencies, the normal choice being
506 Q-band, this misinterpretation can be avoided.

507



508

509 Figure 4: X-band CW EPR spectrum of an AA10 from *Bacillus licheniformis*, BIAA10, after
510 addition of squid pen chitin, showing the overshoot feature associated with the large $|A_z|$
511 value (unpublished data).

512


513 3.3 Simulation procedures

514 Once the CW spectra have been recorded, simulations have to be performed in order to gain
515 information about the copper active site. Several simulation packages are available to users,
516 some of them developed by EPR instruments manufacturers (*e.g.* the WinEPR and SimFonia


517 suites (Bruker)), others made available by researchers in the field (*e.g.* SpinCount (The
518 Hendrich Metalloprotein Group) or EasySpin (Stoll and Schweiger, 2006)). Regardless of the
519 programme used to carry out the simulations, some general considerations can be done on
520 how to approach such a task, in particular for those who might not have encountered EPR
521 before. Determining the spin Hamiltonian parameters for the parallel region of the spectrum
522 is in general straightforward, as at least 3 of the 4 hyperfine peaks to the Cu can be clearly
523 observed between 2500 and 3100 G in the X-band spectra of LPMOs. Careful analysis of this
524 region of the spectrum can also reveal the presence of multiple species or adventitious
525 binding sites, if a second set of hyperfine peaks is present either as individual peaks or as
526 shoulders of the main component of the spectrum. Specifically, we would recommend
527 particular care if the LPMO carries an affinity tag, as highlighted in section 2.1. In these
528 circumstances, EPR provides a very powerful tool for the identification of second binding sites
529 in amounts as low as 10% of the main species. As mentioned above, most LPMOs show a type
530 2 copper active site according to the Peisach-Blumberg (P-B) classification (Peisach and
531 Blumberg, 1974), with SOMO mostly $d(x^2-y^2)$ in character and close to square planar
532 geometry. While the P-B classification is a useful 'first-step' guide to the general structure of
533 the copper ion in the active sites of LPMOs, we would caution against its in-depth use for the
534 assignment of coordinating atoms (*e.g.* determining between CuN_2O_2 and CuN_3O
535 coordination spheres), due to the multiple contributing factors to both g_z and $|A_z|$ values, the
536 most problematic of which is the unknown degree of covalency in the metal-ligand bonds. In
537 this regard, it is indeed possible to notice the high degree of variability in the published EPR
538 parameters of LPMOs in a P-B plot (Figure 5 and Table 2) even for proteins that, from a
539 structural point of view, show the same coordination environment (recently reviewed by
540 Ciano *et al.* (2018) and Vu and Ngo (2018)). Finally, in the context of the broad interpretation
541 of spin Hamiltonian parameters of LPMOs, there are reports of compressed trigonal
542 bipyramidal structures with $d(z^2)$ SOMOs, but this assignment is not in accord with the
543 spectral envelopes observed in all LPMO EPR spectra (Vu and Ngo, 2018).

544

545



546



547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

Figure 5: Peisach-Blumberg plots of published EPR data for LPMOs (data and references reported in Table 2). For clarity and consistency, all enzymes have been named using the CAZy abbreviation, where two letters (in italics) define the organism the protein originates from, followed by the Auxiliary Activity family and the specific enzyme, if reported and more than one are present in the same organism (*e.g.* LsAA9A). Top: P-B plot of LPMOs divided by family, according to the legend on the plot. Bottom: P-B plot as above, with the parameters for substrate-bound enzymes included; "G6" is used for cellohexase.

556 Table 2: Table of g_z and $|A_z|$ LPMO EPR values published to date, used for the plots in Figure
 557 5.

LPMO	Reference	g_z	$ A_z $ / MHz
NcAA9C	Borisova <i>et al.</i> , 2015	2.267	456
TaAA9A	Quinlan <i>et al.</i> , 2011	2.278	470
HjAA9A	Hansson <i>et al.</i> , 2017	2.280	474
MtAA9	Span <i>et al.</i> , 2017	2.260	504
CvAA9A	Simmons <i>et al.</i> 2017	2.273	476
LsAA9A	Frandsen <i>et al.</i> , 2016	2.279	458
BaAA10A	Hemsworth <i>et al.</i> , 2013b	2.25	404
CjAA10A	Forsberg <i>et al.</i> , 2016	2.267	462
SmAA10A	Forsberg <i>et al.</i> , 2014b	2.260	348
ScAA10C	Forsberg <i>et al.</i> , 2014b	2.267	459
BIAA10	Forsberg <i>et al.</i> , 2014b	2.262	375
TfAA10B	Forsberg <i>et al.</i> , 2014b	2.262	468
ScAA10B	Forsberg <i>et al.</i> , 2014a	2.270	474
SIAA10E	Chaplin <i>et al.</i> , 2016	2.260 (Sp 1) 2.230 (Sp 2)	378 (Sp 1) 532 (Sp 2)
AoAA11	Hemsworth <i>et al.</i> , 2014	2.27	498
AoAA13	Lo Leggio <i>et al.</i> , 2015	2.26	513
PcAA14A	Couturier <i>et al.</i> , 2018	2.273	508
TdAA15A	Sabbadin <i>et al.</i> , 2018	2.283 (Sp 1) 2.258 (Sp 2)	407 (Sp 1) 512 (Sp 2)
NcAA9C-G6	Borisova <i>et al.</i> , 2015	2.226	525
LsAA9A-G6-H ₂ O	Frandsen <i>et al.</i> , 2016	2.273	515
LsAA9A-G6-Cl	Frandsen <i>et al.</i> , 2016	2.234	515
SmAA10A-chitin	Bissaro <i>et al.</i> , 2018	2.216	610

558
 559
 560 The perpendicular region of the spectrum, instead, is not as straightforward to interpret and
 561 simulate, especially from X-band data alone. Here, the partial overlap of the g_x/g_y and $|A_x|/|A_y|$
 562 values and the usually broad appearance of the spectrum do not allow to unambiguously
 563 determine the spin Hamiltonian parameters of the copper ion. Great care should therefore
 564 be used in these circumstances. The problem can be overcome by simultaneous simulating
 565 both X- and Q-band data, when multi-frequency collection of the spectra is a possibility.
 566 Simultaneous fits of the data from two different frequencies significantly narrows the sets of
 567 possible spin Hamiltonian values, with the further advantage that artefacts arising from
 568 overshoot features, whether present, can be all but eliminated.

569
 570 Analysis of the superhyperfine (SHF) coupling, when visible, can provide insights into the
 571 coordination environment of the copper active site and possible changes triggered by the
 572 binding of substrate (Frandsen *et al.*, 2016). Simulation of the SHF coupling can be a very time
 573 consuming and, at times, frustrating challenge, but it can provide key information about the
 574 active site. In our experience, a reliable simulation of superhyperfine coupling patterns can
 575 take many days to perform accurately. Moreover, it is important to point out that the most
 576 direct and reliable method to obtain coupling values to coupled nuclei is the use of pulsed

577 EPR techniques such as ENDOR or HYSCORE (not discussed herein), in which the orientation-
578 selective feature of the techniques allows some separation of the individual coupling
579 components of each coordinating atom. However, as pulsed techniques are not easily
580 accessible and can require high amount of protein, careful analysis of CW spectra can give
581 surprisingly accurate information about the type and number of nuclei coupled to the
582 unpaired electron and their coupling values. The risk of over-parameterising the problem
583 should be taken in account, and we would recommend to proceed in steps and add further
584 coupled nuclei only if the experimental data cannot be satisfactory simulated otherwise.
585 Simultaneous simulations of both first and second derivative spectra can be of great help in
586 establishing the coupling values, although usually only the largest component of the coupling,
587 when anisotropic, can be determined. If possible, isotopic labelling (*e.g.* ^{15}N) should be
588 performed in order to restrict the set of possible values which would give good fit to the
589 experimental data.

590

591 Finally, some authors have used DFT calculations to augment their interpretations of EPR data
592 (Bissaro *et al.*, 2018). While useful in a broad context, there are well-known problems in using
593 DFT to give accurate representations of spin Hamiltonian parameters (Neese, 2009, 2017).
594 Indeed, these problems appear to be evident in DFT calculations performed on LPMOs. The
595 key difficulties stem from the issues associated with the inaccurate modelling of the Fermi
596 contact term (which, in turn, arises from configuration interaction of the SOMO with s-orbitals
597 and polarisation of core electrons at the copper ion), the relatively poor modelling of medium-
598 distance electron-electron interactions, the important role of dispersion forces, and the
599 tendency of DFT calculations to overly apply covalency to metal-ligand bonding. In partial
600 mitigation of these problems, we would recommend using a basis set in which core functions
601 are enhanced (*e.g.* CP(PPP) (Neese, 2002)). For the latter issue, a functional should be
602 selected in which the degree of Hartree-Fock (HF) exchange has been manually increased, *e.g.*
603 B3LYP-D functional has 20% HF exchange set as default, but should be increased to ~38% with
604 the final value being determined by trial and error. However, as is evident in the preceding
605 sentence, this is necessarily an empirical procedure and our experience in this regard is that
606 the spin Hamiltonian parameters obtained from DFT calculations for LPMOs can be
607 significantly different from the experimental values. As such, great caution must be exercised
608 in using DFT-determined spin Hamiltonian parameters for in-depth interpretation of the
609 active site electronics, even when there appears to be good agreement with the experimental
610 values. Indeed, whether the results of DFT calculations on spin Hamiltonian parameters can
611 give useful absolute results at all in such a complicated system is debateable. Our advice in
612 this regard therefore is that trends in calculated parameters may be meaningful (when the
613 same basis sets, functionals and methods are used between systems), as might the absolute
614 signs of calculated hyperfine coupling values, but that further values should *not* be used as a
615 basis for in-depth analysis of the electronic structure of the SOMO without a critical
616 evaluation of the underlying issues. In this context, our further advice is that a ligand field
617 analysis in which many of the 'problematic' values are parameterised offers a more reliable
618 and suitably-caveated analysis of LPMO EPR spectra, from which appropriately framed
619 conclusions can be drawn.

620

621 6. Acknowledgements

622 GRH gratefully acknowledges support from a BBSRC (Biotechnology and Biological Sciences
623 Research Council) David Phillips Fellowship (grant # BB/N019970/1). LC, GJD and PHW also
624 gratefully acknowledge the support of the BBSRC under grant BB/L001926/1.

625 7. References

626 Agger, J.W., Isaksen, T., Várnai, A., Vidal-Melgosa, S., Willats, W.G.T., Ludwig, R., Horn, S.J.,
627 Eijsink, V.G.H., and Westereng, B. (2014). Discovery of LPMO activity on hemicelluloses
628 shows the importance of oxidative processes in plant cell wall degradation. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
629 *Sci. U. S. A.* *111*, 6287–6292.

630 Bardiya, N., and Shiu, P.K.T. (2007). Cyclosporin A-resistance based gene placement system
631 for *Neurospora crassa*. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* *44*, 307–314.

632 Beeson, W.T., Vu, V. V., Span, E.A., Phillips, C.M., and Marletta, M.A. (2015). Cellulose
633 degradation by polysaccharide monooxygenases. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* *84*, 923–946.

634 Bennati-Granier, C., Garajova, S., Champion, C., Grisel, S., Haon, M., Zhou, S., Fanuel, M.,
635 Ropartz, D., Rogniaux, H., Gimbert, I., et al. (2015). Substrate specificity and regioselectivity
636 of fungal AA9 lytic polysaccharide monooxygenases secreted by *Podospora anserina*.
637 *Biotechnol. Biofuels* *8*, 90.

638 Bey, M., Zhou, S., Poidevin, L., Henrissat, B., Coutinho, P.M., Berrin, J.-G., and Sigoillot, J.-C.
639 (2013). Cello-oligosaccharide oxidation reveals differences between two lytic polysaccharide
640 monooxygenases (family GH61) from *Podospora anserina*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* *79*,
641 488–496.

642 Bischof, R.H., Ramoni, J., and Seiboth, B. (2016). Cellulases and beyond: the first 70 years of
643 the enzyme producer *Trichoderma reesei*. *Microb. Cell Fact.* *15*, 106.

644 Bissaro, B., Røhr, A.K., Müller, G., Chylenski, P., Skaugen, M., Forsberg, Z., Horn, S.J., Vaaje-
645 Kolstad, G., and Eijsink, V.G.H. (2017). Oxidative cleavage of polysaccharides by monocopper
646 enzymes depends on H₂O₂. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* *851*, 1.

647 Bissaro, B., Isaksen, I., Vaaje-Kolstad, G., Eijsink, V.G.H., and Røhr, Å.K. (2018). How a Lytic
648 Polysaccharide Monooxygenase Binds Crystalline Chitin. *Biochemistry* *57*, 1893–1906.

649 Borisova, A.S., Isaksen, T., Dimarogona, M., Kognole, A.A., Mathiesen, G., Várnai, A., Røhr,
650 Å.K., Payne, C.M., Sørli, M., Sandgren, M., et al. (2015). Structural and Functional
651 Characterization of a Lytic Polysaccharide Monooxygenase with Broad Substrate Specificity.
652 *J. Biol. Chem.* *290*, 22955–22969.

653 Bruker EPR Simulation Suites. [https://www.bruker.com/products/mr/epr/epr-](https://www.bruker.com/products/mr/epr/epr-software/simulation-suites/overview.html)
654 [software/simulation-suites/overview.html](https://www.bruker.com/products/mr/epr/epr-software/simulation-suites/overview.html)

655 Chaplin, A.K., Wilson, M.T., Hough, M.A., Svistunenko, D.A., Hemsworth, G.R., Walton, P.H.,
656 Vijgenboom, E., and Worrall, J.A.R. (2016). Heterogeneity in the Histidine-brace Copper
657 Coordination Sphere in Auxiliary Activity Family 10 (AA10) Lytic Polysaccharide
658 Monooxygenases. *J. Biol. Chem.* *291*, 12838–12850.

659 Chiu, E., Hijnen, M., Bunker, R.D., Boudes, M., Rajendran, C., Aizel, K., Oliéric, V., Schulze-
660 Briese, C., Mitsuhashi, W., Young, V., et al. (2015). Structural basis for the enhancement of
661 virulence by viral spindles and their in vivo crystallization. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* *112*,

662 3973–3978.

663 Ciano, L., Davies, G.J., Tolman, W.B., and Walton, P.H. (2018). Bracing copper for the
664 catalytic oxidation of C–H bonds. *Nat. Catal.* *1*, 571–577.

665 Courtade, G., Wimmer, R., Røhr, A.K., Preims, M., Felice, A.K.G., Dimarogona, M., Vaaje-
666 Kolstad, G., Sørлие, M., Sandgren, M., Ludwig, R., et al. (2016). Interactions of a fungal lytic
667 polysaccharide monooxygenase with β -glucan substrates and cellobiose dehydrogenase.
668 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* *113*, 5922–5927.

669 Courtade, G., Le, S.B., Sætrom, G.I., Brautaset, T., and Aachmann, F.L. (2017). A novel
670 expression system for lytic polysaccharide monooxygenases. *Carbohydr. Res.*

671 Couturier, M., Ladevèze, S., Sulzenbacher, G., Ciano, L., Fanuel, M., Moreau, C., Villares, A.,
672 Cathala, B., Chaspoul, F., Frandsen, K.E., et al. (2018). Lytic xylan oxidases from wood-decay
673 fungi unlock biomass degradation. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* *14*, 306–310.

674 Crouch, L.I., Labourel, A., Walton, P.H., Davies, G.J., and Gilbert, H.J. (2016). The
675 Contribution of Non-catalytic Carbohydrate Binding Modules to the Activity of Lytic
676 Polysaccharide Monooxygenases. *J. Biol. Chem.* *291*, 7439–7449.

677 Forsberg, Z., Vaaje-Kolstad, G., Westereng, B., Bunæs, A.C., Stenstrøm, Y., MacKenzie, A.,
678 Sørлие, M., Horn, S.J., and Eijsink, V.G.H. (2011). Cleavage of cellulose by a CBM33 protein.
679 *Protein Sci.* *20*, 1479–1483.

680 Forsberg, Z., Mackenzie, A.K., Sørлие, M., Røhr, Å.K., Helland, R., Arvai, A.S., Vaaje-Kolstad,
681 G., and Eijsink, V.G.H. (2014a). Structural and functional characterization of a conserved pair
682 of bacterial cellulose-oxidizing lytic polysaccharide monooxygenases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
683 *U. S. A.* *111*, 8446–8451.

684 Forsberg, Z., Røhr, Å.K., Mekasha, S., Andersson, K.K., Eijsink, V.G.H., Vaaje-Kolstad, G., and
685 Sørлие, M. (2014b). Comparative study of two chitin-active and two cellulose-active AA10-
686 type lytic polysaccharide monooxygenases. *Biochemistry* *53*, 1647–1656.

687 Forsberg, Z., Nelson, C.E., Dalhus, B., Mekasha, S., Loose, J.S.M., Crouch, L.I., Røhr, A.K.,
688 Gardner, J.G., Eijsink, V.G.H., and Vaaje-Kolstad, G. (2016). Structural and Functional
689 Analysis of a Lytic Polysaccharide Monooxygenase Important for Efficient Utilization of
690 Chitin in *Cellvibrio japonicus*. *J. Biol. Chem.* *291*, 7300–7312.

691 Frandsen, K.E.H., Simmons, T.J., Dupree, P., Poulsen, J.-C.N., Hemsworth, G.R., Ciano, L.,
692 Johnston, E.M., Tovborg, M., Johansen, K.S., von Freiesleben, P., et al. (2016). The molecular
693 basis of polysaccharide cleavage by lytic polysaccharide monooxygenases. *Nat. Chem. Biol.*
694 *12*, 298–303.

695 Frommhagen, M., Sforza, S., Westphal, A.H., Visser, J., Hinz, S.W.A., Koetsier, M.J., van
696 Berkel, W.J.H., Gruppen, H., and Kabel, M.A. (2015). Discovery of the combined oxidative
697 cleavage of plant xylan and cellulose by a new fungal polysaccharide monooxygenase.
698 *Biotechnol. Biofuels* *8*, 101.

699 Gardner, J.G., Crouch, L., Labourel, A., Forsberg, Z., Bukhman, Y. V., Vaaje-Kolstad, G.,
700 Gilbert, H.J., and Keating, D.H. (2014). Systems biology defines the biological significance of
701 redox-active proteins during cellulose degradation in an aerobic bacterium. *Mol. Microbiol.*
702 *94*, 1121–1133.

703 Good, N.E., Winget, G.D., Winter, W., Connolly, T.N., Izawa, S., and Singh, R.M. (1966).

704 Hydrogen ion buffers for biological research. *Biochemistry* 5, 467–477.

705 Gregory, R.C., Hemsworth, G.R., Turkenburg, J.P., Hart, S.J., Walton, P.H., and Davies, G.J.
706 (2016). Activity, stability and 3-D structure of the Cu(II) form of a chitin-active lytic
707 polysaccharide monooxygenase from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*. *Dalton Trans.* 45, 16904–
708 16912.

709 Guzzi, R., Stirpe, A., Verbeet, M., and Sportelli, L. (2001). Structural heterogeneity of blue
710 copper proteins: an EPR study of amicyanin and of wild-type and Cys3Ala/Cys26Ala mutant
711 azurin. *Eur. Biophys. J.* 30, 171–178.

712 Hansson, H., Karkehabadi, S., Mikkelsen, N., Douglas, N.R., Kim, S., Lam, A., Kaper, T.,
713 Kelemen, B., Meier, K.K., Jones, S.M., et al. (2017). High-resolution structure of a lytic
714 polysaccharide monooxygenase from *Hypocrea jecorina* reveals a predicted linker as an
715 integral part of the catalytic domain. *J. Biol. Chem.*

716 Harris, P. V., Welner, D., McFarland, K.C., Re, E., Navarro Poulsen, J.-C., Brown, K., Salbo, R.,
717 Ding, H., Vlasenko, E., Merino, S., et al. (2010). Stimulation of lignocellulosic biomass
718 hydrolysis by proteins of glycoside hydrolase family 61: structure and function of a large,
719 enigmatic family. *Biochemistry* 49, 3305–3316.

720 Harris, P. V., Xu, F., Kreel, N.E., Kang, C., and Fukuyama, S. (2014). New enzyme insights drive
721 advances in commercial ethanol production. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 19, 162–170.

722 Hemsworth, G.R., Davies, G.J., and Walton, P.H. (2013a). Recent insights into copper-
723 containing lytic polysaccharide mono-oxygenases. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 23, 660–668.

724 Hemsworth, G.R., Taylor, E.J., Kim, R.Q., Gregory, R.C., Lewis, S.J., Turkenburg, J.P., Parkin,
725 A., Davies, G.J., and Walton, P.H. (2013b). The copper active site of CBM33 polysaccharide
726 oxygenases. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 135, 6069–6077.

727 Hemsworth, G.R., Henrissat, B., Davies, G.J., and Walton, P.H. (2014). Discovery and
728 characterization of a new family of lytic polysaccharide monooxygenases. *Nat. Chem. Biol.*
729 10, 122–126.

730 Hemsworth, G.R., Johnston, E.M., Davies, G.J., and Walton, P.H. (2015). Lytic Polysaccharide
731 Monooxygenases in Biomass Conversion. *Trends Biotechnol.* 33, 747–761.

732 Himmel, M.E., Ding, S.-Y., Johnson, D.K., Adney, W.S., Nimlos, M.R., Brady, J.W., and Foust,
733 T.D. (2007). Biomass recalcitrance: engineering plants and enzymes for biofuels production.
734 *Sci. (New York, NY)* 315, 804–807.

735 Horn, S.J., Vaaje-Kolstad, G., Westereng, B., and Eijsink, V.G. (2012). Novel enzymes for the
736 degradation of cellulose. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 5, 45.

737 Karkehabadi, S., Hansson, H., Kim, S., Piens, K., Mitchinson, C., and Sandgren, M. (2008). The
738 first structure of a glycoside hydrolase family 61 member, Cel61B from *Hypocrea jecorina*, at
739 1.6 Å resolution. *383*, 144–154.

740 Karlsson, J., Saloheimo, M., Siika-Aho, M., Tenkanen, M., Penttilä, M., and Tjerneld, F.
741 (2001). Homologous expression and characterization of Cel61A (EG IV) of *Trichoderma*
742 *reesei*. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 268, 6498–6507.

743 Kittl, R., Kracher, D., Burgstaller, D., Haltrich, D., and Ludwig, R. (2012). Production of four
744 *Neurospora crassa* lytic polysaccharide monooxygenases in *Pichia pastoris* monitored by a

745 fluorimetric assay. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 5, 79.

746 Klock, H.E., and Lesley, S.A. (2009). The Polymerase Incomplete Primer Extension (PIPE)
747 method applied to high-throughput cloning and site-directed mutagenesis. *Methods Mol.*
748 *Biol.* 498, 91–103.

749 Kuusk, S., Bissaro, B., Kuusk, P., Forsberg, Z., Eijsink, V.G.H., Sørli, M., and Väljamäe, P.
750 (2018). Kinetics of H₂O₂-driven degradation of chitin by a bacterial lytic polysaccharide
751 monooxygenase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 293, 523–531.

752 Langston, J.A., Shaghasi, T., Abbate, E., Xu, F., Vlasenko, E., and Sweeney, M.D. (2011).
753 Oxidoreductive cellulose depolymerization by the enzymes cellobiose dehydrogenase and
754 glycoside hydrolase 61. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 7007–7015.

755 Lo Leggio, L., Simmons, T.J., Poulsen, J.-C.N., Frandsen, K.E.H., Hemsworth, G.R., Stringer,
756 M.A., von Freiesleben, P., Tovborg, M., Johansen, K.S., De Maria, L., et al. (2015). Structure
757 and boosting activity of a starch-degrading lytic polysaccharide monooxygenase. *Nat.*
758 *Commun.* 6, 5961.

759 Levasseur, A., Drula, E., Lombard, V., Coutinho, P.M., and Henrissat, B. (2013). Expansion of
760 the enzymatic repertoire of the CAZy database to integrate auxiliary redox enzymes.
761 *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 6, 41.

762 Li, X., Beeson, W.T., Phillips, C.M., Marletta, M.A., and Cate, J.H.D. (2012). Structural basis
763 for substrate targeting and catalysis by fungal polysaccharide monooxygenases. *Structure*
764 20, 1051–1061.

765 Lombard, V., Golaconda Ramulu, H., Drula, E., Coutinho, P.M., and Henrissat, B. (2014). The
766 carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy) in 2013. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, D490-5.

767 Mandels, M., and Reese, E.T. (1957). Induction of cellulase in *Trichoderma viride* as
768 influenced by carbon sources and metals. *J. Bacteriol.* 73, 269–278.

769 Mandels, M., and Reese, E.T. (1960). Induction of cellulase in fungi by cellobiose. *J. Bacteriol.*
770 79, 816–826.

771 Mandels, M., Parrish, F.W., and Reese, E.T. (1962). Sophorose as an inducer of cellulase in
772 *Trichoderma viride*. *J. Bacteriol.* 83, 400–408.

773 Mandels, M., Weber, J., and Parizek, R. (1971). Enhanced cellulase production by a mutant
774 of *Trichoderma viride*. *Appl. Microbiol.* 21, 152–154.

775 Mandels, M., Hontz, L., Nystrom, J., and Lee R Lynd, I.B. (1974). Enzymatic hydrolysis of
776 waste cellulose. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 16, 1471–1493.

777 Merino, S.T., and Cherry, J. (2007). Progress and challenges in enzyme development for
778 biomass utilization. *Adv. Biochem. Eng. Biotechnol.* 108, 95–120.

779 Morgenstern, I., Powlowski, J., and Tsang, A. (2014). Fungal cellulose degradation by
780 oxidative enzymes: from dysfunctional GH61 family to powerful lytic polysaccharide
781 monooxygenase family. *Brief. Funct. Genomics* 13, 471–481.

782 Naik, S.N., Goud, V. V, Rout, P.K., and Dalai, A.K. (2010). Production of first and second
783 generation biofuels: a comprehensive review. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 14, 578–597.

784 Nakagawa, Y.S., Kudo, M., Loose, J.S.M., Ishikawa, T., Totani, K., Eijsink, V.G.H., and Vaaje-
785 Kolstad, G. (2015). A small lytic polysaccharide monooxygenase from *Streptomyces griseus*

786 targeting α - and β -chitin. *FEBS J.* **282**, 1065–1079.

787 Neese, F. (2002). Prediction and interpretation of the ^{57}Fe isomer shift in Mössbauer spectra
788 by density functional theory. *Inorganica Chim. Acta* **337**, 181–192.

789 Neese, F. (2009). Prediction of molecular properties and molecular spectroscopy with
790 density functional theory: From fundamental theory to exchange-coupling. *Coord. Chem.*
791 *Rev.* **253**, 526–563.

792 Neese, F. (2017). Quantum Chemistry and EPR Parameters. In *EMagRes*, (Chichester, UK:
793 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd), pp. 1–22.

794 Neu, H.C., and Heppel, L.A. (1965). The release of enzymes from *Escherichia coli* by osmotic
795 shock and during the formation of spheroplasts. *J. Biol. Chem.* **240**, 3685–3692.

796 Payne, C.M., Knott, B.C., Mayes, H.B., Hansson, H., Himmel, M.E., Sandgren, M., Ståhlberg,
797 J., and Beckham, G.T. (2015). Fungal cellulases. *Chem. Rev.* **115**, 1308–1448.

798 Peisach, J., and Blumberg, W.E. (1974). Structural implications derived from the analysis of
799 electron paramagnetic resonance spectra of natural and artificial copper proteins. *Arch.*
800 *Biochem. Biophys.* **165**, 691–708.

801 Phillips, C.M., Beeson, W.T., Cate, J.H., and Marletta, M.A. (2011). Cellobiose dehydrogenase
802 and a copper-dependent polysaccharide monooxygenase potentiate cellulose degradation
803 by *Neurospora crassa*. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **6**, 1399–1406.

804 Quinlan, R.J., Sweeney, M.D., Lo Leggio, L., Otten, H., Poulsen, J.-C.N., Johansen, K.S., Krogh,
805 K.B.R.M., Jørgensen, C.I., Tovborg, M., Anthonsen, A., et al. (2011). Insights into the
806 oxidative degradation of cellulose by a copper metalloenzyme that exploits biomass
807 components. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **108**, 15079–15084.

808 Reese, E.T., Siu, R.G.H., and Levinson, H.S. (1950). The biological degradation of soluble
809 cellulose derivatives and its relationship to the mechanism of cellulose hydrolysis. *J.*
810 *Bacteriol.* **59**, 485–497.

811 Sabbadin, F., Hemsworth, G.R., Ciano, L., Henrissat, B., Dupree, P., Tryfona, T., Marques,
812 R.D.S., Sweeney, S.T., Besser, K., Elias, L., et al. (2018). An ancient family of lytic
813 polysaccharide monooxygenases with roles in arthropod development and biomass
814 digestion. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 756.

815 Saini, J.K., Saini, R., and Tewari, L. (2015). Lignocellulosic agriculture wastes as biomass
816 feedstocks for second-generation bioethanol production: concepts and recent
817 developments. *3 Biotech* **5**, 337–353.

818 Saloheimo, M., Nakari-Setälä, T., Tenkanen, M., and Penttilä, M. (1997). cDNA cloning of a
819 *Trichoderma reesei* cellulase and demonstration of endoglucanase activity by expression in
820 yeast. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **249**, 584–591.

821 Simmons, T.J., Frandsen, K.E.H., Ciano, L., Tryfona, T., Lenfant, N., Poulsen, J.C., Wilson,
822 L.F.L., Tandrup, T., Tovborg, M., Schnorr, K., et al. (2017). Structural and electronic
823 determinants of lytic polysaccharide monooxygenase reactivity on polysaccharide
824 substrates. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1064.

825 Solomon, E.I., Heppner, D.E., Johnston, E.M., Ginsbach, J.W., Cirera, J., Qayyum, M., Kieber-
826 Emmons, M.T., Kjaergaard, C.H., Hadt, R.G., and Tian, L. (2014). Copper active sites in

827 biology. *Chem. Rev.* *114*, 3659–3853.

828 Span, E.A., Suess, D.L.M., Deller, M.C., Britt, R.D., and Marletta, M.A. (2017). The Role of the
829 Secondary Coordination Sphere in a Fungal Polysaccharide Monooxygenase. *ACS Chem. Biol.*
830 *12*, 1095–1103.

831 Stoll, S., and Schweiger, A. (2006). EasySpin, a comprehensive software package for spectral
832 simulation and analysis in EPR. *J. Magn. Reson.* *178*, 42–55.

833 Tanghe, M., Danneels, B., Camattari, A., Glieder, A., Vandenberghe, I., Devreese, B., Stals, I.,
834 and Desmet, T. (2015). Recombinant Expression of *Trichoderma reesei* Cel61A in *Pichia*
835 *pastoris*: Optimizing Yield and N-terminal Processing. *Mol. Biotechnol.*

836 The Hendrich Metalloprotein Group Spincount.
837 <http://www.chem.cmu.edu/groups/hendrich/facilities/index.html>

838 Vaaje-Kolstad, G., Horn, S.J., van Aalten, D.M.F., Synstad, B., and Eijsink, V.G.H. (2005a). The
839 non-catalytic chitin-binding protein CBP21 from *Serratia marcescens* is essential for chitin
840 degradation. *J. Biol. Chem.* *280*, 28492–28497.

841 Vaaje-Kolstad, G., Houston, D.R., Riemen, A.H.K., Eijsink, V.G.H., and van Aalten, D.M.F.
842 (2005b). Crystal structure and binding properties of the *Serratia marcescens* chitin-binding
843 protein CBP21. *J. Biol. Chem.* *280*, 11313–11319.

844 Vaaje-Kolstad, G., Westereng, B., Horn, S.J., Liu, Z., Zhai, H., Sørli, M., and Eijsink, V.G.H.
845 (2010). An oxidative enzyme boosting the enzymatic conversion of recalcitrant
846 polysaccharides. *Sci. (New York, NY)* *330*, 219–222.

847 Vaaje-Kolstad, G., Forsberg, Z., Loose, J.S., Bissaro, B., and Eijsink, V.G. (2017). Structural
848 diversity of lytic polysaccharide monooxygenases. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* *44*, 67–76.

849 Vu, V. V., and Ngo, S.T. (2018). Copper active site in polysaccharide monooxygenases. *Coord.*
850 *Chem. Rev.* *368*, 134–157.

851 Vu, V. V., Beeson, W.T., Span, E.A., Farquhar, E.R., and Marletta, M.A. (2014a). A family of
852 starch-active polysaccharide monooxygenases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* *111*, 13822–
853 13827.

854 Vu, V. V., Beeson, W.T., Phillips, C.M., Cate, J.H.D., and Marletta, M.A. (2014b). Determinants
855 of regioselective hydroxylation in the fungal polysaccharide monooxygenases. *J. Am. Chem.*
856 *Soc.* *136*, 562–565.

857 Wu, M., Beckham, G.T., Larsson, A.M., Ishida, T., Kim, S., Payne, C.M., Himmel, M.E.,
858 Crowley, M.F., Horn, S.J., Westereng, B., et al. (2013). Crystal structure and computational
859 characterization of the lytic polysaccharide monooxygenase GH61D from the Basidiomycota
860 fungus *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*. *J. Biol. Chem.* *288*, 12828–12839.

861