



Deposited via The University of Leeds.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/138052/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Hemingray, C, Dean, L and Westland, S (2019) Towards the design of a blending system for precoloured fibres. *Coloration Technology*, 135 (5). pp. 407-414. ISSN: 1472-3581

<https://doi.org/10.1111/cote.12428>

© 2019 The Authors. *Coloration Technology* © 2019 Society of Dyers and Colourists. This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Hemingray, C, Dean, L, Westland, S. Towards the design of a blending system for precoloured fibres. *Coloration Technol.* 2019; 135: 407– 414, which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1111/cote.12428>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

Towards the design of a blending system for pre-coloured fibres

Caroline Hemingray and Stephen Westland

School of Design, University of Leeds, UK

Correspondence: s.westland@leeds.ac.uk

Abstract

A series of psychophysical experiments have been carried out to explore the relationship between the difference in colour between fibre-blend components and whether the blended samples is perceived as being visually solid. Experiments were carried out with loose stock fibre, yarn and knitted samples. Generally, the likelihood that a blend appears as visually solid increases the average colour difference between the blend components, or primaries, is decreased. The value of mean colour difference below which 50% or more of participants viewed the blend as being visually solid was found to be 20.8, 20.5 and 18.0 for fibre, yarn and knitted samples respectively. In other words it is more difficult to obtain a solid shade with the knitted form than with the loose-stock fibre form.

Introduction

Blending of pre-coloured fibres – in which fibres of different colour are intimately mixed together – offers a way to achieve a wide colour gamut from a relatively small number of ‘primaries’. The advantages of this approach can be both environmental and economic. Some coloration methods, for example, are less expensive than traditional dyeing and may be more environmentally sustainable but the method may only be economic if relatively large quantities of fibre are coloured at one time; an example of this is spun-dyed fibre. Fibre blending potentially allows a relatively small number of colour primaries to be produced in large quantities that can later be blended together to produce a wide range of colours. A further potential advantage of this approach is that the decision on the colour of the blend is made later in the textile process which could enable more rapid responses to changing consumer demand. Fibre blending can also be used as part of a recycling effort whereby waste textile materials are mechanically reduced to fibres before being carded and re-used [1].

The blending can take place at various stages of the fibre-production cycle including, for example, before opening, during drawing, at the roving frame, or during spinning itself [2]. However, to develop a commercially viable system it is necessary to have models that can predict the colour of blends, given the colour of the primaries used in the blend and their proportional amounts. Fortunately, a number of methods have been shown to be able to predict the colour of fibre blends including the Stearns-Noechel model [3-4], the Friele model [4-5], the

Kubelka-Munk model [6-7] and artificial neural networks [8-10] with varying degrees of success.

However, in addition to the problem of colour prediction is the question of how many primaries are required to essentially cover the normal gamut of coloured textiles and how should these be distributed in colour space? The fewer the number of primaries the more economical the system can be; on the other hand, if the primaries are too few then the mixtures are likely to appear mottled (*mélange*) rather than solid. There may be, of course, market opportunities for *mélange* fibres. However, in this work the goal is to produce fibres that look visually solid, as if they are made from a single colour, and therefore the question is, how close in colour space do the primaries need to be so that their mixtures are visually solid? It is likely that at some viewing distance (or level of magnification) the individual colours of the pre-coloured fibres in the blend will always be visible. Therefore, the question can finally be recast as: how many primaries are required so that their mixtures cover the gamut of coloured textiles and appear to be visually solid at some specified viewing distance? This is the key question that the work in this paper was designed to address. The viewing distance that was studied was 50 cm since this is the distance that people tend to be comfortable at when they stand together [11]. However, it will be shown that the methods that are developed in this work could be easily applied to any viewing distance and any sub-volume of the full colour gamut. The work will also consider whether the physical form of the samples (that is, fibre, yarn or knitted samples) affects the visual assessments.

Determination of ΔE threshold between primaries

Theoretical Considerations

For conventional dyeing processes three-dye recipes are typical although for various reasons sometimes more than, or even less than, three dyes are used in a commercial recipe. The use of three dyes creates a colour gamut that is three dimensional. If a single-dye recipe is used the gamut is essentially a line in colour space (albeit usually a curved one) as the dye concentration is varied. If a two-dye recipe is used the colour gamut is a plane in colour space; three-dye recipes extend this to a 3-D colour gamut. However, for fibre blending four primaries are required to create a three dimensional gamut. If a single primary is considered then the colour gamut is a single point in colour space; if two or three primaries are considered then the colour gamut is a line or a plane respectively; if four primaries are used then the colour gamut is a volume. This is illustrated in Figure 1 (left pane).

Previous work by one of the authors has suggested that the mean colour difference between the components of a blend can be used as an indicator of whether the blend would likely be perceived as being visually solid [13]. For a blend containing four components there are six colour differences between the primaries (see Figure 1) and the mean of these can be used as an indication of how sparsely distributed the components are in colour space. In this study, we

will construct blends where the mean ΔE between the blend components varies and assess the extent to which each blend appears visually solid.

Figure 1 about here

Preparation of Samples

Pre-coloured spun-dyed viscose staple fibre (1.7 dtex with fibre length of 50 mm) was provided by Lenzing AG [11] in 16 different primary colours. Further details about these samples are provided in a previous publication that used the same samples [10]. A total of 10 blends were prepared, each made from four of the primary colours. In making the blends, careful preparation and handling was essential for accurate colour measurement. The fibre was first conditioned (room temperature of 20°C and relative humidity of 65 ± 5 %) for 48 hours and was then weighed to 2 decimal places. The fibre was then opened by hand, taking care to distribute the different colours of the blend evenly, in a sample area of 210 mm x 148 mm before being passed three times through a Tathams small-sample carding machine. After the first and second pass through, the parallel batt was rotated 90°. The carding machine was cleaned of loose fibre between every sample in order to minimise fibre cross-contamination between samples.

After carding, the spectral reflectance of each blended sample was measured using a Spectraflash® 600 PLUS spectrophotometer (100% UV, specular component excluded, large aperture view, optical geometry of d/8°). Reflectance factors at 35 wavelengths (10-nm intervals between 360 nm and 700 nm) were

then exported into MATLAB for data analysis, comparison and conversion to CIELAB (D65 illuminant, 1964 CIE standard observer) coordinates. Table 1 lists the CIELAB colour coordinates of the 16 primaries that were used in the study and Table 2 lists the recipe components of each of the 10 blends.

Table 1 about here

Table 2 about here

The 10 blends were prepared as five pairs. Each pair (B1-B5) of blends were constructed from four primary colours where the mean colour difference (CIELAB ΔE) between the components was 25 (blends B1.A and B1.B), 22 (blends B2.A and B2.B), 19 (blends B3.A and B3.B), 18 (blends B4.A and B4.B) and 15 (blends B5.A and B5.B). These levels of colour difference were selected because a previous study had suggested that the threshold for a blend being visually solid was a mean colour difference between the components of about 20 CIELAB ΔE units (Hemingray, 2014).

Figure 2 shows two examples of the blends that were produced as carded samples. Each of the ten blends was then spun into single-ply yarn at 28 tex (508 turns per metre twist) by Lenzing AG (Austria). The yarns were retuned on cones as single strands (Figure 3).

Figure 2 about here

Figure 3 about here

Each yarn was wound around a piece of 7.5 × 7.5 cm neutral grey card with sufficient thickness so that the grey card was not visible. There was insufficient yarn to create larger samples. However, many previous studies have used these dimensions [14] and one particular source has recommended 5 × 5 cm as the minimum size for visual observations about colour [15].

A plain knit fabric, also known as single jersey, was also produced each of the 10 blends on a 12 gauge Dubied V-bed flat knitting machine. Plain knit was chosen because it is a uniform fabric structure which is simple to produce and uses a minimum amount of yarn. Also, plain knit is the most popular in the fashion industry and is said to account for approximately 90% of all knitted fabrics produced [16]. Each knitted fabric was mounted, using double sided adhesive tape, onto neutral grey card of 7.5 x 7.5 cm with the technical face of the fabric facing outward. Another piece of 7.5 x 7.5 cm grey card was adhered to the back of each sample and marked with the respective colour blend number. Four of the 10 mounted knitted fabrics can be seen in Figure 4.

Figure 4 about here

Psychophysical Experiments

A VeriVide light viewing booth with a source of simulated D65 light was used to illuminate the textile samples. The samples were placed one at a time on the floor of the viewing booth and viewed from an angle of approximately 45° and a distance of approximately 45 cm. A viewing distance of 45 cm was chosen because approximately this is the distance from which an item of clothing is likely to be viewed in a retail space [13]. A total of 22 participants were recruited for the experiment through social media and flyers that were distributed around the university campus. Before visually assessing the textile samples, all 22 participants were screened for colour deficiencies using the Ishihara colour vision test. One participant was identified as having a colour vision deficiency and was therefore not eligible to take part in the remainder of the experiment. Therefore, 21 participants (9 males, 12 female) with normal colour vision took part in the assessment of textile samples. Prior to taking part in the assessments, participants were shown examples of single colour fibre, yarns and knitted samples and also m \grave{e} lange reference samples. Participants were then shown each of the 30 samples (10 blends \times 3 material forms), one at a time presented in random order, and asked to state whether they thought the sample was composed of a single colour or contained more than one colour. The experiment was therefore a 2-alternative forced-choice paradigm. On average, participants completed the visual observations in about 20 minutes.

Results

Table 3 shows the results of the visual assessments of the loose stock carded fibre samples. The results show that the likelihood that participants will view the

samples as being visually solid increases as the mean colour difference between the constituent primaries decreases. The rightmost column of Table 3 shows, for comparison, the results from a previous study by one of the authors [13]. This previous study used the same samples but employed slightly different wording during the psychophysical experiment (in that study participants were asked whether the samples were visually solid, almost solid or not solid, whereas in this study they were asked whether the samples are composed of a single colour or not). However, there is strong agreement between the experimental results from the two studies. Whereas the previous study only considered fibre and knit samples, this study has extended the work to include fibres, yarns and knitted samples. Table 4 shows the results obtained in this study with fibre, yarn and knitted samples.

Table 3 about here

Table 4 about here

In Figure 5 the data from Table 4 are plotted and linear regression has been applied. The coefficient of determination (r^2) was found to be 0.69, 0.55 and 0.42 for the carded, yarn and knitted samples respectively. However, there is clear evidence of an inverse relationship between the mean colour difference between the blend components and the likelihood that participants will view the samples as being visual solid in all three cases. The linear model can be used to estimate the threshold ΔE ; that is, the value of ΔE above which a certain proportion of the population agree that the sample is visually solid. In this study two threshold

have been used, ΔE_{50} and ΔE_{75} , corresponding to 50% and 75% of the participants respectively. The value of ΔE_{50} was found to be 20.8, 20.5 and 18.0 for fibre, yarn and knitted samples respectively. The value of the more stringent threshold of ΔE_{75} was found to be 17.5, 16.5 and 12.75 for fibre, yarn and knitted samples respectively.

Figure 5 about here

Implications for Commercial Fibre Blending Systems

In principle, a large colour gamut for blended fibre can be achieved using just four primary samples that would be mixed together in various proportions. However, it is evident that in order to achieve this gamut the primaries would need to have large colour differences between them. Of course, four primaries could be used to enable a small colour gamut, but for a large colour gamut many more than four primaries are likely required. A commercial system with a large colour gamut would consist of N primaries in colour space connected by Delaunay triangulation (as illustrated in Figure 6). In this system, any point in colour space within the gamut would be covered by a tetrahedron, the vertices of which would be four colour primaries that could be used to form a blend corresponding to the target colour.

Figure 6 about here

The threshold mean colour difference between the primaries (determined as $\Delta E = 18$ for knitted samples in this study) for visually solid blends dictates the size

of the tetrahedra in Figure 6 and hence the number of samples N required to cover the majority of colour space. Related work (Hemingray, 2014) has explored the relationship between the mean value of ΔE between the vertices of the tetrahedra and the number of samples N required (see Figure 7). Given the threshold ΔE of 18, this leads to an estimate of $N = 150$. In other words, the commercial implications of this work are that a system that attempted to create visually solid blends from a limited number of primaries would need about 150 primaries. Whether this is practical or not would depend upon specific operational and other commercial considerations. However, note that this system could be used to develop fixed-primary coloration systems that cover a limited colour gamut (for example, blacks or navy colours) and the value of N for such systems would obviously be a great deal smaller than 150.

Figure 7 about here

Conclusions

This research tested the hypothesis that the smaller the colour difference between the components of a fibre blend, the greater the likelihood that observers would view the blend as being visually solid. Moreover, the aim was to determine the threshold value of ΔE ; that is, to answer the question of how close together in colour space a set of blend components need to be in order for the blend to appear visually solid. Of course, the answer to this question is to an extent dependent upon the observer viewing distance. In this study a viewing distance of about half a metre was used because this might be considered to be the typical distance at which consumers may view garments that they are

considering to purchase or at which people may typically stand when engaged in conversation with each other. The threshold ΔE would not doubt vary with viewing distance but at the distance used in this study the threshold value (at which 50% or more of observers stated that the blend was visually solid) was 20.8, 20.5 and 18.0 CIELAB units for fibre, yarn and knitted samples respectively. The commercial applications of this work were considered and it was concluded that based on the threshold ΔE of 18 (which was found for knitted samples) a coloration system that produces solid colours could be obtained using 150 fixed primaries. Sub-systems could obviously be generated that would cover parts of colour space and would require fewer primaries.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Lenzing AG and The Worshipful Company of Clothworkers for their generous financial support for this project.

References

1. S Black, *Eco-Chic: the fashion paradox*, Black Dog Publishing Limited (2011), London.
2. GV Lund, *Textile Research Journal*, **24** (1954), 759-764.
3. EI Stearns and F Noechel, *Am. Dyest. Rep.*, **33** (1944) 177.
4. B Philips-Invernizzi, D Dupont and C Cazé, *CRA*, **27** (2002) 191.
5. LFC Friele, *J Text Inst*, **43** (1952) 604.
6. DA Burlone, *CRA*, **9** (1984) 213.
7. DA Burlone, *CRA*, **8** (1983) 114L Thevenet, D Dupont and M Jolly-Desodt, *CRA*, **28** (2003) 50.
8. SH Amirshahi and MT Pailthorpe, *Textile Research Journal*, **64** (1994), 357-364.
9. R Furferi and L Governi, *CRA*, **36** (2011) 179.
10. C Hemingray and S Westland, *Coloration Technology*, 132 (2016), 297-303.
11. CF Sambo and GD Iannetti, *Journal of Neuroscience*, **33** (2013), 14225-14230.
12. Lenzing viscose (2017) (online: <http://www.lenzing-fibers.com/en/lenzing-viscose/>; last accessed 27 June 2017).
13. C Hemingray, *PhD Thesis*, University of Leeds (2014).
14. JH Xin, H-L Shen and CC Lam, *Color research and application*, **30** (2005), 341-347.
15. RS Berns, *Billmeyer and Saltzman's Principles of Color Technology*, 3rd edition (2000), John Wiley & Sons, New York.

16.V Kumar and VR Sampath, *Fibres and Textiles in Eastern Europe*, **21**
(2013), 73-75.

Table 1: CIELAB colour coordinates of primary samples used in the blends.

Primary ID	L*	a*	b*
P2.1	36.91	0.57	-4.31
P2.2	39.22	6.59	25.61
P2.3	61.31	3.98	31.38
P2.4	68.13	-31.15	-17.54
P2.5	74.36	-9.05	-24.13
P2.6	20.23	3.28	-18.18
P2.7	71.76	-23.54	-26.05
P2.8	74.52	-11.25	-19.71
P2.9	39.07	1.91	-36.39
P2.10	40.86	-3.73	-22.30
P2.11	32.00	-1.00	-15.00
P2.12	36.21	28.28	-31.63
P2.13	19.42	3.06	-14.58
P2.14	50.63	7.11	45.89
P2.15	X	X	X
P2.16	50.82	11.15	44.65

Table 2: Specification of the components of each of the 10 blends.

Blend ID	Recipe for the Blend			
B1.A	20% P2.1	30% P2.10	20% P2.11	30% P2.12
B1.B	50% P2.1	25% P2.10	15% P2.11	10% P2.12
B2.A	15% P2.6	15% P2.9	15% P2.10	55% P2.15
B2.B	30% P2.6	20% P2.9	30% P2.10	20% P2.15
B3.A	20% P2.9	30% P2.10	20% P2.11	30% P2.13
B3.B	30% P2.9	20% P2.10	30% P2.11	20% P2.13
B4.A	50% P2.2	25% P2.3	15% P2.14	10% P2.16
B4.B	30% P2.2	20% P2.3	30% P2.14	20% P2.16
B5.A	25% P2.4	25% P2.5	25% P2.7	25% P2.8
B5.B	30% P2.4	20% P2.5	30% P2.7	20% P2.8

Table 3: Per cent of observers that rated the samples as being single colour (visually solid). For comparison, the rightmost column shows the results from a previous study whereby the same samples were assessed.

Blend ID	Mean DE between primaries	Participant Score % Solid	Participant Score % Solid [13]
B1.A	15	90	100
B1.B	15	81	100
B2.A	18	95	80
B2.B	18	90	85
B3.A	19	43	55
B3.B	19	48	45
B4.A	22	52	45
B4.B	22	71	40
B5.A	25	5	5
B5.B	25	0	5

Table 4: Per cent of observers that rated the samples as being single colour (visually solid) for the fibre, yarn and knitted samples.

Blend ID	Mean DE between primaries	Participant Score (Fibres)	Participant Score (Yarns)	Participant Score (Knit)
B1.A	15	90	76	76
B1.B	15	81	57	62
B2.A	18	95	81	33
B2.B	18	90	81	43
B3.A	19	43	57	43
B3.B	19	48	62	38
B4.A	22	52	57	38
B4.B	22	71	76	81
B5.A	25	5	0	14
B5.B	25	0	0	0

Figure 1: Arrangement of four primary constituents of a blend that can generate a 3-D colour gamut (left); demonstration of the six colour differences (straight lines) that can be calculated between the four components (right).




Figure 2: Images of the two blends prepared with a mean colour difference between the components of 25 CIELAB units showing B1.A (left) and B1.B (right).



Figure 3: The 10 blend colours as spun yarn.



Figure 4: Knitted samples for four of the blends.





Figure 5: Determination of the threshold ΔE for solid blends.

Figure 6: An example of 50 primaries in CIELAB colour space connected using Delaunay triangulation.

Insert figure 4.10 from page 113 of your thesis

Figure 7: Relationship between the number N of primaries in a system and the mean colour difference between the vertices of the tetrahedron that can be formed (reproduced from Hemingray, 2014).

Insert figure 4.11 from page 115 of your thesis