

This is a repository copy of *Calving controlled by melt-under-cutting: detailed calving styles revealed through time-lapse observations*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/137791/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

How, Penelope orcid.org/0000-0002-8088-8497, Schild, Kristin, Benn, Douglas et al. (6 more authors) (Accepted: 2018) Calving controlled by melt-under-cutting: detailed calving styles revealed through time-lapse observations. *Annals of glaciology*. ISSN: 1727-5644 (In Press)

<https://doi.org/10.1017/aog.2018.28>

---

**Reuse**

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

# Calving controlled by melt-undercutting: detailed calving styles revealed through time-lapse observations

Penelope How,<sup>1,2\*</sup> Kristin M. Schild,<sup>3,4</sup> Douglas I. Benn,<sup>5</sup> Riko Noormets,<sup>2</sup> Nina Kirchner,<sup>6</sup> Adrian Luckman,<sup>7,8</sup> Dorothée Vallot,<sup>9</sup> Nicholas R. J. Hulton<sup>1,2</sup> and Chris Borstad<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institute of Geography, School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Arctic Geology, University Centre in Svalbard, Longyearbyen, Norway*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oregon, Eugene, USA*

<sup>4</sup>*Climate Change Institute, University of Maine, Orono, USA*

<sup>5</sup>*Department of Geography and Sustainable Development, University of St. Andrews, Fife, UK*

<sup>6</sup>*Department of Physical Geography, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden*

<sup>7</sup>*Department of Geography, College of Science, Swansea University, Swansea, UK*

<sup>8</sup>*Department of Arctic Geophysics, University Centre in Svalbard, Longyearbyen, Norway*

<sup>9</sup>*Department of Earth Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden*

*Correspondence: Penelope How <p.how@york.ac.uk>*

**ABSTRACT.** Here, we present a highly detailed study of calving dynamics at Tunabreen, a tidewater glacier in Svalbard. A time-lapse camera was trained on the terminus and programmed to capture images every three seconds over a 28-hour period in August 2015, producing a highly detailed record of 34,117 images from which 358 individual calving events were distinguished. Calving activity is characterised by frequent events (12.8 events per hour) that are small relative to the spectrum of calving events observed, demonstrating the prevalence of small-scale calving mechanisms. Five calving styles were observed, with a high proportion of calving events (82%) originating at, or above, the waterline. The tidal cycle plays a key role in the timing of calving

events, with 68% occurring on the falling limb of the tide. Calving activity is concentrated where meltwater plumes surface at the glacier front, and a  $\sim 5$  m undercut at the base of the glacier suggests that meltwater plumes encourage melt-undercutting. We conclude that frontal ablation at Tunabreen may be paced by submarine melt rates, as suggested from similar observations at glaciers in Svalbard and Alaska. Using submarine melt rate to calculate frontal ablation would greatly simplify estimations of tidewater glacier losses in prognostic models.

## INTRODUCTION

The loss of ice from the termini of marine-terminating glaciers (i.e. frontal ablation) occurs by both submarine melting and iceberg calving. Calving from tidewater glaciers can occur by a number of mechanisms, including longitudinal stretching, buoyant instability, and undercutting of the front by submarine melt (Van Der Veen, 2002; Benn and others, 2007). Submarine melting can influence calving by undercutting and destabilising the subaerial part of the ice front. Studies on several glaciers indicate that submarine melting is an important process in settings where relatively warm ocean water interacts with glacier fronts, and efficient heat transfer is promoted by buoyant meltwater plumes (Motyka and others, 2003; Bartholomaus and others, 2013; Chauché and others, 2014; Rignot and others, 2015; Slater and others, 2015; Truffer and Motyka, 2016).

Where melt-undercutting is the dominant driver of calving, frontal ablation rates depend on the relationship between two fundamental factors: 1) the temporal and spatial evolution of subaqueous cavities by melting; and 2) the mechanical response of the ice to the evolving geometry and associated stresses (Joughin and others, 2008; Howat and others, 2010). Although important observations have been made about the morphology of undercut cavities (e.g., Rignot and others, 2015), there is a lack of concurrent data on cavity development and calving events. Our understanding of the relationship between undercutting and calving is therefore heavily reliant on modelling at present.

Melting of submerged ice is a function of water temperature and tangential velocity (Holland and others, 2008; Straneo and others, 2010; Jenkins, 2011). The motion of water up or across an ice front can occur

---

\*Present address: Department of Environment and Geography, University of York, York, UK.


53 as the result of wind-driven, tidal and other currents (e.g., Bartholomaus and others, 2013; Sutherland  
54 and others, 2014; Petlicki and others, 2015; Schild and others, 2018), or convection driven by the ascent  
55 of buoyant meltwater (e.g., Schild and others, 2016). Plumes of meltwater rising from subglacial discharge  
56 points and plume-driven secondary circulation patterns are considered to play particularly important roles  
57 in submarine melting and melt-undercutting (e.g., Cowton and others, 2015; Slater and others, 2017a,b;  
58 Schild and others, 2018; Vallot and others, 2018a).

59 Experiments with the discrete element model HiDEM (Benn and others, 2017; Vallot and others, 2018a)  
60 suggest that calving can occur in response to melt-undercutting in two distinct ways: 1) where undercuts  
61 are small, low-magnitude calving can occur via localised collapse of the overhang; and 2) where undercuts  
62 are large, high-magnitude calving events can remove all of the overhang plus additional ice. In the latter  
63 case, fractures form at the ice surface upglacier of the undercut, and propagate downwards as the ice front  
64 bends forward and downward. These contrasting responses to undercutting have important implications  
65 for long-term calving rates. If undercuts are able to grow large enough to trigger high-magnitude calving  
66 events, long-term calving rates will be greater than the submarine melt rate (i.e. the calving multiplier effect  
67 proposed by O’Leary and Christoffersen, 2013). On the other hand, if low-magnitude calving events prevent  
68 undercuts from becoming large enough to trigger high-magnitude calving, long-term calving rates will  
69 simply equal the undercutting rate. This analysis suggests that the relationship between melt-undercutting  
70 and calving can be inferred from detailed observations of calving events, especially calving style.

71 The magnitude, frequency, and style of calving events are intrinsically linked. Calving activity can range  
72 from very small ( $<10^4$  m<sup>3</sup>) and frequent ( $>100$  d<sup>-1</sup>) events, to larger ( $>10^8$  m<sup>3</sup>) and infrequent ( $<1$  d<sup>-1</sup>)  
73 occurrences (Åström and others, 2014; Chapuis and Tetzlaff, 2014). Many large, infrequent calving events  
74 have been identified using time-lapse photography (e.g., Rosenau and others, 2013; James and others,  
75 2014; Medrzycka and others, 2016). The calving styles associated with smaller, more frequent events are  
76 challenging to document because small calved bergs are difficult to distinguish in satellite images and low-  
77 temporal time-lapse photography. Under-representation of small-scale calving styles, and their control on  
78 long-term frontal ablation, is therefore an inherent problem.

79 Here, we examine calving dynamics at Tunabreen, a tidewater glacier in Svalbard, where calving activity  
80 is known to be low-magnitude and frequent (Köhler and others, 2015; Luckman and others, 2015). A time-  
81 lapse camera was installed on a ridge adjacent to the glacier terminus, capturing images every 3 seconds  
82 (Fig. 1A). This produced a highly detailed record of calving events over a period of 28 hours during 7th–8th





**Fig. 1.** The lower tongue and calving front of Tunabreen. A) Pan-sharpened Landsat image (17th August 2015), showing the location and viewshed of the time-lapse camera. B) An image from the time-lapse camera, showing the calving front and the partitioned regions of the terminus.

83 August 2015. Taken together with bathymetric surveys of the sea bed/submarine ice cliff and observations  
 84 of plume locations, this record allows us to study the processes associated with individual calving events  
 85 and the role of melt-undercutting.

## 86 STUDY AREA

87 Tunabreen is a marine-terminating, surge-type glacier in Svalbard ( $78.29^{\circ}\text{N}$ ,  $17.25^{\circ}\text{E}$ , Fig. 1). The glacier  
 88 terminus is approximately 3 km wide, and calves into Tempelfjorden, a 14 km-long branch of the Isfjorden  
 89 system. Isfjorden opens into the Atlantic Ocean approximately 90 km west of the glacier, and the circulatory

90 system of Tempelfjorden is relatively sheltered from the warm West-Spitsbergen Current (WSC) compared  
91 to the deeper, unrestricted connection to other fjords such as Kongsfjorden (Cottier and others, 2005;  
92 Luckman and others, 2015).

93 Tunabreen is one of a few glaciers in Svalbard that has been observed to undergo multiple surge cycles,  
94 with surge maxima occurring in 1930, 1971, 2004 (Flink and others, 2015), and most recently in 2016 (A.  
95 Luckman, pers. comm.). After 2004, the glacier entered a quiescent, slow-flowing phase, with velocities  
96 typically between  $0.2\text{--}1.0\text{ md}^{-1}$  and a maximum frontal ablation rate of  $\sim 3.0\text{ md}^{-1}$ . During this quiescent  
97 phase (which includes the study period), detectable motion is confined to the lower tongue within  $\sim 2\text{ km}$   
98 of the ice front which is related to longitudinal extension in response to the force imbalance at the calving  
99 front (Luckman and others, 2015).

100 The glacier terminates in a relatively shallow part of Tempelfjorden which is 30–50 m deep, and the  
101  $\sim 70\text{ m}$  thick ice front is grounded on the sea bed (Flink and others, 2015). Two turbid meltwater plumes  
102 surface in the fjord adjacent to the glacier, coinciding with two pronounced embayments in the calving  
103 front (noted in Fig. 1B).

104 Calving activity at Tunabreen has been documented from time-lapse photography (e.g., Åström and  
105 others, 2014; Vallot and others, 2018b), passive seismic monitoring (e.g., Köhler and others, 2015), and  
106 satellite data (e.g., Luckman and others, 2015). Luckman and others (2015) found a high correlation  
107 between ocean temperature and frontal ablation rates, suggesting that melt-undercutting is the dominant  
108 control on calving losses on seasonal timescales. However, controls on calving activity at shorter timescales  
109 are relatively unexplored.

## 110 METHODS

### 111 Camera set-up

112 A time-lapse camera was installed in August 2015 on Ultunafjella, the ridge to the west of the glacier  
113 tongue (Fig. 1A). The system consisted of a Canon EOS 700D camera body, an EF 50 mm f/1.8 II fixed  
114 focal lens and a Harbortronics Digisnap 2700 intervalometer, which was powered by a 12 V DC battery  
115 and a 10 W solar panel. The camera was set to take one photo every three seconds, producing a record that  
116 spans a 28-hour period from 19:25 on the 7th August to 23:53 on the 8th August (local time, GMT+2).  
117 Images were taken using shutter-priority settings because it was important to capture images across a  
118 consistent time window (rather than use aperture-priority settings to achieve consistent light level). Each

**Table 1.** Calving styles observed at Tunabreen

Style category	Details
Ice-fall event	Small-medium size; typically involves a section of ice breaking off from the subaerial part of the ice front; tend to create a large splash.
Sheet collapse	Medium-large size; ice collapse has little or no rotation, likely to be facilitated by weaknesses at/near the waterline.
Stack topple	Medium-large size; ice collapse rotates outward from ice front indicating an outward force imbalance; failure usually occurs through crevasse propagation.
Waterline event	Small size; small pieces of ice break off at the waterline, normally below or above an undercut section of the ice front; typically generate little noise or splash.
Subaqueous event	Small-large size; ice breaks off from below the waterline and rises to the fjord surface.

119 image was time-stamped by the clock on the camera. Camera clock drift is a common problem in time-  
 120 lapse photogrammetry and it is difficult to overcome this limitation without a direct connection to an  
 121 accurate clock, such as a GPS (Welty and others, 2013). The clock on the camera at Tunabreen drifted by  
 122 approximately two seconds over the course of the monitoring period, based on the drift in the time stamp.  
 123 This drift was corrected for in post-processing.

## 124 Calving style

125 In all, 34,117 images were collected, and the style of each calving event was manually determined by  
 126 examining the time-lapse imagery on a frame-by-frame basis. Each event was noted for the origin of the

**Table 2.** Calving events observed from the time-lapse image sequence (7th–8th August 2015).

Calving style	Area					Total
	West margin	Plume 1	Headland	Plume 2	East margin	
Ice-fall event	31	30	31	33	30	155
Sheet collapse	2	5	7	0	2	16
Stack topple	0	7	4	3	0	14
Waterline event	25	37	38	33	9	142
Subaqueous event	2	4	4	0	0	10
Unknown	2	1	0	17	0	21
Total	62	84	85	86	41	358
Calving spatial frequency	0.09	0.17	0.09	0.22	0.09	–
Calving-velocity ratio	139.64	111.41	100.83	216.08	362.83	–

collapsing ice (i.e. subaerial or subaqueous), the source of failure in the ice column, and the amount of rotation in the falling section. Calving events were subsequently grouped into five classes: waterline event, ice-fall event, sheet collapse, stack topple, and subaqueous events (Table 1). These characterisations are based on those outlined in previous studies (e.g., Benn and others, 2007; O’Neel and others, 2007; Bartholomaus and others, 2012; Chapuis and Tetzlaff, 2014; Benn and others, 2017; Minowa and others, 2018). The compiled video of the time-lapse imagery and the list of recorded calving events are included as supplementary material in this study.

### Location of calving events

The calving front was divided into five sections based on key terminus conditions: 1) the west margin, which is closest to the camera and 660 m wide (determined from the satellite image shown in Fig. 1A); 2) the first plume embayment (named Plume 1), which is 510 m wide; 3) the central headland area, which is 900 m wide; 4) the second plume embayment (named Plume 2), which is 390 m wide; and 5) the east margin, which is furthest away from the camera and 470 m wide (Fig. 1B). The location of each calving event was distinguished manually in the image plane and affiliated with one of these regions.

In addition, the pixel ( $uv$ ) locations in the image plane were translated to real-world  $xyz$  coordinates using the georectification functions available in PyTrx. PyTrx (short for ‘Python Tracking’) is an open source

143 photogrammetry toolbox for obtaining measurements from oblique imagery (How and others, 2018). The  
144 PyTrx toolbox predominantly utilises functions from the OpenCV computer vision toolbox (opencv.org),  
145 and its georectification tools are based on those available in ImGRAFT (imgraft.glaciology.net) (Messerli  
146 and Grinsted, 2015). PyTrx is hosted on GitHub (<https://github.com/PennyHow/PyTrx>) along with the  
147 raw data and processing chains for deriving the *xyz* coordinates.

148 Several pieces of information were needed to translate the image plane to three-dimensional space. A  
149 digital elevation model (DEM) was acquired from TanDEM-X in 2012, with a 10 m spatial resolution. The  
150 camera location was surveyed using a Trimble GeoXR GPS rover, which was linked to an SPS855 base  
151 station. Positions were differentially post-processed to obtain a horizontal and vertical positional accuracy  
152 of 1.20 m and 1.91 m respectively. Ground control points (GCPs) were created from known *xyz* locations in  
153 the camera field-of-view (e.g. features on the adjacent mountain side). Intrinsic matrices and lens distortion  
154 parameters were calculated using the camera calibration functions available in the Matlab Computer Vision  
155 System Toolbox. The georectified *xyz* coordinates have an error estimate of 5%, based on uncertainties in  
156 the camera parameters (How and others, 2017).

## 157 **Surface velocities**


158 Surface velocities across the glacier terminus were derived by feature-tracking a pair of TerraSAR-X  
159 Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) images, at 2 m spatial resolution, collected on the 31st July and 11th  
160 August 2015. Feature tracking was applied to the image pair using a 200×200 pixel correlation window  
161 (400×400 m), with an uncertainty estimate of <0.4 m per day (as in Luckman and others, 2015). Averages  
162 for each region are calculated from these surface velocities, which are used in subsequent analysis.

163 Velocities could not be determined photogrammetrically from the time-lapse images given that: 1) the  
164 glacier is relatively slow-flowing compared to other tidewater outlets in Svalbard; 2) the monitoring period  
165 is short which makes it difficult to distinguish small displacements at the glacier surface; and 3) it was  
166 difficult to derive velocities with low errors due to the oblique angle of the camera to glacier flow. These  
167 factors affected the signal-to-noise ratio in photogrammetric processing, which meant that precise velocity  
168 measurements could not be calculated. Therefore, satellite-derived glacier surface velocities were the most  
169 robust option for this monitoring period.

## 170 **CTD measurements**

171 CTD (Conductivity, Temperature, and Depth) water measurements were collected in front of the glacier  
172 terminus on the 10th, 13th and 14th August. Specifically, temperature and conductivity readings (from





**Fig. 2.** Picture breakdown of calving styles observed at Tunabreen from 7th–8th August 2015. The top image shows the full calving front with colour-coded extents illustrating where subsequent calving events are located; A) A waterline calving event; B) An ice-fall calving event occurring from the top of the ice column; C) A sheet collapse event where failure at the waterline causes the collapse of a large block of overhead ice; D) A stack topple event where crevasse propagation causes a column of ice to rotate outwards from the terminus and collapse; E) A subaqueous calving event where ice detaches from the ice column below the waterline and upwells to the fjord surface.

which salinity measurements were derived) were collected at the fjord surface and at depths of 2.5 m, 5 m, 7.5 m, 10 m, and 12.5 m below sea level (b.s.l.) (Schild, 2017). All of these measurements (including the location of each spot measurement) are included as supplementary material. Mean values were calculated from these to provide a general overview of the fjord conditions at the time of this study.

## Bathymetric data

The seafloor and ice front morphology were mapped using the Kongsberg EM2040 multibeam echosounder, which was mounted on the 15 m research vessel ‘Viking Explorer’. These surveys were undertaken on 3rd–5th August, and the 14th August 2015. The survey collected on the 14th August is presented subsequently because it has the best coverage of all the datasets.

The echosounder has a  $0.4 \times 0.7$  degrees wide beam configuration and the slow survey speeds at the ice front resulted in very high sounding density (hundreds of datapoints per square metre). This allowed generation of digital elevation grids with up to 1 m isometric cell size. Data were processed and visualized using the QPS Fledermaus and GlobalMapper software packages.

## Additional oceanic and atmospheric measurements


Tidal level data was obtained from the Norwegian Mapping Authority Hydrographic Service, with measurements recorded every ten minutes (kartverket.no). The tidal level was observed at Ny Ålesund, and adjusted for location (by a multiplication factor of 1.13) and time (minus 17 minutes) to represent water levels in Tempelfjorden. These correction are according to the tidal model used by the Norwegian Mapping Authority Hydrographic Service.

Air temperature measurements were obtained from the weather station situated in Adventdalen, which is managed by the University Centre in Svalbard (<https://www.unis.no/resources/weather-stations/>). The original data were recorded at one second intervals, but for clarity we present ten-minute averages. Although the Adventdalen weather station is located  $\sim 40$  km WSW of Tunabreen, it provides a good estimation of the daily temperature cycle under the prevailing synoptic conditions.

## RESULTS

### Calving style

Five styles of calving were observed within the 28-hour monitoring period: waterline events, ice-fall events, sheet collapses, stack topples, and subaqueous events (Table 1). The calving front was visible over the course of the entire monitoring period due to the midnight sun and optimal weather conditions, and in



**Fig. 3.** Calving events observed in the image plane (A) and georectified (B), with the colour of the point denoting the style of calving. Events were manually detected, from which the style of calving was interpreted. The time-lapse image was captured on the 8th August 04:36, and the satellite image is a pan-sharpened Landsat image taken on the 17th August 2015.

total, 358 calving events were recorded. Waterline and ice-fall events were typically the smallest, whilst sheet and stack topples were the largest (Table 1). These four types of calving events occurred in the subaerial section of the ice front, above the waterline. Subaqueous calving styles involved the break-off of ice from beneath the waterline, producing large, dirty icebergs.

Waterline events occurred at, or just above, the waterline (Fig. 2A), resulting in undercutting at the base of the subaerial part of the ice column. Often these events were very small, producing little splash. It is likely that this style of calving event would be undetected by remote seismic monitoring (e.g., Köhler and others, 2015; How, 2018), requiring multiple seismic installations at the glacier terminus in order to increase the chance of detection (e.g., Bartholomaus and others, 2015).

Ice-fall events are typified as the break-off of small/medium chunks of ice across the subaerial part of the ice front (Fig. 2B). These occurred at all heights in the ice column, with the break-off of ice from the top of the ice column being easiest to detect because they produced the largest splash. Ice-falls were observed to collapse as a whole body of ice, or disintegrate before they hit the fjord water (Fig. 2B).

Sheet collapses consist of large detachments of ice from the terminus (Fig. 2C), where the body of ice collapses downwards with little rotation, hence it looks like a sheet as it enters the fjord water. This can



often affect a sizeable portion of the glacier front where melt-undercutting and/or turbulence generated by wave action is apparent (O’Leary and Christoffersen, 2013; Petlicki and others, 2015).

Stack topples are another large calving style observed at Tunabreen (Fig. 2D). Failure in the ice column originates from above the waterline, causing large tabular columns of ice to collapse into the fjord water. Rotation in the falling section of ice was observed, rotating out from the glacier front and often exploding on impact and generating ice ballistics that were scattered across the fjord.

Subaqueous calving events occurred below the waterline (Fig. 2E). Although iceberg detachment from the glacier could not be directly observed from the time-lapse camera imagery, we could identify subaqueous calving events from the sudden emergence of icebergs in front of the glacier. Subaqueous events were the least common style of calving, but often produced large icebergs that were heavily freighted with debris. These bergs typically have a dark or deep blue appearance, due to smooth surfaces associated with submarine melt (in contrast, subaerial ice surfaces are typically rough and appear white). Observations of debris-rich ice exposed in stranded bergs and ice cliffs during the winter months show abundant evidence of basal transport and shear (Lovell and others, 2015); and we conclude that the debris-rich ice observed in subaqueously calved bergs originated at, or close to, the base of the glacier similar to those described by Wagner and others (2014).

The majority of calving events (82%) were ice-fall and waterline events, with 155 ice-fall events and 142 waterline events recorded over the monitoring period (Table 2). Sheet collapses and stack topples comprised a smaller proportion of the recorded calving activity, with only 16 sheet collapses and 14 stack topples recorded. Also, only 10 subaqueous events occurred, but these often produced large icebergs that upwelled into the fjord. Of the 358 detected calving events, 21 events could not be confidently classified from the time-lapse sequence. This was either due to poor visibility at the waterline (due to glare of the fjord surface) or partial concealment as a result of the time-lapse camera field of view.

## Location of calving events

Calving events occurred across the entire glacier front (Fig. 3), but were abundant in the central region of the terminus, with 84 observed events at Plume 1, 85 observed events in the headland area, and 86 observed events at Plume 2 (Table 2). Fewer events were observed at the margins, with 62 observed events at the west margin (i.e. closest to the camera), and 41 events at the east margin (i.e. furthest away from the camera) (Fig. 1). The normalised values – calving spatial frequency and calving-velocity ratio (Table 2) – were determined using the width of each region of the terminus (as shown in Figure 1B) and its average

surface velocity, respectively. This shows that whilst there was consistent calving activity at the headland and margin regions (0.09 calving events per metre), there was focused calving activity in the plume regions; with 0.17 calving events per metre at Plume 1 and 0.22 calving events per metre at Plume 2. In addition, there is a disproportionate amount of calving at the margins despite slow surface velocities, which indicates that changes in velocity across the terminus are not linked to the total number of calving events observed.

Ice-fall events were the dominant style of calving at the margins of the terminus, with 31 recorded events at the west margin and 30 at the east margin (Table 2). Abundant waterline events were also observed at the west margin, with 25 recorded events (Table 2). Waterline events were the dominant calving style in the central regions of the terminus (Plume 1, Headland, and Plume 2 in Table 2). Ice-fall events were also frequent in these regions. The highest number of sheet collapses was observed at Plume 1 and the headland regions, with 5 recorded sheet collapses at Plume 1 and 7 recorded sheet collapses in the headland region (Table 2). Stack topples occurred only in these two regions also (7 events occurring at Plume 1 and 4 events occurring in the headland region, Table 2). Subaqueous events were observed in the areas nearest to the time-lapse camera (i.e. the west margin, Plume 1, and headland regions in Table 2), however this could merely reflect the difficulty in detecting this style of calving with distance from the camera.

Surface velocities (derived from TerraSAR-X imagery) ranged from 0 to  $\sim 1 \text{ m d}^{-1}$  across the glacier terminus during the monitoring period (Fig. 3B). The fastest flowing part of the terminus is around the glacier centreline, encompassing the two plumes and the headland region (defined in Fig. 1B). These regions experienced the most calving events. In addition, stack topples occurred in Plume 1 and the headland region, which are within the area of fastest flow.

## Temporal distribution of calving events

The calving events are not randomly distributed in time, but show clear temporal patterns that allow environmental triggers to be identified. Air temperature measured at the Adventdalen weather station underwent small fluctuations during the observation period, ranging between  $6.0^\circ\text{C}$  and  $9.1^\circ\text{C}$  and peaking around 16:00 (local time) on the 8th August (Fig. 4). This is typical of stable, clear-sky conditions during the Svalbard summer, when the sun is continuously above the horizon. Tidal levels fluctuated between 0.4 m and 1.5 m, with a tidal range of 1.1 m. The observation period spans a little more than two tidal cycles.

Enhanced calving activity is evident between 08:00 and 14:00 on the 8th August, coinciding with the falling limb (i.e. high-to-low) of the tidal cycle, with 111 events recorded in comparison to 29 events recorded on the prior rising limb (02:00–08:00, 8th August). Of the two full tidal cycles observed during

**Table 3.** Average CTD measurements taken in front of Tunabreen on the 10th, 13th and 14th August 2015.

Depth	Temperature (°C)*	Conductivity ( $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ ) <sup>†</sup>	Salinity (psu) <sup>‡</sup>
Surface	3.52	17993	18.64
2.5 m	3.76	29344	30.62
5.0 m	4.03	30410	31.63
7.5 m	4.40	31312	32.38
10.0 m	4.55	31730	32.73
12.5 m	4.57	31814	32.79

\*Temperature readings have an error estimate of  $\pm 0.2^\circ\text{C}$

<sup>†</sup>Conductivity measurements have an error estimate of  $\pm 2.0\%$

<sup>‡</sup>Salinity measurements have an error estimate of  $\pm 1.0\%$


277 this monitoring period (from 19:40, 7th August to 20:30, 8th August), 68% of calving activity (204 events)  
 278 occurred on the falling limbs of the tide and 32% (96 events) occurred on the rising limbs.

### 279 CTD measurements

280 CTD measurements taken in the fjord close to the glacier front showed that warm, saline water was present  
 281 below depths of 7.5 m b.s.l., with a mean temperature and salinity of  $\sim 4.5^\circ\text{C}$  and  $\sim 32.6$  psu, respectively  
 282 (Table 3). The water at the surface is cooler ( $3.5^\circ\text{C}$ ) and fresher (18.9 psu) likely due to meltwater runoff  
 283 and/or floating bergs (Table 3). Temperature and salinity at intermediate depths shows varying degrees of  
 284 mixing between the surface water and deeper layers.


### 285 Bathymetric surveys

286 The bathymetric mapping of the sea floor covers an area of  $\sim 2 \text{ km}^2$  across the majority of the fjord width  
 287 (Fig. 5A). The east region of the fjord became very shallow ( $< 10$  m b.s.l.) hence why no data could be  
 288 collected from the fjord water adjacent to the east margin of the glacier. The sea bed topography ranged  
 289 between 10 m and 70 m b.s.l., with relatively shallow topography present at the boundaries of the survey  
 290 area. An overdeepening is evident on the west side of the fjord, where topography was between 50 m and  
 291 70 m b.s.l.. This overdeepening is adjacent to the exit of one of the meltwater plumes from Tunabreen  
 292 (with the glacier embayment area surrounding it referred to as Plume 1).



**Fig. 4.** Space-time plot of the observed calving events, tidal level, and average air temperature. The colour of the point denotes the style of calving. The white and grey shaded regions represent the rising and falling tidal limb, respectively.

293 The echosounder was tilted in order to survey the submarine part of Tunabreen's calving front in addition  
 294 to the sea bed survey. A transect of this is presented in Fig. 5B, which was taken in the Plume 1 region  
 295 of the terminus (see white line in Fig. 5A for transect location). The transect in Fig. 5B depicts all of the  
 296 soundings along the profile as point measurements. The transect shows a  $\sim 5$  m undercut near the glacier  
 297 bed. This undercut spans 35 m of the vertical submarine ice cliff (from a depth of 25 m to 60 m b.s.l. in  
 298 Fig. 5B). Above this undercut is a near-vertical ice cliff, which is present from a depth of 25 m b.s.l. to the  
 299 end of the transect (at a depth of 10 m b.s.l.). This transect shows that there is substantial undercutting of  
 300 the submarine ice cliff, which is likely to be linked to the presence of a meltwater plume (Fried and others,  
 301 2015). In comparing the detected calving events, we find stack topples, sheet collapses and subaqueous  
 302 events commonly occur in areas where the ice margin is severely undercut, whereas waterline and small ice  
 303 fall events are common to the entire ice face (Fig. 5A).



**Fig. 5.** Bathymetric surveying undertaken in Tempelfjorden on the 14th August 2015. A shows sea bed topography (metres b.s.l.), which covers the majority of the area adjacent to the glacier terminus. Calving events detected with the time-lapse sequence are denoted by the point locations at the terminus, which are colour-coded to calving style (consistent with the colour scheme presented in previous figures). The white line signifies the transect of the submarine part of the terminus, which is presented in B. The transect consists of all soundings in a 20 cm wide corridor along the profile.

## DISCUSSION

### Calving mechanisms at Tunabreen

Five styles of calving have been distinguished: waterline events, ice-fall events, sheet collapses, stack topples, and subaqueous events (Fig. 2). Waterline calving (Fig. 2A) and ice-fall calving (Fig. 2B) are the most common type of event. Waterline and ice-fall events occur across the entire calving front of the glacier, indicating that the mechanism related to these styles of calving are uniform across the terminus.

As previously stated, sheet collapses appear to involve the detachment and downward movement of ice bodies with little rotation (Fig. 2C). These are suggested to be caused by undercutting at the waterline, which is also referred to as ‘waterline notching’ by Pęćlicki and others (2015) who observed similar behaviour at Hansbreen in Svalbard. Stack topples involve the detachment of ice that rotates outwards from the terminus (Fig. 2D). These occur in the central region of the terminus (Table 2) where the glacier flows fastest and thus the ice surface is traversed by numerous transverse crevasses (Fig. 3B), and where the sea bed is deepest (Fig. 5A). This style of calving may therefore be associated with longitudinal stretching of the glacier front and change in buoyancy forcing at the terminus.

Subaqueous calving events (Fig. 2E) are rare, accounting for only 10 of the observed 358 observed events (3%, despite the fact that 60–70% of the terminus is below sea level. Subaqueous calving occurs when buoyant forces acting on a projecting mass of ice (an ‘ice foot’) exceed the tensile strength of the ice (or the fracture toughness if a pre-existing crack is present), allowing the ice to break free and shoot to the surface (Wagner and others, 2016; Benn and others, 2017; Slater and others, 2017b). Ice feet are formed either by retreat of the subaerial part of the terminus or melting in the upper part of the water column. The extreme rarity of subaqueous calving events compared with subaerial calving indicates that ice foot development is not associated with subaerial cliff retreat at Tunabreen. Rather, submarine melting likely accounts for most ice loss below the waterline, which both isolates projecting ice feet near the base of the cliff and undermines the subaerial portion of the front (Motyka and others, 2003).

The main limitation of this study is that the monitoring period is relatively short, and the findings presented may not reflect all tidewater termini. However, similar observations have been made at other tidewater glaciers which indicate that the findings at Tunabreen are valid. As previously outlined, subaqueous events make up 3% of the calving activity observed at Tunabreen even though 60–70% of the terminus is below sea level. This is strikingly alike to Yahtse Glacier, where 6% of calving activity is subaqueous and ~65% of the terminus is below sea level (Bartholomaus and others, 2012). These similarities prevail despite the fact that surface velocities are much faster at Yahtse Glacier ( $17 \text{ m d}^{-1}$ ) and subsurface ocean temperatures are  $3^\circ\text{C}$  warmer than those recorded at the front of Tunabreen on average (Bartholomaus and others, 2013).

### Calving event size and frequency

Of the 358 calving events that were observed within the 28-hour time-lapse sequence, 297 events (82%) involved smaller styles of calving (i.e. waterline and ice-fall events) and only 61 were larger styles (i.e. sheet

340 collapses, stack topples, and subaqueous events). The size-frequency distribution of calving at Tunabreen  
341 follows a power law relation (Åström and others, 2014; Vallot and others, 2018b), similar to those observed  
342 at other Svalbard glaciers (Chapuis and Tetzlaff, 2014). In these cases, the observed calving frequency  
343 distribution is associated with the mutual interplay between calving and instabilities in the local vicinity  
344 of the calving region (Schild and others, 2018).

345 Calving events are preceded by others in some instances at Tunabreen, such as the consecutive events  
346 observed on the second falling tidal limb in Fig. 4 (08:00–14:00, 8th August). This demonstrates that, on  
347 occasion, calving events in one region can trigger a chain of enhanced calving activity in adjacent areas  
348 (Chapuis and Tetzlaff, 2014). Bartholomäus and others (2012) observed similar instances at Yahtse Glacier  
349 in Alaska, noting multiple events over short periods of time ( $\sim 10$  minutes). This suggests that the calving  
350 events within these instances are linked, and reflect periods of instability at discrete regions of the glacier  
351 front.’

352 Calving glacier fronts behave like self-organised critical systems, delicately poised between sub-critical,  
353 critical, and super-critical states (Åström and others, 2014). Our data suggests that small styles of calving  
354 (i.e. waterline and ice-fall events) play a crucial role in these transitions, as they comprise a high majority  
355 of calving activity at Tunabreen (Table 2). Under-representation of small-scale calving events is an inherent  
356 problem with many commonly used monitored methods, such as satellite image analysis (e.g., Seale and  
357 others, 2011; Schild and Hamilton, 2013), low-temporal-frequency time-lapse photography (e.g., Pęćlicki  
358 and others, 2015), and seismic event detection from remote stations (e.g., Köhler and others, 2015). High  
359 spatio-temporal resolution observations, such as those reported here and previously with both time-lapse  
360 and local seismic monitoring (e.g., Bartholomäus and others, 2015; Medrzycka and others, 2016), are crucial  
361 in developing a detailed process-based understanding of calving mechanisms.

362 Critical system behaviour is also evident in the temporal distribution of calving events. Over the two full  
363 tidal cycles observed in our record, 68% of the events occurred on the falling limb phases (Fig. 4). This  
364 is particularly notable during the falling tidal limb between 08:00 and 14:00 (8th August). A tendency for  
365 calving events to cluster on falling and low tides has been noted in previous studies, such as Bartholomäus  
366 and others (2015) who found a statistical association between seismically detected calving events and tidal  
367 frequencies. This is likely to reflect modulation of the normal stress acting on the glacier terminus. The tidal  
368 range in Tempelfjorden is small (1.1 m), representing  $\sim 2\%$  of the back-pressure exerted on the terminus by  
369 the water column. Nevertheless, this small reduction in support at the ice front was apparently sufficient

370 to trigger cascades of calving events. This is symptomatic of a critical system that is sensitive to small  
371 perturbations.

## 372 **The role of melt-undercutting**

373 Waterline and ice-fall calving styles occur across all regions, which is indicative of consistent controls on  
374 calving across the terminus. These styles have been observed in the time-lapse imagery to create notches  
375 at the waterline, which develop weaknesses in the ice cliff. Similar observations have been made at other  
376 glaciers in Svalbard (e.g., Pęćlicki and others, 2015), Greenland (e.g., Medrzycka and others, 2016) and  
377 Alaska (e.g., Bartholomaus and others, 2012) where weaknesses generated at the waterline cause terminus  
378 instability, resulting in the short-term excavation of ice through small, frequent calving events.

379 The high concentration of calving events and different calving styles at Plume 1 and Plume 2 is consistent  
380 with the idea that enhanced undercutting takes place at the locations of meltwater plumes (Fig. 3A and  
381 Fig. 4). CTD measurements (Table 3) show that cold, fresh meltwater entering the fjord at depth would  
382 encounter warm, saline fjord water, encouraging rapid buoyant ascent. This would lead to efficient water  
383 mixing and high melt rates in the vicinity of the plumes (Jenkins, 2011; Slater and others, 2017b; Vallot  
384 and others, 2018a). The presence of an undercut is further supported by observations from the bathymetric  
385 surveys in this study, revealing the presence of extensive undercutting below the waterline at Plume 1 (Fig.  
386 5).

387 It is also possible that calving events themselves act as another contributor to turbulence at the waterline.  
388 The waves generated by large calving events and high-falling icebergs will likely bring warm water into  
389 contact with the front and also dislodge sections of ice at the waterline. This is likely an additional  
390 contributing factor to the occurrence of multiple calving events over short periods of time (Fig. 4), indicating  
391 that ice is episodically removed rather than gradually over the course of the melt season. Similar instances  
392 of the episodic ice loss have also been observed at other tidewater glaciers in Svalbard (e.g., Chapuis and  
393 Tetzlaff, 2014) and Alaska (e.g. Bartholomaus and others, 2012).

394 The calving styles reported here bear a strong resemblance to ‘low-magnitude’ calving events in HiDEM  
395 simulations reported by Benn and others (2017). That is, they are localised collapses of the subaerial ice  
396 cliff following loss of support from beneath. However, our record does not contain any events resembling the  
397 ‘high-magnitude’ events described by Benn and others (2017). This is likely to be attributed to Tunabreen’s  
398 grounded terminus and inability to form significant undercuts, which limits the size of calving bergs. Model  
399 results showed that ‘low-magnitude’ events simply remove part of the unsupported overhang, and this is



possibly the case at Tunabreen – small, frequent calving activity limit the formation of large undercuts. The observed calving styles at Tunabreen for this observation period therefore suggest that calving may simply follow the pace set by submarine melting, and do not amplify rates of frontal ablation. In such cases, models of calving rate may be formulated by simply calculating the rate of submarine melting (Luckman and others, 2015). This possibility will be tested in future work. Automated methods to detect and classify calving events are needed in order to assist in this endeavour, such as from time-lapse imagery (e.g., Vallot and others, 2018b), video (e.g., Bartholomaus and others, 2012), and seismic records (e.g., O’Neel and others, 2007; Köhler and others, 2015; Mei and others, 2017).

## CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we documented calving events at Tunabreen using a high-frequency time-lapse sequence covering a 28-hour period in August 2015. The sequence consists of 34,117 images, which has enabled examination of the individual calving styles active at Tunabreen, and identification of the key controls and triggers of calving events. Despite the short data record, our observations are consistent with previous findings at Tunabreen (Åström and others, 2014; Köhler and others, 2015; Vallot and others, 2018b) and allow the mechanisms of failure to be examined in greater detail than hitherto possible.

Calving activity at Tunabreen is characterised by frequent events (12.8 events per hour), with 358 distinguished events in the 28-hour monitoring period. Calving events were partitioned into five categories based upon relative size and failure mechanism: waterline events, ice-fall events, sheet collapses, stack topples, and subaqueous events. Waterline and ice-fall events make up a high proportion of all calving events (82%), which consist of small occurrences that originated at, or a small distance above, the waterline. The two larger subaerial styles (sheet collapses and stack topples) differ in the observed rotation of the ice body as it hits the water. Ice bodies undergoes little rotation with sheet collapses, whereas ice bodies rotate outwards from the terminus with stack topples. As stack topples are largely confined to the fastest flowing region of the terminus where the sea bed is deepest (primarily the Headland region), this suggests that controls on calving vary across the terminus and, in this case, these changes are primarily associated with longitudinal stretching and water depth. The majority of events (97%) originated from the subaerial section of the ice cliff, despite the fact that 60–70% of the terminus is below sea level. The rarity of subaqueous events indicates that ice loss below the waterline is dominated by submarine melting, with only local development of projecting ‘ice feet’.

429 Weighted by the width of the ice front, calving events are roughly twice as frequent in the vicinity  
430 of meltwater plumes compared to non-plume areas. In these areas, the ascent of buoyant meltwater and  
431 entrainment of warm, saline fjord water encourages more rapid subaqueous melting and undercutting of  
432 the subaerial ice cliff. This is supported by the bathymetric surveys of the submarine part of the terminus,  
433 which show a  $\sim 5$  m undercut at the base of the glacier.

434 Across the terminus width, a large proportion (68%) of calving events occurred on the falling limb of  
435 the tidal cycle. The tidal range represents only  $\sim 2\%$  of the backstress exerted on the terminus by the  
436 water column, suggesting that terminus stability is highly sensitive to tidal variation. Taken together, the  
437 observations support the conclusion that the terminus is a critical system, responsive to small changes in  
438 environmental conditions (Åström and others, 2014; Chapuis and Tetzlaff, 2014; Bartholomaus and others,  
439 2015).

440 Multiple calving events were observed to occur over short periods. These typically consist of numerous  
441 small events, which have been observed by others to promote larger collapses and may suggest that small-  
442 scale calving events play a crucial role in terminus stability (Bartholomaus and others, 2012; Medrzycka and  
443 others, 2016). In addition, the occurrence of multiple calving events suggests that ice is episodically removed  
444 from the terminus rather than gradually over time. Similar observations have been made at other tidewater  
445 glaciers in Svalbard (e.g., Pęćlicki and others, 2015), Alaska (e.g., Motyka and others, 2003; Bartholomaus  
446 and others, 2012), and have been simulated in models such as the particle model, HiDEM (Benn and  
447 others, 2017). Beyond this study, it is unknown how undercutting and calving processes change throughout  
448 a melt season at Tunabreen, but it is expected that meltwater availability and fjord temperatures would  
449 play crucial roles in this (Luckman and others, 2015; Slater and others, 2017b).

450 The calving styles reported here strongly resemble those simulated by the HiDEM particle model (Benn  
451 and others, 2017), which suggests that calving rates at Tunabreen for this observation period may simply  
452 be paced by the rate of submarine melting. Similar dynamics have also been observed at other tidewater  
453 glaciers in Svalbard (e.g., Chapuis and Tetzlaff, 2014; Pęćlicki and others, 2015), Greenland (e.g., Medrzycka  
454 and others, 2016) and Alaska (e.g., Bartholomaus and others, 2012, 2015) which further strengthen this idea.  
455 The inference of calving rate from submarine melt rate would greatly simplify the challenge of incorporating  
456 the effect of melt-undercutting in predictive numerical models; at least for this type of well-grounded, highly  
457 fractured glacier. Detailed observations of small-scale calving mechanisms at high temporal frequency may

therefore help us develop the theoretical understanding necessary for the development of models that faithfully reflect the realities of frontal ablation.

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The supplementary material to this study comprises of the time-lapse video sequence (1), a record of all defined calving events (2), and the CTD fjord measurements (3).

## CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

PH is the primary author of this paper and was responsible for the time-lapse camera installations and subsequent imagery processing and analysis. KMS collected the CTD measurements and assisted in developing the ideas presented. DIB is the project leader, co-ordinated the field work, and assisted in developing the ideas presented. RN and NK were responsible for the bathymetry surveys and analysis. AL provided glacier velocities and the TanDEM-X DEM data. DV assisted in the field and advised on the time-lapse analysis. NRJH assisted in the development of the time-lapse camera systems. CB assisted in the field and advised on the development of this paper.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is affiliated with the CRIOS project (Calving Rates and Impact On Sea Level), which was supported by the Conoco Phillips-Lundin Northern Area Program. PH is funded by a NERC PhD studentship (reference number 1396698). The TanDEM-X DEM data were kindly provided by DLR through the Intermediate DEM opportunity (project IDEM.GLAC0213), and TerraSAR-X data were provided by DLR project number OCE1503. The fieldwork associated with this work would not have been possible without the logistical support provided by the University Centre in Svalbard Tech and Logistics team. We greatly acknowledge Alex Hart and the GeoSciences Mechanical Workshop at the University of Edinburgh for manufacturing the time-lapse camera enclosure that was used in this study. We would also like to thank Jack Kohler and Airlift AS for offering an opportunistic flight over the field site, and Anne Flink, Oscar Fransner, and Richard Delf for their assistance in the field. And finally many thanks to the scientific editor, Dr. Toby Meierbachtol, and Dr. Timothy Bartholomaeus and one anonymous reviewer for their insightful and constructive feedback on this manuscript.

## 484 REFERENCES

- 485 Åström JA and 10 others (2014) Termini of calving glaciers as self-organized critical systems. *Nat. Geosci.*, **7**(12),  
 486 874–878, (doi: 10.1038/ngeo2290)
- 487 Bartholomaus TC, Larsen CF, O’Neel S and West ME (2012) Calving seismicity from iceberg-sea surface interactions.  
 488 *J. Geophys. Res.*, **117**, F04029, (doi: 10.1029/2012JF002513)
- 489 Bartholomaus TC, Larsen CF and O’Neel S (2013) Does calving matter? Evidence for significant submarine melt.  
 490 *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **380**, 21–30, (doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2013.08.014)
- 491 Bartholomaus TC, Larsen CF, West ME, O’Neel S, Pettit EC and Truffer M (2015) Tidal and seasonal variations  
 492 in calving flux observed with passive seismology. *J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf.*, **120**(11), 2318–2337, (doi:  
 493 10.1002/2015JF003641)
- 494 Benn DI, Warren CR and Mottram RH (2007) Calving processes and the dynamics of calving glaciers. *Earth. Sci.*  
 495 *Rev.*, **82**(3), 143–179, (doi: 10.1016/j.earscirev.2007.02.002)
- 496 Benn DI and 7 others (2017) Melt-under-cutting and buoyancy-driven calving from tidewater glaciers: new insights  
 497 from discrete element and continuum model simulations. *J. Glaciol.*, **63**(240), 691–702, (doi: 10.1017/jog.2017.41)
- 498 Chapuis A and Tetzlaff T (2014) The variability of tidewater-glacier calving: Origin of event-size and interval  
 499 distributions. *J. Glaciol.*, **60**(222), 622–634, (doi: 10.3189/2014JoG13J215)
- 500 Chauché N and 8 others (2014) Ice-ocean interaction and calving front morphology at two west Greenland tidewater  
 501 outlet glaciers. *Cryosphere*, **8**(4), 1457–1468, (doi: 10.5194/tc-8-1457-2014)
- 502 Cottier F, Tverberg V, Inall M, Svendsen H, Nilsen F and Griffiths C (2005) Water mass modification in an Arctic  
 503 fjord through cross-shelf exchange: The seasonal hydrography of Kongsfjorden, Svalbard. *J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans*,  
 504 **110**, C12005, (doi: 10.1029/2004JC002757)
- 505 Cowton T, Slater D, Sole A, Goldberg D and Nienow P (2015) Modeling the impact of glacial runoff on fjord  
 506 circulation and submarine melt rate using a new subgrid-scale parameterization for glacial plumes. *J. Geophys.*  
 507 *Res.-Oceans*, **120**(2), 796–812, (doi: 10.1002/2014JC010324)
- 508 Flink AE, Noormets R, Kirchner N, Benn DI, Luckman A and Lovell H (2015) The evolution of a submarine  
 509 landform record following recent and multiple surges of Tunabreen glacier, Svalbard. *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, **108**, 37–50,  
 510 (doi: 10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.11.006)
- 511 Fried MJ and 8 others (2015) Distributed subglacial discharge drives significant submarine melt at a Greenland  
 512 tidewater glacier. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **42**(21), 9328–9336, (doi: 10.1002/2015GL065806)
- 513 Holland DM, Thomas RH, de Young B, Ribergaard MH and Lyberth B (2015) Acceleration of Jakobshavn  
 514 Isbræ triggered by warm subsurface ocean waters. *Nat. Geosci.*, **1**, 659–664, (doi: 10.1038/ngeo316)

- 515 How P (2018) *Dynamical change at tidewater glaciers examined using time-lapse photogrammetry*. PhD thesis,  
516 University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh.
- 517 How P and 9 others (2017) Rapidly changing subglacial hydrological pathways at a tidewater glacier revealed  
518 through simultaneous observations of water pressure, supraglacial lakes, meltwater plumes and surface velocities.  
519 *Cryosphere*, **11**(6), 2691–2710 (doi: 10.5194/tc-11-2691-2017)
- 520 How P, Hulton NRJ and Buie L (2018) PyTrx: A Python toolbox for deriving velocities, surface areas and line  
521 measurements from oblique imagery in glacial environments. *Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss.*, in  
522 review, (doi: 10.5194/gi-2018-28)
- 523 Howat IM, Box JE, Ahn Y, Herrington A and McFadden EM (2010) Seasonal variability in the dynamics of marine-  
524 terminating outlet glaciers in Greenland. *J. Glaciol.*, **56**(198), 601–613, (doi: 10.3189/002214310793146232)
- 525 James TD, Murray T, Selmes N, Scharrer K and O’Leary M (2015) Buoyant flexure and basal crevassing in dynamic  
526 mass loss at Helheim Glacier. *Nat. Geosci.*, **7**(8), 593–596, (doi: 10.1038/ngeo2204)
- 527 Jenkins A (2011) Convection-driven melting near the grounding lines of ice shelves and tidewater glaciers. *J. Phys.*  
528 *Oceanogr.*, **41**(12), 2279–2294, (doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-11-03.1)
- 529 Joughin I and 8 others (2008) Ice-front variation and tidewater behavior on Helheim and Kangerdlugssuaq Glaciers,  
530 Greenland. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **113**(F1), F01004, (doi: 10.1029/2007JF000837)
- 531 Köhler A, Nuth C, Schweitzer J, Weidle C and Gibbons SJ (2015) Regional passive seismic monitoring reveals  
532 dynamic glacier activity on Spitsbergen, Svalbard. *Polar Res.*, **34**, 26178, (doi: 10.3402/polar.v34.26178)
- 533 Lovell H, Fleming EJ, Benn DI, Hubbard B, Lukas S and Naegeli K (2015) Former dynamic behaviour of a cold-  
534 based valley glacier on Svalbard revealed by basal ice and structural glaciology investigations. *J. Glaciol.*, **61**(226),  
535 309–328, (doi: 10.3189/2015JoG14J120)
- 536 Luckman A, Benn DI, Cottier F, Bevan S, Nilsen F and Inall M (2015) Calving rates at tidewater glaciers vary  
537 strongly with ocean temperature. *Nat. Commun.*, **6**, 8566, (doi: 10.1038/ncomms9566)
- 538 Medrzycka D, Benn DI, Box JE, Copland L and Balog J (2016) Calving behavior at Rink Isbræ, West Greenland,  
539 from time-lapse photos. *Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res.*, **48**(2), 263–277, (doi: 10.1657/AAAR0015-059)
- 540 Mei MJ, Holland DM, Anandakrishnan S, and Zheng T (2017) Calving localization at Helheim Glacier using multiple  
541 local seismic stations. *Cryosphere*, **11**, 609–618, (doi: 10.5194/tc-11-609-2017)
- 542 Messerli A and Grinsted A (2015) Image GeoRectification And Feature Tracking toolbox: ImGRAFT. *Geosci.*  
543 *Instrum. Method. Data Syst.*, **4**(1), 23–34, (doi: 10.5194/gi-4-23-2015)
- 544 Minowa M, Podolskiy EA, Sugiyama S, Sakakibara D and Skvarca P (2018) Glacier calving observed with time-  
545 lapse imagery and tsunami waves at Glaciar Perito Moreno, Patagonia. *J. Glaciol.*, **64**(245), 362–376, (doi:  
546 10.1017/jog.2018.28)

- 547 Motyka RJ, Hunter L, Echelmeyer KA and Connor C (2003) Submarine melting at the terminus of a temperate  
548 tidewater glacier, LeConte Glacier, Alaska, U.S.A. *Ann. Glaciol.*, **36**, 57–65, (doi: 10.3189/172756403781816374)
- 549 O’Leary M and Christoffersen P (2013) Calving on tidewater glaciers amplified by submarine frontal melting.  
550 *Cryosphere*, **7**(1), 119–128, (doi: 10.5194/tc-7-119-2013)
- 551 O’Neel S, Marshall HP, McNamara DE and Pfeffer WT (2007) Seismic detection and analysis of icequakes at Columbia  
552 Glacier, Alaska, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **112**, F03S23, (doi: 10.1029/2006JF000595)
- 553 Pętllicki M, Cieplý M, Jania JA, Promińska A and Kinnard C (2015) Calving of a tidewater glacier driven by melting  
554 at the waterline. *J. Glaciol.*, **61**(229), 851–863, (doi: 10.3189/2015JoG15J062)
- 555 Rignot E, Fenty I, Xu Y, Cai C and Kemp C (2015) Undercutting of marine-terminating glaciers in West Greenland.  
556 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **42**(14), 5909–5917, (doi: 10.1002/2015GL064236)
- 557 Rosenau R, Schwalbe E, Maas HG, Baessler M and Dietrich R (2013) Grounding line migration and high-resolution  
558 calving dynamics of Jakobshavn Isbræ, West Greenland. *J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf.*, **118**(2), 382–395, (doi:  
559 10.1029/2012JF002515)
- 560 Schild KM (2017) *The Influence of Subglacial Hydrology on Arctic Tidewater Glaciers and Fjords*. PhD thesis,  
561 Dartmouth College, New Hampshire
- 562 Schild KM and Hamilton GS (2013) Seasonal variations of outlet glacier terminus position in Greenland. *J. Glaciol.*,  
563 **59**(216), 759–770, (doi: 10.3189/2013JoG12J238)
- 564 Schild KM, Hawley RL and Morriss BF (2016) Subglacial hydrology at Rink Isbræ, West Greenland inferred from  
565 sediment plume appearance. *Ann. Glaciol.*, **57**(72), 118–127, (doi: 10.1017/aog.2016.1)
- 566 Schild KM and 9 others (2018) Calving rates due to subglacial discharge, fjord circulation, and free convection. *J.*  
567 *Geophys. Res. Earth Surf.*, (doi: 10.1029/2017JF004520)
- 568 Seale A, Christoffersen P, Mugford RI and O’Leary M (2011) Ocean forcing of the Greenland Ice Sheet: Calving  
569 fronts and patterns of retreat identified by automatic satellite monitoring of eastern outlet glaciers. *J. Geophys.*  
570 *Res.*, **116**, F03013, (doi: 10.1029/2010F001847)
- 571 Slater D, Nienow PW, Cowton TR, Goldberg DN and Sole AJ (2015) Effect of near-terminus subglacial hydrology  
572 on tidewater glacier submarine melt rates. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **42**(8), 2861–2868, (doi: 10.1002/2014GL062494)
- 573 Slater D and 6 others (2017a) Spatially distributed runoff at the grounding line of a large Greenlandic tidewater  
574 glacier inferred from plume modelling. *J. Glaciol.*, **63**(238), 309–323, (doi: 10.1017/jog.2016.13)
- 575 Slater D, Nienow PW, Goldberg DN, Cowton TR and Sole AJ (2017b) A model for tidewater glacier undercutting  
576 by submarine melting. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **44**(5), 2360–2368, (doi: 10.1002/2016GL072374)
- 577 Straneo F and 7 others (2010) Rapid circulation of warm subtropical waters in a major glacial fjord in East Greenland.  
578 *Nat. Geosci.*, **3**(3), 36–43, (doi: 10.1038/nature12854)

- 579 Sutherland DA, Roth G, Hamilton GS, Mernild SH, Stearns L and Straneo F (2014) Quantifying flow regimes in a  
580 Greenland glacial fjord using iceberg drifters. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **41**(23), 8411–8420, (doi: 10.1002/2014GL062256)
- 581 Truffer M and Motyka RJ (2016) Where glaciers meet water: Subaqueous melt and its relevance to glaciers in various  
582 settings. *Reviews of Geophys.*, **54**(1), 220–239, (doi: 10.1002/2015RG000494)
- 583 Vallot D and 9 others (2018a) Effects of undercutting and sliding on calving: a global approach applied to Kronebreen,  
584 Svalbard. *Cryosphere*, **12**(2), 609–625, (doi: 10.5194/tc-12-609-2018)
- 585 Vallot D and 6 others (2018b) Automatic detection of calving events with a time-lapse camera in Tunabreen, Svalbard.  
586 *Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst. Discuss.*, in review, (doi: 10.5194/gi-2018-5)
- 587 Van Der Veen CJ (2002) Calving glaciers. *Prog. Phys. Geogr.*, **26**(1), 96–122, (doi: 10.1191/0309133302pp327ra)
- 588 Wagner TJW and 8 others (2014) The ‘footloose’ mechanism: Iceberg decay from hydrostatic stresses. *Geophys. Res.*  
589 *Lett.*, **41**(15), 5522–5529, (doi: 10.1002/2014GL060832)
- 590 Wagner TJW, James TD, Murray T and Vella D (2016) On the role of buoyant flexure in glacier calving. *Geophys.*  
591 *Res. Lett.*, **43**(1), 232–240A, (doi: 10.1002/2015GL067247)
- 592 Welty EZ, Bartholomaeus TC, O’Neel S and Pfeffer WT (2013) Cameras as clocks. *J. Glaciol.*, **59**(214), 275–286,  
593 (doi: 10.3189/2013JoG12J126)