

This is a repository copy of CIZ1-F, an alternatively spliced variant of the DNA replication protein CIZ1 with distinct expression and localisation, is overrepresented in early stage common solid tumours.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <a href="https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/136435/">https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/136435/</a>

Version: Published Version

## Article:

Swarts, Dorian Rein Andreas orcid.org/0000-0002-7927-7613, Stewart, Emma Rachael, Higgins, Gillian Sarah et al. (1 more author) (2018) CIZ1-F, an alternatively spliced variant of the DNA replication protein CIZ1 with distinct expression and localisation, is overrepresented in early stage common solid tumours. Cell cycle. ISSN 1551-4005

https://doi.org/10.1080/15384101.2018.1526600

## Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

## Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.





# Cell Cycle



ISSN: 1538-4101 (Print) 1551-4005 (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/kccy20

# CIZ1-F, an alternatively spliced variant of the DNA replication protein CIZ1 with distinct expression and localisation, is overrepresented in early stage common solid tumours

Dorian R.A. Swarts, Emma R. Stewart, Gillian S. Higgins & Dawn Coverley

**To cite this article:** Dorian R.A. Swarts, Emma R. Stewart, Gillian S. Higgins & Dawn Coverley (2018): CIZ1-F, an alternatively spliced variant of the DNA replication protein CIZ1 with distinct expression and localisation, is overrepresented in early stage common solid tumours, Cell Cycle, DOI: 10.1080/15384101.2018.1526600

To link to this article: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/15384101.2018.1526600">https://doi.org/10.1080/15384101.2018.1526600</a>

9	© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.
+	View supplementary material 🗷
	Accepted author version posted online: 03 Oct 2018. Published online: 06 Oct 2018.
	Submit your article to this journal $oldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}$
ılıl	Article views: 35
CrossMark	View Crossmark data 🗗



#### RESEARCH PAPER



# CIZ1-F, an alternatively spliced variant of the DNA replication protein CIZ1 with distinct expression and localisation, is overrepresented in early stage common solid tumours

Dorian R.A. Swarts (a), Emma R. Stewart (b), Gillian S. Higgins, and Dawn Coverley (b)

Department of Biology, The University of York, York, UK

#### **ABSTRACT**

CIZ1 promotes cyclin-dependent DNA replication and resides in sub-nuclear foci that are part of the protein nuclear matrix (NM), and in RNA assemblies that are enriched at the inactive X chromosome (Xi) in female cells. It is subjected to alternative splicing, with specific variants implicated in adult and pediatric cancers. CIZ1-F is characterized by a frame shift that results from splicing exons 8-12 leading to inclusion of a short alternative reading frame (ARF), excluding the previously characterized C-terminal NM anchor domain. Here, we apply a set of novel variantselective molecular tools targeted to the ARF to profile the expression of CIZ1-F at both transcript and protein levels, with focus on its relationship with the RNA-dependent and -independent fractions of the NM. Unlike full-length CIZ1, CIZ1-F does not accumulate at Xi, though like fulllength CIZ1 it does resist extraction with DNase. Notably, CIZ1-F is sensitive to RNase identifying it as part of the RNA-fraction of the NM. In quiescent cells CIZ1-F transcript expression is suppressed and CIZ1-F protein is excluded from the nucleus, with re-expression not observed until the second cell cycle after exit from quiescence. Importantly, CIZ1-F is over-expressed in common solid tumors including colon and breast, pronounced in early stage but not highly-proliferative late stage tumors. Moreover, expression was significantly higher in hormone receptor negative breast tumors than receptor positive tumors. Together these data show that CIZ1-F is expressed in proliferating cells in an unusual cell cycle-dependent manner, and suggest that it may have potential as a tumor biomarker.

#### **ARTICLE HISTORY**

Received 20 June 2018 Revised 29 August 2018 Accepted 9 September 2018

#### **KEYWORDS**

CDKN1A-interacting zinc finger protein 1; nuclear matrix; alternative splicing

## Introduction

CDKN1A interacting zinc finger protein 1 (CIZ1) is subject to extensive alternative splicing to yield multiple transcript variants [1–5]. Functional analysis of discrete protein fragments has identified domains and regulatory sites in the N-terminal half of the protein that are involved in DNA replication [6,7] and interaction with cell cycle regulators [7], (Figure 1(a,b)). Separate sequences in the C-terminus of CIZ1 support interaction with nuclear structures, identifying a salt and DNaseresistant nuclear matrix (NM) interaction domain [8], which may restrict its function to specific subnuclear locations. Recently, we and others showed that CIZ1 localizes to the inactive X chromosome (Xi) and is required to ensure retention of Xist long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) at Xi in fibroblasts [9,10]. Alternative splicing affects both the replication domain (RD) and NM anchor domain (AD) of CIZ1, and several splicing events have been implicated in cancer, including mutation driven exclusion of exon 4 (CIZ1-Δ4) in Ewing's Tumor cell lines [2] and exclusion of 24 nucleotides of exon 14 in lung cancer (CIZ1-B) [5]. A unique splice-junction epitope from CIZ1-B is a circulating biomarker for patients with small cell and non-small cell lung cancer [5,11]. CIZ1 has also been reported to be involved in the development of breast cancer [12], the most common type of cancer in women [13]. Alternative splicing of CIZ1 is implicated in other chronic diseases including upregulation of a form in which part of exon 8 is excluded (CIZ1-S) in Alzheimer's disease [3], and in cervical dystonia where mutations in an exonic splicing enhancer may affect splicing patterns [14].




Figure 1. Human CIZ1 and variant CIZ1-F.

(A) Exonic sequence in messenger RNA of full-length and *CIZ1*-F, with location of primers (pri) and Taqman probes indicated. Sequences are provided in Supplementary Table 2. Lines indicate the location of sequences that encode the protein domains illustrated in (B). Four alternative exon 1s are indicated; *CIZ1*-F has been detected in combination with exons 1b, 1c, and 1d. (B) Full-length and CIZ1-F protein showing annotated protein domains including glutamine-rich regions (Q), nuclear localization signals (NLS), zinc finger domains (ZF), matrin-3 domain (MH3), and acidic domain (AcD). Characterized functional domains and interaction sites for full-length CIZ1 are also shown, including replication domain (RD) which contains all sequences required for replication activity [6], and anchor domain (AD) which contains sequences that are sufficient to mediate attachment to the nuclear matrix [8]. The CIZ1-F specific sequence, encoded by an alternative reading frame (ARF) of exon 12–13, is highlighted in light blue. Also shown are the location of K/RXL cyclin-binding motifs implicated in replication [7] ('C'), LXXLL ER-interaction motifs (asterisks), and sequences reported for full-length CIZ1 to interact with CDC6 [18], cyclin-dependent kinase 2 (CDK2) [15], cyclins A and E [7], dynein light chain (DYNLL1) [15], estrogen-receptor (ER) [12], enhancer of rudimentary homolog (ERH) [42], p21 [16], and YAP [17]. Note that several of these interactions were discovered in mouse CIZ1, and that the reported interaction between ER and CIZ1 is not via a domain that includes LXXLL [12]. X-axis shows amino acid number. (C) Amino acid sequence of the CIZ1-F specific antibodies.

Despite functional studies that link full-length murine CIZ1 and embryonic variant murine CIZ1 (ECIZ1) with DNA replication [6,7], little is known about the function of other variants. Alternative splicing of CIZ1 may have important consequences for the cell and is known to affect

the sub-nuclear localization of the protein (Supplementary Table 1). For example, exclusion of exon 4 changes the sub-nuclear distribution of CIZ1 from focal to diffuse [2]. Thus alternative splicing of CIZ1 may influence the spatial organization of DNA replication, and suggests that some

CIZ1 splice variants have the potency to act as dominant-negative controllers of other variants [2].

We previously designed an exon-junction microarray that identified a number of novel variants of CIZ1, including one common cancer-associated CIZ1-variant characterized by a continuous deletion of part of exon 8, exon 9-11 and part of exon 12, here referred to as CIZ1-F (Figure 1(a)) [1]. CIZ1-F was initially identified in Ewing's Tumor cell lines and found to be overexpressed in primary lung tumors but not matched normal tissues [1]. Here, we characterize CIZ1-F mRNA and protein expression patterns by exploiting a short unique peptide encoded by expression of an alternative reading frame (ARF) of exon 12-13. We show that CIZ1-F is specifically expressed in G1 phase following mitosis but not G1 phase following quiescence. Despite lacking the C-terminal NM-attachment domain [8] and several protein interaction sites that are important for replication function, CIZ1-F resists extraction with DNase1. It is however sensitive to RNase, which contrasts with the behavior of full-length CIZ1. Most importantly, CIZ1-F is overexpressed in early stage primary human tumors and is associated with ER-negative status in breast tumors.

# **Results**

Human CIZ1-F is characterized by an 1181 base pair deletion (c1038\_2218del1181 in reference sequence NM\_012127.2), which excludes part of exons 8 and 12 and all of exons 9-11 (Figure 1(a), Supplementary Figure 1(a)). The exon 8–12 junction causes a frame-shift in the CIZ1 reading frame, leading to a premature translational stop codon in exon 13, despite the presence of downstream exons in CIZ1-F mRNA (Figure 1(a)). CIZ1-F protein therefore lacks previously characterized functional domains, including the MH3domain which anchors CIZ1 to the NM [8], and cyclin-binding motifs through which CIZ1 interacts with cyclin A, and which are essential for its DNA replication activity (Figure 1(b)) [6,7]. Other characterized sites of interaction with CDK2, p21<sup>cip1</sup> and YAP are also excluded [15-17], while interaction with CDC6 [18], ERa [12], and dynein light chain [15] might be retained. The interaction

sites of the CIZ1 binding factors PDRG1 [19], SH3BP4 [20], and TCF4 [21] are not yet characterized. Importantly, the ARF created by the 8-12 junction encodes 37 amino acids of unique sequence not present in other forms of CIZ1, or in other proteins, and results in inclusion of two additional LXXLL estrogen receptor (ER)-interaction motifs (Figure 1(b,c)) [22]. Thus CIZ1-F transcript appears to encode a 315 amino acid protein with a unique C-terminal end. To study CIZ1-F mRNA expression we designed a CIZ1-F junctionspecific gene expression probe (Supplementary Table 2, Supplementary Figure 1(b-c)). Initially, CIZ1-F mRNA levels were measured by qRT-PCR in a set of cell lines (Supplementary Figure 1(d)), revealing variable levels, with high expression in bladder and cervical cancer lines EJ and HeLa, as well as in breast cancer cell lines MCF-7, BT474 and MDA-MB-231. Evaluation of cultured tumor-derived cell lines showed that CIZ1-F transcript is elevated in MCF7 breast cancer cells compared to normal MCF-10A breast cells (Supplementary Figure 1(d)), and is in fact one of the main CIZ1 PCR-products (Supplementary Figure 1(a)), identifying MCF7 cells as a model cell line to study the function of CIZ1-F in cell proliferation. However, despite the reported functional interaction between CIZ1 and ERα [12], we were unable to detect a reproducible effect of estrogen on the expression of CIZ1 or CIZ1-F transcript in MCF7 cells (Supplementary Figure 1(e)).

## CIZ1-F protein is part of the RNA-dependent NM

In order to confirm that CIZ1-F transcript encodes a protein we generated an affinity-purified anti-peptide antibody against sequences in the unique C-terminal ARF of predicted CIZ1-F protein (Figure 1(c)). Specificity of the antibody was confirmed using ectopically expressed EGFP-full-length CIZ1 and EGFP-CIZ1-F for western blot and immunofluorescence applications (Supplementary Figure 2(a-b)). Further confirmation of the specificity of our CIZ1-F antibody was obtained using peptide blocking, which yielded two CIZ1-F-specific products of approximately 42kD and 71kD in total cell lysates (Supplementary Figure 2 (c)). The 42kD monomeric CIZ1-F product is larger than the predicted molecular weight of CIZ1-F (34kD)




Figure 2. Nuclear CIZ1-F resists extraction of chromatin but not RNA.

(A-B) CIZ1-F does not localize to the inactive X chromosome (Xi). Immunofluorescence with a monoclonal antibody for a peptide located in the CIZ1 anchor domain (AD; green) shows both diffuse nuclear expression and specific localization to a region previously shown to be the Xi [9]. Immunofluorescence with purified antibody raised to the CIZ1-F ARF (red) shows absence of Xi-localization. DNA is stained with Hoechst33258 (blue). Nuclei in white boxes are enlarged in (B). (C) Overview of nuclear matrix (NM) extraction procedure. MCF-7 cells were serially extracted with 1) detergent-containing cytoskeletal buffer (CSK), 2) detergent-containing CSK supplemented with 0.5 M NaCl (mock extraction), 3) DNase 1, or 4) RNase, or 5) both enzymes (see methods). (D) MCF-7 cells subjected to the treatments described under (C) were counterstained with Hoechst33258 to control for removal of chromatin (blue), and probed with purified polyclonal anti-CIZ1-F or replication domain (CIZ1-RD) antibody 1794 (red). CIZ1-RD resists all treatments, while CIZ1-F is sensitive to extraction of RNA, and is also dramatically revealed by removal of chromatin. Bar is 10 microns. (E) Quantification of the fluorescence intensities in (D), shown after subtraction of background signal and expressed relative to detergent treated cells. Number of cells quantified ≥ 70 per condition. Numbers refer to the treatment conditions listed above. (F) Enhanced and enlarged images of the indicated nuclei i-iv from (D), showing detergent and DNase-resistant nuclear fractions. (G) Interpretation of the data showing the dependency of CIZ1-F on RNA for nuclear retention, compared to full resistance of CIZ1-RD.

but in agreement with the mobility of EGFP-CIZ1-F after subtraction of EGFP (45kD; Supplementary Figure 2(a)).

Since full-length CIZ1 localizes to the Xi and interacts with Xist lncRNA, we first determined the relationship between CIZ1-F and the Xi in MCF-7 cells. Full-length CIZ1, detected by a monoclonal antibody to a C-terminal epitope, clearly localizes to the Xi in MCF-7 cells, though in line with reports that Xi in breast cancerderived cells is a less discrete (compact) entity than in 'normal' cells [23] Xi staining is markedly irregular, and also accompanied by the nucleus wide signal that is typical of CIZ1 [9]. In contrast, CIZ1-F shows a different pattern including both nuclear and cytoplasmic foci, but no enrichment at Xi (Figure 2(a-b)). We next examined the relationship of full-length CIZ1 and CIZ1-F with the NM. Full-length CIZ1 is part of the core protein NM (resistant to extraction with DNase and RNase), as evident by immunodetection of endogenous CIZ1 with RD-specific antibodies (Figure 2(c-f)). Analysis of attachment to this core protein NM can be recapitulated using EGFP-full-length CIZ1 and derived fragments, allowing the domain responsible for attachment to the NM to be located at the C-terminal end, and classified as 'anchor domain' or AD [8]. AD is missing from CIZ1-F, which would therefore not be expected to resist extraction. Importantly, under identical conditions to those used to profile endogenous CIZ1, the extraction profile for CIZ1-F indicates attachment to the RNA component of the NM (RNAprotein NM) because it resists extraction with DNase, but not when used in conjunction with RNase (Figure 2(c-f)). Notably, direct comparison of immunofluorescence signal in nuclei at different stages in the extraction process shows that the majority of the CIZ1-F epitope in the nucleus is in fact masked by chromatin (Figure 2(e)). The signal seen before extraction appears to be not the same population as that revealed when chromatin is removed, because pre-extraction signal is lost in mock treated nuclei and only revealed if DNase is also included in the reaction (Figure 2 (e)). Again, despite the reported association between ERa and CIZ1 [12], we did not observe co-localization of CIZ1-F with nuclear ERa in

immuno-detection experiments (Supplementary Figure 2(d)).

In conclusion, CIZ1-F resists extraction of chromatin and is therefore a NM protein, however it is not part of the core protein NM like full-length CIZ1 as it can be fully extracted by digestion of nuclear RNA, in the presence or absence of coextraction of DNA, identifying it as part of the RNA-protein NM (Figure 2(g)). The differential extraction profiles of full-length CIZ1 and CIZ1-F epitopes suggest that they may have distinct functions within the NM.

## CIZ1-F expression in cycling and quiescent cells

We next focused on CIZ1-F expression in cycling and quiescent cell populations, since CIZ1-F retains most regions involved in DNA-replication (Figure 1 (b)). In detergent-treated cell populations, endogeneous CIZ1-F protein expression patterns changed on entry to quiescence, switching from nuclear localization in cycling cells, to nuclear exclusion with cytoplasmic signal at confluence, to nearly undetectable in quiescence (Figure 3(a-b)). Importantly, when quiescent cell populations were subjected to full NM-extraction, all signal was lost when cells were treated with DNase, demonstrating that quiescent cell populations do not retain any CIZ1-F on the NM (Figure 3(c)).

CIZ1-F transcript levels were also assessed in cycling, contact-inhibited and serum-deprived cells, and compared to amplicons in CIZ1-RD and CIZ1-AD (Figure 3(d-e)). Overall, CIZ1-F transcript is approximately 50-fold lower than 'total' CIZ1 mRNA in cycling cells, which is broadly consistent with the frequency of expressed sequence tags in NCBI UniGene (accessed 03/03/ 2018), where 11 of 875 sequences (all from cancers) are CIZ1-F. CIZ1-RD and CIZ1-AD levels remain relatively stable during proliferative growth, and slightly increase during growth rate decline caused by serum starvation. However, CIZ1-F mRNA expression fell dramatically as proliferation dropped (Figure rate Supplementary Figure 3(a)), and recovered again upon addition of fresh serum. In contrast, contactinhibited normal fetal lung fibroblast MRC5 cells did not resume proliferation or restore CIZ1-F




Figure 3. CIZ1-F transcript is suppressed and CIZ1-F protein is excluded from the nuclear matrix in quiescent cells.

(A) Immunodetection of CIZ1-F in cycling (day 2), confluent (day 4) and quiescent (day 7 with medium change) populations of MCF-7 cells, after washing with detergent-cytoskeletal buffer to remove soluble protein. Enlarged images of example cells are shown and DAPI-stained images (left) show cell nuclei in the same fields. Bar is 10 microns. (B) Quantification of the average immunofluorescence signal of cells depicted in (A) after subtraction of background. Abbreviations: Cycl, cycling; Confl, confluent; Qsct, quiescent. (C) NM-extraction of quiescent MCF-7 cell populations showing no CIZ1-F on the NM after treatment with DNase. For details on the method see Figure 2(a). (D) Transcript levels in MCF-7 breast epithelial carcinoma cells at the indicated number of days post-plating, measured by quantitative RT-PCR (qPCR). A parallel culture that received regular changes of media (indicated as 'MC'), harvested at 11 d, is shown for comparison. Histograms show the mean of three technical replicates  $\pm$  SEM of a representative experiment (experiments were repeated at least 3 times). Data is expressed as relative quantification (RQ) after normalization to ACTB and CYPA, and is calibrated to levels at day 2. Cell counts at the time of harvesting are provided  $\pm$  SEM (black dotted line; average of three counts expressed as proportion of a confluent population). Right, comparison between replication domain (CIZ1-RD), anchor domain (CIZ1-AD) and CIZ1-F in MCF-7 cells at day 2  $\pm$  SEM, with no normalization to show relative levels. (E) As in (D) for MRC5 normal fetal lung fibroblast cells. Right, comparison between CIZ1-RD, CIZ1-AD and CIZ1-F at day 2. SEM for three technical replicates is shown. Primer and probe sequences are in Supplementary Table 2.

expression when boosted with serum (Figure 3(e)). This suggests that stable contact-induced growth arrest suppresses expression of CIZ1-F. In the same MCF-7 cell populations we observed additional effects on CIZ1 alternative splicing, most (Supplementary notably increased CIZ1-S Figure 3(a)) an isoform reported to be upregulated in Alzheimer's disease (Supplementary Table 1), and changes in CIZ1-Δ4 (Supplementary Figure 3 (b)) [2,3]. Together the data show that CIZ1-F mRNA and protein are expressed in proliferating cells and inhibited by both serum-deprivationinduced proliferation arrest (MCF-7), and contact-inhibition-induced proliferation arrest (MRC5).

# CIZ1-F mRNA is expressed in G1 following mitosis (M-G1) but not G1 following quiescence (Q-G1)

To understand better the role of CIZ1-F in proliferation we analyzed its cell cycle expression profile. In order to generate synchronized populations, serumdeprived MCF-7 cells were released back into cycle in the presence of fresh serum and the nucleotide analog EdU (Figure 4(a)). The frequency of EdU-positive cells indicates that the population passes through Sphase between 10 and 20 h after exiting quiescence, peaking at 16 h (49% of nuclei; Figure 4(a)), and this is preceded as expected, by an increase in expression of cyclin E mRNA. However, CIZ1-F transcript does not begin to increase until after the majority of cells have passed through S-phase, recovering only at 31 h (Figure 4(a)). In contrast, CIZ1 AD and RD expression remains relatively stable. When MCF-7 cells were exposed to the DNA synthesis inhibitors aphidicolin or thymidine, or arrested in mitosis by nocodazole upon release from quiescence, CIZ1-F expression was strongly suppressed compared to uninhibited cells (Figure 4(b)). Similar results were obtained with MRC5 cell populations treated with thymidine or nocodazole (Figure 4(c)). Thus CIZ1-F mRNA levels do not accumulate in either S-phase or mitosis and CIZ1-F is not expressed in Q-G1. However, when MCF7 cells were released from arrest with nocodazole in the M-phase following quiescence, CIZ1-F mRNA was expressed within 2 h, which is at least 4 h before cyclin E rises (Figure 4(d)). Thus, CIZ1-F mRNA is expressed in early G1 phase in the second cell cycle

following release from quiescence, or M-G1 (Figure 4(e)).

## CIZ1-F mRNA expression in primary tumors and cancer cell lines

To confirm that CIZ1-F is overrepresented in cancer tissue, and to extend our analysis of lung tumors [1], we analyzed CIZ1 mRNA in colon and breast cancer. Almost without exception colon cancer samples expressed elevated CIZ1-F compared to matched normal control tissue (P < 0.001, Related-Samples Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test; Figure 5(a); see Supplementary Figure 4 for individual sample data), and surprisingly the highest levels were in early stage tumors (P = 0.017 for early versus late stage, Mann-Whitney U-test). In contrast CIZ1-RD does not increase as dramatically in early stages, nor diminish significantly at later stages (P = 0.44 for the same comparison, Mann-Whitney U-test; Figure 5 (a)). Thus the data indicate upregulation of CIZ1-F specifically in early stage colon cancer. Similar results were obtained with breast tumors with higher CIZ1-F expression in tumor tissue compared to normal breast tissues (P = 0.016, Mann-Whitney U-test), whereas expression of CIZ1-RD was not significantly different (Figure 5(b);see Supplementary Figure 5 for individual data) [1]. Again CIZ1-F levels were most elevated in early stage tumors (P = 0.006 when compared to normal tissues, Mann-Whitney U-test). Thus the profile of CIZ1-F expression across tumor stage is similar for both breast cancer and colon cancer, and further preliminary analysis of lung and urinary bladder cancers returned similar trends (Supplementary Figure 6), suggesting that the stage profile may be common to other tumor types.

When grouped by tumor grade rather than stage, the greatest elevation was at lowest grade for colon cancer (Figure 5(c)), but for breast cancer CIZ1-F levels increased with grade (P = 0.029, Kruskall-Wallis Test; Figure 5(d)). This is not surprising however since, in this series, most lowgrade breast cancers were high-stage, and vice versa (see Figure 5(d)).

To evaluate the relationship with proliferation, the transcript level of the proliferation marker MKI67 was measured in the same breast and colon samples (Figure 5). For colon, although




Figure 4. CIZ1-F transcript is expressed in G1-phase.

(a) Quantitative RT-PCR showing *CIZ1*-F transcript (red) in MCF-7 cells during the first cell cycle following release from contact-inhibition and serum-deprivation induced cell cycle arrest, relative to *ACTB* and *CYPA* housekeeping genes, and calibrated to unreleased cells. *CIZ1* anchor domain (*CIZ1*-AD) and replication domain (*CIZ1*-RD) expression (gray lines), as well as cyclin E1 (blue) are shown for comparison. Data show means of four experiments ± SD. The percentage of cells that incorporated EdU into newly synthesized DNA during a 30 min pulse at the indicated times is shown for comparison (black line; two biological repeats ± SD). Note that error bars for cyclin E1 are very small (range, 0.00001–0.008). Cell cycle stages (estimates based on EdU-incorporation and cyclin-expression) are indicated below. Images on the right show EdU (purple) and total DNA (blue) before (0 h) and after (20 h) release. Bar is 10 microns. (b) *CIZ1*-AD, *CIZ1*-RD and *CIZ1*-F transcript in MCF-7 cells 31 h post-release from quiescence, in the presence and absence of aphidicolin (Aph), nocodazole (Noc) and thymidine (Thy), showing mean of three biological replicates ± SEM. (c) As in (b), but for MRC5 normal lung fetal fibroblast cells. Mean RQ of three technical replicates is expressed relative to cycling samples (± SEM). (d) As in (a), but showing release of MCF-7 cells from a 24 h nocodazole-arrest applied 16 h after release from quiescence. Synchronization strategy is indicated above the graph. Graph shows transcript levels (mean of three technical replicates ± SEM), at the indicated hours after release from arrest, with cell cycle stage (based on cyclin E expression) illustrated below. Right, control sample without nocodazole (40 h post-release from quiescence). (e) Schematic summarizing *CIZ1*-RD, *CIZ1*-AD and *CIZ1*-F mRNA expression levels during the first cell cycle following release from cell cycle arrest (Q-G1) and mitotic arrest (M-G1). Primer and probe sequences are in Supplementary Table 2.




Figure 5. CIZ1-F is overexpressed in early stage human breast and colon cancer.

(a) CIZ1-F and replication domain (CIZ1-RD) expression in 24 primary colon tumors and matched normal samples (Origene colon cancer cDNA array HCRT103), showing mean mRNA expression levels for the average of all matched normal tissues and the four cancer stage classifications. CIZ1-RD and MKI67 are shown for comparison. Individual data per case can be found in Figure 4, and individual classifications and pathology notes accesses at www.origene.com. (b) As in (a), showing 5 normal samples and 43 primary breast cancer samples from the indicated stages (Origene breast cancer cDNA array BCRT102). Data for individual cases can be found in Figure 5. (c) As in (a) for the same 24 colon cancer samples and matched normal samples, showing mean mRNA expression grouped by grade. Grade 1: 50% late stage; grade 2: 38% late stage; grade 3: 100% late stage (1 case, white bar). Late stage refers to stage III and stage IV, (d) As in (b) for the same 5 normal samples and 43 primary breast cancer samples, showing mean mRNA expression grouped by grade. Grade 1: 100% late stage tumors; grade 2: 43% late stage tumors; grade 3: 27% late stage tumors. Late stage refers to stage III and stage IV. Mean RQ's to the mean CIZ1-RD-expression of normal samples ± SEM are shown. Significant differences are indicated (NS, not significant). Primer and probe sequences are in Supplementary Table 2.

MKI67 and CIZ1-F levels correlated closely when the matched normal tissues were included in the analysis (P = 0.0020; Pearson Correlation), no correlation was found between levels across the cancer samples only, whereas CIZ1-RD was strongly correlated to MKI67 levels by both analyzes  $(P \le 0.00043; Pearson Correlation)$ . Although less obvious for breast tumors, again no significant correlation across the full set for CIZ1-F and MKI67 be identified (P = 0.058;Correlation), compared to correlation with RD ( $P \le 0.0050$ ; Pearson Correlation). When performing linear regression with both CIZ1-RD and CIZ1-F in colon or breast samples, only CIZ1-RD significantly relates to MKI67 expression in all analyzes  $(P \le 0.0050)$ . Thus, unlike CIZ1-RD, CIZ1-F does not mirror expression of MKI67 and therefore does not seem to have a simple relationship with cell proliferation.

Interestingly, CIZ1-F levels correlated with hormone receptor status in breast tumors. ER and PR negative tumors have significantly higher CIZ1-F transcript levels than ER or progesterone receptor (PR) positive tumors (P = 0.005, Mann-Whitney U-test; Figure 6(a-b)), while HER2 status was not correlated (Figure 6(c)). This is not the case for CIZ1-RD, arguing for a relationship between CIZ1-F and ERa and PR in primary tumors.

## **Discussion**

Here we demonstrate that CIZ1-F is part of the RNAdependent nuclear-matrix and elevated in early-stage cancers including hormone receptor negative, but not positive breast tumors. We show that CIZ1-F is distinct from other forms of CIZ1 and may have potential as a biomarker of early-stage disease.

# CIZ1-F is part of the RNA-dependent NM and distinct from full-length CIZ1

CIZ1 has multiple functions, both within and outside the context of DNA replication and the cell cycle, and its complexity is increased by the existence of multiple splice variants (Supplementary Table 1) [1-7,18]. We and others have shown that CIZ1 is a NM protein, defined by its resistance to extraction of chromatin [3,8], which suggests that its function is connected to nuclear architecture,

possibly contributing to the spatial regulation of nuclear processes [6-8,18,24]. Although the NM appears to be corrupted in certain types of cancer [25] previous work [26-28] and our own experiments indicate that MCF-7 cells retain a NM structure to some extent. In MCF-7 cells both CIZ1-RD and CIZ1-F, as well as ERa, resist coextraction with chromatin, indicating the presence of a non-chromatin stabilizing structure with which they are associated. This is of interest because CIZ1-F lacks the previously characterized NM anchor domain encoded by the C-terminal end of CIZ1. Moreover CIZ1-F is actually revealed by removal of chromatin, suggesting that the ARFencoded epitope is normally in close proximity to chromatin, and masked by it. Further distinguishing CIZ1-F from full-length CIZ1 [8] is its behavior in quiescent cells. Here, CIZ1-F is excluded from the nucleus and not evident even after removal of chromatin, suggesting that its role is exclusively in cycling cells. Finally, though CIZ1-F is co-extracted with the RNA fraction of the NM (Figure 2(g)), it does not normally reside at the Xi implying that, unlike full-length CIZ1 this is not mediated by interaction with Xist lncRNA [9,10]. Future research should now focus on assigning a function to CIZ1-F. Since the unique junction sequence is a very limited target, we were not able to achieve specific CIZ1-F knockdown using an siRNA approach (data not shown).

## Biomarker potential for early stage cancers

Previous reports have shown overexpression of CIZ1 in colon [29,30], gallbladder [21], lung [31], and prostate cancer [32], as well as ependymomas, gliomas, and medulloblastomas [33], and it has also been reported that CIZ1 knockout mice develop leukaemias and lymphomas [9,34]. Moreover, splice variants of CIZ1 appear to have lineage-specific functions [4] some of which are over-represented in human tumors; notably CIZ1-Δ4 in Ewings tumor and CIZ1-B in lung tumors [2,5]. However, for most published analyzes it is not clear which variants are reported on, and in some cases transcript detection tools that are reported to reflect CIZ1 levels may actually reflect shifts in variant expression. Here we use validated and specific tools to demonstrate that CIZ1-F is




Figure 6. CIZ1-F expression is increased in ER-negative tumors. Box-plots showing CIZ1 replication domain (CIZ1-RD; left), CIZ1-F (middle) and MKI67 (right) expression in (a) normal samples and estrogen receptor (ER)-positive and -negative tumors, (b) normal samples and progesterone receptor (PR)-positive and -negative tumors, and (c) normal samples and HER-2-positive and -negative tumors. RQ's are expressed relative to mean CIZ1-RD-expression of normal samples. Significant differences between subgroups are indicated (Mann Whitney U-tests; NS, not significant). Primer and probe sequences are in Supplementary Table 2.

upregulated in tumors of the colon and breast, that upregulation is most pronounced in early stage (I and II) disease, and is not directly correlated with proliferation. We also note that the association of CIZ1-F with tumor grade varies between tumor types, so although disrupted nuclear architecture and altered NM is a common feature of poorly differentiated and aggressive cancers [25,35], we cannot at this stage draw a correlation with suppression of CIZ1-F. In addition, our analyzes have currently been limited to CIZ1-F mRNA levels. Future studies should determine CIZ1-F protein expression patterns in primary tumor samples.

Perhaps most useful is the clear correlation with hormone receptor status of breast tumors. Previous analysis has identified CIZ1 as an estrogen-responsive gene with estrogen-response elements in its promoter [12]. Moreover, the N-terminal domain of CIZ1 protein can also interact with ER, conferring hypersensitivity to estrogen in animal models and enhancing the tumourigenicity of breast cancer cells [12]. Though alternative splicing was not addressed in this study, a contribution of CIZ1-F to the cells response to estrogen is likely because the ER interaction domain is partially retained in CIZ1-F, and it has two additional LXXLL nuclear receptor binding motifs [22] encoded by its unique C-terminal ARF. Contrary to published results [12] we did not observe induction of CIZ1 upon stimulation with estrogen for 24 h. A possible explanation for the apparent discrepancy is that different CIZ1 primers were used previously [12], which may well have reported on alternative splicing of CIZ1 exon 8 rather than overall levels. In our study we detected CIZ1-RD and CIZ1-AD amplicons in regions unrelated to exon 8 in order to avoid this highly variable region of CIZ1. CIZ1 binding to (PR) has not been previously studied; though it has been shown that, in the context of NCoA-1/SRC-1, PR also requires two LXXLL domains [36]. Thus, while we could find no functional response to estrogen in MCF-7 cells, the presence of these domains and the correlation with breast tumor receptor status supports a relationship in vivo.

# Different CIZ1 splice variants at different cell proliferation stages

Transcript expression profile also distinguishes CIZ1-F from other variants of CIZ1. CIZ1-F has a distinct cell cycle-specific profile with low levels in G0, and delayed upregulation beyond the first cell cycle, which is at variance with the relatively constant expression of CIZ1-RD and CIZ1-AD amplicons (Figure 4(e)). In these studies we also noted proliferation state dependent changes in the CIZ1-S and CIZ1-Δ4 variants. In fact in MCF-7 cells, they seem to be inversely related to CIZ1-F, with CIZ1-S and CIZ1-Δ4 being more highly expressed in arrested cells (Supplementary Figure 3). It is worth noting that both CIZ1-F and CIZ1-S variants are the product of exon 8 splicing events, and that exon 8 splicing patterns have been implicated in Alzheimer's disease and cervical dystonia [3,14].

In conclusion, this exploratory study has convincingly distinguished CIZ1-F from CIZ1, revealed association with the RNA-dependent NM, and highlighted an unusual cell cycle expression pattern. It also supports a relationship with estrogen responsive cancers and suggests that CIZ1-F may have potential as a biomarker.

## **Materials & methods**

## Cell culture and tumor samples

MCF-7 breast cancer cells were cultured in minimal essential medium (cat. 21090-022; Gibco, Life Technologies, Thermo Fisher Scientific [TFS] Inc) in the presence of 10% fetal calf serum (FCS; cat. FB-5815 Biosera, Boussens, France) and 1X penicillin-streptomycin-glutamine (PSG; 10378-016; Gibco, Life Technologies, TFS Inc). MRC5 normal lung fetal fibroblast cells were grown in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (cat. 21885-025; Gibco, Life Technologies, TFS Inc) in the presence of 10% FCS and 1X PSG. MCF-10A normal breast cells were cultured as previously described [37]. The cell lines were tested and authenticated in August 2017 using PCR-single-locus-technology (Eurofins Medigenomix Forensik GmbH). TissueScan<sup>TM</sup> Cancer Survey cDNA array (CSRT103; Origene) was used to assess CIZ1-F levels in different human cancer types. The breast cancer cDNA array BCRT102 (Origene) was used to screen 43 breast cancer samples and 5 normal breast tissues. The colon cancer cDNA array HCRT103 (Origene) was used to screen 24 colon cancer samples with matched normal tissues.

## Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)

RNA was isolated using Trizol® Reagent (cat. 15596-026; Ambion RNA, Life Technologies, TFS Inc) and reverse transcribed into cDNA using Superscript® III First-Strand Synthesis System (cat. 18080-051; Life Technologies, TFS Inc). Quantitative RT-PCR was performed using SYBR green (FastSYBR\* Green Mastermix, cat. 4385612; Applied Biosystems® [AB], TFS Inc) or Taqman (Taqman® Fast Universal PCR Master Mix, cat. 4352042; AB, TFS Inc) reagents using the AB StepOnePlus<sup>TM</sup> Real-Time PCR Systems (TFS Inc). The following program was used: 95°C for 20 s, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 3 s and 60°C for 30 s. Data was analyzed using the StepOne Software v. 2.3 (AB, TFS Inc). Origene® cancer cDNA array plates were processed with the AB 7300 system (TFS Inc) using the TaqMan® Universal Master Mix II (cat. 4440040; AB, TFS Inc) using the following program: 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min, followed by 50 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min.

Primers were designed using Primer 3 Plus (http://primer3plus.com/), except for the ACTB primers [5] and CYPA primers [38], which were previously described, and cyclin D1 and cyclin E1 primers, which were retrieved from qPrimerDepot (http://primerdepot.nci.nhi.gov). All primers are listed in Supplementary Table 2. CIZ1-RD was detected with primers in exon 6/7 and CIZ1-AD with primers in exon 15/16 (Figure 1(a), Supplementary Table 2). For the Origene cDNA array plates, CIZ1-F was detected with primers 23-25, CIZ1-RD with primers 20-22 and MKI67 Taqman Assay (assay using Hs01032443\_m1). All expression levels have been normalized to the mean expression of ACTB and CYPA unless stated differently.

## **Constructs and transfection**

Human CIZ1-F was cloned from cDNA from MCF-7 cells using PCR with DreamTaq (cat. EP1701; TFS Inc) and T/A cloning into the pGEM®-T Easy Vector System I (cat. A1360; Promega) and verified by sequencing. CIZ1-F was subsequently transferred using restriction enzymes (PmlI and SanDI, cat. ER0361 and FD2164, respectively; TFS Inc) into the pEGFP-C3 vector, allowing direct comparison with full-length CIZ1, containing all translated exons (2-17), also cloned into pEGFP-C3 as part of a previous study [5]. Both plasmids were transiently transfected into MCF-7 cells using the *Trans*IT®-3T3 TransIT®-LT1 Transfection Kits (cat. MIR 2180 and MIR 2300, respectively; Mirus Bio LLC).

## **Antibodies**

CIZ1-F specific antibody was made by immunizing rabbits with two peptides (Figure 1(c)) from the unique CIZ1-F ARF-encoded peptide (Covalab UK Ltd). Antisera from two rabbits were pooled and immunopurified against peptide 2 (which had no significant similarity to any other protein) and used for Western Blot and immunofluorescence (dilutions 1:100-1:500 and 1:50, respectively). CIZ1-F blocking peptides were used in a 1:500 dilution. Mouse monoclonal antibody (hC221a)

against CIZ1 was raised by Fusion Antibodies against a recombinant human CIZ1 C-terminal fragment encoded by amino acids 678-898 in reference sequence UniProt Q9ULV3. In addition, purified CIZ1 1794 polyclonal antiserum [6] was used to detect CIZ1 without discriminating CIZ1-F. For immunofluorescence goat polyclonal antimouse-AlexaFluor488 conjugate or goat polyclonal anti-rabbit-AlexaFluor568 conjugate (cat. A11001 and A11011, respectively, Life Technologies, TFS) were used as appropriate. For Western Blot CIZ1 exon 5 antibody (cat. HPA-020380; Sigma-Aldrich) was used to detect CIZ1 without discriminating CIZ1-F. Actin (cat. A3853, Sigma Aldrich) was used as a loading control.

#### **Immunodetection**

Protein extracts were obtained by washing cells with cold PBS and harvesting directly into SDS sample buffer (100 mM DTT, 2% SDS, 60 mM Tris pH 6.8, 0.001% bromophenol blue) at 95°C in the presence of 2 mM PMSF. Western blot was performed as previously described [6]. Bands were visualized using EZ-ECL (cat. 20-500-120; Biological Industries Ltd).

For immunofluorescence, cells grown on glass coverslips were transferred into PBS, washed with cytoskeletal (CSK) buffer (containing EDTA-free protease inhibitor tablets [cat. 05056489001; F Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Sigma Aldrich] and 1 mM DTT) with or without 0.1% Triton X-100 [39] for 30 s, then transferred to PBS and fixed for 20 min in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS. Primary antibodies were incubated for 1.5 h at 37°C and secondary antibodies for 1 h at 37°C in the dark in antibody buffer (PBS, 10 mg/ml BSA, 0.2% SDS, 0.1% Triton X-100). Nuclei were counterstained with **DAPI** (VECTASHIELD Antifade Mounting Medium with DAPI, cat. H-1200; Vector Laboratories).

Fluorescent image data was collected with a Zeiss Axiovert microscope (Carl Zeiss) with a 63/ 1.40 oil immersion objective and an AxioCam camera (Carl Zeiss Vision) with Openlab software (version 4.0.2, Improvision). Images were processed using Adobe PhotoShop to reduce background to black and to overlay multicolor images. Where quantitative data is derived from the images, all capture parameters and image



processing was identical for samples and controls within an experiment.

## **Nuclear matrix extractions**

Cells were fractionated as previously described [39,40]. In brief, coverslips were washed with detergent (0.1% Triton-X-100 in CSK), followed by the same buffer with 0.5 M NaCl. Cells were then incubated at 37°C for 1 h in DNase Buffer with or without DNase I (0.5 U/µl, cat. 00000004716728001; F Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Sigma Aldrich), RNase (cat. 000000011579681001; F Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Sigma Aldrich) or both nucleases in combination, then fixed for 20 min paraformaldehyde. Ribonucleoside Complex (cat. S1402S; New England Biolabs) was added at 1:80 to all buffers with the exception of the RNase containing treatment step and its preceding wash steps. Coverslips counterstained with Hoechst33258 (1:100,000, cat. H3569; TFS) to verify removal of chromatin, and immunostained as indicated.

## Cell cycle synchronization

MCF-7 cells were synchronized by release from quiescence as described [41]. Cells were grown to confluence, then the medium was changed, and cells cultured for a further four days without further media changes and then released in serum-containing medium by 1:4 splitting. Alternatively, cells were incubated in the presence of nocodazole (0.04 µg/ml), aphidicolin (5 µg/ml) or thymidine (2.5 mM) for 24 h, and released from arrest by washing twice in PBS followed by addition of fresh medium. In order to verify synchrony, incorporation of EdU into newly synthesized DNA was measured using Click-iT® EdU Alexa Fluor® 555 (cat. C10338; Invitrogen, Life Technologies, TFS Inc).

## Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS for Windows (version 21.0.0.0). Associations between expression levels and clinical parameters were calculated using the Mann Whitney U-test or the Kruskall-Wallis test, and for related samples using the Related-Samples Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test, as indicated. Relationships between expression levels amongst different genes were determined using Pearson Correlation and linear regression. All statistical tests were two-sided and all P-values were considered significant when < 0.05. Significance levels were indicated as follows: \*, P < 0.05; \*\*, P < 0.01; \*\*\*, P < 0.001.

## **Abbreviations:**

AΒ

AD	Applied Diosystellis
AD	anchor domain
ARF	alternative reading frame
CIZ1	CDKN1A-interacting zinc finger protein
CSK	cytoskeletal
ERα	estrogen receptor alpha
FCS	fetal calf serum
NM	nuclear matrix
PR	progesterone receptor
PSG	penicillin-streptomycin-glutamine
RD	replication domain
RQ	relative quantity
SD	standard deviation
SEM	standard error of mean
qRT-PCR	quantitative real-time PCR
TFS	Thermo Fisher Scientific
Xi	inactive X chromosome
Xist lncRNA	X-inactive specific transcript long non-coding

Applied Biocystems

## **Acknowledgments**

RNA

We thank Dr Justin Ainscough for critical comments on the manuscript, Hannah Screeton for her contribution to cell studies and quantitative PCRs, and Dr William Brackenbury for providing cell line RNA.

#### **Disclosure statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

## **Funding**

This work was funded by a project grant from Yorkshire Cancer Research (YCR grant no Y258 to DC) and Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council doctoral training funds to ES (White Rose DTP grant no BB/ M011151/1).



## **Author's contribution**

DS and ES conducted experiments. GH provided technical assistance. DS and DC wrote the manuscript.

### **ORCID**

Dorian R.A. Swarts (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7927-7613 Emma R. Stewart http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0189-9348 Dawn Coverley http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8262-7023

#### References

- [1] Rahman FA, Aziz N, Coverley D. Differential detection of alternatively spliced variants of Ciz1 in normal and cancer cells using a custom exon-junction microarray. BMC Cancer. 2010;10:482.
- [2] Rahman F, Ainscough JF, Copeland N, et al. Cancerassociated missplicing of exon 4 influences the subnuclear distribution of the DNA replication factor CIZ1. Hum Mutat. 2007;28:993-1004.
- [3] Dahmcke CM, Buchmann-Moller S, Jensen NA, et al. Altered splicing in exon 8 of the DNA replication factor CIZ1 affects subnuclear distribution and is associated with Alzheimer's disease. Mol Cell Neurosci. 2008;38:589-594.
- [4] Greaves EA, Copeland NA, Coverley D, et al. Cancerassociated variant expression and interaction of CIZ1 with cyclin A1 in differentiating male germ cells. J Cell Sci. 2012;125:2466-2477.
- [5] Higgins G, Roper KM, Watson IJ, et al. Variant Ciz1 is a circulating biomarker for early-stage lung cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2012;109:E3128-35.
- [6] Coverley D, Marr J, Ainscough J. Ciz1 promotes mammalian DNA replication. J Cell Sci. 2005;118:101-112.
- [7] Copeland NA, Sercombe HE, Ainscough JF, et al. Ciz1 cooperates with cyclin-A-CDK2 to activate mammalian DNA replication in vitro. J Cell Sci. 2010;123:1108–1115.
- [8] Ainscough JF, Rahman FA, Sercombe H, et al. C-terminal domains deliver the DNA replication factor Ciz1 to the nuclear matrix. J Cell Sci. 2007;120:115-124.
- [9] Ridings-Figueroa R, Stewart ER, Nesterova TB, et al. The nuclear matrix protein CIZ1 facilitates localization of Xist RNA to the inactive X-chromosome territory. Genes Dev. 2017;31:876-888.
- [10] Sunwoo H, Colognori D, Froberg JE, et al. Repeat E anchors Xist RNA to the inactive X chromosomal compartment through CDKN1A-interacting protein (CIZ1). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2017;114:10654-10659.
- [11] Coverley D, Higgins G, West D, et al. A quantitative immunoassay for lung cancer biomarker CIZ1b in patient plasma. Clin Biochem. 2017;50:336-343.
- [12] Den Hollander P, Rayala SK, Coverley D, et al. Ciz1, a Novel DNA-binding coactivator of the estrogen receptor alpha, confers hypersensitivity to estrogen action. Cancer Res. 2006;66:11021-11029.

- [13] Siegel R, Ma J, Zou Z, et al. Cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin. 2014;64:9-29.
- [14] Xiao J, Uitti RJ, Zhao Y, et al. Mutations in CIZ1 cause adult onset primary cervical dystonia. Ann Neurol. 2012;71:458-469.
- [15] Den Hollander P, Kumar R. Dynein light chain 1 contributes to cell cycle progression by increasing cyclindependent kinase 2 activity in estrogen-stimulated cells. Cancer Res. 2006;66:5941-5949.
- [16] Mitsui K, Matsumoto A, Ohtsuka S, et al. Cloning and characterization of a novel p21(Cip1/Waf1)-interacting zinc finger protein, ciz1. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1999;264:457-464.
- [17] Lei L, Wu J, Gu D, et al. CIZ1 interacts with YAP and activates its transcriptional activity in hepatocellular carcinoma cells. Tumour Biol. 2016;37:11073-11079.
- [18] Copeland NA, Sercombe HE, Wilson RH, et al. Cyclin A/CDK2 phosphorylation of CIZ1 blocks replisome formation and initiation of mammalian DNA replication. J Cell Sci. 2015;128:1518-1527.
- [19] Jiang L, Luo X, Shi J, et al. PDRG1, a novel tumor marker for multiple malignancies that is selectively regulated by genotoxic stress. Cancer Biol Ther. 2011;11:567-573.
- [20] Thalappilly S, Suliman M, Gayet O, et al. Identification of multi-SH3 domain-containing protein interactome in pancreatic cancer: a yeast two-hybrid approach. Proteomics. 2008;8:3071-3081.
- [21] Zhang D, Wang Y, Dai Y, et al. CIZ1 promoted the growth and migration of gallbladder cancer cells. Tumour Biol. 2015;36:2583-2591.
- [22] Heery DM, Kalkhoven E, Hoare S, et al. A signature motif in transcriptional co-activators mediates binding to nuclear receptors. Nature. 1997;387:733-736.
- [23] Chaligne R, Popova T, Mendoza-Parra MA, et al. The inactive X chromosome is epigenetically unstable and transcriptionally labile in breast cancer. Genome Res. 2015;25:488-503.
- [24] Wilson RH, Coverley D. Relationship between DNA replication and the nuclear matrix. Genes Cells. 2013;18:17-31.
- [25] Zink D, Fischer AH, Nickerson JA. Nuclear structure in cancer cells. Nat Rev Cancer. 2004;4:677-687.
- [26] Spencer VA, Samuel SK, Davie JR. Nuclear matrix proteins associated with DNA in situ in hormonedependent and hormone-independent human breast cancer cell lines. Cancer Res. 2000;60:288-292.
- [27] Samuel SK, Minish TM, Davie JR. Nuclear matrix proteins in well and poorly differentiated human breast cancer cell lines. J Cell Biochem. 1997;66:9-15.
- [28] Khanuja PS, Lehr JE, Soule HD, et al. Nuclear matrix proteins in normal and breast cancer cells. Cancer Res. 1993:53:3394-3398.
- [29] Wang DQ, Wang K, Yan DW, et al. Ciz1 is a novel predictor of survival in human colon cancer. Exp Biol Med. 2014;239:862-870.
- [30] Yin J, Wang C, Tang X, et al. CIZ1 regulates the proliferation, cycle distribution and colony formation



- of RKO human colorectal cancer cells. Mol Med Rep. 2013;8:1630-1634.
- [31] Zhou X, Liu Q, Wada Y, et al. CDKN1A-interacting zinc finger protein 1 is a novel biomarker for lung squamous cell carcinoma. Oncol Lett. 2018;15:183-188.
- [32] Liu T, Ren X, Li L, et al. Ciz1 promotes tumorigenicity of prostate carcinoma cells. Front Biosci (Landmark Ed). 2015;20:705-715.
- [33] Warder DE, Keherly MJ. Ciz1, Cip1 interacting zinc finger protein 1 binds the consensus DNA sequence ARYSR(0-2)YYAC. J Biomed Sci. 2003;10:406-417.
- [34] Nishibe R, Watanabe W, Ueda T, et al. CIZ1, a p21Cip1/ Waf1-interacting protein, functions as a tumor suppressor in vivo. FEBS Lett. 2013;587:1529-1535.
- [35] Verheijen R, van Venrooij W, Ramaekers F. The nuclear matrix: structure and composition. J Cell Sci. 1988;90(Pt 1):11-36.
- [36] McInerney EM, Rose DW, Flynn SE, et al. Determinants of coactivator LXXLL motif specificity in nuclear receptor transcriptional activation. Genes Dev. 1998;12:3357-3368.
- [37] Tait L, Soule HD, Russo J. Ultrastructural and immunocytochemical characterization of an immortalized human

- breast epithelial cell line, MCF-10. Cancer Res. 1990;50:6087-6094.
- [38] Swarts DR, Henfling ME, Van Neste L, et al. CD44 and OTP are strong prognostic markers for pulmonary carcinoids. Clin Cancer Res. 2013;19:2197-2207.
- [39] Wilson RHH, Hesketh EL, Coverley D. The nuclear matrix: fractionation techniques and analysis. In: Pryor PP, editor. Subcellular fractionation - a laboratory manual. New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 2015. p. 223-233.
- [40] Hesketh EL, Knight JR, Wilson RH, et al. Transient association of MCM complex proteins with the nuclear matrix during initiation of mammalian DNA replication. Cell Cycle. 2015;14:333-341.
- [41] Coverley D, Laman H, Laskey RA. Distinct roles for cyclins E and A during DNA replication complex assembly and activation. Nat Cell Biol. 2002;4:523-528.
- [42] Lukasik A, Uniewicz KA, Kulis M, et al. Ciz1, a p21 cip1/Waf1-interacting zinc finger protein and DNA replication factor, is a novel molecular partner for human enhancer of rudimentary homolog. FEBS J. 2008;275:332-340.