

This is a repository copy of *Expanding Hermitian operators in a basis of projectors on coherent spin states*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/1354/>

---

**Article:**

Weigert, S. orcid.org/0000-0002-6647-3252 (2004) Expanding Hermitian operators in a basis of projectors on coherent spin states. *Journal of Optics B: Quantum and Semiclassical Optics*. pp. 489-490. ISSN: 1464-4266

<https://doi.org/10.1088/1464-4266/6/12/001>

---

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

# Expanding Hermitean Operators in a Basis of Projectors on Coherent Spin States

Stefan Weigert

Institut de Physique, Université de Neuchâtel  
 Rue A.-L. Breguet 1, CH-2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland  
 stefan.weigert@iph.unine.ch

July 1999

## Abstract

The expectation values of a hermitean operator  $\hat{A}$  in  $(2s+1)^2$  specific coherent states of a spin are known to determine the operator unambiguously. As shown here, (almost) any other  $(2s+1)^2$  coherent states also provide a basis for self-adjoint operators. This is proven by considering the determinant of the Gram matrix associated with the coherent state projectors as a Hamiltonian of a fictitious *classical* spin system.

State reconstruction [1] aims at parametrizing the density matrix  $\hat{\rho}$  of a quantum system by the expectations of appropriately chosen observables, the quorum. For a spin  $s$ , the (unnormalized) density matrix has  $N_s = (2s+1)^2$  independent real parameters; in [2], a particularly simple and non-redundant quorum consisting of precisely  $N_s$  projectors on coherent spin states  $|\mathbf{n}\rangle$ , satisfying  $\mathbf{n} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}|\mathbf{n}\rangle = \hbar s|\mathbf{n}\rangle$ , has been identified.

Indeed, the density matrix  $\hat{\rho}$  of a spin  $s$  is determined unambiguously if one performs appropriate measurements with a traditional Stern-Gerlach apparatus. Distribute  $N_s$  axes  $\mathbf{n}_n, n = 1, \dots, N_s$ , over  $(2s+1)$  cones about the  $z$  axis with different opening angles in such a way that the set of the  $(2s+1)$  directions on each cone is invariant under a rotation about  $z$  by an angle  $2\pi/(2s+1)$ . Then, an (unnormalized) statistical operator  $\hat{\rho}$  is fixed by measuring the  $(2s+1)^2$  relative frequencies  $p_s(\mathbf{n}_n) = \langle \mathbf{n}_n | \hat{\rho} | \mathbf{n}_n \rangle$ , that is, by the expectation values of the statistical operator  $\hat{\rho}$  in the coherent states  $|\mathbf{n}_n\rangle$ . In other words, a hermitean operator  $\hat{A} \in \mathcal{A}_s$  (which is the space of linear operators acting in the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_s$  of the spin) is fixed by the values of its  $Q$ -symbol,  $Q_A(\mathbf{n}) = \text{Tr}[\hat{A}|\mathbf{n}\rangle\langle\mathbf{n}|] = \langle \mathbf{n} | \hat{A} | \mathbf{n} \rangle$  at  $N_s$  appropriately chosen points. For brevity, let us denote a set of  $N_s$  points (as well as the associated family of  $N_s$  unit vectors  $\mathbf{n}_n$ ) as a ‘constellation’  $\mathcal{N}$  or a ‘hedgehog’  $\mathcal{N}$  with unit spikes  $\mathbf{n}_n$ . Independent reconstruction schemes for spin  $s$  do exist [3, 4].

For technical reasons, the spatial directions  $\mathbf{n}_n$  dealt with in [2] were restricted to a certain class of *regular* hedgehogs,  $\mathcal{N}_0$ . The purpose here is to show that this restriction is not necessary: given a *generic* constellation  $\mathcal{M}$ , the  $N_s$  values of the Q-symbol  $Q_A(\mathbf{n}_n)$  contain all the information about the operator  $\hat{A}$ . Let us put it differently: given *any* constellation  $\mathcal{M}$  of vectors  $\mathbf{m}_n$ , then *either* the numbers  $Q_A(\mathbf{m}_n)$  determine  $\hat{A}$ , *or* there is an *infinitesimally close* constellation  $\mathcal{M}'$  such that the numbers  $Q_A(\mathbf{m}'_n)$  do the job. Two hedgehogs  $\mathcal{M}'$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  are close if, for example, the number

$$d(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_s} |\mathbf{m}'_n - \mathbf{m}_n|, \quad (1)$$

is small. To visualise this statement, consider the real vector space  $\mathbb{R}^3$ : any three unit vectors form a basis provided they are neither co-planar nor co-linear. Among all possibilities, the exceptional constellations have measure zero. At the same time, it is obvious that arbitrarily small variations typically turn the three linearly dependent vectors into a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

The starting point of the proof are  $N_s$  projection operators on coherent states,

$$\hat{Q}_n = |\mathbf{n}_n\rangle\langle\mathbf{n}_n|, \quad \mathbf{n}_n \in \mathcal{N}^0, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N_s, \quad (2)$$

determined uniquely by the constellation  $\mathcal{N}_0$  described. It will be shown now any other hedgehog  $\mathcal{M}$  (or an infinitesimally close one,  $\mathcal{M}'$ ) also will provide a basis of the space  $\mathcal{A}_s$ .

The  $N_s^2$  elements of the *Gram matrix*  $G_{nn'}$  [5] associated with a constellation  $\mathcal{M}$  are given by the scalar product of the projectors on coherent states:

$$G_{nn'} = \text{Tr} [\hat{Q}_n \hat{Q}_{n'}] = |\langle\mathbf{m}_n|\mathbf{m}_{n'}\rangle|^2 = \left( \frac{1 + \mathbf{m}_n \cdot \mathbf{m}_{n'}}{2} \right)^{2s}, \quad 1 \leq n, n' \leq N_s. \quad (3)$$

Thus, the scalar product of two coherent states is a *polynomial* in the components of the associated unit vectors  $\mathbf{m}_n$  and  $\mathbf{m}_{n'}$ . The result in [2] comes down to saying that the Gram matrix of the constellation  $\mathcal{N}_0$  is invertible or, equivalently, its determinant does not vanish.

The determinant of the matrix  $G$ , if conceived as a function of the  $n$ -th vector, is infinitely often differentiable with respect to its components, according to (3). Upon keeping the vectors  $\mathbf{n}_1, \dots, \mathbf{n}_{n-1}$  and  $\mathbf{n}_{n+1}, \dots, \mathbf{n}_{N_s}$  fixed, it may be regarded as a fictitious time-independent *Hamiltonian function*  $H$  of a single classical spin,  $\mathbf{n}_n$ :

$$\det G(\mathbf{n}_n) = H(\mathbf{n}_n). \quad (4)$$

It is different from zero if  $\mathbf{n}_n$  coincides with the  $n$ -th vector of the constellation  $\mathcal{N}_0$ . This Hamiltonian describes an *integrable* system since there is just one degree of freedom accompanied by one constant of motion, the Hamiltonian itself [6]. The two-dimensional phase space  $\mathbb{S}^2$  is foliated entirely by one-dimensional tori of constant energy. In addition, a finite number of (elliptic or hyperbolic) fixed points and one-dimensional separatrices

will occur. This can be seen, for example, by looking at the flow on the unit sphere generated by the Hamiltonian  $H(\mathbf{n}_n)$ :

$$\frac{d\mathbf{n}_n}{dt} = \mathbf{n}_n \times \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{n}_n}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\partial/\partial \mathbf{n}_n$  is the gradient with respect to  $\mathbf{n}_n$  [7]. The right-hand-side is a (non-zero) polynomial in the components of  $\mathbf{n}_n$ , implying that the integral curves of the Hamiltonian are fixed points, separatrices, and closed orbits. This means that  $H(\mathbf{n}_n)$  can take the value zero at a finite number of (open or closed) curves or points at most. Consequently, the determinant of  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{n}_n)$  is different from zero for almost all choices of  $\mathbf{n}_n$ . Therefore, one can move the vector  $\mathbf{n}_n$  into any other vector, including  $\mathbf{m}_n$ , the  $n$ -th vector of the desired constellation  $\mathcal{M}$ , thereby passing possibly through points with  $\det \mathbf{G} = 0$ . If, accidentally,  $\mathbf{m}_n$  corresponds to a point with vanishing energy (this happens with probability zero only), one can nevertheless approach it arbitrarily close by a vector  $\mathbf{m}'_n$  with  $|\mathbf{m}'_n - \mathbf{m}_n| < \varepsilon/N_s$  since levels of constant energy have a co-dimension at most equal to one.

Working one's way from  $n = 1$  to  $N_s$ , one ends up with a constellation  $\mathcal{M}'$  which is guaranteed to be infinitesimally close to  $\mathcal{M}$  since  $\sum_n |\mathbf{m}'_n - \mathbf{m}_n| < \varepsilon$  can be made arbitrarily small. With probability one, the constellation  $\mathcal{M}$  is obtained even exactly. Consequently, almost all hedgehogs  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $N_s$  projection operators  $\hat{Q}_n$  give rise to a *basis* in the space of linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}_s$ , the Hilbert space of a spin  $s$ . In turn, the values of the *discrete*  $Q$ -symbol related to a constellation  $\mathcal{M}$  are indeed sufficient to determine the operator  $\hat{A}$ .

In summary, it has been shown that (almost) any distribution of  $N_s$  points on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$  gives rise to a non-orthogonal basis of coherent-state projectors  $\hat{Q}_n$  in the linear space  $\mathcal{A}_s$  of operators for a spin  $s$ . An independent proof of this result can be found in [8]. In addition, a discrete variant of the  $P$ -symbol is shown there to come along naturally with the discrete  $Q$ -symbol. The relation of the basis of projectors  $\hat{Q}_n$  to a symbolic calculus *à la* Stratonovich-Weyl has been elaborated in [9].

## Acknowledgements

The author acknowledges financial support by the *Schweizerische Nationalfonds*.

## References

- [1] U. Leonhardt: *Measuring the Quantum State of Light*. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge 1997
- [2] J.-P. Amiet and St. Weigert: J. Phys. A **32** 269 (=quant-ph/9903067)
- [3] V. I. Man'ko and O. V. Man'ko: J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **85** (1997) 430

- [4] G. S. Agarwal: Phys. Rev. A **57** (1998) 671
- [5] W. H. Greub: *Linear Algebra*. Springer: Berlin 1963
- [6] V. I. Arnold: *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*. Springer, New York, 1984
- [7] N. Srivastava, C. Kaufman, G. Müller, E. Magyari, R. Weber, and H. Thomas: J. Appl. Phys. **61** (1987) 4438
- [8] J.-P. Amiet and St. Weigert: *Discrete Q- and P-symbols for a Spin s* (=quant-ph/9906099)
- [9] St. Weigert: *A Discrete Phase-Space Calculus for Quantum Spins based on a Reconstruction Method using Coherent States*. Act. Phys. Slov. **49** (1999) 613-20 (=quant-ph/9904095)