

This is a repository copy of *Assessing the role of anthropogenic and biogenic sources on PM1 over southern West Africa using aircraft measurements*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/133893/>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Brito, Joel, Freney, Evelyn, Dominutti, Pamela orcid.org/0000-0002-9876-6383 et al. (15 more authors) (2018) Assessing the role of anthropogenic and biogenic sources on PM1 over southern West Africa using aircraft measurements. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*. pp. 757-772. ISSN 1680-7324

<https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-757-2018>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Assessing the role of anthropogenic and biogenic sources on PM₁ over southern West Africa using aircraft measurements

Joel Brito¹, Evelyn Freney¹, Pamela Dominutti^{1,2}, Agnes Borbon¹, Sophie L. Haslett³, Anneke M. Batenburg⁴, Aurelie Colomb¹, Regis Dupuy¹, Cyrielle Denjean⁵, Frederic Burnet⁵, Thierry Bourriane⁵, Adrien Deroubaix^{6,7}, Karine Sellegri¹, Stephan Borrmann⁴, Hugh Coe³, Cyrille Flamant⁷, Peter Knippertz⁸, and Alfons Schwarzenboeck¹

¹Laboratoire de Météorologie Physique, Université Clermont Auvergne, Aubière, France

²Instituto de Astronomia, Geofísica e Ciências Atmosféricas, Universidade de Sao Paulo (IAG/USP), Brazil

³Centre of Atmospheric Sciences, School of Earth and Environmental Science, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

⁴Particle Chemistry Department, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz/Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, 55128 Mainz, Germany

⁵CNRM UMR3589 Météo France/CNRS, Toulouse, France

⁶Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique, Ecole Polytechnique, IPSL Research University, Ecole Normale Supérieure, Université Paris-Saclay, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CNRS, 91128 Palaiseau, France

⁷LATMOS/IPSL, UPMC Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CNRS and UVSQ,

UMR 8190 LATMOS, Paris, France

⁸Institute of Meteorology and Climate Research, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany

Correspondence: Joel Brito (joel.brito@uca.fr)

Received: 9 August 2017 – Discussion started: 17 August 2017

Revised: 20 November 2017 – Accepted: 29 November 2017 – Published: 22 January 2018

Abstract. As part of the Dynamics-Aerosol-Chemistry-Cloud Interactions in West Africa (DACCIWA) project, an airborne campaign was designed to measure a large range of atmospheric constituents, focusing on the effect of anthropogenic emissions on regional climate. The presented study details results of the French ATR42 research aircraft, which aimed to characterize gas-phase, aerosol and cloud properties in the region during the field campaign carried out in June/July 2016 in combination with the German Falcon 20 and the British Twin Otter aircraft. The aircraft flight paths covered large areas of Benin, Togo, Ghana and Côte d'Ivoire, focusing on emissions from large urban conurbations such as Abidjan, Accra and Lomé, as well as remote continental areas and the Gulf of Guinea. This paper focuses on aerosol particle measurements within the boundary layer (< 2000 m), in particular their sources and chemical composition in view of the complex mix of both biogenic and anthropogenic emissions, based on measurements from a compact time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer (C-ToF-AMS) and ancillary instrumentation.

Background concentrations (i.e. outside urban plumes) observed from the ATR42 indicate a fairly polluted region during the time of the campaign, with average concentrations of carbon monoxide of 131 ppb, ozone of 32 ppb, and aerosol particle number concentration (> 15 nm) of 735 cm⁻³ stp. Regarding submicron aerosol composition (considering non-refractory species and black carbon, BC), organic aerosol (OA) is the most abundant species contributing 53 %, followed by SO₄ (27 %), NH₄ (11 %), BC (6 %), NO₃ (2 %) and minor contribution of Cl (< 0.5 %). Average background PM₁ in the region was 5.9 μg m⁻³ stp. During measurements of urban pollution plumes, mainly focusing on the outflow of Abidjan, Accra and Lomé, pollutants are significantly enhanced (e.g. average concentration of CO of 176 ppb, and aerosol particle number concentration of 6500 cm⁻³ stp), as well as PM₁ concentration (11.9 μg m⁻³ stp).

Two classes of organic aerosols were estimated based on C-ToF-AMS: particulate organic nitrates (pONs) and isoprene epoxydiols secondary organic aerosols (IEPOX-SOA). Both classes are usually associated with the formation of particulate matter through complex interactions of anthro-

pogenic and biogenic sources. During DACCIWA, *p*ONs have a fairly small contribution to OA (around 5%) and are more associated with long-range transport from central Africa than local formation. Conversely, IEPOX–SOA provides a significant contribution to OA (around 24 and 28% under background and in-plume conditions). Furthermore, the fractional contribution of IEPOX–SOA is largely unaffected by changes in the aerosol composition (particularly the SO₄ concentration), which suggests that IEPOX–SOA concentration is mainly driven by pre-existing aerosol surface, instead of aerosol chemical properties. At times of large in-plume SO₄ enhancements (above 5 μg m⁻³), the fractional contribution of IEPOX–SOA to OA increases above 50%, suggesting only then a change in the IEPOX–SOA-controlling mechanism. It is important to note that IEPOX–SOA constitutes a lower limit to the contribution of biogenic OA, given that other processes (e.g. non-IEPOX isoprene, monoterpene SOA) are likely in the region. Given the significant contribution to aerosol concentration, it is crucial that such complex biogenic–anthropogenic interactions are taken into account in both present-day and future scenario models of this fast-changing, highly sensitive region.

1 Introduction

Currently about 350 million people live in southern West Africa (SWA) and the population is projected to reach 800 million people by the middle of the century (Knippertz et al., 2015b), making it undoubtedly one of the least studied, most highly populated regions of the world with regard to the effects of anthropogenic activities on air quality and regional climate (Knippertz et al., 2015a). Moreover, emissions in the region from the combustion of fossil fuels, bio-fuels and refuse, which are already significant, are projected to rise strongly in the near future following fast-paced urbanization and population growth (Lioussé et al., 2014).

The DACCIWA (Dynamics–Aerosol–Chemistry–Cloud Interactions in West Africa) project aims to investigate the relationship between weather, climate and air pollution in SWA (Knippertz et al., 2015a). The project brings together ground-based, aircraft and space-borne observations, as well as modelling and climate impact research. From June to July 2016 a large field campaign took place that included three inland ground supersites (Savé in Benin, Kumasi in Ghana, Ile-Ife in Nigeria), urban sites (Cotonou in Benin, Abidjan in Côte d'Ivoire), radiosondes and three research aircraft stationed in Lomé (Togo). Details of the field activities are given in Flamant et al. (2018).

The atmospheric composition over SWA is known to be the result of a highly complex mix of sources. Besides the increasingly large urban emissions, the region is impacted by sea salt and oceanic compounds brought from the south by monsoon winds; Saharan dust from the north; at times large

biomass-burning plumes advected from the Southern Hemisphere; and power plants, shipping emissions and oil extraction and refining platforms (Knippertz et al., 2015a; Mari et al., 2008).

In addition to the sources described above, there is about 230 000 km² of tropical forest across SWA mixed with largely deforested patches. The forest in the region emits large quantities of biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs), such as isoprene (2-methyl-1,3-butadiene, C₅H₈) (Ferreira et al., 2010; Murphy et al., 2010; Reeves et al., 2010), which can lead to a significant effect on atmospheric oxidative capacity (Lelieveld et al., 2008) and the formation of particulate matter (PM) (Claeys et al., 2004; Hallquist et al., 2009). Ten years prior to the DACCIWA field campaign, a large programme entitled African Monsoon Multi-disciplinary Analysis (AMMA) carried out several aircraft measurements in the West African region, mainly focusing on Sahelian convection (Lebel et al., 2010) and the mesoscale convective systems of the West African monsoon (Frey et al., 2011). Nonetheless, it allowed a significant characterization of BVOC emission in the region (Bechara et al., 2010; Murphy et al., 2010) including an estimate of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation from biogenic precursors (Capes et al., 2009). By discriminating between high and low isoprene air masses, Capes et al. estimated biogenic SOA (BSOA) of remote forested areas over West Africa to be of the order of 1 μg m⁻³, though the observations were close to the detection limit of the instrument. In more recent years, the identification of a range of PM formation processes from isoprene (or more generally from BVOCs) has greatly advanced (e.g. Allan et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2013; Robinson et al., 2011; Surratt et al., 2010) though there have been no recent observations over the SWA. Therefore, the large dataset gathered during the DACCIWA aircraft field campaign allows for unprecedented characterization of the aerosol population, comprising insights on the complex interplay between anthropogenic and biogenic sources on this highly sensitive, understudied and rapidly changing environment. In this paper, two important processes that lead to the formation of BSOA from BVOCs are discussed. These processes are briefly outlined here.

1.1 IEPOX–SOA

During daytime, isoprene reacts with hydroxyl radicals (OH) and molecular oxygen to produce isoprene hydroxyl radicals (ISOPOO). It is currently known that these radicals can react with hydroperoxyl radicals (HO₂) to predominantly produce hydroxyhydroperoxides (ISOPOOH; C₅H₁₀O₃), or with nitric oxide (NO) to largely produce methyl vinyl ketone (MVK, C₄H₆O) and methacrolein (MACR, C₄H₆O) (Liu et al., 2013). Nitric oxide, largely emitted by urban sources, can almost entirely shift the isoprene oxidation away from the ISOPOOH pathway (Liu et al., 2016a).

The second-generation products through the HO₂ pathway (i.e. to ISOPOOH) form isoprene epoxydiols (IEPOX)

or other hydroperoxides, which in turn can undergo reactive uptake to particles, effectively leads to particulate matter formation (Surratt et al., 2010). After reactive uptake of IEPOX, particle-phase reactions can produce several different families of species collectively labelled “IEPOX–SOA”. It is important to note that the NO pathway also has a minor channel allowing the formation of IEPOX, although much less efficiently (Jacobs et al., 2014). Furthermore, non-IEPOX PM production is also possible, for example through the formation of methacrylic acid epoxide (MAE) and hydroxymethylmethyl- α -lactone (HMML) (Kjaergaard et al., 2012; Nguyen et al., 2015), through ISOPOOH pathway but directly forming low-volatility compounds (Krechmer et al., 2015) or via glyoxal (Ervens and Volkamer, 2010), though in lower yields.

It is understood that the uptake of gas-phase IEPOX into the particle-phase depends on available pre-existing aerosol surface, but is also impacted by aerosol composition, which in turn affects its acidity, particle water, and nucleophilic effects. (e.g. Lin et al., 2013; Marais et al., 2016; de Sá et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2015). In regions strongly impacted by isoprene emissions, IEPOX–SOA contributes to about a third of the observed organic aerosol (Hu et al., 2015). In order to correctly represent numerically ambient aerosol loadings, and furthermore, develop efficient abatement strategies, an understanding of the regulating mechanisms for this important class of organic aerosol is crucial.

1.2 Particulate organic nitrates

The nitrate radical (NO₃), arising from the oxidation of nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) by ozone (O₃), is an important atmospheric radical, acting mainly at nighttime due to its rapid photolysis in sunlight and its reaction with NO (Brown and Stutz, 2012). Given its formation process originating from NO₂ and O₃, the nitrate radical is directly linked to anthropogenic activities. Several BVOCs are particularly susceptible to oxidation by NO₃ due to one or more unsaturated functionalities, leading to the formation of organonitrates (ONs = RONO₂ + RO₂NO₂). The addition of a nitrate (–ONO₂) functional group to a hydrocarbon is estimated to lower the equilibrium saturation vapour pressure by 2.5–3 orders of magnitude (Capouet and Müller, 2006), leading to potentially significant increase in particle-phase partitioning of semi-volatile species, thus contributing to PM formation (Fry et al., 2014; Nah et al., 2016; Ng et al., 2017; Perraud et al., 2012). Recent studies have identified that nighttime chemistry, particularly through the attack of BVOCs by NO₃ leading to formation of *p*ON, is an important source of PM over the southeastern United States (Xu et al., 2015a) as well as over Europe (Kiendler-Scharr et al., 2016). Nonetheless, it is currently understood that both the formation and the lifetime of *p*ON depends strongly on its molecular structure (Hinks et al., 2016; Nah et al., 2016), which in turn represents a highly challenging type of OA to represent numerically (Shrivastava

et al., 2017). Unquestionably, observations of *p*ON concentrations over a wide range of locations are crucial to better constrain the formation and impact of this highly uncertain aerosol type.

The two types of SOA described above, IEPOX–SOA and *p*ON, are typically formed through a complex series of reactions involving both anthropogenic and biogenic compounds. The aerosol population over SWA is expected to be impacted by both types of sources, both from the mixing of emissions from its large urban conglomerates and forested areas, as well as unclear influence from other sources such as oceanic emissions, Saharan dust, advection of biomass burning and so forth. Therefore, this paper focuses on both quantifying submicrometric (PM₁) aerosol particle composition during low-level flights and, as well as assessing the contribution of IEPOX–SOA and *p*ON to the aerosol burden in the region.

2 Methods

Measurements reported here were performed aboard the ATR42, a French national research aircraft operated by SAFIRE (French aircraft service for environmental research). The aircraft was equipped to perform measurements of particles and gas-phase species as well as cloud droplet size distribution. Aerosol particle species were sampled through a forward-facing isokinetic and isoaxial inlet with a 100 % sampling efficiency for sub-micron particles and 50 % sampling efficiency for particles with a diameter of 4.5 μ m.

2.1 Instrumentation

The chemical composition and mass concentration of the non-refractory submicron particulate matter (NR-PM₁) was measured with an Aerodyne compact time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer (C-ToF-AMS) with a time resolution typically of 10 or 20 s without particle sizing information. Less often, measurements were carried out at 40 s time resolution with sizing information (PTOF); however, these will not be discussed here. Before aerosol particles were sampled by the C-ToF-AMS, they passed through a pressure-controlled inlet (PCI), regulated at about 400 hPa, avoiding pressure changes to the aerodynamic inlet of the instrument during airborne sampling (Bahreini et al., 2008; Freney et al., 2014). In order to extract chemically resolved mass concentrations of individual species, the C-ToF-AMS raw data were evaluated using the standard fragmentation table (Allan et al., 2004). Adjustments to the fragmentation table were made based on particle-free measurement periods that were performed during each flight. The resolved mass concentrations included nitrate (NO₃), sulfate (SO₄), ammonium (NH₄), organics (Org) and chloride (Cl) species. The collection efficiency was calculated according to Middlebrook et al. (2012), usually yielding 0.5. The detection limits, considering 10 s integra-

tion time, were calculated at 5 ng m^{-3} for SO₄ and NO₃, 35 ng m^{-3} for Cl, 45 ng m^{-3} for Org and 52 ng m^{-3} for NH₄ (Drewnick et al., 2009).

Ionization efficiency calibrations, using size-selected ammonium nitrate aerosols, were carried out three times during the field campaign. Aerosol loadings from the C-ToF-AMS were compared against volume integration using a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS) and black carbon (BC) from a single-particle soot photometer (SP2), yielding good agreement (slope: 0.87; R^2 : 0.83; Fig. S1 in the Supplement). The density used for each species was 1.78, 1.72, 1.72, 1.52 and 1.77 g cm^{-3} for sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, chloride and BC, respectively (Lide, 1991; Park et al., 2004). The density of organics was estimated based on the oxygen-to-carbon (O:C) and hydrogen-to-carbon (H:C) ratios (Canagaratna et al., 2015; Kuwata et al., 2012), yielding a campaign average of 1.67 g cm^{-3} . Aerosol loadings from the C-ToF-AMS were also compared with measurements of C-ToF-AMS from the two other aircraft that took part in the campaign, the German DLR Falcon and the British Twin Otter (TO). For this, data were selected around take-off and landing at Lomé airport. Results between the instrument used here (ATR42) and the TO were generally in good agreement. The AMS on board of the Falcon showed considerably lower mass concentrations, an issue currently attributed to losses at the Falcon AMS pressure-controlled inlet, which is based on a different design principle than the ATR42 inlet.

Aerosol particle number concentration ($> 15 \text{ nm}$) were measured using an adapted TSI condensational particle counter model 3010. Aerosol mass and number concentrations are corrected for standard temperature and pressure (used here 22°C and 950 hPa). Trace gases were measured by the ATR42 core chemistry instrumentation. Nitrogen oxides (NO_x = NO + NO₂) were measured by a TEi42 chemiluminescence detector with a blue light photolytic converter instrument (TEi42 CLT-BLC, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Franklin, MA) with a time resolution of 1 s. The quantification of NO₂ is obtained directly from converting into NO using a light source emitting diode from the blue light converter (BLC). The CLT-BLC measures NO₂ directly and NO indirectly after photolytic conversion by the CLT detector. The conversion efficiency adopted was 21%. Carbon monoxide (CO) measurements were performed using the near-infrared cavity ring-down spectroscopy technique (G2401, Picarro Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA), with a time resolution of 5 s.

2.2 Positive matrix factorization

Positive matrix factorization (PMF) is a statistical model that uses weighted least-squares fitting for factor analysis (Paatero and Tapper, 1994) for explaining the variability of the organic mass spectral data as linear combination of static factor profiles and their time-dependent contributions (e.g. Ulbrich et al., 2009). The PMF evaluation tool kit (PET

v2.04) (Ulbrich et al., 2009) was used to prepare the data and error estimates, execute PMF and evaluate the results.

2.3 Particulate organic nitrate

The *p*ON can be distinguished from inorganic nitrate by AMS technology through the fragmentation ratio of the NO⁺ and NO₂⁺ ions. The methodology applied to quantify *p*ON has been detailed elsewhere (Farmer et al., 2010; Kiendler-Scharr et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2015b) and thus is presented only briefly here. The mass concentration of the nitrate functionality of organic nitrates is calculated by Eq. (2), based on the fraction of organic nitrates relative to total measured nitrate (Eq. 1), according to the following equations:

$$p\text{OrgNO}_{3\text{frac}} = \frac{(1 + R_{\text{OrgNO}_3}) \times (R_{\text{measured}} - R_{\text{calib}})}{(1 + R_{\text{measured}}) \times (R_{\text{OrgNO}_3} - R_{\text{calib}})}, \quad (1)$$

$$p\text{OrgNO}_{3\text{mass}} = p\text{OrgNO}_{3\text{frac}} \times \text{NO}_3, \quad (2)$$

where R_{measured} is the ratio NO₂⁺/NO⁺ ions (or m/z 46 and m/z 30 for unit mass resolution systems, such as used here), and R_{calib} is the ratio associated with inorganic nitrates during NH₄NO₃ calibrations (0.445 here). The value of R_{OrgNO_3} has been observed to show some dependence on the molecular formula of the organic nitrate, which is unknown here, and therefore was set as 0.1 similarly as by Kiendler-Scharr et al. (2016). This method is considered reliable for $p\text{OrgNO}_{3\text{frac}} > 0.15$ and $p\text{OrgNO}_{3\text{mass}} > 0.1 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, considering an uncertainty of 20% (Bruns et al., 2010; Kiendler-Scharr et al., 2016) and thus such limits were considered here. Also, $p\text{OrgNO}_{3\text{mass}}$ relates to the nitrate functionality of organic nitrates only. To account for the total particulate organic nitrate mass (here termed as *p*ON), a molar mass of 200 g mol^{-1} will be assumed (Kiendler-Scharr et al., 2016; Lee et al., 2016).

2.4 Isoprene epoxydiols secondary organic aerosol

Isoprene epoxydiol SOAs (IEPOX–SOA) have previously been identified from AMS spectra through an enhanced signal at ion C₃H₆O⁺, or m/z 82 in unit mass resolution systems (Allan et al., 2014; Budisulistiorini et al., 2015; Robinson et al., 2011; de Sá et al., 2017). More recently, Hu et al. (2015) proposed a diagnostic tracer for IEPOX–SOA based on datasets from a wide range of environments, such as biomass-burning, urban or monoterpene-impacted areas, for high or unit mass resolution instruments. Results have shown that the relative contribution of m/z 82 to total organic aerosol concentration, i.e. $f_{82} = (m/z \text{ 82/OA})$, is a suitable tracer, with uncertainties up to 30%. Furthermore, Hu et al. (2015) proposed an estimation of IEPOX–SOA taking into account mass concentration at m/z 82 (m_{82}), total OA concentration (OA), a reference f_{82} value for IEPOX–SOA ($f_{82}^{\text{IEPOX-SOA}} = 22\%$) and a background value for f_{82}




Figure 1. ATR42 trajectories (in red) during DACCIWA for altitudes below 2000 m overlaid the forest cover (in green), non-forested areas (black) and water surface (blue). Forest cover data are from Hansen et al. (2013).

(f_{82}^{BK}):

$$\text{IEPOX-SOA} = \frac{m_{82} - \text{OA} \times f_{82}^{\text{BK}}}{f_{82}^{\text{IEPOX-SOA}} - f_{82}^{\text{BK}}} \text{ in } \mu\text{g m}^{-3}. \quad (3)$$

The background values for f_{82} (f_{82}^{BK}) have been observed by Hu et al. (2015) to range from 3 to 6‰ depending on the type of dominant OA source (e.g. urban, biomass burning) or air mass age, and for regions impacted by urban or biomass-burning emissions is calculated as

$$f_{82}^{\text{BK}} = 5.5 \times 10^{-3} - 8.2 \times 10^{-3} \times f_{44}, \quad (4)$$

where $f_{44} = (m/z 44/\text{OA})$.

3 Results and discussion

The DACCIWA aircraft field campaign was carried out from 27 June to 16 July 2016, during the so-called post-onset period (Phase 2 in Knippertz et al., 2017), characterized by relatively undisturbed monsoon conditions. Figure 1 depicts the ATR42 flight trajectories below 2000 m overlaid on the forest cover in the region. Urban plumes have been mostly sampled following a northeastward direction, following the predominant direction of the low-level winds over the area. The spatial distribution of CO, aerosol number concentration and some aerosol species are depicted in Fig. 2, showing a significant enhancement of aerosol (mass and number) downwind of the cities of Abidjan, Accra, Lomé and Cotonou. Some species (OA and CO) also show some enhancement over the Gulf of Guinea, and is most likely associated with long-range transport of biomass-burning pollution from central Africa (Knippertz et al., 2017).

In order to evaluate the sources of PM₁ over SWA, firstly a PMF analysis on the OA spectra was carried out, and this is described in Sect. 3.1. A study on the impact of urban emission on aerosol composition is detailed in Sect. 3.2, based on a case study from flights 24/25 on 6 July 2016. Finally, Sect. 3.3 describes the results of a systematic identification of in-plume and regional background measurements, providing an overview of the level of different species within urban plumes and in comparison to the regional background of SWA.

3.1 Factor analysis

The PMF analysis of the organic spectra was carried out using data from all ATR42 DACCIWA flights, filtered for in-cloud measurement points and limited to an altitude below 2000 m to limit the impact of free-tropospheric biomass burning layers (Flamant et al., 2018), outside the scope of this work. The analysis identified three components of OA (Fig. 3) – two linked with urban emissions (termed fresh and aged) and one regional component, termed oxygenated organic aerosol (OOA), following the usual nomenclature (Ulbrich et al., 2009), which is usually associated with highly aged or secondary OA (e.g. Zhang et al., 2011). It is interesting to note that the fresh urban component of OA combines tracers of traffic emission (e.g. m/z 43, 55 and 91), typical of many urban environments (e.g. Ng et al., 2010) but also includes tracers of fresh biomass burning (m/z 60) (Cubison et al., 2011). This result sheds light on the important role that biomass-burning sources within the city limits have on aerosol composition. This burning is thought to be mainly associated with the use of biomass as fuel, for cooking for example, or by the combustion of refuse. Given the high toxicity associated with biomass-burning emissions (de Oliveira Alves et al., 2017; Verma et al., 2015), the extensive biomass burning within city limits is likely to have an important detrimental health effect on local urban population.

Figure 4 depicts the spatial distribution of the factors, showing localized enhancements of fresh and aged urban plumes in the outflows of the cities, as well as a more regionally homogeneous distribution of the OOA factor. The latter depicts an enhancement in regions impacted by urban outflows, but also above the Gulf of Guinea, which is associated with biomass-burning plumes advected from central Africa (Flamant et al., 2018). Given the processing that has taken place during long-range transport, the mass spectrum of OA no longer carries a signature of fresh biomass-burning emission (e.g. Brito et al., 2014; Cubison et al., 2011), and therefore has been grouped to the OOA component by PMF analysis, typical of aged/processed air masses.

Despite the complex mixture of sources impacting OA concentration in the region, such as locally emitted biomass-burning, biogenic and urban emissions, the PMF analysis has not been able to further resolve OA sources of interest (such as IEPOX-SOA), or even to separate local fires from




Figure 2. Spatial distribution of CO, aerosol number concentration, OA, SO₄, NO₃ and NH₄ for flight trajectories below 2000 m.




Figure 3. Mass spectra in fraction of signal of PMF factors, fresh urban, aged urban and OOA.

the urban factor. This is strongly associated with the fact that the dataset originates from airborne measurements and therefore (i) the dataset has a somewhat limited temporal cover-

age (about 70 h in total, compared to weeks/months/years of ground-based campaigns); (ii) the dataset lacks diurnal variation, as most of the flights were either carried out during morning or afternoon hours; and (iii) the aircraft samples air masses with large co-variability (e.g. biomass-burning emissions from within the city itself along traffic emissions, as discussed above). The outcomes of PMF results are twofold: the different components of OA obtained from the use of PMF will be used in a systematic identification of in-plume and background measurements, and the identification of processes of interest (IEPOX–SOA and *p*ON) shall be carried out using the diagnostic tracers detailed in Sect. 2.3 and 2.4.

3.2 Case study: the Abidjan plume of 6 July 2016

On 6 July 2016, the ATR42 conducted flights in the environs of Abidjan, a city of over 4.5 million inhabitants. These flights provide an interesting case study of the effects of SWA emissions on aerosol properties, including the atmospheric concentration of IEPOX–SOA and *p*ON. Figure 5 shows three transects of interest, upwind of Abidjan (tran-




Figure 4. Spatial distribution of OA concentration associated with three PMF factors: fresh urban, aged urban and oxygenated organic aerosol.

sect 1), within the Abidjan plume (transect 2) and sampling a regional continental air mass outside of large city plumes (transect 3). Table 1 compares mean concentrations (and 5–95 % confidence interval of the mean, CI) for several species of interest. Some species had concentration values significantly lower in the advecting air mass than over continental background, such as aerosol particle number concentration, CO, OA, NO₃, NH₄ and IEPOX–SOA (Table 1). In fact, upwind Abidjan IEPOX–SOA has a CI of the mean which encompasses zero, and therefore is considered negligible in this transect. The significant difference between transects 1 and 3 for typical tracers of urban emissions such as aerosol number




Figure 5. Map (a) and plume analysis (b) for upwind of Abidjan (rectangle 1, yellow), within the plume (rectangle 2, green) and sampling regional aerosol (rectangle 3, white). Processing time is calculated based on integrated wind speed and distance from Abidjan. Aircraft measurements were carried out first sampling Abidjan plume around 09:30, upwind of Abidjan around 10:00, and regional aerosol at 13:30 UTC (identical to local time).

concentration and CO, and, furthermore, the lack of IEPOX–SOA in transect 1, leads to the interpretation that upwind Abidjan air masses are mostly impacted by long-range transport and not local recirculation. This interpretation is also corroborated by aircraft wind measurements (grey arrows in Fig. 5) and back-trajectory calculations (Fig. S5).

In contrast to the species discussed above, BC, SO₄ and pON did not show a significant enhancement over the continental region when compared to the advecting air mass, which suggests that the regional concentrations are not being largely impacted by local emission/formation. Taken together, the changes in concentration of IEPOX–SOA and pON indicate that the former is formed locally, whether the latter is mostly advected into the region, possibly from

Table 1. Mean and lower and upper 95 % confidence interval of mean for different species at the flight transects shown in Fig. 5.

Species (unit)	Advecting air mass	Abidjan plume	Continental
CO (ppb)	113 [112–114]	150 [147–154]	125 [124–126]
Aerosol concentration (cm ⁻³)	575 [523–622]	5 340 [5140–5550]	1350 [1290–1420]
BC (μg m ⁻³)	0.37 [0.31–0.43]	0.50 [0.43–0.56]	0.33 [0.32–0.35]
OA (μg m ⁻³)	1.96 [1.82–2.09]	5.90 [5.45–6.35]	2.91 [2.72–3.10]
IEPOX–SOA (μg m ⁻³)	0.16 [–0.23–0.56]	3.14 [2.61–3.67]	0.71 [0.55–0.86]
<i>p</i> ON (μg m ⁻³)	0.19 [0.15–0.23]	0.33 [0.27–0.39]	0.18 [0.17–0.21]
SO ₄ (μg m ⁻³)	1.39 [1.32–1.47]	6.23 [5.75–6.71]	1.42 [1.36–1.47]
NO ₃ (μg m ⁻³)	0.10 [0.08–0.13]	0.54 [0.45–0.64]	0.17 [0.15–0.18]
NH ₄ (μg m ⁻³)	0.33 [0.24–0.42]	2.50 [2.27–2.73]	0.21 [0.18–0.24]

biomass burning, an association reported previously elsewhere (e.g. Dzepina et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016). Furthermore, IEPOX–SOA contributes significantly to OA concentration (mean of 27 %, CI of 21–33 %), whereas the contribution of *p*ON is minor (mean of 7 %, CI of 6–9 %). It is also interesting to note that the difference in OA concentration between continental and upwind Abidjan air masses (0.95 μg m⁻³) can be almost exclusively explained by the formation of IEPOX–SOA (0.71 μg m⁻³). Interestingly, previous estimates of biogenic SOA over West Africa, by contrasting OA concentration in high and low isoprene air masses, has a general agreement with our results, of the order of 1 μg m⁻³ (Capes et al., 2009). Back-trajectory analysis of transect 3 indicates a steady transport from the south, over land for about 6 h prior to sampling.

When analysing the air mass in the outflow of Abidjan there is, as expected, a significant concentration enhancement for several of the species discussed here. Aerosol number concentration, for example, increases by over an order of magnitude (Table 1), whereas OA and SO₄ increase by nearly 3-fold, and BC nearly 2-fold. The evolution of the Abidjan plume has also been analysed according to the plume age, calculated taking into account wind speed measured from the aircraft, and extrapolated according to the distance from the city centre. When first crossing the Abidjan plume, at estimated 3 h processing time, OA and SO₄ already depict large concentration enhancements relative to upwind Abidjan (Fig. 5a). The estimate of IEPOX–SOA also depicts a strong increase, up to 4 μg m⁻³, explaining almost 60 % of OA mass at plume age of about 3.5 h. Conversely, both NO₃ and *p*ON depict a smaller contribution to aerosol concentration, with NO₃ depicting a mean concentration of 0.54 μg m⁻³ and *p*ON of 0.33 μg m⁻³ inside the plume.

As the plume evolves, overall concentration of OA and IEPOX–SOA tends to decrease, in contrast to SO₄ which peaks at plume age of 5.5 h. To account for dilution with plume age, the enhancement ratio (ER) has been calculated, i.e. the variation of the species of interest normalized by the enhancement of CO above the background (Fig. 5b). The background value of CO was chosen here to be 113 ppb, the

median value upwind of Abidjan. The ER_{IEPOX–SOA} tends to increase with plume age, indicating a net production of organic matter through this pathway. Conversely, ER_{OA} is fairly constant with plume processing, which suggests that the increase in IEPOX–SOA is compensated by a loss process, such as evaporation of semi-volatile species. The ER_{SO₄} follows a similar pattern as its concentration, depicting a marked peak at about 5.5 h.

Figure 5c shows some of the diagnostic tracers of OA, namely *f*₄₄, *f*₄₃, *f*₆₀ and *f*₈₂ (Cubison et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2015). Their variability mainly follows the aged signature from the arriving air mass (high *f*₄₄ in transect 1), an increasing tendency for *f*₈₂ and *f*₄₄ (particularly the former) with plume age, leading to observed values of transect 3. Typical oxygen-to-carbon ratios of OA ranged from 1.43 (transect 1), 0.69 (transect 2) and 1.07 (transect 3).


In the following, a systematic analysis of plume identification through the entire dataset is described, assessing changes in aerosol properties inside and outside urban plumes within SWA.

3.3 In-plume enhancements and regional background levels

A systematic identification of in-plume and background air masses during DACCIWA was developed. The method is based on PMF OA apportionment, aerosol particles number concentration and a measurement location. In-plume air mass criteria were (i) aerosol particle number concentration is above the campaign-wide 75th percentile, namely 2500 cm⁻³; (ii) the urban factors from the PMF analysis (see Sect. 3.1) explain more than 70 % of OA mass concentration; and (iii) the distance between measurement location and the emitting city was below 110 km. Criteria (i) and (ii) were devised based on optimizing data statistics while being able to unambiguously identify the urban conurbation of origin. The distance of 110 km applied in criterion (iii) was defined based on the distance between Accra and Lomé, to avoid emissions from the latter interfering in the plume analysis of the former. Figure S7 shows the location of the measurements iden-

Table 2. Mean and lower and upper 95 % confidence interval of mean for different species under background and in-plume conditions for ATR42 flight trajectories below 2000 m.

Species (unit)	Background	In-plume
CO (ppb)	131 [130–132]	176 [170–181]
NO _x (ppb)	0.32 [0.28–0.34]	2.72 [1.84–3.60]
O ₃ (ppb)	31.9 [31.7–32.1]	31.2 [30.5–32.0]
Aerosol concentration (cm ⁻³)	735 [725–745]	6 480 [6025–6930]
BC (μg m ⁻³)	0.34 [0.33–0.35]	0.68 [0.64–0.72]
OA (μg m ⁻³)	3.06 [3.00–3.13]	6.56 [6.24–6.88]
IEPOX–SOA (μg m ⁻³)	0.89 [0.74–1.04]	1.80 [1.66–1.93]
<i>p</i> ON (μg m ⁻³)	0.17 [0.16–0.18]	0.36 [0.33–0.39]
SO ₄ (μg m ⁻³)	1.67 [1.64–1.70]	2.86 [2.70–3.03]
NO ₃ (μg m ⁻³)	0.12 [0.11–0.12]	0.53 [0.49–0.57]
NH ₄ (μg m ⁻³)	0.66 [0.63–0.68]	1.29 [1.20–1.38]

**Figure 6.** Regional background (marked in yellow) and in-plume concentrations for CO and aerosol concentration (a); OA, SO₄ and IEPOX–SOA (b); and NO₃, *p*ON, and BC (c). The boxplot is the interquartile and vertical lines the 10th and 90th percentiles. The plume data show median values (line) and interquartile (shaded area) for 20 km distance bins.

tified as in-plume. From the in-plume identification analysis described above, pollution outflow from three cities were clearly identified – Lomé, Abidjan and Accra, representing 50, 35 and 15 % of the in-plume dataset.

The identification of continental background air masses was performed by filtering aerosol number concentration below the 50th percentile and selecting urban factors explaining less than 70 % of OA. Sensitivity studies have identified that lowering these limits tended to reduce data statistics without significantly altering median values. The selection of aerosol number concentration below 50th or 30th percentile led to a decrease in background data points from 623 to 267, whereas median CO concentration remained unchanged at 129 ppb.

Similarly as the data described in the previous sections, data points used in the in-plume and regional background identification are limited to altitudes below 2000 m.

Figure 6 and Table 2 show the mean and CI of concentrations of a range of species considered here. Regional background concentrations were elevated, with average concentration of CO of 131 ppb, ozone of 32 ppb and aerosol particle number concentration of 735 cm⁻³. Regarding PM₁ composition, OA was the most abundant species, contributing 54 %, followed by SO₄ (24 %), NH₄ (11 %), BC (6 %), NO₃ (4 %) and minor contribution of Cl (< 0.5%). Average background PM₁ in the region was 6.0 μg m⁻³.

During in-plume measurements there was a marked enhancement of pollutant levels, e.g. aerosol concentration (6500 cm⁻³), CO (176 ppb), NO_x (2.72 ppb) as well as PM₁ concentration (12.0 μg m⁻³). Despite the significant enhancement in the species observed here, PM₁ aerosol composition is strikingly similar between background and in-plume measurements (i.e. 56 % OA, 23 % SO₄, 11 % NH₄, 6 % BC, and 4 % NO₃) corroborating the major role that these urban conglomerate emissions have on the regional aerosol population. The analysis according to distance shown in Fig. 6 depicts the clear decreasing trend for some species (e.g. aerosol number concentration and BC), whereas others have a less clear trend with ageing.

Generally, the levels of OA and SO₄ observed here for both background and in-plume are well within those of other aircraft field campaigns around the world that were classified as “polluted” (i.e. non-biomass burning), as described by Heald et al. (2011). Furthermore, measurements here can be compared to an aircraft campaign carried out more recently downwind of Paris (Freney et al., 2014). Although background levels over SWA are somewhat comparable with outside plume measurements in the environs of Paris (OA: 3.06 μg m⁻³ over SWA and 2.2 μg m⁻³ around Paris; SO₄: 1.67 μg m⁻³ over SWA and 1.19 μg m⁻³ around Paris), it is clear that in-plume concentration increases relative to the




Figure 7. Scatterplot between IEPOX–SOA concentration and SO₄. Black line and grey area represents mean and 5 and 95 % confidence intervals of the mean, respectively. Red and green markers are mean NO_x and $f_{\text{IEPOX-SOA}}$, respectively, and range bars represent 5 and 95 % confidence intervals of the mean. The data shown here include all ATR42 measurements at altitudes below 2000 m.

outside are more important within SWA (114 and 71 % for OA and SO₄, respectively) than around Paris (36 and 2 % for OA and SO₄, respectively). In the Rome metropolitan area, slightly higher levels were observed (4.5 and 1.6 µg m⁻³ for OA and SO₄, respectively), albeit with strong enhancements in these species when Saharan dust was present (Struckmeier et al., 2016).

As for IEPOX–SOA and $p\text{ON}$, although their concentration is also enhanced within the urban plumes relative to background levels, on average their relative contribution to OA remains fairly constant (IEPOX–SOA / OA is 0.32 and 0.28 for background and in-plume, respectively, whereas $p\text{ON} / \text{OA}$ is 0.06 for both cases). It is important to note that the contribution of the IEPOX–SOA to OA represents a lower limit to biogenic OA. Other processes, such as non-IEPOX isoprene SOA or monoterpene SOA, cannot be quantified under ambient measurements due to the lack of diagnostic tracers with the AMS technology. The next section presents an analysis of the variability of IEPOX–SOA in regard to other species in the SWA.

3.4 IEPOX–SOA over SWA

As discussed in Sect. 1.1, IEPOX–SOA concentration tends to increase with SO₄ and decrease with NO, as observed in a number of laboratory studies (Kuwata et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016b, 2013; Riva et al., 2016; Surratt et al., 2010). As both species, SO₄ and NO, originate from urban sources, the forming potential of IEPOX–SOA is the result of a complex interplay which will depend on emission strengths of each species, atmospheric chemical background (including isoprene concentration) and pre-existing aerosol properties (e.g. Marais et al., 2016).

In a somewhat similar setting to that presented here, i.e. a large urban conurbation emitting pollutants over tropical forested areas, the Manaus city plume over the Amazon rainforest has been observed to cause a net reduction of IEPOX–

SOA (de Sá et al., 2017). The general interpretation for the net reduction effect in the Amazon is that although SO₄ concentration is enhanced by Manaus emissions, its background levels from in- and out-of-basin sources can exceed the plume enhancement itself, and thus have a stronger controlling effect over the IEPOX–SOA forming potential. Conversely, the concentration of NO is unambiguously modulated by the Manaus emission and thus the net decreasing effect over IEPOX–SOA.

The southeast US is also significantly impacted by IEPOX–SOA formation, where it explains about one-third of ambient OA in urban and rural areas (Budisulistiorini et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2015). Typically, measurements in the region have found a strong correlation of IEPOX–SOA and sulfate (e.g. Hu et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2015), and the latter has been previously hypothesized to drive IEPOX–SOA formation through nucleophilic addition leading to organosulfates (Xu et al., 2015). More recently, detailed aqueous-phase IEPOX–SOA simulation in the region has proposed that the latter is a less efficient pathway, and sulfate would be in fact enhancing IEPOX–SOA formation by increasing the aqueous aerosol volume and acidity (Marais et al., 2016). Furthermore, an important outcome has been that further reducing SO₂ emissions in the region is expected to lead to a significant reduction in aerosol mass concentration via both sulfate and IEPOX–SOA (Budisulistiorini et al., 2017; Marais et al., 2016).

Although we show in the previous sections a significant enhancement of IEPOX–SOA within urban plumes (particularly during the Abidjan flight described in Sect. 3.1), it is unclear how its regional concentration responds to different SO₄, OA and NO concentrations. To assess the general variability throughout the region, the concentration of IEPOX–SOA, its fractional contribution to OA (termed $f_{\text{IEPOX-SOA}}$) and NO_x mixing ratios are analysed as a function of SO₄ concentrations (Fig. 7). Although NO would be the species expected to modulate the early stages of IEPOX formation (Sect. 1.1), NO_x has been chosen for this analysis due to its longer lifetime – thus being considered more representative of aerosol chemical history.

Interestingly, IEPOX–SOA concentrations show a significant, steady increase with SO₄ across the concentration range observed during DACCIWA, seemingly unaffected by the concomitant NO_x variation (which increases from 0.3 to 5.3 ppb). A linear fit between SO₄ and IEPOX–SOA yields a correlation coefficient of 0.42, comparable to the Southeast US (0.58; Marais et al., 2016) and Amazonia (0.61; de Sá et al., 2017), despite large differences in atmospheric background, pollution sources and sampling platform (aircraft/ground-based measurements). Despite the linear increase in IEPOX–SOA, $f_{\text{IEPOX-SOA}}$ shows, however, a fairly small dependence on SO₄ concentration up to 4 µg m⁻³, with mean values around 0.23 and, above this SO₄ level, a sharp increase to 0.56. Although a detailed analysis of the factors controlling IEPOX–SOA concentration (e.g. acidity, particle

water, aerosol surface) is outside the scope of this work, the fact that $f_{\text{IEPOX-SOA}}$ is constant despite significant changes in NO_x over a wide range of SO_4 concentrations (up to $4 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) is an indication that neither NO_x or SO_4 are alone controlling the concentration of IEPOX-SOA in the region. We speculate thus that it is mainly driven by the amount of pre-existing aerosol surface (e.g. Xu et al., 2016), instead of aerosol intrinsic chemical composition. Correspondingly, the sharp increase in $f_{\text{IEPOX-SOA}}$ on the high ($> 4 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) SO_4 range can then be interpreted as a change of driving mechanism in IEPOX-SOA formation, with SO_4 taking a leading role in IEPOX-SOA formation. The overall conclusion is that under background and most of the in-plume atmospheric conditions, IEPOX-SOA contributes to about 25–30 % of OA, although if SO_4 eventually has a larger contribution to PM₁, so will IEPOX-SOA.

4 Summary and conclusions

As part of the DACCIWA project, aircraft measurements were conducted over SWA during June–July 2016 with a broad objective of assessing the role of anthropogenic emissions on regional climate. Understanding the aerosol sources in the region is the first step in being able to both represent current and future scenarios in the state-of-the-art chemistry numerical models and to develop efficient abatement strategies. This study focuses on aerosol sources within the atmospheric boundary layer ($< 2000 \text{ m}$), particularly the coupling of emissions from large urban conglomerates with local biogenic emissions. PMF analysis of OA mass spectra has identified three factors, from which two are linked to urban emissions (fresh and aged) and another, more regionally homogeneous highly oxygenated (OOA factor). The latter is often important, if not dominating, with background median contribution to OA of 67 %, and in-plume of 38 %.

The analysis of a case study has allowed the direct and regional impacts of SWA emissions on the aerosol composition of an advecting air mass from the Gulf of Guinea to be inferred. Results show that a significant formation of IEPOX-SOA occurs within the Abidjan urban plume ($2\text{--}4 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$), where it explains the majority of OA mass. When considering observations conducted outside of the Abidjan plume, i.e. over large, mainly forested areas (representative of so-called background continental areas), IEPOX-SOA explained about 25 % of the OA mass, namely $0.7 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$. It is interesting to note that the increase in OA over the forested areas in comparison to the advecting air mass can be almost entirely explained by the formation of IEPOX-SOA ($\Delta\text{OA} = 0.9 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$). A similar analysis for $p\text{ON}$ has identified no quantifiable change between incoming oceanic (upwind Abidjan) and continental air masses ($0.18 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$), leading to the conclusion that this species is not locally formed, but mostly advected into the region.

A systematic analysis of in-plume and regional background air masses has been carried out using the ATR42 dataset below 2000 m. Regional background concentrations are fairly polluted, with average concentration of CO of 131 ppb, ozone of 32 ppb and aerosol number concentration of 735 cm^{-3} . Regarding PM₁ composition, OA was the most abundant species, contributing 54 %, followed by SO_4 (24 %) and minor contribution of other species. Mean background PM₁ in the region was $5.9 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$. During in-plume measurements there was a marked enhancement of pollutant levels, e.g. aerosol particles number concentration (6500 cm^{-3}), CO (176 ppb) and NO_x (2.72 ppb), as well as PM₁ concentration ($12.0 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$). Aerosol chemical composition is comparable between background and in-plume, likely the result of a significant impact of anthropogenic emissions scattered through the region even under the so-called background conditions.

The concentration of IEPOX-SOA has been studied according to SO_4 and NO_x levels, in order to assess how these species might impact IEPOX-SOA concentration. Interestingly, the fractional contribution of IEPOX-SOA to OA ($f_{\text{IEPOX-SOA}}$) is fairly constant (25–30 %) for SO_4 concentration from 0.5 up to $4 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ (and NO_x average variability between 0.5 and 2 ppb). This contribution of IEPOX-SOA to OA is considered a lower limit for biogenic OA, as other species such as non-IEPOX isoprene SOA and monoterpene SOA cannot be quantified independently by the techniques employed here. For higher concentrations of SO_4 ($> 4 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$), $f_{\text{IEPOX-SOA}}$ sharply increases up to 55 %. Put together, we interpret that for SO_4 concentrations below $4 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, neither NO_x nor SO_4 seems to be significantly affecting the concentration of IEPOX-SOA in the region, and above this threshold, SO_4 takes a leading role on IEPOX-SOA formation. Such PM-forming mechanisms must be considered in present and future scenarios, as gains from reducing primary OA emissions (such as reduction of waste burning) without reducing SO_4 emissions might lead to enhanced IEPOX-SOA formation, thus cancelling out possible gain in terms of PM levels. As it stands, the results presented here from the DACCIWA aircraft campaign warrants systematic long-term measurements in carefully selected areas throughout SWA to assess with high degree of certainty how changes in the anthropogenic emissions profile shall impact aerosol burden in this fast-changing, highly sensitive region.

Data availability. The data used here can be accessed using the DACCIWA database at <http://baobab.sedoo.fr/DACCIWA/>. An embargo period of 2 years after the upload applies. After that, external users can access the data in the same way as DACCIWA participants before that time. Before the end of the embargo period, external users can request the release of individual datasets. It is planned for DACCIWA data to get DOIs, but this has not been realized for all datasets yet.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-757-2018-supplement>.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Special issue statement. This article is part of the special issue “Results of the project ‘Dynamics-aerosol-chemistry-cloud interactions in West Africa’ (DACCIWA) (ACP/AMT inter-journal SI)”. It is not associated with a conference.

Acknowledgements. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no. 603502. The authors would also like to extend special thanks to the pilots and flight crew from SAFIRE for all their enthusiasm and support during the measurement campaign aboard the ATR42 aircraft. The authors acknowledge Christiane Schulz and Johannes Schneider for the scientific input and text revision. Pamela Dominutti thanks the CNPq and PVE-CAPES programme for financial support during her international exchange. Cyrielle Denjean thanks the Centre National des Etudes Spatiales (CNES) for financial support. Anneke M. Batenburg acknowledges internal funds of the Max Planck Institute for Chemistry in Mainz as well.

Edited by: Dominick Spracklen

Reviewed by: two anonymous referees

References

- Allan, J. D., Delia, A. E., Coe, H., Bower, K. N., Alfarra, M. R. R., Jimenez, J. L., Middlebrook, A. M., Drewnick, F., Onasch, T. B., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., and Worsnop, D. R.: A generalised method for the extraction of chemically resolved mass spectra from Aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer data, *J. Aerosol Sci.*, 35, 909–922, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2004.02.007>, 2004.
- Allan, J. D., Morgan, W. T., Darbyshire, E., Flynn, M. J., Williams, P. I., Oram, D. E., Artaxo, P., Brito, J., Lee, J. D., and Coe, H.: Airborne observations of IEPOX-derived isoprene SOA in the Amazon during SAMBBA, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 11393–11407, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-11393-2014>, 2014.
- Bahreini, R., Dunlea, E. J., Matthew, B. M., Simons, C., Docherty, K. S., DeCarlo, P. F., Jimenez, J. L., Brock, C. A., and Middlebrook, A. M.: Design and Operation of a Pressure-Controlled Inlet for Airborne Sampling with an Aerodynamic Aerosol Lens, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 42, 465–471, <https://doi.org/10.1080/02786820802178514>, 2008.
- Bechara, J., Borbon, A., Jambert, C., Colomb, A., and Perros, P. E.: Evidence of the impact of deep convection on reactive Volatile Organic Compounds in the upper tropical troposphere during the AMMA experiment in West Africa, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 10321–10334, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-10321-2010>, 2010.
- Bruto, J., Rizzo, L. V., Morgan, W. T., Coe, H., Johnson, B., Haywood, J., Longo, K., Freitas, S., Andreae, M. O., and Artaxo, P.: Ground-based aerosol characterization during the South American Biomass Burning Analysis (SAMBBA) field experiment, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 12069–12083, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-12069-2014>, 2014.
- Brown, S. S. and Stutz, J.: Nighttime radical observations and chemistry, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 41, 6405–6447, <https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cs35181a>, 2012.
- Bruns, E. A., Perraud, V., Zelenyuk, A., Ezell, M. J., Johnson, S. N., Yu, Y., Imre, D., Finlayson-Pitts, B. J., and Alexander, M. L.: Comparison of FTIR and particle mass spectrometry for the measurement of particulate organic nitrates, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 44, 1056–1061, <https://doi.org/10.1021/es9029864>, 2010.
- Budisulistiorini, S. H., Li, X., Bairai, S. T., Renfro, J., Liu, Y., Liu, Y. J., McKinney, K. A., Martin, S. T., McNeill, V. F., Pye, H. O. T., Nenes, A., Neff, M. E., Stone, E. A., Mueller, S., Knote, C., Shaw, S. L., Zhang, Z., Gold, A., and Surratt, J. D.: Examining the effects of anthropogenic emissions on isoprene-derived secondary organic aerosol formation during the 2013 Southern Oxidant and Aerosol Study (SOAS) at the Look Rock, Tennessee ground site, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 8871–8888, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-8871-2015>, 2015.
- Canagaratna, M. R., Jimenez, J. L., Kroll, J. H., Chen, Q., Kessler, S. H., Massoli, P., Hildebrandt Ruiz, L., Fortner, E., Williams, L. R., Wilson, K. R., Surratt, J. D., Donahue, N. M., Jayne, J. T., and Worsnop, D. R.: Elemental ratio measurements of organic compounds using aerosol mass spectrometry: characterization, improved calibration, and implications, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 253–272, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-253-2015>, 2015.
- Capes, G., Murphy, J. G., Reeves, C. E., McQuaid, J. B., Hamilton, J. F., Hopkins, J. R., Crosier, J., Williams, P. I., and Coe, H.: Secondary organic aerosol from biogenic VOCs over West Africa during AMMA, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 3841–3850, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-3841-2009>, 2009.
- Capouet, M. and Müller, J.-F.: A group contribution method for estimating the vapour pressures of a-pinene oxidation products, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 1455–1467, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-1455-2006>, 2006.
- Claeys, M., Graham, B., Vas, G., Wang, W., Vermeylen, R., Pashynska, V., Cafmeyer, J., Guyon, P., Andreae, M. O., Artaxo, P., and Maenhaut, W.: Formation of secondary organic aerosols through photooxidation of isoprene, *Science*, 303, 1173–1176, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1092805>, 2004.
- Cubison, M. J., Ortega, A. M., Hayes, P. L., Farmer, D. K., Day, D., Lechner, M. J., Brune, W. H., Apel, E., Diskin, G. S., Fisher, J. A., Fuelberg, H. E., Hecobian, A., Knapp, D. J., Mikoviny, T., Riemer, D., Sachse, G. W., Sessions, W., Weber, R. J., Weinheimer, A. J., Wisthaler, A., and Jimenez, J. L.: Effects of aging on organic aerosol from open biomass burning smoke in aircraft and laboratory studies, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 12049–12064, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-12049-2011>, 2011.
- de Oliveira Alves, N., Vessoni, A. T., Quinet, A., Fortunato, R. S., Kajitani, G. S., Peixoto, M. S., Hacon, S. de S., Artaxo, P., Saldiva, P., Menck, C. F. M., and Batistuzzo de Medeiros, S. R.: Biomass burning in the Amazon region causes DNA damage and cell death in human lung cells, *Sci. Rep.*, 7, 10937, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-11024-3>, 2017.

- de Sá, S. S., Palm, B. B., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Newburn, M. K., Hu, W., Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Yee, L. D., Thalman, R., Brito, J., Carbone, S., Artaxo, P., Goldstein, A. H., Manzi, A. O., Souza, R. A. F., Mei, F., Shilling, J. E., Springston, S. R., Wang, J., Surratt, J. D., Alexander, M. L., Jimenez, J. L., and Martin, S. T.: Influence of urban pollution on the production of organic particulate matter from isoprene epoxydiols in central Amazonia, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 6611–6629, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-6611-2017>, 2017.
- Drewnick, F., Hings, S. S., Alfarra, M. R., Prevot, A. S. H., and Borrmann, S.: Aerosol quantification with the Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer: detection limits and ionizer background effects, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 2, 33–46, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-2-33-2009>, 2009.
- Dzepina, K., Mazzoleni, C., Fialho, P., China, S., Zhang, B., Owen, R. C., Helmig, D., Hueber, J., Kumar, S., Perlinger, J. A., Kramer, L. J., Dziobak, M. P., Ampadu, M. T., Olsen, S., Wuebbles, D. J., and Mazzoleni, L. R.: Molecular characterization of free tropospheric aerosol collected at the Pico Mountain Observatory: a case study with a long-range transported biomass burning plume, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 5047–5068, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-5047-2015>, 2015.
- Ervens, B. and Volkamer, R.: Glyoxal processing by aerosol multiphase chemistry: towards a kinetic modeling framework of secondary organic aerosol formation in aqueous particles, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 8219–8244, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-8219-2010>, 2010.
- Farmer, D. K., Matsunaga, A., Docherty, K. S., Surratt, J. D., Seinfeld, J. H., Ziemann, P. J., and Jimenez, J. L.: Response of an aerosol mass spectrometer to organonitrates and organosulfates and implications for atmospheric chemistry, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 107, 6670–6675, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0912340107>, 2010.
- Ferreira, J., Reeves, C. E., Murphy, J. G., Garcia-Carreras, L., Parker, D. J., and Oram, D. E.: Isoprene emissions modelling for West Africa: MEGAN model evaluation and sensitivity analysis, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 8453–8467, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-8453-2010>, 2010.
- Flamant, C., Knippertz, P., Fink, A. H., Akpo, A., Brooks, B., Chiu, C. J., Coe, H., Danuor, S., Evans, M., Jegede, O., Kalthoff, N., Konaré, A., Lioussé, C., Lohou, F., Mari, C., Schlager, H., Schwarzenboeck, A., Adler, B., Amekudzi, L., Aryee, J., Ayoola, M., Batenburg, A. M., Bessardon, G., Borrmann, S., Brito, J., Bower, K., Burnet, F., Catoire, V., Colomb, A., Denjean, C., Fosu-Amankwah, K., Hill, P. G., Lee, J., Lothon, M., Maranan, M., Marsham, J., Meynadier, R., Ngamini, J., Rosenberg, P., Sauer, D., Smith, V., Stratmann, G., Taylor, J. W., Voigt, C., and Yoboué, V.: The Dynamics-Aerosol-Chemistry-Cloud Interactions in West Africa field campaign: Overview and research highlights, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 99, 83–104, <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-16-0256.1>, 2018.
- Frenay, E. J., Sellegri, K., Canonaco, F., Colomb, A., Borbon, A., Michoud, V., Doussin, J.-F., Crumeyrolle, S., Amarouche, N., Pichon, J.-M., Bourianne, T., Gomes, L., Prevot, A. S. H., Beekmann, M., and Schwarzenböeck, A.: Characterizing the impact of urban emissions on regional aerosol particles: airborne measurements during the MEGAPOLI experiment, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 1397–1412, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-1397-2014>, 2014.
- Frey, W., Borrmann, S., Kunkel, D., Weigel, R., de Reus, M., Schlager, H., Roiger, A., Voigt, C., Hoor, P., Curtius, J., Krämer, M., Schiller, C., Volk, C. M., Homan, C. D., Fierli, F., Di Donfrancesco, G., Ulanovsky, A., Ravegnani, F., Sitnikov, N. M., Viciani, S., D'Amato, F., Shur, G. N., Belyaev, G. V., Law, K. S., and Cairo, F.: In situ measurements of tropical cloud properties in the West African Monsoon: upper tropospheric ice clouds, Mesoscale Convective System outflow, and subvisual cirrus, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 5569–5590, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-5569-2011>, 2011.
- Fry, J. L., Draper, D. C., Barsanti, K. C., Smith, J. N., Ortega, J., Winkler, P. M., Lawler, M. J., Brown, S. S., Edwards, P. M., Cohen, R. C., and Lee, L.: Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation and Organic Nitrate Yield from NO₃ Oxidation of Biogenic Hydrocarbons, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 48, 11944–11953, <https://doi.org/10.1021/es502204x>, 2014.
- Hallquist, M., Wenger, J. C., Baltensperger, U., Rudich, Y., Simpson, D., Claeys, M., Dommen, J., Donahue, N. M., George, C., Goldstein, A. H., Hamilton, J. F., Herrmann, H., Hoffmann, T., Iinuma, Y., Jang, M., Jenkin, M. E., Jimenez, J. L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Maenhaut, W., McFiggans, G., Mentel, Th. F., Monod, A., Prévôt, A. S. H., Seinfeld, J. H., Surratt, J. D., Szmigielski, R., and Wildt, J.: The formation, properties and impact of secondary organic aerosol: current and emerging issues, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 5155–5236, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-5155-2009>, 2009.
- Hansen, M. C., Potapov, P. V., Moore, R., Hancher, M., Turubanova, S. A., Tyukavina, A., Thau, D., Stehman, S. V., Goetz, S. J., Loveland, T. R., Kommareddy, A., Egorov, A., Chini, L., Justice, C. O., and Townshend, J. R. G.: High-Resolution Global Maps of 21st-Century Forest Cover Change, *Science*, 342, 850–853, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1244693>, 2013.
- Heald, C. L., Coe, H., Jimenez, J. L., Weber, R. J., Bahreini, R., Middlebrook, A. M., Russell, L. M., Jolleys, M., Fu, T.-M., Allan, J. D., Bower, K. N., Capes, G., Crosier, J., Morgan, W. T., Robinson, N. H., Williams, P. I., Cubison, M. J., DeCarlo, P. F., and Dunlea, E. J.: Exploring the vertical profile of atmospheric organic aerosol: comparing 17 aircraft field campaigns with a global model, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 12673–12696, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-12673-2011>, 2011.
- Hinks, M. L., Brady, M. V., Lignell, H., Song, M., Grayson, J. W., Bertram, A. K., Lin, P., Laskin, A., Laskin, J., and Nizkorodov, S. A.: Effect of viscosity on photodegradation rates in complex secondary organic aerosol materials, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 8785–8793, <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP05226B>, 2016.
- Hu, W. W., Campuzano-Jost, P., Palm, B. B., Day, D. A., Ortega, A. M., Hayes, P. L., Krechmer, J. E., Chen, Q., Kuwata, M., Liu, Y. J., de Sá, S. S., McKinney, K., Martin, S. T., Hu, M., Budisulistiorini, S. H., Riva, M., Surratt, J. D., St. Clair, J. M., Isaacman-Van Wertz, G., Yee, L. D., Goldstein, A. H., Carbone, S., Brito, J., Artaxo, P., de Gouw, J. A., Koss, A., Wisthaler, A., Mikoviny, T., Karl, T., Kaser, L., Jud, W., Hansel, A., Docherty, K. S., Alexander, M. L., Robinson, N. H., Coe, H., Allan, J. D., Canagaratna, M. R., Paulot, F., and Jimenez, J. L.: Characterization of a real-time tracer for isoprene epoxydiols-derived secondary organic aerosol (IEPOX–SOA) from aerosol mass spectrometer measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 11807–11833, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-11807-2015>, 2015.

- Jacobs, M. I., Burke, W. J., and Elrod, M. J.: Kinetics of the reactions of isoprene-derived hydroxynitrates: gas phase epoxide formation and solution phase hydrolysis, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 8933–8946, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-8933-2014>, 2014.
- Kiendler-Scharr, A., Mensah, A. A., Friese, E., Topping, D., Nemitz, E., Prevot, A. S. H., Äijälä, M., Allan, J., Canonaco, F., Canagaratna, M., Carbone, S., Crippa, M., Dall'Osto, M., Day, D. A., De Carlo, P., Di Marco, C. F., Elbern, H., Eriksson, A., Freney, E., Hao, L., Herrmann, H., Hildebrandt, L., Hillamo, R., Jimenez, J. L., Laaksonen, A., McFiggans, G., Mohr, C., O'Dowd, C., Otjes, R., Ovadnevaite, J., Pandis, S. N., Poulain, L., Schlag, P., Sellegri, K., Swietlicki, E., Tiitta, P., Vermeulen, A., Wahner, A., Worsnop, D., and Wu, H.-C.: Ubiquity of organic nitrates from nighttime chemistry in the European submicron aerosol, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43, 7735–7744, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL069239>, 2016.
- Kjaergaard, H. G., Knap, H. C., Ørnsø, K. B., Jørgensen, S., Crouse, J. D., Paulot, F., and Wennberg, P. O.: Atmospheric fate of methacrolein. 2. Formation of lactone and implications for organic aerosol production, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 116, 5763–5768, <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp210853h>, 2012.
- Knippertz, P., Coe, H., Chiu, J. C., Evans, M. J., Fink, A. H., Kalthoff, N., Lioussse, C., Mari, C., Allan, R. P., Brooks, B., Danour, S., Flamant, C., Jegede, O. O., Lohou, F., and Marsham, J. H.: The dacciya project: Dynamics-aerosol-chemistry-cloud interactions in West Africa, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 96, 1451–1460, <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00108.1>, 2015a.
- Knippertz, P., Evans, M. J., Field, P. R., Fink, A. H., Lioussse, C., and Marsham, J. H.: The possible role of local air pollution in climate change in West Africa, *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, 5, 815–822, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2727>, 2015b.
- Knippertz, P., Fink, A. H., Deroubaix, A., Morris, E., Tocquer, F., Evans, M. J., Flamant, C., Gaetani, M., Lavaysse, C., Mari, C., Marsham, J. H., Meynadier, R., Affo-Dogo, A., Bahaga, T., Brosse, F., Deetz, K., Guebsi, R., Latifou, I., Maranan, M., Rosenberg, P. D., and Schlueter, A.: A meteorological and chemical overview of the DACCIIWA field campaign in West Africa in June–July 2016, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 10893–10918, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-10893-2017>, 2017.
- Krechmer, J. E., Coggon, M. M., Massoli, P., Nguyen, T. B., Crouse, J. D., Hu, W., Day, D. A., Tyndall, G. S., Henze, D. K., Rivera-Rios, J. C., Nowak, J. B., Kimmel, J. R., Mauldin, R. L., Stark, H., Jayne, J. T., Sipilä, M., Junninen, H., Clair, J. M. St., Zhang, X., Feiner, P. A., Zhang, L., Miller, D. O., Brune, W. H., Keutsch, F. N., Wennberg, P. O., Seinfeld, J. H., Worsnop, D. R., Jimenez, J. L., and Canagaratna, M. R.: Formation of Low Volatility Organic Compounds and Secondary Organic Aerosol from Isoprene Hydroxyhydroperoxide Low-NO Oxidation, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 49, 10330–10339, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b02031>, 2015.
- Kuwata, M., Zorn, S. R., and Martin, S. T.: Using Elemental Ratios to Predict the Density of Organic Material Composed of Carbon, Hydrogen, and Oxygen, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 46, 787–794, <https://doi.org/10.1021/es202525q>, 2012.
- Kuwata, M., Liu, Y., McKinney, K., and Martin, S. T.: Physical state and acidity of inorganic sulfate can regulate the production of secondary organic material from isoprene photooxidation products, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 5670–5678, <https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CP04942J>, 2015.
- Lebel, T., Parker, D. J., Flamant, C., Bourlès, B., Marticorena, B., Mougou, E., Peugeot, C., Diedhiou, A., Haywood, J. M., Ngamini, J. B., Polcher, J., Redelsperger, J. L., and Thorncroft, C. D.: The AMMA field campaigns: Multiscale and multidisciplinary observations in the West African region, *Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.*, 136, 8–33, <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.486>, 2010.
- Lee, B. H., Mohr, C., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Lutz, A., Hallquist, M., Lee, L., Romer, P., Cohen, R. C., Iyer, S., Kurten, T., Hu, W., Day, D. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Jimenez, J. L., Xu, L., Ng, N. L., Guo, H., Weber, R. J., Wild, R. J., Brown, S. S., Koss, A., de Gouw, J., Olson, K., Goldstein, A. H., Seco, R., Kim, S., McAvey, K., Shepson, P. B., Starn, T., Baumann, K., Edgerton, E. S., Liu, J., Shilling, J. E., Miller, D. O., Brune, W., Schobesberger, S., D'Ambro, E. L., and Thornton, J. A.: Highly functionalized organic nitrates in the southeast United States: Contribution to secondary organic aerosol and reactive nitrogen budgets, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 113, 1516–1521, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1508108113>, 2016.
- Lelieveld, J., Butler, T. M., Crowley, J. N., Dillon, T. J., Fischer, H., Ganzeveld, L., Harder, H., Lawrence, M. G., Martinez, M., Taraborrelli, D., and Williams, J.: Atmospheric oxidation capacity sustained by a tropical forest, *Nature*, 452, 737–740, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06870>, 2008.
- Lide, D. R. (Ed.): CRC Handbook of chemistry and physics. A ready-reference book of chemical and physical data, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 72nd edn., ISBN: 0849304725, 2486 pp., 1991.
- Lin, Y.-H., Knipping, E. M., Edgerton, E. S., Shaw, S. L., and Surratt, J. D.: Investigating the influences of SO₂ and NH₃ levels on isoprene-derived secondary organic aerosol formation using conditional sampling approaches, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 8457–8470, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-8457-2013>, 2013.
- Lioussse, C., Assamoi, E., Criqui, P., Granier, C., and Rosset, R.: Explosive growth in African combustion emissions from 2005 to 2030, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 9, 35003, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/9/3/035003>, 2014.
- Liu, Y., Brito, J., Dorris, M. R., Rivera-Rios, J. C., Seco, R., Bates, K. H., Artaxo, P., Duvoisin, S., Keutsch, F. N., Kim, S., Goldstein, A. H., Guenther, A. B., Manzi, A. O., Souza, R. A. F., Springston, S. R., Watson, T. B., McKinney, K. A., and Martin, S. T.: Isoprene photochemistry over the Amazon rainforest, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 113, 6125–6130, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1524136113>, 2016a.
- Liu, Y., Kuwata, M., McKinney, K. A., and Martin, S. T.: Uptake and release of gaseous species accompanying the reactions of isoprene photo-oxidation products with sulfate particles, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 1595–1600, <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP04551G>, 2016b.
- Liu, Y. J., Herdinger-Blatt, I., McKinney, K. A., and Martin, S. T.: Production of methyl vinyl ketone and methacrolein via the hydroperoxyl pathway of isoprene oxidation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 5715–5730, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-5715-2013>, 2013.
- Marais, E. A., Jacob, D. J., Jimenez, J. L., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Hu, W., Krechmer, J., Zhu, L., Kim, P. S., Miller, C. C., Fisher, J. A., Travis, K., Yu, K., Hanisco, T. F., Wolfe, G. M., Arkinson, H. L., Pye, H. O. T., Froyd, K. D., Liao, J., and McNeill, V. F.: Aqueous-phase mechanism for secondary organic aerosol formation from isoprene: application to the southeast United States and co-benefit of SO₂ emission controls, *At-*

- mos. Chem. Phys., 16, 1603–1618, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-1603-2016>, 2016.
- Mari, C. H., Cailley, G., Corre, L., Saunois, M., Attié, J. L., Thouret, V., and Stohl, A.: Tracing biomass burning plumes from the Southern Hemisphere during the AMMA 2006 wet season experiment, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 3951–3961, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-3951-2008>, 2008.
- Middlebrook, A. M., Bahreini, R., Jimenez, J. L., and Canagaratna, M. R.: Evaluation of Composition-Dependent Collection Efficiencies for the Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer using Field Data, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 46, 258–271, <https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2011.620041>, 2012.
- Murphy, J. G., Oram, D. E., and Reeves, C. E.: Measurements of volatile organic compounds over West Africa, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 5281–5294, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-5281-2010>, 2010.
- Nah, T., Sanchez, J., Boyd, C. M., and Ng, N. L.: Photochemical Aging of α -pinene and β -pinene Secondary Organic Aerosol formed from Nitrate Radical Oxidation, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 50, 222–231, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b04594>, 2016.
- Ng, N. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J. L., Tian, J., Ulbrich, I. M., Kroll, J. H., Docherty, K. S., Chhabra, P. S., Bahreini, R., Murphy, S. M., Seinfeld, J. H., Hildebrandt, L., Donahue, N. M., DeCarlo, P. F., Lanz, V. A., Prévôt, A. S. H., Dinar, E., Rudich, Y., and Worsnop, D. R.: Organic aerosol components observed in Northern Hemispheric datasets from Aerosol Mass Spectrometry, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 4625–4641, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-4625-2010>, 2010.
- Ng, N. L., Brown, S. S., Archibald, A. T., Atlas, E., Cohen, R. C., Crowley, J. N., Day, D. A., Donahue, N. M., Fry, J. L., Fuchs, H., Griffin, R. J., Guzman, M. I., Herrmann, H., Hodzic, A., Iinuma, Y., Jimenez, J. L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Lee, B. H., Luecken, D. J., Mao, J., McLaren, R., Mutzel, A., Osthoff, H. D., Ouyang, B., Picquet-Varrault, B., Platt, U., Pye, H. O. T., Rudich, Y., Schwantes, R. H., Shiraiwa, M., Stutz, J., Thornton, J. A., Tilgner, A., Williams, B. J., and Zaveri, R. A.: Nitrate radicals and biogenic volatile organic compounds: oxidation, mechanisms, and organic aerosol, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 2103–2162, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-2103-2017>, 2017.
- Nguyen, T. B., Bates, K. H., Crouse, J. D., Schwantes, R. H., Zhang, X., Kjaergaard, H. G., Surratt, J. D., Lin, P., Laskin, A., Seinfeld, J. H., and Wennberg, P. O.: Mechanism of the hydroxyl radical oxidation of methacryloyl peroxyxynitrate (MPAN) and its pathway toward secondary organic aerosol formation in the atmosphere, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 17914–17926, <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP02001H>, 2015.
- Paatero, P. and Tapper, U.: Positive matrix factorization: A non-negative factor model with optimal utilization of error estimates of data values, *Environmetrics*, 5, 111–126, <https://doi.org/10.1002/env.3170050203>, 1994.
- Park, K., Kittelson, D. B., Zachariah, M. R., and McMurry, P. H.: Measurement of inherent material density of nanoparticle agglomerates, *J. Nanoparticle Res.*, 6, 267–272, <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:NANO.0000034657.71309.e6>, 2004.
- Perraud, V., Bruns, E. A., Ezell, M. J., Johnson, S. N., Yu, Y., Alexander, M. L., Zelenyuk, A., Imre, D., Chang, W. L., Dabdub, D., Pankow, J. F., and Finlayson-Pitts, B. J.: Nonequilibrium atmospheric secondary organic aerosol formation and growth, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.*, 109, 2836–2841, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1119909109>, 2012.
- Reeves, C. E., Formenti, P., Afif, C., Ancellet, G., Attié, J.-L., Bechara, J., Borbon, A., Cairo, F., Coe, H., Crumeyrolle, S., Fierli, F., Flamant, C., Gomes, L., Hamburger, T., Jambert, C., Law, K. S., Mari, C., Jones, R. L., Matsuki, A., Mead, M. I., Methven, J., Mills, G. P., Minikin, A., Murphy, J. G., Nielsen, J. K., Oram, D. E., Parker, D. J., Richter, A., Schlager, H., Schwarzenboeck, A., and Thouret, V.: Chemical and aerosol characterisation of the troposphere over West Africa during the monsoon period as part of AMMA, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 7575–7601, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-7575-2010>, 2010.
- Riva, M., Budisulistiorini, S. H., Chen, Y., Zhang, Z., D'Ambro, E. L., Zhang, X., Gold, A., Turpin, B. J., Thornton, J. A., Canagaratna, M. R., and Surratt, J. D.: Chemical characterization of secondary organic aerosol from oxidation of isoprene hydroxyhydroperoxides, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 50, 9889–9899, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b02511>, 2016.
- Robinson, N. H., Hamilton, J. F., Allan, J. D., Langford, B., Oram, D. E., Chen, Q., Docherty, K., Farmer, D. K., Jimenez, J. L., Ward, M. W., Hewitt, C. N., Barley, M. H., Jenkin, M. E., Rickard, A. R., Martin, S. T., McFiggans, G., and Coe, H.: Evidence for a significant proportion of Secondary Organic Aerosol from isoprene above a maritime tropical forest, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 1039–1050, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-1039-2011>, 2011.
- Shrivastava, M., Cappa, C. D., Fan, J., Goldstein, A. H., Guenther, A. B., Jimenez, J. L., Kuang, C., Laskin, A., Martin, S. T., Ng, N. L., Petaja, T., Pierce, J. R., Rasch, P. J., Roldin, P., Seinfeld, J. H., Shilling, J., Smith, J. N., Thornton, J. A., Volkamer, R., Wang, J., Worsnop, D. R., Zaveri, R. A., Zelenyuk, A., and Zhang, Q.: Recent advances in understanding secondary organic aerosol: Implications for global climate forcing, *Rev. Geophys.*, 55, 509–559, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016RG000540>, 2017.
- Struckmeier, C., Drewnick, F., Fachinger, F., Gobbi, G. P., and Borrmann, S.: Atmospheric aerosols in Rome, Italy: sources, dynamics and spatial variations during two seasons, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 15277–15299, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-15277-2016>, 2016.
- Surratt, J. D., Chan, A. W. H., Eddingsaas, N. C., Chan, M., Loza, C. L., Kwan, A. J., Hersey, S. P., Flagan, R. C., Wennberg, P. O., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Reactive intermediates revealed in secondary organic aerosol formation from isoprene, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.*, 107, 6640–6645, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0911114107>, 2010.
- Ulbrich, I. M., Canagaratna, M. R., Zhang, Q., Worsnop, D. R., and Jimenez, J. L.: Interpretation of organic components from Positive Matrix Factorization of aerosol mass spectrometric data, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 2891–2918, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-2891-2009>, 2009.
- Verma, S. K., Kawamura, K., Chen, J., Fu, P., and Zhu, C.: Thirteen years of observations on biomass burning organic tracers over Chichijima Island in the western North Pacific: An outflow region of Asian aerosols, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 120, 4155–4168, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD022224>, 2015.
- Xu, L., Suresh, S., Guo, H., Weber, R. J., and Ng, N. L.: Aerosol characterization over the southeastern United States using high-resolution aerosol mass spectrometry: spatial and seasonal variation of aerosol composition and sources with a fo-

- cus on organic nitrates, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 7307–7336, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-7307-2015>, 2015a.
- Xu, L., Guo, H., Boyd, C. M., Klein, M., Bougiatioti, A., Cerully, K. M., Hite, J. R., Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Kreisberg, N. M., Knote, C., Olson, K., Koss, A., Goldstein, A. H., Hering, S. V., de Gouw, J., Baumann, K., Lee, S.-H., Nenes, A., Weber, R. J., and Ng, N. L.: Effects of anthropogenic emissions on aerosol formation from isoprene and monoterpenes in the southeastern United States, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 112, 37–42, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1417609112>, 2015b.
- Xu, L., Middlebrook, A. M., Liao, J., de Gouw, J. A., Guo, H., Weber, R. J., Nenes, A., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Lee, B. H., Thornton, J. A., Brock, C. A., Neuman, J. A., Nowak, J. B., Pollack, I. B., Welti, A., Graus, M., Warneke, C., and Ng, N. L.: Enhanced formation of isoprene-derived organic aerosol in sulfur-rich power plant plumes during Southeast Nexus, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 121, 11137–11153, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025156>, 2016.
- Zhang, J. K., Cheng, M. T., Ji, D. S., Liu, Z. R., Hu, B., Sun, Y., and Wang, Y. S.: Characterization of submicron particles during biomass burning and coal combustion periods in Beijing, China, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 562, 812–821, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.04.015>, 2016.
- Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Ulbrich, I. M., Ng, N. L., Worsnop, D. R., and Sun, Y.: Understanding atmospheric organic aerosols via factor analysis of aerosol mass spectrometry: A review, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 401, 3045–3067, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-011-5355-y>, 2011.