



This is a repository copy of *Search for long-lived charginos based on a disappearing-track signature in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}=13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/133647/>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Aaboud, M, Aad, G, Abbott, B et al. (1539 more authors) (2018) Search for long-lived charginos based on a disappearing-track signature in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}=13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2018. 22. ISSN 1126-6708

[https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06\(2018\)022](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2018)022)

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

RECEIVED: December 7, 2017

REVISED: March 15, 2018

ACCEPTED: May 11, 2018

PUBLISHED: June 5, 2018

Search for long-lived charginos based on a disappearing-track signature in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector



The ATLAS collaboration

E-mail: atlas.publications@cern.ch

ABSTRACT: This paper presents a search for direct electroweak gaugino or gluino pair production with a chargino nearly mass-degenerate with a stable neutralino. It is based on an integrated luminosity of 36.1 fb^{-1} of pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV collected by the ATLAS experiment at the LHC. The final state of interest is a disappearing track accompanied by at least one jet with high transverse momentum from initial-state radiation or by four jets from the gluino decay chain. The use of short track segments reconstructed from the innermost tracking layers significantly improves the sensitivity to short chargino lifetimes. The results are found to be consistent with Standard Model predictions. Exclusion limits are set at 95% confidence level on the mass of charginos and gluinos for different chargino lifetimes. For a pure wino with a lifetime of about 0.2 ns, chargino masses up to 460 GeV are excluded. For the strong production channel, gluino masses up to 1.65 TeV are excluded assuming a chargino mass of 460 GeV and lifetime of 0.2 ns.

KEYWORDS: Hadron-Hadron scattering (experiments)

ARXIV EPRINT: [1712.02118](https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.02118)

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	ATLAS detector	3
3	Analysis overview	4
3.1	Signal processes	4
3.2	Background sources	5
3.3	Analysis method	6
4	Data and simulated event samples	6
5	Reconstruction and event selection	8
5.1	Event reconstruction	8
5.2	Event selection	11
5.3	Signal acceptance and efficiency	11
6	Signal and background estimation	14
6.1	Background templates	14
6.1.1	Smearing function	14
6.1.2	Hadron background	15
6.1.3	Charged-lepton background	16
6.1.4	Templates for scattered particles	17
6.1.5	Fake tracklets	17
6.2	Signal templates	17
6.3	Fit to the p_T spectrum	18
7	Systematic uncertainties	19
7.1	Background uncertainties	19
7.2	Signal uncertainties	19
8	Results and interpretation	21
9	Conclusions	25
A	Likelihood function	26
	The ATLAS collaboration	31

1 Introduction

Supersymmetry (SUSY) [1–6] is a space-time symmetry that relates fermions and bosons. It predicts new particles that differ from their Standard Model (SM) partners by a half unit of spin. If R-parity is conserved [7], SUSY particles are produced in pairs and decay such that their final products consist only of SM particles and the stable lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP). In many supersymmetric models, the supersymmetric partners of the SM W boson fields, the wino fermions, are the lightest gaugino states. In this case, the lightest of the charged mass eigenstates, a chargino, and the lightest of the neutral mass eigenstates, a neutralino, are both almost pure wino and nearly mass-degenerate. As a result, the lightest chargino can have a lifetime long enough that it can reach the ATLAS detector before decaying. For example, anomaly-mediated supersymmetry breaking (AMSB) scenarios [8, 9] naturally predict a pure wino LSP, which is a dark-matter candidate. The mass-splitting between the charged and neutral wino ($\Delta m_{\tilde{\chi}_1}$) in such models is suppressed at tree level by the approximate custodial symmetry; it has been calculated at the two-loop level to be around 160 MeV [10], corresponding to a chargino lifetime of about 0.2 ns [11]. This prediction for the value of the lifetime is actually a general feature of models with a wino LSP: within the generated models of the ATLAS phenomenological Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (pMSSM) scan [12] that have a wino-like LSP, about 70% have a charged-wino lifetime between 0.15 ns and 0.25 ns. Most of the models in the other 30% have a larger mass-splitting (and therefore the charged wino has a shorter lifetime) due to a non-decoupled higgsino mass. The search presented here is sensitive to a wide range of lifetimes, from 10 ps to 10 ns, and reaches maximum sensitivity for lifetimes around 1 ns.

The decay products of SUSY particles that are strongly mass-degenerate with the lightest neutralino leave little visible energy in the detector. Thus, the corresponding searches represent a significant challenge for the LHC experiments. If a charged SUSY particle produced in a high-energy collider had a relatively long lifetime, it would leave multiple hits¹ in the traversed tracking layers before decaying, and could then be reconstructed as a track segment in the innermost part of the detector [13–15]. In the models considered in this paper, a long-lived chargino decays into a pion and the LSP, a neutralino. The pion emitted in the transition from the lightest chargino ($\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$) to the lightest neutralino ($\tilde{\chi}_1^0$) typically has very low momentum and it is not reconstructed in the detector. The neutralino is assumed to pass through the detector without interacting. A track arising from a long-lived chargino can therefore *disappear*, i.e., leave hits only in the innermost layers and no hits in the portions of the detector at higher radii. Figure 1 shows an example of a simulated signal event in which a long-lived chargino decays into a neutralino and a low-momentum pion in the ATLAS detector. Searches for long-lived, massive, charged particles using measurements of ionization energy loss and timing information are also sensitive to long-lived charginos [16–18], with a lower efficiency for selecting signals with lifetimes around 0.2 ns,

¹A hit is a space-time point which represents interactions between a particle and material in an active region of a particle detector.




Figure 1. Illustration of a $pp \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^- + \text{jet}$ event, with long-lived charginos. Particles produced in pile-up pp interactions are not shown. The $\tilde{\chi}_1^+$ decays into a low-momentum pion and a $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ after leaving hits in the four pixel layers (indicated by red markers).

relative to the disappearing-track signature. The disappearing-track signature provides the most sensitive search to date for SUSY models with charginos with $\mathcal{O}(\text{ns})$ lifetimes.

Previous searches for a disappearing-track signature were performed by the ATLAS [19] and CMS [20] collaborations using the full dataset of the LHC pp run at a centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$. These searches excluded chargino masses below 270 GeV and 260 GeV respectively, with a chargino proper lifetime ($\tau_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}$) of 0.2 ns. In the previous ATLAS analysis, a special tracking algorithm was used to reconstruct short tracks, and the search was sensitive to charginos decaying at radii larger than about 30 cm. A crucial improvement in the analysis described here is the use of even shorter tracks, called tracklets, which allows the reconstruction of charginos decaying at radii from about 12 cm to 30 cm. The use of these tracklets is possible thanks to the new innermost tracking layer [21, 22] installed during the LHC long shutdown between Run 1 and Run 2. The use of shorter tracklets significantly extends the sensitivity to smaller chargino lifetimes.

This paper is organised as follows. A brief overview of the ATLAS detector is given in section 2. In section 3, the signal processes and backgrounds are described and an overview of the analysis method is given. The data samples used in this analysis and the simulation model of the signal processes are described in section 4. The reconstruction algorithms and event selection are presented in section 5. The analysis method is discussed in section 6. The systematic uncertainties are described in section 7. The results are presented in section 8. Section 9 is devoted to conclusions.

2 ATLAS detector

ATLAS [23] is a multipurpose detector with a forward-backward symmetric cylindrical geometry, covering nearly the entire solid angle around an interaction point of the LHC.²

²ATLAS uses a right-handed coordinate system with its origin at the nominal interaction point in the centre of the detector. The positive x -axis is defined by the direction from the interaction point to the centre of the LHC ring, with the positive y -axis pointing upwards, while the beam direction defines the z -axis. Cylindrical coordinates (r, ϕ) are used in the transverse plane, ϕ being the azimuthal angle around the

The inner tracking detector (ID) consists of pixel and micro-strip silicon detectors covering the pseudorapidity region of $|\eta| < 2.5$, surrounded by a transition radiation tracker (TRT), which improves the momentum measurement and enhances electron identification capabilities. The pixel detector spans the radius range from 3 cm to 12 cm, the strip semiconductor tracker (SCT) from 30 cm to 52 cm, and the TRT from 56 cm to 108 cm. The pixel detector has four barrel layers, and three disks in each of the forward and backward regions. The barrel layers surround the beam pipe at radii of 33.3, 50.5, 88.5, and 122.5 mm, covering $|\eta| < 1.9$. These layers are equipped with pixels which have a width of $50 \mu\text{m}$ in the transverse direction. The pixel sizes in the longitudinal direction are $250 \mu\text{m}$ for the first layer and $400 \mu\text{m}$ for the other layers. The innermost layer, the insertable B-layer [21, 22], was added during the long shutdown between Run 1 and Run 2 and improves the reconstruction of tracklets by adding an additional measurement point close to the interaction point. The ID is surrounded by a thin superconducting solenoid providing an axial 2 T magnetic field and by a fine-granularity lead/liquid-argon (LAr) electromagnetic calorimeter covering $|\eta| < 3.2$. The calorimeters in the region of $3.1 < |\eta| < 4.9$ are made of LAr active layers with either copper or tungsten as the absorber material. A steel/scintillator-tile calorimeter provides coverage for hadronic showers in the central pseudorapidity range of $|\eta| < 1.7$. LAr hadronic end-cap calorimeters, which use lead as absorber, cover the forward region of $1.5 < |\eta| < 3.2$. The muon spectrometer with an air-core toroid magnet system surrounds the calorimeters. The ATLAS trigger system [24] consists of a hardware-based level-1 trigger followed by a software-based high-level trigger.

3 Analysis overview

3.1 Signal processes

If the gluino mass is too large to yield a sizeable production cross-section, electroweak-gaugino direct pair production could be the only gaugino production mode within reach at LHC energies. If the gluino mass is relatively light, however, gluino pair production becomes the dominant process, and charginos can be produced in cascade decays of the gluino. For large mass separations between the gluino and the chargino, the relatively large transverse momentum (p_{T}) transferred to the chargino typically leads to higher kinematic selection efficiencies and larger chargino decay radii relative to charginos from gaugino pair production. Two complementary searches are described here: one targets direct electroweak-gaugino pair production and the other targets gluino pair production in which at least one long-lived chargino is produced in the subsequent decay of the gluinos. In both searches, events are selected with a trigger based on the magnitude of the missing transverse momentum in the event ($E_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}}$). A candidate event is required to have at least one “pixel tracklet”, which is a tracklet with no associated SCT hits. Candidate pixel tracklets are required to have $p_{\text{T}} > 5 \text{ GeV}$.

z -axis. The pseudorapidity η is defined in terms of the polar angle θ by $\eta = -\ln \tan(\theta/2)$ and the rapidity is defined as $y = (1/2) \ln[(E + p_z)/(E - p_z)]$ where E is the energy and p_z the longitudinal momentum of the object of interest.




Figure 2. Example diagrams of the benchmark signal processes used in this analysis. In the case of direct chargino/neutralino production (a), the signal signature consists of a long-lived chargino, missing transverse momentum and initial-state radiation. In the case of the strong channel (b), each gluino decays into two quarks and a chargino or neutralino. A long-lived chargino, missing transverse momentum and multiple quarks, which are observed as jets, are the signatures of this signal.

Electroweak production. This search targets the production processes $pp \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_1^0 j$ and $pp \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^- j$, where j denotes an energetic jet from initial-state radiation (ISR). The presence of the ISR jet is required to ensure significant E_T^{miss} and hence high trigger efficiency. An example diagram for the $pp \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_1^0 j$ process is presented in figure 2a. The resulting signal topology is characterised by a high- p_T jet, large E_T^{miss} , and at least one high- p_T pixel tracklet.

Strong production. This search targets gluino pair production with a long-lived chargino in the decay chains $pp \rightarrow \tilde{g}\tilde{g} \rightarrow qq\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and $pp \rightarrow \tilde{g}\tilde{g} \rightarrow qq\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$. These are typical decay modes in AMSB models. An example diagram is shown in figure 2b. The signal topology is characterised by four high- p_T jets, large E_T^{miss} , and at least one high- p_T pixel tracklet.

3.2 Background sources

The main SM background processes for the two analysis channels are top-quark pair production ($t\bar{t}$) and W boson production associated with hadron jets (W +jets) with subsequent decay $W \rightarrow e\nu, \tau\nu$. Hadrons or leptons in these events can be reconstructed as a pixel tracklet if they interact with the detector material and any hits in the tracking detectors after the pixel detector are not assigned to the reconstructed tracklet. Interactions that contribute to this background include severe multiple-scattering, hadronic interactions or, in the case of leptons, bremsstrahlung, as shown in figure 3a and 3b. The other main category of background is from “fake” tracklets, which originate from random combinations of hits from two or more particles, as shown in figure 3c.




Figure 3. Sketch of the different background components in the search with pixel tracklets. Thin solid and dotted red lines show trajectories of charged and neutral particles respectively. Thick blue lines show reconstructed pixel tracklets. (a) A hadron undergoing a hard scattering can yield track segments in the pixel and SCT detector that are not recognised as belonging to the same track, thus faking a pixel tracklet. (b) A lepton emitting hard photon radiation could be identified as a pixel tracklet through a similar mechanism. (c) A pixel tracklet can arise from a random combination of hits created by different particles in close proximity.

3.3 Analysis method

Candidate events are required to have large E_T^{miss} , at least one high- p_T jet, and at least one isolated pixel tracklet. A lepton-veto is used to suppress background events from W/Z + jets and top-pair production processes. Kinematic requirements, optimised for each channel, are applied to enhance the signal purity in the event samples. After selection, the search is performed by looking for an excess of candidate events in the p_T distribution of pixel tracklets. The shapes of the p_T spectrum for the background from hadrons, muons, electrons, and fake tracklets are derived from data using dedicated techniques for each background process. A fit to the observed p_T distribution to extract the normalisation of the total background component and the signal strength is performed simultaneously in a low- E_T^{miss} control region, two fake-tracklet control regions, and a high- E_T^{miss} signal region. The regions are defined by the requirements described in section 5 and in section 6.3. The expected signal spectrum and yield are estimated from simulation and the measured detector performance. Further details are given in section 6.

4 Data and simulated event samples

The data used in this analysis were recorded by the ATLAS detector in 2015 and 2016. The pp centre-of-mass energy was 13 TeV and the bunch spacing was 25 ns. The mean number of pp interactions per bunch crossing in the dataset was 14 in 2015 and 24 in 2016.

Events were selected by E_T^{miss} triggers [25] with trigger thresholds varying from 70 GeV to 110 GeV depending on the data-taking period. Data samples used to estimate the background contribution and to measure tracking performance were selected using triggers

requiring at least one isolated electron ($p_T > 24\text{--}26$ GeV) or muon ($p_T > 20\text{--}26$ GeV). After applying basic data-quality requirements, the data sample corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 36.1 fb^{-1} . The uncertainty in the combined 2015+2016 integrated luminosity is 3.2%. It is derived, following a methodology similar to that detailed in ref. [26], from a preliminary calibration of the luminosity scale using x - y beam-separation scans performed in August 2015 and May 2016.

The simulated signal samples were generated assuming the minimal AMSB model [8, 9] with $\tan\beta = 5$, the sign of the higgsino mass term set to be positive, and the universal scalar mass set to $m_0 = 5$ TeV. The proper lifetime and the mass of the chargino were scanned in the range from 10 ps to 10 ns and from 100 GeV to 700 GeV respectively. For the strong production, samples were generated for gluino masses ($m_{\tilde{g}}$) varying from 700 GeV to 2200 GeV with LSP mass from 200 GeV to $m_{\tilde{g}} - 100$ GeV. The SUSY mass spectrum, the branching ratios and decay widths were calculated using ISASUSY 7.80 [27]. The signal samples were generated with up to two extra partons in the matrix element using MG5_aMC@NLO 2.3.3 [28] at leading order (LO) interfaced to PYTHIA 8.212 [29] for parton showering, hadronisation and SUSY particle decay. The NNPDF2.3LO [30] parton distribution function (PDF) set was used. Renormalisation and factorisation scales were determined by the default dynamic scale choice of MG5_aMC@NLO. The CKKW-L merging scheme [31] was applied to combine tree-level matrix elements containing multiple partons with parton showers. The scale parameter for merging was set to a quarter of the mass of the wino for wino-pair production or a quarter of the gluino mass for the strong production channel. The A14 [32] set of tuned parameters with simultaneously optimised multiparton interaction and parton shower parameters was used for the underlying event together with the NNPDF2.3LO PDF set. Charginos were assumed to be stable in the event-generation step.

The cross-sections for the electroweak production are calculated at next-to-leading order (NLO) in the strong coupling constant using PROSPINO2 [33]. The cross-sections for the strong production are calculated in the same way as in the electroweak channel, adding the resummation of soft gluon emission at next-to-leading-logarithm accuracy (NLO + NLL) [34]. In both channels, an envelope of cross-section predictions is defined using the 68% confidence level (CL) ranges of the CTEQ6.6 PDF set [35], including the α_S uncertainty, and MSTW2008 PDF set [36], together with variations of the factorisation and renormalisation scales by factors of two or one half. The nominal cross-section value is taken to be the midpoint of the envelope and the uncertainty assigned is half of the full width of the envelope, following the PDF4LHC recommendations [37]. For the strong production mode, the branching ratio of the gluino decay is assumed to be 1/3 for each of the following decays: $\tilde{g} \rightarrow qq\tilde{\chi}^0$, $\tilde{g} \rightarrow qq\tilde{\chi}^-$ and $\tilde{g} \rightarrow qq\tilde{\chi}^+$. Only first- and second-generation quarks (d, u, s, c) are considered. Direct electroweak-gaugino production is not considered in the strong channel. The cross-section for the electroweak production, including at least one chargino, varies from 47 pb to 13 fb as the wino mass increases from 100 GeV to 700 GeV with the uncertainty in the cross-section ranging from 8.6% to 7.3%. The cross-section for gluino production varies from 3.5 pb to 0.36 fb as the gluino mass increases from 700 GeV to 2200 GeV with the uncertainty increasing from 14% to 36%.

The response of the detector to particles was modelled with the full ATLAS detector simulation [38] based on GEANT4 [39]. All simulated events were overlaid with additional pp interactions in the same and neighbouring bunch crossings (pile-up) simulated with the soft QCD processes of PYTHIA 8.186 using the A2 set of tuned parameters [40] and the MSTW2008LO PDF set. Charginos were forced to decay into a pion and a neutralino in GEANT4. The simulated events are reconstructed in the same way as the data, and are reweighted so that the distribution of the average number of collisions per bunch crossing matches the one observed in the data.

The $E_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}}$ trigger efficiency is measured as a function of the offline $E_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}}$ using a data control sample consisting of events selected by the muon triggers and an additional offline selection designed to extract nearly pure $W \rightarrow \mu\nu$ events. For $E_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}} > 200$ GeV, the trigger efficiency is almost 100%. The measured trigger efficiency is used to directly estimate the probability for signal events to pass the trigger. The trigger efficiency for the direct electroweak production signal is about 20%, depending on the assumed SUSY particle masses. In the strong production search, the trigger efficiency is over 90% when the mass difference between the gluino and the LSP is above 300 GeV, and it decreases to about 55% for a mass difference of 100 GeV.

5 Reconstruction and event selection

5.1 Event reconstruction

Primary vertices are reconstructed from two or more tracks with $p_{\text{T}} > 400$ MeV. When two or more vertices are reconstructed, the one with the largest sum of p_{T}^2 of the associated tracks is used. Events are required to have at least one reconstructed primary vertex.

Jets are reconstructed from noise-suppressed energy clusters [41] of calorimeter cells using an anti- k_t algorithm [42] with a radius parameter of 0.4 as implemented in the FASTJET package [43]. An area-based correction is applied to account for energy from additional pp collisions based on an estimate of the pile-up activity in a given event [44]. Further corrections derived from the average jet response in simulation and data are used to calibrate the jet energies to the scale of their constituent particles [45]. Jets are required to have $p_{\text{T}} > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.8$. Additional selection criteria are applied to the tracks associated with jets [46] with $p_{\text{T}} < 60$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$ to reduce the number of jets originating from pile-up interactions.

Muon candidates are reconstructed by combining a track reconstructed by the muon spectrometer (MS track) with one recorded by the ID. They are required to satisfy ‘Medium’ quality requirements described in ref. [47] and to have $p_{\text{T}} > 10$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.7$.

Electron candidates are reconstructed from energy clusters in the electromagnetic calorimeter with a matching track in the ID. They are required to satisfy the ‘Loose’ likelihood-based identification criteria described in ref. [48]. They are further required to have transverse energy $E_{\text{T}} > 10$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.47$.

After the requirements described above, ambiguities between candidate jets and leptons are resolved as follows. First, any jet candidate which is within a distance $\Delta R \equiv \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2} = 0.2$ of an electron candidate is discarded. Second, if an

electron (muon) candidate and a jet are found within $0.2 < \Delta R < 0.4$ ($0.2 < \Delta R < \min(0.4, 0.04 + 10 \text{ GeV}/p_T^\mu)$), the electron (muon) candidate is discarded and the jet is retained. Finally, if a muon and a jet are found within $\Delta R < 0.2$, the muon is kept and the overlapping jet is ignored if fewer than three tracks with $p_T > 500 \text{ MeV}$ are associated with the jet. The muon is ignored in the other case. In addition, ambiguities between electrons and muons are resolved to avoid double counting: an electron is discarded if the electron candidate and a muon candidate share the same ID track.

The offline missing transverse momentum [49] is calculated from the transverse momenta of selected jets, lepton candidates, and tracks compatible with the primary vertex but not associated with those leptons or jets. Tracklets are not used in the E_T^{miss} calculation.

Track reconstruction is performed in two stages. First, standard tracks, referred to as tracks in this paper, are reconstructed using a standard algorithm [50]. Tracks are required to have at least seven hits in the silicon detectors [51]. A typical track for a high- p_T charged particle which does not decay or scatter in the ID has four pixel hits, eight SCT hits, and 36 TRT hits at $\eta \approx 0$. The track reconstruction is then rerun with looser criteria, requiring at least four pixel-detector hits. The second reconstruction uses only pixel hits not associated with tracks as input, in order to find short tracks which are not reconstructed in the first step. Tracks reconstructed in the second step are referred to as tracklets. The tracklets are then extrapolated to the SCT and TRT detectors, and any compatible hits are assigned to the tracklet candidate. Tracklets are required to have $p_T > 5 \text{ GeV}$, $|\eta| < 2.2$, and their longitudinal impact parameter³ $|z_0|$, must be smaller than 10 mm. Figure 4 shows the reconstruction efficiency for simulated charginos as a function of the chargino decay radius, where requirements described later in this section are not applied to compute the efficiency except for the disappearance condition for pixel tracklets. By using pixel tracklets rather than tracks, the reconstruction efficiency is improved significantly for charginos decaying at radii less than 300 mm. For charginos with a lifetime of 0.2 ns, which have a mean decay radius of 6 cm, the probability to reconstruct a pixel tracklet is 5–10%; this tracklet reconstruction efficiency is a factor of ten greater than the efficiency obtained using tracks. The inefficiency in reconstructing pixel tracklets for charginos with a lifetime of 0.2 ns is largely due to charginos which decay before reaching the fourth layer of the pixel detector.

To reduce contributions from tracklets from background processes, the following requirements are applied to the tracklets:

- (1) **Isolation and p_T requirements:** the separation ΔR between the tracklet and any jet with $p_T > 50 \text{ GeV}$ or any reconstructed MS track must be greater than 0.4. The candidate tracklet is required to be isolated. A track or tracklet is defined as isolated when the sum of the transverse momenta of all standard ID tracks with $p_T > 1 \text{ GeV}$ and $|z_0 \sin(\theta)| < 3.0 \text{ mm}$ in a cone of $\Delta R = 0.4$ around the track or tracklet, not including the p_T of the candidate track or tracklet, divided by the track or tracklet

³The transverse impact parameter is defined as the distance of closest approach in the transverse plane between a track and the centre of the luminous region. A correction is applied to take into account the tilt of the luminous region with respect to the z -axis. The longitudinal impact parameter corresponds to the z -coordinate distance between the point along the track at which the transverse impact parameter is defined and the primary vertex.




Figure 4. Chargino reconstruction efficiency as a function of decay radius. The reconstruction efficiency of pixel tracklets before applying the fake-rejection criteria is shown in red, while that obtained with the standard tracking algorithm is shown in green. The error bars show statistical uncertainties in the estimation. Also shown in blue, on the right axis, is the distribution of the decay radius for charginos with a lifetime of 0.2 ns. The yellow shaded regions correspond to the coverage of each detector.

p_T , is smaller than 0.04. The candidate tracklet must have $p_T > 20$ GeV, and the p_T must be the highest among isolated tracks and tracklets in the event.

- (2) **Geometrical acceptance:** the tracklet must satisfy $0.1 < |\eta| < 1.9$.
- (3) **Quality requirement:** the tracklet is required to have hits on all four pixel layers. The number of pixel holes, defined as missing hits in modules in which at least one is expected given the detector geometry and conditions, must be zero. The number of low-quality hits⁴ associated with the tracklet must be zero. Furthermore, tracklets must satisfy requirements on the significance of the transverse impact parameter, d_0 , $|d_0|/\sigma(d_0) < 2$ (where $\sigma(d_0)$ is the uncertainty in the d_0 measurement), and $|z_0 \sin(\theta)| < 0.5$ mm. The χ^2 -probability of the fit is required to be larger than 10%.
- (4) **Disappearance condition:** the number of SCT hits associated with the tracklet must be zero.

⁴A hit is categorised as low quality when the single-hit position uncertainty is large, or the hit position is far from the reconstructed tracklet.

The isolation and quality requirements are mainly useful in rejecting fake tracklets, which have a flat distribution in impact parameter. The requirement on η excludes tracklets with $\eta \sim 0$, where the muon spectrometer has low efficiency. Including tracklets in this region would increase the background significantly, as the lepton rejection is less efficient. Tracklets with $|\eta| > 1.9$ are rejected because the probability of a particle scattered by detector material to be reconstructed as a tracklet increases at high $|\eta|$. The disappearance condition is used to identify tracklets which arise from particles decaying between the pixel and the SCT detectors.

5.2 Event selection

Events are selected by applying requirements on the event kinematics. The selection requirements for the signal regions for the two different production channels are described below.

Event preselection. Common selection criteria are applied in the two searches. At least one pixel tracklet must satisfy all the requirements described in section 5.1. To ensure good data quality, an event is rejected when the jet with the highest p_T in the event passes the ‘BadTight’ [52] selection or at least one jet passes the ‘BadLoose’ [52] selection, which is used to reduce jets originating from detector noise and non-collision background. Events containing a muon, before ambiguity removal between muons and jets, with momentum uncertainty $\sigma(q/p)/|q/p| > 0.2$ are also rejected, where q and p are the electric charge and the magnitude of the momentum of the muon. To suppress contributions from top-quark-pair ($t\bar{t}$) and W/Z + jets production processes, candidate events are required to have no electron and no muon candidates (lepton veto).

Electroweak chargino production. Events are required to have at least one jet with $p_T > 140$ GeV and $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 140$ GeV (90 GeV $< E_T^{\text{miss}} < 140$ GeV) in the high- (low-) E_T^{miss} region to discriminate the signal from SM processes. In order to further suppress the multijet background, the difference in azimuthal angle ($\Delta\phi$) between the missing transverse momentum and each of the up to four highest- p_T jets with $p_T > 50$ GeV is required to be larger than 1.0.

Strong production. Candidate events are required to have a jet with $p_T > 100$ GeV, at least two additional jets with $p_T > 50$ GeV and $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 150$ GeV (100 GeV $< E_T^{\text{miss}} < 150$ GeV) in the high- (low-) E_T^{miss} region to discriminate the signal from SM processes. In order to further suppress the multijet background, the $\Delta\phi$ between the missing transverse momentum and each of the up to four highest- p_T jets with $p_T > 50$ GeV is required to be larger than 0.4.

5.3 Signal acceptance and efficiency

The number of events observed in data and the expected number of signal events for two representative signal points are shown in table 1, for the selection described above. No generator-level requirements are applied to signal events. Therefore, events are counted in which the chargino decays before reaching the fourth pixel layer but an isolated track or a tracklet from an SM particle or from a random combination of hits is reconstructed. Such

Selection requirement	Electroweak channel			Strong channel		
	Observed	Expected signal		Observed	Expected signal	
Trigger	434 559 704	1276	(0.20)	434 559 704	285	(0.98)
Jet cleaning	288 498 579	1181	(0.19)	288 498 579	282	(0.97)
Lepton veto	275 243 946	1178	(0.19)	275 243 946	278	(0.95)
E_T^{miss} and jet requirements	2 697 917	579.1 (0.092)		537 861	202	(0.69)
Isolation and p_T requirement	464 524	104.2	(0.017)	107 381	43.6	(0.15)
Geometrical $ \eta $ acceptance	339 602	83.6	(0.013)	77 675	36.4	(0.13)
Quality requirement	6134	29.6	(0.0047)	1337	13.9	(0.048)
Disappearance condition	154	24.1	(0.0038)	35	11.0	(0.038)

Table 1. Summary of the selection criteria, and the corresponding observed number of events in data as well as the expected number of signal events in simulation for two benchmark models: a chargino produced in direct electroweak production with $(m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}, \tau_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}) = (400 \text{ GeV}, 0.2 \text{ ns})$ and a chargino produced in the strong channel with $(m_{\tilde{g}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}, \tau_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}) = (1600 \text{ GeV}, 500 \text{ GeV}, 0.2 \text{ ns})$ in the high- E_T^{miss} region. The expected number of signal events is normalised to 36.1 fb^{-1} . The signal selection efficiencies are also shown in parentheses. The first row shows the number of events after the application of detector and data quality conditions. Requirements below the dashed line are applied to tracks and tracklets.

events are rejected by the isolation requirement, the geometrical acceptance or the quality selection.

To facilitate reinterpretation, the signal efficiency and generator-level acceptance are shown in table 2 for a few signal models with the following definitions. A generator-level event kinematic volume for electroweak production is defined as: 1) $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 140 \text{ GeV}$, 2) at least one jet with $p_T > 140 \text{ GeV}$, 3) $\Delta\phi > 1.0$ between the missing transverse momentum and each of the up to four highest- p_T jets with $p_T > 50 \text{ GeV}$, and 4) no electrons or muons. For strong production, the event requirements are: 1) $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 150 \text{ GeV}$, 2) at least one jet with $p_T > 100 \text{ GeV}$ and at least two more jets with $p_T > 50 \text{ GeV}$, 3) $\Delta\phi > 0.4$ between the missing transverse momentum and each of the up to four highest- p_T jets with $p_T > 50 \text{ GeV}$, and 4) no electrons or muons. The generator-level missing transverse momentum is defined as the vector sum of the p_T of neutrinos, neutralinos and charginos, as the p_T of a tracklet is not used in the reconstruction of missing transverse momentum. The generator-level jets are built using the anti- k_t algorithm with a radius parameter of 0.4, taking as input all particles, except for muons, neutrinos, neutralinos and charginos, with $c\tau > 10 \text{ mm}$. The fraction of chargino events passing this generator-level kinematic selection is shown for several signal points as “event acceptance”. The “event efficiency” is defined as the ratio of the number of reconstructed events which pass the requirements defined in section 5.2 (including the trigger requirement) to the number of events which fall into the generator-level acceptance volume defined above. The event efficiency does not include any requirement on tracklets. The event efficiency can be greater than unity because an event which is not in the generator-level kinematic volume can pass the selection after reconstruction due to reconstruction resolutions.

Signal model		Event		Tracklet		
Mass [GeV]	Lifetime [ns]	Acceptance	Efficiency	Acceptance	Efficiency	P
Electroweak production						
$m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}=400$	0.2	0.09	1.03	0.07	0.47	0.57
$m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}=600$	0.2	0.12	1.05	0.05	0.48	0.57
$m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}=600$	1.0	0.11	1.03	0.20	0.47	0.57
Strong production						
$m_{\tilde{g}}=1600, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}=500$	0.2	0.71	0.97	0.10	0.38	0.55
$m_{\tilde{g}}=1000, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}=900$	0.2	0.18	0.93	0.03	0.36	0.55

Table 2. The event and tracklet generator-level acceptance and selection efficiency for a few signal models studied in this search. The last column shows the probability (P) for a reconstructed tracklet to have p_T greater than 100 GeV. For details, see text.

The full selection efficiency must also consider the probability of reconstructing in the event at least one tracklet that satisfies the four tracklet selection criteria defined in section 5.1, and has a reconstructed p_T above 100 GeV. This is quantified in table 2 based on a generator-level tracklet selection. To be accepted as a tracklet at generator level, a chargino must meet the following criteria: 1) $p_T > 20$ GeV, 2) $0.1 < |\eta| < 1.9$, 3) $122.5 \text{ mm} < \text{decay position} < 295 \text{ mm}$, where the decay position is the cylindrical radius relative to the origin, and 4) $\Delta R > 0.4$ between the chargino and each of the up to four highest- p_T jets with $p_T > 50$ GeV. The fraction of produced charginos which pass this generator-level selection, in events which pass the event-level selection requirements, is shown as “tracklet acceptance”. Given that a tracklet passes these requirements at generator level, the probability for it to pass the full pixel tracklet selection at reconstruction level is defined as “tracklet efficiency”, and the probability for such a reconstructed tracklet to have $p_T > 100$ GeV is shown independently. The selection efficiency is shown per tracklet, and therefore for events with two charginos, the full probability of selecting the event must take into account the probability of at least one of the tracklets passing both acceptance and efficiency.⁵ For models in which the signal p_T spectrum differs significantly from that of the charginos considered here, the momentum resolution of the tracklets, as shown in figure 5, must be taken into account to correctly estimate the probability of reconstructing $p_T > 100$ GeV (see section 6.1). The tracklet efficiency depends on the soft jet activity around a chargino, and therefore differs between the charginos produced via electroweak and strong production mechanisms.

⁵If E_A is the event acceptance, E_E is the event efficiency, T_A is the tracklet acceptance, T_E is the tracklet efficiency, and T_P is the tracklet p_T efficiency, then for an event with N charginos, the probability of having at least one reconstructed, selected tracklet with $p_T > 100$ GeV in an event can be calculated as: $E_E \times E_A \times (1 - (1 - T_A \times T_E \times T_P)^N)$.

6 Signal and background estimation

An unbinned likelihood fit is performed on the p_T distribution of the pixel tracklets in a wide p_T range, $p_T > 20$ GeV. Most of the signal events are expected to be in the high- E_T^{miss} region. The contamination of signal in the low- E_T^{miss} region is at the level of 3%, and this region is used to constrain the fake-tracklet p_T spectrum.

6.1 Background templates

Templates for background components are estimated from data. The p_T spectra of hadrons and leptons scattered by the ID material are estimated from the p_T distribution of tracks associated with non-scattered hadrons and leptons, selected in dedicated control samples (as detailed in section 6.1.2 and in section 6.1.3), by smearing them to take into account the poor p_T resolution of pixel tracklets. The p_T spectrum shape of the fake-tracklet component is also obtained in a dedicated control region (as detailed in section 6.1.5).

6.1.1 Smearing function

A smearing function to translate from track to tracklet momentum resolution is extracted from $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ events in data by re-fitting the muon candidate track using only the hits in the pixel detector. The $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ events are selected by single-muon triggers and by requiring two opposite-charge muons with a difference in azimuthal angle larger than 1.5, and with an invariant mass between 81 GeV and 101 GeV. The q/p_T resolution of pixel tracklets is calculated from the distribution of the difference between the q/p_T of the pixel tracklet and the original track. This distribution is shown in figure 5a. The q/p_T difference distribution is modelled by the following empirical formula:

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} \exp(\alpha(z + \alpha/2)) & (z < -\alpha) \\ \exp(-z^2/2) & (-\alpha < z < \alpha), \\ \exp(-\alpha(z - \alpha/2)) & (z > \alpha) \end{cases}$$

$$z = \frac{\Delta(q/p_T) - \beta}{\sigma}, \tag{6.1}$$

where α , β and σ are parameters representing the slope of the tail part, and the mean and resolution of the core part of the distribution respectively. The measured q/p_T resolution of pixel tracklets, for p_T much larger than 10 GeV, is 13.2 TeV^{-1} , which is ten times larger than that of tracks with more than four SCT hits, due to the limited lever-arm of the pixel tracklet. No significant dependence of the q/p_T resolution on p_T is observed. The smearing procedure is validated in $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ events, as shown in figure 5b. To validate the procedure, the smearing function is extracted using only one muon per $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ event, and the other muon is used to make the p_T spectrum from the re-fitted pixel tracklets. The p_T spectrum from the re-fitted pixel tracklets is compared to the one created by convolving the track p_T spectrum with the smearing function. The two spectra agree very well up to 12.5 TeV.




Figure 5. (a) Distribution of the difference between q/p_T of a pixel tracklet and a track in $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ events in data. The solid curve shows the smearing function (eq. (6.1)) used to construct the background p_T template, which is described in section 6.1. The parameter values of the curve are $\alpha = 1.67$, $\beta = -1.72 \text{ TeV}^{-1}$ and $\sigma = 13.2 \text{ TeV}^{-1}$. The red band indicates a 1σ variation of the systematic uncertainty (see section 7). The data are normalised to unit area. (b) Validation of the smearing procedure in $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ events in data. The green and red points show the p_T distributions of tracks and pixel tracklets respectively. The blue point shows the p_T spectrum obtained by convolving the track p_T distribution with the smearing function. The lower plot shows the ratio of the smeared spectrum to the distribution of the pixel tracklets.

6.1.2 Hadron background

Assuming that the p_T spectrum of hadrons scattered in the ID is the same as that of non-scattered hadrons, the p_T spectra of scattered hadrons can be extracted from tracks in control samples of non-scattered hadrons. This assumption was verified with simulation. The control samples are obtained by applying the same kinematic requirements as in the signal regions and then selecting samples of tracks which satisfy the following requirements:

- The number of associated hits in the TRT must be larger than 15, and the number of associated hits in the SCT must be larger than 6.
- There must be associated energy deposits in the calorimeter: the transverse energy deposited in the calorimeter in a cone of $\Delta R = 0.2$ around the track, excluding the energy cluster associated with the track, ($E_T^{\text{cone}20}$) must satisfy $E_T^{\text{cone}20} > 3 \text{ GeV}$, and the sum of cluster energies in a cone of $\Delta R = 0.4$ around the track ($\sum_{\Delta R < 0.4} E_T^{\text{clus}}$) divided by the p_T of the track must satisfy $\sum_{\Delta R < 0.4} E_T^{\text{clus}} / p_T > 0.5$.

The first requirement selects good-quality tracks which have not undergone scattering in the silicon layers. The second requirement removes electron and muon tracks from the control region. The p_T spectra of the control samples are convolved with the smearing function to take into account the resolution of the pixel tracklets. Separate p_T spectra are prepared for the high- E_T^{miss} region and the low- E_T^{miss} region in each channel.

6.1.3 Charged-lepton background

In order to obtain the p_T spectra of background tracklets originating from leptons, events containing a lepton without significant scattering due to hard bremsstrahlung are used. The lepton p_T spectra obtained from these events are scaled to take into account the probability of significant scattering and are smeared to take into account the poor p_T resolution of pixel tracklets. Events containing exactly one lepton which satisfy the same kinematic requirements as for the signal regions, excluding the lepton-veto, are used. The lepton is required to have an associated inner detector track with $p_T > 16$ GeV which satisfies the same quality selection as for tracklets, except for the SCT veto and the isolation from candidate electrons and muons.

The p_T distribution of background tracklets from leptons is obtained by multiplying the p_T distribution of the lepton control sample by a transfer factor, which rescales the number of identified leptons to that of pixel tracklets. The transfer factor is found to be p_T -dependent for electrons, and η - and ϕ -dependent for muons, as described below.

The transfer factor is extracted with a tag-and-probe method using $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$ events in data which are selected by a single-lepton trigger. Tag and probe leptons are selected by applying requirements discussed below. The tag-probe pair is further required to have an invariant mass within 10 GeV of the Z boson pole mass.

A tag electron is required to fully satisfy track-based isolation criteria and likelihood-based ‘Tight’ electron identification criteria, to match the electron which triggered the event and to have $p_T > 30$ GeV. Probe electrons are identified as clusters of energy in the calorimeter with an associated track satisfying the quality, isolation, high- p_T and geometrical acceptance requirements defined in section 5.1 for signal tracks and tracklets. The probe track has to satisfy either the full pixel tracklet selection, including the disappearance condition, or the tight electron selection. The transfer factor is defined as the ratio of the number of probe electrons which satisfy the full tracklet selection to the number of probe electrons which satisfy the tight electron selection, as a function of electron p_T . The transfer factor is $O(10^{-2})$ – $O(10^{-4})$, depending on electron p_T , and is below 10^{-5} for electrons with $p_T > 50$ GeV.

A muon used as a tag must satisfy track-based isolation criteria and cut-based ‘Tight’ identification criteria. The transfer factor for muons is the product of two components: the probability for a muon ID track to be classified as disappearing and the probability for a muon ID track not to have an associated MS track. As the pixel tracklets in the signal region are required to be isolated from MS tracks, the second component of the transfer factor allows an estimation of the expected normalisation as well as the p_T distribution of the muon background. The first component of the muon transfer factor is estimated with a method similar to that used for the electron transfer factor. The same selection criteria as the electron case are applied to the tag and probe muons, replacing the electron identification criteria with those for the muon. The first component of the muon transfer factor is found to be 4.5×10^{-4} . The second component is necessary because an MS track is used as a probe to measure the first component. The second component is evaluated with a similar tag-and-probe method, where the probe is taken from a sample of well-measured

tracks which pass through the full ID, selected by requiring more than 15 TRT hits on the track. The probability for an MS track to be geometrically matched to an ID track is calculated from this sample. The transfer factor is measured as a function of η and ϕ to fully take into account the detector geometry. The second component of the transfer factor for muons is found to be of the order of 10^{-2} to 10^{-1} . The p_T spectra of the lepton control samples are scaled by the transfer factors, then convolved with the smearing function. Two different p_T spectra are prepared, one for the high- E_T^{miss} region and one for the low- E_T^{miss} region, while keeping the same requirements as in the signal region. The expected numbers of muon background events in the low- E_T^{miss} region and in the high- E_T^{miss} region are estimated by scaling the number of events in the muon control samples by the transfer factor.

6.1.4 Templates for scattered particles

The control samples for hadron and electron components are found to have similar p_T distributions, which is due in part to the fact that the isolation requirement for tracklets to be separated from jets affects both the electron and hadron background similarly. The two components are therefore combined in the fitting. The muon component is treated separately as the muon control samples are found to have a different p_T distribution.

6.1.5 Fake tracklets

Fake tracklets are formed from a random combination of hits. The d_0 distribution of fake tracklets is broad, whereas the high- p_T chargino tracklets have good impact parameter resolution and therefore have values of d_0 which cluster around zero. The fake-tracklet control region is defined by requiring $|d_0|/\sigma(d_0) > 10$, and by removing the E_T^{miss} requirement. This region is dominated by fake tracklets. The p_T spectra of fake tracklets are modelled with the following empirical functional form:

$$f(p_T) = \exp(-p_0 \cdot \log(p_T) - p_1 \cdot (\log(p_T))^2), \quad (6.2)$$

where p_0 and p_1 are fit parameters. Figure 6 shows the p_T distribution of pixel tracklets in the fake-tracklet control region along with a histogram filled from the result of the fit. The p_T spectrum shape is confirmed to be independent of E_T^{miss} by comparing it in three E_T^{miss} regions: $E_T^{\text{miss}} < 90$ GeV, $90 \text{ GeV} < E_T^{\text{miss}} < 140$ GeV and $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 140$ GeV. A small dependence of the fit parameters on $|d_0|/\sigma(d_0)$ is observed by comparing the parameters obtained in three regions: $10 < |d_0|/\sigma(d_0) < 20$, $20 < |d_0|/\sigma(d_0) < 30$ and $30 < |d_0|/\sigma(d_0) < 100$. The size of the dependence on $|d_0|/\sigma(d_0)$ is added as an uncertainty in the p_T template shape.

6.2 Signal templates

The signal p_T spectrum is estimated by smearing the generator-level p_T distribution of charginos in the signal simulation for each signal parameter point. The smearing function parameters are determined from muons in data, but shifted by the differences between the parameter values found for charginos and muons in simulation due to the difference between




Figure 6. Fit on the fake-tracklet control sample for (a) the electroweak production channel and (b) the strong production channel. The black markers show data. The blue line and the band show the histogram made from the fit function and its uncertainty. The bottom plot shows the ratio of the observed data to the fit histogram. The chi-square per degrees of freedom of the fit are 5.4/14 and 8.5/19 for the electroweak and strong production channels respectively. Red arrows in the Data/Fit ratio indicate bins where the corresponding entry falls outside the plotted range.

their masses. This smearing is performed because the tracklet p_T resolution measured in reconstructed simulated samples is narrower than the resolution measured in data.

6.3 Fit to the p_T spectrum

The extended likelihood function, described in detail in appendix A, consists of signal and background components. The background components represent tracklets from muons, fakes, and the sum of hadron and electron contributions. The fit parameters are the signal strength, the normalisations of the sum of the hadron and electron, muon, and fake-tracklet backgrounds, the fake-tracklet p_T distribution’s fit parameters, and nuisance parameters. The nuisance parameters are allowed to float in the fit with Gaussian constraints to include systematic uncertainties, discussed in section 7. The number of signal events and the sum of hadron and electron events are fit without a Gaussian-constraint term. The number of muon events and the sum of hadron and electron events are fit with independent individual normalisation factors in the low- E_T^{miss} and high- E_T^{miss} regions. The number of muon events is constrained by a Gaussian term which represents the expectation described in section 6.1.3. The statistical uncertainty in the transfer factors for muons is propagated to the final template. The fake-tracklet control region is divided into two parts, a low- E_T^{miss} and a high- E_T^{miss} fake-tracklet control region, by applying the same E_T^{miss} requirement as in the signal region. The signal regions and the two parts in the fake-tracklet control region are fit simultaneously and the ratio of the number of fake tracklets in the high- E_T^{miss}

signal region to that in the low- E_T^{miss} region is constrained to the same value as in the fake-tracklet control region.

7 Systematic uncertainties

7.1 Background uncertainties

An uncertainty in the shape of the hadron and electron p_T template was estimated as the maximum difference between the hadron and electron individual templates and found to be negligible. As a combined template is used for hadrons and electrons, the difference in tracklet q/p_T resolutions between hadrons and electrons in simulation is added to the systematic uncertainty in the smearing function for the combined template. The red band in figure 5 shows the uncertainty in the smearing function.

Possible differences between the signal and the fake-tracklet control region leading to systematic uncertainties in the shape of the p_T spectrum of the fake-tracklet background are taken into account. The uncertainty is estimated from the d_0 significance dependence of the parameters of the fake-tracklet p_T spectrum function defined in eq. (6.2) for the fake-tracklet control region. A conservative uncertainty of 100% is assigned to the ratio of the number of fake tracklets in the low- E_T^{miss} control region to the number in the high- E_T^{miss} control region.

7.2 Signal uncertainties

A breakdown of the systematic uncertainties in the expected number of signal events passing the signal region requirements is shown in table 3. In addition, an uncertainty in the p_T spectrum shape, due the uncertainty in the p_T resolution, is taken into account.

High- p_T jets originating from ISR and final state radiation (FSR) alter the signal acceptance. Uncertainties in the modelling of ISR and FSR are estimated by varying the renormalisation, factorisation and merging scales from 0.5 to 2 times their nominal values, and by comparing samples with one and two additional partons in the matrix element with MG5_aMC@NLO+PYTHIA8. For the strong channel, the ISR/FSR uncertainty is small when the mass difference between the gluino and chargino is large; however, the uncertainty grows to about 10% when the mass difference is smaller than 200 GeV, as signal events start to be rejected by the requirement on the jet p_T . The uncertainties in the jet energy scale and resolution are estimated by the techniques in refs. [53–57].

The uncertainty in the trigger efficiency is small because it is measured from data, as described in section 4. For the signal p_T resolution, a conservative uncertainty, corresponding to 100% of the effect of multiple scattering, is added to the uncertainty in the values of parameters in the q/p_T smearing function.

The pile-up modelling uncertainty is estimated by varying the number of collisions per bunch crossing in simulation by its uncertainty of 10% of the nominal value. The signal reconstruction efficiency decreases as the number of pile-up interactions increases because it becomes more likely for pixel-detector hits originating from charginos to be used by tracks from other particles.

Relative uncertainties [%]	Electroweak channel	Strong channel
MC statistical uncertainty	6.6	6.5
ISR/FSR	7.6	0.2
Jet energy scale and resolution	2.0	0.7
Trigger efficiency	0.2	<0.1
Pile-up modelling	11	
Tracklet efficiency	6.9	
Luminosity	3.2	
Sub-total	17	15
Cross-section	6.4	28
Total	18	32

Table 3. Systematic uncertainties in the signal event yields at $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} = 400$ GeV for the electroweak channel and at $m_{\tilde{g}} = 1600$ GeV, $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} = 500$ GeV for the strong channel. The lifetime of the chargino is not relevant here. The uncertainty in the cross-section of the strong production is large due to the large effect from the PDF uncertainty.

The uncertainty in the chargino reconstruction efficiency (tracklet efficiency) can be split into four components: (1) the uncertainty in the probability for a chargino to produce a set of pixel-detector hits which can satisfy the tracklet quality selection, (2) the uncertainty in the efficiency to reconstruct a tracklet when a chargino leaves a set of good hits which satisfies the tracklet quality selection, (3) the uncertainty in the track reconstruction efficiency, which depends on the number of pile-up interactions, (4) the uncertainty in the d_0 significance selection. The first two components are estimated using $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ events, which are selected with the same requirements as for the data sample used to estimate the smearing function. The tracklet data-quality selection requirements are applied to the muon tracks in the sample. The first component is estimated from the difference in the efficiency of these requirements between data and simulation. The second component is estimated by re-fitting the muon tracks using only the pixel hits, and comparing the tracklet reconstruction efficiencies in data and simulation. The third component is included in the uncertainty in the pile-up modelling described already. The fourth component is estimated by shifting the measured $|d_0|/\sigma(d_0)$ distribution by its uncertainty; the change in the $|d_0|/\sigma(d_0)$ selection efficiency is added to the systematic uncertainty.

Theoretical uncertainties in the signal cross-section are estimated by computing the changes in the cross-section when the renormalisation and factorisation scales, the choice of PDFs and the strong coupling constant, α_S , are varied. Renormalisation and factorisation scales are varied by factors of 0.5 and 2 from their nominal value. The PDF uncertainty is estimated as the maximum of the uncertainty from the CTEQ6.6 [58] uncertainty band at 68% confidence level and the difference between CTEQ6.6 and MSTW2008 NLO PDF sets. Each uncertainty is varied independently and their effects are added in quadrature.

	Electroweak channel	Strong channel
Number of observed events with $p_T > 100$ GeV in high-E_T^{miss} regions	9	2
Number of expected events with $p_T > 100$ GeV in high-E_T^{miss} regions		
Hadron+electron background	6.1 \pm 0.6	1.78 \pm 0.32
Muon background	0.15 \pm 0.09	0.05 \pm 0.08
Fake background	5.5 \pm 3.3	0.1 \pm 0.4
Total background	11.8 \pm 3.1	1.9 \pm 0.4
p_0	0.50	0.47
Observed $\sigma_{\text{vis}}^{95\%}$ [fb]	0.22	0.12
Expected $\sigma_{\text{vis}}^{95\%}$ [fb]	0.28 $^{+0.11}_{-0.08}$	0.12 $^{+0.07}_{-0.04}$
Number of expected signal events with $p_T > 100$ GeV in high-E_T^{miss} regions	13.5 \pm 2.1	5.6 \pm 0.8

Table 4. Observed events, expected background for null signal, and expected signal yields for two benchmark models: electroweak channel with $(m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}, \tau_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}) = (400 \text{ GeV}, 0.2 \text{ ns})$ and strong channel with $(m_{\tilde{g}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}, \tau_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}) = (1600 \text{ GeV}, 500 \text{ GeV}, 0.2 \text{ ns})$ in the high- E_T^{miss} region. Also shown are the probability of a background-only experiment being more signal-like than observed (p_0) and the upper limit on the model-independent visible cross-section at 95% CL. The uncertainty in the total background yield is different from the sum of uncertainties in quadrature due to anti-correlation between different backgrounds.

8 Results and interpretation

The tracklet p_T spectra are shown in figure 7, along with the results of the fit to the background-only hypothesis. The observed p_T distributions are well described by the background predictions in the low- E_T^{miss} regions. When fitting to the background+signal hypothesis, no significant excess above the expected SM processes is found at high tracklet p_T in high- E_T^{miss} regions. Model-dependent upper limits on the signal strength are computed using the profile-likelihood ratio [59] as a test statistic and using the asymptotic formula in ref. [59], fitting the p_T spectrum in the full range. The confidence levels are computed by following the CL_s prescription [60]. Upper limits on the number of signal events are converted into limits on the visible cross-section ($\sigma_{\text{vis}}^{95\%}$) of signal processes by dividing by the integrated luminosity of the data.

Model-independent limits are calculated from the expected and observed event yields in the region where the tracklet p_T is above 100 GeV. Table 4 lists the observed event yields, expected backgrounds, expected signal yields and model-independent upper limits on the visible signal cross-section in the high- E_T^{miss} region.

Figure 8 shows the model-dependent exclusion limits in the $(m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}, \tau_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm})$ plane for the electroweak channel, where $\tau_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}$ is the lifetime of the chargino. A large region is excluded by this analysis while the 8 TeV result [19] has higher sensitivity for long lifetimes due to




Figure 7. Pixel-tracklet p_T spectrum in various regions: (a) electroweak channel in the low- E_T^{miss} region, (b) strong channel in the low- E_T^{miss} region, (c) electroweak channel in the high- E_T^{miss} region, and (d) strong channel in the high- E_T^{miss} region. Observed data are shown with markers and the background components for the background-only fit are shown with lines. In the strong channel, total background lines overlap hadron and electron background lines. An example of the expected signal spectrum at $\tau_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} = 0.2$ ns and $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} = 400$ GeV for the electroweak channel and $m_{\tilde{g}} = 1600$ GeV, $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} = 500$ GeV for the strong channel is overlaid for comparison. The bottom panels show the ratio of the data to the background predictions. The error band shows the uncertainty in the background prediction including both the statistical and systematic uncertainties. Red arrows in the Data/BG ratio indicate bins where the corresponding entry falls outside the plotted range.

the use of longer tracklets. For $\tau_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} \sim 0.2$ ns, which corresponds to $\Delta m_{\tilde{\chi}_1} \sim 160$ MeV in the pure wino LSP model, winos with a mass up to 460 GeV are excluded at 95% CL. Figure 9




Figure 8. Exclusion limit at 95% CL obtained in the electroweak production channel in terms of the chargino lifetime ($\tau_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}$) and mass ($m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}$). The yellow band shows the 1σ region of the distribution of the expected limits. The median of the expected limits is shown by a dashed line. The red line shows the observed limit and the orange dotted lines around it show the impact on the observed limit of the variation of the nominal signal cross-section by $\pm 1\sigma$ of its theoretical uncertainties. Results are compared with the observed limits obtained by the previous ATLAS search with disappearing tracks and tracklets [19] and an example of the limit obtained at LEP2 by the ALEPH experiment [61]. The chargino lifetime as a function of the chargino mass is shown in the almost pure wino LSP scenario at the two-loop level [62].

shows the model-dependent exclusion limits in the $m_{\tilde{g}}-m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}$ plane for the strong channel. For a chargino lifetime of 0.2 ns, gluino masses up to 1.65 TeV are excluded assuming a chargino mass of 460 GeV, and chargino masses up to 1.05 TeV are excluded assuming very compressed spectra with a mass difference between the gluino and the chargino of less than 200 GeV. Charginos are assumed to decay into a pion and a neutralino in the considered models. However, the results do not depend on this decay mode since the decay products of charginos cannot be detected due to their low momentum.

The effects of systematic uncertainties are estimated using the exclusion significance, which is defined as the number of standard deviations corresponding to the signal confidence CL_s . Relative changes in the exclusion significance, when nuisance parameters are shifted




Figure 9. Exclusion limit at 95% CL obtained in the strong production channel in terms of the gluino and chargino masses. The limit is shown assuming a chargino lifetime of (a) 0.2 ns and (b) 1.0 ns. The yellow band shows the 1σ region of the distribution of the expected limits. The median of the expected limits is shown by a dashed line. The red line shows the observed limit and the orange dotted lines around it show the impact on the observed limit of the variation of the nominal signal cross-section by $\pm 1\sigma$ of its theoretical uncertainties. Observed limits in the electroweak production search are shown as a green shaded region.

Parameter	Electroweak channel [%]	Strong channel [%]
Expected signal events	11	13
α in signal p_T resolution function	0.8	1.5
σ in signal p_T resolution function	5.3	7.2
$\log r_{ABCD}$	15	<0.1
α in background p_T resolution function	5.0	1.2
σ in background p_T resolution function	2.2	5.0
p_0 parameter of the fake-BG p_T function	2.5	<0.1
p_1 parameter of the fake-BG p_T function	8.5	0.1
Expected number of muon events	0.5	0.9

Table 5. Effects of systematic uncertainties on the signal exclusion significance at $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} = 400$ GeV for the electroweak channel and at $m_{\tilde{g}} = 1600$ GeV, $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} = 500$ GeV for the strong channel. The lifetime of the chargino is not relevant here. Effects of uncertainties on the fake-tracklet background is smaller in the strong channel analysis because the estimated number of the fake-tracklet background events is small.

by one standard deviation from their nominal values, are summarised in table 5. When shifting a parameter, the other nuisance parameters are fixed at their nominal values.

9 Conclusions

A new search for long-lived charginos yielding a pixel-tracklet signature was performed based on pp collision data collected by the ATLAS experiment at the LHC in 2015 and 2016 at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 36.1 fb^{-1} . Tracklets with hits only in the pixel detector are used to improve the sensitivity for short chargino lifetimes. The p_T distribution of the observed pixel tracklets is found to be consistent with the background prediction. A lower limit on $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}$ for electroweak production of long-lived charginos with a proper lifetime of 0.2 ns, corresponding to a mass-splitting between the charged and neutral wino of 160 MeV, in the pure wino LSP model is set at 460 GeV at 95% CL. If charginos with a proper lifetime of 0.2 ns are produced in the decay cascade of pair-produced gluinos, gluino masses below 1.65 TeV are excluded for a chargino mass of 460 GeV, and chargino masses below 1.05 TeV are excluded in the case of compressed spectra with a mass difference of 200 GeV between the gluino and the chargino.

Acknowledgments

We thank CERN for the very successful operation of the LHC, as well as the support staff from our institutions without whom ATLAS could not be operated efficiently.

We acknowledge the support of ANPCyT, Argentina; YerPhI, Armenia; ARC, Australia; BMWFW and FWF, Austria; ANAS, Azerbaijan; SSTC, Belarus; CNPq and FAPESP, Brazil; NSERC, NRC and CFI, Canada; CERN; CONICYT, Chile; CAS, MOST and NSFC, China; COLCIENCIAS, Colombia; MSMT CR, MPO CR and VSC CR, Czech Republic; DNRF and DNSRC, Denmark; IN2P3-CNRS, CEA-DRF/IRFU, France; SRNSFG, Georgia; BMBF, HGF, and MPG, Germany; GSRT, Greece; RGC, Hong Kong SAR, China; ISF, I-CORE and Benoziyo Center, Israel; INFN, Italy; MEXT and JSPS, Japan; CNRST, Morocco; NWO, Netherlands; RCN, Norway; MNiSW and NCN, Poland; FCT, Portugal; MNE/IFA, Romania; MES of Russia and NRC KI, Russian Federation; JINR; MESTD, Serbia; MSSR, Slovakia; ARRS and MIZŠ, Slovenia; DST/NRF, South Africa; MINECO, Spain; SRC and Wallenberg Foundation, Sweden; SERI, SNSF and Cantons of Bern and Geneva, Switzerland; MOST, Taiwan; TAEK, Turkey; STFC, United Kingdom; DOE and NSF, United States of America. In addition, individual groups and members have received support from BCKDF, the Canada Council, CANARIE, CRC, Compute Canada, FQRNT, and the Ontario Innovation Trust, Canada; EPLANET, ERC, ERDF, FP7, Horizon 2020 and Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions, European Union; Investissements d’Avenir Labex and Idex, ANR, Région Auvergne and Fondation Partager le Savoir, France; DFG and AvH Foundation, Germany; Herakleitos, Thales and Aristeia programmes co-financed by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; BSF, GIF and Minerva, Israel; BRF, Norway; CERCA Programme Generalitat de Catalunya, Generalitat Valenciana, Spain; the Royal Society and Leverhulme Trust, United Kingdom.

The crucial computing support from all WLCG partners is acknowledged gratefully, in particular from CERN, the ATLAS Tier-1 facilities at TRIUMF (Canada), NDGF (Denmark, Norway, Sweden), CC-IN2P3 (France), KIT/GridKA (Germany), INFN-CNAF

(Italy), NL-T1 (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), ASGC (Taiwan), RAL (U.K.) and BNL (U.S.A.), the Tier-2 facilities worldwide and large non-WLCG resource providers. Major contributors of computing resources are listed in ref. [63].

A Likelihood function

The likelihood function is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Total}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{shape}} \times \mathcal{L}_{\text{syst}} \times \mathcal{L}_{\text{syst,fake}}; \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{shape}} = & \frac{e^{-(n_s^H + n_{h+e}^H + n_\mu^H + n_f^H)}}{n_{\text{obs}}^H!} \times \frac{e^{-(n_s^L + n_{h+e}^L + n_\mu^L + n_f^L)}}{n_{\text{obs}}^L!} \\ & \times \frac{e^{-n_{\text{FakeCR}}^H}}{n_{\text{FakeCR,obs}}^H!} \times (n_{\text{FakeCR}}^H)^{n_{\text{FakeCR,obs}}^H} \times \frac{e^{-n_{\text{FakeCR}}^L}}{n_{\text{FakeCR,obs}}^L!} \times (n_{\text{FakeCR}}^L)^{n_{\text{FakeCR,obs}}^L} \\ & \times \prod_{\text{obs}}^{n_s^H} \left(n_s^H \mathcal{F}_s^H(p_T; \sigma_s^{\text{smearing}}, \alpha_s^{\text{smearing}}) + n_{h+e}^H \mathcal{F}_{h+e}^H(p_T; \sigma_{h+e}^{\text{smearing}}, \alpha_{h+e}^{\text{smearing}}) \right) \\ & + n_\mu^H \mathcal{F}_\mu^H(p_T) + n_f^H \mathcal{F}_f(p_T; p_0, p_1) \\ & \times \prod_{\text{obs}}^{n_s^L} \left(n_s^L \mathcal{F}_s^L(p_T; \sigma_s^{\text{smearing}}, \alpha_s^{\text{smearing}}) + n_{h+e}^L \mathcal{F}_{h+e}^L(p_T; \sigma_{h+e}^{\text{smearing}}, \alpha_{h+e}^{\text{smearing}}) \right) \\ & + n_\mu^L \mathcal{F}_\mu^L(p_T) + n_f^L \mathcal{F}_f(p_T; p_0, p_1), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{syst}} = & \mathcal{N}(\alpha_s^H; \overline{\alpha_s^H}, \Delta\alpha_s^H) \times \mathcal{N}(n_\mu^H; \overline{n_\mu^H}, \Delta n_\mu^H) \times \mathcal{N}(\alpha_s^L; \overline{\alpha_s^L}, \Delta\alpha_s^L) \times \mathcal{N}(n_\mu^L; \overline{n_\mu^L}, \Delta n_\mu^L) \\ & \times \mathcal{N}(\sigma_{h+e}^{\text{smearing}}; \overline{\sigma_{h+e}^{\text{smearing}}}, \Delta\sigma_{h+e}^{\text{smearing}}) \times \mathcal{N}(\alpha_{h+e}^{\text{smearing}}; \overline{\alpha_{h+e}^{\text{smearing}}}, \Delta\alpha_{h+e}^{\text{smearing}}) \\ & \times \mathcal{N}(\sigma_s^{\text{smearing}}; \overline{\sigma_s^{\text{smearing}}}, \Delta\sigma_s^{\text{smearing}}) \times \mathcal{N}(\alpha_s^{\text{smearing}}; \overline{\alpha_s^{\text{smearing}}}, \Delta\alpha_s^{\text{smearing}}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{syst,fake}} = \mathcal{N}(r_{\text{ABCD}}; 1, \Delta r_{\text{ABCD}}) \times \mathcal{N}(p_0; \overline{p_0}, \Delta p_0) \times \mathcal{N}(p_1; \overline{p_1}, \Delta p_1), \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$n_s^H = \mu_s \times \alpha_s^H, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$n_s^L = \mu_s \times \alpha_s^L, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$r_{\text{ABCD}} = \log \frac{n_f^H / n_{\text{FakeCR}}^H}{n_f^L / n_{\text{FakeCR}}^L}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

The total likelihood $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Total}}$ consists of three terms: a term for the spectrum shape, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{shape}}$, a term to include systematic uncertainties except for those related to fake-tracklet background, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{syst}}$, and a term for the fake-tracklet background uncertainties, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{syst,fake}}$. The numbers of observed events are represented by n_{obs}^R and $n_{\text{FakeCR,obs}}^R$ in the signal region and in the fake control region respectively, where R is H or L , representing the high- E_T^{miss} or the low- E_T^{miss} region. The expected numbers of events for each component (signal, the sum of hadron and electron, muon and fake-tracklet background) are represented by n_s^R , n_{h+e}^R , n_μ^R

and n_f^R respectively. The normalisation parameter for the signal component is represented by α_s^R . The expected number of signal events is scaled from α_s^R using the relative signal strength μ_s . The probability density functions of those components are represented by \mathcal{F}_s^R , \mathcal{F}_{h+e}^R , \mathcal{F}_μ^R and \mathcal{F}_f . The resolution and slope parameters for the smearing functions are $\sigma_s^{\text{smearing}}$ and $\alpha_s^{\text{smearing}}$ ($\sigma_{h+e}^{\text{smearing}}$ and $\alpha_{h+e}^{\text{smearing}}$) for signal (sum of hadron and electron). For the fake-tracklet component, the probability density function is common to the low- E_T^{miss} and high- E_T^{miss} regions. The parameters of the fake-tracklet p_T spectrum's shape function are represented by p_0 and p_1 . The fake-tracklet ratio factor between the low- E_T^{miss} and high- E_T^{miss} regions, r_{ABCD} , is derived from n_f^H , n_f^L and the expected numbers of events in the fake-tracklet control regions, n_{FakeCR}^H and n_{FakeCR}^L . The parameters constrained by the fit are: μ_s , n_{h+e}^H , n_μ^H , n_f^H , n_{FakeCR}^H , n_{h+e}^L , n_μ^L , n_f^L , n_{FakeCR}^L , $\sigma_s^{\text{smearing}}$, $\alpha_s^{\text{smearing}}$, $\sigma_{h+e}^{\text{smearing}}$, $\alpha_{h+e}^{\text{smearing}}$, p_0 and p_1 . Other parameters are fixed in the fit. The expected value and the uncertainty of a variable x is represented by \bar{x} and Δx respectively. The value of a unit Gaussian-function at a with mean b and standard deviation c is represented by $\mathcal{N}(a; b, c)$.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] Yu.A. Golfand and E.P. Likhtman, *Extension of the Algebra of Poincaré Group Generators and Violation of p Invariance*, *JETP Lett.* **13** (1971) 323 [*Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **13** (1971) 452] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] D.V. Volkov and V.P. Akulov, *Is the Neutrino a Goldstone Particle?*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 46** (1973) 109 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Supergauge Transformations in Four-Dimensions*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 70** (1974) 39 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Supergauge Invariant Extension of Quantum Electrodynamics*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 78** (1974) 1 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] S. Ferrara and B. Zumino, *Supergauge Invariant Yang-Mills Theories*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 79** (1974) 413 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] A. Salam and J.A. Strathdee, *Supersymmetry and Nonabelian Gauges*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 51** (1974) 353 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] G.R. Farrar and P. Fayet, *Phenomenology of the Production, Decay and Detection of New Hadronic States Associated with Supersymmetry*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 76** (1978) 575 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] G.F. Giudice, M.A. Luty, H. Murayama and R. Rattazzi, *Gaugino mass without singlets*, *JHEP* **12** (1998) 027 [[hep-ph/9810442](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *Out of this world supersymmetry breaking*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 557** (1999) 79 [[hep-th/9810155](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] M. Ibe, S. Matsumoto and R. Sato, *Mass Splitting between Charged and Neutral Winos at Two-Loop Level*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 721** (2013) 252 [[arXiv:1212.5989](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [11] A.J. Barr, C.G. Lester, M.A. Parker, B.C. Allanach and P. Richardson, *Discovering anomaly mediated supersymmetry at the LHC*, *JHEP* **03** (2003) 045 [[hep-ph/0208214](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] ATLAS collaboration, *Summary of the ATLAS experiment's sensitivity to supersymmetry after LHC Run 1 — interpreted in the phenomenological MSSM*, *JHEP* **10** (2015) 134 [[arXiv:1508.06608](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] C.-H. Chen, M. Drees and J.F. Gunion, *Nonstandard string-SUSY scenario and its phenomenological implications*, *Phys. Rev. D* **55** (1997) 330 [Erratum *ibid.* **D 60** (1999) 039901] [[hep-ph/9607421](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] C.-H. Chen, M. Drees and J.F. Gunion, *Addendum/erratum for 'searching for invisible and almost invisible particles at e^+e^- colliders' [[hep-ph/9512230](#)] and 'a nonstandard string/SUSY scenario and its phenomenological implications' [[hep-ph/9607421](#)], [hep-ph/9902309](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].*
- [15] S. Asai, T. Moroi and T.T. Yanagida, *Test of Anomaly Mediation at the LHC*, *Phys. Lett. B* **664** (2008) 185 [[arXiv:0802.3725](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for metastable heavy charged particles with large ionization energy loss in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using the ATLAS experiment*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 112015 [[arXiv:1604.04520](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for heavy long-lived charged R -hadrons with the ATLAS detector in 3.2 fb^{-1} of proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *Phys. Lett. B* **760** (2016) 647 [[arXiv:1606.05129](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] CMS collaboration, *Search for long-lived charged particles in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *Phys. Rev. D* **94** (2016) 112004 [[arXiv:1609.08382](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for charginos nearly mass degenerate with the lightest neutralino based on a disappearing-track signature in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013) 112006 [[arXiv:1310.3675](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] CMS collaboration, *Search for disappearing tracks in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV*, *JHEP* **01** (2015) 096 [[arXiv:1411.6006](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] ATLAS collaboration, *ATLAS Insertable B-Layer Technical Design Report*, *ATLAS-TDR-19* (2010) [Addendum *ATLAS-TDR-19-ADD-1* (2012)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] ATLAS IBL collaboration, *Production and Integration of the ATLAS Insertable B-Layer*, *2018 JINST* **13** T05008 [[arXiv:1803.00844](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] ATLAS collaboration, *The ATLAS Experiment at the CERN Large Hadron Collider*, *2008 JINST* **3** S08003 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] ATLAS collaboration, *Performance of the ATLAS Trigger System in 2015*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017) 317 [[arXiv:1611.09661](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] ATLAS collaboration, *2015 start-up trigger menu and initial performance assessment of the ATLAS trigger using Run-2 data*, *ATL-DAQ-PUB-2016-001* (2016).
- [26] ATLAS collaboration, *Luminosity determination in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 653 [[arXiv:1608.03953](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] F.E. Paige, S.D. Protopopescu, H. Baer and X. Tata, *ISAJET 7.69: A Monte Carlo event generator for pp , $\bar{p}p$ and e^+e^- reactions*, [hep-ph/0312045](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [28] J. Alwall et al., *The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections and their matching to parton shower simulations*, *JHEP* **07** (2014) 079 [[arXiv:1405.0301](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] T. Sjöstrand et al., *An Introduction to PYTHIA 8.2*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **191** (2015) 159 [[arXiv:1410.3012](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] NNPDF collaboration, R.D. Ball et al., *Parton distributions for the LHC Run II*, *JHEP* **04** (2015) 040 [[arXiv:1410.8849](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] L. Lönnblad and S. Prestel, *Matching Tree-Level Matrix Elements with Interleaved Showers*, *JHEP* **03** (2012) 019 [[arXiv:1109.4829](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] ATLAS collaboration, *ATLAS Pythia 8 tunes to 7 TeV data*, *ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-021* (2014).
- [33] W. Beenakker, R. Höpker, M. Spira and P.M. Zerwas, *Squark and gluino production at hadron colliders*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **492** (1997) 51 [[hep-ph/9610490](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [34] W. Beenakker et al., *Squark and Gluino Hadroproduction*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **26** (2011) 2637 [[arXiv:1105.1110](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [35] P.M. Nadolsky et al., *Implications of CTEQ global analysis for collider observables*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 013004 [[arXiv:0802.0007](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [36] A.D. Martin, W.J. Stirling, R.S. Thorne and G. Watt, *Parton distributions for the LHC*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **63** (2009) 189 [[arXiv:0901.0002](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [37] M. Botje et al., *The PDF4LHC Working Group Interim Recommendations*, [arXiv:1101.0538](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [38] ATLAS collaboration, *The ATLAS Simulation Infrastructure*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **70** (2010) 823 [[arXiv:1005.4568](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [39] GEANT4 collaboration, S. Agostinelli et al., *GEANT4: A Simulation toolkit*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [40] ATLAS collaboration, *Summary of ATLAS PYTHIA 8 tunes*, *ATL-PHYS-PUB-2012-003* (2012) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [41] ATLAS collaboration, *Topological cell clustering in the ATLAS calorimeters and its performance in LHC Run 1*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017) 490 [[arXiv:1603.02934](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [42] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam and G. Soyez, *The Anti- k_t jet clustering algorithm*, *JHEP* **04** (2008) 063 [[arXiv:0802.1189](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [43] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam and G. Soyez, *FastJet User Manual*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 1896 [[arXiv:1111.6097](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [44] M. Cacciari and G.P. Salam, *Pileup subtraction using jet areas*, *Phys. Lett. B* **659** (2008) 119 [[arXiv:0707.1378](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [45] ATLAS collaboration, *Jet energy scale measurements and their systematic uncertainties in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017) 072002 [[arXiv:1703.09665](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [46] ATLAS collaboration, *Performance of pile-up mitigation techniques for jets in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using the ATLAS detector*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 581 [[arXiv:1510.03823](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [47] ATLAS collaboration, *Muon reconstruction performance of the ATLAS detector in proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 292 [[arXiv:1603.05598](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [48] ATLAS collaboration, *Electron efficiency measurements with the ATLAS detector using the 2015 LHC proton-proton collision data*, *ATLAS-CONF-2016-024* (2016) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [49] ATLAS collaboration, *Expected performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction for the ATLAS detector at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *ATL-PHYS-PUB-2015-023* (2015).
- [50] ATLAS collaboration, *The Expected Performance of the ATLAS Inner Detector*, *ATL-PHYS-PUB-2009-002* (2008) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [51] ATLAS collaboration, *Track Reconstruction Performance of the ATLAS Inner Detector at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *ATL-PHYS-PUB-2015-018* (2015).
- [52] ATLAS collaboration, *Selection of jets produced in 13 TeV proton-proton collisions with the ATLAS detector*, *ATLAS-CONF-2015-029* (2015) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [53] ATLAS collaboration, *Jet Calibration and Systematic Uncertainties for Jets Reconstructed in the ATLAS Detector at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *ATL-PHYS-PUB-2015-015* (2015).
- [54] ATLAS collaboration, *Jet energy measurement with the ATLAS detector in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) 2304 [[arXiv:1112.6426](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [55] ATLAS collaboration, *Single hadron response measurement and calorimeter jet energy scale uncertainty with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) 2305 [[arXiv:1203.1302](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [56] ATLAS collaboration, *Monte Carlo Calibration and Combination of In-situ Measurements of Jet Energy Scale, Jet Energy Resolution and Jet Mass in ATLAS*, *ATLAS-CONF-2015-037* (2015) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [57] ATLAS collaboration, *Jet energy resolution in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV recorded in 2010 with the ATLAS detector*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) 2306 [[arXiv:1210.6210](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [58] J. Pumplin, D.R. Stump, J. Huston, H.L. Lai, P.M. Nadolsky and W.K. Tung, *New generation of parton distributions with uncertainties from global QCD analysis*, *JHEP* **07** (2002) 012 [[hep-ph/0201195](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [59] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross and O. Vitells, *Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1554 [Erratum *ibid.* **C 73** (2013) 2501] [[arXiv:1007.1727](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [60] A.L. Read, *Presentation of search results: The CL_s technique*, *J. Phys. G* **28** (2002) 2693 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [61] ALEPH collaboration, A. Heister et al., *Search for charginos nearly mass degenerate with the lightest neutralino in e^+e^- collisions at centre-of-mass energies up to 209 GeV*, *Phys. Lett. B* **533** (2002) 223 [[hep-ex/0203020](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [62] M. Ibe, S. Matsumoto and T.T. Yanagida, *Pure Gravity Mediation with $m_{3/2} = 10$ –100 TeV*, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 095011 [[arXiv:1202.2253](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [63] ATLAS collaboration, *ATLAS Computing Acknowledgements*, *ATL-GEN-PUB-2016-002* (2016).

The ATLAS collaboration

M. Aaboud^{137d}, G. Aad⁸⁸, B. Abbott¹¹⁵, O. Abdinov^{12,*}, B. Abeloos¹¹⁹, S.H. Abidi¹⁶¹, O.S. AbouZeid¹³⁹, N.L. Abraham¹⁵¹, H. Abramowicz¹⁵⁵, H. Abreu¹⁵⁴, R. Abreu¹¹⁸, Y. Abulaiti^{148a,148b}, B.S. Acharya^{167a,167b,a}, S. Adachi¹⁵⁷, L. Adamczyk^{41a}, J. Adelman¹¹⁰, M. Adersberger¹⁰², T. Adye¹³³, A.A. Affolder¹³⁹, T. Agatonovic-Jovin¹⁴, C. Agheorghiesei^{28c}, J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra^{128a,128f}, S.P. Ahlen²⁴, F. Ahmadov^{68,b}, G. Aielli^{135a,135b}, S. Akatsuka⁷¹, H. Akerstedt^{148a,148b}, T.P.A. Åkesson⁸⁴, E. Akilli⁵², A.V. Akimov⁹⁸, G.L. Alberghi^{22a,22b}, J. Albert¹⁷², P. Albicocco⁵⁰, M.J. Alconada Verzini⁷⁴, S.C. Alderweireldt¹⁰⁸, M. Aleksa³², I.N. Aleksandrov⁶⁸, C. Alexa^{28b}, G. Alexander¹⁵⁵, T. Alexopoulos¹⁰, M. Alhroob¹¹⁵, B. Ali¹³⁰, M. Aliev^{76a,76b}, G. Alimonti^{94a}, J. Alison³³, S.P. Alkire³⁸, B.M.M. Allbrooke¹⁵¹, B.W. Allen¹¹⁸, P.P. Allport¹⁹, A. Aloisio^{106a,106b}, A. Alonso³⁹, F. Alonso⁷⁴, C. Alpigiani¹⁴⁰, A.A. Alshehri⁵⁶, M.I. Alstaty⁸⁸, B. Alvarez Gonzalez³², D. Álvarez Piqueras¹⁷⁰, M.G. Alvigi^{106a,106b}, B.T. Amadio¹⁶, Y. Amaral Coutinho^{26a}, C. Amelung²⁵, D. Amidei⁹², S.P. Amor Dos Santos^{128a,128c}, A. Amorim^{128a,128b}, S. Amoroso³², G. Amundsen²⁵, C. Anastopoulos¹⁴¹, L.S. Ancu⁵², N. Andari¹⁹, T. Andeen¹¹, C.F. Anders^{60b}, J.K. Anders⁷⁷, K.J. Anderson³³, A. Andreazza^{94a,94b}, V. Andrei^{60a}, S. Angelidakis⁹, I. Angelozzi¹⁰⁹, A. Angerami³⁸, A.V. Anisenkov^{111,c}, N. Anjos¹³, A. Annovi^{126a,126b}, C. Antel^{60a}, M. Antonelli⁵⁰, A. Antonov^{100,*}, D.J. Antrim¹⁶⁶, F. Anulli^{134a}, M. Aoki⁶⁹, L. Aperio Bella³², G. Arabidze⁹³, Y. Arai⁶⁹, J.P. Araque^{128a}, V. Araujo Ferraz^{26a}, A.T.H. Arce⁴⁸, R.E. Ardell⁸⁰, F.A. Arduh⁷⁴, J-F. Arguin⁹⁷, S. Argyropoulos⁶⁶, M. Arik^{20a}, A.J. Armbruster³², L.J. Armitage⁷⁹, O. Arnaez¹⁶¹, H. Arnold⁵¹, M. Arratia³⁰, O. Arslan²³, A. Artamonov⁹⁹, G. Artoni¹²², S. Artz⁸⁶, S. Asai¹⁵⁷, N. Asbah⁴⁵, A. Ashkenazi¹⁵⁵, L. Asquith¹⁵¹, K. Assamagan²⁷, R. Astalos^{146a}, M. Atkinson¹⁶⁹, N.B. Atlay¹⁴³, K. Augsten¹³⁰, G. Avolio³², B. Axen¹⁶, M.K. Ayoub¹¹⁹, G. Azuelos^{97,d}, A.E. Baas^{60a}, M.J. Baca¹⁹, H. Bachacou¹³⁸, K. Bachas^{76a,76b}, M. Backes¹²², M. Backhaus³², P. Bagnaia^{134a,134b}, M. Bahmani⁴², H. Bahrasemani¹⁴⁴, J.T. Baines¹³³, M. Bajic³⁹, O.K. Baker¹⁷⁹, E.M. Baldin^{111,c}, P. Balek¹⁷⁵, F. Balli¹³⁸, W.K. Balunas¹²⁴, E. Banas⁴², A. Bandyopadhyay²³, Sw. Banerjee^{176,e}, A.A.E. Bannoura¹⁷⁸, L. Barak³², E.L. Barberio⁹¹, D. Barberis^{53a,53b}, M. Barbero⁸⁸, T. Barillari¹⁰³, M-S Barisits³², J.T. Barkeloo¹¹⁸, T. Barklow¹⁴⁵, N. Barlow³⁰, S.L. Barnes^{36c}, B.M. Barnett¹³³, R.M. Barnett¹⁶, Z. Barnovska-Blenessy^{36a}, A. Baroncelli^{136a}, G. Barone²⁵, A.J. Barr¹²², L. Barranco Navarro¹⁷⁰, F. Barreiro⁸⁵, J. Barreiro Guimarães da Costa^{35a}, R. Bartoldus¹⁴⁵, A.E. Barton⁷⁵, P. Bartos^{146a}, A. Basalae¹²⁵, A. Bassalat^{119,f}, R.L. Bates⁵⁶, S.J. Batista¹⁶¹, J.R. Batley³⁰, M. Battaglia¹³⁹, M. Bauce^{134a,134b}, F. Bauer¹³⁸, H.S. Bawa^{145,g}, J.B. Beacham¹¹³, M.D. Beattie⁷⁵, T. Beau⁸³, P.H. Beauchemin¹⁶⁵, P. Bechtel²³, H.P. Beck^{18,h}, H.C. Beck⁵⁷, K. Becker¹²², M. Becker⁸⁶, M. Beckingham¹⁷³, C. Becot¹¹², A.J. Beddall^{20e}, A. Beddall^{20b}, V.A. Bednyakov⁶⁸, M. Bedognetti¹⁰⁹, C.P. Bee¹⁵⁰, T.A. Beermann³², M. Begalli^{26a}, M. Beger²⁷, J.K. Behr⁴⁵, A.S. Bell⁸¹, G. Bella¹⁵⁵, L. Bellagamba^{22a}, A. Bellerive³¹, M. Bellomo¹⁵⁴, K. Belotskiy¹⁰⁰, O. Beltramello³², N.L. Belyaev¹⁰⁰, O. Benary^{155,*}, D. Benchekroun^{137a}, M. Bender¹⁰², K. Bendtz^{148a,148b}, N. Benekos¹⁰, Y. Benhammou¹⁵⁵, E. Benhar Nocchioli¹⁷⁹, J. Benitez⁶⁶, D.P. Benjamin⁴⁸, M. Benoit⁵², J.R. Bensinger²⁵, S. Bentvelsen¹⁰⁹, L. Beresford¹²², M. Beretta⁵⁰, D. Berge¹⁰⁹, E. Bergeaas Kuutmann¹⁶⁸, N. Berger⁵, J. Beringer¹⁶, S. Berlendis⁵⁸, N.R. Bernard⁸⁹, G. Bernardi⁸³, C. Bernius¹⁴⁵, F.U. Bernlochner²³, T. Berry⁸⁰, P. Berta¹³¹, C. Bertella^{35a}, G. Bertoli^{148a,148b}, F. Bertolucci^{126a,126b}, I.A. Bertram⁷⁵, C. Bertsche⁴⁵, D. Bertsche¹¹⁵, G.J. Besjes³⁹, O. Bessidskaia Bylund^{148a,148b}, M. Bessner⁴⁵, N. Besson¹³⁸, C. Betancourt⁵¹, A. Bethani⁸⁷, S. Bethke¹⁰³, A.J. Bevan⁷⁹, J. Beyer¹⁰³, R.M. Bianchi¹²⁷, O. Biebel¹⁰², D. Biedermann¹⁷, R. Bielski⁸⁷, K. Bierwagen⁸⁶, N.V. Biesuz^{126a,126b}, M. Biglietti^{136a}, T.R.V. Billoud⁹⁷, H. Bilokon⁵⁰, M. Bindi⁵⁷, A. Bingul^{20b}, C. Bini^{134a,134b},

S. Biondi^{22a,22b}, T. Bisanz⁵⁷, C. Bittrich⁴⁷, D.M. Bjergaard⁴⁸, C.W. Black¹⁵², J.E. Black¹⁴⁵,
 K.M. Black²⁴, R.E. Blair⁶, T. Blazek^{146a}, I. Bloch⁴⁵, C. Blocker²⁵, A. Blue⁵⁶, W. Blum^{86,*},
 U. Blumenschein⁷⁹, S. Blunier^{34a}, G.J. Bobbink¹⁰⁹, V.S. Bobrovnikov^{111,c}, S.S. Bocchetta⁸⁴,
 A. Bocci⁴⁸, C. Bock¹⁰², M. Boehler⁵¹, D. Boerner¹⁷⁸, D. Bogavac¹⁰², A.G. Bogdanchikov¹¹¹,
 C. Bohm^{148a}, V. Boisvert⁸⁰, P. Bokan^{168,i}, T. Bold^{41a}, A.S. Boldyrev¹⁰¹, A.E. Bolz^{60b},
 M. Bomben⁸³, M. Bona⁷⁹, M. Boonekamp¹³⁸, A. Borisov¹³², G. Borissov⁷⁵, J. Bortfeldt³²,
 D. Bortoletto¹²², V. Bortolotto^{62a}, D. Boscherini^{22a}, M. Bosman¹³, J.D. Bossio Sola²⁹,
 J. Boudreau¹²⁷, J. Bouffard², E.V. Bouhova-Thacker⁷⁵, D. Boumediene³⁷, C. Bourdarios¹¹⁹,
 S.K. Boutle⁵⁶, A. Boveia¹¹³, J. Boyd³², I.R. Boyko⁶⁸, J. Bracinik¹⁹, A. Brandt⁸, G. Brandt⁵⁷,
 O. Brandt^{60a}, U. Bratzler¹⁵⁸, B. Brau⁸⁹, J.E. Brau¹¹⁸, W.D. Breaden Madden⁵⁶,
 K. Brendlinger⁴⁵, A.J. Brennan⁹¹, L. Brenner¹⁰⁹, R. Brenner¹⁶⁸, S. Bressler¹⁷⁵, D.L. Briglin¹⁹,
 T.M. Bristow⁴⁹, D. Britton⁵⁶, D. Britzger⁴⁵, F.M. Brochu³⁰, I. Brock²³, R. Brock⁹³,
 G. Brooijmans³⁸, T. Brooks⁸⁰, W.K. Brooks^{34b}, J. Brosamer¹⁶, E. Brost¹¹⁰, J.H. Broughton¹⁹,
 P.A. Bruckman de Renstrom⁴², D. Bruncko^{146b}, A. Bruni^{22a}, G. Bruni^{22a}, L.S. Bruni¹⁰⁹,
 BH Brunt³⁰, M. Bruschi^{22a}, N. Bruscinò²³, P. Bryant³³, L. Bryngemark⁴⁵, T. Buanes¹⁵,
 Q. Buat¹⁴⁴, P. Buchholz¹⁴³, A.G. Buckley⁵⁶, I.A. Budagov⁶⁸, F. Buehrer⁵¹, M.K. Bugge¹²¹,
 O. Bulekov¹⁰⁰, D. Bullock⁸, T.J. Burch¹¹⁰, S. Burdin⁷⁷, C.D. Burgard⁵¹, A.M. Burger⁵,
 B. Burghgrave¹¹⁰, K. Burka⁴², S. Burke¹³³, I. Burmeister⁴⁶, J.T.P. Burr¹²², E. Busato³⁷,
 D. Büscher⁵¹, V. Büscher⁸⁶, P. Bussey⁵⁶, J.M. Butler²⁴, C.M. Buttar⁵⁶, J.M. Butterworth⁸¹,
 P. Butti³², W. Buttinger²⁷, A. Buzatu^{35c}, A.R. Buzykaev^{111,c}, S. Cabrera Urbán¹⁷⁰,
 D. Caforio¹³⁰, V.M. Cairo^{40a,40b}, O. Cakir^{4a}, N. Calace⁵², P. Calafiura¹⁶, A. Calandri⁸⁸,
 G. Calderini⁸³, P. Calfayan⁶⁴, G. Callea^{40a,40b}, L.P. Caloba^{26a}, S. Calvente Lopez⁸⁵, D. Calvet³⁷,
 S. Calvet³⁷, T.P. Calvet⁸⁸, R. Camacho Toro³³, S. Camarda³², P. Camarri^{135a,135b},
 D. Cameron¹²¹, R. Caminal Armadans¹⁶⁹, C. Camincher⁵⁸, S. Campana³², M. Campanelli⁸¹,
 A. Camplani^{94a,94b}, A. Campoverde¹⁴³, V. Canale^{106a,106b}, M. Cano Bret^{36c}, J. Cantero¹¹⁶,
 T. Cao¹⁵⁵, M.D.M. Capeans Garrido³², I. Caprini^{28b}, M. Caprini^{28b}, M. Capua^{40a,40b},
 R.M. Carbone³⁸, R. Cardarelli^{135a}, F. Cardillo⁵¹, I. Carli¹³¹, T. Carli³², G. Carlino^{106a},
 B.T. Carlson¹²⁷, L. Carminati^{94a,94b}, R.M.D. Carney^{148a,148b}, S. Caron¹⁰⁸, E. Carquin^{34b},
 S. Carrá^{94a,94b}, G.D. Carrillo-Montoya³², J. Carvalho^{128a,128c}, D. Casadei¹⁹, M.P. Casado^{13,j},
 M. Casolino¹³, D.W. Casper¹⁶⁶, R. Castelijns¹⁰⁹, V. Castillo Gimenez¹⁷⁰, N.F. Castro^{128a,k},
 A. Catinaccio³², J.R. Catmore¹²¹, A. Cattai³², J. Caudron²³, V. Cavaliere¹⁶⁹, E. Cavallaro¹³,
 D. Cavalli^{94a}, M. Cavalli-Sforza¹³, V. Cavasinni^{126a,126b}, E. Celebi^{20d}, F. Ceradini^{136a,136b},
 L. Cerda Alberich¹⁷⁰, A.S. Cerqueira^{26b}, A. Cerri¹⁵¹, L. Cerrito^{135a,135b}, F. Cerutti¹⁶,
 A. Cervelli¹⁸, S.A. Cetin^{20d}, A. Chafaq^{137a}, D. Chakraborty¹¹⁰, S.K. Chan⁵⁹, W.S. Chan¹⁰⁹,
 Y.L. Chan^{62a}, P. Chang¹⁶⁹, J.D. Chapman³⁰, D.G. Charlton¹⁹, C.C. Chau¹⁶¹,
 C.A. Chavez Barajas¹⁵¹, S. Che¹¹³, S. Cheatham^{167a,167c}, A. Chegwidden⁹³, S. Chekanov⁶,
 S.V. Chekulaev^{163a}, G.A. Chelkov^{68,l}, M.A. Chelstowska³², C. Chen⁶⁷, H. Chen²⁷, J. Chen^{36a},
 S. Chen^{35b}, S. Chen¹⁵⁷, X. Chen^{35c,m}, Y. Chen⁷⁰, H.C. Cheng⁹², H.J. Cheng^{35a,35d},
 A. Cheplakov⁶⁸, E. Cheremushkina¹³², R. Cherkouvi El Moursli^{137e}, E. Cheu⁷, K. Cheung⁶³,
 L. Chevalier¹³⁸, V. Chiarella⁵⁰, G. Chiarelli^{126a,126b}, G. Chiodini^{76a}, A.S. Chisholm³²,
 A. Chitan^{28b}, Y.H. Chiu¹⁷², M.V. Chizhov⁶⁸, K. Choi⁶⁴, A.R. Chomont³⁷, S. Chouridou¹⁵⁶,
 V. Christodoulou⁸¹, D. Chromek-Burckhart³², M.C. Chu^{62a}, J. Chudoba¹²⁹, A.J. Chuinard⁹⁰,
 J.J. Chwastowski⁴², L. Chytka¹¹⁷, A.K. Ciftci^{4a}, D. Cinca⁴⁶, V. Cindro⁷⁸, I.A. Cioara²³,
 C. Ciocca^{22a,22b}, A. Ciocio¹⁶, F. Ciotto^{106a,106b}, Z.H. Citron¹⁷⁵, M. Citterio^{94a},
 M. Ciubancan^{28b}, A. Clark⁵², B.L. Clark⁵⁹, M.R. Clark³⁸, P.J. Clark⁴⁹, R.N. Clarke¹⁶,
 C. Clement^{148a,148b}, Y. Coadou⁸⁸, M. Cokal^{167a,167c}, A. Coccaro⁵², J. Cochran⁶⁷,
 L. Colasurdo¹⁰⁸, B. Cole³⁸, A.P. Colijn¹⁰⁹, J. Collot⁵⁸, T. Colombo¹⁶⁶, P. Conde Muiño^{128a,128b},
 E. Coniavitis⁵¹, S.H. Connell^{147b}, I.A. Connelly⁸⁷, S. Constantinescu^{28b}, G. Conti³²,

F. Conventi^{106a,n}, M. Cooke¹⁶, A.M. Cooper-Sarkar¹²², F. Cormier¹⁷¹, K.J.R. Cormier¹⁶¹, M. Corradi^{134a,134b}, F. Corriveau^{90,o}, A. Cortes-Gonzalez³², G. Cortiana¹⁰³, G. Costa^{94a}, M.J. Costa¹⁷⁰, D. Costanzo¹⁴¹, G. Cottin³⁰, G. Cowan⁸⁰, B.E. Cox⁸⁷, K. Cranmer¹¹², S.J. Crawley⁵⁶, R.A. Creager¹²⁴, G. Cree³¹, S. Crépé-Renaudin⁵⁸, F. Crescioli⁸³, W.A. Cribbs^{148a,148b}, M. Cristinziani²³, V. Croft¹⁰⁸, G. Crosetti^{40a,40b}, A. Cueto⁸⁵, T. Cuhadar Donszelmann¹⁴¹, A.R. Cukierman¹⁴⁵, J. Cummings¹⁷⁹, M. Curatolo⁵⁰, J. Cúth⁸⁶, P. Czodrowski³², G. D'amen^{22a,22b}, S. D'Auria⁵⁶, L. D'eraimo⁸³, M. D'Onofrio⁷⁷, M.J. Da Cunha Sargedas De Sousa^{128a,128b}, C. Da Via⁸⁷, W. Dabrowski^{41a}, T. Dado^{146a}, T. Dai⁹², O. Dale¹⁵, F. Dallaire⁹⁷, C. Dallapiccola⁸⁹, M. Dam³⁹, J.R. Dandoy¹²⁴, M.F. Daneri²⁹, N.P. Dang¹⁷⁶, A.C. Daniells¹⁹, N.S. Dann⁸⁷, M. Danninger¹⁷¹, M. Dano Hoffmann¹³⁸, V. Dao¹⁵⁰, G. Darbo^{53a}, S. Darmora⁸, J. Dassoulas³, A. Dattagupta¹¹⁸, T. Daubney⁴⁵, W. Davey²³, C. David⁴⁵, T. Davidek¹³¹, D.R. Davis⁴⁸, P. Davison⁸¹, E. Dawe⁹¹, I. Dawson¹⁴¹, K. De⁸, R. de Asmundis^{106a}, A. De Benedetti¹¹⁵, S. De Castro^{22a,22b}, S. De Cecco⁸³, N. De Groot¹⁰⁸, P. de Jong¹⁰⁹, H. De la Torre⁹³, F. De Lorenzi⁶⁷, A. De Maria⁵⁷, D. De Pedis^{134a}, A. De Salvo^{134a}, U. De Sanctis^{135a,135b}, A. De Santo¹⁵¹, K. De Vasconcelos Corga⁸⁸, J.B. De Vivie De Regie¹¹⁹, W.J. Dearnaley⁷⁵, R. Debbé²⁷, C. Debenedetti¹³⁹, D.V. Dedovich⁶⁸, N. Dehghanian³, I. Deigaard¹⁰⁹, M. Del Gaudio^{40a,40b}, J. Del Peso⁸⁵, D. Delgove¹¹⁹, F. Deliot¹³⁸, C.M. Delitzsch⁵², A. Dell'Acqua³², L. Dell'Asta²⁴, M. Dell'Orso^{126a,126b}, M. Della Pietra^{106a,106b}, D. della Volpe⁵², M. Delmastro⁵, C. Delporte¹¹⁹, P.A. Delsart⁵⁸, D.A. DeMarco¹⁶¹, S. Demers¹⁷⁹, M. Demichev⁶⁸, A. Demilly⁸³, S.P. Denisov¹³², D. Denysiuk¹³⁸, D. Derendarz⁴², J.E. Derkaoui^{137d}, F. Derue⁸³, P. Dervan⁷⁷, K. Desch²³, C. Deterre⁴⁵, K. Dette⁴⁶, M.R. Devesa²⁹, P.O. Deviveiros³², A. Dewhurst¹³³, S. Dhaliwal²⁵, F.A. Di Bello⁵², A. Di Ciaccio^{135a,135b}, L. Di Ciaccio⁵, W.K. Di Clemente¹²⁴, C. Di Donato^{106a,106b}, A. Di Girolamo³², B. Di Girolamo³², B. Di Micco^{136a,136b}, R. Di Nardo³², K.F. Di Petrillo⁵⁹, A. Di Simone⁵¹, R. Di Sipio¹⁶¹, D. Di Valentino³¹, C. Diaconu⁸⁸, M. Diamond¹⁶¹, F.A. Dias³⁹, M.A. Diaz^{34a}, E.B. Diehl⁹², J. Dietrich¹⁷, S. Díez Cornell⁴⁵, A. Dimitrievska¹⁴, J. Dingfelder²³, P. Dita^{28b}, S. Dita^{28b}, F. Dittus³², F. Djama⁸⁸, T. Djobava^{54b}, J.I. Djuvsland^{60a}, M.A.B. do Vale^{26c}, D. Dobos³², M. Dobre^{28b}, C. Doglioni⁸⁴, J. Dolejsi¹³¹, Z. Dolezal¹³¹, M. Donadelli^{26d}, S. Donati^{126a,126b}, P. Dondero^{123a,123b}, J. Donini³⁷, J. Dopke¹³³, A. Doria^{106a}, M.T. Dova⁷⁴, A.T. Doyle⁵⁶, E. Drechsler⁵⁷, M. Dris¹⁰, Y. Du^{36b}, J. Duarte-Campderros¹⁵⁵, A. Dubreuil⁵², E. Duchovni¹⁷⁵, G. Duckeck¹⁰², A. Ducourthial⁸³, O.A. Ducu^{97,p}, D. Duda¹⁰⁹, A. Dudarev³², A.Chr. Dudder⁸⁶, E.M. Duffield¹⁶, L. Duflost¹¹⁹, M. Dührssen³², M. Dumancic¹⁷⁵, A.E. Dumitriu^{28b}, A.K. Duncan⁵⁶, M. Dunford^{60a}, H. Duran Yildiz^{4a}, M. Düren⁵⁵, A. Durglishvili^{54b}, D. Duschinger⁴⁷, B. Dutta⁴⁵, D. Duvnjak¹, M. Dyndal⁴⁵, B.S. Dziedzic⁴², C. Eckardt⁴⁵, K.M. Ecker¹⁰³, R.C. Edgar⁹², T. Eifert³², G. Eigen¹⁵, K. Einsweiler¹⁶, T. Ekelof¹⁶⁸, M. El Kacimi^{137c}, R. El Kosseifi⁸⁸, V. Ellajosyula⁸⁸, M. Ellert¹⁶⁸, S. Elles⁵, F. Ellinghaus¹⁷⁸, A.A. Elliot¹⁷², N. Ellis³², J. Elmsheuser²⁷, M. Elsing³², D. Emelianov¹³³, Y. Enari¹⁵⁷, O.C. Endner⁸⁶, J.S. Ennis¹⁷³, J. Erdmann⁴⁶, A. Ereditato¹⁸, M. Ernst²⁷, S. Errede¹⁶⁹, M. Escalier¹¹⁹, C. Escobar¹⁷⁰, B. Esposito⁵⁰, O. Estrada Pastor¹⁷⁰, A.I. Etienvre¹³⁸, E. Etzion¹⁵⁵, H. Evans⁶⁴, A. Ezhilov¹²⁵, M. Ezzi^{137e}, F. Fabbri^{22a,22b}, L. Fabbri^{22a,22b}, V. Fabiani¹⁰⁸, G. Facini⁸¹, R.M. Fakhrutdinov¹³², S. Falciano^{134a}, R.J. Falla⁸¹, J. Faltova³², Y. Fang^{35a}, M. Fanti^{94a,94b}, A. Farbin⁸, A. Farilla^{136a}, C. Farina¹²⁷, E.M. Farina^{123a,123b}, T. Farooque⁹³, S. Farrell¹⁶, S.M. Farrington¹⁷³, P. Farthouat³², F. Fassi^{137e}, P. Fassnacht³², D. Fassouliotis⁹, M. Fauci Giannelli⁸⁰, A. Favareto^{53a,53b}, W.J. Fawcett¹²², L. Fayard¹¹⁹, O.L. Fedin^{125,q}, W. Fedorko¹⁷¹, S. Feigl¹²¹, L. Feligioni⁸⁸, C. Feng^{36b}, E.J. Feng³², H. Feng⁹², M.J. Fenton⁵⁶, A.B. Fenyuk¹³², L. Feremenga⁸, P. Fernandez Martinez¹⁷⁰, S. Fernandez Perez¹³, J. Ferrando⁴⁵, A. Ferrari¹⁶⁸, P. Ferrari¹⁰⁹, R. Ferrari^{123a}, D.E. Ferreira de Lima^{60b}, A. Ferrer¹⁷⁰, D. Ferrere⁵², C. Ferretti⁹², F. Fiedler⁸⁶,

A. Filipčić⁷⁸, M. Filipuzzi⁴⁵, F. Filthaut¹⁰⁸, M. Fincke-Keeler¹⁷², K.D. Finelli¹⁵²,
 M.C.N. Fiolhais^{128a,128c,r}, L. Fiorini¹⁷⁰, A. Fischer², C. Fischer¹³, J. Fischer¹⁷⁸, W.C. Fisher⁹³,
 N. Flaschel⁴⁵, I. Fleck¹⁴³, P. Fleischmann⁹², R.R.M. Fletcher¹²⁴, T. Flick¹⁷⁸, B.M. Flierl¹⁰²,
 L.R. Flores Castillo^{62a}, M.J. Flowerdew¹⁰³, G.T. Forcolin⁸⁷, A. Formica¹³⁸, F.A. Förster¹³,
 A. Forti⁸⁷, A.G. Foster¹⁹, D. Fournier¹¹⁹, H. Fox⁷⁵, S. Fracchia¹⁴¹, P. Francavilla⁸³,
 M. Franchini^{22a,22b}, S. Franchino^{60a}, D. Francis³², L. Franconi¹²¹, M. Franklin⁵⁹, M. Frate¹⁶⁶,
 M. Fraternali^{123a,123b}, D. Freeborn⁸¹, S.M. Fressard-Batraneanu³², B. Freund⁹⁷, D. Froidevaux³²,
 J.A. Frost¹²², C. Fukunaga¹⁵⁸, T. Fusayasu¹⁰⁴, J. Fuster¹⁷⁰, C. Gabaldon⁵⁸, O. Gabizon¹⁵⁴,
 A. Gabrielli^{22a,22b}, A. Gabrielli¹⁶, G.P. Gach^{41a}, S. Gadatsch³², S. Gadomski⁸⁰,
 G. Gagliardi^{53a,53b}, L.G. Gagnon⁹⁷, C. Galea¹⁰⁸, B. Galhardo^{128a,128c}, E.J. Gallas¹²²,
 B.J. Gallop¹³³, P. Gallus¹³⁰, G. Galster³⁹, K.K. Gan¹¹³, S. Ganguly³⁷, Y. Gao⁷⁷, Y.S. Gao^{145,g},
 F.M. Garay Walls⁴⁹, C. García¹⁷⁰, J.E. García Navarro¹⁷⁰, J.A. García Pascual^{35a},
 M. Garcia-Sciveres¹⁶, R.W. Gardner³³, N. Garelli¹⁴⁵, V. Garonne¹²¹, A. Gascon Bravo⁴⁵,
 K. Gasnikova⁴⁵, C. Gatti⁵⁰, A. Gaudiello^{53a,53b}, G. Gaudio^{123a}, I.L. Gavrilenko⁹⁸, C. Gay¹⁷¹,
 G. Gaycken²³, E.N. Gazis¹⁰, C.N.P. Gee¹³³, J. Geisen⁵⁷, M. Geisen⁸⁶, M.P. Geisler^{60a},
 K. Gellerstedt^{148a,148b}, C. Gemme^{53a}, M.H. Genest⁵⁸, C. Geng⁹², S. Gentile^{134a,134b},
 C. Gentsos¹⁵⁶, S. George⁸⁰, D. Gerbaudo¹³, A. Gershon¹⁵⁵, G. Geßner⁴⁶, S. Ghasemi¹⁴³,
 M. Ghneimat²³, B. Giacobbe^{22a}, S. Giagu^{134a,134b}, N. Giangiacomi^{22a,22b}, P. Giannetti^{126a,126b},
 S.M. Gibson⁸⁰, M. Gignac¹⁷¹, M. Gilchriese¹⁶, D. Gillberg³¹, G. Gilles¹⁷⁸, D.M. Gingrich^{3,d},
 N. Giokaris^{9,*}, M.P. Giordani^{167a,167c}, F.M. Giorgi^{22a}, P.F. Giraud¹³⁸, P. Giromini⁵⁹,
 D. Giugni^{94a}, F. Giuli¹²², C. Giuliani¹⁰³, M. Giulini^{60b}, B.K. Gjelsten¹²¹, S. Gkaitatzis¹⁵⁶,
 I. Gkialas^{9,s}, E.L. Gkoukousis¹³⁹, P. Gkoutoumis¹⁰, L.K. Gladilin¹⁰¹, C. Glasman⁸⁵,
 J. Glatzer¹³, P.C.F. Glaysher⁴⁵, A. Glazov⁴⁵, M. Goblirsch-Kolb²⁵, J. Godlewski⁴²,
 S. Goldfarb⁹¹, T. Golling⁵², D. Golubkov¹³², A. Gomes^{128a,128b,128d}, R. Gonçalo^{128a},
 R. Goncalves Gama^{26a}, J. Goncalves Pinto Firmino Da Costa¹³⁸, G. Gonella⁵¹, L. Gonella¹⁹,
 A. Gongadze⁶⁸, S. González de la Hoz¹⁷⁰, S. Gonzalez-Sevilla⁵², L. Goossens³²,
 P.A. Gorbounov⁹⁹, H.A. Gordon²⁷, I. Gorelov¹⁰⁷, B. Gorini³², E. Gorini^{76a,76b}, A. Gorišek⁷⁸,
 A.T. Goshaw⁴⁸, C. Gössling⁴⁶, M.I. Gostkin⁶⁸, C.A. Gottardo²³, C.R. Goudet¹¹⁹,
 D. Goujdami^{137c}, A.G. Goussiou¹⁴⁰, N. Govender^{147b,t}, E. Gozani¹⁵⁴, L. Graber⁵⁷,
 I. Grabowska-Bold^{41a}, P.O.J. Gradin¹⁶⁸, J. Gramling¹⁶⁶, E. Gramstad¹²¹, S. Grancagnolo¹⁷,
 V. Gratchev¹²⁵, P.M. Gravila^{28f}, C. Gray⁵⁶, H.M. Gray¹⁶, Z.D. Greenwood^{82,u}, C. Grefe²³,
 K. Gregersen⁸¹, I.M. Gregor⁴⁵, P. Grenier¹⁴⁵, K. Grevtsov⁵, J. Griffiths⁸, A.A. Grillo¹³⁹,
 K. Grimm⁷⁵, S. Grinstein^{13,v}, Ph. Gris³⁷, J.-F. Grivaz¹¹⁹, S. Groh⁸⁶, E. Gross¹⁷⁵,
 J. Grosse-Knetter⁵⁷, G.C. Grossi⁸², Z.J. Grout⁸¹, A. Grummer¹⁰⁷, L. Guan⁹², W. Guan¹⁷⁶,
 J. Guenther⁶⁵, F. Guescini^{163a}, D. Guest¹⁶⁶, O. Gueta¹⁵⁵, B. Gui¹¹³, E. Guido^{53a,53b},
 T. Guillemin⁵, S. Guindon², U. Gul⁵⁶, C. Gumpert³², J. Guo^{36c}, W. Guo⁹², Y. Guo^{36a,w},
 R. Gupta⁴³, S. Gupta¹²², G. Gustavino^{134a,134b}, P. Gutierrez¹¹⁵, N.G. Gutierrez Ortiz⁸¹,
 C. Gutsche⁸¹, C. Guyot¹³⁸, M.P. Guzik^{41a}, C. Gwenlan¹²², C.B. Gwilliam⁷⁷, A. Haas¹¹²,
 C. Haber¹⁶, H.K. Hadavand⁸, N. Haddad^{137e}, A. Hadeef⁸⁸, S. Hageböck²³, M. Hagihara¹⁶⁴,
 H. Hakobyan^{180,*}, M. Haleem⁴⁵, J. Haley¹¹⁶, G. Halladjian⁹³, G.D. Hallewell⁸⁸, K. Hamacher¹⁷⁸,
 P. Hamal¹¹⁷, K. Hamano¹⁷², A. Hamilton^{147a}, G.N. Hamity¹⁴¹, P.G. Hamnett⁴⁵, L. Han^{36a},
 S. Han^{35a,35d}, K. Hanagaki^{69,x}, K. Hanawa¹⁵⁷, M. Hance¹³⁹, B. Haney¹²⁴, P. Hanke^{60a},
 J.B. Hansen³⁹, J.D. Hansen³⁹, M.C. Hansen²³, P.H. Hansen³⁹, K. Hara¹⁶⁴, A.S. Hard¹⁷⁶,
 T. Harenberg¹⁷⁸, F. Hariri¹¹⁹, S. Harkusha⁹⁵, R.D. Harrington⁴⁹, P.F. Harrison¹⁷³,
 N.M. Hartmann¹⁰², M. Hasegawa⁷⁰, Y. Hasegawa¹⁴², A. Hasib⁴⁹, S. Hassani¹³⁸, S. Haug¹⁸,
 R. Hauser⁹³, L. Hauswald⁴⁷, L.B. Havener³⁸, M. Havranek¹³⁰, C.M. Hawkes¹⁹, R.J. Hawkins³²,
 D. Hayakawa¹⁵⁹, D. Hayden⁹³, C.P. Hays¹²², J.M. Hays⁷⁹, H.S. Hayward⁷⁷, S.J. Haywood¹³³,
 S.J. Head¹⁹, T. Heck⁸⁶, V. Hedberg⁸⁴, L. Heelan⁸, S. Heer²³, K.K. Heidegger⁵¹, S. Heim⁴⁵,

T. Heim¹⁶, B. Heinemann^{45,y}, J.J. Heinrich¹⁰², L. Heinrich¹¹², C. Heinz⁵⁵, J. Hejbal¹²⁹,
L. Helary³², A. Held¹⁷¹, S. Hellman^{148a,148b}, C. Helsens³², R.C.W. Henderson⁷⁵, Y. Heng¹⁷⁶,
S. Henkelmann¹⁷¹, A.M. Henriques Correia³², S. Henrot-Versille¹¹⁹, G.H. Herbert¹⁷, H. Herde²⁵,
V. Herget¹⁷⁷, Y. Hernández Jiménez^{147c}, H. Herr⁸⁶, G. Herten⁵¹, R. Hertenberger¹⁰²,
L. Hervas³², T.C. Herwig¹²⁴, G.G. Hesketh⁸¹, N.P. Hessey^{163a}, J.W. Hetherly⁴³, S. Higashino⁶⁹,
E. Higón-Rodríguez¹⁷⁰, K. Hildebrand³³, E. Hill¹⁷², J.C. Hill³⁰, K.H. Hiller⁴⁵, S.J. Hillier¹⁹,
M. Hils⁴⁷, I. Hinchliffe¹⁶, M. Hirose⁵¹, D. Hirschbuehl¹⁷⁸, B. Hiti⁷⁸, O. Hladik¹²⁹, X. Hoad⁴⁹,
J. Hobbs¹⁵⁰, N. Hod^{163a}, M.C. Hodgkinson¹⁴¹, P. Hodgson¹⁴¹, A. Hoecker³²,
M.R. Hoferkamp¹⁰⁷, F. Hoenig¹⁰², D. Hohn²³, T.R. Holmes³³, M. Homann⁴⁶, S. Honda¹⁶⁴,
T. Honda⁶⁹, T.M. Hong¹²⁷, B.H. Hooberman¹⁶⁹, W.H. Hopkins¹¹⁸, Y. Horii¹⁰⁵, A.J. Horton¹⁴⁴,
J.-Y. Hostachy⁵⁸, S. Hou¹⁵³, A. Hoummada^{137a}, J. Howarth⁸⁷, J. Hoya⁷⁴, M. Hrabovsky¹¹⁷,
J. Hrdinka³², I. Hristova¹⁷, J. Hrivnac¹¹⁹, T. Hryn'ova⁵, A. Hrynevich⁹⁶, P.J. Hsu⁶³,
S.-C. Hsu¹⁴⁰, Q. Hu^{36a}, S. Hu^{36c}, Y. Huang^{35a}, Z. Hubacek¹³⁰, F. Hubaut⁸⁸, F. Huegging²³,
T.B. Huffman¹²², E.W. Hughes³⁸, G. Hughes⁷⁵, M. Huhtinen³², P. Huo¹⁵⁰, N. Huseynov^{68,b},
J. Huston⁹³, J. Huth⁵⁹, G. Iacobucci⁵², G. Iakovidis²⁷, I. Ibragimov¹⁴³, L. Iconomidou-Fayard¹¹⁹,
Z. Idrissi^{137e}, P. Iengo³², O. Igonkina^{109,z}, T. Iizawa¹⁷⁴, Y. Ikegami⁶⁹, M. Ikeno⁶⁹,
Y. Ilchenko^{11,aa}, D. Iliadis¹⁵⁶, N. Ilic¹⁴⁵, G. Introzzi^{123a,123b}, P. Ioannou^{9,*}, M. Iodice^{136a},
K. Iordanidou³⁸, V. Ippolito⁵⁹, M.F. Isacson¹⁶⁸, N. Ishijima¹²⁰, M. Ishino¹⁵⁷, M. Ishitsuka¹⁵⁹,
C. Issever¹²², S. Istin^{20a}, F. Ito¹⁶⁴, J.M. Iturbe Ponce^{62a}, R. Iuppa^{162a,162b}, H. Iwasaki⁶⁹,
J.M. Izen⁴⁴, V. Izzo^{106a}, S. Jabbar³, P. Jackson¹, R.M. Jacobs²³, V. Jain², K.B. Jakobi⁸⁶,
K. Jakobs⁵¹, S. Jakobsen⁶⁵, T. Jakoubek¹²⁹, D.O. Jamin¹¹⁶, D.K. Jana⁸², R. Jansky⁵²,
J. Janssen²³, M. Janus⁵⁷, P.A. Janus^{41a}, G. Jarlskog⁸⁴, N. Javadov^{68,b}, T. Javůrek⁵¹,
M. Javurkova⁵¹, F. Jeanneau¹³⁸, L. Jeanty¹⁶, J. Jejelava^{54a,ab}, A. Jelinskas¹⁷³, P. Jenni^{51,ac},
C. Jeske¹⁷³, S. Jézéquel⁵, H. Ji¹⁷⁶, J. Jia¹⁵⁰, H. Jiang⁶⁷, Y. Jiang^{36a}, Z. Jiang¹⁴⁵, S. Jiggins⁸¹,
J. Jimenez Pena¹⁷⁰, S. Jin^{35a}, A. Jinaru^{28b}, O. Jinnouchi¹⁵⁹, H. Jivan^{147c}, P. Johansson¹⁴¹,
K.A. Johns⁷, C.A. Johnson⁶⁴, W.J. Johnson¹⁴⁰, K. Jon-And^{148a,148b}, R.W.L. Jones⁷⁵,
S.D. Jones¹⁵¹, S. Jones⁷, T.J. Jones⁷⁷, J. Jongmanns^{60a}, P.M. Jorge^{128a,128b}, J. Jovicevic^{163a},
X. Ju¹⁷⁶, A. Juste Rozas^{13,v}, M.K. Köhler¹⁷⁵, A. Kaczmarska⁴², M. Kado¹¹⁹, H. Kagan¹¹³,
M. Kagan¹⁴⁵, S.J. Kahn⁸⁸, T. Kaji¹⁷⁴, E. Kajomovitz⁴⁸, C.W. Kalderon⁸⁴, A. Kaluza⁸⁶,
S. Kama⁴³, A. Kamenshchikov¹³², N. Kanaya¹⁵⁷, L. Kanjir⁷⁸, V.A. Kantserov¹⁰⁰, J. Kanzaki⁶⁹,
B. Kaplan¹¹², L.S. Kaplan¹⁷⁶, D. Kar^{147c}, K. Karakostas¹⁰, N. Karastathis¹⁰, M.J. Kareem⁵⁷,
E. Karentzos¹⁰, S.N. Karpov⁶⁸, Z.M. Karpova⁶⁸, K. Karthik¹¹², V. Kartvelishvili⁷⁵,
A.N. Karyukhin¹³², K. Kasahara¹⁶⁴, L. Kashif¹⁷⁶, R.D. Kass¹¹³, A. Kastanas¹⁴⁹, Y. Kataoka¹⁵⁷,
C. Kato¹⁵⁷, A. Katre⁵², J. Katzy⁴⁵, K. Kawade⁷⁰, K. Kawagoe⁷³, T. Kawamoto¹⁵⁷,
G. Kawamura⁵⁷, E.F. Kay⁷⁷, V.F. Kazanin^{111,c}, R. Keeler¹⁷², R. Kehoe⁴³, J.S. Keller³¹,
J.J. Kempster⁸⁰, J. Kendrick¹⁹, H. Keoshkerian¹⁶¹, O. Kepka¹²⁹, B.P. Kerševan⁷⁸, S. Kersten¹⁷⁸,
R.A. Keyes⁹⁰, M. Khader¹⁶⁹, F. Khalil-zada¹², A. Khanov¹¹⁶, A.G. Kharlamov^{111,c},
T. Kharlamova^{111,c}, A. Khodinov¹⁶⁰, T.J. Khoo⁵², V. Khovanskiy^{99,*}, E. Khramov⁶⁸,
J. Khubua^{54b,ad}, S. Kido⁷⁰, C.R. Kilby⁸⁰, H.Y. Kim⁸, S.H. Kim¹⁶⁴, Y.K. Kim³³, N. Kimura¹⁵⁶,
O.M. Kind¹⁷, B.T. King⁷⁷, D. Kirchmeier⁴⁷, J. Kirk¹³³, A.E. Kiryunin¹⁰³, T. Kishimoto¹⁵⁷,
D. Kisielewska^{41a}, V. Kitali⁴⁵, K. Kiuchi¹⁶⁴, O. Kivernyk⁵, E. Kladiva^{146b},
T. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus⁵¹, M.H. Klein⁹², M. Klein⁷⁷, U. Klein⁷⁷, K. Kleinknecht⁸⁶,
P. Klimek¹¹⁰, A. Klimentov²⁷, R. Klingenberg⁴⁶, T. Klingl²³, T. Klioutchnikova³²,
E.-E. Kluge^{60a}, P. Kluit¹⁰⁹, S. Kluth¹⁰³, E. Kneringer⁶⁵, E.B.F.G. Knoops⁸⁸, A. Knue¹⁰³,
A. Kobayashi¹⁵⁷, D. Kobayashi¹⁵⁹, T. Kobayashi¹⁵⁷, M. Kobel⁴⁷, M. Kocian¹⁴⁵, P. Kodys¹³¹,
T. Koffas³¹, E. Koffeman¹⁰⁹, N.M. Köhler¹⁰³, T. Koi¹⁴⁵, M. Kolb^{60b}, I. Koletsou⁵,
A.A. Komar^{98,*}, Y. Komori¹⁵⁷, T. Kondo⁶⁹, N. Kondrashova^{36c}, K. Köneke⁵¹, A.C. König¹⁰⁸,
T. Kono^{69,ae}, R. Konoplich^{112,af}, N. Konstantinidis⁸¹, R. Kopeliansky⁶⁴, S. Koperly^{41a},

A.K. Kopp⁵¹, K. Korcyl⁴², K. Kordas¹⁵⁶, A. Korn⁸¹, A.A. Korol^{111,c}, I. Korolkov¹³,
 E.V. Korolkova¹⁴¹, O. Kortner¹⁰³, S. Kortner¹⁰³, T. Kosek¹³¹, V.V. Kostyukhin²³, A. Kotwal⁴⁸,
 A. Koulouris¹⁰, A. Kourkoumeli-Charalampidi^{123a,123b}, C. Kourkoumelis⁹, E. Kourlitis¹⁴¹,
 V. Kouskoura²⁷, A.B. Kowalewska⁴², R. Kowalewski¹⁷², T.Z. Kowalski^{41a}, C. Kozakai¹⁵⁷,
 W. Kozanecki¹³⁸, A.S. Kozhin¹³², V.A. Kramarenko¹⁰¹, G. Kramberger⁷⁸, D. Krasnopevtsev¹⁰⁰,
 M.W. Krasny⁸³, A. Krasznahorkay³², D. Krauss¹⁰³, J.A. Kremer^{41a}, J. Kretzschmar⁷⁷,
 K. Kreutzfeldt⁵⁵, P. Krieger¹⁶¹, K. Krizka³³, K. Kroeninger⁴⁶, H. Kroha¹⁰³, J. Kroll¹²⁹,
 J. Kroll¹²⁴, J. Kroseberg²³, J. Krstic¹⁴, U. Kruchonak⁶⁸, H. Krüger²³, N. Krumnack⁶⁷,
 M.C. Kruse⁴⁸, T. Kubota⁹¹, H. Kucuk⁸¹, S. Kuday^{4b}, J.T. Kuechler¹⁷⁸, S. Kuehn³², A. Kugel^{60a},
 F. Kuger¹⁷⁷, T. Kuhl⁴⁵, V. Kukhtin⁶⁸, R. Kukla⁸⁸, Y. Kulchitsky⁹⁵, S. Kuleshov^{34b},
 Y.P. Kulinich¹⁶⁹, M. Kuna^{134a,134b}, T. Kunigo⁷¹, A. Kupco¹²⁹, T. Kupfer⁴⁶, O. Kuprash¹⁵⁵,
 H. Kurashige⁷⁰, L.L. Kurchaninov^{163a}, Y.A. Kurochkin⁹⁵, M.G. Kurth^{35a,35d}, V. Kus¹²⁹,
 E.S. Kuwertz¹⁷², M. Kuze¹⁵⁹, J. Kvita¹¹⁷, T. Kwan¹⁷², D. Kyriazopoulos¹⁴¹, A. La Rosa¹⁰³,
 J.L. La Rosa Navarro^{26d}, L. La Rotonda^{40a,40b}, F. La Ruffa^{40a,40b}, C. Lacasta¹⁷⁰,
 F. Lacava^{134a,134b}, J. Lacey⁴⁵, H. Lacker¹⁷, D. Lacour⁸³, E. Ladygin⁶⁸, R. Lafaye⁵, B. Laforge⁸³,
 T. Lagouri¹⁷⁹, S. Lai⁵⁷, S. Lammers⁶⁴, W. Lampl⁷, E. Lançon²⁷, U. Landgraf⁵¹, M.P.J. Landon⁷⁹,
 M.C. Lanfermann⁵², V.S. Lang^{60a}, J.C. Lange¹³, R.J. Langenberg³², A.J. Lankford¹⁶⁶,
 F. Lanni²⁷, K. Lantzsche²³, A. Lanza^{123a}, A. Lapertosa^{53a,53b}, S. Laplace⁸³, J.F. Laporte¹³⁸,
 T. Lari^{94a}, F. Lasagni Manghi^{22a,22b}, M. Lassnig³², P. Laurelli⁵⁰, W. Lavrijsen¹⁶, A.T. Law¹³⁹,
 P. Laycock⁷⁷, T. Lazovich⁵⁹, M. Lazzaroni^{94a,94b}, B. Le⁹¹, O. Le Dortz⁸³, E. Le Guirriec⁸⁸,
 E.P. Le Quilleuc¹³⁸, M. LeBlanc¹⁷², T. LeCompte⁶, F. Ledroit-Guillon⁵⁸, C.A. Lee²⁷,
 G.R. Lee^{133,ag}, S.C. Lee¹⁵³, L. Lee⁵⁹, B. Lefebvre⁹⁰, G. Lefebvre⁸³, M. Lefebvre¹⁷², F. Legger¹⁰²,
 C. Leggett¹⁶, G. Lehmann Miotto³², X. Lei⁷, W.A. Leight⁴⁵, M.A.L. Leite^{26d}, R. Leitner¹³¹,
 D. Lellouch¹⁷⁵, B. Lemmer⁵⁷, K.J.C. Leney⁸¹, T. Lenz²³, B. Lenzi³², R. Leone⁷,
 S. Leone^{126a,126b}, C. Leonidopoulos⁴⁹, G. Lerner¹⁵¹, C. Leroy⁹⁷, A.A.J. Lesage¹³⁸, C.G. Lester³⁰,
 M. Levchenko¹²⁵, J. Levêque⁵, D. Levin⁹², L.J. Levinson¹⁷⁵, M. Levy¹⁹, D. Lewis⁷⁹, B. Li^{36a,w},
 C.-Q. Li^{36a}, H. Li¹⁵⁰, L. Li^{36c}, Q. Li^{35a,35d}, S. Li⁴⁸, X. Li^{36c}, Y. Li¹⁴³, Z. Liang^{35a},
 B. Liberti^{135a}, A. Liblong¹⁶¹, K. Lie^{62c}, J. Liebal²³, W. Liebig¹⁵, A. Limosani¹⁵², S.C. Lin¹⁸²,
 T.H. Lin⁸⁶, R.A. Linck⁶⁴, B.E. Lindquist¹⁵⁰, A.E. Lioni⁵², E. Lipeles¹²⁴, A. Lipniacka¹⁵,
 M. Lisovyi^{60b}, T.M. Liss^{169,ah}, A. Lister¹⁷¹, A.M. Litke¹³⁹, B. Liu^{153,ai}, H. Liu⁹², H. Liu²⁷,
 J.K.K. Liu¹²², J. Liu^{36b}, J.B. Liu^{36a}, K. Liu⁸⁸, L. Liu¹⁶⁹, M. Liu^{36a}, Y.L. Liu^{36a}, Y. Liu^{36a},
 M. Livan^{123a,123b}, A. Lleres⁵⁸, J. Llorente Merino^{35a}, S.L. Lloyd⁷⁹, C.Y. Lo^{62b}, F. Lo Sterzo¹⁵³,
 E.M. Lobodzinska⁴⁵, P. Loch⁷, F.K. Loebinger⁸⁷, A. Loesle⁵¹, K.M. Loew²⁵, A. Loginov^{179,*},
 T. Lohse¹⁷, K. Lohwasser¹⁴¹, M. Lokajicek¹²⁹, B.A. Long²⁴, J.D. Long¹⁶⁹, R.E. Long⁷⁵,
 L. Longo^{76a,76b}, K.A. Looper¹¹³, J.A. Lopez^{34b}, D. Lopez Mateos⁵⁹, I. Lopez Paz¹³,
 A. Lopez Solis⁸³, J. Lorenz¹⁰², N. Lorenzo Martinez⁵, M. Losada²¹, P.J. Lösel¹⁰², X. Lou^{35a},
 A. Lounis¹¹⁹, J. Love⁶, P.A. Love⁷⁵, H. Lu^{62a}, N. Lu⁹², Y.J. Lu⁶³, H.J. Lubatti¹⁴⁰,
 C. Luci^{134a,134b}, A. Lucotte⁵⁸, C. Luedtke⁵¹, F. Luehring⁶⁴, W. Lukas⁶⁵, L. Luminari^{134a},
 O. Lundberg^{148a,148b}, B. Lund-Jensen¹⁴⁹, M.S. Lutz⁸⁹, P.M. Luzi⁸³, D. Lynn²⁷, R. Lysak¹²⁹,
 E. Lytken⁸⁴, F. Lyu^{35a}, V. Lyubushkin⁶⁸, H. Ma²⁷, L.L. Ma^{36b}, Y. Ma^{36b}, G. Maccarrone⁵⁰,
 A. Macchiolo¹⁰³, C.M. Macdonald¹⁴¹, B. Maček⁷⁸, J. Machado Miguens^{124,128b}, D. Madaffari¹⁷⁰,
 R. Madar³⁷, W.F. Mader⁴⁷, A. Madsen⁴⁵, J. Maeda⁷⁰, S. Maeland¹⁵, T. Maeno²⁷,
 A.S. Maevskiy¹⁰¹, V. Mager⁵¹, J. Mahlstedt¹⁰⁹, C. Maiani¹¹⁹, C. Maidantchik^{26a}, A.A. Maier¹⁰³,
 T. Maier¹⁰², A. Maio^{128a,128b,128d}, O. Majersky^{146a}, S. Majewski¹¹⁸, Y. Makida⁶⁹,
 N. Makovec¹¹⁹, B. Malaescu⁸³, Pa. Malecki⁴², V.P. Maleev¹²⁵, F. Malek⁵⁸, U. Mallik⁶⁶,
 D. Malon⁶, C. Malone³⁰, S. Maltezos¹⁰, S. Malyukov³², J. Mamuzic¹⁷⁰, G. Mancini⁵⁰,
 I. Mandić⁷⁸, J. Maneira^{128a,128b}, L. Manhaes de Andrade Filho^{26b}, J. Manjarres Ramos⁴⁷,
 K.H. Mankinen⁸⁴, A. Mann¹⁰², A. Manousos³², B. Mansoulie¹³⁸, J.D. Mansour^{35a}, R. Mantifel⁹⁰,

M. Mantoani⁵⁷, S. Manzoni^{94a,94b}, L. Mapelli³², G. Marceca²⁹, L. March⁵², L. Marchese¹²²,
 G. Marchiori⁸³, M. Marcisovsky¹²⁹, M. Marjanovic³⁷, D.E. Marley⁹², F. Marroquim^{26a},
 S.P. Marsden⁸⁷, Z. Marshall¹⁶, M.U.F. Martensson¹⁶⁸, S. Marti-Garcia¹⁷⁰, C.B. Martin¹¹³,
 T.A. Martin¹⁷³, V.J. Martin⁴⁹, B. Martin dit Latour¹⁵, M. Martinez^{13,v},
 V.I. Martinez Outschoorn¹⁶⁹, S. Martin-Haugh¹³³, V.S. Martoiu^{28b}, A.C. Martyniuk⁸¹,
 A. Marzin³², L. Masetti⁸⁶, T. Mashimo¹⁵⁷, R. Mashinistov⁹⁸, J. Masik⁸⁷, A.L. Maslennikov^{111,c},
 L. Massa^{135a,135b}, P. Mastrandrea⁵, A. Mastroberardino^{40a,40b}, T. Masubuchi¹⁵⁷, P. Mättig¹⁷⁸,
 J. Maurer^{28b}, S.J. Maxfield⁷⁷, D.A. Maximov^{111,c}, R. Mazini¹⁵³, I. Maznas¹⁵⁶,
 S.M. Mazza^{94a,94b}, N.C. Mc Fadden¹⁰⁷, G. Mc Goldrick¹⁶¹, S.P. Mc Kee⁹², A. McCarn⁹²,
 R.L. McCarthy¹⁵⁰, T.G. McCarthy¹⁰³, L.I. McClymont⁸¹, E.F. McDonald⁹¹, J.A. Mcfayden⁸¹,
 G. Mchedlidze⁵⁷, S.J. McMahon¹³³, P.C. McNamara⁹¹, R.A. McPherson^{172,o}, S. Meehan¹⁴⁰,
 T.J. Megy⁵¹, S. Mehlhase¹⁰², A. Mehta⁷⁷, T. Meideck⁵⁸, K. Meier^{60a}, B. Meirose⁴⁴,
 D. Melini^{170,aj}, B.R. Mellado Garcia^{147c}, J.D. Mellenthin⁵⁷, M. Melo^{146a}, F. Meloni¹⁸,
 A. Melzer²³, S.B. Menary⁸⁷, L. Meng⁷⁷, X.T. Meng⁹², A. Mengarelli^{22a,22b}, S. Menke¹⁰³,
 E. Meoni^{40a,40b}, S. Mergelmeyer¹⁷, P. Mermod⁵², L. Merola^{106a,106b}, C. Meroni^{94a},
 F.S. Merritt³³, A. Messina^{134a,134b}, J. Metcalfe⁶, A.S. Mete¹⁶⁶, C. Meyer¹²⁴, J-P. Meyer¹³⁸,
 J. Meyer¹⁰⁹, H. Meyer Zu Theenhausen^{60a}, F. Miano¹⁵¹, R.P. Middleton¹³³, S. Miglioranza^{53a,53b},
 L. Mijović⁴⁹, G. Mikenberg¹⁷⁵, M. Mikestikova¹²⁹, M. Mikuz⁷⁸, M. Milesi⁹¹, A. Milic¹⁶¹,
 D.W. Miller³³, C. Mills⁴⁹, A. Milov¹⁷⁵, D.A. Milstead^{148a,148b}, A.A. Minaenko¹³², Y. Minami¹⁵⁷,
 I.A. Minashvili^{54b}, A.I. Mincer¹¹², B. Mindur^{41a}, M. Mineev⁶⁸, Y. Minegishi¹⁵⁷, Y. Ming¹⁷⁶,
 L.M. Mir¹³, K.P. Mistry¹²⁴, T. Mitani¹⁷⁴, J. Mitrevski¹⁰², V.A. Mitsou¹⁷⁰, A. Miucci¹⁸,
 P.S. Miyagawa¹⁴¹, A. Mizukami⁶⁹, J.U. Mjörnmark⁸⁴, T. Mkrtchyan¹⁸⁰, M. Mlynarikova¹³¹,
 T. Moa^{148a,148b}, K. Mochizuki⁹⁷, P. Mogg⁵¹, S. Mohapatra³⁸, S. Molander^{148a,148b},
 R. Moles-Valls²³, R. Monden⁷¹, M.C. Mondragon⁹³, K. Mönig⁴⁵, J. Monk³⁹, E. Monnier⁸⁸,
 A. Montalbano¹⁵⁰, J. Montejo Berlingen³², F. Monticelli⁷⁴, S. Monzani^{94a,94b}, R.W. Moore³,
 N. Morange¹¹⁹, D. Moreno²¹, M. Moreno Llácer³², P. Morettini^{53a}, S. Morgenstern³², D. Mori¹⁴⁴,
 T. Mori¹⁵⁷, M. Morii⁵⁹, M. Morinaga¹⁵⁷, V. Morisbak¹²¹, A.K. Morley³², G. Mornacchi³²,
 J.D. Morris⁷⁹, L. Morvaj¹⁵⁰, P. Moschovakos¹⁰, M. Mosidze^{54b}, H.J. Moss¹⁴¹, J. Moss^{145,ak},
 K. Motohashi¹⁵⁹, R. Mount¹⁴⁵, E. Mountricha²⁷, E.J.W. Moyse⁸⁹, S. Muanza⁸⁸, F. Mueller¹⁰³,
 J. Mueller¹²⁷, R.S.P. Mueller¹⁰², D. Muenstermann⁷⁵, P. Mullen⁵⁶, G.A. Mullier¹⁸,
 F.J. Munoz Sanchez⁸⁷, W.J. Murray^{173,133}, H. Musheghyan³², M. Muškinja⁷⁸,
 A.G. Myagkov^{132,al}, M. Myska¹³⁰, B.P. Nachman¹⁶, O. Nackenhorst⁵², K. Nagai¹²²,
 R. Nagai^{69,ae}, K. Nagano⁶⁹, Y. Nagasaka⁶¹, K. Nagata¹⁶⁴, M. Nagel⁵¹, E. Nagy⁸⁸, A.M. Nairz³²,
 Y. Nakahama¹⁰⁵, K. Nakamura⁶⁹, T. Nakamura¹⁵⁷, I. Nakano¹¹⁴, R.F. Naranjo Garcia⁴⁵,
 R. Narayan¹¹, D.I. Narrias Villar^{60a}, I. Naryshkin¹²⁵, T. Naumann⁴⁵, G. Navarro²¹, R. Nayyar⁷,
 H.A. Neal⁹², P.Yu. Nechaeva⁹⁸, T.J. Neep¹³⁸, A. Negri^{123a,123b}, M. Negrini^{22a}, S. Nektarijevic¹⁰⁸,
 C. Nellist¹¹⁹, A. Nelson¹⁶⁶, M.E. Nelson¹²², S. Nemecek¹²⁹, P. Nemethy¹¹², M. Nessi^{32,am},
 M.S. Neubauer¹⁶⁹, M. Neumann¹⁷⁸, P.R. Newman¹⁹, T.Y. Ng^{62c}, T. Nguyen Manh⁹⁷,
 R.B. Nickerson¹²², R. Nicolaidou¹³⁸, J. Nielsen¹³⁹, V. Nikolaenko^{132,al}, I. Nikolic-Audit⁸³,
 K. Nikolopoulos¹⁹, J.K. Nilsen¹²¹, P. Nilsson²⁷, Y. Ninomiya¹⁵⁷, A. Nisati^{134a}, N. Nishu^{35c},
 R. Nisius¹⁰³, I. Nitsche⁴⁶, T. Nitta¹⁷⁴, T. Nobe¹⁵⁷, Y. Noguchi⁷¹, M. Nomachi¹²⁰, I. Nomidis³¹,
 M.A. Nomura²⁷, T. Nooney⁷⁹, M. Nordberg³², N. Norjoharuddeen¹²², O. Novgorodova⁴⁷,
 M. Nozaki⁶⁹, L. Nozka¹¹⁷, K. Ntekas¹⁶⁶, E. Nurse⁸¹, F. Nuti⁹¹, K. O'connor²⁵, D.C. O'Neil¹⁴⁴,
 A.A. O'Rourke⁴⁵, V. O'Shea⁵⁶, F.G. Oakham^{31,d}, H. Oberlack¹⁰³, T. Obermann²³, J. Ocariz⁸³,
 A. Ochi⁷⁰, I. Ochoa³⁸, J.P. Ochoa-Ricoux^{34a}, S. Oda⁷³, S. Odaka⁶⁹, A. Oh⁸⁷, S.H. Oh⁴⁸,
 C.C. Ohm¹⁶, H. Ohman¹⁶⁸, H. Oide^{53a,53b}, H. Okawa¹⁶⁴, Y. Okumura¹⁵⁷, T. Okuyama⁶⁹,
 A. Olariu^{28b}, L.F. Oleiro Seabra^{128a}, S.A. Olivares Pino⁴⁹, D. Oliveira Damazio²⁷,
 A. Olszewski⁴², J. Olszowska⁴², A. Onofre^{128a,128e}, K. Onogi¹⁰⁵, P.U.E. Onyisi^{11,aa},

H. Oppen¹²¹, M.J. Oreglia³³, Y. Oren¹⁵⁵, D. Orestano^{136a,136b}, N. Orlando^{62b}, R.S. Orr¹⁶¹, B. Osculati^{53a,53b,*}, R. Ospanov^{36a}, G. Otero y Garzon²⁹, H. Otono⁷³, M. Ouchrif^{137d}, F. Ould-Saada¹²¹, A. Ouraou¹³⁸, K.P. Oussoren¹⁰⁹, Q. Ouyang^{35a}, M. Owen⁵⁶, R.E. Owen¹⁹, V.E. Ozcan^{20a}, N. Ozturk⁸, K. Pachal¹⁴⁴, A. Pacheco Pages¹³, L. Pacheco Rodriguez¹³⁸, C. Padilla Aranda¹³, S. Pagan Griso¹⁶, M. Paganini¹⁷⁹, F. Paige²⁷, G. Palacino⁶⁴, S. Palazzo^{40a,40b}, S. Palestini³², M. Palka^{41b}, D. Pallin³⁷, E.St. Panagiotopoulou¹⁰, I. Panagoulas¹⁰, C.E. Pandini⁸³, J.G. Panduro Vazquez⁸⁰, P. Pani³², S. Panitkin²⁷, D. Pantea^{28b}, L. Paolozzi⁵², Th.D. Papadopoulou¹⁰, K. Papageorgiou^{9,s}, A. Paramonov⁶, D. Paredes Hernandez¹⁷⁹, A.J. Parker⁷⁵, M.A. Parker³⁰, K.A. Parker⁴⁵, F. Parodi^{53a,53b}, J.A. Parsons³⁸, U. Parzefall⁵¹, V.R. Pascuzzi¹⁶¹, J.M. Pasner¹³⁹, E. Pasqualucci^{134a}, S. Passaggio^{53a}, Fr. Pastore⁸⁰, S. Patarraia⁸⁶, J.R. Pater⁸⁷, T. Pauly³², B. Pearson¹⁰³, S. Pedraza Lopez¹⁷⁰, R. Pedro^{128a,128b}, S.V. Peleganchuk^{111,c}, O. Penc¹²⁹, C. Peng^{35a,35d}, H. Peng^{36a}, J. Penwell⁶⁴, B.S. Peralva^{26b}, M.M. Perego¹³⁸, D.V. Perepelitsa²⁷, F. Peri¹⁷, L. Perini^{94a,94b}, H. Pernegger³², S. Perrella^{106a,106b}, R. Peschke⁴⁵, V.D. Peshekhonov^{68,*}, K. Peters⁴⁵, R.F.Y. Peters⁸⁷, B.A. Petersen³², T.C. Petersen³⁹, E. Petit⁵⁸, A. Petridis¹, C. Petridou¹⁵⁶, P. Petroff¹¹⁹, E. Petrolo^{134a}, M. Petrov¹²², F. Petrucci^{136a,136b}, N.E. Pettersson⁸⁹, A. Peyaud¹³⁸, R. Pezoa^{34b}, F.H. Phillips⁹³, P.W. Phillips¹³³, G. Piacquadio¹⁵⁰, E. Pianori¹⁷³, A. Picazio⁸⁹, E. Piccaro⁷⁹, M.A. Pickering¹²², R. Piegai²⁹, J.E. Pilcher³³, A.D. Pilkington⁸⁷, A.W.J. Pin⁸⁷, M. Pinamonti^{135a,135b}, J.L. Pinfold³, H. Pirumov⁴⁵, M. Pitt¹⁷⁵, L. Plazak^{146a}, M.-A. Pleier²⁷, V. Pleskot⁸⁶, E. Plotnikova⁶⁸, D. Pluth⁶⁷, P. Podberezko¹¹¹, R. Poettgen^{148a,148b}, R. Poggi^{123a,123b}, L. Poggioli¹¹⁹, D. Pohl²³, G. Polesello^{123a}, A. Poley⁴⁵, A. Policicchio^{40a,40b}, R. Polifka³², A. Polini^{22a}, C.S. Pollard⁵⁶, V. Polychronakos²⁷, K. Pommès³², D. Ponomarenko¹⁰⁰, L. Pontecorvo^{134a}, G.A. Popeneciu^{28d}, A. Poppleton³², S. Pospisil¹³⁰, K. Potamianos¹⁶, I.N. Potrap⁶⁸, C.J. Potter³⁰, G. Poulard³², T. Poulsen⁸⁴, J. Poveda³², M.E. Pozo Astigarraga³², P. Pralavorio⁸⁸, A. Pranko¹⁶, S. Prell⁶⁷, D. Price⁸⁷, M. Primavera^{76a}, S. Prince⁹⁰, N. Proklova¹⁰⁰, K. Prokofiev^{62c}, F. Prokoshin^{34b}, S. Protopopescu²⁷, J. Proudfoot⁶, M. Przybycien^{41a}, A. Puri¹⁶⁹, P. Puzo¹¹⁹, J. Qian⁹², G. Qin⁵⁶, Y. Qin⁸⁷, A. Quadt⁵⁷, M. Queitsch-Maitland⁴⁵, D. Quilty⁵⁶, S. Raddum¹²¹, V. Radeka²⁷, V. Radescu¹²², S.K. Radhakrishnan¹⁵⁰, P. Radloff¹¹⁸, P. Rados⁹¹, F. Ragusa^{94a,94b}, G. Rahal¹⁸¹, J.A. Raine⁸⁷, S. Rajagopalan²⁷, C. Rangel-Smith¹⁶⁸, T. Rashid¹¹⁹, S. Raspopov⁵, M.G. Ratti^{94a,94b}, D.M. Rauch⁴⁵, F. Rauscher¹⁰², S. Rave⁸⁶, I. Ravinovich¹⁷⁵, J.H. Rawling⁸⁷, M. Raymond³², A.L. Read¹²¹, N.P. Readioff⁵⁸, M. Reale^{76a,76b}, D.M. Rebuffi^{123a,123b}, A. Redelbach¹⁷⁷, G. Redlinger²⁷, R. Reece¹³⁹, R.G. Reed^{147c}, K. Reeves⁴⁴, L. Rehnisch¹⁷, J. Reichert¹²⁴, A. Reiss⁸⁶, C. Rembser³², H. Ren^{35a,35d}, M. Rescigno^{134a}, S. Resconi^{94a}, E.D. Resseguie¹²⁴, S. Rettie¹⁷¹, E. Reynolds¹⁹, O.L. Rezanova^{111,c}, P. Reznicek¹³¹, R. Rezvani⁹⁷, R. Richter¹⁰³, S. Richter⁸¹, E. Richter-Was^{41b}, O. Ricken²³, M. Ridel⁸³, P. Rieck¹⁰³, C.J. Riegel¹⁷⁸, J. Rieger⁵⁷, O. Rifki¹¹⁵, M. Rijssenbeek¹⁵⁰, A. Rimoldi^{123a,123b}, M. Rimoldi¹⁸, L. Rinaldi^{22a}, G. Ripellino¹⁴⁹, B. Ristić³², E. Ritsch³², I. Riu¹³, F. Rizatdinova¹¹⁶, E. Rizvi⁷⁹, C. Rizzi¹³, R.T. Roberts⁸⁷, S.H. Robertson^{90,o}, A. Robichaud-Veronneau⁹⁰, D. Robinson³⁰, J.E.M. Robinson⁴⁵, A. Robson⁵⁶, E. Rocco⁸⁶, C. Roda^{126a,126b}, Y. Rodina^{88,an}, S. Rodriguez Bosca¹⁷⁰, A. Rodriguez Perez¹³, D. Rodriguez Rodriguez¹⁷⁰, S. Roe³², C.S. Rogan⁵⁹, O. Røhne¹²¹, J. Roloff⁵⁹, A. Romaniouk¹⁰⁰, M. Romano^{22a,22b}, S.M. Romano Saez³⁷, E. Romero Adam¹⁷⁰, N. Rompotis⁷⁷, M. Ronzani⁵¹, L. Roos⁸³, S. Rosati^{134a}, K. Rosbach⁵¹, P. Rose¹³⁹, N.-A. Rosien⁵⁷, E. Rossi^{106a,106b}, L.P. Rossi^{53a}, J.H.N. Rosten³⁰, R. Rosten¹⁴⁰, M. Rotaru^{28b}, J. Rothberg¹⁴⁰, D. Rousseau¹¹⁹, A. Rozanov⁸⁸, Y. Rozen¹⁵⁴, X. Ruan^{147c}, F. Rubbo¹⁴⁵, F. Rühr⁵¹, A. Ruiz-Martinez³¹, Z. Rurikova⁵¹, N.A. Rusakovich⁶⁸, H.L. Russell⁹⁰, J.P. Rutherford⁷, N. Ruthmann³², Y.F. Ryabov¹²⁵, M. Rybar¹⁶⁹, G. Rybkin¹¹⁹, S. Ryu⁶, A. Ryzhov¹³², G.F. Rzehorz⁵⁷, A.F. Saavedra¹⁵²,

G. Sabato¹⁰⁹, S. Sacerdoti²⁹, H.F.W. Sadrozinski¹³⁹, R. Sadykov⁶⁸, F. Safai Tehrani^{134a}, P. Saha¹¹⁰, M. Sahinsoy^{60a}, M. Saimpert⁴⁵, M. Saito¹⁵⁷, T. Saito¹⁵⁷, H. Sakamoto¹⁵⁷, Y. Sakurai¹⁷⁴, G. Salamanna^{136a,136b}, J.E. Salazar Loyola^{34b}, D. Salek¹⁰⁹, P.H. Sales De Bruin¹⁶⁸, D. Salihagic¹⁰³, A. Salnikov¹⁴⁵, J. Salt¹⁷⁰, D. Salvatore^{40a,40b}, F. Salvatore¹⁵¹, A. Salvucci^{62a,62b,62c}, A. Salzburger³², D. Sammel⁵¹, D. Sampsonidis¹⁵⁶, D. Sampsonidou¹⁵⁶, J. Sánchez¹⁷⁰, V. Sanchez Martinez¹⁷⁰, A. Sanchez Pineda^{167a,167c}, H. Sandaker¹²¹, R.L. Sandbach⁷⁹, C.O. Sander⁴⁵, M. Sandhoff¹⁷⁸, C. Sandoval²¹, D.P.C. Sankey¹³³, M. Sannino^{53a,53b}, Y. Sano¹⁰⁵, A. Sansoni⁵⁰, C. Santoni³⁷, H. Santos^{128a}, I. Santoyo Castillo¹⁵¹, A. Saproinov⁶⁸, J.G. Saraiva^{128a,128d}, B. Sarrazin²³, O. Sasaki⁶⁹, K. Sato¹⁶⁴, E. Sauvan⁵, G. Savage⁸⁰, P. Savard^{161,d}, N. Savic¹⁰³, R. Sawada¹⁵⁷, C. Sawyer¹³³, L. Sawyer^{82,u}, J. Saxon³³, C. Sbarra^{22a}, A. Sbrizzi^{22a,22b}, T. Scanlon⁸¹, D.A. Scannicchio¹⁶⁶, M. Scarcella¹⁵², J. Schaarschmidt¹⁴⁰, P. Schacht¹⁰³, B.M. Schachtner¹⁰², D. Schaefer³², L. Schaefer¹²⁴, R. Schaefer⁴⁵, J. Schaeffer⁸⁶, S. Schaepe²³, S. Schaetzel^{60b}, U. Schäfer⁸⁶, A.C. Schaffer¹¹⁹, D. Schaile¹⁰², R.D. Schamberger¹⁵⁰, V.A. Schegelsky¹²⁵, D. Scheirich¹³¹, M. Schernau¹⁶⁶, C. Schiavi^{53a,53b}, S. Schier¹³⁹, L.K. Schildgen²³, C. Schillo⁵¹, M. Schioppa^{40a,40b}, S. Schlenker³², K.R. Schmidt-Sommerfeld¹⁰³, K. Schmieden³², C. Schmitt⁸⁶, S. Schmitt⁴⁵, S. Schmitz⁸⁶, U. Schnoor⁵¹, L. Schoeffel¹³⁸, A. Schoening^{60b}, B.D. Schoenrock⁹³, E. Schopf²³, M. Schott⁸⁶, J.F.P. Schouwenberg¹⁰⁸, J. Schovancova³², S. Schramm⁵², N. Schuh⁸⁶, A. Schulte⁸⁶, M.J. Schultens²³, H.-C. Schultz-Coulon^{60a}, H. Schulz¹⁷, M. Schumacher⁵¹, B.A. Schumm¹³⁹, Ph. Schune¹³⁸, A. Schwartzman¹⁴⁵, T.A. Schwarz⁹², H. Schweiger⁸⁷, Ph. Schwemling¹³⁸, R. Schwienhorst⁹³, J. Schwindling¹³⁸, A. Sciandra²³, G. Sciolla²⁵, M. Scornajenghi^{40a,40b}, F. Scuri^{126a,126b}, F. Scutti⁹¹, J. Searcy⁹², P. Seema²³, S.C. Seidel¹⁰⁷, A. Seiden¹³⁹, J.M. Seixas^{26a}, G. Sekhniaidze^{106a}, K. Sekhon⁹², S.J. Sekula⁴³, N. Semprini-Cesari^{22a,22b}, S. Senkin³⁷, C. Serfon¹²¹, L. Serin¹¹⁹, L. Serkin^{167a,167b}, M. Sessa^{136a,136b}, R. Seuster¹⁷², H. Severini¹¹⁵, T. Sfiligoj⁷⁸, F. Sforza³², A. Sfyrila⁵², E. Shabalina⁵⁷, N.W. Shaikh^{148a,148b}, L.Y. Shan^{35a}, R. Shang¹⁶⁹, J.T. Shank²⁴, M. Shapiro¹⁶, P.B. Shatalov⁹⁹, K. Shaw^{167a,167b}, S.M. Shaw⁸⁷, A. Shcherbakova^{148a,148b}, C.Y. Shehu¹⁵¹, Y. Shen¹¹⁵, N. Sherafati³¹, P. Sherwood⁸¹, L. Shi^{153,ao}, S. Shimizu⁷⁰, C.O. Shimmin¹⁷⁹, M. Shimojima¹⁰⁴, I.P.J. Shipsey¹²², S. Shirabe⁷³, M. Shiyakova^{68,ap}, J. Shlomi¹⁷⁵, A. Shmeleva⁹⁸, D. Shoaleh Saadi⁹⁷, M.J. Shochet³³, S. Shojaii^{94a}, D.R. Shope¹¹⁵, S. Shrestha¹¹³, E. Shulga¹⁰⁰, M.A. Shupe⁷, P. Sicho¹²⁹, A.M. Sickles¹⁶⁹, P.E. Sidebo¹⁴⁹, E. Sideras Haddad^{147c}, O. Sidiropoulou¹⁷⁷, A. Sidoti^{22a,22b}, F. Siegert⁴⁷, Dj. Sijacki¹⁴, J. Silva^{128a,128d}, S.B. Silverstein^{148a}, V. Simak¹³⁰, L. Simic¹⁴, S. Simion¹¹⁹, E. Simioni⁸⁶, B. Simmons⁸¹, M. Simon⁸⁶, P. Sinervo¹⁶¹, N.B. Sinev¹¹⁸, M. Sioli^{22a,22b}, G. Siragusa¹⁷⁷, I. Siral⁹², S.Yu. Sivoklokov¹⁰¹, J. Sjölin^{148a,148b}, M.B. Skinner⁷⁵, P. Skubic¹¹⁵, M. Slater¹⁹, T. Slavicek¹³⁰, M. Slawinska⁴², K. Sliwa¹⁶⁵, R. Slovak¹³¹, V. Smakhtin¹⁷⁵, B.H. Smart⁵, J. Smiesko^{146a}, N. Smirnov¹⁰⁰, S.Yu. Smirnov¹⁰⁰, Y. Smirnov¹⁰⁰, L.N. Smirnova^{101,aq}, O. Smirnova⁸⁴, J.W. Smith⁵⁷, M.N.K. Smith³⁸, R.W. Smith³⁸, M. Smizanska⁷⁵, K. Smolek¹³⁰, A.A. Snesarev⁹⁸, I.M. Snyder¹¹⁸, S. Snyder²⁷, R. Sobie^{172,o}, F. Socher⁴⁷, A. Soffer¹⁵⁵, A. Sogaard⁴⁹, D.A. Soh¹⁵³, G. Sokhranyii⁷⁸, C.A. Solans Sanchez³², M. Solar¹³⁰, E.Yu. Soldatov¹⁰⁰, U. Soldevila¹⁷⁰, A.A. Solodkov¹³², A. Soloshenko⁶⁸, O.V. Solovyanov¹³², V. Solovyev¹²⁵, P. Sommer⁵¹, H. Son¹⁶⁵, A. Sopczak¹³⁰, D. Sosa^{60b}, C.L. Sotiropoulou^{126a,126b}, R. Soualah^{167a,167c}, A.M. Soukharev^{111,c}, D. South⁴⁵, B.C. Sowden⁸⁰, S. Spagnolo^{76a,76b}, M. Spalla^{126a,126b}, M. Spangenberg¹⁷³, F. Spanò⁸⁰, D. Sperlich¹⁷, F. Spettel¹⁰³, T.M. Spieker^{60a}, R. Spighi^{22a}, G. Spigo³², L.A. Spiller⁹¹, M. Spousta¹³¹, R.D. St. Denis^{56,*}, A. Stabile^{94a}, R. Stamen^{60a}, S. Stamm¹⁷, E. Stanecka⁴², R.W. Stanek⁶, C. Stanescu^{136a}, M.M. Stanitzki⁴⁵, B.S. Stapf¹⁰⁹, S. Stapnes¹²¹, E.A. Starchenko¹³², G.H. Stark³³, J. Stark⁵⁸, S.H. Stark³⁹, P. Staroba¹²⁹, P. Starovoitov^{60a}, S. Stärz³², R. Staszewski⁴², P. Steinberg²⁷, B. Stelzer¹⁴⁴, H.J. Stelzer³², O. Stelzer-Chilton^{163a},

H. Stenzel⁵⁵, G.A. Stewart⁵⁶, M.C. Stockton¹¹⁸, M. Stoebe⁹⁰, G. Stoicea^{28b}, P. Stolte⁵⁷,
 S. Stonjek¹⁰³, A.R. Stradling⁸, A. Straessner⁴⁷, M.E. Stramaglia¹⁸, J. Strandberg¹⁴⁹,
 S. Strandberg^{148a,148b}, M. Strauss¹¹⁵, P. Strizenec^{146b}, R. Ströhmer¹⁷⁷, D.M. Strom¹¹⁸,
 R. Stroynowski⁴³, A. Strubig⁴⁹, S.A. Stucci²⁷, B. Stugu¹⁵, N.A. Styles⁴⁵, D. Su¹⁴⁵, J. Su¹²⁷,
 S. Suchek^{60a}, Y. Sugaya¹²⁰, M. Suk¹³⁰, V.V. Sulin⁹⁸, DMS Sultan^{162a,162b}, S. Sultansoy^{4c},
 T. Sumida⁷¹, S. Sun⁵⁹, X. Sun³, K. Suruliz¹⁵¹, C.J.E. Suster¹⁵², M.R. Sutton¹⁵¹, S. Suzuki⁶⁹,
 M. Svatos¹²⁹, M. Swiatlowski³³, S.P. Swift², I. Sykora^{146a}, T. Sykora¹³¹, D. Ta⁵¹,
 K. Tackmann⁴⁵, J. Taenzer¹⁵⁵, A. Taffard¹⁶⁶, R. Tafirout^{163a}, N. Taiblum¹⁵⁵, H. Takai²⁷,
 R. Takashima⁷², E.H. Takasugi¹⁰³, T. Takeshita¹⁴², Y. Takubo⁶⁹, M. Talby⁸⁸, A.A. Talyshev^{111,c},
 J. Tanaka¹⁵⁷, M. Tanaka¹⁵⁹, R. Tanaka¹¹⁹, S. Tanaka⁶⁹, R. Tanioka⁷⁰, B.B. Tannenwald¹¹³,
 S. Tapia Araya^{34b}, S. Tapprogge⁸⁶, S. Tarem¹⁵⁴, G.F. Tartarelli^{94a}, P. Tas¹³¹, M. Tasevsky¹²⁹,
 T. Tashiro⁷¹, E. Tassi^{40a,40b}, A. Tavares Delgado^{128a,128b}, Y. Tayalati^{137e}, A.C. Taylor¹⁰⁷,
 G.N. Taylor⁹¹, P.T.E. Taylor⁹¹, W. Taylor^{163b}, P. Teixeira-Dias⁸⁰, D. Temple¹⁴⁴, H. Ten Kate³²,
 P.K. Teng¹⁵³, J.J. Teoh¹²⁰, F. Tepel¹⁷⁸, S. Terada⁶⁹, K. Terashi¹⁵⁷, J. Terron⁸⁵, S. Terzo¹³,
 M. Testa⁵⁰, R.J. Teuscher^{161,o}, T. Theveneaux-Pelzer⁸⁸, F. Thiele³⁹, J.P. Thomas¹⁹,
 J. Thomas-Wilsker⁸⁰, P.D. Thompson¹⁹, A.S. Thompson⁵⁶, L.A. Thomsen¹⁷⁹, E. Thomson¹²⁴,
 M.J. Tibbetts¹⁶, R.E. Ticse Torres⁸⁸, V.O. Tikhomirov^{98,ar}, Yu.A. Tikhonov^{111,c},
 S. Timoshenko¹⁰⁰, P. Tipton¹⁷⁹, S. Tisserant⁸⁸, K. Todome¹⁵⁹, S. Todorova-Nova⁵, S. Todt⁴⁷,
 J. Tojo⁷³, S. Tokár^{146a}, K. Tokushuku⁶⁹, E. Tolley¹¹³, L. Tomlinson⁸⁷, M. Tomoto¹⁰⁵,
 L. Tompkins^{145,as}, K. Toms¹⁰⁷, B. Tong⁵⁹, P. Tornambe⁵¹, E. Torrence¹¹⁸, H. Torres¹⁴⁴,
 E. Torró Pastor¹⁴⁰, J. Toth^{88,at}, F. Touchard⁸⁸, D.R. Tovey¹⁴¹, C.J. Treado¹¹², T. Trefzger¹⁷⁷,
 F. Tresoldi¹⁵¹, A. Tricoli²⁷, I.M. Trigger^{163a}, S. Trincaz-Duvoid⁸³, M.F. Tripiana¹³,
 W. Trischuk¹⁶¹, B. Trocme⁵⁸, A. Trofymov⁴⁵, C. Troncon^{94a}, M. Trottier-McDonald¹⁶,
 M. Trovatelli¹⁷², L. Truong^{147b}, M. Trzebinski⁴², A. Trzupek⁴², K.W. Tsang^{62a}, J.C-L. Tseng¹²²,
 P.V. Tsiareshka⁹⁵, G. Tsipolitis¹⁰, N. Tsirintanis⁹, S. Tsiskaridze¹³, V. Tsiskaridze⁵¹,
 E.G. Tskhadadze^{54a}, K.M. Tsui^{62a}, I.I. Tsukerman⁹⁹, V. Tsulaia¹⁶, S. Tsuno⁶⁹, D. Tsybychev¹⁵⁰,
 Y. Tu^{62b}, A. Tudorache^{28b}, V. Tudorache^{28b}, T.T. Tulbure^{28a}, A.N. Tuna⁵⁹, S.A. Tuppuri^{22a,22b},
 S. Turchikhin⁶⁸, D. Turgeman¹⁷⁵, I. Turk Cakir^{4b,au}, R. Turra^{94a}, P.M. Tuts³⁸,
 G. Uccielli^{22a,22b}, I. Ueda⁶⁹, M. Ughetto^{148a,148b}, F. Ukegawa¹⁶⁴, G. Unal³², A. Undrus²⁷,
 G. Unel¹⁶⁶, F.C. Ungaro⁹¹, Y. Unno⁶⁹, C. Unverdorben¹⁰², J. Urban^{146b}, P. Urquijo⁹¹,
 P. Urrejola⁸⁶, G. Usai⁸, J. Usui⁶⁹, L. Vacavant⁸⁸, V. Vacek¹³⁰, B. Vachon⁹⁰, K.O.H. Vadla¹²¹,
 A. Vaidya⁸¹, C. Valderanis¹⁰², E. Valdes Santurio^{148a,148b}, S. Valentineti^{22a,22b}, A. Valero¹⁷⁰,
 L. Valéry¹³, S. Valkar¹³¹, A. Vallier⁵, J.A. Valls Ferrer¹⁷⁰, W. Van Den Wollenberg¹⁰⁹,
 H. van der Graaf¹⁰⁹, P. van Gemmeren⁶, J. Van Nieuwkoop¹⁴⁴, I. van Vulpen¹⁰⁹,
 M.C. van Woerden¹⁰⁹, M. Vanadia^{135a,135b}, W. Vandelli³², A. Vaniachine¹⁶⁰, P. Vankov¹⁰⁹,
 G. Vardanyan¹⁸⁰, R. Vari^{134a}, E.W. Varnes⁷, C. Varni^{53a,53b}, T. Varol⁴³, D. Varouchas¹¹⁹,
 A. Vartapetian⁸, K.E. Varvell¹⁵², J.G. Vasquez¹⁷⁹, G.A. Vasquez^{34b}, F. Vazeille³⁷,
 T. Vazquez Schroeder⁹⁰, J. Veatch⁵⁷, V. Veeraraghavan⁷, L.M. Veloce¹⁶¹, F. Veloso^{128a,128c},
 S. Veneziano^{134a}, A. Ventura^{76a,76b}, M. Venturi¹⁷², N. Venturi³², A. Venturini²⁵, V. Vercesi^{123a},
 M. Verducci^{136a,136b}, W. Verkerke¹⁰⁹, A.T. Vermeulen¹⁰⁹, J.C. Vermeulen¹⁰⁹, M.C. Vetterli^{144,d},
 N. Viaux Maira^{34b}, O. Viazlo⁸⁴, I. Vichou^{169,*}, T. Vickey¹⁴¹, O.E. Vickey Boeriu¹⁴¹,
 G.H.A. Viehhauser¹²², S. Viel¹⁶, L. Vignani¹²², M. Villa^{22a,22b}, M. Villaplana Perez^{94a,94b},
 E. Vilucchi⁵⁰, M.G. Vincter³¹, V.B. Vinogradov⁶⁸, A. Vishwakarma⁴⁵, C. Vittori^{22a,22b},
 I. Vivarelli¹⁵¹, S. Vlachos¹⁰, M. Vogel¹⁷⁸, P. Vokac¹³⁰, G. Volpi^{126a,126b}, H. von der Schmitt¹⁰³,
 E. von Toerne²³, V. Vorobel¹³¹, K. Vorobev¹⁰⁰, M. Vos¹⁷⁰, R. Voss³², J.H. Vossebeld⁷⁷,
 N. Vranjes¹⁴, M. Vranjes Milosavljevic¹⁴, V. Vrba¹³⁰, M. Vreeswijk¹⁰⁹, R. Vuillermet³²,
 I. Vukotic³³, P. Wagner²³, W. Wagner¹⁷⁸, J. Wagner-Kuhr¹⁰², H. Wahlberg⁷⁴, S. Wahrenmund⁴⁷,
 J. Wakabayashi¹⁰⁵, J. Walder⁷⁵, R. Walker¹⁰², W. Walkowiak¹⁴³, V. Wallangen^{148a,148b},

C. Wang^{35b}, C. Wang^{36b,av}, F. Wang¹⁷⁶, H. Wang¹⁶, H. Wang³, J. Wang⁴⁵, J. Wang¹⁵²,
 Q. Wang¹¹⁵, R. Wang⁶, S.M. Wang¹⁵³, T. Wang³⁸, W. Wang^{153,aw}, W. Wang^{36a,ax}, Z. Wang^{36c},
 C. Wanotayaroj¹¹⁸, A. Warburton⁹⁰, C.P. Ward³⁰, D.R. Wardrope⁸¹, A. Washbrook⁴⁹,
 P.M. Watkins¹⁹, A.T. Watson¹⁹, M.F. Watson¹⁹, G. Watts¹⁴⁰, S. Watts⁸⁷, B.M. Waugh⁸¹,
 A.F. Webb¹¹, S. Webb⁸⁶, M.S. Weber¹⁸, S.W. Weber¹⁷⁷, S.A. Weber³¹, J.S. Webster⁶,
 A.R. Weidberg¹²², B. Weinert⁶⁴, J. Weingarten⁵⁷, M. Weirich⁸⁶, C. Weiser⁵¹, H. Weits¹⁰⁹,
 P.S. Wells³², T. Wenaus²⁷, T. Wengler³², S. Wenig³², N. Wermes²³, M.D. Werner⁶⁷, P. Werner³²,
 M. Wessels^{60a}, K. Whalen¹¹⁸, N.L. Whallon¹⁴⁰, A.M. Wharton⁷⁵, A.S. White⁹², A. White⁸,
 M.J. White¹, R. White^{34b}, D. Whiteson¹⁶⁶, B.W. Whitmore⁷⁵, F.J. Wickens¹³³,
 W. Wiedenmann¹⁷⁶, M. Wielers¹³³, C. Wiglesworth³⁹, L.A.M. Wiik-Fuchs⁵¹, A. Wildauer¹⁰³,
 F. Wilk⁸⁷, H.G. Wilkens³², H.H. Williams¹²⁴, S. Williams¹⁰⁹, C. Willis⁹³, S. Willocq⁸⁹,
 J.A. Wilson¹⁹, I. Wingerter-Seez⁵, E. Winkels¹⁵¹, F. Winklmeier¹¹⁸, O.J. Winston¹⁵¹,
 B.T. Winter²³, M. Wittgen¹⁴⁵, M. Wobisch^{82,u}, T.M.H. Wolf¹⁰⁹, R. Wolff⁸⁸, M.W. Wolter⁴²,
 H. Wolters^{128a,128c}, V.W.S. Wong¹⁷¹, S.D. Worm¹⁹, B.K. Wosiek⁴², J. Wotschack³²,
 K.W. Wozniak⁴², M. Wu³³, S.L. Wu¹⁷⁶, X. Wu⁵², Y. Wu⁹², T.R. Wyatt⁸⁷, B.M. Wynne⁴⁹,
 S. Xella³⁹, Z. Xi⁹², L. Xia^{35c}, D. Xu^{35a}, L. Xu²⁷, T. Xu¹³⁸, B. Yabsley¹⁵², S. Yacoub^{147a},
 D. Yamaguchi¹⁵⁹, Y. Yamaguchi¹²⁰, A. Yamamoto⁶⁹, S. Yamamoto¹⁵⁷, T. Yamanaka¹⁵⁷,
 M. Yamatani¹⁵⁷, K. Yamauchi¹⁰⁵, Y. Yamazaki⁷⁰, Z. Yan²⁴, H. Yang^{36c}, H. Yang¹⁶, Y. Yang¹⁵³,
 Z. Yang¹⁵, W-M. Yao¹⁶, Y.C. Yap⁸³, Y. Yasu⁶⁹, E. Yatsenko⁵, K.H. Yau Wong²³, J. Ye⁴³,
 S. Ye²⁷, I. Yeletsikh⁶⁸, E. Yigitbasi²⁴, E. Yildirim⁸⁶, K. Yorita¹⁷⁴, K. Yoshihara¹²⁴,
 C. Young¹⁴⁵, C.J.S. Young³², J. Yu⁸, J. Yu⁶⁷, S.P.Y. Yuen²³, I. Yusuff^{30,ay}, B. Zabinski⁴²,
 G. Zacharis¹⁰, R. Zaidan¹³, A.M. Zaitsev^{132,al}, N. Zakharchuk⁴⁵, J. Zalieckas¹⁵, A. Zaman¹⁵⁰,
 S. Zambito⁵⁹, D. Zanzi⁹¹, C. Zeitnitz¹⁷⁸, G. Zemaityte¹²², A. Zemla^{41a}, J.C. Zeng¹⁶⁹, Q. Zeng¹⁴⁵,
 O. Zenin¹³², T. Ženiš^{146a}, D. Zerwas¹¹⁹, D. Zhang⁹², F. Zhang¹⁷⁶, G. Zhang^{36a,ax}, H. Zhang^{35b},
 J. Zhang⁶, L. Zhang⁵¹, L. Zhang^{36a}, M. Zhang¹⁶⁹, P. Zhang^{35b}, R. Zhang²³, R. Zhang^{36a,av},
 X. Zhang^{36b}, Y. Zhang^{35a,35d}, Z. Zhang¹¹⁹, X. Zhao⁴³, Y. Zhao^{36b,az}, Z. Zhao^{36a},
 A. Zhemchugov⁶⁸, B. Zhou⁹², C. Zhou¹⁷⁶, L. Zhou⁴³, M. Zhou^{35a,35d}, M. Zhou¹⁵⁰, N. Zhou^{35c},
 C.G. Zhu^{36b}, H. Zhu^{35a}, J. Zhu⁹², Y. Zhu^{36a}, X. Zhuang^{35a}, K. Zhukov⁹⁸, A. Zibell¹⁷⁷,
 D. Zieminska⁶⁴, N.I. Zimine⁶⁸, C. Zimmermann⁸⁶, S. Zimmermann⁵¹, Z. Zinonos¹⁰³, M. Zinser⁸⁶,
 M. Ziolkowski¹⁴³, L. Živković¹⁴, G. Zoernig¹⁷⁶, A. Zoccoli^{22a,22b}, R. Zou³³, M. zur Nedden¹⁷,
 L. Zwalinski³².

¹ Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia

² Physics Department, SUNY Albany, Albany NY, United States of America

³ Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton AB, Canada

⁴ ^(a) Department of Physics, Ankara University, Ankara; ^(b) Istanbul Aydin University, Istanbul; ^(c) Division of Physics, TOBB University of Economics and Technology, Ankara, Turkey

⁵ LAPP, CNRS/IN2P3 and Université Savoie Mont Blanc, Annecy-le-Vieux, France

⁶ High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne IL, United States of America

⁷ Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ, United States of America

⁸ Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington TX, United States of America

⁹ Physics Department, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

¹⁰ Physics Department, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou, Greece

¹¹ Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin TX, United States of America

¹² Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan

¹³ Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona, Spain

¹⁴ Institute of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

¹⁵ Department for Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

- ¹⁶ *Physics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley CA, United States of America*
- ¹⁷ *Department of Physics, Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany*
- ¹⁸ *Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics and Laboratory for High Energy Physics, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland*
- ¹⁹ *School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom*
- ²⁰ ^(a) *Department of Physics, Bogazici University, Istanbul;* ^(b) *Department of Physics Engineering, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep;* ^(d) *Istanbul Bilgi University, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Istanbul;* ^(e) *Bahcesehir University, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Istanbul, Turkey*
- ²¹ *Centro de Investigaciones, Universidad Antonio Narino, Bogota, Colombia*
- ²² ^(a) *INFN Sezione di Bologna;* ^(b) *Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
- ²³ *Physikalisches Institut, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany*
- ²⁴ *Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston MA, United States of America*
- ²⁵ *Department of Physics, Brandeis University, Waltham MA, United States of America*
- ²⁶ ^(a) *Universidade Federal do Rio De Janeiro COPPE/EE/IF, Rio de Janeiro;* ^(b) *Electrical Circuits Department, Federal University of Juiz de Fora (UFJF), Juiz de Fora;* ^(c) *Federal University of Sao Joao del Rei (UFSJ), Sao Joao del Rei;* ^(d) *Instituto de Fisica, Universidade de Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil*
- ²⁷ *Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton NY, United States of America*
- ²⁸ ^(a) *Transilvania University of Brasov, Brasov;* ^(b) *Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest;* ^(c) *Department of Physics, Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi, Iasi;* ^(d) *National Institute for Research and Development of Isotopic and Molecular Technologies, Physics Department, Cluj Napoca;* ^(e) *University Politehnica Bucharest, Bucharest;* ^(f) *West University in Timisoara, Timisoara, Romania*
- ²⁹ *Departamento de Física, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina*
- ³⁰ *Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom*
- ³¹ *Department of Physics, Carleton University, Ottawa ON, Canada*
- ³² *CERN, Geneva, Switzerland*
- ³³ *Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago IL, United States of America*
- ³⁴ ^(a) *Departamento de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago;* ^(b) *Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile*
- ³⁵ ^(a) *Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing;* ^(b) *Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Jiangsu;* ^(c) *Physics Department, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084;* ^(d) *University of Chinese Academy of Science (UCAS), Beijing, China*
- ³⁶ ^(a) *Department of Modern Physics and State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics, University of Science and Technology of China, Anhui;* ^(b) *School of Physics, Shandong University, Shandong;* ^(c) *Department of Physics and Astronomy, Key Laboratory for Particle Physics, Astrophysics and Cosmology, Ministry of Education; Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai(also at PKU-CHEP), China*
- ³⁷ *Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France*
- ³⁸ *Nevis Laboratory, Columbia University, Irvington NY, United States of America*
- ³⁹ *Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Kobenhavn, Denmark*
- ⁴⁰ ^(a) *INFN Gruppo Collegato di Cosenza, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati;* ^(b) *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università della Calabria, Rende, Italy*
- ⁴¹ ^(a) *AGH University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Krakow;* ^(b) *Marian Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland*
- ⁴² *Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow, Poland*
- ⁴³ *Physics Department, Southern Methodist University, Dallas TX, United States of America*
- ⁴⁴ *Physics Department, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson TX, United States of America*
- ⁴⁵ *DESY, Hamburg and Zeuthen, Germany*

- 46 *Lehrstuhl für Experimentelle Physik IV, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany*
- 47 *Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany*
- 48 *Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham NC, United States of America*
- 49 *SUPA - School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom*
- 50 *INFN e Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy*
- 51 *Fakultät für Mathematik und Physik, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Freiburg, Germany*
- 52 *Departement de Physique Nucleaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Geneva, Switzerland*
- 53 ^(a) *INFN Sezione di Genova;* ^(b) *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova, Genova, Italy*
- 54 ^(a) *E. Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Iv. Javakhsishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi;* ^(b) *High Energy Physics Institute, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia*
- 55 *II Physikalisches Institut, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, Giessen, Germany*
- 56 *SUPA - School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom*
- 57 *II Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität, Göttingen, Germany*
- 58 *Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, Université Grenoble-Alpes, CNRS/IN2P3, Grenoble, France*
- 59 *Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Harvard University, Cambridge MA, United States of America*
- 60 ^(a) *Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg;* ^(b) *Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany*
- 61 *Faculty of Applied Information Science, Hiroshima Institute of Technology, Hiroshima, Japan*
- 62 ^(a) *Department of Physics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong;* ^(b) *Department of Physics, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong;* ^(c) *Department of Physics and Institute for Advanced Study, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China*
- 63 *Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan, Taiwan*
- 64 *Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington IN, United States of America*
- 65 *Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, Leopold-Franzens-Universität, Innsbruck, Austria*
- 66 *University of Iowa, Iowa City IA, United States of America*
- 67 *Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames IA, United States of America*
- 68 *Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, JINR Dubna, Dubna, Russia*
- 69 *KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Tsukuba, Japan*
- 70 *Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan*
- 71 *Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan*
- 72 *Kyoto University of Education, Kyoto, Japan*
- 73 *Research Center for Advanced Particle Physics and Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan*
- 74 *Instituto de Física La Plata, Universidad Nacional de La Plata and CONICET, La Plata, Argentina*
- 75 *Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom*
- 76 ^(a) *INFN Sezione di Lecce;* ^(b) *Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università del Salento, Lecce, Italy*
- 77 *Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom*
- 78 *Department of Experimental Particle Physics, Jožef Stefan Institute and Department of Physics, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia*
- 79 *School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom*
- 80 *Department of Physics, Royal Holloway University of London, Surrey, United Kingdom*
- 81 *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, London, United Kingdom*
- 82 *Louisiana Tech University, Ruston LA, United States of America*
- 83 *Laboratoire de Physique Nucléaire et de Hautes Energies, UPMC and Université Paris-Diderot and CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France*
- 84 *Fysiska institutionen, Lunds universitet, Lund, Sweden*
- 85 *Departamento de Física Teórica C-15, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain*
- 86 *Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz, Germany*

- 87 *School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom*
- 88 *CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France*
- 89 *Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA, United States of America*
- 90 *Department of Physics, McGill University, Montreal QC, Canada*
- 91 *School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia*
- 92 *Department of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor MI, United States of America*
- 93 *Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing MI, United States of America*
- 94 ^(a) *INFN Sezione di Milano;* ^(b) *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, Milano, Italy*
- 95 *B.I. Stepanov Institute of Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Minsk, Republic of Belarus*
- 96 *Research Institute for Nuclear Problems of Byelorussian State University, Minsk, Republic of Belarus*
- 97 *Group of Particle Physics, University of Montreal, Montreal QC, Canada*
- 98 *P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia*
- 99 *Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP), Moscow, Russia*
- 100 *National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow, Russia*
- 101 *D.V. Skobel'syn Institute of Nuclear Physics, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia*
- 102 *Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München, Germany*
- 103 *Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), München, Germany*
- 104 *Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki, Japan*
- 105 *Graduate School of Science and Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan*
- 106 ^(a) *INFN Sezione di Napoli;* ^(b) *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Napoli, Napoli, Italy*
- 107 *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque NM, United States of America*
- 108 *Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen, Netherlands*
- 109 *Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands*
- 110 *Department of Physics, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb IL, United States of America*
- 111 *Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, SB RAS, Novosibirsk, Russia*
- 112 *Department of Physics, New York University, New York NY, United States of America*
- 113 *Ohio State University, Columbus OH, United States of America*
- 114 *Faculty of Science, Okayama University, Okayama, Japan*
- 115 *Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, Norman OK, United States of America*
- 116 *Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater OK, United States of America*
- 117 *Palacký University, RCPTM, Olomouc, Czech Republic*
- 118 *Center for High Energy Physics, University of Oregon, Eugene OR, United States of America*
- 119 *LAL, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay, France*
- 120 *Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan*
- 121 *Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway*
- 122 *Department of Physics, Oxford University, Oxford, United Kingdom*
- 123 ^(a) *INFN Sezione di Pavia;* ^(b) *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy*
- 124 *Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia PA, United States of America*
- 125 *National Research Centre "Kurchatov Institute" B.P.Konstantinov Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia*
- 126 ^(a) *INFN Sezione di Pisa;* ^(b) *Dipartimento di Fisica E. Fermi, Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
- 127 *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh PA, United States of America*

- 128 (a) *Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP, Lisboa;* (b) *Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa;* (c) *Department of Physics, University of Coimbra, Coimbra;* (d) *Centro de Física Nuclear da Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa;* (e) *Departamento de Física, Universidade do Minho, Braga;* (f) *Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos, Universidad de Granada, Granada;* (g) *Dep Física and CEFITEC of Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Caparica, Portugal*
- 129 *Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Praha, Czech Republic*
- 130 *Czech Technical University in Prague, Praha, Czech Republic*
- 131 *Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Prague, Czech Republic*
- 132 *State Research Center Institute for High Energy Physics (Protvino), NRC KI, Russia*
- 133 *Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom*
- 134 (a) *INFN Sezione di Roma;* (b) *Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma, Italy*
- 135 (a) *INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata;* (b) *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy*
- 136 (a) *INFN Sezione di Roma Tre;* (b) *Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Roma Tre, Roma, Italy*
- 137 (a) *Faculté des Sciences Ain Chock, Réseau Universitaire de Physique des Hautes Energies - Université Hassan II, Casablanca;* (b) *Centre National de l'Energie des Sciences Techniques Nucleaires, Rabat;* (c) *Faculté des Sciences Semlalia, Université Cadi Ayyad, LPHEA-Marrakech;* (d) *Faculté des Sciences, Université Mohamed Premier and LPTPM, Oujda;* (e) *Faculté des sciences, Université Mohammed V, Rabat, Morocco*
- 138 *DSM/IRFU (Institut de Recherches sur les Lois Fondamentales de l'Univers), CEA Saclay (Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique et aux Energies Alternatives), Gif-sur-Yvette, France*
- 139 *Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz CA, United States of America*
- 140 *Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle WA, United States of America*
- 141 *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom*
- 142 *Department of Physics, Shinshu University, Nagano, Japan*
- 143 *Department Physik, Universität Siegen, Siegen, Germany*
- 144 *Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby BC, Canada*
- 145 *SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford CA, United States of America*
- 146 (a) *Faculty of Mathematics, Physics & Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava;* (b) *Department of Subnuclear Physics, Institute of Experimental Physics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Kosice, Slovak Republic*
- 147 (a) *Department of Physics, University of Cape Town, Cape Town;* (b) *Department of Physics, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg;* (c) *School of Physics, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa*
- 148 (a) *Department of Physics, Stockholm University;* (b) *The Oskar Klein Centre, Stockholm, Sweden*
- 149 *Physics Department, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden*
- 150 *Departments of Physics & Astronomy and Chemistry, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook NY, United States of America*
- 151 *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Brighton, United Kingdom*
- 152 *School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia*
- 153 *Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan*
- 154 *Department of Physics, Technion: Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel*
- 155 *Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel*
- 156 *Department of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece*
- 157 *International Center for Elementary Particle Physics and Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan*
- 158 *Graduate School of Science and Technology, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo, Japan*
- 159 *Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan*

- 160 *Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia*
- 161 *Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto ON, Canada*
- 162 ^(a) *INFN-TIFPA*; ^(b) *University of Trento, Trento, Italy*
- 163 ^(a) *TRIUMF, Vancouver BC*; ^(b) *Department of Physics and Astronomy, York University, Toronto ON, Canada*
- 164 *Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, and Center for Integrated Research in Fundamental Science and Engineering, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan*
- 165 *Department of Physics and Astronomy, Tufts University, Medford MA, United States of America*
- 166 *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California Irvine, Irvine CA, United States of America*
- 167 ^(a) *INFN Gruppo Collegato di Udine, Sezione di Trieste, Udine*; ^(b) *ICTP, Trieste*; ^(c) *Dipartimento di Chimica, Fisica e Ambiente, Università di Udine, Udine, Italy*
- 168 *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Uppsala, Uppsala, Sweden*
- 169 *Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana IL, United States of America*
- 170 *Instituto de Fisica Corpuscular (IFIC), Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia - CSIC, Spain*
- 171 *Department of Physics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver BC, Canada*
- 172 *Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria BC, Canada*
- 173 *Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom*
- 174 *Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan*
- 175 *Department of Particle Physics, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel*
- 176 *Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison WI, United States of America*
- 177 *Fakultät für Physik und Astronomie, Julius-Maximilians-Universität, Würzburg, Germany*
- 178 *Fakultät für Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften, Fachgruppe Physik, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany*
- 179 *Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven CT, United States of America*
- 180 *Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia*
- 181 *Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3), Villeurbanne, France*
- 182 *Academia Sinica Grid Computing, Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan*
- ^a *Also at Department of Physics, King's College London, London, United Kingdom*
- ^b *Also at Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan*
- ^c *Also at Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia*
- ^d *Also at TRIUMF, Vancouver BC, Canada*
- ^e *Also at Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, United States of America*
- ^f *Also at Physics Department, An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine*
- ^g *Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Fresno CA, United States of America*
- ^h *Also at Department of Physics, University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland*
- ⁱ *Also at II Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität, Göttingen, Germany*
- ^j *Also at Departament de Física de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain*
- ^k *Also at Departamento de Física e Astronomia, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, Portugal*
- ^l *Also at Tomsk State University, Tomsk, and Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology State University, Dolgoprudny, Russia*
- ^m *Also at The Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter (CICQM), Beijing, China*
- ⁿ *Also at Università di Napoli Parthenope, Napoli, Italy*
- ^o *Also at Institute of Particle Physics (IPP), Canada*
- ^p *Also at Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest, Romania*
- ^q *Also at Department of Physics, St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia*
- ^r *Also at Borough of Manhattan Community College, City University of New York, New York City, United States of America*

- ^s Also at Department of Financial and Management Engineering, University of the Aegean, Chios, Greece
- ^t Also at Centre for High Performance Computing, CSIR Campus, Rosebank, Cape Town, South Africa
- ^u Also at Louisiana Tech University, Ruston LA, United States of America
- ^v Also at Institutio Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avancats, ICREA, Barcelona, Spain
- ^w Also at Department of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor MI, United States of America
- ^x Also at Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan
- ^y Also at Fakultät für Mathematik und Physik, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Freiburg, Germany
- ^z Also at Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen, Netherlands
- ^{aa} Also at Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin TX, United States of America
- ^{ab} Also at Institute of Theoretical Physics, Iia State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
- ^{ac} Also at CERN, Geneva, Switzerland
- ^{ad} Also at Georgian Technical University (GTU), Tbilisi, Georgia
- ^{ae} Also at O Chadai Academic Production, Ochanomizu University, Tokyo, Japan
- ^{af} Also at Manhattan College, New York NY, United States of America
- ^{ag} Also at Departamento de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile
- ^{ah} Also at The City College of New York, New York NY, United States of America
- ^{ai} Also at School of Physics, Shandong University, Shandong, China
- ^{aj} Also at Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos, Universidad de Granada, Granada, Portugal
- ^{ak} Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Sacramento CA, United States of America
- ^{al} Also at Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology State University, Dolgoprudny, Russia
- ^{am} Also at Departement de Physique Nucleaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Geneva, Switzerland
- ^{an} Also at Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona, Spain
- ^{ao} Also at School of Physics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China
- ^{ap} Also at Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy (INRNE) of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria
- ^{aq} Also at Faculty of Physics, M.V.Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
- ^{ar} Also at National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow, Russia
- ^{as} Also at Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford CA, United States of America
- ^{at} Also at Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary
- ^{au} Also at Giresun University, Faculty of Engineering, Turkey
- ^{av} Also at CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France
- ^{aw} Also at Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Jiangsu, China
- ^{ax} Also at Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan
- ^{ay} Also at University of Malaya, Department of Physics, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
- ^{az} Also at LAL, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay, France
- * Deceased