UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of *Investigating the function of prehistoric stone bowls and griddle stones in the Aleutian Islands by lipid residue analysis*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/133427/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Admiraal, Marjolein orcid.org/0000-0002-9830-8032, Lucquin, Alexandre Jules Andre orcid.org/0000-0003-4892-6323, Von Tersch, Matthew et al. (2 more authors) (2018) Investigating the function of prehistoric stone bowls and griddle stones in the Aleutian Islands by lipid residue analysis. QUATERNARY RESEARCH. ISSN 0033-5894

https://doi.org/10.1017/qua.2018.31

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

- 1 Title:
- 2 Investigating the function of prehistoric stone bowls and griddle stones in the Aleutian Islands by lipid
- 3 residue analysis
- 4

5 Authors:

- 6 Marjolein Admiraal^a
- 7 Alexandre Lucquin^b
- 8 Matthew von Tersch^b
- 9 Peter D. Jordan^a
- 10 Oliver E. Craig^b
- 11
- ^a Arctic Centre, University of Groningen, Aweg 30, 9718 CW Groningen, the Netherlands.
- 13 ^bBioArCh, University of York, Wentworth Way, YO10 5DD, York, United Kingdom.

14 ABSTRACT

15

16 The earliest durable cooking technologies found in Alaska are stone bowls and griddle stones recovered 17 from the Aleutian Islands. This paper aims to identify the function of these artefacts. Molecular and 18 chemical analysis of carbonised residues found on their surfaces confirm that these artefacts were used 19 to process marine resources. Both artefacts have high lipid content and C:N ratios, suggesting they were 20 used to process oily substances. Stable isotope results of individual lipids suggest that they were used to 21 process different sets of resources within the aquatic spectrum as griddle stones have slightly more ¹³C 22 depleted lipids than stone bowls, possibly indicating more variable use. Integration of these results with 23 archaeological and ethnographic data lead us to infer that griddle stones were used for the cooking of a 24 diversity of aquatic resources, possibly with the addition of plant foods, whereas stone bowls were 25 specifically used to render marine mammal fats. We further hypothesize that a sudden peak in stone bowl 26 frequencies at 4000-3000 cal yr BP was connected to a Neoglacial cold spell bringing sea-ice conditions 27 to the Aleutian Islands. This may have led to new subsistence strategies in which the rendering of marine 28 mammal fats played a central role.

29

Keywords: durable container technologies, Aleutian Islands, stone bowls, griddle stones, oil rendering,
 cooking, organic residue analysis, lipids, compound specific isotopes, maritime adaptation, Neoglacial
 32

33 INTRODUCTION

34

The use of durable container technologies in prehistory has often been connected to increasingly sedentary lifestyles, generally linked to agriculture. But it was not only the introduction of farming that led people to stay in one place. Seasonal abundance of aquatic resources in specific parts of the landscape can also facilitate increasing sedentism (Jordan and Zvelebil, 2009). The sub-Arctic Aleutian Islands are an ecological hotspot where early sedentism occurred based on the year-round abundance of marine resources. Through exploiting marine mammals and fish the Aleutian tradition grew out to become "…one of the world's most highly specialized and successful maritime hunter-gatherer adaptations" (Corbett and Yarborough, 2016: p.607). Terrestrial resources were scarce with only a limited range of plant and
terrestrial animals available. However, birds such as ptarmigan and waterfowl were abundant.
Nevertheless, people focused their main efforts on the sea.

45

46 Heavy stone vessels such as bowls and flat cooking stones known as griddle stones were a technology 47 central to this subsistence economy. The procurement, manufacture and maintenance of these tools 48 required investment of time and effort (Jeanotte et al., 2012). But despite their apparent importance, the 49 function of these artefacts remains unclear. Carbonised deposits on griddle stone surfaces, bowl rims and 50 exteriors hint at the use of these artefacts as food processing tools using direct heating methods. Knecht 51 et al. (2001: p.49) and Knecht and Davis (2008: p.73) suggested that stone bowls were used for the hot 52 rendering of sea mammal oil, one of the most important commodities in the life of Northern peoples. 53 However, this has never been tested. Little is known regarding the use of griddle stones although it has 54 been suggested that they were used for cooking sea food (Jeanotte et al., 2012).

55

In this paper we aim to identify the function of stone bowls and griddle stones. The organic residues preserved on these artefacts offer the opportunity to identify different cooking and storage practices. Through organic residue analysis we test the hypotheses that 1) these artefacts were used for the processing of aquatic resources, and 2) stone bowls and griddle stones may have been used for different purposes. Building on our finds, our second aim is to explore why stone bowl frequencies peak so suddenly and to explore the role of climate change in the emergence and abundance of this artefact type. 62

63

64 Culture historical phasing

65

66 Humans first arrived in the Aleutian Islands around 9000 cal yr BP. Their subsistence practice is 67 considered to have been focused on maritime resources despite the terrestrial character of their toolkit. 68 Possibly these people were late Paleoarctic terrestrial game hunters that came to the Aleutian Islands 69 using a route across landfast sea ice (Davis et al., 2016: p.293). Knecht and Davis (2001) divided the 70 Anangula tradition in an early (9000-7000 cal yr BP) and a late stage (7000-4000 cal yr BP). It has been 71 argued that an influx of Ocean Bay I people from Kodiak Island (Fig.1) around 7000 cal yr BP further 72 added to the foundation of the specialized maritime adaptation that the Unangax people (better known as 73 the Aleut) are known for (Dumond, 1977; Dumond and Bland, 1995). People were attracted to the region 74 because of an abundance of fish (cod and halibut) and sea mammals such as: harbor seal, whales, 75 porpoise, sea lion and sea otter but also a variety of bird species. The earliest stone bowls (n=2) and 76 griddle stones (n=1) are found in low numbers at a few sites dating to the Early Anangula phase. They 77 become more numerous during later phases.

78

79 The Margaret Bay phase (4000-3000 cal yr BP) is a period of both climatic and cultural change. Based on 80 the faunal assemblages of the Margaret Bay (3800-3000 cal yr BP) and Amaknak Bridge (3500-2500 cal 81 yr BP) sites, Davis (2001) and Crockford and Frederick (2007) argue for the presence of sea-ice in the 82 region generated by the onset of the colder sea-surface temperatures of the Neoglacial. This induced 83 marine productivity and new species appeared in the region such as walrus, ringed seal and polar bear. 84 The new situation presented challenges and opportunities for the Unangax. Subsistence practices were 85 adapted to the new circumstances and focused more on ice-bound marine mammal hunting (Knecht and 86 Davis, 2008). Stone bowls peak during this phase (Table 1) with high occurrences at the Margaret Bay 87 (n=434), and Amaknak Bridge (n=71) sites, which suggests the substantial importance of these artefacts 88 in Aleutian daily life at these sites.

89

90 The Amaknak phase of 3000-1000 cal yr BP can be considered the start of the florescence of the 91 Aleutian tradition (Davis et al., 2016: p.286) with a complex and varied toolkit representing the continuous 92 further development of the long established maritime adaptation. Stone bowls seem to go out of use 93 during this period (Davis, et al., 2016: p. 286), while the occurrence of griddle stones increases from this 94 time onwards (Knecht and Davis, 2003; Jeanotte et al., 2012). These two technologies are hardly ever 95 found together. Temperatures fluctuated and possibly influenced the human populations in the area. 96 Colder temperatures led to increased marine productivity, which could have induced cultural expansion 97 as suggested by Maschner (2016: p.340). During the Late Aleutian phase of 1000 to 2000 cal yr BP 98 tensions rose along the Pacific coast of SW Alaska. Fortified sea stacks and refuge sites indicate warfare, 99 possibly with the newly established Koniag tradition of Kodiak Island, but also among neighbouring 100 Unangax groups (Davis et al., 2016: p.286). 101 102 MATERIALS AND METHODS 103 104 **Stone Bowls** 105 106 These heavy, non-portable artefacts are made of ground volcanic tuff and come in different textures and 107 colours. Although no complete specimens have been recovered to date, (partial) reconstructions show 108 that shapes varied from oval to rectangular and sizes range from 12 to 45 cm in diameter, and 3 to 12 cm 109 in depth (Figs. 2 and 3). Bowls are distinguished from lamps mainly by their relative depth and base 110 thickness. Where lamps are often shallow with a thick base, bowls have higher walls with a base that is 111 always thinner than the walls and which allows for cooking using a direct heating source. Another 112 distinction is the absence of a wick in bowls whereas some lamps have a raised platform for the wick. 113 Stone bowls occur in large numbers during the colder Margaret Bay phase (4000-3000 cal yr BP). At the 114 Margaret Bay site a total of 434 fragments were recovered, 75% of which dated to around 3300-3100 cal 115 yr BP, the final phase of occupation. At the Amaknak Bridge site 71 fragments were found dating towards 116 the very end of the phase around 2780 cal yr BP. Six fragments were reported from the base of the 117 Chaluka mound dated 3700 cal yr BP (Denniston, 1966: p.108). A few fragments (n=6) were found at the

lower levels of the Tanaxtaxak site, also ascribed to the Margaret Bay phase based on artefact
assemblage (Knecht and Davis, 2003: p.45). Stone bowls are scarce outside this period though a few
older fragments were found at the earlier levels of Margaret Bay (Knecht et al., 2001) and at the Anangula
Blade site (n=1) (McCartney and Veltre, 1996) and the Oiled Blade site (n=1) on Hog Island at 9000 cal yr
BP (Knecht and Davis, 2001: p.273). With the abandonment of the Margaret Bay and Amaknak Bridge
sites stone bowls also seem to disappear from the Aleutian Islands archaeological record (Knecht et al., 2001: p.49).

125

126 Griddle Stones

127

128 Referred to as "stone frying-pans" by (Jochelson, 1925: p.109), the presence of these grease-covered 129 stone slabs goes back 9000 years in the Aleutians (Fig. 4b). No complete specimens of griddle stones 130 are known. Like the stone bowls, they are all fragmented, perhaps fractured during use, or purposefully 131 broken after their use-life was completed. Jeanotte et al. (2012) showed that at the ADK-011 site on Adak 132 Island the majority of griddle stone raw material was carefully selected from a source some 5 km away 133 from the site, while a lesser quality source was also available much closer to site. This indicates that 134 these artefacts were not just flat stones selected randomly. Acquiring them would have been costly both 135 in time and effort.

136

137 Despite the importance of these food processing techniques in the Aleutian subsistence economy, the 138 subject has received little attention in current archaeological literature. Jeanotte et al. (2012) were the first 139 to perform analysis on the residues associated with the griddle stones by using bulk carbon isotope 140 analysis and visible/near infrared spectrometry but were not able to offer any specific identifications. Here 141 we aim to investigate the function of stone bowls and griddle stones through the structural and isotopic 142 analysis of lipids that are preserved in the greasy crusts on the artefact surfaces. This approach has been 143 shown to be highly effective distinguishing marine and terrestrial products formed during the use of 144 archaeological artefacts (Colonese et al., 2017; Craig et al., 2013; Farrell et al., 2014; Shoda et al., 2017).

145

146

Lipid Extraction of archaeological food crusts

147

148 Twenty charred surface residue samples of approximately 100mg were collected of stone bowls from the 149 Margaret Bay (n=11), Amaknak Bridge (n=8), and Tanaxtaxak (n=1) sites. Where available multiple 150 samples were taken to compare interior with exterior residues or base with rim residues. Most of the 151 bowls however only had encrustations on the exterior. Charred surface deposits were also collected from 152 eight griddle stones (~100mg). One sample dates to the Early Anangula phase (9000-8000 cal yr BP) 153 Oiled Blade site, while the other sampled griddle stones were much younger with two specimens from the 154 Tanaxtaxak site on Unalaska (around 500 cal yr BP) and five samples from the Ulyagan site on Carlisle 155 Island, part of the Islands of the Four Mountains (around 400 cal yr BP). The Tanaxtaxak griddle stones 156 were sampled on both sides for comparative reasons. Samples were acquired by scraping off surface 157 residues using a sterile scalpel, and homogenized by grinding the samples to a fine powder using a 158 mortar and pestle.

159

Approximately 20 mg of the sample was weighed out for lipid extraction using acidified methanol and following established protocols (Colonese et al., 2017; Papakosta et al., 2015). This approach has been extremely efficient in extracting lipids from carbonised deposits, especially where intact and partially degraded acyl lipids are unlikely to survive (Craig et al., 2007; Lucquin et al., 2016b).

164

165 One mL of methanol was added to the sample which was subsequently ultrasonicated for 15 min. Then 166 200 µL sulphuric acid (H₂SO₄) was added after which the samples were heated for 4 hours at 70°C. The 167 samples were then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 minutes. The supernatant was transferred to a sterile 168 vial and then extracted three times by adding 2 mL of hexane, mixing, separating and removing the 169 supernatant. The sample was neutralized by passing through a pipette with glass wool and potassium 170 carbonate (K_2CO_3). Eventually the extracts were dried under a gentle stream of nitrogen (N_2) and an 171 internal standard (10 µL C36 alkane) was added to all samples (lipid quantities ranging from 40 to 8600 172 µg/g) before further analysis by GC (gas chromatography), GC-MS (GC-mass spectrometry) and GC-c-173 IRMS (GC-combustion-isotope ratio MS). The majority of acid extracts was also silylated after acid

- extraction by adding 100 µL of BSTFA (N,O-bis(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide) and heating the sample
 at 70°C for 60 min in order to determine the presence of dihydroxy acids (Hansel and Evershed, 2009).
- 177 Collagen extraction of archaeological bones
- 178

A selection of archaeological bone material from the Tanaxtaxak, Margaret Bay and Summer Bay sites as well as the Brooks River area on the Alaska Peninsula was collected to serve as collagen reference material for bulk isotope analysis. Species were: Fin whale (n=2), Porpoise (n=2), Right whale (n=2), Narwhal/Beluga whale (n=3) (all determined using ZooMS, courtesy of the University of York), and sea lion (n=2), seal (n=4), sea otter (n=2), eagle (n=1), bear (n=2), caribou (n=5), anadromous fish (n=2) and marine fish (n=5). Sampling was done by removing a small section of mechanically cleaned bone using a sterile Dremel saw.

186

187 Collagen of 32 bone samples was extracted using a modified Longin method (Brown et al., 1988).
188 Samples (200-300 mg) were demineralized using 0.6 M hydrochloric acid (HCI) at 4°C for several days
189 depending on the sample. Samples were rinsed with distilled water after demineralization. Then they
190 were gelatinised with 0.001 M HCl at 80°C for 48 hours after which the samples were first filtered using
191 Poly-ethylene Ezee filters (Elkay Laboratories Ltd., 9 mL, pore size 60-90 µm). Subsequently the samples
192 were ultrafiltered (30 kDa, Amicon® Ultra-4 centrifugal filter units, Millipore, MA, USA). Finally the
193 samples were frozen and lyophilised.

194

195 GC-MS

196

197 The equipment used for GC-MS analysis was an Agilent 7890A series chromatograph attached to an 198 Agilent 5975C Inert XL mass-selective detector with a quadrupole mass analyser (Agilent technologies, 199 Cheadle, Chershire, UK). A splitless injector was used and kept at 300 °C. The GC column was inserted 200 into the ion source of the mass spectrometer directly. The carrier gas used was Helium with a constant 201 flow rate of 3 mL min⁻¹. The ionisation energy of the MS was 70eV and spectra were obtained by scanning between m/z 50 and 800. A DB-5ms (5%-phenyl)-methylpolysiloxane column (30 m x 0.250 mm 203 x 0.25 mm; J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA, USA) was used for scanning. The temperature was set at 50 °C 204 for 2 min, then raised by 10 °C min⁻¹ until it reached 325 °C where it was held for 15 min.

205

206 All extracts were also analysed on a DB-23 (50%-Cyanopropyl)- methylpolysiloxane column (60 m x 207 0.250 mm x 0.25 mm; J & Scientific, Folsom, CA, USA) in SIM mode to identify isoprenoid fatty acids and 208 ω - (o-alkylphenyl) alkanoic acids as aquatic biomarkers (Cramp and Evershed, 2014) and to resolve the 209 mixture of phytanic acid diastereomers (Lucquin et al., 2016a). The temperature was set at 50 °C for 2 210 min, then raised by 10 °C min⁻¹ until it reached 100 °C, then raised by 4 °C min⁻¹ to 140 °C, then by 0.5 °C min⁻¹ to 160 °C, then by 20 °C min⁻¹ to 250 °C where it was maintained for 10 min. The first group of ions 211 212 (m/z 74, 87, 213, 270) corresponding 4,8,12- trimethyltridecanoic acid (TMTD) fragmentation, the second 213 group of ions (m/z 74, 88, 101, 312) corresponding to pristanic acid, the third group of ions (m/z 74, 101, 214 171, 326) corresponding to phytanic acid and the fourth group of ions (m/z 74, 105, 262, 290, 318, 346) 215 corresponding to ω -(o-alkylphenyl) alkanoic acids of carbon length C16 to C22 were monitored. 216 respectively. Helium was used as the carrier gas with a flow rate of 2.4 mL min⁻¹. The relative abundance 217 of two diastereomers of phytanic acids was obtained by the integration of the ion m/z 101.

218

219 Bulk isotope analysis: carbon/nitrogen

220

221 Thirty-one surface residue samples of which 21 stone bowls, seven griddle stones and three lamps as 222 well as 32 bone collagen samples were analysed by elemental analysis - isotope ratio mass spectrometry (EA-IRMS). The residue samples were ground into a homogenised powder. The residue and collagen 223 224 samples were weighed out in duplicate into tin capsules (~0.9 mg). The bulk stable nitrogen (δ^{15} N) and carbon (δ^{13} C) isotope values were measured based on previously described methods (Craig et al., 2007). 225 Precision of instrument on repeated measurement was $\pm 0.2\%$ (s.e.m.), $\delta^{13}C$, $\delta^{15}N = [(Rsample/$ 226 Rstandard -1)] x 1000, where R = ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ and ${}^{15}N/{}^{14}N$. Accuracy was determined by measurements of 227 228 international standard reference materials within each analytical run. These were IAEA 600 $\delta^{13}C_{raw}$ = - 27.69 ± 0.02 , $\delta^{13}C_{true} = -27.77 \pm 0.04$, $\delta^{15}N_{raw} = 1.49 \pm 0.38$, $\delta^{15}N_{true} = 1.0 \pm 0.2$; IAEA N2 $\delta^{15}N_{raw} = 20.9$ 229

230 ± 0.33 , δ^{15} N_{true} =20.3 ± 0.2 ; IA Cane, δ^{13} C_{raw} -11.76 ± 0.10 ; δ^{13} C_{true} = -11.64 ± 0.03 . Data were normalised 231 to these international standards. All samples with %N values below 1% and %C below 10% were 232 excluded.

233

234 Gas chromatography combustion isotope ratio mass spectrometry

235

236 Eleven stone bowl and seven griddle stone samples were measured in duplicate for stable carbon isotope 237 values of methyl palmitate (C_{16:0}) and methyl stearate (C_{18:0}) derived from precursor fatty acids by GC-c-238 IRMS, following existing procedure (Craig et al., 2012). The instrument used for the analysis was a Delta 239 V Advantage isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher, Bremen, Germany) linked to a Trace Ultra 240 gas chromatograph (Thermo Fisher) with a GC Isolink II interface (Cu/Ni combustion reactor held at 241 1000 °C; Thermo Fisher) to oxidise all the carbon species to CO₂. The carrier gas used was ultra-high purity grade helium with a flow rate of 2 mL min⁻¹ and parallel acquisition of the molecular data was 242 243 realised by deriving a small part of the flow to an ISQ mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher). Samples were 244 diluted in hexane and 1 µL of each sample was injected into DB-5MS ultra-inert fused-silica column 245 (60 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 µm; J&W Scientific). The temperature was set at 50 °C for 0.5 min and raised by 25 °C min⁻¹ to 175 °C, then raised by 8 °C min⁻¹ to 325 °C where it was held for 20 min. A clear resolution 246 247 and a baseline separation of the analysed peaks were achieved. Eluted products were ionized in the 248 mass spectrometer by electron impact and ion intensities of m/z 44, 45 and 46 were recorded for automatic computing of the ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ ratio of each peak in the extracts. Computation was made with Isodat 249 250 software (version 3.0; Thermo Fisher) and was based on comparisons with standard reference gas (CO₂) 251 of known isotopic composition that was repeatedly measured. The results of the analysis were expressed 252 in per mill (‰) relative to an international standard, VPDB.

253

The accuracy of the instrument was determined on *n*-alkanoic acid ester standards of known isotopic composition (Indiana standard F8-3). The mean \pm S.D. values of these were -29.60 \pm 0.21‰ and -23.02 \pm 0.29‰ for the methyl ester of C_{16:0} (reported mean value vs. VPDB -29.90 \pm 0.03‰) and C_{18:0} (reported mean value vs. VPDB -23.24 \pm 0.01‰) respectively. Precision was determined on a laboratory standard mixture injected regularly between samples (28 measurements). The mean \pm S.D. value of *n*-alkanoic acid esters were -31.65 \pm 0.27‰ for the methyl ester of C_{16:0} and -26.01 \pm 0.26‰ or the methyl ester of C_{18:0}. Each sample was measured in replicate (average s.d. 0.07‰ for C_{16:0} and 0.13‰ for C_{18:0}). Values were also corrected subsequent to analysis to account for the methylation of the carboxyl group that occurs during acid extraction. Corrections were based on comparisons with a standard mixture of C_{16:0} and C_{18:0} fatty acids of known isotopic composition processed in each batch under identical conditions.

- 265 RESULTS
- 266
- 267 Lipid preservation
- 268

269 The preservation of organic residues on the artefacts is very good in general. Both griddle stones and 270 stone bowls provided high quantities of lipids per sample. Thirty of 33 samples ranged from 400 to 8600 271 $\mu g g^{-1}$, indicative of exceptional preservation. The griddle stones (n=8, mean = 4200 $\mu g/g$), were richer in lipids than stone bowls (n=22, mean = 2477 μ g g⁻¹). However, the majority of stone bowls sampled here 272 273 are about 1500 years older than the griddle stones so this may be the result of degradation. Both of these 274 artefact types contained a much greater amount of lipids than commonly found on charred deposits 275 associated with ceramic cooking pots. For example, the mean lipid concentration from 14 charred 276 deposits on pottery from the sub-Arctic Sakhalin Islands extracted under identical conditions was 298 µg 277 g^{-1} (Gibbs et al. 2017). Only two stone bowl samples showed lower lipid preservation with lipid quantities ranging from 40 to 130 μ g g⁻¹ (Supplementary Table 1). The oldest stone bowl sample in the Aleutian 278 279 Islands from the Anangula Blade site (Quimby, 1945; McCartney and Veltre, 1996) yielded no lipid 280 biomarker results and based on the associated %N value of 0.71 we discarded this sample. 281 282 **GC-MS** analysis

283

Thirty of 33 samples of both the stone bowls (20 of 23) and the griddle stones (10 of 10) contained

isoprenoid acids: TMTD (4,8,12-trimethyltridecanoic acid), pristanic acid (2,6,10,14-

286 tetramethylpentadecanoic acid), and phytanic acid (3,7,11,15-tetramethylpexadecanoic acid) (Fig. 5), as 287 well as ω -(o-alkylphenyl) alkanoic acids (APAAs) of carbon length 16 to 22 (Fig. 7). These meet the 288 established criteria for the identification of aquatic resources in archaeology (Hansel et al., 2004; 289 Evershed et al., 2008; Hansel and Evershed, 2009; Lucquin et al., 2016a). Interestingly, APAAs are only 290 formed during the prolonged heating of tri-unsaturated fatty acids at a temperature of at least 270°C and 291 therefore the aquatic oils must have been heated on these artefacts presumably during their processing. 292 These data rule out the contamination of degraded aquatic oils that may be present in the soils as these 293 are unlikely to have been heated. Additionally, the presence of APAAs on these stone artefacts suggests 294 that formation of these compounds is not necessarily dependent on the presence of a ceramic matrix as 295 stated by Evershed, et al. (2008: p.111). To date no evidence of pottery has been found in the Aleutian 296 Islands. Isoprenoid acids are degradation products of phytol, a constituent of chlorophyll, and occur 297 widely in marine organisms. Phytanic acid also occurs in the tissues of ruminant animals. The contribution 298 SSR:SRR diastereomers of phytanic acid (SSR%) provides a means to discriminate these sources 299 (Lucquin et al., 2016a). As expected, because of the lack of ruminants in the area, the data obtained 300 confirms the aquatic origin of phytanic acid in all the Aleutian samples as compared to modern references 301 (Fig. 6).

302

303 Saturated fatty acids range from C₈ to C₃₂ and unsaturated fatty acids, even numbered from C_{16:1} to C_{24:1}, 304 with some extending up to C_{26:1}. All samples contain longer chain FA and UFAs with the exception of the 305 three badly preserved samples. Dicarboxylic acids (or diacids), most likely degradation products of 306 unsaturated fatty acids, are also widely present in all samples ranging mostly from 7 to 15 carbon length 307 (Fig. 5). Experimental work by Evershed et al. (2008) showed that diacids of carbon length 8 to 11 form 308 during the heating of aquatic oils. Following derivatization of the acid extract with BSTFA, trace amounts 309 of long chain *n*-alkanols (C₂₂ - C₃₂) were also present in many of the samples with an even number of 310 carbon atoms. As these were found in trace amounts there is a possibility they are derived from the burial 311 environment, as they are a common lipid component of soils (van Bergen et al., 1998), derived from wax 312 compounds in higher plants. However, these compounds were much more abundant in the charred 313 deposits from several of the griddle stones from the Ulyagan site, along with the matching distribution of

314 long chain fatty acids (C_{22} - C_{32}). In this case, it is conceivable that plant products were directly processed 315 on these artefacts.

316

317 Stable isotope analysis of individual fatty acids

318

Based on the lipid residue analysis results, integrated with contextual archaeological information of the materials, it seems very likely that the tested artefacts were used for the processing of aquatic resources. But what kind of aquatic resources were processed? Were the different artefacts used for different purposes? To further differentiate within the aquatic spectrum we analysed stable isotopes of individual fatty acids C_{16} and C_{18} using GC-c-IRMS. This approach serves as a means to discriminate aquatic animals based on their habitat (marine, anadromous, and freshwater), with the marine species relatively enriched in ¹³C compared to the others (Fig 8).

326

327 The carbon isotope values show some difference between the two technological groups (Fig. 8). In general griddle stones are more depleted in both $\delta^{13}C_{16}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{18}$ than stone bowls. Two stone bowls 328 329 are more depleted than the others. Of the seven tested griddle stones, five are separated from the stone 330 bowls and two have similar fatty acid isotope values to the stone bowls. One of these is the only 331 exceptionally old specimen from the Early Anangula phase (9000-8000 cal yr BP) site of Oiled Blade 332 (UNL-318). It is unlikely that the isotopic approach deployed here can be used to distinguish between 333 marine mammal and marine fish oil in this case. Although no authentic lipid carbon isotopes values have been measured from the Aleutian Islands, the δ^{13} C values of collagen extracted from 17 marine mammals 334 (mean $\delta^{13}C_{coll} = -14.47\pm0.04$) and five marine fish (mean $\delta^{13}C_{coll} = -11.96\pm0.03$) from SW Alaska 335 336 (Supplementary Table 2) are similar. More depleted lipid sources could include salmon ($\delta^{13}C_{coll}$ = -337 15.44±0.05) or potentially terrestrial resources including plants. The latter would be consistent with 338 degraded wax esters found on the griddle stones. However, we stress that overall both the stone bowls 339 and griddle stones have strong marine isotope signatures and aquatic lipid profiles, so any other products 340 are only a minor component in these residues.

341

343

344	The $\delta^{15}N$ ratios of the carbonised deposits associated with the stone bowls and griddle stones are
345	generally within the range expected for marine tissues (i.e. >10‰; Fig 9). These values can be tentatively
346	compared to $\delta^{15}N$ values of collagen from associated fauna (fish, marine mammals, terrestrial fauna) by
347	assuming that any of the $\delta^{15}N$ in the surface residues are derived from animal tissue protein and the
348	$\Delta^{15}N_{\text{tissue-collagen}}$ = ca. +2‰ (Fernandes et al., 2015). Interestingly, the range of $\delta^{15}N$ values observed in
349	the carbonised deposits is at the lower end of the marine mammal and fish range (Supplementary Table
350	1).

351

352 Compared to pottery vessels from the Sakhalin Islands, which are assumed to have been used for 353 cooking a range of marine tissues (Gibbs et al. 2017), all the Aleutian artefacts have higher C:N ratios, 354 indicative of a relatively higher lipid content. These data are more comparable to the so called 'blubber 355 lamps' of the European Mesolithic where it is thought that marine mammal oil was burned for illumination 356 (Heron et al., 2013). One caveat to this interpretation is that extensive microbial degradation or 357 percolation with groundwater might lead to a preferential loss of protein, effectively increasing the C:N 358 ratio (Heron and Craig, 2015) although this would seem less likely given the environment is so conducive to molecular preservation. The δ^{13} C values in all but one case are less than -25‰ (Supplementary Table 359 360 1) which are consistent with values reported from pottery from coastal sites with clear marine lipid 361 signatures (Craig et al., 2011, 2013).

362

363 DISCUSSION

364

365 Our main guestion centred on the specific function of griddle stones and stone bowls within the Aleutian 366 subsistence economy. We hypothesized that these artefacts were used to process aquatic resources but 367 were used in different ways. The results of this research cautiously support our hypotheses. All artefacts 368 with sufficient preservation (n=30) show strong evidence for the processing of aquatic resources. Minor 369 isotopic differences between stone bowls and griddle stones may indicate a more variable use of the

latter. However, the lipid concentrations and C:N ratios from both artefacts are consistent with their use
for processing aquatic oils and fats. By integrating the organic residue results with information of
archaeological contexts, ethnography and climate, we discuss the possible function and role of these

373 artefacts placed in a framework of the wider subsistence strategies of the ancient Unangax.

374

375 Griddle stone function

376

377 Griddle stone charred residues show a clear aquatic signal. This is visible in the presence of all aquatic 378 biomarkers as well as in compound specific-, and bulk isotope results. We hypothesized that griddle 379 stones had a more general use for cooking foodstuffs. The residue results are cautiously supportive of 380 this notion. Interpretation is based on comparisons with stone bowls that we assume were used for a very 381 specific purpose, namely the rendering of marine oil. The residues on griddle stones seem to be derived 382 from a bigger diversity of resources. Despite this, aquatic oils still make up the majority of the sample. 383 Depleted δ^{13} C values may indicate the contribution of salmon and plant products to the sample. 384 Furthermore the presence of *n*-alkanols on the Ulyagan griddle stones supports the possibility that plant 385 products contributed to the otherwise predominantly aquatic sample. The lower C:N ratio values attest to 386 a higher presence of proteins possibly caused by the cooking of flesh as opposed to fats. 387 388 Not all griddle stones show the same consistent residue results. We analysed the earliest griddle stone in 389 the Aleutian Islands, a partial specimen from the Oiled Blade (UNL-318) site, dating to the Early Anangula phase at 9000-8000 cal yr BP. The compound specific δ^{13} C isotope data and C:N ratio value of this 390 391 particular griddle stone are closer to stone bowl values. This possibly indicates a less diverse use on this 392 ancient griddle stone as opposed to griddle stones from later periods.

393

Ethnographic resources are of great value when considering function because griddle stones were still widely in use by the Unangax during early contact times. A report by C.I. Shade describes the traditional Unangan way to prepare cod soup using a griddle stone: *"The traditional method of making soup was to dig a fire pit and place over it a stone, flush with the ground. Then a very thin beach stone was placed on* the fire stone and clay walls built upon this base. The liquid was cooked in this. A bluish clay called qudii
u was used for the walls of this vessel which turned white when heated. This kind of fire pit was called
unaalu. The same vessel was used more than once. One way of preparing the cod soup was with
seaweed and seal oil" (in: Johnson, 2004: p.52).

402

This is an interesting notion suggesting griddle stones were actually also used as containers. It also attests to the use of marine mammal oil in cooking practices, agreeable with our findings. No evidence for the use of clay has ever been detected in the archaeological record of the Aleutians. However, some griddle stones are clean in the centre and have a thick edge of greasy and carbonized material around this clean area (Fig. 4a). This use-wear pattern could represent the process described above. Not all griddle stones show this residue distribution though, some show residues in the centre (Fig. 4b). This may suggest different methods of cooking with the use of griddle stones.

410

Use patterns possibly changed through time with the earlier griddle stone used for the processing of a
single commodity, while griddle stones of the Late Aleutian phase were probably used to cook dishes of a
more diverse character, although still predominantly aquatic.

414

415 **Stone bowl function**

416

The charred residues distributed mainly along the rims on both interior and exterior, but also on the bases of the bowls, seem to be solely aquatic in origin. The stone bowls have comparable lipid distribution, C:N ratios and bulk isotope characteristics to prehistoric oil lamps from Europe that were unequivocally used to burn aquatic oils for fuel (Heron et al., 2013; Heron and Craig, 2015; Piezonka et al., 2016; Oras et al., 2017).

422

423 Aquatic oil played an important role in the lives of prehistoric and historic peoples of the (sub)Arctic. Not

424 only was the substance used as a fuel to burn in oil lamps, it is known to be an important part of the

425 Unangax diet (Unger, 2014), and critical for the storage of various foodstuffs (Frink and Giordano, 2015).

Knecht (2001, 2008) has suggested multiple times that stone bowls were used for the purpose of rendering aquatic oils. And despite the absence of stone bowls in archaeological sites dating to later phases, one ethnographic source refers to an artefact used during contact times which description sounds remarkably like that of a stone bowl: *"The stone of which these lamps are made is very soft, and may be hollowed out with others of greater hardness, not merely for this purpose, but also for <u>deep pots,</u> in which they boil their fish. They use them however, but seldom, preferring mostly the iron and copper kettles, which they procure from the Russians" (Sarychev, 1806: p.73).*

433

Another argument supporting the use of stone bowls for the rendering of aquatic oils is the residue distribution on the rims but not on the bottom (Fig. 2). Oil may have been rendered by placing cuts of fat in boiling water. The rendered oil could be scooped off the surface leaving the bottom of the bowl clean but the rims stained. It seems probable that the oil came from marine mammals because they yield much more fat than fish do and were readily available as is evident from archaeological faunal material.

439

440 Explaining the peak in stone bowl frequencies

441

442 The high stone bowl occurrence during the Margaret Bay phase at the Margaret Bay, and Amaknak 443 Bridge sites is remarkable. What were the driving forces behind the sudden spike in the occurrence of 444 such a specialist artefact type? It is possible that this change in stone bowl frequency is the product of a 445 sampling error. After all, the Margaret Bay (13,500 artefacts, 434 stone bowls) and Amaknak Bridge 446 (3000 artefacts, 71 stone bowls) sites were extensively excavated in comparison to sites of earlier phases 447 (e.g. Oiled Blade: 800 artefacts, 1 stone bowl). However, other sites have also seen extensive 448 investigation and yielded no evidence of stone bowls. For example the Summer Bay site where 564 m² 449 was excavated, yielding 3300 artefacts but no stone bowls, the same goes for the upper levels of 450 Tanaxtaxak, 3500 artefacts total but no stone bowls after the Margaret Bay phase (Knecht and Davis, 451 2001: p.270, Table 1). The only exception of stone bowl occurrence after the Margaret Bay phase is a 452 surface find that may or may not belong to the Late Aleutian Eider Point site (Fig. 3).

453

Here we explore the notion that stone bowl frequencies spike during the Margaret Bay phase and go out
of use after this period ends. Why did frequencies peak at this specific time? What changed?
Furthermore, if aquatic oil was rendered using stone bowls then why does this artefact only occur at this
frequency during the Margaret Bay phase (4000-3000 cal yr BP)? Assuming oil rendering was important
throughout the entire prehistoric and historic sequence of the Aleutian Islands, one would expect to see
high frequencies of stone bowls throughout the whole sequence. Were they replaced by another tool
type, was the method for rendering oil changed?

461

At around 3500 cal yr BP a cold spell ascribed to the Neoglacial brought colder temperatures to the Aleutian Islands (Fig. 10). Marine mammals became more abundant as lower sea surface temperatures increased marine productivity. This induced cultural expansion and increased marine mammal hunting practices. Archaeological bone material is preserved for the first time in the Aleutian sequence and it shows the presence of sea-ice dependent species such as polar bear, ringed seal, and walrus that are not present in later phases when there is no sea-ice (Crockford and Frederick, 2007; Davis, 2001; Knecht and Davis, 2008).

469

470 The increased presence of marine mammals rich in fats during this period of high marine productivity may 471 have increased the rendering of marine oil. On the other hand the unpredictability of climate change could 472 have posed problems for the rendering of oil using a cold method where pieces of fat were stored in a 473 cleaned seal skin, referred to as a seal poke, and left to slowly self-render into oil (Frink and Giordano, 474 2015). Temperature was of the utmost importance to this process. Under no circumstances was the 475 substance allowed to freeze, nor should it become too warm. Therefore it was stored in a cool and dark 476 place, often a submerged pit, to prevent the oil from becoming rancid (Frink and Giordano, 2015). Semi-477 subterranean houses in the Aleutians dating to before 3000 cal yr BP often had subfloor storage pits lined 478 with stone slabs (Knecht and Davis, 2008). It is possible that these pits were used for this purpose.

479

We contend that decreasing temperatures in the Aleutians as demonstrated by faunal remains of the
Margaret Bay and Amaknak Bridge sites (Davis, 2001; Crockford and Frederick, 2007), may have

induced a change in the method for rendering oil. The hot rendering of oil is not only more controlled but also quicker. Although stone containers could be used for cold rendering as well, for hot rendering the use of a durable container such as a stone bowl was a necessity. Fat was cut up and boiled in water using a container, either by means of stone boiling, or by heating the container directly over a fire. The latter seems to have been the case for the examined specimens as evidenced by thick carbonated encrustations stuck to the bases of the bowls.

488

489 The adoption of durable cooking technologies in the Circumpolar North

Aleutian stone bowls and griddle stones are among the earliest durable, non-portable cooking
technologies in the circumpolar North. We argue here that stone bowls were used for the rendering of
marine fats while griddle stones were probably used for cooking food with high contributions of marine
oils. But why were they adopted in the first place? Were stone bowls unique in light of their function?
Were they replaceable? How does the adoption of stone bowls relate to the wider debate of early durable
container technologies in the circumpolar North?

496

497 Stone bowls were a means to an end, to render marine oil. Alternative methods could be used to reach 498 that same end. The seal poke system allowed for the rendering of fat into oil using a cold method that 499 could have been employed before and after the period when bowls became abundant. Climate change 500 may have made this system to render oil more prone to failure and induced the introduction of the stone 501 bowl. However, sedentism also plays an important role here. It was sedentism that allowed for the 502 manufacture and maintenance of this expensive container technology. The fact that a lot of early pottery 503 is associated with the processing of aquatic resources (Jordan and Zvelebil, 2009; Craig et al., 2013) may 504 be closely linked to the notion that a stable abundance of aquatic resources induced sedentism, instead 505 of the idea that aquatic resource processing demanded the use of durable containers such as stone 506 bowls and pottery.

507

508 That said, climatic circumstances could very well have encouraged the local invention of the stone bowl in 509 the Aleutian Islands. The hot rendering of aquatic oil would have been difficult, or even impossible with different, less durable technologies such as basketry or seal pokes. Therefore we ascribe the sudden
peak in stone bowl frequencies to a change in oil rendering methods brought on by the Neoglacial cold
spell. The introduction and use of griddle stones as a cooking technology is more gradual and consistent.
The demand for a container or grill plate to cook on would have easily led to the utilization of these flat
stones that are abundant in the Aleutian Islands.

515

516 **CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper our aim was to identify the function of stone bowls and griddle stones. We argue that stone bowls were used for the rendering of marine oil using direct heating. We ascribe the sudden peak in stone bowl occurrence to a shift in temperature caused by the Neoglacial cold spell that subsequently induced a change in oil rendering methods and brought an abundance of sea-ice dependent species, rich in fats, to the area. Our results also suggest that griddle stones were used for the processing of a slightly more diverse set of resources although still predominantly marine. We ascribe this diversity to cooking practices as opposed to oil rendering in stone bowls.

524

525 This is the first systematic research into the function of Aleutian cooking technologies employing 526 molecular and chemical analysis of carbonised residues. Future work could test the hypotheses raised in 527 this paper by analysing a larger set of samples, especially regarding griddle stones to more firmly 528 establish trends. The differences between the two technological groups are minor but apparent. 529 Differentiation within the aquatic spectrum is still poorly understood and advances in experimental 530 techniques involving APAA isomer ratios and phytanic acid ratios (Fig. 6), but also an expansion of 531 archaeological reference data from, for example, bone lipids, are necessary to further understand the 532 origin of varying signals.

533

534 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

535

This research is a part of MA's PhD research project, hosted by the University of Groningen Arctic Centre
as well as the BioArCh laboratory of the University of York and co-supervised by co-authors PJ and OC.

538 The PhD is funded by the University of Groningen, Faculty of Arts. Additional support for residue analysis 539 came from the Arts and Humanities Research Council (AH/L0069X/1). We would like to thank the 540 Museum of the Aleutians and the Ounalashka Corporation for supporting this research and granting 541 access to the artefacts. We also thank the Oregon Museum of Natural and Cultural History and the 542 Katmai National Park Service for allowing the sampling of archaeological bone material. Thank you to 543 Virginia Hatfield for providing the AMK3 griddle stone samples, to Frits Steenhuisen for his help with 544 Figure 1, and to Krista McGrath and Dr. Camilla Speller for the identification of archaeological bone using 545 ZooMS. MA would like to thank Dr. Shinya Shoda and Dr Laura Llorente-Rodriguez for their valuable 546 contribution to her lab training. Special thanks go out to the guest editors Bre MacInnes, Ben Fitzhugh, 547 Kirsten Nicolaysen and Virginia Hatfield for their efforts in bringing this special issue of Quaternary 548 Research together.

549 **REFERENCES**

- Bell, J.G., Preston, T., Henderson, R.J., Strachan, F., Bron, J.E., Cooper, K., Morrison, D.J., 2007.
- 551 Discrimination of wild and cultured European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) using chemical and isotopic
- analyses. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 55, 5934–5941.
- Brown, T.A., Nelson, D.E., Vogel, J.S., Southon, J.R., 1988. Improved collagen extraction by modified
 Longin method. Radiocarbon 30, 171–177.
- 555 Choy, K., Potter, B.A., McKinney, H.J., Reuther, J.D., Wang, S.W., Wooller, M.J., 2016. Chemical profiling
- 556 of ancient hearths reveals recurrent salmon use in Ice Age Beringia. Proceedings of the National
- 557 Academy of Sciences 113, 9757-9762.
- 558 Colonese, A.C., Farrell, T., Lucquin, A., Firth, D., Charlton, S., Robson, H.K., Alexander, M., Craig, O.E.,
- 2015. Archaeological bone lipids as palaeodietary markers. Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry
 29, 611–618.
- 561 Colonese, A.C., Lucquin, A., Guedes, E.P., Thomas, R., Best, J., Fothergill, B.T., Sykes, N., Foster, A.,
- 562 Miller, H., Poole, K., Maltby, M., Von Tersch, M., Craig, O.E., 2017. The identification of poultry
- 563 processing in archaeological ceramic vessels using in-situ isotope references for organic residue
- analysis. Journal of Archaeological Science 78, 179–192.
- Corbett, D., Yarborough, M., 2016. The Aleutian tradition: the last 4,000 years. In: Friesen, M. and
 Mason, O. (Eds.), The Oxford Handbook of the Prehistoric Arctic. Oxford University Press, New York,
 pp.607-630.
- 568 Craig, O.E., Allen, R.B., Thompson, A., Stevens, R.E., Steele, V.J., Heron, C., 2012. Distinguishing wild
- ruminant lipids by gas chromatography/combustion/isotope ratio mass spectrometry. Rapid
- 570 Communications in Mass Spectrometry 26, 2359–2364.
- 571 Craig, O.E., Forster, M., Andersen, S.H., Koch, E., Crombé, P., Milner, N.J., Stern, B., Bailey, G.N.,
- 572 Heron, C.P., 2007. Molecular and isotopic demonstration of the processing of aquatic products in
- 573 northern European prehistoric pottery. Archaeometry 49, 135–152.

- 574 Craig, O.E., Saul, H., Lucquin, A., Nishida, Y., Tache, K., Clarke, L., Thompson, A., Altoft, D.T.,
- 575 Uchiyama, J., Ajimoto, M., Gibbs, K., Isaksson, S., Heron, C.P., Jordan, P., 2013. Earliest evidence for

576 the use of pottery. Nature 496, 351–354.

- 577 Craig, O.E., Steele, V.J., Fischer, A., Hartz, S., Andersen, S.H., Donohoe, P., Glykou, A., Saul, H., Jones,
- 578 D.M., Koch, E., Heron, C.P., 2011. Ancient lipids reveal continuity in culinary practices across the
- transition to agriculture in Northern Europe. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 108,
- 580 17910–17915.
- 581 Cramp, L., Evershed, R.P., 2014. Reconstructing aquatic resource exploitation in human prehistory using
- 582 lipid biomarkers and stable isotopes. In: Holland, H.D. and Turekian, K.K. (Eds.) Treatise on
- 583 geochemistry: archaeology and anthropology. Elsevier, Oxford, 14, pp. 319–339.
- 584 Cramp, L.J.E., Jones, J., Sheridan, A., Smyth, J., Whelton, H., Mulville, J., Sharples, N., Evershed, R.P.,
- 585 2014. Immediate replacement of fishing with dairying by the earliest farmers of the Northeast Atlantic
- archipelagos. Proceedings of the Royal Society B Biological Sciences 281, 20132372.
- 587 Crockford, S.J., Frederick, S.G., 2007. Sea ice expansion in the Bering Sea during the Neoglacial:
- 588 evidence from archaeozoology. Holocene SAGE Publications 17, 699–706.
- 589 Davis, R., 2001. Sea mammal hunting and the Neoglacial: environmental change and subsistence
- technology at Margaret Bay. In: Dumond, D.E. (Ed.), Archaeology in the Aleut Zone of Alaska. University
 of Oregon, Eugene, pp. 71-86.
- 592 Davis, R., Knecht, R., Rogers, J., 2016. First maritime cultures of the Aleutians. In: Friesen, M. and
- 593 Mason, O. (Eds.), The Oxford Handbook of the Prehistoric Arctic. Oxford University Press, New York, pp.594 279-302.
- 595 Debono Spiteri, C., 2012. Pottery use at the transition to agriculture in the western Mediterranean:
- 596 evidence from biomolecular and isotopic characterisation of organic residues in Impressed/Cardial Ware
- 597 vessels. University of York, <u>http://etheses.whiterose.ac.uk/3391/</u>.
- 598 Denniston, G.B., 1966. Cultural change at Chaluka, Umnak Island: stone artifacts and features. Arctic

- 599 Anthropology 3, 84-124.
- Dumond, D.E., 1977. The Eskimos and Aleuts. Thames and Hudson, London.
- Dumond, D.E., Bland, R.L., 1995. Holocene prehistory of the northernmost North Pacific. Journal of World
 Prehistory 9, 401–451.
- Evershed, R.P., Copley, M.S., Dickson, L., Hansel, F.A., 2008. Experimental evidence for the processing
 of marine animal products and other commodities containing polyunsaturated fatty acids in pottery
 vessels. Archaeometry 50, 101–113.
- 606 Farrell, T.F.G., Jordan, P., Taché, K., Lucquin, A., Gibbs, K., Jorge, A., Britton, K., Craig, O.E., Knecht,
- 607 R., 2014. Specialized processing of aquatic resources in prehistoric Alaskan pottery? A lipid-residue
- analysis of ceramic sherds from the Thule-period site of Nunalleq, Alaska. Arctic Anthropology 51, 86–100.
- 610 Fernandes, R., Grootes, P., Nadeau, M.-J., Nehlich, O., 2015. Quantitative diet reconstruction of a
- 611 Neolithic population using a Bayesian mixing model (FRUITS): The case study of Ostorf (Germany).
- 612 American Journal of Physical Anthropology 158, 325-340.
- Frink, L., Giordano, C., 2015. Women and subsistence food technology: the Arctic seal poke storage
 system. Food and Foodways 23, 251–272.
- Gibbs, K., Isaksson, S., Craig, O.E., Lucquin, A., Grishchenko, V.A., Farrell, T., Thompson, A., Kato, H.,
- 616 Vasilevski, A.A., Jordan, P.D., 2017. Exploring the emergence of an 'Aquatic' Neolithic in the Russian Far
- East: organic residue analysis of early hunter-gatherer pottery from Sakhalin Island. Antiquity 91 (360),1484-1500.
- 619 Hansel, F.A., Copley, M.S., Madureira, L.A.S., Evershed, R.P., 2004. Thermally produced ω-(o-
- 620 alkylphenyl)alkanoic acids provide evidence for the processing of marine products in archaeological
- 621 pottery vessels. Tetrahedron Letters 45, 2999–3002.
- Hansel, F.A., Evershed, R.P., 2009. Formation of dihydroxy acids from Z-monounsaturated alkenoic acids

- and their use as biomarkers for the processing of marine commodities in archaeological pottery vessels.
 Tetrahedron Letters 50, 5562–5564.
- Heron, C., Andersen, S., Fischer, A., Glykou, A., Hartz, S., Saul, H., Steele, V., Craig, O., 2013.
- 626 Illuminating the Late Mesolithic: residue analysis of "blubber" lamps from Northern Europe. Antiquity 87,
- 627 178–188.
- Heron, C., Craig, O.E., 2015. Aquatic resources in foodcrusts: identification and implication. Radiocarbon
 57, 707-719.
- Horiuchi, A., Miyata, Y., Kamijo, N., Cramp, L., Evershed, R.P., 2015. A dietary study of the Kamegaoka
- 631 culture population during the final Jomon Period, Japan, using stable isotope and lipid analyses of
- 632 ceramic residues. Radiocarbon 57, 721–736.
- 633 Jeanotte, R., Nicolaysen, K., Dowell, F.E., Johnson, T., West, D., 2012. Griddle stones from Adak Island,
- Alaska: their provenance and the biological origins of organic residues from cooking. In: West, D.,
- Hatfield, V.L., Wilmerding, E.G., Lefèvre, C., Gualtieri, L. (Eds.), The People Before. The geology,
- paleoecology and archaeology of Adak Island, Alaska. Archaeopress, Oxford, pp. 269–287.
- Jochelson, W., 1925. Archaeological investigations in the Aleutian Islands. Carnegie Institution,Washington D.C.
- 639 Johnson, L.L., 2004. Prehistoric fishing technologies and species targeted in the Aleutian Islands:
- 640 archaeological and ethnohistoric evidence. New Zealand Journal of Archaeology 24, 45–59.
- Jordan, P., Zvelebil, M., 2009. Ceramics before farming. The dispersal of pottery among prehistoric
- 642 Eurasian hunter-gatherers. Left Coast Press Inc., Walnut Creek.
- 643 Knecht, R., Davis, R.S., 2001. A prehistoric sequence for the Eastern Aleutians. In: Dumond, D.E. (Ed.),
- Archaeology in the Aleut Zone of Alaska. University of Oregon, Eugene.
- 645 Knecht, R., Davis, R.S., 2003. Archaeological evaluation of Tanaxtaxak, the Amaknak Spit site (UNL-
- 646 00055) final report. The Museum of the Aleutians, Unalaska.

- Knecht, R., Davis, R.S., 2008. The Amaknak Bridge site: cultural change and the Neoglacial in the
 Eastern Aleutians. Arctic Anthropology 45, 61–78.
- 649 Knecht, R., Davis, R.S., Carver, G.A., 2001. The Margaret Bay site and eastern Aleutian prehistory. In:
- Dumond, D.E. (Ed.), Archaeology in the Aleut Zone of Alaska. University of Oregon, Eugene.
- Lucquin, A., Colonese, A.C., Farrell, T.F.G., Craig, O.E., 2016a. Utilising phytanic acid diastereomers for
- the characterisation of archaeological lipid residues in pottery samples. Tetrahedron Letters 57, 703–707.
- Lucquin, A., Gibbs, K., Uchiyama, J., Saul, H., Ajimoto, M., Eley, Y., Radini, A., Heron, C.P., Shoda, S.,
- Nishida, Y., Lundy, J., Jordan, P., Isaksson, S., Craig, O.E., 2016b. Ancient lipids document continuity in
- the use of early hunter–gatherer pottery through 9,000 years of Japanese prehistory. Proceedings of the
- 656 National Academy of Sciences 113(15), 3991–3996.
- Maschner, H., 2016. Archaeology of the Eastern Aleut Region. In: Friesen, M. and Mason, O. (Eds.), The
 Oxford Handbook of the Prehistoric Arctic. Oxford University Press, New York, pp. 323-348.
- McCartney, A.P., Veltre, D.W., 1996. Anangula Core and Blade site. In: West, F.H. (Ed.), American
- beginnings: the prehistory and palaeoecology of Beringia. The University of Chicago Press, Ltd., London,
 pp. 443–450.
- Oras, E., Higham, T.F.G., Cramp, L.J.E., Bull, I.D., 2017. Archaeological science and object biography: a
 Roman bronze lamp from Kavastu bog (Estonia). Antiquity 91, 124–138.
- Outram, A.K., Stear, N.A., Bendrey, R., Olsen, S., Kasparov, A., Zaibert, V., Thorpe, N., Evershed, R.P.,
 2009. The earliest horse harnessing and milking. Science 323, 1332–1335.
- 666 Papakosta, V., Smittenberg, R.H., Gibbs, K., Jordan, P., Isaksson, S., 2015. Extraction and derivatization
- of absorbed lipid residues from very small and very old samples of ceramic potsherds for molecular
- analysis by gas chromatography--mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and single compound stable carbon
- 669 isotope analysis by gas chromatography--combustion--isotope ratio mass spectrometry (GC-C-IRMS).
- 670 Microchemical Journal 123, 196–200.

- 671 Piezonka, H., Meadows, J., Hartz, S., Kostyleva, E., Nedomolkina, N., Ivanishcheva, M., Kosorukova, N.,
- Terberger, T., 2016. Stone Age pottery chronology in the Northeast European forest zone: new AMS and
- 673 EA-IRMS results on foodcrusts. Radiocarbon 58, 267-289.
- 674 Quimby, G.I., 1945. Pottery from the Aleutian Islands. Fieldiana Anthropology 36, 1–13.
- 675 Sarychev, G., 1806. Account of a voyage of discovery to the 1807 north-east of Siberia, the frozen ocean
- and the north-east sea. Translated from the Russian and embellished with engravings. Vol.1, 1806; Vol.2,
- 677 1807, J.G. Bernard, London.
- 678 Shoda, S., Lucquin, A., Ahn, J.-H., Hwang, C.-J., Craig, O.E., 2017. Pottery use by early Holocene
- 679 hunter-gatherers of the Korean peninsula closely linked with the exploitation of marine resources.
- 680 Quaternary Science Reviews 170, 164–173.
- Taché, K., Craig, O.E., 2015. Cooperative harvesting of aquatic resources and the beginning of pottery
 production in north-eastern North America. Antiquity 89, 177–190.
- 683 Unger, S., 2014. Qaqamiigux: traditional foods and recipes from the Aleutian and Pribilof Islands:
- nourishing our mind, body and spirit for generations. Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association, Incorporated,Anchorage.
- Van Bergen, P.F., Nott, C.J., Bull, I.D., Poulton, P.R., Evershed, R.P., 1998. Organic geochemical studies
- of soils from the Rothamsted Classical Experiments-IV. Preliminary results from a study of the effect of
- soil pH on organic matter decay. Organic Geochemistry 29, 1779–1795.

689 List of Tables + captions:

- 690Table 1:Prehistory of the Eastern Aleutian Islands: dates, site characteristics, subsistence trends
- and climatic influences. Based on: 1: Davis et al, (2016), 2: Hatfield (2010), 3: Maschner (2016), 4: Mason
- 692 (2001), 5: Magny and Haas (2004), 6: Knecht and Davis, 2008, 7: Corbett and Yarborough (2016)
- 693 Supplementary Table 1: Summary of organic residue analysis results on stone bowls and griddle
- stones from the Aleutian Islands. Blank cells = no analysis, = compound not present
- 695 Supplementary Table 2: Bone collagen data from archaeological contexts of the Aleutian Islands
- and the Alaska Peninsula. Species were identified by ZooMS at the University of York for the following
- 697 samples: 201, 204-5, 209-10, 310-12, 316, and 319.

698 List of Figures + captions

- 699 Figure 1: map of the Aleutian Islands, Alaska Peninsula and Kodiak Island with emphasis on site
- 700 locations mentioned in the text at Unalaska Island (Amaknak Bridge, Margaret Bay, Oiled Blade,
- Tanaxtaxak), Umnak Island (Anangula Blade), and Carlisle Island (Ulyagan).
- 702 Figure 2: Example of two different types of stone bowls fashioned out of differing textured volcanic
- tuff and varying in size and shape: a) UNL48-57: red, more crude textured tuff, thick rim, thin base; b)
- VNL50-51: sand colored fine tuff, finely ground both inside and out c) UNL50-51: base with carbonized
- encrustations (Photographs by M. Admiraal, courtesy of the Museum of the Aleutians).
- Figure 3: Stone bowl with encrustation on the interior. Surface find from Eider Point site (UNL-19)
 probably dating to the Late Aleutian phase (1,000-2,000 cal BP) (Photograph by M. Admiraal, courtesy of
 the Museum of the Aleutians).
- Figure 4: A. UNL55-39 griddle stone with clean center, Late Aleutian phase; B. UNL318-47 griddle
 stone with encrustations in the center, Early Anangula phase (Photographs by M. Admiraal, courtesy of
 the Museum of the Aleutians).
- 712 Figure 5: a typical total ion current (TIC) of an acid/methanol extract of a stone bowl from the

Margaret Bay site (UNL48-61b) showing saturated fatty acids, diacids (DC), branched (br), isoprenoid
acids (4,8,12-TMTD, pristanic, and phytanic acid) and long-chain unsaturated fatty acids.

715 Figure 6: percentage of SSR (SSR%) diastereomer in total phytanic acid in Aleutian artefacts

compared to modern ruminant and aquatic resources (Lucquin et al., 2016a, 2016b).

717 Figure 7: Partial summed mass chromatogram (m/z 105) showing APAA distribution in griddle

518 stone sample AMK3-1030 run on DB23 using AQUASIM method. *: C16, +: C18, #: C20, °: C22

719 Figure 8: a. Modern reference samples of anadromous fish (pink triangles), freshwater fish (green

diamonds), marine fish (blue circles), marine mammals (blue squares) and aquatic birds (yellow

downward triangles) (Bell et al., 2007; Outram et al., 2009; Craig et al., 2011, 2013; Debono Spiteri, 2012;

722 Cramp et al., 2014; Colonese et al., 2015; Horiuchi et al., 2015; Taché and Craig, 2015; Choy et al.,

2016). b. GC-c-IRMS results showing isotopic values of C_{16:0} and C_{18:0} fatty acids of stone bowls (green

circles), griddle stones (yellow squares) and one lamp (blue triangle).

725 Figure 9: Bulk isotope results of stone bowls (green circles), griddle stones (yellow squares) and 726 lamps (blue triangles) compared to Sakhalin pottery (open diamonds) (Gibbs et al., 2017) and European 727 oil lamps (open triangles) (Heron et al., 2013; Heron and Craig, 2015; Piezonka et al., 2016; Oras et al., 728 2017) against archaeological bone collagen data from the Aleutian Islands and the Alaska Peninsula. The 729 collagen δ^{15} N values were adjusted by +2‰ to correct for the collagen to tissue offset in order to make 730 these values more comparable with the food crusts (Fernandes et al., 2015). 731 Figure 10: Schematic diagram of stone bowl and griddle stone relative abundance against the

731 Figure 10. Schematic diagram of stone bowr and griddle stone relative abundance against the
732 Margaret Bay phase sea-ice presence in the Aleutian Islands as inferred by (Crockford and Frederick,
733 2007) on the basis of archaeological faunal assemblages.