



This is a repository copy of *Measurement of the production cross section of three isolated photons in pp collisions at root s=8 TeV using the ATLAS detector.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/133376/>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Aaboud, M, Aad, G, Abbott, B et al. (2847 more authors) (2018) Measurement of the production cross section of three isolated photons in pp collisions at root s=8 TeV using the ATLAS detector. *Physics Letters B*, 781. pp. 55-76. ISSN 0370-2693

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.03.057>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>



Measurement of the production cross section of three isolated photons in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using the ATLAS detector

The ATLAS Collaboration ^{*}



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 21 December 2017
 Received in revised form 19 March 2018
 Accepted 20 March 2018
 Available online 23 March 2018
 Editor: W.-D. Schlatter

ABSTRACT

A measurement of the production of three isolated photons in proton–proton collisions at a centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV is reported. The results are based on an integrated luminosity of 20.2 fb^{-1} collected with the ATLAS detector at the LHC. The differential cross sections are measured as functions of the transverse energy of each photon, the difference in azimuthal angle and in pseudorapidity between pairs of photons, the invariant mass of pairs of photons, and the invariant mass of the triphoton system. A measurement of the inclusive fiducial cross section is also reported. Next-to-leading-order perturbative QCD predictions are compared to the cross-section measurements. The predictions underestimate the measurement of the inclusive fiducial cross section and the differential measurements at low photon transverse energies and invariant masses. They provide adequate descriptions of the measurements at high values of the photon transverse energies, invariant mass of pairs of photons, and invariant mass of the triphoton system.

© 2018 The Author. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Funded by SCOAP³.

1. Introduction

The production of three prompt photons in proton–proton (pp) collisions, $pp \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma + X$, provides a testing ground for perturbative quantum chromodynamics (pQCD). This process is rare in the Standard Model (SM) since the leading-order (LO) contribution to triphoton production is of order α_{EM}^3 . The measurement of triphoton production can be performed in a broader range of kinematic regions than in $2 \rightarrow 2$ reactions such as inclusive-photon [1–4] and diphoton [5–7] production. This provides a complementary test of pQCD in processes with photons in the final state.

Precise measurements of triphoton production can be used to improve the description of this process in Monte Carlo (MC) models. In addition, SM triphoton production provides one of the main irreducible backgrounds for some beyond-the-SM (BSM) searches. Potential BSM processes include the associated production of a photon and an exotic neutral particle decaying into a photon pair ($q\bar{q} \rightarrow X^0\gamma$), where X^0 can be a Kaluza–Klein graviton (G_{KK}) [8–10] or a pseudoscalar (a) [11]. Moreover, triphoton production is also the main background to the predicted decay of the Z boson into three photons. The current upper limit at 95% confidence level on the branching fraction for $Z \rightarrow 3\gamma$ is 2.2×10^{-6} [12].

Three photons can be produced via two main mechanisms: direct and fragmentation production. In the case of the direct

production process, three photons are produced in the hard interaction via the annihilation of an initial-state quark–antiquark pair ($q\bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$). In the fragmentation process, at least one of the photons arises from the fragmentation of a high-transverse-momentum (high- p_{T}) parton ($qg \rightarrow \gamma\gamma q[\gamma]$). Direct photons are typically isolated, while those originating from the fragmentation process are usually accompanied by nearby partons. Measurements of final-state photons include an isolation requirement to reduce background contributions from neutral-hadron decays into photons. As a consequence, signal processes with one or more fragmentation photons are also suppressed.

This Letter presents measurements of three-photon production. The analysis is performed using $20.2 \pm 0.4 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ of ATLAS data at a centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV [13]. The measurements study the topology and kinematics of the individual photons, pairs of photons, and the three-photon system. Differential cross sections are measured as functions of the transverse energy¹ of the leading photon ($E_{\text{T}}^{\gamma_1}$), the second-highest- E_{T} photon ($E_{\text{T}}^{\gamma_2}$) and the third-highest- E_{T} photon ($E_{\text{T}}^{\gamma_3}$); the difference

¹ ATLAS uses a right-handed coordinate system with its origin at the nominal interaction point (IP) in the centre of the detector and the z -axis along the beam pipe. The x -axis points from the IP to the centre of the LHC ring, and the y -axis points upwards. Cylindrical coordinates (r, ϕ) are used in the transverse plane, ϕ being the azimuthal angle around the z -axis measured in radians. The pseudorapidity is defined in terms of the polar angle θ as $\eta = -\text{In} \tan(\theta/2)$. The transverse energy is defined as $E_{\text{T}} = E \sin \theta$, where E is the energy.

^{*} E-mail address: atlas.publications@cern.ch.

in azimuthal angle and in pseudorapidity between pairs of photons ($\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$, $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}$, $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}$, $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}|$, $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}|$, $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}|$); the invariant mass of pairs of photons ($m^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$, $m^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}$ and $m^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}$); and the invariant mass of the triphoton system ($m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma}$). A measurement of the inclusive fiducial cross section is also reported. Photons are required to be isolated based on the amount of transverse energy, excluding the photon contribution, inside a cone of size $\Delta R \equiv \sqrt{(\eta - \eta')^2 + (\phi - \phi')^2} = 0.4$ centred around each photon direction (defined by the photon pseudorapidity η' and azimuthal angle ϕ'). Finally, the measurements are compared to next-to-leading-order (NLO) QCD calculations.

2. ATLAS detector

The ATLAS detector [14] is a multi-purpose detector with a forward–backward symmetric cylindrical geometry. The most relevant systems for the present measurement are the inner detector, immersed in a 2 T magnetic field produced by a thin superconducting solenoid, and the calorimeters. At small radii, the inner detector is made up of fine-granularity pixel and microstrip detectors. These silicon-based detectors cover the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2.5$. A gas-filled straw-tube transition radiation tracker complements the silicon tracker at larger radii in the range $|\eta| < 2.0$ and also provides electron identification capabilities based on transition radiation. The electromagnetic calorimeter is a lead/liquid-argon sampling calorimeter with accordion geometry. The calorimeter is divided into a barrel section covering $|\eta| < 1.475$ and two end-cap sections covering $1.375 < |\eta| < 3.2$. For $|\eta| < 2.5$ it is divided into three layers in depth, which are finely segmented in η and ϕ . A thin presampler layer, covering $|\eta| < 1.8$, is used to correct for fluctuations in upstream energy losses. The hadronic calorimeter in the region $|\eta| < 1.7$ uses steel absorbers with scintillator tiles as the active medium. Liquid-argon with copper absorbers is used in the hadronic end-cap calorimeters, which cover the region $1.5 < |\eta| < 3.2$. Events are selected using a first-level trigger implemented in custom electronics, which reduces the event rate to a value of 75 kHz using a subset of detector information. Software algorithms with access to the full detector information are then used in the high-level trigger to yield a recorded event rate of about 400 Hz [15].

3. Monte Carlo simulations and theoretical predictions

3.1. Monte Carlo simulations

The MC samples were generated to study the characteristics of the signal and background events. The MC program MadGraph 5.1.4.4 [16] interfaced with Pythia 8.186 [17] was used to simulate signal events. The partonic subprocess was simulated by MadGraph to include the leading-order matrix element ($q\bar{q} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$), whereas Pythia was added to include the initial- and final-state parton showers and the fragmentation of partons into hadrons. The LO CTEQ6L1 parton distribution functions (PDFs) [18] are used to parameterise the parton momentum distributions in the proton. To study the effect of the contribution of photon fragmentation, a Pythia MC sample supplemented by QED final-state radiation was generated with LO CTEQ6L1 PDFs. This sample includes the LO diphoton, photon+jet and dijet processes with initial-state and final-state radiation modelled by the parton shower (PS).

The MC program Sherpa 1.4.1 [19] was used to estimate the background arising from electrons misreconstructed as photons. Three processes were simulated with at least one high- p_T electron and photon in the final state: $e^+e^-\gamma$, $e^+e^-\gamma\gamma$, and $e^\pm\nu_e\gamma\gamma$. The matrix elements were calculated with up to three final-state partons at LO in pQCD and used the CT10 PDFs at NLO [20]. The

matrix elements were merged with the Sherpa parton-shower algorithm [21] following the ME+PS@LO prescription [22].

The generated signal and background event samples were passed through the Geant4-based [23] ATLAS detector and trigger simulation programs [24]. The signal and background samples include a simulation of the underlying event (UE) where Pythia event-generator parameters were set according to the ‘‘AU2’’ tune [25]. The generation of the simulated event samples includes the effect of multiple pp interactions per bunch crossing, as well as the effect of the detector response to interactions from bunch crossings before or after the one containing the hard interaction. These MC events were weighted to reproduce the distribution of the average number of interactions per bunch crossing observed in the data. The generated MC events are reconstructed and analysed with the same program chain as the data.

3.2. Next-to-leading-order pQCD predictions

The NLO pQCD predictions presented in this Letter are computed using the programs MCFM [26,27] and MadGraph5_aMC@NLO 2.3.3 [28]. The strong coupling constant is calculated at two loops with $\alpha_S(m_Z) = 0.118$ and the electromagnetic coupling constant is set to $\alpha_{EM} = 1/137$. In addition, the number of massless quark flavours is set to five and the CT10 parameterisations of the proton PDFs at NLO are used.

The MCFM program includes NLO pQCD calculations of the direct contribution, whereas the production of a photon via parton fragmentation is estimated from the LO QCD matrix element multiplied by the BFG II parton-to-photon fragmentation functions [29]. The renormalisation scale μ_R , factorisation scale μ_F and fragmentation scale μ_f are chosen to be $\mu_R = \mu_F = \mu_f = m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma}$. In addition, the MCFM calculations are performed using an isolation criterion which requires the total transverse energy from the partons inside a cone of size $\Delta R = 0.4$ around the photon direction to satisfy $E_T^{\text{iso}} < 10$ GeV. The MCFM NLO pQCD predictions refer to the parton level while the measurements are performed at the particle level. Since the E_T^{iso} requirement at the particle level is applied after the subtraction of the UE transverse energy, it is expected that parton-to-hadron corrections to the NLO pQCD predictions are small. This is confirmed by computing the ratio of the particle-level cross section for a MadGraph sample interfaced with Pythia with UE effects to the computed cross section without hadronisation and UE effects. The ratio is consistent with unity over the measured range of the variables under study. Therefore, no correction is applied to the MCFM NLO pQCD calculations. Deviations from unity of $O(1\%)$ on the parton-to-hadron correction factors are found when the hadronisation and UE effects are included using Herwig++ 7.0.1 [30]. Predictions based on other proton PDF sets, namely MSTW2008 [31] and NNPDF2.1 [32], are also computed. Differences of +5% and +6% in the calculation of the inclusive fiducial cross section are found using the MSTW2008 and NNPDF2.1 PDF sets, respectively, whereas the dependence of the shape of the differential cross sections on the PDF sets is found to be small.

MadGraph5_aMC@NLO calculations include the NLO pQCD contribution of direct processes and apply a smoothly varying isolation cone to the photons [33]. This isolation requirement regularises the photon collinear divergences which appear in the calculation of the matrix element and removes the contribution of photons resulting from the fragmentation of a parton: $E_T^{\text{iso}}(\Delta R) < E_T^\gamma(1 - \cos\Delta R)/(1 - \cos R_0)$, where $R_0 = 0.4$ and $E_T^{\text{iso}}(\Delta R)$ is the sum of the transverse energies of the particles around the photon up to ΔR . The MadGraph5_aMC@NLO calculations are interfaced with Pythia 8.212 [34] in the NLO+PS prescription to include the initial- and final-state parton showers and the hadroni-

sation [35]. The renormalisation and factorisation scales are chosen to be equal to the transverse mass of the clustered jets from the final state partons and photons defined in the matrix element. This choice follows the recommendations in Ref. [28] when interfacing the MadGraph5_aMC@NLO calculations to Pythia. After the generation, the isolation value of the photon is computed by summing the transverse energy of all final-state particles (excluding muons and neutrinos) inside a cone of size $\Delta R = 0.4$ around the photon candidate. Events with $E_T^{\text{iso}} > 10$ GeV for any of the photons are excluded.

4. Event selection

The data considered in this analysis were taken in stable beam conditions and satisfy detector and data-quality requirements. Events are recorded using a diphoton trigger with a transverse energy threshold of 20 GeV. The trigger efficiency for pairs of isolated photons with $E_T^\gamma > 22$ GeV and $|\eta^\gamma| < 2.37$ is higher than 99%. Events are required to have a reconstructed primary vertex with at least two associated tracks with $p_T > 500$ MeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$, consistent with originating from the same three-dimensional spot within the luminous region of the colliding proton beams. If multiple primary vertices are reconstructed, the one with the highest sum of the p_T^2 of the associated tracks is selected as the primary vertex.

Photon and electron candidates are reconstructed from clusters of energy deposited in the electromagnetic calorimeter. Candidates without a matching track or reconstructed conversion vertex in the inner detector are classified as unconverted photons [36]. Those with a matching reconstructed conversion vertex or a matching track consistent with originating from a photon conversion are classified as converted photons. Photons reconstructed within $|\eta^\gamma| < 2.37$ are retained. Those in the transition region between the barrel and end-caps ($1.37 < |\eta^\gamma| < 1.56$) or regions of the calorimeter affected by read-out or high-voltage failures are not considered in the event reconstruction.

Photon candidates passing loose identification requirements, based on the energy leaking into the hadronic calorimeter and the lateral shower shape in the second layer of the electromagnetic calorimeter, are retained [1,2]. The photon cluster energies are corrected using an in situ calibration based on the $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ reconstructed mass peak [37]. Once these corrections are applied, the three reconstructed photons with the highest transverse energies $E_T^{\gamma_1}$, $E_T^{\gamma_2}$ and $E_T^{\gamma_3}$ in each event are retained. Events with $E_T^{\gamma_1}$, $E_T^{\gamma_2}$ and $E_T^{\gamma_3}$ greater than 27 GeV, 22 GeV and 15 GeV, respectively, and with a ΔR distance in the η - ϕ plane above 0.45 between pairs of photons, are selected. Additionally, the invariant mass of the triphoton system $m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma}$ is required to be above 50 GeV. This requirement corresponds to the minimum value of $m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma}$ predicted at particle level by the signal MC sample described in Section 3.

Two further criteria are used to define the signal region and the background-enriched regions used to estimate the jet-to-photon misidentification background. A tight photon-identification selection [36] is applied to reject hadronic jet background, by imposing requirements on nine discriminating variables (referred to as “shower shapes”) computed from the energy leaking into the hadronic calorimeter and the lateral and longitudinal shower development in the electromagnetic calorimeter. The efficiency of this selection for one photon is $\approx 67\%$ ($>90\%$) for $E_T^\gamma \approx 15$ GeV (>100 GeV). For the MC simulations, the shower-shape variables are shifted to correct for small differences in the average values between data and the simulation. In addition, E_T^γ - and η^γ -dependent factors are applied to correct for the residual mismatch between the photon identification efficiencies in the simulation and the data. The isolation of the photon E_T^{iso} is based on the amount

of transverse energy inside a cone of size $\Delta R = 0.4$ in the η - ϕ plane around the photon candidates, excluding an area of size $\Delta\eta \times \Delta\phi = 0.125 \times 0.175$ centred on the photon energy cluster. The isolation transverse energy is computed from the topological clusters of calorimeter cells [38]. The measured E_T^{iso} is corrected for the leakage of the photon’s energy into the isolation cone and the estimated contributions from the UE and pile-up. These latter two corrections are computed simultaneously on an event-by-event basis and the combined correction is typically between 1.5 and 2.0 GeV [3]. The E_T^{iso} value for isolated photons is required to be lower than $E_T^{\text{iso}} = 0.025 \cdot E_T^\gamma + 2.7$ [GeV]. The efficiency of the isolation requirement is typically above 80% and increases as a function of E_T^γ . The number of data events selected in the signal region is 1085. For background studies, two alternative categories of photons are defined. First, non-tight photon candidates are defined as those passing the loose selection but not satisfying the tight identification criteria for at least one of the shower-shape variables computed from the energy deposits in cells of the first layer of the EM calorimeter. Second, non-isolated photon candidates are defined to have $E_T^{\text{iso}} > 0.025 \cdot E_T^\gamma + 4.7$ [GeV].

5. Background estimation and signal extraction

The background contributions to the signal come from high- p_T jets and electrons that are misidentified as isolated photons (referred to as jet and electron backgrounds). The estimation of these backgrounds is explained in the following.

5.1. e - γ misidentification

The number of background events due to e - γ misidentification is estimated using the MC samples listed in Section 3.1. The Sherpa MC events were weighted to correct the e - γ misidentification rates to match those found in data (referred to as e - γ scale factors in the following). These weights were estimated from $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ events where at least either the electron or the positron was reconstructed as a photon. The expected number of electron background events in the signal region is 71 ± 2 (stat), which corresponds to $(6.5 \pm 0.2)\%$ of the selected events. A systematic uncertainty is computed by propagating the uncertainty in the e - γ scale factors to the estimation of the yield (see Section 7).

The normalisation of the MC samples is tested by fitting the signal, e - γ and jet- γ misidentification contributions to the data as a function of $m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma}$ in the region $50 < m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma} < 125$ GeV. Since 86% of electron background events come from processes where a photon is emitted by an electron or positron originating from the decay of a Z boson ($pp \rightarrow Z \rightarrow e^+e^- \gamma$), a peak around $m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma} \approx m_Z$ is expected. To enhance the relative contribution of electrons that are misidentified as photons, only events with at least one converted photon are considered. Signal and electron background MC events are used to describe the shape of the $m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma}$ distribution, whereas data events with at least one non-tight identified photon are used to describe the jet background contribution. The fit gives an electron background yield that is consistent with the MC estimation, since it predicts a correction factor equal to 1.0 ± 0.4 (stat). Moreover, the result of the fit is found to be independent of the definition of non-tight identified photons and a change of $<2\%$ is found when the isolation requirement is loosened by 1 GeV.

5.2. Jet- γ misidentification

A large background from jet- γ misidentification remains in the selected sample, even after imposing the tight identification and isolation requirements on the photons. The jet background originates from multi-jet (jjj), photon + jets (γjj), and diphoton +

jets ($\gamma\gamma j$) processes in which at least one jet is misidentified as a photon. The two-dimensional-sideband method exploited in Refs. [2,3,5,39–41] to measure the inclusive photon and diphoton differential cross sections is used to perform an in situ statistical subtraction of the background. The method uses the photon isolation energy and photon identification criteria to discriminate prompt photons from jets. It relies on the fact that the correlations between the isolation and identification variables in jet background events are small, and that the signal contamination in the non-tight or non-isolated control region is low.

The two-dimensional-sideband method counts all combinations of photons meeting or failing to meet the tight identification or isolation criteria. Four categories are defined for each photon, resulting in 64 categories of events where 63 of these categories correspond to jjj , γjj , and $\gamma\gamma j$ background-enriched regions. The inputs of the method are the number of events in each category, the correlation between the isolation and identification variables in jet background events (R^{bg}), the signal leakage fractions in non-tight and non-isolated regions, and the expected number of electron background events in each category. The correlation between the isolation and identification variables is taken to be negligible ($R^{\text{bg}} = 1.0$) based on studies in simulated background samples and on data in a background-dominated region [3]. The signal leakage fractions and electron-background events are estimated using the MC samples described in Section 3.1.

The method allows the extraction of the number of true three-photon signal events ($N^{\gamma\gamma\gamma}$), the number of events where at least one, two and three candidates are true jets and the tight and isolation efficiencies for fake photon candidates from jets (“fake rates”). The number of events in each category is expressed as a function of the following parameters: signal, electron- and jet-background yields, signal leakage fractions, fake rates, and R^{bg} . Then, the system of 64 independent equations is grouped into 21 dependent linear equations which are solved iteratively using a χ^2 minimisation procedure. The size of each bin of the observables under study is chosen to have a sufficiently large number of events to apply this method bin-by-bin. The statistical uncertainty of the signal and jet background-enriched regions is propagated to the estimation of the three-photon signal yield via pseudo-experiments.

The signal purity, defined as $N^{\gamma\gamma\gamma}/N_{\text{SR}}$, where N_{SR} is the number of selected events in the signal region, is found to be $(55 \pm 5)\%$ (stat), with a value of $\approx 45\%$ ($\approx 60\%$) at low (high) E_{T}^{γ} . The fractions of $\gamma\gamma j$, γjj and jjj events are $(33 \pm 2)\%$ (stat), $(5 \pm 2)\%$ (stat) and $(0.2 \pm 0.2)\%$ (stat) respectively. Systematic uncertainties are assigned to the modelling of the non-tight and non-isolated signal leakage fractions and to the value of R^{bg} (see Section 7).

6. Unfolding to particle level

The production cross section for three isolated photons is measured as functions of $E_{\text{T}}^{\gamma_1}$, $E_{\text{T}}^{\gamma_2}$, $E_{\text{T}}^{\gamma_3}$, $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$, $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}$, $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}$, $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}|$, $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}|$, $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}|$, $m^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$, $m^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}$, $m^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}$ and $m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma}$. The fiducial phase-space region is listed in Table 1. The predictions of the MC generators at particle level are defined using those particles with a lifetime τ longer than 30 ps; these particles are referred to as “stable”. The particles associated with the overlaid pp collisions are not considered. The particle-level isolation requirement on the photons is built by summing the transverse energy of all stable particles, except for muons and neutrinos, in a cone of size $\Delta R = 0.4$ around the photon direction. The contribution from the UE is subtracted using the same procedure as applied to the data at the reconstruction level [3]. The data distributions after background subtraction are unfolded to the particle level using

Table 1
Fiducial phase-space region defined at particle level.

Requirements on	Phase-space region
E_{T}^{γ}	$E_{\text{T}}^{\gamma_1} > 27$ GeV, $E_{\text{T}}^{\gamma_2} > 22$ GeV, $E_{\text{T}}^{\gamma_3} > 15$ GeV
$m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma}$	$m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma} > 50$ GeV
$\Delta R^{\gamma\gamma}$	$\Delta R^{\gamma\gamma} > 0.45$
$ \eta^{\gamma} $	$ \eta^{\gamma} < 2.37$ (excluding $1.37 < \eta^{\gamma} < 1.56$)
Isolation	$E_{\text{T}}^{\text{iso}} < 10$ GeV

bin-by-bin correction factors determined using the signal MC sample. The correction factors take into account the efficiency of the event and photon selection criteria and the small migration effects. Of the signal events reconstructed in a given bin, the fraction that are generated in the same bin is typically found to be $> 93\%$. The data distributions are unfolded to the particle level via the formula

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dA}(i) = \frac{N^{\text{sig}}(i)C(i)}{\Delta A(i)\mathcal{L}},$$

where for a given bin i , $(d\sigma/dA)$ is the differential cross section as a function of observable A , N^{sig} is the number of background-subtracted data events, C is the correction factor, \mathcal{L} is the integrated luminosity and ΔA is the width of the bin. The correction factors are computed using the MC sample of events as $C(i) = N_{\text{part}}^{\text{MC}}(i)/N_{\text{reco}}^{\text{MC}}(i)$, where $N_{\text{part}}^{\text{MC}}(i)$ is the number of events which satisfy the kinematic constraints of the phase-space region at the particle level, and $N_{\text{reco}}^{\text{MC}}(i)$ is the number of events which fulfil all the selection criteria at the reconstruction level. The correction factors vary between 1.5 and 3.3 as functions of photon transverse energy, invariant mass of pairs of photons, and the invariant mass of the triphoton system, whereas they have a constant value close to 2.5 as functions of the difference in azimuthal angle and in pseudorapidity between pairs of photons.

7. Experimental and theoretical uncertainties

7.1. Experimental uncertainties

The sources of experimental systematic uncertainty that affect the measurements are the photon energy scale and resolution, photon identification, jet and electron background subtraction, modelling of the photon isolation, the photon fragmentation contribution, the unfolding procedure and the luminosity.

- **Photon energy scale and resolution.** The uncertainty due to the photon energy scale is estimated by varying the photon energies in the MC simulation [37]. This uncertainty mostly affects the $C(i)$ correction factor. The effect of this variation on the estimation of the cross section is typically $< 2\%$. In addition, the uncertainty in the energy resolution is estimated by smearing photon energies in the MC simulation as described in Ref. [37]. The resulting uncertainty in the cross section is typically $< 0.1\%$.
- **Photon identification efficiency.** The uncertainty in the photon identification efficiency is estimated from the effect of differences between shower-shape variable distributions in data and simulation [36]. This uncertainty affects the estimation of the non-tight signal leakage fractions and the $C(i)$ correction factor and is fully correlated between photons. The correlation between tight and non-tight identification variables is also considered in the propagation of the uncertainty. The resulting uncertainty in the cross section is $\approx 10\%$ ($\approx 4\%$) at low (high) E_{T}^{γ} .
- **Photon identification and isolation correlation in the background.** The photon isolation and identification variables used

to define the two-dimensional background sidebands are assumed to be independent in jet background events ($R^{\text{bg}} = 1.0$). Any correlation between these variables affects the estimation of signal purity and leads to systematic uncertainties in the background-subtraction procedure. The value of R^{bg} is estimated using background MC samples and is found to be consistent with unity within $\pm 10\%$ [3,41]. This value of R^{bg} is verified using background-enriched regions in data. The assumption of $R^{\text{bg}} = 1.0$ is found to hold within $\pm 10\%$ in the kinematic region of the measurements presented here. The resulting uncertainty in the cross section is $\approx 8\%$ ($\approx 4\%$) at low (high) E_T^γ .

- **Photon isolation modelling.** Differences between data and signal MC events in the modelling of the isolation distribution can lead to systematic uncertainties in the estimation of the non-isolated signal leakage fractions and the $C(i)$ correction factor. Two subsamples are selected from data by applying either the tight or non-tight identification criteria to each photon; the subsample selected with non-tight identification criteria is expected to be enriched in background candidates. The E_T^{iso} value for the non-tight candidates is scaled so that the integral for $E_T^{\text{iso}} > 10$ GeV, where the contribution from the signal is expected to be negligible, matches that of the tight candidates. The rescaled background distribution is subtracted from that of the tight photon candidates to extract the isolation profile of signal-like candidates. These distributions are used to derive Smirnov transformations [36]. The Smirnov transformation shifts the photon isolation values event-by-event in MC simulation to match the isolation distribution found in data. This Smirnov-transformed MC sample is used to estimate new differential cross sections. Differences from the nominal results are taken as systematic uncertainties. The resulting uncertainty in the cross section is $\approx 7\%$ ($\approx 4\%$) at low (high) E_T^γ .
- **Photon fragmentation contribution.** The admixture of direct and fragmentation photons affects the estimation of the signal leakage fractions which are used in the jet background subtraction procedure and the $C(i)$ correction factor. A photon originating from the fragmentation of a parton can be modelled in the MC simulation by allowing the radiation of a photon by a parton. A sample of fragmentation photons is selected by applying the event selection to a diphoton MC sample (see Section 3.1). This selects three-photon events where at least one of the final-state photons results from fragmentation. The diphoton MC sample predicts that for more than 98% of the events the sub-sub-leading photon originates from parton bremsstrahlung. Differences in the isolation distributions between direct and fragmentation photons are expected. Therefore, a template fit to the sub-sub-leading photon isolation distribution is performed to determine the optimal admixture of the nominal and diphoton MC samples. The direct and fragmentation isolation templates are given by the nominal and diphoton MC samples respectively, whereas the jet background template is taken from a data control region where the sub-sub-leading photon candidate satisfies the non-tight selection. The fit estimates that about 40% of the sub-sub-leading photons originate from fragmentation, as modelled by the diphoton MC sample. This value is used to merge the nominal and diphoton MC samples. The new MC sample is used to estimate the signal leakage fractions and the $C(i)$ correction factors. The deviation of the differential cross section from the value obtained using the Smirnov-transformed MC sample is taken as the systematic uncertainty. This avoids double counting the effect of the photon isolation modelling. The resulting uncertainty in the cross section is $\approx 4\%$.

Table 2

Breakdown of the relative systematic uncertainties in the measurement of the inclusive fiducial cross section.

Source	Relative systematic uncertainty
Photon identification efficiency	7.9%
Identification and isolation correlation in the background	7.7%
Photon isolation modelling	5.8%
Photon fragmentation contribution	3.9%
Photon energy scale and resolution	1.6%
Unfolding	0.6%
$e-\gamma$ misidentification	0.1%
Measurement of the integrated luminosity	1.9%
Total	13%

- **$e-\gamma$ misidentification.** The uncertainty in the electron background contamination is estimated by propagating the uncertainty in the $e-\gamma$ scale factors (see Section 5.1), which affects the prediction of the $e-\gamma$ misidentification rates, to the estimation of the cross section. The resulting uncertainty is $\approx 0.1\%$.
- **Unfolding procedure.** The effect of unfolding is investigated by using smooth functions to re-weight the signal MC simulation to match the data distributions after background subtraction. The data are unfolded using this reweighted MC sample and the resulting cross sections are compared to the nominal measurements. The differential cross sections are found to differ by $< 1\%$.
- **Other sources.** The effect of different amounts of pile-up is estimated by comparing the ratio of data to MC simulated signal for high and low pile-up samples. No dependence of this ratio on pile-up conditions is found. In addition, the effect of the trigger efficiency on the estimation of the cross section is found to be $< 0.3\%$. The uncertainty in the integrated luminosity is 1.9% [13].

The total systematic uncertainty is computed by adding in quadrature the uncertainties from the sources listed above and is found to be $\approx 13\%$. It decreases as a function of E_T^γ from $\approx 15\%$ to $\approx 10\%$. For regions with $E_T^{\gamma_1} \gtrsim 50$ GeV, $E_T^{\gamma_2} \gtrsim 50$ GeV and $E_T^{\gamma_3} \gtrsim 30$ GeV, the uncertainty of the measurements is dominated by the statistical uncertainty of the data. Table 2 shows the breakdown of the systematic uncertainties in the measurement of the inclusive fiducial cross section. The statistical uncertainty in the measured inclusive fiducial cross section is $\approx 9\%$.

7.2. Theoretical uncertainties

The following sources of uncertainty in the theoretical predictions are considered for the MCFM and MadGraph5_aMC@NLO calculations.

- The uncertainty in the NLO QCD calculations due to terms beyond NLO is estimated by repeating the calculations using values of μ_R , μ_F and μ_f scaled by factors 0.5 and 2. For the MadGraph5_aMC@NLO calculations, only the μ_R and μ_F scales are varied. In addition, the scales are either varied simultaneously, individually or by fixing one and varying the other two. The final uncertainty is taken as the largest deviation of the possible variations with respect to the nominal value.
- The uncertainty in the NLO QCD calculations due to uncertainties in the proton PDFs is estimated by repeating the calculations using the 52 additional sets from the CT10 error analysis [20].
- The uncertainty in the NLO QCD calculations due to the value of $\alpha_S(m_Z) = 0.118$ is estimated by repeating the calculations




Fig. 1. Measured differential cross sections for the production of three isolated photons (dots) as functions of (a) $E_T^{\gamma_1}$, (b) $E_T^{\gamma_2}$ and (c) $E_T^{\gamma_3}$. The NLO QCD calculations from MCFM and MadGraph5_aMC@NLO are also shown. The thickness of each theoretical prediction corresponds to the theoretical uncertainty. The bottom part of each figure shows the ratios of predicted and measured differential cross sections. The red inner (black outer) error bars represent the systematic uncertainties (the statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature). For most of the data points, the inner error bars are smaller than the marker size and thus not visible. (For interpretation of the colours in the figure(s), the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

using two additional sets of proton PDFs [20] employing different values of $\alpha_s(m_Z)$, namely $\alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.116$ and 0.120 .

The dominant theoretical uncertainty in the predicted cross section arises from the missing terms beyond NLO and amounts to 10–12%. The uncertainty arising from the PDF variations amounts to 2–3% and the uncertainty arising from the value of $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ is below 2%. The total theoretical uncertainty is obtained by adding in quadrature the individual uncertainties listed above and amounts to 10–13%.

8. Results

The measured inclusive fiducial cross section for the production of three isolated photons in the phase-space region given in Table 1 is

$$\sigma_{\text{meas}} = 72.6 \pm 6.5 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 9.2 \text{ (syst.) fb},$$

where “stat.” and “syst.” denote the statistical and systematic uncertainties. The fiducial cross sections predicted at NLO by MCFM and MadGraph5_aMC@NLO are

$$\sigma_{\text{NLO}} = 31.5^{+3.2}_{-2.5} \text{ fb (MCFM)},$$

$$\sigma_{\text{NLO+PS}} = 46.6^{+5.7}_{-3.6} \text{ fb (MadGraph5_aMC@NLO)}.$$

The NLO QCD calculations underestimate the measured inclusive fiducial cross section by factors of 2.3 and 1.6 for MCFM and MadGraph5_aMC@NLO, respectively. The addition of the parton shower to the MadGraph5_aMC@NLO prediction improves the agreement with the measured value. The NLO electroweak corrections are small and cannot account for the observed differences between NLO QCD and the measurements [42]. Similar discrepancies between the NLO calculations and the measurements are found for the prediction of the inclusive fiducial cross section for $\gamma\gamma$, $W\gamma\gamma$ and $Z\gamma\gamma$ production [5,43,44]. The NNLO calculations, which are available for the computation of $\gamma\gamma$ but not for $\gamma\gamma\gamma$ production, significantly improve the description of the diphoton fiducial cross section [6,45].

Fig. 1 shows the three-isolated-photons differential cross sections as functions of $E_T^{\gamma_1}$, $E_T^{\gamma_2}$ and $E_T^{\gamma_3}$. The measurements are compared to NLO QCD predictions from MCFM and MadGraph5_aMC@NLO. The NLO QCD calculations fail to describe the regions of low $E_T^{\gamma_1}$, $E_T^{\gamma_2}$ and $E_T^{\gamma_3}$. Differences of up to 60% are observed




Fig. 2. Measured differential cross sections for the production of three isolated photons (dots) as functions of (a) $m^{\gamma\gamma_2}$, (b) $m^{\gamma\gamma_3}$, (c) $m^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}$ and (d) $m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma}$. The NLO QCD calculations from MCFM and MadGraph5_aMC@NLO are also shown. The thickness of each theoretical prediction corresponds to the theoretical uncertainty. The bottom part of each figure shows the ratios of predicted and measured differential cross sections. The red inner (black outer) error bars represent the systematic uncertainties (the statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature). For most of the data points, the inner error bars are smaller than the marker size and thus not visible.

between data and the predictions. The description of the measurements by the theory is improved at high E_T^γ . In particular, MadGraph5_aMC@NLO calculations describe the measured cross sections for $E_T^{\gamma_2} \gtrsim 50$ GeV and $E_T^{\gamma_3} \gtrsim 30$ GeV within the statistical and systematic uncertainties, whereas MCFM describes the data only at the highest values of $E_T^{\gamma_1}$, $E_T^{\gamma_2}$ and $E_T^{\gamma_3}$.

A comparison of the NLO calculations to the measurements as functions of $m^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$, $m^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}$, $m^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}$ and $m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma}$ is shown in Fig. 2. The MCFM calculations underestimate the measurements by 50% in the low invariant mass regions, whereas the differences are 30–40% for $m^{\gamma_1\gamma_2} \gtrsim 150$ GeV, $m^{\gamma_1\gamma_3} \gtrsim 75$ GeV, $m^{\gamma_2\gamma_3} \gtrsim 75$ GeV and $m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma} \gtrsim 150$ GeV. The MadGraph5_aMC@NLO calculations also underestimate the data by 30–50% in the low invariant mass regions. However, they tend to give a better description of the measurements for $m^{\gamma_1\gamma_2} \gtrsim 150$ GeV, $m^{\gamma_1\gamma_3} \gtrsim 75$ GeV, $m^{\gamma_2\gamma_3} \gtrsim 75$ GeV and $m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma} \gtrsim 150$ GeV. For such regions, MadGraph5_aMC@NLO predictions are 25–30% higher than the MCFM estimates.

Fig. 3 shows the three-isolated-photons differential cross sections as functions of $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$, $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}$, $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}$, $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}|$, $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}|$ and $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}|$. The theoretical calculations underestimate the normalisation of the measurements. This is due to the fact that these distributions are mainly populated by low- E_T^γ photons. Both NLO

QCD calculations give an adequate description of the shape of the differential cross sections as functions of $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}|$, $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}|$ and $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}|$. A quantitative comparison of the NLO QCD predictions to the measurements as functions of $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$, $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}$ and $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}$ is performed with a χ^2 fit to the cross-section normalisation including both statistical and systematic uncertainties. This tests the description of the shape of the differential cross sections. The total systematic uncertainty is considered to be fully correlated across bins and is included in the χ^2 definition using nuisance parameters. After the χ^2 minimisation, scale factors equal to ≈ 1.6 (MadGraph5_aMC@NLO) and ≈ 2.3 (MCFM) are found for each angular distribution independently. Both theoretical predictions give an adequate description of the shape of $d\sigma/d\Delta\phi^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}$ ($\chi^2/\text{ndof} = 6/5$ and $7/5$ for MadGraph5_aMC@NLO and MCFM, respectively, where ndof is the number of degree of freedom). In addition, MadGraph5_aMC@NLO calculations describe adequately the shape of $d\sigma/d\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$ and $d\sigma/d\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}$ ($\chi^2/\text{ndof} = 6/5$ and $7/5$, respectively) but not MCFM ($\chi^2/\text{ndof} = 13/5$ and $14/5$, respectively). This shows the importance of the addition of the parton shower to improve the description of the shape of $d\sigma/d\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$ and $d\sigma/d\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}$.




Fig. 3. Measured differential cross sections for the production of three isolated photons (dots) as functions of (a) $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$, (b) $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}$, (c) $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}$, (d) $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}|$, (e) $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}|$ and (f) $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}|$. The NLO QCD calculations from MCFM and MadGraph5_aMC@NLO are also shown. The thickness of each theoretical prediction corresponds to the theoretical uncertainty. The bottom part of each figure shows the ratios of predicted and measured differential cross sections. The red inner (black outer) error bars represent the systematic uncertainties (the statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature). For some of the data points, the inner error bars are smaller than the marker size and thus not visible.

9. Summary

A measurement of the production cross section of three isolated photons in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector at the LHC is presented using a data set with an integrated luminosity of 20.2 fb^{-1} . Differential cross sections as functions of $E_T^{\gamma_1}, E_T^{\gamma_2}, E_T^{\gamma_3}, m^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}, m^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}, m^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}, m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma}, \Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}, \Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}, \Delta\phi^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}, |\Delta\eta^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}|, |\Delta\eta^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}|,$ and $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}|$ are measured for photons with $E_T^{\gamma_1} > 27$ GeV, $E_T^{\gamma_2} > 22$ GeV, $E_T^{\gamma_3} > 15$ GeV, $m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma} > 50$ GeV, and $|\eta^\gamma| < 2.37$, excluding the region $1.37 < |\eta^\gamma| < 1.56$. The distance between pairs of photons in the η - ϕ plane is required to be $\Delta R > 0.45$. The selection of isolated photons is ensured by requiring that the transverse energy in a cone of size $\Delta R = 0.4$ around the photon is smaller than 10 GeV.

The inclusive fiducial cross section is measured to be $\sigma_{\text{meas}} = 72.6 \pm 6.5$ (stat.) ± 9.2 (syst.) fb. The NLO QCD calculations underestimate the measured inclusive fiducial cross section by a factor 2.3 for MCFM and 1.6 for MadGraph5_aMC@NLO. Both NLO QCD predictions underestimate the measurements in the low transverse energy and invariant mass regions. The MadGraph5_aMC@NLO predictions give an adequate description of the measured cross-section distributions for $E_T^{\gamma_2} \gtrsim 50$ GeV and $E_T^{\gamma_3} \gtrsim 30$ GeV and for $m^{\gamma_1\gamma_2} \gtrsim 150$ GeV, $m^{\gamma_1\gamma_3} \gtrsim 75$ GeV, $m^{\gamma_2\gamma_3} \gtrsim 75$ GeV and $m^{\gamma\gamma\gamma} \gtrsim 150$ GeV. Both NLO calculations give an adequate description of the shape of the measured cross section as functions of $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}|, |\Delta\eta^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}|$ and $|\Delta\eta^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}|$, whereas they underestimate the normalisation of the measurements. In addition, both theoretical predictions inadequately describe the normalisation of the measurements as functions of $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}, \Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}$ and $\Delta\phi^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}$. MCFM predictions give an adequate description of the shape of $d\sigma/d\Delta\phi^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}$ and fail to describe the shape of $d\sigma/d\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$ and $d\sigma/d\Delta\phi^{\gamma_1\gamma_3}$, whereas MadGraph5_aMC@NLO predictions give an adequate description of the shape of the measured cross sections as functions of all three angular variables. The measurements provide a test of pQCD for the description of the dynamics of triphoton production and indicate the need for improved modelling of this process in MC models.

Acknowledgements

We thank CERN for the very successful operation of the LHC, as well as the support staff from our institutions without whom ATLAS could not be operated efficiently.

We acknowledge the support of ANPCyT, Argentina; YerPhI, Armenia; ARC, Australia; BMWFW and FWF, Austria; ANAS, Azerbaijan; SSTC, Belarus; CNPq and FAPESP, Brazil; NSERC, NRC and CFI, Canada; CERN; CONICYT, Chile; CAS, MOST and NSFC, China; COLCIENCIAS, Colombia; MSMT CR, MPO CR and VSC CR, Czech Republic; DNRF and DNSRC, Denmark; IN2P3-CNRS, CEA-DRF/IRFU, France; SRNSFG, Georgia; BMBF, HGF, and MPG, Germany; GSRT, Greece; RGC, Hong Kong SAR, China; ISF, I-CORE and Benozio Center, Israel; INFN, Italy; MEXT and JSPS, Japan; CNRST, Morocco; NWO, Netherlands; RCN, Norway; MNiSW and NCN, Poland; FCT, Portugal; MNE/IFA, Romania; MES of Russia and NRC KI, Russian Federation; JINR; MESTD, Serbia; MSSR, Slovakia; ARRS and MIZŠ, Slovenia; DST/NRF, South Africa; MINECO, Spain; SRC and Wallenberg Foundation, Sweden; SERI, SNSF and Cantons of Bern and Geneva, Switzerland; MOST, Taiwan; TAEK, Turkey; STFC, United Kingdom; DOE and NSF, United States of America. In addition, individual groups and members have received support from BCKDF, the Canada Council, Canarie, CRC, Compute Canada, FQRNT, and the Ontario Innovation Trust, Canada; EPLANET, ERC, ERDF, FP7, Horizon 2020 and Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions, European Union; Investissements d’Avenir Labex and Idex, ANR, Région Auvergne and Fondation Partager le Savoir, France; DFG and AvH Foundation,

Germany; Herakleitos, Thales and Aristeia programmes co-financed by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; BSF, GIF and Minerva, Israel; BRF, Norway; CERCA Programme Generalitat de Catalunya, Generalitat Valenciana, Spain; the Royal Society and Leverhulme Trust, United Kingdom.

The crucial computing support from all WLCG partners is acknowledged gratefully, in particular from CERN, the ATLAS Tier-1 facilities at TRIUMF (Canada), NDGF (Denmark, Norway, Sweden), CC-IN2P3 (France), KIT/GridKA (Germany), INFN-CNAF (Italy), NL-T1 (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), ASGC (Taiwan), RAL (UK) and BNL (USA), the Tier-2 facilities worldwide and large non-WLCG resource providers. Major contributors of computing resources are listed in Ref. [46].

References

- [1] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the inclusive isolated prompt photon cross-section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV using 35 pb^{-1} of ATLAS data, Phys. Lett. B 706 (2011) 150, arXiv:1108.0253 [hep-ex].
- [2] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the inclusive isolated prompt photons cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector using 4.6 fb^{-1} , Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 052004, arXiv:1311.1440 [hep-ex].
- [3] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the inclusive isolated prompt photon cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2016) 005, arXiv:1605.03495 [hep-ex].
- [4] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the isolated prompt photon production cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 082001, arXiv:1012.0799 [hep-ex].
- [5] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of isolated-photon pair production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2013) 086, arXiv:1211.1913 [hep-ex].
- [6] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurements of integrated and differential cross sections for isolated photon pair production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Rev. D 95 (2017) 112005, arXiv:1704.03839 [hep-ex].
- [7] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of differential cross sections for the production of a pair of isolated photons in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C 74 (2014) 3129, arXiv:1405.7225 [hep-ex].
- [8] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, G.R. Dvali, The hierarchy problem and new dimensions at a millimeter, Phys. Lett. B 429 (1998) 263, arXiv:hep-ph/980315.
- [9] L. Randall, R. Sundrum, A large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 3370, arXiv:hep-ph/9905221.
- [10] G. Das, P. Mathews, Neutral triple vector boson production in Randall-Sundrum Model at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) 094034, arXiv:1507.08857 [hep-ph].
- [11] N. Toro, I. Yavin, Multiphotons and photon jets from new heavy vector bosons, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 055005, arXiv:1202.6377 [hep-ph].
- [12] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for new phenomena in events with at least three photons collected in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 210, arXiv:1509.05051 [hep-ex].
- [13] ATLAS Collaboration, Luminosity determination in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using the ATLAS detector at the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 653, arXiv:1608.03953 [hep-ex].
- [14] ATLAS Collaboration, The ATLAS experiment at the CERN large hadron collider, J. Instrum. 3 (2008) S08003.
- [15] ATLAS Collaboration, Performance of the ATLAS trigger system in 2010, Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 1849, arXiv:1110.1530 [hep-ex].
- [16] J. Alwall, M. Herquet, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, T. Stelzer, MadGraph 5: going beyond, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2011) 128, arXiv:1106.0522 [hep-ph].
- [17] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, P. Skands, A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1, Comput. Phys. Commun. 178 (2008) 852, arXiv:0710.3820 [hep-ph].
- [18] J. Pumplin, et al., New generation of parton distributions with uncertainties from global QCD analysis, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2002) 012, arXiv:hep-ph/0201195.
- [19] T. Gleisberg, et al., Event generation with SHERPA 1.1, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2009) 007, arXiv:0811.4622 [hep-ph].
- [20] H.L. Lai, et al., New parton distributions for collider physics, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 074024, arXiv:1007.2241 [hep-ph].
- [21] S. Schumann, F. Krauss, A parton shower algorithm based on Catani-Seymour dipole factorisation, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2008) 038, arXiv:0709.1027 [hep-ph].
- [22] S. Höche, F. Krauss, S. Schumann, F. Siegert, QCD matrix elements and truncated showers, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2009) 053, arXiv:0903.1219 [hep-ph].
- [23] S. Agostinelli, et al., GEANT4 – a simulation toolkit, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 506 (2003) 250.
- [24] ATLAS Collaboration, The ATLAS simulation infrastructure, Eur. Phys. J. C 70 (2010) 823, arXiv:1005.4568 [physics.ins-det].

- [25] ATLAS Collaboration, Summary of ATLAS Pythia 8 tunes, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2012-003, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1474107>, 2012.
- [26] J.M. Campbell, R.K. Ellis, C. Williams, MCFM v7.0: A Monte Carlo for FeMtobarn Processes at Hadron Colliders, Users Guide, 2015, <https://mcfm.fnal.gov/mcfm.pdf>.
- [27] J.M. Campbell, C. Williams, Triphoton production at hadron colliders, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 113001, arXiv:1403.2641 [hep-ph].
- [28] J. Alwall, et al., The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2014) 079, arXiv:1405.0301 [hep-ph].
- [29] L. Bourhis, M. Fontannaz, J.Ph. Guillet, Quarks and gluon fragmentation functions into photons, Eur. Phys. J. C 2 (1998) 529, arXiv:hep-ph/9704447.
- [30] M. Bähr, et al., Herwig++ physics and manual, Eur. Phys. J. C 58 (2008) 639, arXiv:0803.0883 [hep-ph].
- [31] A.D. Martin, W.J. Stirling, R.S. Thorne, G. Watt, Parton distributions for the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C 63 (2009) 189, arXiv:0901.0002 [hep-ph].
- [32] R.D. Ball, et al., Impact of heavy quark masses on parton distributions and LHC phenomenology, Nucl. Phys. B 849 (2011) 296, arXiv:1101.1300 [hep-ph].
- [33] S. Frixione, Isolated photons in perturbative QCD, Phys. Lett. B 429 (1998) 369, arXiv:hep-ph/9801442.
- [34] T. Sjöstrand, et al., An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2, Comput. Phys. Commun. 191 (2015) 159, arXiv:1410.3012 [hep-ph].
- [35] S. Frixione, B.R. Webber, Matching NLO QCD computations and parton shower simulations, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2002) 029, arXiv:hep-ph/0204244.
- [36] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the photon identification efficiencies with the ATLAS detector using LHC Run-1 data, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 666, arXiv:1606.01813 [hep-ex].
- [37] ATLAS Collaboration, Electron and photon energy calibration with the ATLAS detector using LHC Run 1 data, Eur. Phys. J. C 74 (2014) 3071, arXiv:1407.5063 [hep-ex].
- [38] ATLAS Collaboration, Topological cell clustering in the ATLAS calorimeters and its performance in LHC Run 1, Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) 490, arXiv:1603.02934 [hep-ex].
- [39] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the inclusive isolated prompt photon cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Rev. D 83 (2011) 052005, arXiv:1012.4389 [hep-ex].
- [40] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the isolated diphoton cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 012003, arXiv:1107.0581 [hep-ex].
- [41] ATLAS Collaboration, Dynamics of isolated-photon plus jet production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Nucl. Phys. B 875 (2013) 483, arXiv:1307.6795 [hep-ex].
- [42] N. Greiner, M. Schönherr, NLO QCD+EW corrections to diphoton production in association with a vector boson, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2018) 079, arXiv:1710.11514 [hep-ex].
- [43] ATLAS Collaboration, Evidence of $W\gamma\gamma$ production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV and limits on anomalous quartic gauge couplings with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015) 031802, arXiv:1503.03243 [hep-ex].
- [44] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurements of $Z\gamma$ and $Z\gamma\gamma$ production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 112002, arXiv:1604.05232 [hep-ex].
- [45] J.M. Campbell, R.K. Ellis, Y. Li, C. Williams, Predictions for diphoton production at the LHC through NNLO in QCD, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2016) 148, arXiv:1603.02663 [hep-ph].
- [46] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS Computing Acknowledgements 2016–2017, ATLAS-PUB-2016-002, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2202407>.

The ATLAS Collaboration

M. Aaboud^{137d}, G. Aad⁸⁸, B. Abbott¹¹⁵, O. Abdinov^{12,*}, B. Abeloos¹¹⁹, S.H. Abidi¹⁶¹, O.S. AbouZeid¹³⁹, N.L. Abraham¹⁵¹, H. Abramowicz¹⁵⁵, H. Abreu¹⁵⁴, Y. Abulaiti^{148a,148b}, B.S. Acharya^{167a,167b,a}, S. Adachi¹⁵⁷, L. Adamczyk^{41a}, J. Adelman¹¹⁰, M. Adersberger¹⁰², T. Adye¹³³, A.A. Affolder¹³⁹, Y. Afik¹⁵⁴, C. Agheorghiesei^{28c}, J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra^{128a,128f}, S.P. Ahlen²⁴, F. Ahmadov^{68,b}, G. Aielli^{135a,135b}, S. Akatsuka⁷¹, T.P.A. Åkesson⁸⁴, E. Akilli⁵², A.V. Akimov⁹⁸, G.L. Alberghi^{22a,22b}, J. Albert¹⁷², P. Albicocco⁵⁰, M.J. Alconada Verzini⁷⁴, S. Alderweireldt¹⁰⁸, M. Aleksa³², I.N. Aleksandrov⁶⁸, C. Alexa^{28b}, G. Alexander¹⁵⁵, T. Alexopoulos¹⁰, M. Alhroob¹¹⁵, B. Ali¹³⁰, M. Aliev^{76a,76b}, G. Alimonti^{94a}, J. Alison³³, S.P. Alkire³⁸, C. Allaire¹¹⁹, B.M.M. Allbrooke¹⁵¹, B.W. Allen¹¹⁸, P.P. Allport¹⁹, A. Aloisio^{106a,106b}, A. Alonso³⁹, F. Alonso⁷⁴, C. Alpigiani¹⁴⁰, A.A. Alshehri⁵⁶, M.I. Alstaty⁸⁸, B. Alvarez Gonzalez³², D. Álvarez Piqueras¹⁷⁰, M.G. Alviggi^{106a,106b}, B.T. Amadio¹⁶, Y. Amaral Coutinho^{26a}, C. Amelung²⁵, D. Amidei⁹², S.P. Amor Dos Santos^{128a,128c}, S. Amoroso³², C. Anastopoulos¹⁴¹, L.S. Ancu⁵², N. Andari¹⁹, T. Andeen¹¹, C.F. Anders^{60b}, J.K. Anders¹⁸, K.J. Anderson³³, A. Andreazza^{94a,94b}, V. Andrei^{60a}, S. Angelidakis³⁷, I. Angelozzi¹⁰⁹, A. Angerami³⁸, A.V. Anisenkov^{111,c}, A. Annovi^{126a}, C. Antel^{60a}, M. Antonelli⁵⁰, A. Antonov^{100,*}, D.J. Antrim¹⁶⁶, F. Anulli^{134a}, M. Aoki⁶⁹, L. Aperio Bella³², G. Arabidze⁹³, Y. Arai⁶⁹, J.P. Araque^{128a}, V. Araujo Ferraz^{26a}, A.T.H. Arce⁴⁸, R.E. Ardell⁸⁰, F.A. Arduh⁷⁴, J-F. Arguin⁹⁷, S. Argyropoulos⁶⁶, A.J. Armbruster³², L.J. Armitage⁷⁹, O. Arnaez¹⁶¹, H. Arnold⁵¹, M. Arratia³⁰, O. Arslan²³, A. Artamonov^{99,*}, G. Artoni¹²², S. Artz⁸⁶, S. Asai¹⁵⁷, N. Asbah⁴⁵, A. Ashkenazi¹⁵⁵, L. Asquith¹⁵¹, K. Assamagan²⁷, R. Astalos^{146a}, R.J. Atkin^{147a}, M. Atkinson¹⁶⁹, N.B. Atlay¹⁴³, K. Augsten¹³⁰, G. Avolio³², B. Axen¹⁶, M.K. Ayoub^{35a}, G. Azuelos^{97,d}, A.E. Baas^{60a}, M.J. Baca¹⁹, H. Bachacou¹³⁸, K. Bachas^{76a,76b}, M. Backes¹²², P. Bagnaia^{134a,134b}, M. Bahmani⁴², H. Bahrasemani¹⁴⁴, J.T. Baines¹³³, M. Bajic³⁹, O.K. Baker¹⁷⁹, P.J. Bakker¹⁰⁹, D. Bakshi Gupta⁸², E.M. Baldin^{111,c}, P. Balek¹⁷⁵, F. Balli¹³⁸, W.K. Balunas¹²⁴, E. Banas⁴², A. Bandyopadhyay²³, Sw. Banerjee^{176,e}, A.A.E. Bannoura¹⁷⁷, L. Barak¹⁵⁵, E.L. Barberio⁹¹, D. Barberis^{53a,53b}, M. Barbero⁸⁸, T. Barillari¹⁰³, M-S Barisits⁶⁵, J.T. Barkeloo¹¹⁸, T. Barklow¹⁴⁵, N. Barlow³⁰, S.L. Barnes^{36b}, B.M. Barnett¹³³, R.M. Barnett¹⁶, Z. Barnovska-Blenessy^{36c}, A. Baroncelli^{136a}, G. Barone²⁵, A.J. Barr¹²², L. Barranco Navarro¹⁷⁰, F. Barreiro⁸⁵, J. Barreiro Guimarães da Costa^{35a}, R. Bartoldus¹⁴⁵, A.E. Barton⁷⁵, P. Bartos^{146a}, A. BasalaeV¹²⁵, A. Bassalat^{119,f}, R.L. Bates⁵⁶, S.J. Batista¹⁶¹, J.R. Batley³⁰, M. Battaglia¹³⁹, M. Baucé^{134a,134b}, F. Bauer¹³⁸, K.T. Bauer¹⁶⁶, H.S. Bawa^{145,g}, J.B. Beacham¹¹³, M.D. Beattie⁷⁵, T. Beau⁸³, P.H. Beauchemin¹⁶⁵, P. Bechtel²³, H.P. Beck^{18,h}, H.C. Beck⁵⁸, K. Becker¹²², M. Becker⁸⁶, C. Becot¹¹², A.J. Beddall^{20e}, A. Beddall^{20b}, V.A. Bednyakov⁶⁸,

M. Bedognetti¹⁰⁹, C.P. Bee¹⁵⁰, T.A. Beermann³², M. Begalli^{26a}, M. Begel²⁷, J.K. Behr⁴⁵, A.S. Bell⁸¹, G. Bella¹⁵⁵, L. Bellagamba^{22a}, A. Bellerive³¹, M. Bellomo¹⁵⁴, K. Belotskiy¹⁰⁰, N.L. Belyaev¹⁰⁰, O. Benary^{155,*}, D. Benchekroun^{137a}, M. Bender¹⁰², N. Benekos¹⁰, Y. Benhammou¹⁵⁵, E. Benhar Noccioli¹⁷⁹, J. Benitez⁶⁶, D.P. Benjamin⁴⁸, M. Benoit⁵², J.R. Bensinger²⁵, S. Bentvelsen¹⁰⁹, L. Beresford¹²², M. Beretta⁵⁰, D. Berge⁴⁵, E. Bergeaas Kuutmann¹⁶⁸, N. Berger⁵, L.J. Bergsten²⁵, J. Beringer¹⁶, S. Berlendis⁵⁷, N.R. Bernard⁸⁹, G. Bernardi⁸³, C. Bernius¹⁴⁵, F.U. Bernlochner²³, T. Berry⁸⁰, P. Berta⁸⁶, C. Bertella^{35a}, G. Bertoli^{148a,148b}, I.A. Bertram⁷⁵, C. Bertsche⁴⁵, G.J. Besjes³⁹, O. Bessidskaia Bylund^{148a,148b}, M. Bessner⁴⁵, N. Besson¹³⁸, A. Bethani⁸⁷, S. Bethke¹⁰³, A. Betti²³, A.J. Bevan⁷⁹, J. Beyer¹⁰³, R.M. Bianchi¹²⁷, O. Biebel¹⁰², D. Biedermann¹⁷, R. Bielski⁸⁷, K. Bierwagen⁸⁶, N.V. Biesuz^{126a,126b}, M. Biglietti^{136a}, T.R.V. Billoud⁹⁷, M. Bindi⁵⁸, A. Bingul^{20b}, C. Bini^{134a,134b}, S. Biondi^{22a,22b}, T. Bisanz⁵⁸, C. Bittrich⁴⁷, D.M. Bjergaard⁴⁸, J.E. Black¹⁴⁵, K.M. Black²⁴, R.E. Blair⁶, T. Blazek^{146a}, I. Bloch⁴⁵, C. Blocker²⁵, A. Blue⁵⁶, U. Blumenschein⁷⁹, Dr. Blunier^{34a}, G.J. Bobbink¹⁰⁹, V.S. Bobrovnikov^{111,c}, S.S. Bocchetta⁸⁴, A. Bocci⁴⁸, C. Bock¹⁰², D. Boerner¹⁷⁷, D. Bogavac¹⁰², A.G. Bogdanchikov¹¹¹, C. Bohm^{148a}, V. Boisvert⁸⁰, P. Bokan^{168,i}, T. Bold^{41a}, A.S. Boldyrev¹⁰¹, A.E. Bolz^{60b}, M. Bomben⁸³, M. Bona⁷⁹, J.S. Bonilla¹¹⁸, M. Boonekamp¹³⁸, A. Borisov¹³², G. Borissov⁷⁵, J. Bortfeldt³², D. Bortoletto¹²², V. Bortolotto^{62a}, D. Boscherini^{22a}, M. Bosman¹³, J.D. Bossio Sola²⁹, J. Boudreau¹²⁷, E.V. Bouhova-Thacker⁷⁵, D. Boumediene³⁷, C. Bourdarios¹¹⁹, S.K. Boutle⁵⁶, A. Boveia¹¹³, J. Boyd³², I.R. Boyko⁶⁸, A.J. Bozson⁸⁰, J. Bracinik¹⁹, A. Brandt⁸, G. Brandt¹⁷⁷, O. Brandt^{60a}, F. Braren⁴⁵, U. Bratzler¹⁵⁸, B. Brau⁸⁹, J.E. Brau¹¹⁸, W.D. Breaden Madden⁵⁶, K. Brendlinger⁴⁵, A.J. Brennan⁹¹, L. Brenner¹⁰⁹, R. Brenner¹⁶⁸, S. Bressler¹⁷⁵, D.L. Briglin¹⁹, T.M. Bristow⁴⁹, D. Britton⁵⁶, D. Britzger^{60b}, I. Brock²³, R. Brock⁹³, G. Brooijmans³⁸, T. Brooks⁸⁰, W.K. Brooks^{34b}, E. Brost¹¹⁰, J.H. Broughton¹⁹, P.A. Bruckman de Renstrom⁴², D. Bruncko^{146b}, A. Bruni^{22a}, G. Bruni^{22a}, L.S. Bruni¹⁰⁹, S. Bruno^{135a,135b}, B.H. Brunt³⁰, M. Bruschi^{22a}, N. Bruscinò¹²⁷, P. Bryant³³, L. Bryngemark⁴⁵, T. Buanes¹⁵, Q. Buat¹⁴⁴, P. Buchholz¹⁴³, A.G. Buckley⁵⁶, I.A. Budagov⁶⁸, F. Buehrer⁵¹, M.K. Bugge¹²¹, O. Bulekov¹⁰⁰, D. Bullock⁸, T.J. Burch¹¹⁰, S. Burdin⁷⁷, C.D. Burgard¹⁰⁹, A.M. Burger⁵, B. Burghgrave¹¹⁰, K. Burka⁴², S. Burke¹³³, I. Burmeister⁴⁶, J.T.P. Burr¹²², D. Büscher⁵¹, V. Büscher⁸⁶, E. Buschmann⁵⁸, P. Bussey⁵⁶, J.M. Butler²⁴, C.M. Buttar⁵⁶, J.M. Butterworth⁸¹, P. Butti³², W. Buttinger²⁷, A. Buzatu¹⁵³, A.R. Buzykaev^{111,c}, S. Cabrera Urbán¹⁷⁰, D. Caforio¹³⁰, H. Cai¹⁶⁹, V.M.M. Cairo², O. Cakir^{4a}, N. Calace⁵², P. Calafiura¹⁶, A. Calandri⁸⁸, G. Calderini⁸³, P. Calfayan⁶⁴, G. Callea^{40a,40b}, L.P. Caloba^{26a}, S. Calvente Lopez⁸⁵, D. Calvet³⁷, S. Calvet³⁷, T.P. Calvet⁸⁸, R. Camacho Toro³³, S. Camarda³², P. Camarri^{135a,135b}, D. Cameron¹²¹, R. Caminal Armadans⁸⁹, C. Camincher⁵⁷, S. Campana³², M. Campanelli⁸¹, A. Camplani^{94a,94b}, A. Campoverde¹⁴³, V. Canale^{106a,106b}, M. Cano Bret^{36b}, J. Cantero¹¹⁶, T. Cao¹⁵⁵, M.D.M. Capeans Garrido³², I. Caprini^{28b}, M. Caprini^{28b}, M. Capua^{40a,40b}, R.M. Carbone³⁸, R. Cardarelli^{135a}, F. Cardillo⁵¹, I. Carli¹³¹, T. Carli³², G. Carlino^{106a}, B.T. Carlson¹²⁷, L. Carminati^{94a,94b}, R.M.D. Carney^{148a,148b}, S. Caron¹⁰⁸, E. Carquin^{34b}, S. Carrá^{94a,94b}, G.D. Carrillo-Montoya³², D. Casadei¹⁹, M.P. Casado^{13,j}, A.F. Casha¹⁶¹, M. Casolino¹³, D.W. Casper¹⁶⁶, R. Castelijns¹⁰⁹, V. Castillo Gimenez¹⁷⁰, N.F. Castro^{128a,k}, A. Catinaccio³², J.R. Catmore¹²¹, A. Cattai³², J. Caudron²³, V. Cavaliere²⁷, E. Cavallaro¹³, D. Cavalli^{94a}, M. Cavalli-Sforza¹³, V. Cavasinni^{126a,126b}, E. Celebi^{20d}, F. Ceradini^{136a,136b}, L. Cerda Alberich¹⁷⁰, A.S. Cerqueira^{26b}, A. Cerri¹⁵¹, L. Cerrito^{135a,135b}, F. Cerutti¹⁶, A. Cervelli^{22a,22b}, S.A. Cetin^{20d}, A. Chafaq^{137a}, D. Chakraborty¹¹⁰, S.K. Chan⁵⁹, W.S. Chan¹⁰⁹, Y.L. Chan^{62a}, P. Chang¹⁶⁹, J.D. Chapman³⁰, D.G. Charlton¹⁹, C.C. Chau³¹, C.A. Chavez Barajas¹⁵¹, S. Che¹¹³, A. Chegwidden⁹³, S. Chekanov⁶, S.V. Chekulaev^{163a}, G.A. Chelkov^{68,l}, M.A. Chelstowska³², C. Chen^{36c}, C. Chen⁶⁷, H. Chen²⁷, J. Chen^{36c}, J. Chen³⁸, S. Chen^{35b}, S. Chen¹⁵⁷, X. Chen^{35c,m}, Y. Chen⁷⁰, H.C. Cheng⁹², H.J. Cheng^{35a,35d}, A. Cheplakov⁶⁸, E. Cheremushkina¹³², R. Cherkaoui El Moursli^{137e}, E. Cheu⁷, K. Cheung⁶³, L. Chevalier¹³⁸, V. Chiarella⁵⁰, G. Chiarelli^{126a}, G. Chiodini^{76a}, A.S. Chisholm³², A. Chitan^{28b}, Y.H. Chiu¹⁷², M.V. Chizhov⁶⁸, K. Choi⁶⁴, A.R. Chomont³⁷, S. Chouridou¹⁵⁶, Y.S. Chow¹⁰⁹, V. Christodoulou⁸¹, M.C. Chu^{62a}, J. Chudoba¹²⁹, A.J. Chuinard⁹⁰, J.J. Chwastowski⁴², L. Chytka¹¹⁷, D. Cinca⁴⁶, V. Cindro⁷⁸, I.A. Cioară²³, A. Ciocio¹⁶, F. Ciotto^{106a,106b}, Z.H. Citron¹⁷⁵, M. Citterio^{94a}, A. Clark⁵², M.R. Clark³⁸, P.J. Clark⁴⁹, R.N. Clarke¹⁶, C. Clement^{148a,148b}, Y. Coadou⁸⁸, M. Cobal^{167a,167c}, A. Coccaro⁵², J. Cochran⁶⁷, L. Colasurdo¹⁰⁸, B. Cole³⁸, A.P. Colijn¹⁰⁹, J. Collot⁵⁷, P. Conde Muiño^{128a,128b}, E. Coniavitis⁵¹, S.H. Connell^{147b}, I.A. Connelly⁸⁷, S. Constantinescu^{28b}, G. Conti³², F. Conventi^{106a,n}, A.M. Cooper-Sarkar¹²², F. Cormier¹⁷¹, K.J.R. Cormier¹⁶¹, M. Corradi^{134a,134b}, E.E. Corrigan⁸⁴,

F. Corriveau^{90,o}, A. Cortes-Gonzalez³², M.J. Costa¹⁷⁰, D. Costanzo¹⁴¹, G. Cottin³⁰, G. Cowan⁸⁰, B.E. Cox⁸⁷, K. Cranmer¹¹², S.J. Crawley⁵⁶, R.A. Creager¹²⁴, G. Cree³¹, S. Crépe-Renaudin⁵⁷, F. Crescioli⁸³, M. Cristinziani²³, V. Croft¹¹², G. Crosetti^{40a,40b}, A. Cueto⁸⁵, T. Cuhadar Donszelmann¹⁴¹, A.R. Cukierman¹⁴⁵, J. Cummings¹⁷⁹, M. Curatolo⁵⁰, J. Cúth⁸⁶, S. Czekierda⁴², P. Czodrowski³², G. D'amen^{22a,22b}, S. D'Auria⁵⁶, L. D'eraimo⁸³, M. D'Onofrio⁷⁷, M.J. Da Cunha Sargedas De Sousa^{128a,128b}, C. Da Via⁸⁷, W. Dabrowski^{41a}, T. Dado^{146a}, S. Dahbi^{137e}, T. Dai⁹², O. Dale¹⁵, F. Dallaire⁹⁷, C. Dallapiccola⁸⁹, M. Dam³⁹, J.R. Dandoy¹²⁴, M.F. Daneri²⁹, N.P. Dang^{176,e}, N.S. Dann⁸⁷, M. Danninger¹⁷¹, M. Dano Hoffmann¹³⁸, V. Dao³², G. Darbo^{53a}, S. Darmora⁸, J. Dassoulas³, A. Dattagupta¹¹⁸, T. Daubney⁴⁵, W. Davey²³, C. David⁴⁵, T. Davidek¹³¹, D.R. Davis⁴⁸, P. Davison⁸¹, E. Dawe⁹¹, I. Dawson¹⁴¹, K. De⁸, R. de Asmundis^{106a}, A. De Benedetti¹¹⁵, S. De Castro^{22a,22b}, S. De Cecco⁸³, N. De Groot¹⁰⁸, P. de Jong¹⁰⁹, H. De la Torre⁹³, F. De Lorenzi⁶⁷, A. De Maria⁵⁸, D. De Pedis^{134a}, A. De Salvo^{134a}, U. De Sanctis^{135a,135b}, A. De Santo¹⁵¹, K. De Vasconcelos Corga⁸⁸, J.B. De Vivie De Regie¹¹⁹, C. Debenedetti¹³⁹, D.V. Dedovich⁶⁸, N. Dehghanian³, I. Deigaard¹⁰⁹, M. Del Gaudio^{40a,40b}, J. Del Peso⁸⁵, D. Delgove¹¹⁹, F. Deliot¹³⁸, C.M. Delitzsch⁷, A. Dell'Acqua³², L. Dell'Asta²⁴, M. Della Pietra^{106a,106b}, D. della Volpe⁵², M. Delmastro⁵, C. Delporte¹¹⁹, P.A. Delsart⁵⁷, D.A. DeMarco¹⁶¹, S. Demers¹⁷⁹, M. Demichev⁶⁸, S.P. Denisov¹³², D. Denysiuk¹³⁸, D. Derendarz⁴², J.E. Derkaoui^{137d}, F. Derue⁸³, P. Dervan⁷⁷, K. Desch²³, C. Deterre⁴⁵, K. Dette¹⁶¹, M.R. Devesa²⁹, P.O. Deviveiros³², A. Dewhurst¹³³, S. Dhaliwal²⁵, F.A. Di Bello⁵², A. Di Ciaccio^{135a,135b}, L. Di Ciaccio⁵, W.K. Di Clemente¹²⁴, C. Di Donato^{106a,106b}, A. Di Girolamo³², B. Di Micco^{136a,136b}, R. Di Nardo³², K.F. Di Petrillo⁵⁹, A. Di Simone⁵¹, R. Di Sipio¹⁶¹, D. Di Valentino³¹, C. Diaconu⁸⁸, M. Diamond¹⁶¹, F.A. Dias³⁹, M.A. Diaz^{34a}, J. Dickinson¹⁶, E.B. Diehl⁹², J. Dietrich¹⁷, S. Díez Cornell⁴⁵, A. Dimitrievska¹⁶, J. Dingfelder²³, P. Dita^{28b}, S. Dita^{28b}, F. Dittus³², F. Djama⁸⁸, T. Djobava^{54b}, J.I. Djuvsland^{60a}, M.A.B. do Vale^{26c}, M. Dobre^{28b}, D. Dodsworth²⁵, C. Doglioni⁸⁴, J. Dolejsi¹³¹, Z. Dolezal¹³¹, M. Donadelli^{26d}, S. Donati^{126a,126b}, J. Donini³⁷, J. Dopke¹³³, A. Doria^{106a}, M.T. Dova⁷⁴, A.T. Doyle⁵⁶, E. Drechsler⁵⁸, E. Dreyer¹⁴⁴, M. Dris¹⁰, Y. Du^{36a}, J. Duarte-Camperderros¹⁵⁵, F. Dubinin⁹⁸, A. Dubreuil⁵², E. Duchovni¹⁷⁵, G. Duckeck¹⁰², A. Ducourthial⁸³, O.A. Ducu^{97,p}, D. Duda¹⁰⁹, A. Dudarev³², A.Chr. Dudder⁸⁶, E.M. Duffield¹⁶, L. Duflot¹¹⁹, M. Dührssen³², C. Dulsen¹⁷⁷, M. Dumancic¹⁷⁵, A.E. Dumitriu^{28b,q}, A.K. Duncan⁵⁶, M. Dunford^{60a}, A. Duperrin⁸⁸, H. Duran Yildiz^{4a}, M. Düren⁵⁵, A. Durglishvili^{54b}, D. Duschinger⁴⁷, B. Dutta⁴⁵, D. Duvnjak¹, M. Dyndal⁴⁵, B.S. Dziedzic⁴², C. Eckardt⁴⁵, K.M. Ecker¹⁰³, R.C. Edgar⁹², T. Eifert³², G. Eigen¹⁵, K. Einsweiler¹⁶, T. Ekelof¹⁶⁸, M. El Kacimi^{137c}, R. El Kosseifi⁸⁸, V. Ellajosyula⁸⁸, M. Ellert¹⁶⁸, F. Ellinghaus¹⁷⁷, A.A. Elliot¹⁷², N. Ellis³², J. Elmsheuser²⁷, M. Elsing³², D. Emelianov¹³³, Y. Enari¹⁵⁷, J.S. Ennis¹⁷³, M.B. Epland⁴⁸, J. Erdmann⁴⁶, A. Ereditato¹⁸, S. Errede¹⁶⁹, M. Escalier¹¹⁹, C. Escobar¹⁷⁰, B. Esposito⁵⁰, O. Estrada Pastor¹⁷⁰, A.I. Etievre¹³⁸, E. Etzion¹⁵⁵, H. Evans⁶⁴, A. Ezhilov¹²⁵, M. Ezzi^{137e}, F. Fabbri^{22a,22b}, L. Fabbri^{22a,22b}, V. Fabiani¹⁰⁸, G. Facini⁸¹, R.M. Fakhruddinov¹³², S. Falciano^{134a}, R.J. Falla⁸¹, J. Faltova¹³¹, Y. Fang^{35a}, M. Fanti^{94a,94b}, A. Farbin⁸, A. Farilla^{136a}, E.M. Farina^{123a,123b}, T. Farooque⁹³, S. Farrell¹⁶, S.M. Farrington¹⁷³, P. Farthouat³², F. Fassi^{137e}, P. Fassnacht³², D. Fassouliotis⁹, M. Fauci Giannelli⁴⁹, A. Favareto^{53a,53b}, W.J. Fawcett¹²², L. Fayard¹¹⁹, O.L. Fedin^{125,r}, W. Fedorko¹⁷¹, M. Feickert⁴³, S. Feigl¹²¹, L. Feligioni⁸⁸, C. Feng^{36a}, E.J. Feng³², M. Feng⁴⁸, M.J. Fenton⁵⁶, A.B. Fenyuk¹³², L. Feremenga⁸, P. Fernandez Martinez¹⁷⁰, J. Ferrando⁴⁵, A. Ferrari¹⁶⁸, P. Ferrari¹⁰⁹, R. Ferrari^{123a}, D.E. Ferreira de Lima^{60b}, A. Ferrer¹⁷⁰, D. Ferrere⁵², C. Ferretti⁹², F. Fiedler⁸⁶, A. Filipčič⁷⁸, F. Filthaut¹⁰⁸, M. Fincke-Keeler¹⁷², K.D. Finelli²⁴, M.C.N. Fiolhais^{128a,128c,s}, L. Fiorini¹⁷⁰, C. Fischer¹³, J. Fischer¹⁷⁷, W.C. Fisher⁹³, N. Flaschel⁴⁵, I. Fleck¹⁴³, P. Fleischmann⁹², R.R.M. Fletcher¹²⁴, T. Flick¹⁷⁷, B.M. Flierl¹⁰², L.M. Flores¹²⁴, L.R. Flores Castillo^{62a}, N. Fomin¹⁵, G.T. Forcolin⁸⁷, A. Formica¹³⁸, F.A. Förster¹³, A. Forti⁸⁷, A.G. Foster¹⁹, D. Fournier¹¹⁹, H. Fox⁷⁵, S. Fracchia¹⁴¹, P. Francavilla^{126a,126b}, M. Franchini^{22a,22b}, S. Franchino^{60a}, D. Francis³², L. Franconi¹²¹, M. Franklin⁵⁹, M. Frate¹⁶⁶, M. Fraternali^{123a,123b}, D. Freeborn⁸¹, S.M. Fressard-Batraneanu³², B. Freund⁹⁷, W.S. Freund^{26a}, D. Froidevaux³², J.A. Frost¹²², C. Fukunaga¹⁵⁸, T. Fusayasu¹⁰⁴, J. Fuster¹⁷⁰, O. Gabizon¹⁵⁴, A. Gabrielli^{22a,22b}, A. Gabrielli¹⁶, G.P. Gach^{41a}, S. Gadatsch⁵², S. Gadomski⁸⁰, G. Gagliardi^{53a,53b}, L.G. Gagnon⁹⁷, C. Galea¹⁰⁸, B. Galhardo^{128a,128c}, E.J. Gallas¹²², B.J. Gallop¹³³, P. Gallus¹³⁰, G. Galster³⁹, K.K. Gan¹¹³, S. Ganguly¹⁷⁵, Y. Gao⁷⁷, Y.S. Gao^{145,g}, F.M. Garay Walls^{34a}, C. García¹⁷⁰, J.E. García Navarro¹⁷⁰, J.A. García Pascual^{35a}, M. Garcia-Sciveres¹⁶, R.W. Gardner³³, N. Garelli¹⁴⁵,

V. Garonne¹²¹, K. Gasnikova⁴⁵, A. Gaudiello^{53a,53b}, G. Gaudio^{123a}, I.L. Gavrilenko⁹⁸, C. Gay¹⁷¹, G. Gaycken²³, E.N. Gazis¹⁰, C.N.P. Gee¹³³, J. Geisen⁵⁸, M. Geisen⁸⁶, M.P. Geisler^{60a}, K. Gellerstedt^{148a,148b}, C. Gemme^{53a}, M.H. Genest⁵⁷, C. Geng⁹², S. Gentile^{134a,134b}, C. Gentsos¹⁵⁶, S. George⁸⁰, D. Gerbaudo¹³, G. Geßner⁴⁶, S. Ghasemi¹⁴³, M. Ghneimat²³, B. Giacobbe^{22a}, S. Giagu^{134a,134b}, N. Giangiacomi^{22a,22b}, P. Giannetti^{126a}, S.M. Gibson⁸⁰, M. Gignac¹³⁹, M. Gilchriese¹⁶, D. Gillberg³¹, G. Gilles¹⁷⁷, D.M. Gingrich^{3,d}, M.P. Giordani^{167a,167c}, F.M. Giorgi^{22a}, P.F. Giraud¹³⁸, P. Giromini⁵⁹, G. Giugliarelli^{167a,167c}, D. Giugni^{94a}, F. Giuli¹²², M. Giulini^{60b}, S. Gkaitatzis¹⁵⁶, I. Gkialas^{9,t}, E.L. Gkoukousis¹³, P. Gkoutoumis¹⁰, L.K. Gladilin¹⁰¹, C. Glasman⁸⁵, J. Glatzer¹³, P.C.F. Glaysheer⁴⁵, A. Glazov⁴⁵, M. Goblirsch-Kolb²⁵, J. Godlewski⁴², S. Goldfarb⁹¹, T. Golling⁵², D. Golubkov¹³², A. Gomes^{128a,128b,128d}, R. Gonçalo^{128a}, R. Goncalves Gama^{26a}, G. Gonella⁵¹, L. Gonella¹⁹, A. Gongadze⁶⁸, F. Gonnella¹⁹, J.L. Gonski⁵⁹, S. González de la Hoz¹⁷⁰, S. Gonzalez-Sevilla⁵², L. Goossens³², P.A. Gorbounov⁹⁹, H.A. Gordon²⁷, B. Gorini³², E. Gorini^{76a,76b}, A. Gorišek⁷⁸, A.T. Goshaw⁴⁸, C. Gössling⁴⁶, M.I. Gostkin⁶⁸, C.A. Gottardo²³, C.R. Goudet¹¹⁹, D. Goujdami^{137c}, A.G. Goussiou¹⁴⁰, N. Govender^{147b,u}, C. Goy⁵, E. Gozani¹⁵⁴, I. Grabowska-Bold^{41a}, P.O.J. Gradin¹⁶⁸, E.C. Graham⁷⁷, J. Gramling¹⁶⁶, E. Gramstad¹²¹, S. Grancagnolo¹⁷, V. Gratchev¹²⁵, P.M. Gravila^{28f}, C. Gray⁵⁶, H.M. Gray¹⁶, Z.D. Greenwood^{82,v}, C. Grefe²³, K. Gregersen⁸¹, I.M. Gregor⁴⁵, P. Grenier¹⁴⁵, K. Grevtsov⁵, J. Griffiths⁸, A.A. Grillo¹³⁹, K. Grimm⁷⁵, S. Grinstein^{13,w}, Ph. Gris³⁷, J.-F. Grivaz¹¹⁹, S. Groh⁸⁶, E. Gross¹⁷⁵, J. Grosse-Knetter⁵⁸, G.C. Grossi⁸², Z.J. Grout⁸¹, A. Grummer¹⁰⁷, L. Guan⁹², W. Guan¹⁷⁶, J. Guenther³², A. Guerguichon¹¹⁹, F. Guescini^{163a}, D. Guest¹⁶⁶, O. Gueta¹⁵⁵, R. Gugel⁵¹, B. Gui¹¹³, T. Guillemin⁵, S. Guindon³², U. Gul⁵⁶, C. Gumpert³², J. Guo^{36b}, W. Guo⁹², Y. Guo^{36c,x}, R. Gupta⁴³, S. Gurbuz^{20a}, G. Gustavino¹¹⁵, B.J. Gutelman¹⁵⁴, P. Gutierrez¹¹⁵, N.G. Gutierrez Ortiz⁸¹, C. Gutsche⁸¹, C. Guyot¹³⁸, M.P. Guzik^{41a}, C. Gwenlan¹²², C.B. Gwilliam⁷⁷, A. Haas¹¹², C. Haber¹⁶, H.K. Hadavand⁸, N. Haddad^{137e}, A. Hadeef⁸⁸, S. Hageböck²³, M. Hagihara¹⁶⁴, H. Hakobyan^{180,*}, M. Haleem¹⁷⁸, J. Haley¹¹⁶, G. Halladjian⁹³, G.D. Hallewell⁸⁸, K. Hamacher¹⁷⁷, P. Hamal¹¹⁷, K. Hamano¹⁷², A. Hamilton^{147a}, G.N. Hamity¹⁴¹, K. Han^{36c,y}, L. Han^{36c}, S. Han^{35a,35d}, K. Hanagaki^{69,z}, M. Hance¹³⁹, D.M. Handl¹⁰², B. Haney¹²⁴, R. Hankache⁸³, P. Hanke^{60a}, E. Hansen⁸⁴, J.B. Hansen³⁹, J.D. Hansen³⁹, M.C. Hansen²³, P.H. Hansen³⁹, K. Hara¹⁶⁴, A.S. Hard¹⁷⁶, T. Harenberg¹⁷⁷, F. Hariri¹¹⁹, S. Harkusha⁹⁵, P.F. Harrison¹⁷³, N.M. Hartmann¹⁰², Y. Hasegawa¹⁴², A. Hasib⁴⁹, S. Hassani¹³⁸, S. Haug¹⁸, R. Hauser⁹³, L. Hauswald⁴⁷, L.B. Havener³⁸, M. Havranek¹³⁰, C.M. Hawkes¹⁹, R.J. Hawking³², D. Hayden⁹³, C.P. Hays¹²², J.M. Hays⁷⁹, H.S. Hayward⁷⁷, S.J. Haywood¹³³, T. Heck⁸⁶, V. Hedberg⁸⁴, L. Heelan⁸, S. Heer²³, K.K. Heidegger⁵¹, S. Heim⁴⁵, T. Heim¹⁶, B. Heinemann^{45,aa}, J.J. Heinrich¹⁰², L. Heinrich¹¹², C. Heinz⁵⁵, J. Hejbal¹²⁹, L. Helary³², A. Held¹⁷¹, S. Hellman^{148a,148b}, C. Hensens³², R.C.W. Henderson⁷⁵, Y. Heng¹⁷⁶, S. Henkelmann¹⁷¹, A.M. Henriques Correia³², G.H. Herbert¹⁷, H. Herde²⁵, V. Herget¹⁷⁸, Y. Hernández Jiménez^{147c}, H. Herr⁸⁶, G. Herten⁵¹, R. Hertenberger¹⁰², L. Hervas³², T.C. Herwig¹²⁴, G.G. Hesketh⁸¹, N.P. Hessey^{163a}, J.W. Hetherly⁴³, S. Higashino⁶⁹, E. Higón-Rodríguez¹⁷⁰, K. Hildebrand³³, E. Hill¹⁷², J.C. Hill³⁰, K.H. Hiller⁴⁵, S.J. Hillier¹⁹, M. Hils⁴⁷, I. Hinchliffe¹⁶, M. Hirose⁵¹, D. Hirschbuehl¹⁷⁷, B. Hiti⁷⁸, O. Hladik¹²⁹, D.R. Hlaluku^{147c}, X. Hoad⁴⁹, J. Hobbs¹⁵⁰, N. Hod^{163a}, M.C. Hodgkinson¹⁴¹, A. Hoecker³², M.R. Hoferkamp¹⁰⁷, F. Hoenig¹⁰², D. Hohn²³, D. Hohov¹¹⁹, T.R. Holmes³³, M. Holzbock¹⁰², M. Homann⁴⁶, S. Honda¹⁶⁴, T. Honda⁶⁹, T.M. Hong¹²⁷, B.H. Hooberman¹⁶⁹, W.H. Hopkins¹¹⁸, Y. Horii¹⁰⁵, A.J. Horton¹⁴⁴, J.-Y. Hostachy⁵⁷, A. Hostiuc¹⁴⁰, S. Hou¹⁵³, A. Hoummada^{137a}, J. Howarth⁸⁷, J. Hoya⁷⁴, M. Hrabovsky¹¹⁷, J. Hrdinka³², I. Hristova¹⁷, J. Hrivnac¹¹⁹, T. Hryn'ova⁵, A. Hrynevich⁹⁶, P.J. Hsu⁶³, S.-C. Hsu¹⁴⁰, Q. Hu²⁷, S. Hu^{36b}, Y. Huang^{35a}, Z. Hubacek¹³⁰, F. Hubaut⁸⁸, F. Huegging²³, T.B. Huffman¹²², E.W. Hughes³⁸, M. Huhtinen³², R.F.H. Hunter³¹, P. Huo¹⁵⁰, A.M. Hupe³¹, N. Huseynov^{68,b}, J. Huston⁹³, J. Huth⁵⁹, R. Hyneman⁹², G. Iacobucci⁵², G. Iakovidis²⁷, I. Ibragimov¹⁴³, L. Iconomidou-Fayard¹¹⁹, Z. Idrissi^{137e}, P. Iengo³², O. Igonkina^{109,ab}, R. Iguchi¹⁵⁷, T. Iizawa¹⁷⁴, Y. Ikegami⁶⁹, M. Ikeno⁶⁹, D. Iliadis¹⁵⁶, N. Ilic¹⁴⁵, F. Iltzsche⁴⁷, G. Introzzi^{123a,123b}, M. Iodice^{136a}, K. Iordanidou³⁸, V. Ippolito⁵⁹, M.F. Isacson¹⁶⁸, N. Ishijima¹²⁰, M. Ishino¹⁵⁷, M. Ishitsuka¹⁵⁹, C. Issever¹²², S. Istin^{20a}, F. Ito¹⁶⁴, J.M. Iturbe Ponce^{62a}, R. Iuppa^{162a,162b}, H. Iwasaki⁶⁹, J.M. Izen⁴⁴, V. Izzo^{106a}, S. Jabbar³, P. Jackson¹, R.M. Jacobs²³, V. Jain², G. Jakel¹⁷⁷, K.B. Jakobi⁸⁶, K. Jakobs⁵¹, S. Jakobsen⁶⁵, T. Jakoubek¹²⁹, D.O. Jamin¹¹⁶, D.K. Jana⁸², R. Jansky⁵², J. Janssen²³, M. Janus⁵⁸, P.A. Janus^{41a}, G. Jarlskog⁸⁴, N. Javadov^{68,b}, T. Javůrek⁵¹, M. Javurkova⁵¹, F. Jeanneau¹³⁸, L. Jeanty¹⁶, J. Jejelava^{54a,ac}, A. Jelinskas¹⁷³, P. Jenni^{51,ad}, C. Jeske¹⁷³,

S. Jézéquel⁵, H. Ji¹⁷⁶, J. Jia¹⁵⁰, H. Jiang⁶⁷, Y. Jiang^{36c}, Z. Jiang¹⁴⁵, S. Jiggins⁸¹, J. Jimenez Pena¹⁷⁰, S. Jin^{35b}, A. Jinaru^{28b}, O. Jinnouchi¹⁵⁹, H. Jivan^{147c}, P. Johansson¹⁴¹, K.A. Johns⁷, C.A. Johnson⁶⁴, W.J. Johnson¹⁴⁰, K. Jon-And^{148a,148b}, R.W.L. Jones⁷⁵, S.D. Jones¹⁵¹, S. Jones⁷, T.J. Jones⁷⁷, J. Jongmanns^{60a}, P.M. Jorge^{128a,128b}, J. Jovicevic^{163a}, X. Ju¹⁷⁶, A. Juste Rozas^{13,w}, A. Kaczmarska⁴², M. Kado¹¹⁹, H. Kagan¹¹³, M. Kagan¹⁴⁵, S.J. Kahn⁸⁸, T. Kaji¹⁷⁴, E. Kajomovitz¹⁵⁴, C.W. Kalderon⁸⁴, A. Kaluza⁸⁶, S. Kama⁴³, A. Kamenshchikov¹³², L. Kanjir⁷⁸, Y. Kano¹⁵⁷, V.A. Kantserov¹⁰⁰, J. Kanzaki⁶⁹, B. Kaplan¹¹², L.S. Kaplan¹⁷⁶, D. Kar^{147c}, K. Karakostas¹⁰, N. Karastathis¹⁰, M.J. Kareem^{163b}, E. Karentzos¹⁰, S.N. Karpov⁶⁸, Z.M. Karpova⁶⁸, V. Kartvelishvili⁷⁵, A.N. Karyukhin¹³², K. Kasahara¹⁶⁴, L. Kashif¹⁷⁶, R.D. Kass¹¹³, A. Kastanas¹⁴⁹, Y. Kataoka¹⁵⁷, C. Kato¹⁵⁷, A. Katre⁵², J. Katzy⁴⁵, K. Kawade⁷⁰, K. Kawagoe⁷³, T. Kawamoto¹⁵⁷, G. Kawamura⁵⁸, E.F. Kay⁷⁷, V.F. Kazanin^{111,c}, R. Keeler¹⁷², R. Kehoe⁴³, J.S. Keller³¹, E. Kellermann⁸⁴, J.J. Kempster¹⁹, J. Kendrick¹⁹, H. Keoshkerian¹⁶¹, O. Kepka¹²⁹, B.P. Kerševan⁷⁸, S. Kersten¹⁷⁷, R.A. Keyes⁹⁰, M. Khader¹⁶⁹, F. Khalil-zada¹², A. Khanov¹¹⁶, A.G. Kharlamov^{111,c}, T. Kharlamova^{111,c}, A. Khodinov¹⁶⁰, T.J. Khoo⁵², V. Khovanskiy^{99,*}, E. Khramov⁶⁸, J. Khubua^{54b,ae}, S. Kido⁷⁰, M. Kiehn⁵², C.R. Kilby⁸⁰, H.Y. Kim⁸, S.H. Kim¹⁶⁴, Y.K. Kim³³, N. Kimura^{167a,167c}, O.M. Kind¹⁷, B.T. King⁷⁷, D. Kirchmeier⁴⁷, J. Kirk¹³³, A.E. Kiryunin¹⁰³, T. Kishimoto¹⁵⁷, D. Kisielewska^{41a}, V. Kitali⁴⁵, O. Kivernyk⁵, E. Kladiva^{146b}, T. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus⁵¹, M.H. Klein⁹², M. Klein⁷⁷, U. Klein⁷⁷, K. Kleinknecht⁸⁶, P. Klimek¹¹⁰, A. Klimentov²⁷, R. Klingenberg^{46,*}, T. Klingl²³, T. Klioutchnikova³², F.F. Klitzner¹⁰², E.-E. Kluge^{60a}, P. Kluit¹⁰⁹, S. Kluth¹⁰³, E. Kneringer⁶⁵, E.B.F.G. Knoop⁸⁸, A. Knue⁵¹, A. Kobayashi¹⁵⁷, D. Kobayashi⁷³, T. Kobayashi¹⁵⁷, M. Kobel⁴⁷, M. Kocian¹⁴⁵, P. Kodys¹³¹, T. Koffas³¹, E. Koffeman¹⁰⁹, N.M. Köhler¹⁰³, T. Koi¹⁴⁵, M. Kolb^{60b}, I. Koletsou⁵, T. Kondo⁶⁹, N. Kondrashova^{36b}, K. Köneke⁵¹, A.C. König¹⁰⁸, T. Kono^{69,af}, R. Konoplich^{112,ag}, N. Konstantinidis⁸¹, B. Konya⁸⁴, R. Kopeliansky⁶⁴, S. Koperny^{41a}, K. Korcyl⁴², K. Kordas¹⁵⁶, A. Korn⁸¹, I. Korolkov¹³, E.V. Korolkova¹⁴¹, O. Kortner¹⁰³, S. Kortner¹⁰³, T. Kosek¹³¹, V.V. Kostyukhin²³, A. Kotwal⁴⁸, A. Koulouris¹⁰, A. Kourkoumeli-Charalampidi^{123a,123b}, C. Kourkoumelis⁹, E. Kourlitis¹⁴¹, V. Kouskoura²⁷, A.B. Kowalewska⁴², R. Kowalewski¹⁷², T.Z. Kowalski^{41a}, C. Kozakai¹⁵⁷, W. Kozanecki¹³⁸, A.S. Kozhin¹³², V.A. Kramarenko¹⁰¹, G. Kramberger⁷⁸, D. Krasnoperstev¹⁰⁰, M.W. Krasny⁸³, A. Krasznahorkay³², D. Krauss¹⁰³, J.A. Kremer^{41a}, J. Kretschmar⁷⁷, K. Kreutzfeldt⁵⁵, P. Krieger¹⁶¹, K. Krizka¹⁶, K. Kroeninger⁴⁶, H. Kroha¹⁰³, J. Kroll¹²⁹, J. Kroll¹²⁴, J. Kroseberg²³, J. Krstic¹⁴, U. Kruchonak⁶⁸, H. Krüger²³, N. Krumnack⁶⁷, M.C. Kruse⁴⁸, T. Kubota⁹¹, S. Kudah^{4b}, J.T. Kuechler¹⁷⁷, S. Kuehn³², A. Kugel^{60a}, F. Kuger¹⁷⁸, T. Kuhl⁴⁵, V. Kukhtin⁶⁸, R. Kukla⁸⁸, Y. Kulchitsky⁹⁵, S. Kuleshov^{34b}, Y.P. Kulinich¹⁶⁹, M. Kuna⁵⁷, T. Kunigo⁷¹, A. Kupco¹²⁹, T. Kupfer⁴⁶, O. Kuprash¹⁵⁵, H. Kurashige⁷⁰, L.L. Kurchaninov^{163a}, Y.A. Kurochkin⁹⁵, M.G. Kurth^{35a,35d}, E.S. Kuwertz¹⁷², M. Kuze¹⁵⁹, J. Kvita¹¹⁷, T. Kwan¹⁷², A. La Rosa¹⁰³, J.L. La Rosa Navarro^{26d}, L. La Rotonda^{40a,40b}, F. La Ruffa^{40a,40b}, C. Lacasta¹⁷⁰, F. Lacava^{134a,134b}, J. Lacey⁴⁵, D.P.J. Lack⁸⁷, H. Lacker¹⁷, D. Lacour⁸³, E. Ladygin⁶⁸, R. Lafaye⁵, B. Laforge⁸³, S. Lai⁵⁸, S. Lammers⁶⁴, W. Lampl⁷, E. Lançon²⁷, U. Landgraf⁵¹, M.P.J. Landon⁷⁹, M.C. Lanfermann⁵², V.S. Lang⁴⁵, J.C. Lange¹³, R.J. Langenberg³², A.J. Lankford¹⁶⁶, F. Lanni²⁷, K. Lantzsck²³, A. Lanza^{123a}, A. Lapertosa^{53a,53b}, S. Laplace⁸³, J.F. Laporte¹³⁸, T. Lari^{94a}, F. Lasagni Manghi^{22a,22b}, M. Lassnig³², T.S. Lau^{62a}, A. Laudrain¹¹⁹, A.T. Law¹³⁹, P. Laycock⁷⁷, M. Lazzaroni^{94a,94b}, B. Le⁹¹, O. Le Dortz⁸³, E. Le Guirriec⁸⁸, E.P. Le Quilleuc¹³⁸, M. LeBlanc⁷, T. LeCompte⁶, F. Ledroit-Guillon⁵⁷, C.A. Lee²⁷, G.R. Lee^{34a}, S.C. Lee¹⁵³, L. Lee⁵⁹, B. Lefebvre⁹⁰, M. Lefebvre¹⁷², F. Legger¹⁰², C. Leggett¹⁶, G. Lehmann Miotto³², X. Lei⁷, W.A. Leight⁴⁵, A. Leisos^{156,ah}, M.A.L. Leite^{26d}, R. Leitner¹³¹, D. Lellouch¹⁷⁵, B. Lemmer⁵⁸, K.J.C. Leney⁸¹, T. Lenz²³, B. Lenzi³², R. Leone⁷, S. Leone^{126a}, C. Leonidopoulos⁴⁹, G. Lerner¹⁵¹, C. Leroy⁹⁷, R. Les¹⁶¹, A.A.J. Lesage¹³⁸, C.G. Lester³⁰, M. Levchenko¹²⁵, J. Levêque⁵, D. Levin⁹², L.J. Levinson¹⁷⁵, M. Levy¹⁹, D. Lewis⁷⁹, B. Li^{36c,x}, C.-Q. Li^{36c}, H. Li^{36a}, L. Li^{36b}, Q. Li^{35a,35d}, Q. Li^{36c}, S. Li⁴⁸, X. Li^{36b}, Y. Li¹⁴³, Z. Liang^{35a}, B. Liberti^{135a}, A. Liblong¹⁶¹, K. Lie^{62c}, A. Limosani¹⁵², C.Y. Lin³⁰, K. Lin⁹³, S.C. Lin¹⁸², T.H. Lin⁸⁶, R.A. Linck⁶⁴, B.E. Lindquist¹⁵⁰, A.E. Lioni⁵², E. Lipeles¹²⁴, A. Lipniacka¹⁵, M. Lisovsky^{60b}, T.M. Liss^{169,ai}, A. Lister¹⁷¹, A.M. Litke¹³⁹, B. Liu⁶⁷, H. Liu⁹², H. Liu²⁷, J.K.K. Liu¹²², J.B. Liu^{36c}, K. Liu⁸³, M. Liu^{36c}, P. Liu¹⁶, Y.L. Liu^{36c}, Y. Liu^{36c}, M. Livan^{123a,123b}, A. Lleres⁵⁷, J. Llorente Merino^{35a}, S.L. Lloyd⁷⁹, C.Y. Lo^{62b}, F. Lo Sterzo⁴³, E.M. Lobodzinska⁴⁵, P. Loch⁷, F.K. Loebinger⁸⁷, A. Loesle⁵¹, K.M. Loew²⁵, T. Lohse¹⁷, K. Lohwasser¹⁴¹, M. Lokajicek¹²⁹, B.A. Long²⁴, J.D. Long¹⁶⁹, R.E. Long⁷⁵, L. Longo^{76a,76b}, K.A.Looper¹¹³, J.A. Lopez^{34b}, I. Lopez Paz¹³, A. Lopez Solis⁸³, J. Lorenz¹⁰², N. Lorenzo Martinez⁵,

M. Losada²¹, P.J. Lösel¹⁰², X. Lou^{35a}, A. Lounis¹¹⁹, J. Love⁶, P.A. Love⁷⁵, H. Lu^{62a}, N. Lu⁹², Y.J. Lu⁶³, H.J. Lubatti¹⁴⁰, C. Luci^{134a,134b}, A. Lucotte⁵⁷, C. Luedtke⁵¹, F. Luehring⁶⁴, W. Lukas⁶⁵, L. Luminari^{134a}, B. Lund-Jensen¹⁴⁹, M.S. Lutz⁸⁹, P.M. Luzi⁸³, D. Lynn²⁷, R. Lysak¹²⁹, E. Lytken⁸⁴, F. Lyu^{35a}, V. Lyubushkin⁶⁸, H. Ma²⁷, L.L. Ma^{36a}, Y. Ma^{36a}, G. Maccarrone⁵⁰, A. Macchiolo¹⁰³, C.M. Macdonald¹⁴¹, B. Maček⁷⁸, J. Machado Miguens^{124,128b}, D. Madaffari¹⁷⁰, R. Madar³⁷, W.F. Mader⁴⁷, A. Madsen⁴⁵, N. Madysa⁴⁷, J. Maeda⁷⁰, S. Maeland¹⁵, T. Maeno²⁷, A.S. Maevskiy¹⁰¹, V. Magerl⁵¹, C. Maidantchik^{26a}, T. Maier¹⁰², A. Maio^{128a,128b,128d}, O. Majersky^{146a}, S. Majewski¹¹⁸, Y. Makida⁶⁹, N. Makovec¹¹⁹, B. Malaescu⁸³, Pa. Malecki⁴², V.P. Maleev¹²⁵, F. Malek⁵⁷, U. Mallik⁶⁶, D. Malon⁶, C. Malone³⁰, S. Maltezos¹⁰, S. Malyukov³², J. Mamuzic¹⁷⁰, G. Mancini⁵⁰, I. Mandić⁷⁸, J. Maneira^{128a,128b}, L. Manhaes de Andrade Filho^{26b}, J. Manjarres Ramos⁴⁷, K.H. Mankinen⁸⁴, A. Mann¹⁰², A. Manousos³², B. Mansoulie¹³⁸, J.D. Mansour^{35a}, R. Mantifel⁹⁰, M. Mantoani⁵⁸, S. Manzoni^{94a,94b}, G. Marceca²⁹, L. March⁵², L. Marchese¹²², G. Marchiori⁸³, M. Marcisovsky¹²⁹, C.A. Marin Tobon³², M. Marjanovic³⁷, D.E. Marley⁹², F. Marroquim^{26a}, Z. Marshall¹⁶, M.U.F. Martensson¹⁶⁸, S. Marti-Garcia¹⁷⁰, C.B. Martin¹¹³, T.A. Martin¹⁷³, V.J. Martin⁴⁹, B. Martin dit Latour¹⁵, M. Martinez^{13,w}, V.I. Martinez Outschoorn⁸⁹, S. Martin-Haugh¹³³, V.S. Martoiu^{28b}, A.C. Martyniuk⁸¹, A. Marzin³², L. Masetti⁸⁶, T. Mashimo¹⁵⁷, R. Mashinistov⁹⁸, J. Masik⁸⁷, A.L. Maslennikov^{111,c}, L.H. Mason⁹¹, L. Massa^{135a,135b}, P. Mastrandrea⁵, A. Mastroberardino^{40a,40b}, T. Masubuchi¹⁵⁷, P. Mättig¹⁷⁷, J. Maurer^{28b}, S.J. Maxfield⁷⁷, D.A. Maximov^{111,c}, R. Mazini¹⁵³, I. Maznas¹⁵⁶, S.M. Mazza¹³⁹, N.C. Mc Fadden¹⁰⁷, G. Mc Goldrick¹⁶¹, S.P. Mc Kee⁹², A. McCarn⁹², T.G. McCarthy¹⁰³, L.I. McClymont⁸¹, E.F. McDonald⁹¹, J.A. MCFayden³², G. Mchedlidze⁵⁸, S.J. McMahon¹³³, P.C. McNamara⁹¹, C.J. McNicol¹⁷³, R.A. McPherson^{172,o}, Z.A. Meadows⁸⁹, S. Meehan¹⁴⁰, T.J. Megy⁵¹, S. Mehlhase¹⁰², A. Mehta⁷⁷, T. Meideck⁵⁷, K. Meier^{60a}, B. Meirose⁴⁴, D. Melini^{170,qj}, B.R. Mellado Garcia^{147c}, J.D. Mellenthin⁵⁸, M. Melo^{146a}, F. Meloni¹⁸, A. Melzer²³, S.B. Menary⁸⁷, L. Meng⁷⁷, X.T. Meng⁹², A. Mengarelli^{22a,22b}, S. Menke¹⁰³, E. Meoni^{40a,40b}, S. Mergelmeyer¹⁷, C. Merlassino¹⁸, P. Mermod⁵², L. Merola^{106a,106b}, C. Meroni^{94a}, F.S. Merritt³³, A. Messina^{134a,134b}, J. Metcalfe⁶, A.S. Mete¹⁶⁶, C. Meyer¹²⁴, J.-P. Meyer¹³⁸, J. Meyer¹⁰⁹, H. Meyer Zu Theenhausen^{60a}, F. Miano¹⁵¹, R.P. Middleton¹³³, S. Miglioranza^{53a,53b}, L. Mijović⁴⁹, G. Mikenberg¹⁷⁵, M. Mikestikova¹²⁹, M. Mikuž⁷⁸, M. Milesi⁹¹, A. Milic¹⁶¹, D.A. Millar⁷⁹, D.W. Miller³³, A. Milov¹⁷⁵, D.A. Milstead^{148a,148b}, A.A. Minaenko¹³², I.A. Minashvili^{54b}, A.I. Mincer¹¹², B. Mindur^{41a}, M. Mineev⁶⁸, Y. Minegishi¹⁵⁷, Y. Ming¹⁷⁶, L.M. Mir¹³, A. Mirto^{76a,76b}, K.P. Mistry¹²⁴, T. Mitani¹⁷⁴, J. Mitrevski¹⁰², V.A. Mitsou¹⁷⁰, A. Miucci¹⁸, P.S. Miyagawa¹⁴¹, A. Mizukami⁶⁹, J.U. Mjörnmark⁸⁴, T. Mkrtychyan¹⁸⁰, M. Mlynarikova¹³¹, T. Moa^{148a,148b}, K. Mochizuki⁹⁷, P. Mogg⁵¹, S. Mohapatra³⁸, S. Molander^{148a,148b}, R. Moles-Valls²³, M.C. Mondragon⁹³, K. Mönig⁴⁵, J. Monk³⁹, E. Monnier⁸⁸, A. Montalbano¹⁵⁰, J. Montejo Berlingen³², F. Monticelli⁷⁴, S. Monzani^{94a}, R.W. Moore³, N. Morange¹¹⁹, D. Moreno²¹, M. Moreno Llácer³², P. Morettini^{53a}, M. Morgenstern¹⁰⁹, S. Morgenstern³², D. Mori¹⁴⁴, T. Mori¹⁵⁷, M. Morii⁵⁹, M. Morinaga¹⁷⁴, V. Morisbak¹²¹, A.K. Morley³², G. Mornacchi³², J.D. Morris⁷⁹, L. Morvaj¹⁵⁰, P. Moschovakos¹⁰, M. Mosidze^{54b}, H.J. Moss¹⁴¹, J. Moss^{145,ak}, K. Motohashi¹⁵⁹, R. Mount¹⁴⁵, E. Mountricha²⁷, E.J.W. Moyses⁸⁹, S. Muanza⁸⁸, F. Mueller¹⁰³, J. Mueller¹²⁷, R.S.P. Mueller¹⁰², D. Muenstermann⁷⁵, P. Mullen⁵⁶, G.A. Mullier¹⁸, F.J. Munoz Sanchez⁸⁷, P. Murin^{146b}, W.J. Murray^{173,133}, M. Muškinja⁷⁸, C. Mwewa^{147a}, A.G. Myagkov^{132,al}, J. Myers¹¹⁸, M. Myska¹³⁰, B.P. Nachman¹⁶, O. Nackenhorst⁴⁶, K. Nagai¹²², R. Nagai^{69,qj}, K. Nagano⁶⁹, Y. Nagasaka⁶¹, K. Nagata¹⁶⁴, M. Nagel⁵¹, E. Nagy⁸⁸, A.M. Nairz³², Y. Nakahama¹⁰⁵, K. Nakamura⁶⁹, T. Nakamura¹⁵⁷, I. Nakano¹¹⁴, R.F. Naranjo Garcia⁴⁵, R. Narayan¹¹, D.I. Narrias Villar^{60a}, I. Naryshkin¹²⁵, T. Naumann⁴⁵, G. Navarro²¹, R. Nayyar⁷, H.A. Neal⁹², P.Yu. Nechaeva⁹⁸, T.J. Neep¹³⁸, A. Negri^{123a,123b}, M. Negrini^{22a}, S. Nektarijevic¹⁰⁸, C. Nellist⁵⁸, M.E. Nelson¹²², S. Nemecek¹²⁹, P. Nemethy¹¹², M. Nessi^{32,am}, M.S. Neubauer¹⁶⁹, M. Neumann¹⁷⁷, P.R. Newman¹⁹, T.Y. Ng^{62c}, Y.S. Ng¹⁷, T. Nguyen Manh⁹⁷, R.B. Nickerson¹²², R. Nicolaidou¹³⁸, J. Nielsen¹³⁹, N. Nikiforou¹¹, V. Nikolaenko^{132,al}, I. Nikolic-Audit⁸³, K. Nikolopoulos¹⁹, P. Nilsson²⁷, Y. Ninomiya⁶⁹, A. Nisati^{134a}, N. Nishu^{36b}, R. Nisius¹⁰³, I. Nitsche⁴⁶, T. Nitta¹⁷⁴, T. Nobe¹⁵⁷, Y. Noguchi⁷¹, M. Nomachi¹²⁰, I. Nomidis³¹, M.A. Nomura²⁷, T. Nooney⁷⁹, M. Nordberg³², N. Norjoharuddeen¹²², O. Novgorodova⁴⁷, R. Novotny¹³⁰, M. Nozaki⁶⁹, L. Nozka¹¹⁷, K. Ntekas¹⁶⁶, E. Nurse⁸¹, F. Nuti⁹¹, K. O'Connor²⁵, D.C. O'Neil¹⁴⁴, A.A. O'Rourke⁴⁵, V. O'Shea⁵⁶, F.G. Oakham^{31,d}, H. Oberlack¹⁰³, T. Obermann²³, J. Ocariz⁸³, A. Ochi⁷⁰, I. Ochoa³⁸, J.P. Ochoa-Ricoux^{34a}, S. Oda⁷³, S. Odaka⁶⁹, A. Oh⁸⁷, S.H. Oh⁴⁸, C.C. Ohm¹⁴⁹, H. Ohman¹⁶⁸, H. Oide^{53a,53b}, H. Okawa¹⁶⁴,

Y. Okumura¹⁵⁷, T. Okuyama⁶⁹, A. Olariu^{28b}, L.F. Oleiro Seabra^{128a}, S.A. Olivares Pino^{34a},
 D. Oliveira Damazio²⁷, J.L. Oliver¹, M.J.R. Olsson³³, A. Olszewski⁴², J. Olszowska⁴², A. Onofre^{128a,128e},
 K. Onogi¹⁰⁵, P.U.E. Onyisi^{11,an}, H. Oppen¹²¹, M.J. Oreglia³³, Y. Oren¹⁵⁵, D. Orestano^{136a,136b},
 E.C. Orgill⁸⁷, N. Orlando^{62b}, R.S. Orr¹⁶¹, B. Osculati^{53a,53b,*}, R. Ospanov^{36c}, G. Otero y Garzon²⁹,
 H. Otono⁷³, M. Ouchrif^{137d}, F. Ould-Saada¹²¹, A. Ouraou¹³⁸, K.P. Oussoren¹⁰⁹, Q. Ouyang^{35a},
 M. Owen⁵⁶, R.E. Owen¹⁹, V.E. Ozcan^{20a}, N. Ozturk⁸, K. Pachal¹⁴⁴, A. Pacheco Pages¹³,
 L. Pacheco Rodriguez¹³⁸, C. Padilla Aranda¹³, S. Pagan Griso¹⁶, M. Paganini¹⁷⁹, F. Paige²⁷, G. Palacino⁶⁴,
 S. Palazzo^{40a,40b}, S. Palestini³², M. Palka^{41b}, D. Pallin³⁷, E.St. Panagiotopoulou¹⁰, I. Panagoulas¹⁰,
 C.E. Pandini⁵², J.G. Panduro Vazquez⁸⁰, P. Pani³², D. Pantea^{28b}, L. Paolozzi⁵², Th.D. Papadopoulou¹⁰,
 K. Papageorgiou^{9,t}, A. Paramonov⁶, D. Paredes Hernandez^{62b}, B. Parida^{36b}, A.J. Parker⁷⁵, M.A. Parker³⁰,
 K.A. Parker⁴⁵, F. Parodi^{53a,53b}, J.A. Parsons³⁸, U. Parzefall⁵¹, V.R. Pascuzzi¹⁶¹, J.M. Pasner¹³⁹,
 E. Pasqualucci^{134a}, S. Passaggio^{53a}, Fr. Pastore⁸⁰, S. Pataraiia⁸⁶, J.R. Pater⁸⁷, T. Pauly³², B. Pearson¹⁰³,
 S. Pedraza Lopez¹⁷⁰, R. Pedro^{128a,128b}, S.V. Peleganchuk^{111,c}, O. Penc¹²⁹, C. Peng^{35a,35d}, H. Peng^{36c},
 J. Penwell⁶⁴, B.S. Peralva^{26b}, M.M. Perego¹³⁸, D.V. Perepelitsa²⁷, F. Peri¹⁷, L. Perini^{94a,94b},
 H. Pernegger³², S. Perrella^{106a,106b}, V.D. Peshekhonov^{68,*}, K. Peters⁴⁵, R.F.Y. Peters⁸⁷, B.A. Petersen³²,
 T.C. Petersen³⁹, E. Petit⁵⁷, A. Petridis¹, C. Petridou¹⁵⁶, P. Petroff¹¹⁹, E. Petrolo^{134a}, M. Petrov¹²²,
 F. Petrucci^{136a,136b}, N.E. Pettersson⁸⁹, A. Peyaud¹³⁸, R. Pezoa^{34b}, T. Pham⁹¹, F.H. Phillips⁹³,
 P.W. Phillips¹³³, G. Piacquadio¹⁵⁰, E. Pianori¹⁷³, A. Picazio⁸⁹, M.A. Pickering¹²², R. Piegaiia²⁹,
 J.E. Pilcher³³, A.D. Pilkington⁸⁷, M. Pinamonti^{135a,135b}, J.L. Pinfold³, M. Pitt¹⁷⁵, M.-A. Pleier²⁷,
 V. Pleskot⁸⁶, E. Plotnikova⁶⁸, D. Pluth⁶⁷, P. Podberezko¹¹¹, R. Poettgen⁸⁴, R. Poggi^{123a,123b},
 L. Poggioli¹¹⁹, I. Pogrebnyak⁹³, D. Pohl²³, I. Pokharel⁵⁸, G. Polesello^{123a}, A. Poley⁴⁵,
 A. Policicchio^{40a,40b}, R. Polifka³², A. Polini^{22a}, C.S. Pollard⁴⁵, V. Polychronakos²⁷, D. Ponomarenko¹⁰⁰,
 L. Pontecorvo^{134a}, G.A. Popeneciu^{28d}, D.M. Portillo Quintero⁸³, S. Pospisil¹³⁰, K. Potamianos⁴⁵,
 I.N. Potrap⁶⁸, C.J. Potter³⁰, H. Potti¹¹, T. Poulsen⁸⁴, J. Poveda³², M.E. Pozo Astigarraga³², P. Pralavorio⁸⁸,
 S. Prell⁶⁷, D. Price⁸⁷, M. Primavera^{76a}, S. Prince⁹⁰, N. Proklova¹⁰⁰, K. Prokofiev^{62c}, F. Prokoshin^{34b},
 S. Protopopescu²⁷, J. Proudfoot⁶, M. Przybycien^{41a}, A. Puri¹⁶⁹, P. Puzo¹¹⁹, J. Qian⁹², Y. Qin⁸⁷,
 A. Quadt⁵⁸, M. Queitsch-Maitland⁴⁵, A. Qureshi¹, V. Radeka²⁷, S.K. Radhakrishnan¹⁵⁰, P. Rados⁹¹,
 F. Ragusa^{94a,94b}, G. Rahal¹⁸¹, J.A. Raine⁸⁷, S. Rajagopalan²⁷, T. Rashid¹¹⁹, S. Raspopov⁵,
 M.G. Ratti^{94a,94b}, D.M. Rauch⁴⁵, F. Rauscher¹⁰², S. Rave⁸⁶, I. Ravinovich¹⁷⁵, J.H. Rawling⁸⁷,
 M. Raymond³², A.L. Read¹²¹, N.P. Readioff⁵⁷, M. Reale^{76a,76b}, D.M. Rebuzzi^{123a,123b}, A. Redelbach¹⁷⁸,
 G. Redlinger²⁷, R. Reece¹³⁹, R.G. Reed^{147c}, K. Reeves⁴⁴, L. Rehnisch¹⁷, J. Reichert¹²⁴, A. Reiss⁸⁶,
 C. Rembser³², H. Ren^{35a,35d}, M. Rescigno^{134a}, S. Resconi^{94a}, E.D. Resseguie¹²⁴, S. Rettie¹⁷¹,
 E. Reynolds¹⁹, O.L. Rezanova^{111,c}, P. Reznicek¹³¹, R. Richter¹⁰³, S. Richter⁸¹, E. Richter-Was^{41b},
 O. Ricken²³, M. Ridel⁸³, P. Rieck¹⁰³, C.J. Riegel¹⁷⁷, O. Rifki¹¹⁵, M. Rijssenbeek¹⁵⁰, A. Rimoldi^{123a,123b},
 M. Rimoldi¹⁸, L. Rinaldi^{22a}, G. Ripellino¹⁴⁹, B. Ristić³², E. Ritsch³², I. Riu¹³, J.C. Rivera Vergara^{34a},
 F. Rizatdinova¹¹⁶, E. Rizvi⁷⁹, C. Rizzi¹³, R.T. Roberts⁸⁷, S.H. Robertson^{90,o}, A. Robichaud-Veronneau⁹⁰,
 D. Robinson³⁰, J.E.M. Robinson⁴⁵, A. Robson⁵⁶, E. Rocco⁸⁶, C. Roda^{126a,126b}, Y. Rodina^{88,ao},
 S. Rodriguez Bosca¹⁷⁰, A. Rodriguez Perez¹³, D. Rodriguez Rodriguez¹⁷⁰, A.M. Rodríguez Vera^{163b},
 S. Roe³², C.S. Rogan⁵⁹, O. Røhne¹²¹, R. Röhrig¹⁰³, J. Roloff⁵⁹, A. Romaniouk¹⁰⁰, M. Romano^{22a,22b},
 S.M. Romano Saez³⁷, E. Romero Adam¹⁷⁰, N. Rompotis⁷⁷, M. Ronzani⁵¹, L. Roos⁸³, S. Rosati^{134a},
 K. Rosbach⁵¹, P. Rose¹³⁹, N.-A. Rosien⁵⁸, E. Rossi^{106a,106b}, L.P. Rossi^{53a}, J.H.N. Rosten³⁰, R. Rosten¹⁴⁰,
 M. Rotaru^{28b}, J. Rothberg¹⁴⁰, D. Rousseau¹¹⁹, D. Roy^{147c}, A. Rozanov⁸⁸, Y. Rozen¹⁵⁴, X. Ruan^{147c},
 F. Rubbo¹⁴⁵, F. Rühr⁵¹, A. Ruiz-Martinez³¹, Z. Rurikova⁵¹, N.A. Rusakovich⁶⁸, H.L. Russell⁹⁰,
 J.P. Rutherford⁷, N. Ruthmann³², E.M. Rüttinger⁴⁵, Y.F. Ryabov¹²⁵, M. Rybar¹⁶⁹, G. Rybkin¹¹⁹, S. Ryu⁶,
 A. Ryzhov¹³², G.F. Rzehorz⁵⁸, A.F. Saavedra¹⁵², G. Sabato¹⁰⁹, S. Sacerdoti²⁹, H.F.-W. Sadrozinski¹³⁹,
 R. Sadykov⁶⁸, F. Safai Tehrani^{134a}, P. Saha¹¹⁰, M. Sahinsoy^{60a}, M. Saimpert⁴⁵, M. Saito¹⁵⁷, T. Saito¹⁵⁷,
 H. Sakamoto¹⁵⁷, G. Salamanna^{136a,136b}, J.E. Salazar Loyola^{34b}, D. Salek¹⁰⁹, P.H. Sales De Bruin¹⁶⁸,
 D. Salihagic¹⁰³, A. Salkov¹⁴⁵, J. Salt¹⁷⁰, D. Salvatore^{40a,40b}, F. Salvatore¹⁵¹, A. Salvucci^{62a,62b,62c},
 A. Salzburger³², D. Sammel⁵¹, D. Sampsonidis¹⁵⁶, D. Sampsonidou¹⁵⁶, J. Sánchez¹⁷⁰,
 A. Sanchez Pineda^{167a,167c}, H. Sandaker¹²¹, R.L. Sandbach⁷⁹, C.O. Sander⁴⁵, M. Sandhoff¹⁷⁷,
 C. Sandoval²¹, D.P.C. Sankey¹³³, M. Sannino^{53a,53b}, Y. Sano¹⁰⁵, A. Sansoni⁵⁰, C. Santoni³⁷, H. Santos^{128a},
 I. Santoyo Castillo¹⁵¹, A. Saprnov⁶⁸, J.G. Saraiva^{128a,128d}, O. Sasaki⁶⁹, K. Sato¹⁶⁴, E. Sauvan⁵,

P. Savard ^{161,d}, N. Savic ¹⁰³, R. Sawada ¹⁵⁷, C. Sawyer ¹³³, L. Sawyer ^{82,v}, C. Sbarra ^{22a}, A. Sbrizzi ^{22a,22b}, T. Scanlon ⁸¹, D.A. Scannicchio ¹⁶⁶, J. Schaarschmidt ¹⁴⁰, P. Schacht ¹⁰³, B.M. Schachtner ¹⁰², D. Schaefer ³³, L. Schaefer ¹²⁴, J. Schaeffer ⁸⁶, S. Schaepe ³², U. Schäfer ⁸⁶, A.C. Schaffer ¹¹⁹, D. Schaile ¹⁰², R.D. Schamberger ¹⁵⁰, V.A. Schegelsky ¹²⁵, D. Scheirich ¹³¹, F. Schenck ¹⁷, M. Schernau ¹⁶⁶, C. Schiavi ^{53a,53b}, S. Schier ¹³⁹, L.K. Schildgen ²³, C. Schillo ⁵¹, E.J. Schioppa ³², M. Schioppa ^{40a,40b}, K.E. Schleicher ⁵¹, S. Schlenker ³², K.R. Schmidt-Sommerfeld ¹⁰³, K. Schmieden ³², C. Schmitt ⁸⁶, S. Schmitt ⁴⁵, S. Schmitz ⁸⁶, U. Schnoor ⁵¹, L. Schoeffel ¹³⁸, A. Schoening ^{60b}, E. Schopf ²³, M. Schott ⁸⁶, J.F.P. Schouwenberg ¹⁰⁸, J. Schovancova ³², S. Schramm ⁵², N. Schuh ⁸⁶, A. Schulte ⁸⁶, H.-C. Schultz-Coulon ^{60a}, M. Schumacher ⁵¹, B.A. Schumm ¹³⁹, Ph. Schune ¹³⁸, A. Schwartzman ¹⁴⁵, T.A. Schwarz ⁹², H. Schweiger ⁸⁷, Ph. Schwemling ¹³⁸, R. Schwienhorst ⁹³, J. Schwindling ¹³⁸, A. Sciandra ²³, G. Sciolla ²⁵, M. Scornajenghi ^{40a,40b}, F. Scuri ^{126a}, F. Scutti ⁹¹, L.M. Scyboz ¹⁰³, J. Searcy ⁹², P. Seema ²³, S.C. Seidel ¹⁰⁷, A. Seiden ¹³⁹, J.M. Seixas ^{26a}, G. Sekhniaidze ^{106a}, K. Sekhon ⁹², S.J. Sekula ⁴³, N. Semprini-Cesari ^{22a,22b}, S. Senkin ³⁷, C. Serfon ¹²¹, L. Serin ¹¹⁹, L. Serkin ^{167a,167b}, M. Sessa ^{136a,136b}, H. Severini ¹¹⁵, T. Šfiligoj ⁷⁸, F. Sforza ¹⁶⁵, A. Sfyrla ⁵², E. Shabalina ⁵⁸, J.D. Shahinian ¹³⁹, N.W. Shaikh ^{148a,148b}, L.Y. Shan ^{35a}, R. Shang ¹⁶⁹, J.T. Shank ²⁴, M. Shapiro ¹⁶, P.B. Shatalov ⁹⁹, K. Shaw ^{167a,167b}, S.M. Shaw ⁸⁷, A. Shcherbakova ^{148a,148b}, C.Y. Shehu ¹⁵¹, Y. Shen ¹¹⁵, N. Sherafati ³¹, A.D. Sherman ²⁴, P. Sherwood ⁸¹, L. Shi ^{153,ap}, S. Shimizu ⁷⁰, C.O. Shimmin ¹⁷⁹, M. Shimojima ¹⁰⁴, I.P.J. Shipsey ¹²², S. Shirabe ⁷³, M. Shiyakova ^{68,aq}, J. Shlomi ¹⁷⁵, A. Shmeleva ⁹⁸, D. Shoaleh Saadi ⁹⁷, M.J. Shochet ³³, S. Shojaii ⁹¹, D.R. Shope ¹¹⁵, S. Shrestha ¹¹³, E. Shulga ¹⁰⁰, P. Sicho ¹²⁹, A.M. Sickles ¹⁶⁹, P.E. Sidebo ¹⁴⁹, E. Sideras Haddad ^{147c}, O. Sidiropoulou ¹⁷⁸, A. Sidoti ^{22a,22b}, F. Siegert ⁴⁷, Dj. Sijacki ¹⁴, J. Silva ^{128a,128d}, M. Silva Jr. ¹⁷⁶, S.B. Silverstein ^{148a}, L. Simic ⁶⁸, S. Simion ¹¹⁹, E. Simioni ⁸⁶, B. Simmons ⁸¹, M. Simon ⁸⁶, P. Sinervo ¹⁶¹, N.B. Sinev ¹¹⁸, M. Sioli ^{22a,22b}, G. Siragusa ¹⁷⁸, I. Siral ⁹², S.Yu. Sivoklov ¹⁰¹, J. Sjölin ^{148a,148b}, M.B. Skinner ⁷⁵, P. Skubic ¹¹⁵, M. Slater ¹⁹, T. Slavicek ¹³⁰, M. Slawinska ⁴², K. Sliwa ¹⁶⁵, R. Slovak ¹³¹, V. Smakhtin ¹⁷⁵, B.H. Smart ⁵, J. Smiesko ^{146a}, N. Smirnov ¹⁰⁰, S.Yu. Smirnov ¹⁰⁰, Y. Smirnov ¹⁰⁰, L.N. Smirnova ^{101,ar}, O. Smirnova ⁸⁴, J.W. Smith ⁵⁸, M.N.K. Smith ³⁸, R.W. Smith ³⁸, M. Smizanska ⁷⁵, K. Smolek ¹³⁰, A.A. Snesarev ⁹⁸, I.M. Snyder ¹¹⁸, S. Snyder ²⁷, R. Sobie ^{172,o}, F. Socher ⁴⁷, A.M. Soffa ¹⁶⁶, A. Soffer ¹⁵⁵, A. Søgaard ⁴⁹, D.A. Soh ¹⁵³, G. Sokhrannyi ⁷⁸, C.A. Solans Sanchez ³², M. Solar ¹³⁰, E.Yu. Soldatov ¹⁰⁰, U. Soldevila ¹⁷⁰, A.A. Solodkov ¹³², A. Soloshenko ⁶⁸, O.V. Solovyanov ¹³², V. Solovyev ¹²⁵, P. Sommer ¹⁴¹, H. Son ¹⁶⁵, W. Song ¹³³, A. Sopczak ¹³⁰, F. Sopkova ^{146b}, D. Sosa ^{60b}, C.L. Sotiropoulou ^{126a,126b}, S. Sottocornola ^{123a,123b}, R. Soualah ^{167a,167c}, A.M. Soukharev ^{111,c}, D. South ⁴⁵, B.C. Sowden ⁸⁰, S. Spagnolo ^{76a,76b}, M. Spalla ¹⁰³, M. Spangenberg ¹⁷³, F. Spanò ⁸⁰, D. Sperlich ¹⁷, F. Spettel ¹⁰³, T.M. Spieker ^{60a}, R. Spighi ^{22a}, G. Spigo ³², L.A. Spiller ⁹¹, M. Spousta ¹³¹, R.D. St. Denis ^{56,*}, A. Stabile ^{94a,94b}, R. Stamen ^{60a}, S. Stamm ¹⁷, E. Stanecka ⁴², R.W. Stanek ⁶, C. Stanescu ^{136a}, M.M. Stanitzki ⁴⁵, B.S. Stapf ¹⁰⁹, S. Stapnes ¹²¹, E.A. Starchenko ¹³², G.H. Stark ³³, J. Stark ⁵⁷, S.H. Stark ³⁹, P. Staroba ¹²⁹, P. Starovoitov ^{60a}, S. Stärz ³², R. Staszewski ⁴², M. Stegler ⁴⁵, P. Steinberg ²⁷, B. Stelzer ¹⁴⁴, H.J. Stelzer ³², O. Stelzer-Chilton ^{163a}, H. Stenzel ⁵⁵, T.J. Stevenson ⁷⁹, G.A. Stewart ³², M.C. Stockton ¹¹⁸, G. Stoicea ^{28b}, P. Stolte ⁵⁸, S. Stonjek ¹⁰³, A. Straessner ⁴⁷, M.E. Stramaglia ¹⁸, J. Strandberg ¹⁴⁹, S. Strandberg ^{148a,148b}, M. Strauss ¹¹⁵, P. Strizenec ^{146b}, R. Ströhmer ¹⁷⁸, D.M. Strom ¹¹⁸, R. Stroynowski ⁴³, A. Strubig ⁴⁹, S.A. Stucci ²⁷, B. Stugu ¹⁵, N.A. Styles ⁴⁵, D. Su ¹⁴⁵, J. Su ¹²⁷, S. Suchek ^{60a}, Y. Sugaya ¹²⁰, M. Suk ¹³⁰, V.V. Sulin ⁹⁸, DMS Sultan ⁵², S. Sultansoy ^{4c}, T. Sumida ⁷¹, S. Sun ⁹², X. Sun ³, K. Suruliz ¹⁵¹, C.J.E. Suster ¹⁵², M.R. Sutton ¹⁵¹, S. Suzuki ⁶⁹, M. Svatos ¹²⁹, M. Swiatlowski ³³, S.P. Swift ², A. Sydorenko ⁸⁶, I. Sykora ^{146a}, T. Sykora ¹³¹, D. Ta ⁵¹, K. Tackmann ⁴⁵, J. Taenzer ¹⁵⁵, A. Taffard ¹⁶⁶, R. Tahirout ^{163a}, E. Tahirovic ⁷⁹, N. Taiblum ¹⁵⁵, H. Takai ²⁷, R. Takashima ⁷², E.H. Takasugi ¹⁰³, K. Takeda ⁷⁰, T. Takeshita ¹⁴², Y. Takubo ⁶⁹, M. Talby ⁸⁸, A.A. Talyshev ^{111,c}, J. Tanaka ¹⁵⁷, M. Tanaka ¹⁵⁹, R. Tanaka ¹¹⁹, R. Tanioka ⁷⁰, B.B. Tannenwald ¹¹³, S. Tapia Araya ^{34b}, S. Tapprogge ⁸⁶, A.T. Tarek Abouelfadl Mohamed ⁸³, S. Tarem ¹⁵⁴, G. Tarna ^{28b,q}, G.F. Tartarelli ^{94a}, P. Tas ¹³¹, M. Tasevsky ¹²⁹, T. Tashiro ⁷¹, E. Tassi ^{40a,40b}, A. Tavares Delgado ^{128a,128b}, Y. Tayalati ^{137e}, A.C. Taylor ¹⁰⁷, A.J. Taylor ⁴⁹, G.N. Taylor ⁹¹, P.T.E. Taylor ⁹¹, W. Taylor ^{163b}, P. Teixeira-Dias ⁸⁰, D. Temple ¹⁴⁴, H. Ten Kate ³², P.K. Teng ¹⁵³, J.J. Teoh ¹²⁰, F. Tepel ¹⁷⁷, S. Terada ⁶⁹, K. Terashi ¹⁵⁷, J. Terron ⁸⁵, S. Terzo ¹³, M. Testa ⁵⁰, R.J. Teuscher ^{161,o}, S.J. Thais ¹⁷⁹, T. Theveneaux-Pelzer ⁴⁵, F. Thiele ³⁹, J.P. Thomas ¹⁹, J. Thomas-Wilsker ⁸⁰, P.D. Thompson ¹⁹, A.S. Thompson ⁵⁶, L.A. Thomsen ¹⁷⁹, E. Thomson ¹²⁴, Y. Tian ³⁸, R.E. Tice Torres ⁵⁸, V.O. Tikhomirov ^{98,as}, Yu.A. Tikhonov ^{111,c}, S. Timoshenko ¹⁰⁰, P. Tipton ¹⁷⁹, S. Tisserant ⁸⁸, K. Todome ¹⁵⁹, S. Todorova-Nova ⁵,

S. Todt⁴⁷, J. Tojo⁷³, S. Tokár^{146a}, K. Tokushuku⁶⁹, E. Tolley¹¹³, M. Tomoto¹⁰⁵, L. Tompkins^{145,at}, K. Toms¹⁰⁷, B. Tong⁵⁹, P. Tornambe⁵¹, E. Torrence¹¹⁸, H. Torres⁴⁷, E. Torró Pastor¹⁴⁰, J. Toth^{88,au}, F. Touchard⁸⁸, D.R. Tovey¹⁴¹, C.J. Treado¹¹², T. Trefzger¹⁷⁸, F. Tresoldi¹⁵¹, A. Tricoli²⁷, I.M. Trigger^{163a}, S. Trincaz-Duvoid⁸³, M.F. Tripiana¹³, W. Trischuk¹⁶¹, B. Trocmé⁵⁷, A. Trofymov⁴⁵, C. Troncon^{94a}, M. Trovatelli¹⁷², L. Truong^{147b}, M. Trzebinski⁴², A. Trzupek⁴², K.W. Tsang^{62a}, J.C.-L. Tseng¹²², P.V. Tsiarshka⁹⁵, N. Tsirintanis⁹, S. Tsiskaridze¹³, V. Tsiskaridze⁵¹, E.G. Tskhadadze^{54a}, I.I. Tsukerman⁹⁹, V. Tsulaia¹⁶, S. Tsuno⁶⁹, D. Tsybychev¹⁵⁰, Y. Tu^{62b}, A. Tudorache^{28b}, V. Tudorache^{28b}, T.T. Tulbure^{28a}, A.N. Tuna⁵⁹, S. Turchikhin⁶⁸, D. Turgeman¹⁷⁵, I. Turk Cakir^{4b,av}, R. Turra^{94a}, P.M. Tuts³⁸, G. Uccielli^{22a,22b}, I. Ueda⁶⁹, M. Ughetto^{148a,148b}, F. Ukegawa¹⁶⁴, G. Unal³², A. Undrus²⁷, G. Unel¹⁶⁶, F.C. Ungaro⁹¹, Y. Unno⁶⁹, K. Uno¹⁵⁷, J. Urban^{146b}, P. Urquijo⁹¹, P. Urrejola⁸⁶, G. Usai⁸, J. Usui⁶⁹, L. Vacavant⁸⁸, V. Vacek¹³⁰, B. Vachon⁹⁰, K.O.H. Vadla¹²¹, A. Vaidya⁸¹, C. Valderanis¹⁰², E. Valdes Santurio^{148a,148b}, M. Valente⁵², S. Valentineti^{22a,22b}, A. Valero¹⁷⁰, L. Valéry¹³, A. Vallier⁵, J.A. Valls Ferrer¹⁷⁰, W. Van Den Wollenberg¹⁰⁹, H. van der Graaf¹⁰⁹, P. van Gemmeren⁶, J. Van Nieuwkoop¹⁴⁴, I. van Vulpen¹⁰⁹, M.C. van Woerden¹⁰⁹, M. Vanadia^{135a,135b}, W. Vandelli³², A. Vaniachine¹⁶⁰, P. Vankov¹⁰⁹, R. Vari^{134a}, E.W. Varnes⁷, C. Varni^{53a,53b}, T. Varol⁴³, D. Varouchas¹¹⁹, A. Vartapetian⁸, K.E. Varvell¹⁵², J.G. Vasquez¹⁷⁹, G.A. Vasquez^{34b}, F. Vazeille³⁷, D. Vazquez Furelos¹³, T. Vazquez Schroeder⁹⁰, J. Veatch⁵⁸, L.M. Veloce¹⁶¹, F. Veloso^{128a,128c}, S. Veneziano^{134a}, A. Ventura^{76a,76b}, M. Venturi¹⁷², N. Venturi³², V. Vercesi^{123a}, M. Verducci^{136a,136b}, W. Verkerke¹⁰⁹, A.T. Vermeulen¹⁰⁹, J.C. Vermeulen¹⁰⁹, M.C. Vetterli^{144,d}, N. Viaux Maira^{34b}, O. Viazlo⁸⁴, I. Vichou^{169,*}, T. Vickey¹⁴¹, O.E. Vickey Boeriu¹⁴¹, G.H.A. Viehhauser¹²², S. Viel¹⁶, L. Vigani¹²², M. Villa^{22a,22b}, M. Villaplana Perez^{94a,94b}, E. Vilucchi⁵⁰, M.G. Vincter³¹, V.B. Vinogradov⁶⁸, A. Vishwakarma⁴⁵, C. Vittori^{22a,22b}, I. Vivarelli¹⁵¹, S. Vlachos¹⁰, M. Vogel¹⁷⁷, P. Vokac¹³⁰, G. Volpi¹³, S.E. von Buddenbrock^{147c}, E. von Toerne²³, V. Vorobel¹³¹, K. Vorobev¹⁰⁰, M. Vos¹⁷⁰, J.H. Vosseveld⁷⁷, N. Vranjes¹⁴, M. Vranjes Milosavljevic¹⁴, V. Vrba¹³⁰, M. Vreeswijk¹⁰⁹, R. Vuillermet³², I. Vukotic³³, P. Wagner²³, W. Wagner¹⁷⁷, J. Wagner-Kuhr¹⁰², H. Wahlberg⁷⁴, S. Wahrmund⁴⁷, K. Wakamiya⁷⁰, J. Walder⁷⁵, R. Walker¹⁰², W. Walkowiak¹⁴³, V. Wallangen^{148a,148b}, A.M. Wang⁵⁹, C. Wang^{36a,q}, F. Wang¹⁷⁶, H. Wang¹⁶, H. Wang³, J. Wang^{60b}, J. Wang¹⁵², Q. Wang¹¹⁵, R.-J. Wang⁸³, R. Wang⁶, S.M. Wang¹⁵³, T. Wang³⁸, W. Wang^{153,aw}, W. Wang^{36c,ax}, Z. Wang^{36b}, C. Wanotayaroj⁴⁵, A. Warburton⁹⁰, C.P. Ward³⁰, D.R. Wardrope⁸¹, A. Washbrook⁴⁹, P.M. Watkins¹⁹, A.T. Watson¹⁹, M.F. Watson¹⁹, G. Watts¹⁴⁰, S. Watts⁸⁷, B.M. Waugh⁸¹, A.F. Webb¹¹, S. Webb⁸⁶, M.S. Weber¹⁸, S.M. Weber^{60a}, S.A. Weber³¹, J.S. Webster⁶, A.R. Weidberg¹²², B. Weinert⁶⁴, J. Weingarten⁵⁸, M. Weirich⁸⁶, C. Weiser⁵¹, P.S. Wells³², T. Wenaus²⁷, T. Wengler³², S. Wenig³², N. Wermes²³, M.D. Werner⁶⁷, P. Werner³², M. Wessels^{60a}, T.D. Weston¹⁸, K. Whalen¹¹⁸, N.L. Whallon¹⁴⁰, A.M. Wharton⁷⁵, A.S. White⁹², A. White⁸, M.J. White¹, R. White^{34b}, D. Whiteson¹⁶⁶, B.W. Whitmore⁷⁵, F.J. Wickens¹³³, W. Wiedenmann¹⁷⁶, M. Wielers¹³³, C. Wiglesworth³⁹, L.A.M. Wiik-Fuchs⁵¹, A. Wildauer¹⁰³, F. Wilk⁸⁷, H.G. Wilkens³², H.H. Williams¹²⁴, S. Williams³⁰, C. Willis⁹³, S. Willocq⁸⁹, J.A. Wilson¹⁹, I. Wingerter-Seez⁵, E. Winkels¹⁵¹, F. Winklmeier¹¹⁸, O.J. Winston¹⁵¹, B.T. Winter²³, M. Wittgen¹⁴⁵, M. Wobisch^{82,v}, A. Wolf⁸⁶, T.M.H. Wolf¹⁰⁹, R. Wolff⁸⁸, M.W. Wolter⁴², H. Wolters^{128a,128c}, V.W.S. Wong¹⁷¹, N.L. Woods¹³⁹, S.D. Worm¹⁹, B.K. Wosiek⁴², K.W. Wozniak⁴², M. Wu³³, S.L. Wu¹⁷⁶, X. Wu⁵², Y. Wu^{36c}, T.R. Wyatt⁸⁷, B.M. Wynne⁴⁹, S. Xella³⁹, Z. Xi⁹², L. Xia^{35c}, D. Xu^{35a}, L. Xu²⁷, T. Xu¹³⁸, W. Xu⁹², B. Yabsley¹⁵², S. Yacoob^{147a}, K. Yajima¹²⁰, D.P. Yallup⁸¹, D. Yamaguchi¹⁵⁹, Y. Yamaguchi¹⁵⁹, A. Yamamoto⁶⁹, T. Yamanaka¹⁵⁷, F. Yamane⁷⁰, M. Yamatani¹⁵⁷, T. Yamazaki¹⁵⁷, Y. Yamazaki⁷⁰, Z. Yan²⁴, H. Yang^{36b}, H. Yang¹⁶, S. Yang⁶⁶, Y. Yang¹⁵³, Z. Yang¹⁵, W.-M. Yao¹⁶, Y.C. Yap⁴⁵, Y. Yasu⁶⁹, E. Yatsenko⁵, K.H. Yau Wong²³, J. Ye⁴³, S. Ye²⁷, I. Yeletsikh⁶⁸, E. Yigitbasi²⁴, E. Yildirim⁸⁶, K. Yorita¹⁷⁴, K. Yoshihara¹²⁴, C. Young¹⁴⁵, C.J.S. Young³², J. Yu⁸, J. Yu⁶⁷, S.P.Y. Yuen²³, I. Yusuff^{30,ay}, B. Zabinski⁴², G. Zacharis¹⁰, R. Zaidan¹³, A.M. Zaitsev^{132,al}, N. Zakharchuk⁴⁵, J. Zalieckas¹⁵, A. Zaman¹⁵⁰, S. Zambito⁵⁹, D. Zanzi³², C. Zeitnitz¹⁷⁷, G. Zemaityte¹²², J.C. Zeng¹⁶⁹, Q. Zeng¹⁴⁵, O. Zenin¹³², T. Ženiš^{146a}, D. Zerwas¹¹⁹, D. Zhang^{36a}, D. Zhang⁹², F. Zhang¹⁷⁶, G. Zhang^{36c,ax}, H. Zhang¹¹⁹, J. Zhang⁶, L. Zhang⁵¹, L. Zhang^{36c}, M. Zhang¹⁶⁹, P. Zhang^{35b}, R. Zhang²³, R. Zhang^{36c,q}, X. Zhang^{36a}, Y. Zhang^{35a,35d}, Z. Zhang¹¹⁹, X. Zhao⁴³, Y. Zhao^{36a,y}, Z. Zhao^{36c}, A. Zhemchugov⁶⁸, B. Zhou⁹², C. Zhou¹⁷⁶, L. Zhou⁴³, M. Zhou^{35a,35d}, M. Zhou¹⁵⁰, N. Zhou^{36b}, Y. Zhou⁷, C.G. Zhu^{36a}, H. Zhu^{35a}, J. Zhu⁹², Y. Zhu^{36c}, X. Zhuang^{35a}, K. Zhukov⁹⁸, V. Zhulanov¹¹¹, A. Zibell¹⁷⁸,

D. Zieminska⁶⁴, N.I. Zimine⁶⁸, S. Zimmermann⁵¹, Z. Zinonos¹⁰³, M. Zinser⁸⁶, M. Ziolkowski¹⁴³,
L. Živković¹⁴, G. Zobernig¹⁷⁶, A. Zoccoli^{22a,22b}, R. Zou³³, M. zur Nedden¹⁷, L. Zwalinski³²

- ¹ Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia
² Physics Department, SUNY Albany, Albany NY, United States
³ Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton AB, Canada
⁴ (a) Department of Physics, Ankara University, Ankara; (b) Istanbul Aydin University, Istanbul; (c) Division of Physics, TOBB University of Economics and Technology, Ankara, Turkey
⁵ LAPP, CNRS/IN2P3 and Université Savoie Mont Blanc, Annecy-le-Vieux, France
⁶ High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne IL, United States
⁷ Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ, United States
⁸ Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington TX, United States
⁹ Physics Department, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
¹⁰ Physics Department, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou, Greece
¹¹ Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin TX, United States
¹² Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan
¹³ Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona, Spain
¹⁴ Institute of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
¹⁵ Department for Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway
¹⁶ Physics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley CA, United States
¹⁷ Department of Physics, Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany
¹⁸ Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics and Laboratory for High Energy Physics, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland
¹⁹ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom
²⁰ (a) Department of Physics, Bogazici University, Istanbul; (b) Department of Physics Engineering, Gaziantep University, Gaziantep; (d) Istanbul Bilgi University, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Istanbul; (e) Bahcesehir University, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Istanbul, Turkey
²¹ Centro de Investigaciones, Universidad Antonio Narino, Bogota, Colombia
²² (a) INFN Sezione di Bologna; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy
²³ Physikalisches Institut, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany
²⁴ Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston MA, United States
²⁵ Department of Physics, Brandeis University, Waltham MA, United States
²⁶ (a) Universidade Federal do Rio De Janeiro COPPE/EE/IF, Rio de Janeiro; (b) Electrical Circuits Department, Federal University of Juiz de Fora (UFJF), Juiz de Fora; (c) Federal University of Sao Joao del Rei (UFSJ), Sao Joao del Rei; (d) Instituto de Fisica, Universidade de Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil
²⁷ Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton NY, United States
²⁸ (a) Transilvania University of Brasov, Brasov; (b) Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest; (c) Department of Physics, Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi, Iasi; (d) National Institute for Research and Development of Isotopic and Molecular Technologies, Physics Department, Cluj Napoca; (e) University Politehnica Bucharest, Bucharest; (f) West University in Timisoara, Timisoara, Romania
²⁹ Departamento de Física, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina
³⁰ Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom
³¹ Department of Physics, Carleton University, Ottawa ON, Canada
³² CERN, Geneva, Switzerland
³³ Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago IL, United States
³⁴ (a) Departamento de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago; (b) Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile
³⁵ (a) Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing; (b) Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Jiangsu; (c) Physics Department, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084; (d) University of Chinese Academy of Science (UCAS), Beijing, China
³⁶ (a) School of Physics, Shandong University, Shandong; (b) School of Physics and Astronomy, Key Laboratory for Particle Physics, Astrophysics and Cosmology, Ministry of Education; Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Tsung-Dao Lee Institute, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China; (c) Department of Modern Physics and State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics, University of Science and Technology of China, Anhui, China
³⁷ Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France
³⁸ Nevis Laboratory, Columbia University, Irvington NY, United States
³⁹ Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
⁴⁰ (a) INFN Gruppo Collegato di Cosenza, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università della Calabria, Rende, Italy
⁴¹ (a) AGH University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Krakow; (b) Marian Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland
⁴² Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow, Poland
⁴³ Physics Department, Southern Methodist University, Dallas TX, United States
⁴⁴ Physics Department, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson TX, United States
⁴⁵ DESY, Hamburg and Zeuthen, Germany
⁴⁶ Lehrstuhl für Experimentelle Physik IV, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany
⁴⁷ Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany
⁴⁸ Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham NC, United States
⁴⁹ SUPA – School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom
⁵⁰ INFN e Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy
⁵¹ Fakultät für Mathematik und Physik, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Freiburg, Germany
⁵² Departement de Physique Nucleaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Geneva, Switzerland
⁵³ (a) INFN Sezione di Genova; (b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova, Genova, Italy
⁵⁴ (a) E. Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Iv. Javakishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi; (b) High Energy Physics Institute, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
⁵⁵ II Physikalisches Institut, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, Giessen, Germany
⁵⁶ SUPA – School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom
⁵⁷ Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, Université Grenoble-Alpes, CNRS/IN2P3, Grenoble, France
⁵⁸ II Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität, Göttingen, Germany
⁵⁹ Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Harvard University, Cambridge MA, United States
⁶⁰ (a) Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg; (b) Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany
⁶¹ Faculty of Applied Information Science, Hiroshima Institute of Technology, Hiroshima, Japan
⁶² (a) Department of Physics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong; (b) Department of Physics, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong; (c) Department of Physics and Institute for Advanced Study, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China
⁶³ Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan
⁶⁴ Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington IN, United States
⁶⁵ Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, Leopold-Franzens-Universität, Innsbruck, Austria
⁶⁶ University of Iowa, Iowa City IA, United States

- ⁶⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames IA, United States
- ⁶⁸ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, JINR Dubna, Dubna, Russia
- ⁶⁹ KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Tsukuba, Japan
- ⁷⁰ Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan
- ⁷¹ Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan
- ⁷² Kyoto University of Education, Kyoto, Japan
- ⁷³ Research Center for Advanced Particle Physics and Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan
- ⁷⁴ Instituto de Física La Plata, Universidad Nacional de La Plata and CONICET, La Plata, Argentina
- ⁷⁵ Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom
- ⁷⁶ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Lecce; ^(b) Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università del Salento, Lecce, Italy
- ⁷⁷ Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom
- ⁷⁸ Department of Experimental Particle Physics, Jožef Stefan Institute and Department of Physics, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia
- ⁷⁹ School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom
- ⁸⁰ Department of Physics, Royal Holloway University of London, Surrey, United Kingdom
- ⁸¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, London, United Kingdom
- ⁸² Louisiana Tech University, Ruston LA, United States
- ⁸³ Laboratoire de Physique Nucléaire et de Hautes Energies, UPMC and Université Paris-Diderot and CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France
- ⁸⁴ Fysiska institutionen, Lunds universitet, Lund, Sweden
- ⁸⁵ Departamento de Física Teórica C-15, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
- ⁸⁶ Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz, Germany
- ⁸⁷ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom
- ⁸⁸ CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France
- ⁸⁹ Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA, United States
- ⁹⁰ Department of Physics, McGill University, Montreal QC, Canada
- ⁹¹ School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
- ⁹² Department of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor MI, United States
- ⁹³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing MI, United States
- ⁹⁴ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Milano; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano, Milano, Italy
- ⁹⁵ B.I. Stepanov Institute of Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Minsk, Belarus
- ⁹⁶ Research Institute for Nuclear Problems of Byelorussian State University, Minsk, Belarus
- ⁹⁷ Group of Particle Physics, University of Montreal, Montreal QC, Canada
- ⁹⁸ P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
- ⁹⁹ Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP), Moscow, Russia
- ¹⁰⁰ National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow, Russia
- ¹⁰¹ D.V. Skobel'syn Institute of Nuclear Physics, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
- ¹⁰² Fakultät für Physik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München, Germany
- ¹⁰³ Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut), München, Germany
- ¹⁰⁴ Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki, Japan
- ¹⁰⁵ Graduate School of Science and Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan
- ¹⁰⁶ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Napoli; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Napoli, Napoli, Italy
- ¹⁰⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque NM, United States
- ¹⁰⁸ Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen, Netherlands
- ¹⁰⁹ Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands
- ¹¹⁰ Department of Physics, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb IL, United States
- ¹¹¹ Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, SB RAS, Novosibirsk, Russia
- ¹¹² Department of Physics, New York University, New York NY, United States
- ¹¹³ Ohio State University, Columbus OH, United States
- ¹¹⁴ Faculty of Science, Okayama University, Okayama, Japan
- ¹¹⁵ Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, Norman OK, United States
- ¹¹⁶ Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater OK, United States
- ¹¹⁷ Palacký University, RCPTM, Olomouc, Czech Republic
- ¹¹⁸ Center for High Energy Physics, University of Oregon, Eugene OR, United States
- ¹¹⁹ LAL, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay, France
- ¹²⁰ Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan
- ¹²¹ Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
- ¹²² Department of Physics, Oxford University, Oxford, United Kingdom
- ¹²³ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Pavia; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy
- ¹²⁴ Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia PA, United States
- ¹²⁵ National Research Centre "Kurchatov Institute" B.P. Konstantinov Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia
- ¹²⁶ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Pisa; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica E. Fermi, Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy
- ¹²⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh PA, United States
- ¹²⁸ ^(a) Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP, Lisboa; ^(b) Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; ^(c) Department of Physics, University of Coimbra, Coimbra; ^(d) Centro de Física Nuclear da Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa; ^(e) Departamento de Física, Universidade do Minho, Braga; ^(f) Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos, Universidad de Granada, Granada; ^(g) Dep Física and CEFITEC of Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Caparica, Portugal
- ¹²⁹ Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Praha, Czech Republic
- ¹³⁰ Czech Technical University in Prague, Praha, Czech Republic
- ¹³¹ Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Prague, Czech Republic
- ¹³² State Research Center Institute for High Energy Physics (Protvino), NRC KI, Russia
- ¹³³ Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
- ¹³⁴ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Roma; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma, Italy
- ¹³⁵ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata; ^(b) Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy
- ¹³⁶ ^(a) INFN Sezione di Roma Tre; ^(b) Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Roma Tre, Roma, Italy
- ¹³⁷ ^(a) Faculté des Sciences Ain Chock, Réseau Universitaire de Physique des Hautes Energies - Université Hassan II, Casablanca; ^(b) Centre National de l'Energie des Sciences Techniques Nucleaires, Rabat; ^(c) Faculté des Sciences Semlalia, Université Cadi Ayyad, LPHEA-Marrakech; ^(d) Faculté des Sciences, Université Mohamed Premier and LPTPM, Oujda; ^(e) Faculté des sciences, Université Mohammed V, Rabat, Morocco
- ¹³⁸ DSM/IRFU (Institut de Recherches sur les Lois Fondamentales de l'Univers), CEA Saclay (Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique et aux Energies Alternatives), Gif-sur-Yvette, France
- ¹³⁹ Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz CA, United States
- ¹⁴⁰ Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle WA, United States
- ¹⁴¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom

- ¹⁴² Department of Physics, Shinshu University, Nagano, Japan
¹⁴³ Department Physik, Universität Siegen, Siegen, Germany
¹⁴⁴ Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby BC, Canada
¹⁴⁵ SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford CA, United States
¹⁴⁶ ^(a) Faculty of Mathematics, Physics & Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava; ^(b) Department of Subnuclear Physics, Institute of Experimental Physics of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Kosice, Slovak Republic
¹⁴⁷ ^(a) Department of Physics, University of Cape Town, Cape Town; ^(b) Department of Physics, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg; ^(c) School of Physics, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
¹⁴⁸ ^(a) Department of Physics, Stockholm University; ^(b) The Oskar Klein Centre, Stockholm, Sweden
¹⁴⁹ Physics Department, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden
¹⁵⁰ Departments of Physics & Astronomy and Chemistry, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook NY, United States
¹⁵¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Brighton, United Kingdom
¹⁵² School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia
¹⁵³ Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan
¹⁵⁴ Department of Physics, Technion: Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel
¹⁵⁵ Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel
¹⁵⁶ Department of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece
¹⁵⁷ International Center for Elementary Particle Physics and Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
¹⁵⁸ Graduate School of Science and Technology, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo, Japan
¹⁵⁹ Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan
¹⁶⁰ Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia
¹⁶¹ Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto ON, Canada
¹⁶² ^(a) INFN-TIFPA; ^(b) University of Trento, Trento, Italy
¹⁶³ ^(a) TRIUMF, Vancouver BC; ^(b) Department of Physics and Astronomy, York University, Toronto ON, Canada
¹⁶⁴ Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, and Center for Integrated Research in Fundamental Science and Engineering, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan
¹⁶⁵ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Tufts University, Medford MA, United States
¹⁶⁶ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California Irvine, Irvine CA, United States
¹⁶⁷ ^(a) INFN Gruppo Collegato di Udine, Sezione di Trieste, Udine; ^(b) ICTP, Trieste; ^(c) Dipartimento di Chimica, Fisica e Ambiente, Università di Udine, Udine, Italy
¹⁶⁸ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Uppsala, Uppsala, Sweden
¹⁶⁹ Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana IL, United States
¹⁷⁰ Instituto de Física Corpuscular (IFIC), Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia – CSIC, Spain
¹⁷¹ Department of Physics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver BC, Canada
¹⁷² Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria BC, Canada
¹⁷³ Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom
¹⁷⁴ Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan
¹⁷⁵ Department of Particle Physics, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel
¹⁷⁶ Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison WI, United States
¹⁷⁷ Fakultät für Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften, Fachgruppe Physik, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany
¹⁷⁸ Fakultät für Physik und Astronomie, Julius-Maximilians-Universität, Würzburg, Germany
¹⁷⁹ Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven CT, United States
¹⁸⁰ Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia
¹⁸¹ Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3), Villeurbanne, France
¹⁸² Academia Sinica Grid Computing, Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan

^a Also at Department of Physics, King's College London, London, United Kingdom.

^b Also at Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan.

^c Also at Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia.

^d Also at TRIUMF, Vancouver BC, Canada.

^e Also at Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, United States.

^f Also at Physics Department, An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine.

^g Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Fresno CA, United States.

^h Also at Department of Physics, University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland.

ⁱ Also at II Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität, Göttingen, Germany.

^j Also at Departament de Física de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.

^k Also at Departamento de Física e Astronomia, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, Portugal.

^l Also at Tomsk State University, Tomsk, and Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology State University, Dolgoprudny, Russia.

^m Also at The Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter (CICQM), Beijing, China.

ⁿ Also at Università di Napoli Parthenope, Napoli, Italy.

^o Also at Institute of Particle Physics (IPP), Canada.

^p Also at Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest, Romania.

^q Also at CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France.

^r Also at Department of Physics, St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia.

^s Also at Borough of Manhattan Community College, City University of New York, New York City, United States.

^t Also at Department of Financial and Management Engineering, University of the Aegean, Chios, Greece.

^u Also at Centre for High Performance Computing, CSIR Campus, Rosebank, Cape Town, South Africa.

^v Also at Louisiana Tech University, Ruston LA, United States.

^w Also at Institutio Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avancats, ICREA, Barcelona, Spain.

^x Also at Department of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor MI, United States.

^y Also at LAL, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay, France.

^z Also at Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan.

^{aa} Also at Fakultät für Mathematik und Physik, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Freiburg, Germany.

^{ab} Also at Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen, Netherlands.

^{ac} Also at Institute of Theoretical Physics, Iliia State University, Tbilisi, Georgia.

^{ad} Also at CERN, Geneva, Switzerland.

^{ae} Also at Georgian Technical University (GTU), Tbilisi, Georgia.

^{af} Also at Ochadai Academic Production, Ochanomizu University, Tokyo, Japan.

^{ag} Also at Manhattan College, New York NY, United States.

- ^{ah} Also at Hellenic Open University, Patras, Greece.
- ^{ai} Also at The City College of New York, New York NY, United States.
- ^{aj} Also at Departamento de Fisica Teorica y del Cosmos, Universidad de Granada, Granada, Portugal.
- ^{ak} Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Sacramento CA, United States.
- ^{al} Also at Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology State University, Dolgoprudny, Russia.
- ^{am} Also at Departement de Physique Nucleaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Geneva, Switzerland.
- ^{an} Also at Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin TX, United States.
- ^{ao} Also at Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona, Spain.
- ^{ap} Also at School of Physics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China.
- ^{aq} Also at Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy (INRNE) of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria.
- ^{ar} Also at Faculty of Physics, M.V.Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.
- ^{as} Also at National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow, Russia.
- ^{at} Also at Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford CA, United States.
- ^{au} Also at Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary.
- ^{av} Also at Giresun University, Faculty of Engineering, Turkey.
- ^{aw} Also at Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Jiangsu, China.
- ^{ax} Also at Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan.
- ^{ay} Also at University of Malaya, Department of Physics, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- * Deceased.