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## Proposal

seudo-relatives (PRs) consist of a subject (DP ${ }_{s}$ ) and a finite $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$-predicate with a subject gap (Radford
, Kayne 1975, Cinque 1995, et. al.),

- Either $\mathrm{DP}_{S}$ can trigger matrix agreement or the whole PR can, giving rise to 3sG
(1) ${ }_{[P R}\left[D P_{S}\right.$ Io $\quad$ Io che ballo $]$ è/sono un evento da non perdere Me dancing is an event not to miss.' (Cinque 1995 (66))

Our Claim: Agreement with DPS is a form of ong Distance Agreement with a subject embedded within the PR.

Our Proposal: PRs are headed by a null clausal determiner $\mathrm{D}_{c}$ which mediates Case and optional long
distance (LDA) $\phi$-AGREE to DPs.


## 1. EVIDENCE FOR DP AND $\mathrm{D}_{C}$

PRs are finite constructions found in Italian (2), and many other languages, that are only superficially ike relative clauses (Radford 1977, Kayne 1975, Guasti 1988, 1992, Cinque 1995, a.o.).
PRs can be constituents that refer to events/situations.
(2) Ciò che ${ }_{1} \quad /\left({ }^{*} \mathrm{Chi}_{2}\right)$ ho visto è Maria ${ }_{2}$ che piangeva
'What/(*Who) I saw was Maria crying' (after Radford 1977: 160(98))
PRs are DPs They can complement prepositions (3a), unlike standard finite CPs/infinitives (3b).
(3) a. La storia di $[P R$ Gianni che balla] è fantastica.

The story of G. that dances is fantastic.
'The story of G. dancing is fantastic.' (Cinque 1995: (35b))
b. *La storia di che Gianni ballava /Gianni ballare nonè vera
'The story that G. danced is not true.
PRs, describe direct perception (4), unlike standard finite clauses which give indirect perception (5).
(4) Gianni ha visto [ $P R$ Maria che piangeva] ...ma ha pensato che ridesse.

Gianni has seen Maria that cry.IMPF ...but has thought that laugh.SUBJ
Gianni saw Maria crying ... but he thought she was laughing'
(5) Gianni ha visto dalle lacrime che Maria piangeva, \#ma pensava ridesse. 'Gianni saw from the tears that Maria was crying, \#but thought she was laughing
Semantic evidence for $\mathbf{D}_{C}$ : PRs are referential, in comparison to infinitives which are existentially Semantic evidence for D $\mathbf{C}$ : PRs a
quantified (Higginbotham 1983).
6) Dato che Gianni non ha mai ballato

Maria non ha mai visto Gianni ballare il tango /\# G. che ballava il tango
M. NEG has never seen G. dance.INF the tango / G. that dance-IMPF the tango

Null $\mathrm{D}_{C}$ is responsible for referentiality.
$\overbrace{\emptyset_{\text {THE }}}^{\text {DP }}$ The situation in which Gianni is dancing

## 2. $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{C}}$ TRANSMITS CASE TO DP ${ }_{S}$

The Case of the PR Subject is whatever the whole PR is:
(7) a. $\quad$ IIo/*me che fumo per strada $]$ è uno spettacolo che non raccomando. [I.NOM/*ACC that smokes in the.street] is a sight that not recommend.1sc 'Me smoking in the street is a sight I cannot recommend.' (Cinque 1995 (66))
Ha visto $[\mathrm{me} / * \mathrm{io}$ che fumavo per strada].
He has seen me.ACC/*T that smoke-IMPF in street.
He.has seen me.ACC/*I that smoke-IMPF in street
He saw me smoking in the street.'
The PR subject is base-generated as sister to che-clause predicate (see Moulton and Grillo 2015 fo vidence from reconstruction)

- $\mathrm{D}_{C}$ mediates the Case relation between external $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{T}$ and $\mathrm{DP}_{S}$ (see $\$ 5$ ) à la Reuland (1983).
- $\mathrm{D}_{C}$ is needed for Case because Italian generally does not allow Case assignment to $\mathrm{Spec}, \mathrm{CP}$ no does it have standard ECM (see Rizzi 1982)
(8) ${ }^{*}$ Mario credeva questa donna non volerlo sposare Mario believed this woman not to.want.him to.marry
'Mario believed this woman did not want to marry him.' (after Rizzi 1982 (3b))
- But the presence of $\mathrm{D}_{C}$ turns the PR into a referential description of situations, so it canno complement propositional attitude verbs (giving direct rather than indirect perception).


## 3. AGREEMENT

Long Distance Plural
(9) [Carlo e Paolo che ballano il tango] sono/è uno spettacolo da non perdere Carlo and Paolo dancing the dance are a sight not to be issed. (Cinot miss.
ong Distance Person Agreement
(10) a. [Tu che balli] sei/è un evento da non perdere You that dance $\mathrm{BE} .2 \mathrm{SG} / \mathrm{BE} .3 \mathrm{sG}$ an event to not miss. You dancing is an event not to be missed.
b. [Io che ballo] sono/è un evento da non perdere 'Me dancing is an event not to miss.'
Acceptability Study In a $2[$ Agreement (3-sing vs. LDA) $] * 2[$ Position(Embedded vs. Free) $]$ acceptabilit tudy we compared 3 -sing agreement (è) with grammatical and ungrammatical LDA (e.g. son nominal (e.g. picture-NP). 16 item sets distributed over 4 lists in Latin Square style, with an additional 40 fillers.

- PR-LDA sentences designed to promote situation-denoting subjects.

|  | 3rd-sing | LDA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nominal | La scena di me che ballo è... | /*sono un evento da non perdere |
| PR | Io che ballo è un evento da non perdere | /sono un evento da non perdere |

Mean acceptability score per condition


Mean ratings ( $\mathrm{N}=26$ ) and standard error |  | Analysis |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| contrast | Estimate | SE | $t$-value | $p$-value |  |
| Predicate Type | 3.3708 | 0.5348 | 6.303 | $<0.01$ |  |

e need to re-run this with 26 participants, I ran it with mixed models and the interaction is mas

## 4. AN ALTERNATIVE PARSE?

Cinque (1992) claimed agre
is a DP-adjunct. However .
Agreement with $\mathrm{DP}_{s}$ is possible even when $\mathrm{DP}_{s}$ cannot be the semantic argument of the pred icate:
(11) a. \#Carlo e Paolo sono un evento da non perdere C. and P. are an event to not mis.
'Carlo and Paolo are an event not to miss.'
b. La distruzione di Roma era un evento da non perdere the destruction of Rome was an event to not miss. 'The destruction of Rome was an event not to miss.'
(12) Carlo e Paolo che ballano sono un evento da non perdere Carlo and Paolo that ballano BE.3PL an event to not miss. 'Carlo and Paolo dancing is an event not to miss.

- Verbs like precedere in (13) relate situations - not individuals - and still agreement is with DP $s$ :
(13) [Carlo e Paolo che ballano il tango] precedono sempre l'arrivo di Maria. C. and P that dance the tango precede.PL always the'arrival of Maria C. and P. dancing tango always precedes M.'s arrival.'
- Verbs like camminare 'walk.' do not take situations, and do not accept PR arguments, suggesting
the DP-adjunct PR does not exist:
(14) *Gianni e Maria che si vestono da soldati camminano sul palco, G. and Maria that SE dress as soldiers walking.3PL on stage. 'G. and Maria dressing as soldiers were walking out on stage.'
Conclusion: Agreement with $\mathrm{DP}_{S}$ is agreement with the subject within the PR (=LDA)


## 5. Mediated Agree via D

- $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{C}}$ combines with a clausal constituent CP that does not bear $\phi$-features (Iatridou \& Embick 1997)
- The grammar allows 2 options
. $D_{c}$ can bear default 35G, which is typical of clausal elements. In this case, only a Case relation exists between $\mathrm{D}_{C}$ and $\mathrm{DP}_{S}$.

2. $\mathrm{D}_{C}$ values its $\phi$-features using $\mathrm{DP}_{S}$, and then in turns Agrees with T .
3. [Carlo e Paolo che ballano] è un evento da non perdere Carlo and Paolo that dance be. 3 sG an event to not miss.

Nom/Default.3sg T... [DP NOMD $_{C}$ [cp DPS $_{S}\left[C^{\prime}\right.$ che $\left.\ldots\right]$ ]ll
2. [Carlo e Paolo che ballano] sono un evento da non perdere Cer. 3 PL an event to not miss.
Nom/3pl T ... [dP NOM/3pLD ${ }_{C}\left[{ }_{c p} \mathrm{DP}_{S}\left[C^{\prime}\right.\right.$ che $\left.\left.\ldots\right]\right]$ ]
Similar cases of mediation by a clausal determiner in LDA have been proposed for Basque (Pre minger 2009) and Tsez (Bjorkman and Zeiljstra 2015).
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