UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of *Pulse pile-up identification and reconstruction for liquid scintillator based neutron detectors*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/132689/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Luo, X. L., Modamio, V., Nyberg, J. et al. (20 more authors) (2018) Pulse pile-up identification and reconstruction for liquid scintillator based neutron detectors. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment. pp. 59-65. ISSN 0168-9002

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2018.03.078

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

Pulse pile-up identification and reconstruction for liquid scintillator

2 based neutron detector	2 b	ased	neutron	detector
--------------------------	-----	------	---------	----------

4	X.L. Luo ^{a,b} , V. Modamio ^c , J. Nyberg ^b , J.J. Valiente-Dobón ^c , Q. Nishada ^b , G. de Angelis ^c ,
5	J. Agramunt ^d , F.J. Egea ^{d,e} , M.N. Erduran ^f , S. Ertürk ^g , G. de France ^h , A. Gadea ^d , V. González ^e ,
6	A. Goasduff ⁱ , T. Hüyük ^d , G. Jaworski ^{j,k} , M. Moszyński ^{k,l} , A. Di Nitto ^m , M. Palacz ^k , PA.
7	Söderström ^{n,o} , E. Sanchis ^e , A. Triossi ^c , R. Wadsworth ^p
8	
9	^a National Innovation Institute of Defense Technology, Academy of Military Sciences, Beijing 100010, China
10	^b Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, SE-75120 Uppsala, Sweden
11	^c INFN, Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, I-35020 Legnaro (Padova), Italy
12	^d IFIC-CSIC, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain
13	^e Department of Electronic Engineering, University of Valencia, E-46071 Valencia, Spain
14	^f Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Istanbul Sabahattin Zaim University Istanbul, Turkey
15	^g Omer Halisdemir University, Nigde, Turkey
16	^h GANIL, CEA/DSAM and CNRS/IN2P3, Bd Henri Becquerel, BP 55027, F-14076 Caen Cedex 05, France
17	ⁱ Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Padova, Padova, Italy
18	^j Faculty of Physics, Warsaw University of Technology, ul. Koszykowa 75, 00-662 Warszawa, Poland
19	^k Heavy Ion Laboratory, University of Warsaw, ul. Pasteura 5A, 02-093 Warszawa, Poland
20	¹ National Centre for Nuclear Research, A. Soltana 7, PL 05-400 Otwock-Swierk, Poland
21	^m Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, 55099 Mainz, Germany
22	ⁿ Institut für Kernphysik, TU Darmstadt, D-64289 Darmstadt, Germany
23	° GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, 64291 Darmstadt, Germany
24	^p Department of Physics, University of York, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD, UK
25	
26	Abstract: The issue of pulse pile-up is frequently encountered in nuclear experiments involving
27	high counting rates, which will distort the pulse shapes and the energy spectra. A digital method of
28	off-line processing of pile-up pulses is presented. The pile-up pulses were firstly identified by detecting
29	the downward-going zero-crossings in the first-order derivative of the original signal, and then the
30	constituent pulses were reconstructed based on comparing the pile-up pulse with four models that are
31	generated by combining pairs of neutron and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ standard pulses together with a controllable time
32	interval. The accuracy of this method in resolving the pile-up events was investigated as a function of
33	the time interval between two pulses constituting a pile-up event. The obtained results show that the
34	method is capable of disentangling two pulses with a time interval among them down to 20 ns, as well
35	as classifying them as neutrons or γ rays. Furthermore, the error of reconstructing pile-up pulses could
36	be kept below 6% when successive peaks were separated by more than 50 ns. By applying the method
37	in a high counting rate of pile-up events measurement of the NEutron Detector Array (NEDA), it was
38	empirically found that this method can reconstruct the pile-up pulses and perform neutron- γ
39	discrimination quite accurately. It can also significantly correct the distorted pulse height spectrum due
40	to pile-up events.
41	
42	Keywords: pile-up; digital; first-order derivative; neutron-y discrimination; liquid scintillator.

43 **1. Introduction**

High counting rates in radiation detectors is a common fact in nuclear spectroscopy as well as in nuclear reaction studies. For such applications involving high counting rates, the pile-up effect, in which more than one event occur simultaneously or closely spaced in time, becomes a severe issue. It results in two or more recorded signals partially or even completely overlapping, thus leading to a decrease in the counting efficiency, distortion of the pulse shape, and deterioration in the energy resolution.

Typically, pile-up events are diminished by reducing the pulse width with 51 shaping networks, at the expense of a poorer performance in terms of 52 signal-to-noise ratio. Since compressing signal pulses can only reduce the probability 53 of the occurrence of pile-up but cannot totally eliminate it, hardware-based pile-up 54 rejectors are often employed to identify the inevitable pile-up events and then discard 55 them [1-4]. Although rejectors of this type can reduce the spectral distortions arising 56 from pile-up to some extent, they negatively impact on the system throughput. 57 Furthermore, some recorded events correspond to the pile-up of pulses that almost 58 completely overlap, so that the recorded amplitude distribution is still distorted 59 compared with the true event spectrum. 60

With the availability of digital signal processing techniques, digital treatments of 61 pile-up have been introduced and adopted which yield significant advantages over 62 conventional analog approaches. However, these are typically quite complex and not 63 vet routinely employed in standard spectroscopy systems in which the pulse analysis 64 is carried out in real time. The digital methods offer the possibility of preserving and 65 analyzing in detail all the information carried by the overlapping pulses rather than 66 simply rejecting them and tolerating the losses due to pile-up [5]. Various developed 67 algorithms, such as the fitting method [6,7] and the deconvolution method [8], have 68 successfully disentangled pile-up pulses with good accuracy, provided that a 69 minimum time interval of around 40-50 ns exists between the two successive pulses 70 71 constituting a pile-up event. Not only these methods compensate for the counting losses and correct the spectral distortions resulting from pile-up, but they are also 72 capable of recovering original information on the type and energy of the constituent 73 particles of the pile-up events. However, most of the methods are somewhat limited 74 by analytical and computational complexity. For instance, the fitting process of the 75 pulse based on an exponential analytic model has to be performed by trial and error, 76 as sometimes it is hard for the fitting to converge to the correct solution. In this study, 77 the aim is to propose an easy-implemented and efficient method of pulse pile-up 78 identification and reconstruction for signals from liquid scintillators similar to the 79 type that are used by the neutron detector array NEDA [9-12]. The ongoing NEDA 80 project addresses the physics of neutron-deficient as well as neutron-rich nuclei using 81 82 both intense stable and radioactive ion beams. The full version of NEDA will consist of around 350 closely packed liquid scintillator detectors of type BC-501A, mainly in 83 conjunction with large γ -ray arrays like AGATA [13,14]. For use in nuclear structure 84 experiments, NEDA should have the capability to run at high counting rates, which 85

leads to a significant fraction of pile-up events, while retaining a high neutron 86 efficiency and an excellent neutron-gamma $(n-\gamma)$ discrimination performance. In order 87 to meet these requirements, the pile-up issue has to be dealt with appropriately in 88 NEDA. Specifically, the idea is to identify pile-up pulses and then perform $n-\gamma$ 89 discrimination on an event-by-event basis by taking advantage of high speed signal 90 91 sampling and digital signal processing. Therefore, data have been acquired with the experimental setup described in Section 2 to develop the approach of pile-up 92 identification and reconstruction in NEDA. The principles and validation of the 93 proposed approach are given and discussed in Section 3. The application of the 94 approach in a high counting rate measurement is described in Section 4 and the 95 conclusions in Section 5. 96

97 **2. Experiment**

Two experiments were carried out at Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro. The first was performed in order to acquire large numbers of single neutron or γ ray pulses, which were later used to extract standard neutron- and γ -induced pulses, and to generate synthetic pile-up pulses, aiming at developing the approach of pile-up identification and reconstruction in Section 3. The second experiment was performed in order to acquire large numbers of real pile-up pulses, which were later used to further evaluate the proposed method as shown in Section 4.

The experimental setup is illustrated in Fig. 1. The first experiment is almost the 105 same as that of our previous work [10] except that only a single photomultiplier tube 106 (PMT) of type Hamamatsu R11833-100 was used in this measurement. This 8-stage, 107 5 in diameter PMT, shielded with μ -metal from magnetic fields, was coupled to a 108 cylindrical 5 inch by 5 inch detector cell containing liquid scintillator of type 109 BC-501A. The high voltage was set to get a signal amplitude of about 1 V/MeV using 110 a ⁶⁰Co source, which had an activity of about 2 MBq. A lead brick with a thickness of 111 5 cm was put between the source and the BC-501A detector to reduce the counting 112 rate originating from γ rays without losing too many neutrons, thus keeping the 113 counting rate of the PMT R11833-100 at around 2 kHz. A trigger and time reference 114 detector consisting of a cylindrical 1 inch by 1 inch BaF₂ scintillator coupled to a 2 115 inch R2059 PMT was placed very close to the 252 Cf source for detection of γ rays. 116 The threshold of the constant fraction discriminator (CFD) was set to approximately 117 118 30 keVee (keV electron equivalent). With the outputs of the two CFD units fed into the LeCroy 465 coincidence unit, a coincidence between the signals from the 119 BC-501A and BaF₂ detectors was created, which was used as a trigger for the data 120 acquisition system (GASIFIC) [15] and as a start signal for the time-to-amplitude 121 converter (TAC). The counting rate of the BaF₂ detector was about 200 kHz and the 122 coincidence rate was about 200 Hz. The TAC module was subsequently stopped by 123 the delayed signal from the BaF_2 detector and measured the time-of-flight (TOF) 124 125 difference between the detected γ rays and neutrons in the detectors. Signals from both detectors were digitised with a Struck SIS3350 digitiser [16] that has a 500 MHz 126 sampling rate and 12-bit resolution (effective number of bits = 9.2). The analog TAC 127 signals were digitised by a Struck SIS3302 digitiser working at a sampling rate of 100 128

129 MHz and with 16-bit resolution (effective number of bits \approx 13).

In addition, a high count rate experiment was carried out by adding a pile-up 130 selector block to the first experimental setup (Fig. 1). In this measurement, the lead 131 brick was removed, and the distance between the BC-501A and the ²⁵²Cf source was 132 readjusted, which gave a count rate of 200 kHz in the BC-501A detector. Pile-up 133 134 events are validated by a logic AND (see signal 3 on the right), from the coincidence of the NEDA CFD (signal 1) with the same signal delayed by 15 ns (to avoid 135 self-triggering) and wide open 500 ns (signal 2). To have the system triggered by the 136 first signal in the pile-up event, the CFD is further delayed with a gate delay generator 137 module (signal 4) and sent to another AND module in coincidence with signal 3. The 138 final trigger is then validated with a coincidence from the BaF₂. TOF is measured with 139 a TAC module, started with the coincidence (signal 8) of the two detector CFDs 140 (signals 6 and 7), triggered by the NEDA signal, and stopped with the BaF_2 signal 141 delayed (signal 9). For the stop signal, a 170ns delay cable was used in order to 142 account for slow neutrons. As the logic signal is integrated through the cable, a 143 leading-edge discriminator (LED) was used afterwards to restore its step shape. The 144 trigger rate was about 200 Hz in this experiment. With this setup, pulses with intervals 145 ranging from 10 ns to 500 ns were recorded. 146

In this study, the digital signals from the BC-501A detector, as well as the TOFinformation, were used for pile-up investigations.



Fig. 1. Block scheme of the experimental setup (left) and the logic signals produced(right).

152

149

3. Principles and validation of the approach

154 *3.1. Preprocessing of the signals*

The 500 MHz sampling rate and 12-bit resolution of the Struck SIS3350 digitiser allow detailed analysis and processing of the pulse waveforms from the BC-501A detector, originating from either neutrons or γ rays. Fig. 2 gives two examples of pile-up pulses after preprocessing, including CFD timing, baseline restoration and filtering. For each waveform, a range of 300 ns of the pulse was used for the analysis,

as beyond this time span the first pulse has decayed to a negligible level [17], so that 160 the occurrence of a second pulse does not constitute a pile-up event. The start time of 161 the pulses constituting the pile-up event was determined by implementing a digital 162 CFD. The CFD method firstly attenuated the original signal to 20% of the first peak 163 amplitude, and then summed it with the delayed and inverted original signal. Finally, 164 165 the point that this sum signal crosses the zero axis was extracted, which is independent of the pulse amplitude and corresponds to the time at which the original 166 pulse reaches 20% of its first peak amplitude. It can be seen in Fig. 2 that after the 167 CFD timing, the waveforms are well time aligned on the leading edge of the first peak. 168 Moreover, the baseline shift has been eliminated from each pulse by subtracting the 169 average value of the sampling points in the pre-trigger range of the waveform. A 170 small amount of severely distorted pulses with heavily fluctuating baselines have been 171 discarded (<1% of the total). In addition, a low-pass finite impulse response (FIR) 172 digital filter has been applied to the pulses to remove high-frequency noise [18]. 173



174

175 Fig. 2. Pile-up waveforms after the digital CFD time-aligning, baseline restoration, and filtering.

176

177 *3.2. Pile-up pulse identification*

When two or more peaks are detected within the duration of a recorded pulse 178 (300 ns in the present work), a pile-up event is identified. The common way of 179 detecting peaks is to search and find local maxima in the overlapping pulses, which 180 may misidentify the spikes in the waveforms as pile-up events. In particular, the low 181 energy signals are more likely to trigger false identifications because they are quite 182 noisy due to the scintillation statistics, and to the electronic noise and the quantisation 183 effects of the digitiser [19]. As shown in the upper plot of Fig. 3, the individual pulse 184 will be misinterpreted as a pile-up event by the maximum peak search method, as 185 more than two peaks can be detected. Therefore, another peak search method is 186 proposed here. It is based on the fact that the first-order derivative is the slope of the 187 original waveform and thus has a downward-going zero-crossing, which corresponds 188 to the peak maximum of the original waveform. Since the presence of spikes in the 189 190 original waveform will cause many false zero-crossings, the first-order derivative was smoothed using a moving-average filter prior to searching for downward-going 191 192 zero-crossings [20]. Then, only those zero-crossings whose slope exceeds a certain

threshold at a point where the original signal exceeds a certain amplitude threshold 193 are counted. In this way the method detects only the desired peaks and ignores peaks 194 that are too small, too wide, or too narrow, which are mostly random noises. If two or 195 more peaks are detected in a signal, it is determined that a pile-up event has occurred. 196 197 It should be noted that the position of the peak is not exactly equivalent to the position 198 of the zero-crossing due to the fact that the smoothing can distort the waveform of the first-order derivative slightly. The position and height of each peak are determined by 199 least-squares fitting of a segment of the original unsmoothed signal in the vicinity of 200 the zero-crossing. Fig. 3 shows an individual pulse and its first-order derivative to 201 illustrate the process of pile-up identification using the first-order derivative method, 202 in which the only one true peak is correctly detected. 203



204 205

Fig. 3. An original waveform (upper panel) and its smoothed first-order derivative (lower panel).

206

207 *3.3. Pile-up pulse reconstruction*

208 Once a pile-up event is identified using the first-order derivative method described above, the next step is to resolve the overlapping pulses and reconstruct the 209 individual constituent pulses. Most of the existing pile-up resolving methods process 210 the first component and the second component of a pile-up event separately. The 211 fitting method, for instance, firstly recovers the first constituent pulse of a pile-up 212 event using a fitting procedure, and subsequently subtracts it from the original pile-up 213 pulse to obtain the second constituent pulse. In contrast, the approach proposed in this 214 paper treats the overlapping pulses that give rise to a pile-up event as a whole, which 215 is the combination of a single neutron or γ pulse. In this way there are only four 216 possible types of pile-up events under consideration, i.e. n+n, $\gamma+\gamma$, $n+\gamma$, $\gamma+n$, which 217 means the combinations of the particle types of the first pulse and the second pulse. 218 The main principle of the approach is to build four corresponding models by 219 220 combining neutron and γ standard pulses with varying amplitude and time spacing, 221 which are then used to compare with the pile-up pulse, and the model that has the highest degree of agreement with the pile-up pulse is determined as the type of the 222 223 pile-up event. The neutron and γ -ray standard pulses were obtained by averaging a

large number of neutron and γ -ray pulses respectively, which were extracted from n- γ 224 discrimination results of both the digital Charge Comparison (CC) [21] method and 225 the TOF measurement, as illustrated in Fig. 4. Although the TOF measurement is very 226 efficient to distinguish neutrons from γ rays, some misclassification cases still exist 227 due to accidental coincidences and to the emission of delayed γ rays from the 228 spontaneous fission of ²⁵²Cf. Therefore, the digital CC pulse shape discrimination 229 (PSD) method, based on comparing the integrated charge over two different time 230 periods of the pulse, was used to complement the TOF measurement to acquire as 231 pure neutron and gamma-ray pulses as possible. The CC parameter in Fig. 4 232 represents the ratio between the tail integral and the total integral, of which the start 233 points and end points have been optimised using the method of our previous work 234 [10]. 235



Fig. 4. Density plot of the n- γ discrimination parameter of the CC method versus the TOF of each pulse recorded by the experimental equipment.

239

236

Figure 5 shows the normalized standard neutron- and γ -induced pulses, as well as four pile-up models generated by adding pairs of standard pulses together separated by a time interval of 20 ns. It can be seen that the pulse shapes of the resulting pile-up models are quite distinguishable from each other, even though the constituent standard pulses have normalized amplitudes and the same time intervals. Thus, these differences in shape introduce the possibility of pile-up reconstruction based on the four pile-up models.



247

Fig. 5. Four pile-up models generated by adding pairs of neutron or γ standard pulses (shown in the inset), which are separated by a time interval of 20 ns.

250

For an observed pile-up pulse waveform, two parameters can be easily estimated from its shape, i. e. the amplitude ratio A_r and time intervals T_i between the second peak and the first peak. The four pile-up models are constructed continuously until their A_r and T_i agree with those of the pile-up pulse using an iterative algorithm proceeding as follows:

- 256 (1) The A_r and T_i of the detected pile-up pulse are obtained with the first-order 257 derivative method described in section 3.2.
- 258 (2) The pile-up pulse is normalized to the amplitude of its first peak.
- (3) A standard pulse (neutron or γ) is added with another standard pulse (neutron or γ) multiplied by $k=(0.7+n)\cdot A_r$ (the initial value of *n* is set to 0) with the temporal separation of T_i to form four types of models.
- (4) For each model, the sum pulse is normalized to the amplitude of its first peak, and then the amplitude ratio between the second peak and the first peak A_r , which is the amplitude of the second peak after the normalization, is obtained.
- 265 (5) If A_r is smaller/bigger than A_r , then *n* is increased/decreased by 0.001 and the 266 process continues from step 3. If A_r is equal to A_r , the iteration ends and the four 267 models come to convergence.
- Subsequently, the four models are evaluated point-by-point to the pile-up pulse 268 that is normalized to the amplitude of its first peak respectively, and the model which 269 has the minimum difference is determined as the type of the pile-up pulse 270 $(\gamma + \gamma/n + n/n + \gamma/\gamma + n)$. In order to evaluate the difference, the factors of "minimum" 271 absolute value of the sum of point differences", "minimum sum of absolute values of 272 point differences", "minimum sum of quadratic point differences", and "minimum 273 sum of quadratic relative point differences" were used respectively, it turned out that 274 the method has the highest correct percentage when using the factor of "minimum 275 absolute value of the sum of point differences". The reason is that this factor takes 276 into account plus-minus differences, while other factors make all differences positive. 277 While in this case, the factor is used to evaluate the degree of fitting between the 278 model and the pile-up pulse, so it's better to include both negative and positive 279 differences. Therefore, the "minimum absolute value of the sum of point differences" 280 281 is chosen as the factor to compare between the pile-up pulse and the models. After the model type is determined, the original constituent pulses giving rise to the pile-up 282 event are reconstructed as the first standard pulse multiplied by A_1 (amplitude of the 283 first peak of the pile-up pulse) and the second standard pulse multiplied by $k \cdot A_1$. 284

For a proof of principle testing, synthetic pile-up data have been generated for four pile-up types respectively by randomly choosing pairs of single pulses of known types and adding them together with a controllable temporal separation, ranging from 20 ns to 60 ns in steps of 4 ns. The dataset for each case consisted of 10,000 synthesized pile-up pulses. The single pulses of known types were acquired by performing n- γ discrimination with the combination of the CC method and the TOF measurement as shown in Fig. 4, with no extra threshold other than the CFD hardware

threshold of approximately 30 keVee. The dynamic range of these pulses, defined as 292 the ratio between the maximum and minimum amplitude pulses, was found to be 293 around 50. Four examples of the recovery of pile-up signals separated by 20 ns 294 between two peaks are given in Fig. 6, which shows the normalized pile-up pulses, 295 along with the corresponding models. It can be seen that the pile-up pulses all fit very 296 297 well with the corresponding models both on a linear scale, as well as on a logarithmic scale shown in the insets. It is also clear from Fig. 6(a) that the other three models 298 except the $\gamma + \gamma$ model are distinct from the pile-up pulse in shape, mainly in the tail 299 and the valley between the two peaks. 300





Fig. 6. Four types of pileup pulses which are separated by 20 ns and fitted with the corresponding models: (a) $\gamma + \gamma$, (b) n+n, (c) n+ γ , and (d) $\gamma + n$.

Furthermore, the efficiency of the pile-up reconstruction method as a function of 306 the time interval between two pulses constituting a pile-up event has been investigated 307 308 using the synthetic pile-up data. Figure 7 illustrates the percentages of correctly reconstructed pile-up events for each type with varying time intervals between two 309 constituent pulses, as well as the overall correct percentage for pile-up events 310 comprising of same counts of pulses belonging to the four pile-up types, which is 311 more realistic in practical nuclear experiments. It was found that a good performance 312 in resolving pile-up events can be obtained from a time interval of 20 ns onwards. The 313 overall correct percentage is around 90% with time intervals from 20 ns to 48 ns, 314 while the error of reconstructing pile-up events can be kept below 6% when 315 successive peaks are separated by more than 50 ns. It should be noted that this method 316 almost failed to reconstruct pile-up pulses with time intervals below 20 ns, as the 317 reconstructing accuracy deteriorated sharply from 20 ns downwards. 318



Fig. 7. The percentages of correctly reconstructed pile-up events versus the separation time between thetwo constituent pulses.

The results also indicate that the efficiency of the pile-up identification and 322 reconstruction method depends on the type of pile-up pulses, and the time interval 323 between the two constituent pulses. In general, the correctly reconstructed fractions of 324 all types of the pile-up events improve as the time intervals increase. Meanwhile, the 325 performance of the method varies among different types of pile-up events, while the 326 type of $\gamma + \gamma$ has the highest efficiency. This is reasonable as the pulse width of the 327 standard γ -ray pulse is relatively narrow compared with that of the standard neutron 328 pulse, thereby leading to less information lost in a pile-up pulse with a certain time 329 interval between two peaks. For each case there are always some mistakenly 330 331 reconstructed pile-up events that are caused by two reasons. One is the intrinsic limitation of the method when dealing with extreme cases, in which the pulse 332 amplitude ratio is too large or the pulse temporal separation is too small. The other 333 one is the type of pulses that are used for generating synthetic pile-up data sets can 334 contain some misinterpreted neutron-gamma events, even though both the CC method 335 336 and the TOF measurement were combined to discriminate them. Therefore, some 337 correct reconstruction cases could be misclassified as wrong cases, as the pre-known types of the constituent pulses are in themselves wrong. However, the large 338 percentages of correctly reconstructed pile-up events has demonstrated the 339 effectiveness of this method, allowing it to be used for correcting the spectra distorted 340 by pile-up events as shown in next section. 341

4. Application of the new method to NEDA data

In order to further evaluate the performance of the pulse pile-up identification and reconstruction method presented, it has been applied to the pile-up events from the high count-rate measurement described in Section 2.

By applying the approach of pulse pile-up identification and reconstruction to the experimental data, 66916 pile-up events have been detected in a total of 92239 events, which account for approximately 72.5% of the total events. It was empirically found that the method was able to locate the positions and to measure the amplitudes of the peaks in the pile-up events automatically and reconstruct them accurately, even though the peaks were randomly spaced in time and vary in amplitude. It should be noted that reconstruction processing here was restricted to the pile-up pulses arising from two events. Figure 8 shows an example of real pile-up identification and reconstruction. Despite the large pulse amplitude ratio between the two peaks, the result of resolving the pile-up event is quite satisfactory.



356

Fig. 8. A case of pile-up identification and reconstruction in the high counting rate measurement.

358

Rather than discarding the pile-up pulses, the new method can now allow them to be included in spectral analysis. Figure 9 shows the neutron pulse height spectra of ²⁵²Cf before and after the pile-up correction, obtained by summing sampling points over the whole pulse width. Severe spectral distortions can be seen in the pulse height spectrum of the signals without pile-up correction. These distortions owing to pile-up events have been corrected significantly after applying the pulse pile-up identification and reconstruction method.



366

Fig. 9. The neutron pulse height spectra of ²⁵²Cf before and after the pile-up correction (66916 pile-up
events have been detected and reconstructed in a total of 92239 events).

369

370 **5. Conclusions**

A new method designed to deal with digital pulse pile-up identification and pulse 371 reconstruction is presented in this paper. The digitised waveforms used for developing 372 and validating the method were acquired with an experimental setup consisting of a 373 ²⁵²Cf source, a BC-501A detector and a SIS3530 digitiser with a sampling rate of 500 374 MHz and with 12-bit resolution. When identifying pile-up events by searching for the 375 downward-going zero-crossings in the smoothed first-order derivative of the original 376 pulse, the method was less vulnerable to fluctuations in the signal compared with the 377 conventional maximum peak search method. Moreover, rather than discarding the 378 detected pile-up pulses, as is the case in hardware-based pile-up rejectors, this method 379 allows the reconstruction of the individual components of the pile-up events 380 employing four models that are generated by combining pairs of neutron and γ 381 standard pulses. Tests performed both on synthetic and experimental data 382 demonstrated that the method was capable of recovering two overlapping signals with 383 a high accuracy even when they are spaced in time as close as 20 ns. However, it 384 should be noted that the method can only be applied to pile-up events where two 385 pulses overlap. Since this method can provide reliable information of the constituent 386 particles of the pile-up events, thus avoiding losses of counting statistics and 387 distortions of pulse height spectra, it is of practical use to eliminate pulse pile-up 388 effects on the neutron-gamma discrimination performance of liquid scintillator 389 detectors used at high count rates. 390

391 Acknowledgments

This work was partly funded by the Swedish Research Council, by the UK STFC, under grant ST/L005727/1, by the Generalitat Valenciana, Spain, under grant PROMETEO/2010/101, by MINECO, Spain, under grants AIC-D-2011-0746, FPA2011-29854 and FPA2012-33650, and by TUBITAK, Turkey, under grant 114F473.

397

398 **References**

- 399 [1] J. Bartosek, J. Masek, F. Adams, J. Hosle, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research
 400 104 (1972) 221.
- 401 [2] R.P. Gardner, L. Wielopolski, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research 140 (1977)
 402 289.
- 403 [3] D.W. Datlowe, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research 145 (1977) 379.
- 404 [4] P.C. Johns, M.J. Yaffe, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A 255 (1981)
 405 559.
- 406 [5] G.F. Knoll, Radiation Detection and Measurement, fourth ed., Wiley, New York, 2010.
- 407 [6] S. Marrone, D. Cano-Ott, N. Colonna, et al., Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research
 408 Section A 490 (2002) 299.
- 409 [7] F. Belli, B. Esposito, D. Marcco, et al., Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research
 410 Section A 595 (2008) 512.

- [8] W. Guo, R.P. Gardner, C. W. Mayo, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section
 A 544 (2005) 668.
- 413 [9] G. Jaworski, M. Palacz, J. Nyberg, et al., Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research
 414 Section A 673 (2012) 64.
- [10] X.L. Luo, V. Modamio, J. Nyberg, J.J. Valiente-Dobón, et al., Nuclear Instruments and Methods in
 Physics Research Section A 767 (2014) 83.
- 417 [11] F.J. Egea, C. Houarner, A. Boujrad, et al., IEEE transactions on nuclear science 62 (2015)1063.
- [12] V. Modamio, J.J. Valiente-Dobón, et al., Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research
 Section A 775 (2015) 71.
- 420 [13] J.J. Valiente-Dobon et al., Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A (to be421 submitted).
- 422 [14] A. Gadea, E. Farnea, J.J. Valiente-Dobón, et al., Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics
 423 Research Section A 654 (2011) 88.
- 424 [15] S. Akkoyun, A. Algora, B. Alikhani, et al., Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research
 425 Section A 668 (2012) 26.
- 426 [16] J. Agramunt, J. L. Tain, et al., Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A
 427 807 (2016) 69.
- 428 [17] <http://www.struck.de/sis3350.htm>2014.
- 429 [18] M. Moszyński, G.J. Costa, G. Guillaume, et al., Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics
 430 Research Section A 350 (1994) 226.
- 431 [19] A.V. Oppenheim, Signals and Systems, second ed., Pearson, New Jersey, 1996.
- [20] X.L. Luo, Y.K. Wang, G. Liu, J. Yang, et al., Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics
 Research Section A 717 (2013) 44.
- 434 [21] G.R. Arce, Nonlinear Signal Processing: A Statistical Approach, Willy, New Jersey, 2005.
- 435 [22] F.D. Brooks, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section 4 (1959) 151.