

This is a repository copy of *Pickering emulsions co-stabilized by composite protein/ polysaccharide particle-particle interfaces: Impact on in vitro gastric stability.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/132395/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Sarkar, A orcid.org/0000-0003-1742-2122, Ademuyiwa, V, Stubley, S et al. (5 more authors) (2018) Pickering emulsions co-stabilized by composite protein/ polysaccharide particle-particle interfaces: Impact on in vitro gastric stability. Food Hydrocolloids, 84. C. pp. 282-291. ISSN 0268-005X

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2018.06.019

(c) 2018, Elsevier Ltd. This manuscript version is made available under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

1	Pickering emulsions co-stabilized by composite						
2	protein/ polysaccharide particle-particle						
3	interfaces:						
4	Impact on in vitro gastric stability						
5							
6							
7	Anwesha Sarkar ¹ *, Valerie Ademuyiwa ¹ , Samuel Stubley ¹ , Nur Hanesa						
8	Esa ¹ , Francisco M. Goycoolea ^{1,2} , Xiaofei Qin ² , Fernando Gonzalez ³ ,						
9	Clarita Olvera ³						
10							
11	¹ Food Colloids and Processing Group, School of Food Science and Nutrition,						
12	University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK						
13	² Nanobiotechnology Group. Institute of Plant Biology and Biotechnology.						
14	University of Münster. Schlossplatz 8. 48143 Münster, Germany						
15	³ Departamento de Ingeniería Celular y Biocatálisis, Instituto de Biotecnología,						
16	Universidad Nacional Autonóma de México, Cuernavaca, Morelos, Mexico						
17							
18							
19	*Corresponding author:						
20	Dr. Anwesha Sarkar						
21	Food Colloids and Processing Group. School of Food Science and Nutrition,						
22	University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK.						
23	E-mail address: A.Sarkar@leeds.ac.uk (A. Sarkar).						

24 Abstract

The objective of this study was to delay the rate and extent of gastric 25 26 destabilization of emulsions using composite particle-particle layers at the 27 O/W interface. Pickering emulsions (20 wt% oil) were prepared using 28 lactoferrin nanogel particles (LFN, Dh=100 nm) (1 wt%) or a composite layer of LFN and inulin nanoparticles, latter was enzymatically synthetized by 29 30 inulosucrase IsIA from Leuconostoc citreum (INP) (Dh=116±1 nm) (1 wt% LFN 3 wt% INP). The hypothesis was that creating a secondary layer of 31 32 biopolymeric particles might act as a barrier to pepsin to access the underlying proteinaceous particles. Droplet size, microscopy (optical and 33 34 transmission electron microscopy (TEM)), ζ -potential and sodium dodecyl 35 sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) were used to 36 understand the colloidal fate of these Pickering emulsions in an in vitro gastric 37 model (pH 3, 37 °C, pepsin). The ζ -potential measurements and TEM images 38 confirmed that LFN and INP were at the O/W interface, owing to the 39 electrostatic attraction between oppositely charged LFN (+29.3±0.7 mV) and 40 INP (-10±1.8 mV) at both neutral and gastric pH. The SDS-PAGE results 41 revealed that adsorbed LFN was less prone to pepsinolysis as compared to a typical protein monolayer at the interface. Presence of INP further decreased 42 43 the rate and degree of hydrolysis of the LFN (>65% intact protein remaining after 60 min of digestion) by acting as a steric barrier to the diffusion of pepsin 44 45 and inhibited droplet coalescence. Thus, composite particle-particle layers 46 (LFN + INP) at droplet surface shows potential for rational designing of 47 gastric-stable food and pharmaceutical applications.

48 Keywords

49 Lactoferrin nanogel particles, Pickering emulsion; particle-particle interface;

50 inulin nanoparticles; pepsin digestion; layer-by-layer

51

52 **1** Introduction

53 Recently, there has been growing research interests among food colloid 54 scientists in designing Pickering emulsions i.e. emulsions stabilized by solid colloidal particles due to their ultrastability against coalescence 55 (Dickinson, 2012, 2017). Pickering emulsions stabilized by inorganic or 56 57 synthetic particles, such as silica, latex particles etc. are most common in literature (Binks & Lumsdon, 1999, 2001). However, these particles do 58 59 often require chemical modifications to improve their partial wettability by 60 the oil phase, which restrict their utilisation in food applications.

61 It is only recently that novel biocompatible particles have started to gain attention owing to their immediate suitability for use in food, 62 63 pharmacetical and allied soft matter applications (Dickinson, 2012; 64 Dickinson, 2015). Such particles range from laboratory synthsized protein 65 microgel particles (Destribats, Rouvet, Gehin-Delval, Schmitt, & Binks, 2014; Liu & Tang, 2013; Matsumiya & Murray, 2016; Sarkar, et al., 2016b) 66 polysaccharide-based particles (Kalashnikova, Bizot, Bertoncini, 67 to 68 Cathala, & Capron, 2013; Richter, Feitosa, Paula, Goycoolea, & de Paula, 69 2018; Tzoumaki, Moschakis, Kiosseoglou, & Biliaderis, 2011; Yusoff & 70 Murray, 2011). Besides their exceptional physical stability, protein microgel 71 particles (Sarkar, et al., 2016b) and chitin nanocrystals (Tzoumaki, 72 Moschakis, Scholten, & Biliaderis, 2013) have also shown abilities to

73 reduce the rate of digestion of emulsified lipids in an in vitro duodenal 74 model set up. As high desorption energies (order of several kBTs) are required to dislogde these particles from the oil-water interface, their 75 76 competitive displacements by biosurfactant (bile salts) was prevented (Sarkar, Horne, & Singh, 2010; Sarkar, Ye, & Singh, 2016d). Thus, the 77 78 presence of particles at interface slowed down the access of lipase to the emulsified lipid substrate. Such interesting property of altering lipid 79 digestion offers promise for application of Pickering emulsions in satiety-80 81 enhancing foods, functional foods requiring sustained release of bioactive molecules (Araiza-Calahorra, Akhtar, & Sarkar, 2018). 82

However, it is worth recognizing that before the duodenal phase, harsh biochemical conditions occurring in the gastric tract might destabilize these emulsions and hinder such potential applications. Responsiveness of protein-based Pickering stabilizers to pepsin and their subsequent hydrolysis into peptide fragments is an important research challenge to tackle before such particles can be exploited for food applications (Sarkar, et al., 2016b; Shimoni, Shani Levi, Levi Tal, & Lesmes, 2013).

Hence, it might be useful to create a relatively complex interface to 90 91 protect the emulsions against gastric destabilization or at least slow down 92 the rate of hydrolysis of the interfacial material by pepsin. In this regard, 93 recently, cellulose nanocrystals have shown success on enhancing the 94 stability of whey protein-stabilized oil-in-water (O/W) emulsions against 95 enzymatic attacks (Sarkar, Li, Cray, & Boxall, 2018; Sarkar, Zhang, Murray, 96 Russell, & Boxal, 2017). Binding of CNC to the protein film at the interface 97 offered resistance to the protein film against pepsinolysis and inhibited

droplet coalescence in the gastric phase that occurs typically in case of
emulsions stabilized by protein film alone (Sarkar, Goh, Singh, & Singh,
2009b; Sarkar, et al., 2016a; Sarkar & Singh, 2016c; Sarkar, et al., 2017;
Singh & Sarkar, 2011). However, the safe human consumption of CNC can
be debated due to its chemical processing technique, e.g. sulfuric acid
treatment.

104 In this regard, inulin, a β -(2 \rightarrow 1)-linked polysaccharide of D-fructose (Tadros, Vandamme, Levecke, Booten, & Stevens, 2004) can be an 105 106 alternative candidate to create a steric barrier to a protein-based interfacial material against pepsin hydrolysis. Inulin is a polysaccharide comprised of 107 108 units that grow linearly and are branched. fructose sugar lts 109 physicochemical and functional properties depend on its degree of 110 polymerization and percentage of branching. Inulin has been used by the 111 food industry as a soluble dietary fibre and fat/sugar replacement, and in 112 the pharmaceutical industry as a stabilizer and excipient. Hydrophobically 113 modified inulin has shown ability to create stable emulsions under gastric 114 conditions (Meshulam, Slavuter, & Lesmes, 2014b).

Inulin is not hydrolysed by human gastrointestinal enzymes and is 115 116 delivered undigested in colon and behaves as a prebiotic (Glibowski, 117 Kordowska-Wiater, & Glibowska, 2011; Rastall, 2010; Tuohy, 2007). 118 Hence, use of inulin might not only help to provide a steric stabilization to protein particle-laden interface but can also act as a prebiotic in the colon. 119 120 Since inulin is biocompatible, non-toxic and can form hydrogels, it has been used as a slow-release drug delivery system. Wolff, et al. (2000) 121 122 documented the enzymatic formation of high molecular weight inulin

123 globular particles of nanometric size, using a recombinant inulosucrase 124 from Streptococcus mutans and Aspergillus sydowi conidia. In the present 125 study, we have used self-assembled high molecular weight inulin 126 nanoparticles synthesized by inulosucrase from Leuconostoc citreum 127 CW28.

128 Positively-charged protein-based nanoparticles derived from lactoferrin 129 and their subsequent use as nano-scale Pickering stabilizers have been 130 previously published (Meshulam & Lesmes, 2014a; Shimoni, et al., 2013). 131 Authors have referred to these as 'lactoferrin nanoparticles' as they were 132 prepared by the controlled heating and pH adjustment of dilute lactoferrin 133 solutions. However, to our knowledge, there is no experimental evidence of 134 the fabrication of colloidal 'nanogel particles' from lactoferrin using a top down approach (heat-set hydrogel preparation route followed by controlled shearing 135 without any pH adjustment) and using them to create Pickering emulsion. 136 137 Such nanogel particles are formed by a complex interplay of thermal 138 denaturation, electrostatic repulsion, aggregation and formation of covalent 139 disulfide bonds (Sarkar, et al., 2016b; Schmitt, et al., 2010). Hence, these lactoferrin nanogel particles might be hypothesized to be less susceptible to 140 141 pepsin in the gastric phase as compared to the lactoferrin nanoparticles 142 reported in literature, by virtue of the hierarchical structure of the former.

143 Formation of multilayered emulsions usina proteins and 144 polysaccharides is a well-established approach (Goh, Sarkar, & Singh, 2014; 145 Guzey & McClements, 2006). For instance, thermal and gastrointestinal 146 stability of lactoferrin-stabilized lipid droplets have been shown to be improved 147 by adsorption of pectins or alginate, respectively (Tokle, Lesmes, Decker, &

McClements, 2012; Tokle, Lesmes, & McClements, 2010). However, to date, use of particle-particle interface as a physical tool to delay the rate of gastric destabilization in simulated gastric condition has not been elucidated.

151 Hence, in this study, we have used a two-fold approach. On the one 152 hand, we created lactoferrin 'nanogel' particle-stabilized Pickering emulsions. On the other hand, we generated a novel composite particle-particle layer at 153 154 the oil-water interface by coating the droplets with oppositely charged inulin 155 nanoparticles aiming to delay the rate of gastric destabilization of emulsions. 156 The hypothesis was that the presence of hydrophilic inulin nanoparticles at 157 the protein nanogel particle-stabilized oil-water interface could enhance the kinetic stability of the corresponding emulsions in gastric regime by acting as 158 159 a steric barrier to the pepsin from attacking the proteinaceous particles at the 160 interface.

161

162 **2 Materials and Methods**

163 2.1 Materials

164 Bovine lactoferrin (LF) powder (Prodiet[®] lactoferrin), purchased from Ingredia Nutritionals (Arras, France) contained >95.0% lactoferrin protein as per 165 supplier's specification. Inulin particles (INP) were from Leuconostoc citreum 166 167 prepared at Departamento de Ingenieria Celular y Biocatálisis, Instituto de Biotecnología – UNAM (Cuernavaca, Mexico). Sunflower oil was purchased 168 169 from a local supermarket (Tesco, UK). Pepsin enzyme (P7000-25G, activity: 170 536 U mg⁻¹) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Company Ltd, Dorset, UK. All other chemicals used were of analytical grade unless otherwise specified. 171 172 Mini-Protean Precast TGX Gels (8–16%) and Precision Plus Protein All Blue

173 Standards were purchased from Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc, USA. Milli-Q water

174 with an ionic purity of 18.2 M Ω ·cm at 25 °C (water purified by treatment with a

175 Milli-Q apparatus) was used as a solvent for all the experiments.

176

177 2.2 Preparation of inulin nanoparticles

Inulin nanoparticles (INP) were synthetized enzymatically using Leuconostoc 178 179 citreum whole cells with inulosucrase IsIA enzyme as a catalyst (Ortiz-Soto, Olivares-Illana, & López-Munguía, 2004). The INP enzymatic synthesis was 180 181 carried out in a Braun fermenter containing 50 mM phosphate buffer at pH 6.5 and 250 g L⁻¹ sucrose at 30 °C and 250 rpm during 40 h with pH regulation by 182 183 addition of 4 N NaOH. The cells were recovered by centrifugation at 14,000 184 rpm (Sharples AS-16) maintaining the polymer in the supernatant. The 185 polymer was precipitated by addition of ethanol (1:3 v/v) and dried in a Labnet 186 dryer (National Labnet Co., Woodbridge, NJ). The high molecular weight 187 inulin nanoparticles was analyzed by gel permeation chromatography in a 188 Waters 600E HPLC system controller (Waters Corp. Milford, MA) employing a 189 refractive index detector (Waters 410), and a serial set of Ultrahydrogel (UG 500 and linear) columns at 358C with 0.1 M NaNO3 as the mobile phase at 190 191 0.9 mL min-1 (Jiménez-Sánchez, et al., 2018).

192

193 2.2 Preparation of lactoferrin nanogel particles (LFN)

Lactoferrin nanogel particles (LFN) were prepared using heat-induced
disulfide crosslinking of concentrated protein dispersion using a process
previously described by Sarkar, et al. (2016a) with slight modification.
Appropriate quantities of LF (12 wt%) were dispersed in Milli-Q water for 2 h

to ensure complete dissolution at pH 7. The LF solution was heated at 90 °C 198 199 for 30 minutes and cooled at room temperature for 30 minutes followed by storage at 4 °C overnight to form LF heat-set hydroge I. The hydrogels were 200 201 mixed with MilliQ water (3 wt% LF) at pH 7.0 and were pre-homogenized using a blender (KM336, Kenwood, UK) for 15 minutes and degassed in a 202 203 vacuum box (John Fraser and Sons Ltd, London, UK). Following this, the gels 204 were homogenized using two passes through a two-stage valve homogenizer (Panda Plus 2000, GEA Niro Soavi Homogeneizador Parma, Italy) operating 205 206 at first/second stage pressures of 350/50 bar, respectively to create LFN. The 207 LFN aqueous dispersion was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 20 min and filtered using 0.45 µm filters (Millipore Corp., Bedford, MA, USA) to remove any large 208 209 aggregates. The resulting 3 wt% LFN was diluted to 1.25 wt% before 210 emulsion preparation. Sodium azide (0.02 wt%) was added to the LFN to 211 prevent microbial growth.

212

2.3 Preparation of LFN-stabilized and LFN + INP-stabilized Pickering
 emulsions

Pickering emulsions were prepared by mixing 20.0 wt% oil phase and 1 wt% LFN particles in the final emulsion. The mixture of 20 g sunflower oil and 80 g of LFN solution (1.25 wt% LFN) was sheared using a conventional rotor-stator type mixer (L5M-A, Silverson machines, UK) operating at 10,000 rpm for 2 minutes to prepare the pre-emulsions. The pre-emulsions were then homogenized using two passes through the Panda Plus 2000 homogenizer operating as above to create LFN-stabilized emulsions (Figure 1a).

222 For the preparation of particle-particle-stabilized emulsions, primary 223 emulsions were prepared using 40 wt% sunflower oil and 60 wt% aqueous 224 phase (3.45 wt% LFN in aqueous phase). Appropriate quantities of hydrophilic unmodified INP (6 wt%) were dispersed in Milli-Q water for 2 h to ensure 225 226 complete dissolution at pH 7. Primary emulsion (40 wt% oil, 2 wt% LFN) was combined with INP dispersion (6 wt%) in the 1:1 w/w ratio and stirred using a 227 228 magnetic stirrer for 2 hours. The resulting secondary particle-stabilized emulsions (LFN + INP-stabilized emulsions, Figure 1b) contained 20 wt% oil, 229 230 1 wt% LFN and 3 wt% INP. The choice of 3 wt% INP for the preparation of 231 secondary emulsions was based on complete coverage of the LFN-stabilized 232 emulsions droplets by INP. Both the LFN and LFN + INP-stabilized emulsion 233 samples were prepared in triplicates. Sodium azide (0.02 wt%) was added to 234 the emulsions to prevent microbial growth during refrigerated storage at 4 $^{\circ}$ C.

235

236 2.4 Particle sizing of LFN and INP

The mean hydrodynamic diameter (D_h) of LFN or INP was measured in a disposable cuvette (ZEN0040) using a dynamic light scattering with noninvasive back scattering (DLS-NIBS) instrument, Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments Ltd., Worcestershire, UK) at 25 ± 0.5 °C with a 633 nm laser. The LFN or INP dispersions were measured using refractive index of 1.53 and the absorbance was taken as 0.001. The Stokes-Einstein equation was used to calculate the D_h using the Stokes Einstein equation (1).

244

245
$$D_h = (K_B T)/(6\pi \eta D)$$
 (1)

where, D_h is the particle hydrodynamic diameter, K_B is the Boltzmann's constant, T is the absolute temperature, D is the translational diffusion coefficient, η is the viscosity of the aqueous phase (Pa-s). The particle size distribution by number was also determined for the INP using nano-tracking analysis (NTA) using a NanoSightTM LM10 system equipped with a LM14 green (535 nm) laser module and a cooled Andor camera (Andor-DL-658-OEM). The particles were diluted 1:100000 in water before analysis.

254

255 2.5 Droplet sizing of the Pickering emulsions

256 A Malvern MasterSizer 3000 (Malvern Malvern, Instruments Ltd. Worcestershire, UK) was used to measure the droplet size distribution of both 257 258 the emulsions before and after in vitro gastric digestion. The relative refractive 259 index, i.e., the ratio of sunflower oil (1.456) to that of dispersion medium (1.33) 260 was 1.095. Droplet size measurements were reported as Sauter-average 261 diameter (d₃₂) and volume-average diameter (d₄₃) from the particle size 262 distributions, using equations 2 and 3, respectively:

263

264 265 $d_{32} = \frac{n_i d_i^3}{n_i d_i^2}$

$$d_{32} = \frac{n_i d_i}{n_i d_i^2}$$
 (2)

266

267

268
269
$$d_{43} = \frac{n_i d_i^4}{n_i d_i^3}$$
 (3)

270

where, ni is the number of particles with diameter di. Mean and standard deviations were calculated on five measurements on triplicate samples.

274

275 2.6 ζ -potential

The ζ -potential values of the particle dispersions (LFN and INP) and the 276 corresponding emulsions before and after gastric digestion (0, 120 minutes) 277 278 were measured using Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments Ltd, Malvern, Worcestershire, UK). Emulsions were diluted to 0.005 wt% droplet 279 280 concentration and the samples were transferred into DTS1070 folded capillary 281 cells and after 120 s of equilibration, the collected electrophoretic mobility data was converted to ζ -potential using classical Smoluchowski equation. 282 283 Each individual ζ -potential data point was reported as an average and 284 standard deviation of at least five reported readings made on triplicate 285 samples.

286 2.7 Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

287 Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was used to observe the structure of the INP and the original emulsions stabilized by LFN and LFN + INP. Samples 288 289 (10 μ L) were fixed with 2.5% (v/v) glutaraldehyde and post fixed in 0.1% (w/v) 290 osmium tetroxide. Then, the samples were subjected to serial dehydration in ethanol (20-100%) before being embedded in araldite. Ultra-thin sections 291 292 (silver-gold 80-100 nm) were deposited on 3.05 mm grids and stained with 8% (v/v) uranyl acetate and lead citrate. The sections were cut on an "Ultra-cut" 293 294 microtome. Images were recorded using a CM10 TEM microscope (Philips, 295 Surrey, UK).

296

297 2.8 Optical microscopy

The microstructural characteristics of the emulsions before and after in vitro gastric digestion were imaged using a Leica optical light microscope, equipped with a Canon Power Shot and TASV43 program. A small quantity of emulsion before and immediately after gastric digestion (0, 120 min) was placed on a concave microscope slide, covered with a cover slip and imaged using a 40× magnification objective lens.

304

305 2.9 In vitro gastric digestion

306 Emulsions were digested by mixing them with simulated gastric fluid (SGF) 307 with pepsin using the harmonized digestion protocol at 37 °C (Minekus, et al., 308 2014). Briefly, 20 mL of the emulsions (20 wt% oil) were incubated for 2 hours 309 in 20 mL of SGF, latter contained 0.514 g L^{-1} KCl, 0.123 g L^{-1} KH₂PO₄, 0.042 g L⁻¹ NaHCO₃, 0.06 g L⁻¹ NaCl, 0.0004 g L⁻¹ MgCl₂(H₂O)₆, 0.0009 g L⁻¹ 310 311 (NH₄)₂CO₃ and 2000 U/ mL pepsin. The pH value of SGF was adjusted to pH 3 using 0.1 M HCl to simulate after meal ingestion conditions. To observe the 312 313 change of emulsions during digestion, sample aliquots were collected during gastric digestion at 0, 5, 10, 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 min. These gastric 314 315 digesta samples were neutralized to pH 7 using freshly prepared 1 M 316 NH4HCO3 to inactivate pepsin and samples were stored at -20 °C until further 317 analysis.

318

319 2.10 Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)
320 To determine the influence of INP on digestion of the adsorbed LFN at the
321 O/W interface, the cream phase of both the LFN and LFN + INP-stabilized

emulsions sampled at various time intervals during in vitro gastric digestion was analysed using SDS-PAGE. Samples (1 mL) were heated at 95 °C for 5-10 min to stop digestion. The aliquots were centrifuged for 40 min at 14500g and 20 °C using a table-top micro-centrifuge (Eppendorf MiniSpin plus, Scientific Laboratory Supplies. Ltd. UK). A certain amount of cream layer was carefully collected, mixed with 50 μ L SDS buffer (1 M Tris, pH 6.8) and 10 μ L of Dithiothreitol (DTT) (500 mM) and again heated at 95 °C for 5-10 min. The

329 SDS-PAGE was carried out by loading 5 µL of protein marker and 20 µL of 330 digested adsorbed phase samples + loading buffer mixtures into precast gels, 331 and then placed in Mini-PROTEAN II system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc, USA). The running process had two stages: 100 V for 10 min followed by 200 332 V for 40 min. The gels were then stained for an hour with ProtoBlue Safe 333 334 Colloidal Coomassie G-250 stain in ethanol (90:10 v/v). The gels were destained overnight using MilliQ water and then scanned using a ChemiDoc™ 335 336 XRS+ system with image LabTM Software (Bio- Rad Laboratories, Inc, USA). 337 Each band within the lanes was selected automatically by the software to 338 cover the whole band. Background intensity was subtracted after scanning an 339 empty lane. The SDS PAGE experiments were carried out in triplicates and 340 band intensities was reported as an average and standard deviation of three reported readings. 341

342

343 2.11 Statistical analysis

All experiments were carried out in triplicates, with each repetition being measured three times. Results are presented as the mean and standard deviation of these nine measurements unless mentioned otherwise. The

results were statistically analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) using
Graphpad 5 Prism software and differences were considered significant when
p<0.05 were obtained.

350

351 3 Results and discussion

352 3.1 Characteristics of LFN and INP

353 The properties of LFN and INP were evaluated before analysing the Pickering 354 emulsions stabilised by these particles. This serves to understand the behaviour of the particles in bulk phase first, which sets the scene to gain 355 356 insights on the behaviour of the particles at the oil-water (O/W) interface. The 357 size reduction of the LF hydrogel owing to the homogenization step led to the 358 formation of "nanogel particles" characterized by a D_h of 100 ± 0.8 nm (Table 1). During heating, the globular LF molecules were denatured causing 359 360 unfolding of the polypeptide chains subsequently exposing the hydrophobic 361 amino acid residues (Torres, Murray, & Sarkar, 2017). Individual protein molecules began to aggregate through hydrophobic interactions followed by 362 363 the formation of inter-molecular covalent bonds of disulphide origin. These 364 covalent bonds were responsible for the structural integrity of the derived nanogel particles (Sarkar, et al., 2016a; Schmitt, et al., 2010). 365

Interestingly, the size of LFN were three-fold smaller than that of typical "microgel particles" prepared using a top down approach, which is most likely due to the harsher shearing conditions used in the former as compared to that of the latter (Sarkar, et al., 2016a). In the case of enzymatically synthetized INPs, the particle size distribution curves by intensity and number were derived from DLS-NIBS and NTA, respectively. Of notice, both techniques

revealed a monomodal and narrow size particle distribution (Supplementary 372 373 Data Figure S1), thus confirming the absence of more than one population of particles. The average diameter calculated by both techniques was similar 374 375 (p>0.05) (Table 1). The DLS-NIBS provides the particle distribution by 376 intensity, and it is known to be sensitive to the presence of large particles and to polydispersity. Hence, it tends to overestimate the width of the particle size 377 378 distribution. By contrast, NTA, shows greater accuracy for both monodisperse and polydisperse samples as well as higher peak resolution than DLS (<0.5 379 380 fold and >3 fold difference in diameter, respectively) (Filipe, Hawe, & Jiskoot, 381 2010). In agreement with this, close inspection of the particle size distribution curves shown in Figure 1S do confirm that the width of the distribution of INP 382 383 sample was broader than that registered by NTA. Also, a slight shoulder was 384 observed on the greater side of the size distribution measured by NTA, which 385 is not discernible in the DLS Gaussian monomodial peak. The use of both 386 techniques to characterize the INP particle size distribution, thus offers, for the 387 first time, a complementary robust characterization of this sample. Moreover, 388 the size of the INP were of the same order as LFN (Table 1). The TEM image 389 of INP (Figure 1) also suggests that the nanoparticles were spherical 390 particles. Both the particles (LFN and INP) had a relatively low polydispersity 391 index (PDI < 0.15) as determined by DLS-NIBS (Table 1).

As expected, LFN particles were highly positively charged, which is in line with the previous report of high isoelectric point ($p \approx 8.5$) of LF (Adal, et al., 2017; Sarkar, Goh, & Singh, 2009a). The ζ -potential of the LFN at pH 7.0 (Table 1) was in good agreement with heated LF (Peinado, Lesmes, Andrés, & McClements, 2010) but higher in magnitude than a native LF dispersion of

397 the same concentration (ζ = +14.2 mV, data not shown). The recorded 398 increase in ζ -potential for nanogel dispersions is expected as particulate 399 material is likely to have a more compact structure and consequently a higher 400 charge density than a native protein molecule. The charge on INP was negative ($\zeta = -10$ mV) (Table 1), which would allow the deposition of these 401 402 nanoparticles at the LNP-stabilized oil-in-water interface via electrostatic 403 attractive forces, as hypothesized. The slightly negative charge of the INP could be attributed to the presence of a low quantity of residual free enzyme 404 405 on the INP's surface, a common phenomenon in these kind of enzymes; this 406 free enzyme could be released by proteolysis (Ortiz-Soto, et al., 2004).

407

408 3.2 Properties and microstructure of Pickering emulsions stabilized by LFN
409 or LFN + INP

410 The visual images of both the emulsions did not reveal any oiling off in the 411 particle-stabilized emulsions without or with INP (Figure 1). The LFNstabilized emulsions showed a multimodal size distribution with majority of 412 413 droplets (~75%) within the size range of 1-100 μ m (Figure 2a) and a d₄₃ of ~ 25 µm. The morphology of the adsorbed particles at the droplet surface was 414 examined using negative staining and TEM observations of the emulsions. 415 416 The TEM images (Figure 2a) clearly reveals the droplets with adsorbed 417 spherical LFN at the interface. The arrangement of clearly distinguishable 418 LFN at the interface did not show a complete monolayer or multilayer 419 coverage of particles. The emulsions rather showed a sub-monolayer of 420 particles assembled at the interface, as often reported for Pickering emulsions 421 (Destribats, et al., 2014; Sarkar, et al., 2016a). The size ratio of the emulsion

droplet-to-LFN was 250:1, which was within the typical size ratio limits for
Pickering emulsions (Sarkar, et al., 2016a).

424 A small fraction of droplets were also observed in the size range of 0.1-1 425 µm, which might be attributed to the free nanogel particles that were not adsorbed to the droplet interface, as also indicated in the TEM micrographs. 426 Another peak area with droplet size between 100-1000 µm was observed 427 428 (Figure 2a), which most likely represents the bridged LFN-stabilized droplets as observed in the TEM images. Such bridged droplets have previously been 429 430 reported when emulsions are made with low volume fraction of particles as in 431 our case with 1 wt% LFN (French, Taylor, Fowler, & Clegg, 2015).

In case of LFN + INP co-stabilized droplets, the emulsions showed a 432 433 bimodal distribution with a large peak centred in the size range of 1-20 µm 434 and a small peak in the size range of 0.1-1 µm, the area of latter was slightly larger than that observed in LFN-stabilized droplets. The small peak may be 435 436 associated with the free (unbound) fraction of either LFN, INP or LFN-INP 437 electrostatic complex. The main peak comprising the larger proportion of droplets can be attributed to the droplets co-stabilized by a composite LFN + 438 INP layer. No peak in the 100-1000 µm size range was observed in contrast 439 440 to the bridged LFN-stabilized droplets as discussed before (Figure 2a). This 441 suggests that the presence of higher concentration of INP might have created 442 a particle-particle interface. This was supported by TEM images (both lower 443 and higher magnification images, Figure 2b) with a significant degree of droplet coverage by discernible particles achieving almost a saturation (Figure 444 445 2b). This might be attributed to the electrostatic complexation between anionic

446 INP and cationic LFN at pH 7 at the O/W interface (Table 1), which is further
447 discussed in the section dealing with the surface charge results.

448

449 3.3 Changes in microstructure during in vitro gastric digestion

The droplet size distribution of LFN and LFN + INP co-stabilised emulsions 450 451 before and after in vitro gastric digestion with corresponding changes in their 452 optical microstructures are presented in Figures 3 and 4. As can be observed 453 from Figure 3, the droplet size distribution remained the same when the pH 454 was shifted from pH 7 to gastric pH (pH 3) (p>0.05). After treatment with SGF 455 without pepsin, the peak at 100-1000 µm size range increased markedly (p<0.05) owing to the gastric salt-induced charge screening and ion binding 456 457 effects, resulting in large aggregates, as can be observed in the optical micrographs. 458

459 It is only after treatment with SGF containing pepsin (120 min), that this 460 is peak diminished with a subsequent appearance of a new one in the 1000-10,000 µm size range (p<0.05) (Figure 3). Droplet aggregation was more 461 prominent in the optical micrographs in presence of pepsin, with appearance 462 of very few coalesced droplets, congruent with the d43 value of 196 µm. It is 463 464 worth recognizing that although LFN adsorbed at the interface appeared to be 465 digested by pepsin, the LFN peptide fragments still offered some degree of protection to the droplets against coalescence as compared to that of a typical 466 467 protein monolayer-stabilized interface (Sarkar, Goh, & Singh, 2010; Sarkar, et al., 2009b; Sarkar, et al., 2017; Singh, et al., 2011). This suggests that either 468 469 the aromatic groups were somehow buried inside the particles making them 470 less accessible by the pepsin or the particle fragments generated were still

471 viscoelastic enough to offer some resistance to complete droplet472 destabilization.

In case of LFN + INP co-stabilized emulsion (Figure 4), the peak from 473 474 1–100 µm remained relatively constant (p>0.05) when pH was shifted as well 475 as when SGF was added without containing pepsin. This suggests that the steric-stabilized droplets were rather stable to gastric stage-induced change in 476 477 pH and ions in contrast to the LFN-stabilized droplets (Figure 3). On addition 478 of SGF containing pepsin, a small peak appeared in the 1000–10,000 µm size 479 range at 120 min (p<0.05) suggesting proteolysis of LFN did occur even in the 480 presence of INP (Figure 4). In agreement with laser diffraction results, a gradual appearance of well-connected networks of agglomerates was 481 482 observed in the optical micrograph of the LFN + INP co-stabilized emulsion, 483 without presence of any discernible coalesced droplets (Figure 4). Comparing the size and microstructural results of LFN- and LFN + INP-stabilized droplets 484 485 after gastric digestion in presence of pepsin (Figures 3 and 4), it can be 486 suggested that INP provided protection to the structural integrity of the LFN-487 stabilized emulsion droplets inhibiting droplet coalescence.

488

489 3.4 Changes in ζ -potential during in vitro gastric digestion

To provide indirect quantitative insights into the droplet behaviour, ζ -potential values are reported at pH 7 (freshly prepared emulsions), pH 3 (pH of SGF) and in presence of SGF without/with added pepsin (Figure 5). Freshly prepared LFN emulsions were positively charged (~+45 mV), which is expected as the LFN at the interface was below its isoelectric point (pl) (Adal, et al., 2017; Sarkar, et al., 2009a). The ζ -potential values of LFN emulsion

droplets were slightly higher in magnitude as compared to that of the nanogel particles themselves (-29.3 mV) at pH 7.0 (Table 1). This is expected due to the presence of higher local concentration of LFN at the droplet surface as compared to that when present in the bulk phase.

With the addition of anionic INP (3 wt%), the ζ -potential of the LFN-500 coated emulsion droplets decreased from +42 to -3.63 mV (p<0.05). This 501 502 confirms the electrostatic binding of INP to the complementarily charged LFN 503 adsorbed at the O/W interface almost achieving a complete coverage and 504 steric stabilization as evidenced by near zero-charge (Figure 5). Electrostatic 505 complexation of LF particles with aqueous polysaccharides, such as 506 carrageenan and alginate, has been reported previously (David-Birman, 507 Mackie, & Lesmes, 2013; Peinado, et al., 2010), but to our knowledge, this is 508 the first study that highlights particle-particle electrostatic complex formation 509 at the interface.

510 At gastric pH (Figure 5), there was no appreciable change in the magnitude of ζ -potential in both the primary and secondary Pickering 511 512 emulsions (p>0.05). Presence of SGF without pepsin showed a significant reduction of ζ -potential values (p<0.05) in the primary LFN-stabilized emulsion 513 514 confirming some degree of charge screening effects as indicated in the laser 515 diffraction and optical microscopy results (Figure 3). However, such ion-516 induced aggregation was not evident in the LFN + INP-stabilized interfaces 517 (Figure 5), which is highly consistent with the d₄₃ values reported in Figure 4.

Interestingly, when pepsin was added, the proteolysis of the intact LFN at the interface resulted in substantial loss of surface charge (ζ = +29 mV) within 30 min with subsequent decrease in magnitude by 30% after 120 min

521 (p<0.05) (Figure 5). It is worth noting that although there was reduction in ζ -522 potential, LFN-stabilized droplets still had sufficiently high magnitude of positive charge as compared to a typical protein-coated droplets under the 523 524 same conditions (Sarkar, et al., 2009b). Alterations in surface charges due to 525 gastric pepsinolysis was not significant when LFN-stabilized droplets were coated by INP at 30 or even after 120 min of digestion time (p>0.05) (Figure 526 527 5). This suggests that a relatively rigid layer of negatively charged INP formed by intermolecular hydrogen bonding between INP-INP (Kim, Fagih, & Wang, 528 529 2001) remained intact as it was not attacked by human physiological enzymes 530 restricted or delayed the access of pepsin to the inner-adsorbed protein nanogel particulate layer. Furthermore, the electrostatic complexation 531 532 between INP and LFN created a rather complex interface for diffusion of pepsin to the substrate binding sites of LFN. 533

534

535 3.5 Response of the particle at interface to pepsin

536 To gain direct quantitative insight into the gastric stability of these Pickering 537 emulsions, the patterns of proteolysis of the interfacial layer of the emulsions 538 were obtained via SDS-PAGE analyses of adsorbed phase of the chyme 539 collected at designated time intervals during gastric digestion (Figure 6). 540 Interestingly, LFN showed a marked degree of proteolysis of the LF band (85 kDa) i.e. 65% of the intact LF band remaining within first 5 min (Figures 6a 541 542 and 6c), which became subsequently faint and 20% of intact protein remained 543 after first 30 min of digestion. The intact LF band in the LFN emulsions 544 disappeared only after around 90 minutes (Figures 6a). This suggests that 545 pepsin hydrolysed the interfacial layer of nanogel particles, giving rise to

546 droplet aggregation (Figure 3) as a consequence of loss of surface charge547 (Figure 5).

Of note, the LFN at the interface was gradually hydrolysed into smaller 548 549 peptides (<15 kDa), which might not have been captured by the resolving SDS-PAGE gel. However, appearance of smearing of bands in the lanes from 550 5-120 min (Figures 6a), possibly represent the peptides of higher molecular 551 552 weight (> 15 kDa). It is highly likely that these high molecular weight LFN 553 nanogel particle fragments generated by pepsin hydrolysis were anchored to 554 the droplet surface, thus conferring them some degree of protection against 555 accretion (Figure 3).

Also, it is noteworthy that the digestion kinetics of LFN was rather slow 556 557 when compared to a native LF-stabilized emulsion. In case of adsorbed phase 558 from LF-stabilized emulsion (Supplementary Data Figure S2), no intact LF 559 bands were discernible within first five min of digestion, consistent with 560 previous reports on native LF/ heat-treated LF nanoparticles (David-Birman, 561 et al., 2013) or adsorbed whey protein (Sarkar, et al., 2009b; Sarkar, et al., 2016a; Sarkar, et al., 2017; Singh, et al., 2011). This suggests that formation 562 of these compact nanogel particles offered some degree of transient barrier to 563 564 the easy diffusion of pepsin by virtue of their hierarchal structure within the 565 nanogel, as opposed to that of a system with LF monolayer or LF 566 nanoparticles (David-Birman, et al., 2013; Tokle, et al., 2012).

567 Presence of INP showed a clear delaying effect on digestion of LFN at 568 the O/W interface (Figures 6b and 6c) with > 65% and ~ 25% of the intact LF 569 bands remaining after 60 and 120 min of gastric digestion, respectively. 570 Presence of 75% intact LFN particles (Figure 6b) in the adsorbed phase

supports the absence of coalescence in LFN + INP-stabilized droplets (Figure 571 572 4) and no change in ζ -potential values (Figure 5). This suggests that the 573 delaying was driven by a barrier-dominant mechanism i.e. structure and 574 thickness of the adsorbed LFN+INP layers. Such delaying of digestion of the intact protein bands have been previously reported in presence of 575 polysaccharides, such as, carrageenan or alginate (David-Birman, et al., 576 577 2013) or other non-proteinaceous particles, such as, cellulose nanocrystals 578 (Sarkar, et al., 2017). Interestingly, hydrophobic inulin has been also reported 579 to provide improved gastric stability to emulsions when it is present at the 580 interface, former being non-digestible by physiological enzymes (Meshulam, et al., 2014b). This suggests that electrostatic binding of INP to LFN at the 581 582 interface had a prominent effect in providing a kinetic barrier to the diffusion of 583 the pepsin to the LFN and subsequently diminishing the rate and final extent 584 of interfacial proteolysis.

585 Despite the steric barrier effect, pepsin had access to the LFN-laden 586 interface owing to the porosity of the INP layer (Sarkar, et al., 2016a), which supports that presence of INP did not completely limit but rather delayed 587 digestion. Besides the formation of a composite particle-particle layer, 588 589 electrostatic repulsion between pepsin and INP layer might have also been at 590 play in delaying gastric digestion. As the net charge of both pepsin (Davies, 591 1990) and the LNP + INP co-stabilized droplets were negative at pH 3 (Figure 592 5), the mutual electrostatic repulsion might have also contributed to not allow 593 pepsin in the close vicinity of the underlying positively charged binding points 594 of the protein nanogel particulate layer.

It is also worth noting that there was unadsorbed LFN and LFN+INP in the continuous phase (Figures 2a and 2b), respectively, which might have been more readily accessible to pepsin, thus reducing the pepsin's overall activity for the LFN present at the interface. Further research is needed to uncover the interactions of pepsin with these unadsorbed particles and particle-particle complexes.

601

602 **Conclusions**

603 In this study, we have investigated the influence of composite particle-604 particle interfaces on the gastric stability of emulsions using complimentary physicochemical and microstructural analysis. Primary Pickering emulsions 605 (20 wt% oil) co-stabilized by LFN particles (1 wt%) as well secondary 606 607 emulsions (1 w% LFN, 3 wt% INP) demonstrated good stability against droplet coalescence at pH 7. Findings from this study report, for the first time, 608 609 that the rate of pepsinolysis of LFN particles at interface is significantly less as 610 compared to the protein monolayer counterpart. The presence of the 611 secondary interfacial layer of polysaccharide particles (INP) could provide a protective coating to this protein nanogel particle-stabilized emulsion and 612 613 further delay gastric digestion. Presence of INP decreased the extent of in vitro gastric digestion of the proteinaceous particles (LFN) by pepsin, which 614 615 was confirmed by SDS-PAGE of the adsorbed phase. This was mainly attributed to the formation of strong particle-particle composite layers at pH 3 616 617 and to INP exhibiting effective steric barrier that slows down the access of 618 pepsin to the LFN. The gastric digestion was not completely inhibited owing to 619 the diffusion of the pepsin through the gaps in between the INP particles.

620 Thus, the present study has demonstrated an interesting link between the 621 interfacial architecture at varying length scales using composite particleparticle layers and enhanced gastric stability, which could be useful in the 622 623 rational design of physiologically relevant emulsions. Further studies are ongoing to understand the effect of polydispersity of these Pickering 624 stabilizers and the porosity of these composite layers to tailor the kinetics of 625 626 gastric stability of emulsions for optimized delivery of gastric-stable lipid 627 droplets to the duodenum. Ongoing in vitro studies in our laboratories are 628 consistent that INP themselves do not show prebiotic activity. Whether the developed Pickering systems would offer a route to deliver prebiotic 629 630 formulations to the colon in vivo, is yet to be investigated.

631

632 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to gratefully acknowledge the contributions of Martin Fuller for his technical support in electron microscopy at the Electron Microscopy Facility, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Leeds, UK. The work of the Mexican co-authors was supported by National Autonomous University of Mexico (grant UNAM-PAPIIT IN213616). We acknowledge Prof. Dr. Martin Wiemann from IBE R&D gGmbH, Institute for Lung Health (Münster), for the generous access to the NTA instrument.

640

641 **References**

Adal, E., Sadeghpour, A., Connell, S., Rappolt, M., Ibanoglu, E., & Sarkar, A.
(2017). Heteroprotein complex formation of bovine lactoferrin and pea

- 644 protein isolate: A multiscale structural analysis. Biomacromolecules,
 645 18(2), 625-635.
- Araiza-Calahorra, A., Akhtar, M., & Sarkar, A. (2018). Recent advances in
 emulsion-based delivery approaches for curcumin: From encapsulation
 to bioaccessibility. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 71, 155-169.
- Binks, B. P., & Lumsdon, S. O. (1999). Stability of oil-in-water emulsions
 stabilised by silica particles. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics,
 1(12), 3007-3016.
- Binks, B. P., & Lumsdon, S. O. (2001). Pickering emulsions stabilized by
 monodisperse latex particles: Effects of particle size. Langmuir, 17(15),
 4540-4547.
- David-Birman, T., Mackie, A., & Lesmes, U. (2013). Impact of dietary fibers on
 the properties and proteolytic digestibility of lactoferrin nano-particles.
 Food Hydrocolloids, 31(1), 33-41.
- Davies, D. R. (1990). The structure and function of the aspartic proteinases.
 Annual Review of Biophysics and Biophysical Chemistry, 19(1), 189215.
- Destribats, M., Rouvet, M., Gehin-Delval, C., Schmitt, C., & Binks, B. P.
 (2014). Emulsions stabilised by whey protein microgel particles:
 towards food-grade Pickering emulsions. Soft Matter, 10(36), 6941664 6954.

Dickinson, E. Biopolymer-based particles as stabilizing agents for emulsionsand foams. Food Hydrocolloids.

- Dickinson, E. (2012). Use of nanoparticles and microparticles in the formation
 and stabilization of food emulsions. Trends in Food Science &
 Technology, 24(1), 4-12.
- Dickinson, E. (2015). Microgels An alternative colloidal ingredient for
 stabilization of food emulsions. Trends in Food Science & Technology,
 43(2), 178-188.
- Dickinson, E. (2017). Biopolymer-based particles as stabilizing agents for
 emulsions and foams. Food Hydrocolloids, 68(Supplement C), 219231.
- Filipe, V., Hawe, A., & Jiskoot, W. (2010). Critical evaluation of nanoparticle
 tracking analysis (NTA) by nanosight for the measurement of
 nanoparticles and protein aggregates. Pharmaceutical Research,
 27(5), 796-810.
- French, D. J., Taylor, P., Fowler, J., & Clegg, P. S. (2015). Making and
 breaking bridges in a Pickering emulsion. Journal of Colloid and
 Interface Science, 441(Supplement C), 30-38.
- Glibowski, P., Kordowska-Wiater, M., & Glibowska, A. (2011). Effect of
 Storage on Texture and Microbiological Stability of O-W Emulsions with
 Inulin. CZECH JOURNAL OF FOOD SCIENCES, 29(2), 137-144.

686	Gon, K. K. I., Sarkar, A., & Singh, H. (2014). Chapter 13 - Milk Protein-
687	Polysaccharide Interactions. In H. Singh, M. Boland & A. Thompson
688	(Eds.), Milk Proteins (Second edition) (pp. 387-419). San Diego:
689	Academic Press.

- Guzey, D., & McClements, D. J. (2006). Formation, stability and properties of
 multilayer emulsions for application in the food industry. Advances in
 Colloid and Interface Science, 128–130, 227-248.
- Jiménez-Sánchez, M. C., Perez-Morales, R., Goycoolea, F., Mueller, M.,
 Praznik, W., Löeppert, R., Ayala, M., & Olvera, C. (2018). Selfassembled high molecular weight inulin nanoparticles: enzymatic
 synthesis and properties. In Preparation.
- Kalashnikova, I., Bizot, H., Bertoncini, P., Cathala, B., & Capron, I. (2013).
 Cellulosic nanorods of various aspect ratios for oil in water Pickering
 emulsions. Soft Matter, 9(3), 952-959.
- Kim, Y., Faqih, M. N., & Wang, S. S. (2001). Factors affecting gel formation of
 inulin. Carbohydrate Polymers, 46(2), 135-145.
- Liu, F., & Tang, C. H. (2013). Soy protein nanoparticle aggregates as
 pickering stabilizers for oil-in-water emulsions. Journal of Agricultural
 and Food Chemistry, 61(37), 8888-8898.
- Matsumiya, K., & Murray, B. S. (2016). Soybean protein isolate gel particles
 as foaming and emulsifying agents. Food Hydrocolloids, 60, 206-215.

707	Meshulam, D.,	& L	esmes, U.	(2014a). R	esponsiv	eness o	of emulsions
708	stabilized	by	lactoferrin	nano-partic	eles to	simulate	ed intestinal
709	conditions	. Foo	d & Function	n, 5(1), 65-73.			

Meshulam, D., Slavuter, J., & Lesmes, U. (2014b). Behavior of emulsions
stabilized by a hydrophobically modified inulin under bio-relevant
conditions of the human gastro-intestine. Food Biophysics, 9(4), 416423.

714 Minekus, M., Alminger, M., Alvito, P., Ballance, S., Bohn, T., Bourlieu, C., Carriere, F., Boutrou, R., Corredig, M., Dupont, D., Dufour, C., Egger, 715 716 L., Golding, M., Karakaya, S., Kirkhus, B., Le Feunteun, S., Lesmes, 717 U., Macierzanka, A., Mackie, A., Marze, S., McClements, D. J., Menard, O., Recio, I., Santos, C. N., Singh, R. P., Vegarud, G. E., 718 Wickham, M. S. J., Weitschies, W., & Brodkorb, A. (2014). A 719 standardised static in vitro digestion method suitable for food - an 720 international consensus. Food & Function, 5(6), 1113-1124. 721

Ortiz-Soto, M. E., Olivares-Illana, V., & López-Munguía, A. (2004).
Biochemical properties of inulosucrase from Leuconostoc citreum
CW28 used for inulin synthesis. Biocatalysis and Biotransformation,
22(4), 275-281.

Peinado, I., Lesmes, U., Andrés, A., & McClements, J. D. (2010). Fabrication
and morphological characterization of biopolymer particles formed by
electrostatic complexation of heat treated lactoferrin and anionic
polysaccharides. Langmuir, 26(12), 9827-9834.

- Rastall, R. A. (2010). Functional oligosaccharides: Application and
 manufacture. Annual Review of Food Science and Technology, 1(1),
 305-339.
- Richter, A. R., Feitosa, J. P. A., Paula, H. C. B., Goycoolea, F. M., & de
 Paula, R. C. M. (2018). Pickering emulsion stabilized by cashew gumpoly-L-lactide copolymer nanoparticles: synthesis, characterization and
 amphotericin B encapsulation. Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces,
 Accepted.
- Sarkar, A., Goh, K. K. T., & Singh, H. (2009a). Colloidal stability and
 interactions of milk-protein-stabilized emulsions in an artificial saliva.
 Food Hydrocolloids, 23(5), 1270-1278.
- Sarkar, A., Goh, K. K. T., & Singh, H. (2010). Properties of oil-in-water
 emulsions stabilized by β-lactoglobulin in simulated gastric fluid as
 influenced by ionic strength and presence of mucin. Food
 Hydrocolloids, 24(5), 534-541.
- Sarkar, A., Goh, K. K. T., Singh, R. P., & Singh, H. (2009b). Behaviour of an
 oil-in-water emulsion stabilized by β-lactoglobulin in an in vitro gastric
 model. Food Hydrocolloids, 23(6), 1563-1569.
- Sarkar, A., Horne, D. S., & Singh, H. (2010). Interactions of milk proteinstabilized oil-in-water emulsions with bile salts in a simulated upper
 intestinal model. Food Hydrocolloids, 24(2–3), 142-151.

- Sarkar, A., Li, H., Cray, D., & Boxall, S. (2018). Composite whey protein–
 cellulose nanocrystals at oil-water interface: Towards delaying lipid
 digestion. Food Hydrocolloids, 77, 436-444.
- Sarkar, A., Murray, B., Holmes, M., Ettelaie, R., Abdalla, A., & Yang, X.
 (2016a). In vitro digestion of Pickering emulsions stabilized by soft
 whey protein microgel particles: influence of thermal treatment. Soft
 Matter, 12(15), 3558-3569.
- Sarkar, A., Murray, B., Holmes, M., Ettelaie, R., Abdalla, A., & Yang, X.
 (2016b). In vitro digestion of Pickering emulsions stabilized by soft
 whey protein microgel particles: influence of thermal treatment Soft
 Matter, 12, 3558-3569.
- Sarkar, A., & Singh, H. (2016c). Emulsions and foams stabilised by milk
 proteins. In P. L. H. McSweeney & J. A. O'Mahony (Eds.), Advanced
 Dairy Chemistry: Volume 1B: Proteins: Applied Aspects (pp. 133-153).
 New York, NY: Springer New York.
- Sarkar, A., Ye, A., & Singh, H. (2016d). On the role of bile salts in the
 digestion of emulsified lipids. Food Hydrocolloids, 60, 77-84.
- Sarkar, A., Zhang, S., Murray, B., Russell, J. A., & Boxal, S. (2017).
 Modulating in vitro gastric digestion of emulsions using composite
 whey protein-cellulose nanocrystal interfaces. Colloids and Surfaces B:
 Biointerfaces, 158, 137-146.
- Schmitt, C., Moitzi, C., Bovay, C., Rouvet, M., Bovetto, L., Donato, L., Leser,
 M. E., Schurtenberger, P., & Stradner, A. (2010). Internal structure and

- colloidal behaviour of covalent whey protein microgels obtained by heat
 treatment. Soft Matter, 6(19), 4876-4884.
- Shimoni, G., Shani Levi, C., Levi Tal, S., & Lesmes, U. (2013). Emulsions
 stabilization by lactoferrin nano-particles under in vitro digestion
 conditions. Food Hydrocolloids, 33(2), 264-272.
- Singh, H., & Sarkar, A. (2011). Behaviour of protein-stabilised emulsions
 under various physiological conditions. Advances in Colloid and
 Interface Science, 165(1), 47-57.

Tadros, T. F., Vandamme, A., Levecke, B., Booten, K., & Stevens, C. V.
(2004). Stabilization of emulsions using polymeric surfactants based on
inulin. Advances in Colloid and Interface Science, 108, 207-226.

- Tokle, T., Lesmes, U., Decker, E. A., & McClements, D. J. (2012). Impact of
 dietary fiber coatings on behavior of protein-stabilized lipid droplets
 under simulated gastrointestinal conditions. Food & Function, 3(1), 5866.
- Tokle, T., Lesmes, U., & McClements, D. J. (2010). Impact of electrostatic
 deposition of anionic polysaccharides on the stability of oil droplets
 coated by lactoferrin. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry,
 58(17), 9825-9832.
- Torres, O., Murray, B., & Sarkar, A. (2017). Design of novel emulsion microgel
 particles of tuneable size. Food Hydrocolloids, 71(Supplement C), 4759.

- Tuohy, K. M. (2007). Inulin-type fructans in healthy aging. The Journal of
 Nutrition, 137(11), 2590S-2593S.
- Tzoumaki, M. V., Moschakis, T., Kiosseoglou, V., & Biliaderis, C. G. (2011).
 Oil-in-water emulsions stabilized by chitin nanocrystal particles. Food
 Hydrocolloids, 25(6), 1521-1529.
- Tzoumaki, M. V., Moschakis, T., Scholten, E., & Biliaderis, C. G. (2013). In
 vitro lipid digestion of chitin nanocrystal stabilized o/w emulsions. Food
 & Function, 4(1), 121-129.
- Wolff, D., Czapla, S., Heyer, A. G., Radosta, S., Mischnick, P., & Springer, J.
 (2000). Globular shape of high molar mass inulin revealed by static
 light scattering and viscometry. Polymer, 41(22), 8009-8016.
- 807 Yusoff, A., & Murray, B. S. (2011). Modified starch granules as particle-808 stabilizers of oil-in-water emulsions. Food Hydrocolloids, 25(1), 42-55.
- 809
- 810
- 811