



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Variations in near-surface debris temperature through the summer monsoon on Khumbu Glacier, Nepal Himalaya*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:  
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/131933/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Gibson, MJ, Irvine-Fynn, TDL, Wagnon, P et al. (4 more authors) (2018) Variations in near-surface debris temperature through the summer monsoon on Khumbu Glacier, Nepal Himalaya. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 43 (13). pp. 2698-2714. ISSN 0197-9337

<https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4425>

---

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

1 **Variations in near-surface debris temperature through the summer monsoon on**  
2 **Khumbu Glacier, Nepal Himalaya.**

3

4 Morgan J. Gibson<sup>1\*</sup>, Tristram D.L. Irvine-Fynn<sup>1</sup>, Patrick Wagnon<sup>2</sup>, Ann V. Rowan<sup>3</sup>, Duncan J.  
5 Quincey<sup>4</sup>, Rachel Homer<sup>4</sup>, Neil F. Glasser<sup>1</sup>.

6

7 <sup>1</sup>Centre for Glaciology, Department of Geography and Earth Sciences, Aberystwyth  
8 University, SY23 3DB, UK.

9 <sup>2</sup>University Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IRD, Grenoble-INP, IGE, F-38000 Grenoble, France.

10 <sup>3</sup>Department of Geography, University of Sheffield, S10 2TN, UK.

11 <sup>4</sup>School of Geography, University of Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK.

12

13 \*Corresponding author: [mog2@aber.ac.uk](mailto:mog2@aber.ac.uk)

14

15 Keywords: Debris cover, surface temperature, ablation, Khumbu Glacier, Himalaya.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## 25 **Abstract**

26 Debris surface temperature is a function of debris characteristics and energy fluxes at the  
27 debris surface. However, spatial and temporal variability in debris surface temperature, and  
28 the debris properties that control it, are poorly constrained. Here, near-surface debris  
29 temperature ( $T_s$ ) is reported for 16 sites across the lower elevations of Khumbu Glacier, Nepal  
30 Himalaya, for the 2014 monsoon season. The debris layer at all sites was  $\geq 1$  m thick. We  
31 confirm the occurrence of temporal and spatial variability in  $T_s$  over a 67-day period and  
32 investigate its controls.  $T_s$  was found to exhibit marked temporal fluctuations on diurnal, short-  
33 term (1–8 days) and seasonal timescales. Over the study period, two distinct diurnal patterns  
34 in  $T_s$  were identified that varied in timing, daily amplitude and maximum temperature; days in  
35 the latter half of the study period (after Day of Year 176) exhibited a lower diurnal amplitude  
36 (mean = 23°C) and reduced maximum temperatures. Days with lower amplitude and  
37 minimum  $T_s$  were concurrent with periods of increased seasonal variability in on-glacier air  
38 temperature and incoming shortwave radiation, with the increased frequency of these periods  
39 attributed to increasing cloud cover as the monsoon progressed. Spatial variability in  $T_s$  was  
40 manifested in variability of diurnal amplitude and maximum  $T_s$  of 7°C to 47°C between sites.  
41 Local slope, debris clast size and lithology were identified as the most important drivers of  
42 spatial variability in  $T_s$ , with inclusion of these three variables in the stepwise general linear  
43 models resulting in  $R^2 \geq 0.89$  for six out of the seven sites. The complexity of surface energy  
44 fluxes and their influence on  $T_s$  highlight that assuming a simplified relationship between air  
45 temperature and debris surface temperature in glacier melt models, and a direct relationship  
46 between debris surface temperature and debris thickness for calculating supraglacial debris  
47 thickness, should be undertaken with caution.

48

## 49 **1. Introduction**

50 Debris-covered glaciers exhibit a continuous mantle of rock debris over the full width of at  
51 least some of their ablation zone (Kirkbride et al., 2011). These glaciers are common in  
52 mountainous regions across the world, including in the European Alps (e.g. Mihalcea et al.,  
53 2006), Andes (e.g. Glasser et al., 2016), Southern Alps of New Zealand (e.g. Kirkbride, 2000)  
54 and the Himalaya (e.g. Scherler et al., 2011). The presence of a supraglacial debris layer  
55 influences glacier ablation, acting as a thermal buffer between the atmosphere and glacier  
56 ice surface, and modifying the energy available for melt (Jansson and Fredin, 2002; Kirkbride,  
57 2000). The extent to which a supraglacial debris layer controls ablation is primarily dependent  
58 on the thickness of the debris layer (Clark et al., 1994; Mattson, 2000; Østrem, 1959). While  
59 a thin layer of debris below a critical thickness causes an increase in ablation due to a  
60 reduction of the surface albedo (Nakawo and Rana, 1999), ablation exponentially decreases  
61 with increasing debris thickness above a critical thickness, as the debris layer inhibits glacier  
62 melting by attenuating and reducing thermal energy transfer to the underlying ice surface  
63 (Brock et al., 2010; Mihalcea et al., 2008a; Nicholson and Benn, 2006; Reid et al., 2012).

64

65 Supraglacial debris surface temperature is a function of the surface energy balance and  
66 modulates heat transfer through the debris layer (Nakawo and Young, 1981). Therefore,  
67 debris surface temperature can provide useful insight into the extent to which debris  
68 properties affect energy transfer at the surface of and through a debris layer. To date, little  
69 focus has been given to the influence of spatial and temporal variability in surface  
70 temperature across supraglacial debris layers, which can be affected by incoming energy  
71 fluxes and debris properties including albedo, surface roughness, sediment porosity, and  
72 moisture content (Reznichenko et al., 2010; Evatt et al., 2015; Rounce et al., 2015).

73

74 Nicholson and Benn (2013) highlighted the occurrence of spatial and temporal variability in  
75 supraglacial debris properties and their influence of surface temperature and temperature  
76 gradients through the debris layer, and therefore glacier mass balance. However, many of  
77 the previous studies concerned with the measurement of debris surface temperature on  
78 glaciers have had limited spatial or temporal extent. For example, Nakawo and Young (1982)  
79 measured debris surface temperature at 6 plots over a 48-hour period, whilst Nicholson and  
80 Benn (2006) measured debris surface temperature at a maximum of 11 plots on one glacier,  
81 but only for a maximum period of 11 days. Steiner and Pellicciotti (2015) presented one of  
82 the most extensive debris surface temperature datasets to date, from 13 locations over three  
83 ablation seasons on Lirung Glacier, Nepal. However, the study focused on describing the  
84 relationship between air temperature ( $T_a$ ) and debris surface temperature rather than  
85 exploring spatial variability in debris surface temperature. Moreover, Steiner and Pellicciotti  
86 (2015) did not state the thickness of the debris layer underlying each of the sensors  
87 measuring debris surface temperature, an important factor in the consideration of  
88 spatiotemporal variability in debris surface temperature and the influence of underlying ice  
89 (cf. Nicholson and Benn, 2006). Consequently, the nature of and controls on debris surface  
90 temperature variability remains poorly constrained in glacial environments.

91

92 Conversely, ground surface temperature variability has been relatively well studied in other  
93 cold region environments (e.g. Gubler et al., 2011; Guglielmin, 2006; Romanovsky and  
94 Osterkamp, 2000) where significant spatial variation arises from localised changes in surface  
95 properties and environmental conditions. These studies have concluded that such variability  
96 influences the accuracy of surface energy balance modelling in these environments. We  
97 therefore contend that such variability may also be applicable to numerical modelling of  
98 debris-covered ice ablation and the response of these glaciers to climate change.

100 The importance of studies of debris surface temperature on debris-covered glaciers is  
101 manifested in the recent application of temperature-index models to debris-covered glaciers,  
102 which determine debris surface temperature from  $T_a$  (e.g. Carenzo et al., 2016). Furthermore,  
103 debris surface temperature has previously been used to determine debris layer thickness  
104 through two approaches: the use of an empirical relationship between debris surface  
105 temperature and debris layer thickness, based on field data (e.g. Michalcea et al., 2008a;  
106 2008b; Minora et al., 2015); and a surface energy balance approach also using debris surface  
107 temperature (e.g. Foster et al., 2012; Rounce and McKinney, 2014). Currently, neither  
108 approach has been considered robust, as the empirical approach is only applicable for debris  
109 layers thinner than 0.5 m (Mihalcea et al., 2008a) and the energy balance approaches  
110 exclude consideration of spatially variable debris properties such as albedo, surface  
111 roughness or moisture content that will affect energy exchange and therefore surface  
112 temperature at the debris surface (e.g. Collier et al., 2014; Evatt et al., 2015; Rounce et al.,  
113 2015). To understand the validity of these methods, and discern how to develop them further,  
114 confirmation of both the spatiotemporal regime of debris surface temperature and its controls  
115 is needed.

116

117 Considering these shortcomings, here we aimed to characterise the spatial and temporal  
118 variability in debris surface temperature on a debris-covered glacier using data collected from  
119 temperature sensors located in the debris near-surface and distributed over the lower  
120 ablation area of Khumbu Glacier, Nepal, in areas of thick ( $\geq 1$  m) debris cover. The primary  
121 objectives of the study were to (i) examine the temporal and spatial variation of debris surface  
122 temperature during an ablation season, and (ii) determine the controlling factors underlying  
123 variations in debris surface temperature.

124

## 125 **2. Study area**

### 126 2.1. Khumbu Glacier, Central Himalaya

127 Khumbu Glacier (27°56'N, 86°56'E) is ~17 km long and has an area of ~27 km<sup>2</sup> including the  
128 detached tributary glaciers, Changri Nup and Changri Shar (Figure 1: Arendt et al., 2012;  
129 Bolch et al., 2008; Vincent et al., 2016). The glacier flows from the southwest flanks of Mount  
130 Everest at 8230 m above sea level (a.s.l.) descending to 4816 m a.s.l. The equilibrium line  
131 altitude (ELA) is situated at around 5700 m a.s.l. within the Khumbu Icefall (Benn and  
132 Lehmkuhl, 2000; Inoue, 1977). Khumbu Glacier is typical of many large Himalayan debris-  
133 covered glaciers, with a low-gradient (<2°), slow-flowing (<10 m a<sup>-1</sup>) ablation area (Hambrey  
134 et al., 2008; Quincey et al., 2009). The glacier flows at ~70 m a<sup>-1</sup> near the base of the icefall,  
135 whilst the lowermost 3–4 km is thought to flow at velocities below 10 m a<sup>-1</sup> (Quincey et al.,  
136 2009). Khumbu Glacier is in a state of negative mass balance; Bolch et al. (2011) calculated  
137 a surface change of  $-0.56 \pm 0.13$  m a<sup>-1</sup> between 1956 and 2007, whilst King et al. (2017)  
138 calculated surface change across the glacier's ablation area of around  $-0.81 \pm 0.16$  m a<sup>-1</sup>  
139 between 2000 and 2014.

140

141 The ablation area is almost entirely debris covered below 5400 m a.s.l., with the debris layer  
142 >2 m thick in places (Gades et al., 2000). The debris-covered ablation area displays a wide  
143 range of clast sizes comprising of granitic and schistose lithologies derived from the  
144 surrounding hillslopes (Iwata et al., 1980; Nuimura et al., 2011). The debris-covered area is  
145 topographically complex and dynamic, being characterised by an undulant surface  
146 punctuated by numerous supraglacial ponds and associated ice cliffs, which changes over  
147 seasonal and interannual timescales (Watson et al. 2016; Nuimura et al., 2011). The more

148 stable, lowermost region of the ablation area shows the early stages of soil formation and is  
149 partially vegetated (Kadota et al., 2000).

150

## 151 2.2. Central Himalayan climate

152 The South Asian Summer Monsoon (hereafter, 'the monsoon') dominates the climate of the  
153 Khumbu Glacier catchment, and the Central Himalaya. The highest annual air temperatures  
154 occur between May and October (Ageta, 1976; Nayava, 1974) and ~80 % of precipitation  
155 falls between June and September (Bookhagen and Burbank, 2010). During the onset and  
156 progression of the monsoon season, high pressure over the Tibetan Plateau results in an  
157 increased temperature and pressure gradient southward towards the Indian subcontinent  
158 (Yasunari, 1976). This pressure gradient produces seasonally variable wind patterns in the  
159 Central Himalaya region and localised synoptic weather systems are dominated by mountain  
160 and valley winds, which vary on sub-diurnal timescales (Bollasina et al., 2002). As the  
161 monsoon season progresses, increases in regional precipitation frequency, air temperature,  
162 relative humidity and incoming longwave radiation occur, and are coupled with a decrease in  
163 shortwave radiation attributed to increasing cloud cover (Salerno et al., 2015; Shea et al.,  
164 2015).

165

## 166 3. Data acquisition

### 167 3.1. Near-surface debris temperature

#### 168 3.1.1. Temperature sensors

169 Near-surface debris temperature ( $T_s$ ) was measured as a robust proxy for true debris surface  
170 temperature using Maxim iButton™ Thermochron temperature sensors (model number  
171 DS1921G: <http://datasheets.maximintegrated.com/en/ds/DS1921G.pdf>) which record  
172 instantaneous temperature from  $-30$  to  $+70^\circ\text{C}$  with a manufacturer-stated accuracy of



173  $\pm 1.0^{\circ}\text{C}$ . iButton sensors were chosen due to their low cost, reliability (e.g. Hubbart et al.,  
174 2005) and previous successful applications in a number of environmental settings including  
175 permafrost landscapes (e.g. Gubler et al., 2011). Gemini Tiny Tag™ Plus2 data loggers  
176 (model number TGP-4520) with encapsulated thermistor probes were used for sensor  
177 calibration prior to fieldwork and have a manufacturer–stated accuracy of  $\pm 0.4^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The  
178 iButtons were placed in waterproof polycarbonate plastic containers to protect from water  
179 damage following the method of Gubler et al. (2011). The effect of polycarbonate plastic  
180 waterproof casing on temperatures recorded was tested in laboratory conditions prior to  
181 fieldwork. In laboratory conditions, temperatures recorded by contained and uncontained  
182 iButtons in the same environments varied by  $< 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ , and more typically by  $\leq 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ , which is  
183 within the manufacturer’s stated accuracy (see Supplementary Information; Figure S1).

184

### 185 3.1.2. Field experiment design

186 Near-surface debris temperature ( $T_s$ ) was measured at hourly intervals at 16 sites between  
187 the 21<sup>st</sup> May and 29<sup>th</sup> July 2014 (Day of Year (DOY) 141 and 210). The first 48 hours of each  
188  $T_s$  timeseries were discarded to allow the sensors to equilibrate with local conditions. For all  
189 sites, iButtons were placed in the immediate near-surface of the debris layer, typically  
190 between 0.01 and 0.05 m below the surface, using a single layer of clasts of representative  
191 size for each site from the immediate surrounding area as a shield from direct solar radiation  
192 as is common practice in ground surface temperature studies (e.g. Apaloo et al., 2012;  
193 Gísnás et al., 2014). Using a handheld Garmin 64 GPS, the iButton temperature sensors  
194 were distributed across the lowermost 2 km<sup>2</sup> of Khumbu Glacier’s ablation area in a gridded  
195 pattern (Figure 1c). The elevation of sensor sites varied across the study area by 49 m  
196 between 4903 m a.s.l. and 4952 m a.s.l. ( $\pm 3$  m due to vertical accuracy of the handheld GPS)

197 and each site had a unique combination of site characteristics, varying in slope, aspect,  
198 elevation, clast size, sorting, roundness, and clast lithology (Table 1; see also Section 3.2).

199

200 To allow examination of the influence of additional debris layer properties and incoming  
201 energy fluxes on  $T_s$  other than debris layer thickness, all iButton temperature sensors were  
202 installed in locations where the debris layer had a thickness of  $\geq 1$  m where the effect of cold  
203 propagation from underlying ice on  $T_s$  is insignificant (Nicholson and Benn, 2006; Foster et  
204 al., 2012). Debris thickness was established by excavating the debris layer adjacent to the  
205 iButton location to a depth of 1 m; if no ice was present, debris thickness was reported as  $>1$   
206 m. At each site, a textural description of the debris was made, and digital photographs were  
207 taken before and after the emplacement of the sensors (Figure 2). The iButton temperature  
208 sensors at Sites 7 to 13 were placed within a  $90 \times 90$  m area to investigate variability in  $T_s$   
209 across an area typical of the resolution of remotely sensed thermal satellite data (e.g.  
210 ASTER) often used for supraglacial debris thickness mapping.

211

212 On retrieval of the iButton temperature sensors at the end of the monsoon season,  
213 comparison with the initial site photographs was used to evaluate any surface change at each  
214 site. For all 16 sites reported, the debris showed little or no disruption after sensor installation,  
215 and none of the temperature sensors were exposed at the time of collection. A further 42  
216 iButton sensors were installed on the glacier surface but, due to topographic change during  
217 the monsoon season, they could neither be located or retrieved.

218 Despite following standard methods for measuring ground surface temperature (e.g. Apaloo  
219 et al., 2012; Gislås et al., 2014), placing clasts on the contained iButtons to shield them from  
220 direct incoming shortwave radiation created an additional source of uncertainty in the 16  
221 retrieved  $T_s$  data. Consequently, our measurements of  $T_s$  do not necessarily reflect absolute

222 debris surface temperature (Conway and Rasmussen, 2000) as the emplacement of sensors  
223 beneath clasts may mean that the sensors record temperature below rather than at the debris  
224 surface. Without detailed knowledge of the specific thermal properties of the debris at each  
225 site, more accurate assessment of the uncertainty between near-surface and true surface  
226 temperature is challenging. However, here we assumed our  $T_s$  data were sound proxies for  
227 absolute  $T_s$ . To identify any data which were likely to be less representative of true surface  
228 temperature, uncertainty at each site was estimated using the diurnally-averaged  
229 temperature gradient calculated through a debris layer by Nicholson and Benn (2006) from  
230 data collected on nearby Ngozumpa Glacier of  $-10.5 \text{ }^\circ\text{C m}^{-1}$ , and mean clast size for each  
231 site. These uncertainties ranged from  $0.03^\circ\text{C}$  to  $4.39^\circ\text{C}$  (Table 1). Temperature metrics  
232 (mean  $T_s$ , maximum  $T_s$ , minimum  $T_s$  and  $T_s$  amplitude) were also regressed against  
233 estimated sensor depth. No significant relationship was identified meaning  $T_s$  variability  
234 between sites cannot be attributed directly to sensor depth. Consequently, sites at which the  
235 calculated near-surface to surface temperature difference was greater than  $0.5^\circ\text{C}$  (the  
236 assessed uncertainty in our iButton sensor data) were considered to be less reliable in  
237 reflecting absolute surface temperature (Sites 1, 2, 9, 11 and 13), and were therefore either  
238 noted or omitted from subsequent analyses to avoid potential influence of misrepresentative  
239 data.

240

241 Mean clast size was considered a proxy for sensor burial depth, although it is probable that  
242 clasts covering the sensors were smaller than the mean clast size as a bias towards the  
243 smaller clasts would have occurred on emplacement. It is therefore expected the uncertainty  
244 calculated using mean clast size overestimates burial depth, and consequently the  
245 uncertainty in temperature with depth is less than estimated. However, this method of  
246 uncertainty calculation does not include consideration of diurnal variability in temperature

247 gradient through the debris layers, which may cause mean temperature differences  
248 calculated here to be larger at certain times of day (as observed by Nicholson and Benn,  
249 2006). The influence of this diurnal variability on results is discussed in Section 6.2.

250

## 251 3.2. Ancillary data

### 252 3.2.1. Clast size and lithology

253 Clast size at each site was estimated from 18.0 Mpix digital site photographs acquired using  
254 a Canon 550D camera and processed in ImageJ, v. 1.49 (Rasband, 2008), following the  
255 method outlined by Igathinathane et al. (2009). At all sites, images covered approximately 1  
256 m<sup>2</sup> and a known scale in each photograph was used to define the metre:pixel ratio. Clasts  
257 were selected using a random sampling method. For each site photo, every clast identified  
258 was assigned a number, and a random number generator was used to subsample 25 clasts  
259 for measurement within ImageJ. Assuming from the 2D imagery that the long and  
260 intermediate clast axes were visible, the intermediate axis length was retrieved and a mean  
261 representative clast size for each site calculated (Table 1). Where the intermediate axis of a  
262 clast was larger than the photo (e.g. Sites 9 and 13) the maximum length measurable from  
263 the scaled image was used.

264

265 Clast lithology was determined in the field using clast size, colour and mineral composition.  
266 Two major lithologies were identified; granite and schist. The dominant lithology at each site  
267 (Table 1) was determined by manually classifying the lithology of all clasts in each of the site  
268 photographs in ImageJ and then calculating the percentage of granite for each site (e.g.  
269 Solano et al., 2016).

270

### 271 3.2.2. Local meteorological data

272 Meteorological data were collected at four locations: on the debris-covered glacier surface of  
273 Khumbu Glacier at an elevation of 4950 m a.s.l. (Figure 1c); at the Pyramid Observatory  
274 (Figure 1b; 27°57'32" N, 86°48'47" E; 5050 m a.s.l.) ~1 km to the northwest of the study area;  
275 an automatic weather station on a debris-covered area of the adjacent Changri Nup Glacier  
276 (Figure 1b; 27°58'55"N, 86°45'52.92" E; 5363 m a.s.l.); and at an automatic weather station  
277 5 km down-valley from the terminus of Khumbu Glacier at Pheriche (27°53'24" N, 86°49'12"  
278 E; 4260 m a.s.l.).

279

280 Off-glacier air temperature ( $T_{aP}$ ) was recorded at hourly intervals 2 m above the ground  
281 surface, using an artificially ventilated LSI-Lastem DMA 570 sensor (accuracy  $\pm 0.2^\circ\text{C}$ ) at the  
282 Pyramid Observatory. On-glacier air temperature ( $T_{aG}$ ) was recorded at 30-minute intervals  
283 in a location with schistose debris lithology (Figure 1c) using a Gemini Tiny Tag™ Plus2 data  
284 logger (model number TGP-4520) and thermistor probe with a stated accuracy of  $\pm 0.2^\circ\text{C}$ .  
285 The on-glacier thermistor probe was placed in a naturally aspirated radiation shield mounted  
286 on a tripod 1 m above the debris surface.  $T_{aG}$  was resampled to give hourly values  
287 corresponding to the resolution of the  $T_s$  data. Incoming shortwave ( $SW_{in}$ ) and longwave  
288 ( $LW_{in}$ ) radiation (Kipp&Zonen CNR4 sensor, 1.0 m above debris surface, stated accuracy  
289  $\pm 3\%$ ) and relative humidity data (RH: Vaisala HMP45C sensor, 2.15 m above debris surface,  
290 stated accuracy  $\pm 2\%$ ) were recorded at an automatic weather station at the Changri Nup  
291 Glacier. Meteorological data from the Changri Nup station were collected at 30-minute  
292 intervals and resampled to 1-hour resolution using an hourly mean algorithm. Precipitation  
293 (P) was measured using a Geonor T-200 all-weather rain gauge at the Pheriche site where  
294 summer precipitation predominantly occurs as rainfall; these data were corrected for  
295 undercatch of solid precipitation using air temperature and wind speed (Sherpa et al., 2017)  
296 and the resultant corrected data have an estimated accuracy of  $\pm 15\%$ .

297

### 298 3.2.3. Local topography

299 The digital elevation model (DEM) from which slope and aspect were extracted for each  
300 sensor site was derived from a series of Surface Extraction from Triangulated Irregular  
301 Network Searchspace Minimization (SETSM) DEMs sourced from the Polar Geospatial  
302 Centre (University of Minnesota) at 8 m resolution, collected between 8<sup>th</sup> February and the  
303 4<sup>th</sup> of May 2015 (Noh and Howat, 2015). The DEM correction method is detailed in King et  
304 al. (2017). Due to the complex and dynamic nature of the glacier surface, topographic  
305 parameters at each iButton site were estimated a-posteriori from the DEM and are presented  
306 here as a generalised local proxies rather than absolute, site-specific values (Table 1). Slope  
307 (in degrees) and terrain curvature were extracted for the pixels corresponding to the sensor  
308 locations using ESRI's ArcMap v10.1 Spatial Analyst toolbox. Relative terrain roughness was  
309 derived using the 'vector ruggedness measurement toolbox', which considers slope and  
310 aspect variability for the nine pixels on and around each site location (Sappington et al.,  
311 2007). Curvature and roughness metrics both ranged between  $-1$  and  $+1$ . In situ  
312 observations of the local aspect of each iButton site, measured relative to north, were  
313 collected in the field using a magnetic compass with an uncertainty of  $\pm 2^\circ$ .

314

## 315 4. Results

### 316 4.1. Near-surface debris temperature

317 Daily mean near-surface debris temperature ( $T_s$ ) for all 16 sites typically exceeded air  
318 temperatures ( $T_{aP}$  and  $T_{aG}$ ) throughout the monsoon period (Figure 3a). Mean  $T_s$  for the  
319 period of observations at the 16 sites ranged from 7.0 to 11.6°C.  $T_s$  remained close to 0°C  
320 between DOY 146 and 152, which was coincident with heavy snowfall in Khumbu valley and  
321 the ensuing persistence of a  $\sim 0.4$  m snow layer on the glacier surface. Following DOY 152,

322 the snow cover melted, with the rate and timing of the return to  $T_s > 5^\circ\text{C}$  at each site highly  
323 varied. Subsequently, from DOY 156 onwards, all  $T_s$  timeseries exhibited a broadly similar  
324 quasi-parallel pattern of change until the end of the observation period.  $T_s$  appeared to follow  
325 a generally rising trend from DOY 156–166, and then a seasonal decrease of approximately  
326  $-0.1^\circ\text{C d}^{-1}$  until DOY 210. However, these seasonal rising and falling trends were  
327 superimposed with 5 to 8 day cycles in  $T_s$ , potentially reflecting synoptic variations, and  
328 intermittent, shorter (1–3 day) periods with lowered  $T_s$ . At all 16 sites,  $T_s$  exhibited marked  
329 diurnal variation (Figure 3b). Zero amplitudes persisted during the brief period of snow cover  
330 (DOY 147–151), the highest daily amplitudes of up to  $47^\circ\text{C}$  were found prior to DOY 170, and  
331 progressively declining amplitudes (reducing to a mean of  $15^\circ\text{C}$ ) characterised the period  
332 following DOY 170. Over the monsoon season, the contrasts in  $T_s$  between the sites were  
333 greatest at the start of our observations and between DOY 153 and 170, and declined  
334 thereafter, with the least difference between sites seen during the short periods of reduced  
335  $T_s$ .

336

#### 337 4.2. Meteorology

338 Mean daily on- and off-glacier air temperature ( $T_{aG}$  and  $T_{aP}$ ) followed a similar, but subdued,  
339 pattern to the  $T_s$  data (Figure 3a). Air temperature increases of the order of  $3^\circ\text{C}$  occurred  
340 over the entire study period in both  $T_{aP}$  and  $T_{aG}$ . The seasonal pattern in  $T_{aG}$  and  $T_{aP}$  was  
341 overlain by a subtle synoptic periodicity with a 5–8 day recurrence. The diurnal amplitudes  
342 seen in the  $T_a$  series were less than those observed for  $T_s$ . Daily variation in amplitude ranged  
343 from  $2.1$  to  $10.4^\circ\text{C}$  for  $T_{aP}$ , and from  $5.4$  to  $20.2^\circ\text{C}$  for  $T_{aG}$ . In both  $T_a$  records, diurnal amplitude  
344 was greatest during the period of snow cover, and showed a general reduction over the  
345 course of the observation period albeit punctuated by short (1–3 day) variability. Off-glacier

346  $T_{aP}$  was consistently lower than on-glacier  $T_{aG}$  by a mean difference of 5°C between DOY  
347 145 and 190, and 3°C from DOY 190 onwards.

348

349 Mean daily  $SW_{in}$  displayed an overall seasonal decrease from 405 W m<sup>2</sup> to ~217 W m<sup>2</sup> over  
350 the observation period, with short-term (<5 days) variability of the order of 200 W m<sup>2</sup> over the  
351 study period (Figure 3c). Between DOY 148 and 149,  $SW_{in}$  was lowest at 123 W m<sup>2</sup>, which  
352 corresponded to snowfall and a coincident decrease in  $T_s$  to 0°C. In contrast, mean daily  $LW_{in}$   
353 increased from 253 W m<sup>2</sup> to 320 W m<sup>2</sup> from DOY 143 to 210. Total net incoming radiation  
354 ( $NR_{in}$ ) was primarily influenced by the pattern of  $SW_{in}$ . All three series of radiative energy  
355 displayed synoptic (3-8 days) and short-term (1-3 day) variability. Relative humidity displayed  
356 a seasonally increasing trend from around 60% on DOY 143 to around 95% by the end of  
357 the observation period; this seasonal change was superimposed with shorter-term variability  
358 including a brief increase in relative humidity (to >80%) between DOY 146 and 150, aligned  
359 with the snowfall and snow cover event (Figure 3c). During the snowfall event, total daily  
360 precipitation peaked on DOY 150 at 34 mm, but subsequently remained low until DOY 170  
361 and then, as the monsoon progressed further, the magnitude and frequency of precipitation  
362 events increased (Figure 3d). Increases in total daily precipitation were typically concurrent  
363 with decreased  $SW_{in}$  and increased  $LW_{in}$  and relative humidity.

364

## 365 **5. Timeseries Analyses**

366 A Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test showed that none of the temperature timeseries ( $T_s$  or  
367  $T_a$ ) were normally distributed at 95% confidence level. Therefore, non-parametric analyses  
368 were required to interrogate these data further.

369



## 370 5.1. Comparison of time series

371 The overall average of mean and standard deviation of  $T_s$  for all timeseries was  $9.2 \pm 1.3^\circ\text{C}$ ,  
372 or  $9.6 \pm 1.2^\circ\text{C}$  if the data considered less representative of  $T_s$  were excluded. Analytical tests  
373 indicated that the mean  $T_s$  timeseries was highly correlated with both  $T_{aP}$  (Spearman's  $r =$   
374  $0.85$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ) and  $T_{aG}$  ( $r = 0.78$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ) but was significantly higher than both the two  $T_a$   
375 timeseries.

376

377 The broad similarity in the individual  $T_s$  timeseries (Figure 3a,b; Figure 4) was highlighted by  
378 strong and significant correlation coefficients for the majority of site pairs (Table 2). The  
379 generally high correlation ( $r \geq 0.88$ ) between timeseries indicated that all sites exhibited a  
380 broadly similar general pattern in both periodicity and seasonal trend. However, further  
381 comparison using a Kruskal-Wallis test (which tests whether samples originate from the same  
382 distribution) showed the  $T_s$  populations were significantly different ( $\chi^2 = 308.9$ , or  $\chi^2 = 201.1$   
383 excluding the timeseries that were less representative of  $T_s$ , both  $p \ll 0.05$ ). To explore the  
384 underlying nature and causes for these differences, we (i) examined the temporal variability  
385 in the  $T_s$  series, (ii) conducted a more detailed assessment of the spatial differences between  
386 timeseries, and (iii) explored any associations between  $T_s$  and the local meteorological and  
387 site-specific data. Each of these three sets of analyses are detailed in the following sections.

388

## 389 5.2. Temporal variability in near-surface debris temperature

390 The similarity in the daily  $T_s$  means and their seasonal pattern, with the exception of the  
391 period of snowfall (DOY 146–152), was underlain by a marked reduction in the daily  
392 amplitude of variability in  $T_s$  at all sites over the study period (Figure 3b). To test this  
393 observation further, regression analysis was employed, with omission of data from the

394 snowfall period. Sites 1, 4, 7, 10, 12 and 16 showed a significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) decrease in daily  
395 mean  $T_s$  over the observation period, while all other sites showed no such temporal trend  
396 (Table 3). However, all sites showed a statistically significant increase in daily minimum  $T_s$   
397 during the monsoon season, averaging  $0.08 \text{ }^\circ\text{C d}^{-1}$ ; and with the exception of Site 13, all sites  
398 also showed a significant decrease in daily maximum temperature (mean  $-0.19 \text{ }^\circ\text{C d}^{-1}$ ). The  
399 concomitant increase in minimum and decreasing maximum  $T_s$  between timeseries was  
400 reinforced by the significant decreasing trend in daily amplitude by a mean of  $-0.26 \text{ }^\circ\text{C d}^{-1}$   
401 over the monsoon period at all 16 sites (Table 3). These changes were in contrast to air  
402 temperature trends, where daily minimum and mean  $T_{aG}$  increased by  $0.1 \text{ }^\circ\text{C d}^{-1}$  and  $0.04 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$   
403  $\text{d}^{-1}$ . No significant trend in mean daily maximum  $T_{aG}$ , was present, although daily amplitudes  
404 decreased by  $-0.1 \text{ }^\circ\text{C d}^{-1}$ .

405

406 To further examine these seasonal trends in  $T_s$  amplitude, and to ascertain if there was  
407 systematic change in the diurnal pattern of  $T_s$  fluctuation, we adopted the approach  
408 commonly used to analyse synoptic climatology (e.g. Brazel et al., 1992; Davis and Kalkstein,  
409 1990), hydrological timeseries (e.g. Hannah et al., 2000; Swift et al., 2005, Irvine-Fynn et al.,  
410 2005) and ground surface temperature (e.g. Lundquist and Cayan, 2007). These previous  
411 published analyses used Principal Components Analysis (PCA) to classify patterns of change  
412 or modes of variation in diurnally fluctuating timeseries. Here, rather than analyse all 16  $T_s$   
413 timeseries, and given the high correlation between all sites (excluding timeseries less  
414 representative of  $T_s$ ) (Table 2), a 'representative' timeseries from the data set was used. The  
415 most representative  $T_s$  timeseries was identified using a Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency coefficient  
416 (E) typically used to determine the fit of modelled to observed data (e.g. Krause et al., 2005;  
417 Legates and McCabe, 1999). E was calculated for each  $T_s$  pair and then summed and  
418 averaged for each individual site (Table 2). The timeseries with the highest similarity to all

419 other  $T_s$  series was from Site 14 ( $\Sigma E = 12.4$ , mean  $E = 0.83$ ), and was therefore considered  
420 representative.

421

422 Debris temperature data from Site 14 were divided into individual diurnal periods of 24  
423 measurements commencing at midnight (00:00). Diurnal periods in which  $T_s$  was consistently  
424  $0^\circ\text{C}$  (DOY 146 to 152) due to lying snow cover were omitted from the analysis. The resultant  
425 61 diurnal data series were reduced and simplified into a number of 'modes' of variation, or  
426 principal components (PCs), using PCA without rotation. The first two PCs provided the  
427 primary modes of diurnal variation in  $T_s$  (Figure 5a). PC1 accounted for 81.3% of the variance  
428 and PC2 for 8.8%. The remaining PCs were discounted as 'noise' because they represented  
429 less than 10% of the total variance in the data set (e.g. Hannah, 2000; Irvine-Fynn et al.,  
430 2005). Although absolute loadings were relatively weak ( $<0.5$ ) for both PCs, a total of 30 days  
431 were described best by PC1 and 19 days associated with PC2. A total of 11 days were very  
432 weakly related to either PC1 or PC2 (absolute loadings of  $< 0.09$ ), and were considered to  
433 have an undefined diurnal  $T_s$  cycle (Figure 5b,c). Of note were the 11 days described by  
434 negative loadings on PC2, which contrasted to the consistently positive loadings for PC1,  
435 and were suggestive of lagged relationships between the mode of variation and true diurnal  
436  $T_s$  pattern. Days associated with PC1 predominantly occurred during the former half of the  
437 observation period (76% before DOY 176), whilst 78% of days associated with PC2 and 90%  
438 of days with an undefined cycle both occurred following DOY 176 (Figure 5c).

439

440 The contrast between the days assigned to the two main PC and the undefined diurnal cycles  
441 were illustrated through a comparison of descriptive statistics (Table 4). The mean diurnal  $T_s$   
442 was greatest for those days defined by PC1 at  $10.9^\circ\text{C}$ , whilst the mean maximum

443 temperature and diurnal amplitude was highest compared to days with an undefined  $T_s$   
444 variation and those associated with PC2. Days that were best described by PC2 exhibited  
445 relatively low mean daily amplitude, and mean and maximum diurnal temperatures. The 11  
446 days that were less well defined by PCs had lowest mean, maximum and amplitude in  $T_s$ .  
447 Days described by PC1 were characterised by a lower mean minimum  $T_s$  (0.9°C) while all  
448 other days experienced similar minimum values of  $T_s$ . The mean time at which  $T_s$  peaked for  
449 each group of days associated with the PCs varied by less than one hour (Table 4).

450

451 Subtle variation in diurnal patterns was present in the  $T_s$  timeseries. There was a clear  
452 progressive shift during the monsoon season towards  $T_s$  exhibiting a lower daily mean,  
453 maximum and amplitude, but with a seasonal increase in the minimum  $T_s$ . The combination  
454 of E and PCA analyses explored this further, showing that all sites displayed a regular diurnal  
455 pattern of  $T_s$  during the former part of the monsoon, while there was a systematic shift to  
456 more variable and delayed diurnal cycles in the latter half of the observation period. These  
457 shifts in magnitude of  $T_s$  were aligned with the observed seasonal changes in meteorological  
458 conditions, specifically with increased precipitation, relative humidity and  $LW_{in}$  from around  
459 DOY 180.

460

### 461 5.3. Spatial variability in debris surface temperature

462 With evidence of spatial variability between sites most clearly evidenced by the differences  
463 in diurnal amplitude between the  $T_s$  timeseries, further exploration of the spatial contrasts  
464 was undertaken. Following the identification of significant difference by a Kruskal-Wallis  
465 test, a signed rank pairwise Wilcoxon test provided further detail on spatial variations by  
466 comparing pairs of timeseries populations. The representative series from Site 14 was the

467 most similar to all other timeseries, being statistically dissimilar to only Sites 1, 3, 4 and 16  
468 (Table 2). Removal of the timeseries considered as less representative of  $T_s$  made relatively  
469 minimal difference to the analysis, suggesting that even the outlying data (Sites 2, 9, 11, 13)  
470 were broadly similar to the remaining  $T_s$  despite the uncertainty arising from varying depth of  
471 sensors. A further set of Wilcoxon tests were undertaken on the positively skewed distribution  
472 series of maximum, minimum and mean diurnal amplitude of  $T_s$ . The results of the site  
473 comparison data showed 86% and 89% of site pairs had significantly different diurnal  
474 amplitudes and maximum  $T_s$  from one another ( $p < 0.05$ ), whilst 39% of the site pairs  
475 displayed significantly different minimum  $T_s$  ( $p < 0.05$ ).

476

477 Daily mean minimum  $T_s$  for all timeseries varied by  $-1^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $-4^{\circ}\text{C}$  between sites, whilst daily  
478 mean maximum  $T_s$  varied between  $10^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $17^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Whilst non-parametric correlation  
479 coefficients ( $r$ ) suggested minimal variability between sites with 86% of correlations  
480 displaying  $r \geq 0.90$  (Table 2), such correlations only reveal similarity in timeseries patterns  
481 rather than magnitude (Borradaile, 2013). Consequently, notwithstanding the sensitivity of  
482 the efficiency criterion (Krause et al., 2005),  $E$  was used to compare the strength of each  
483 relationship with regards to similarity in both value and pattern for the  $T_s$  timeseries (Table  
484 2). The  $E$  values displayed high variability and ranged from  $-0.42$  (Sites 5 and 9) to  $0.96$   
485 (Sites 7 and 12). The timeseries less representative of  $T_s$  displayed predominantly lower  $E$   
486 values, particularly in their relationships with each other. Spatial variability between the sites  
487 appeared relatively small with 84% of  $E$  values  $\geq 0.75$ , suggesting a good similarity in pattern  
488 and magnitude between pairs of  $T_s$  timeseries. For sites located in close proximity to one  
489 another (Sites 7–13, omitting those that were less representative of  $T_s$ ) all the site pairs  
490 displayed  $r \geq 0.87$  and 80% of these site pairs displayed an  $E$  value  $\geq 0.81$ . However, the  
491 contrast in  $E$  between timeseries suggests subtle spatial variability in  $T_s$  did exist between

492 study sites. The correlations between  $T_s$  remained high ( $>0.87$ ) even when they were  
493 detrended to remove diurnal cycles (following Kristoufek, 2014). This further shows that  $T_s$   
494 exhibited similar short-term and seasonal variations despite varying sensor locations.

495

496 Cross-correlation between the detrended timeseries was used to identify any lag between  $T_s$   
497 (Table 5). Lag times were present for Sites 1 and 2 and a number of other different sites, and  
498 with both Sites 8 and 15 for a number of sites. All sites lagged the timeseries at Site 8 by 1  
499 or 2 hours, whilst Site 15 displayed a 1-hour lag with 7 sites. Site 8 and 15 were located under  
500 0.010 m and 0.042 m of debris, neither of which are sites where mean clast size, and  
501 therefore burial depth, were greatest, and neither sites had been identified as less  
502 representative of  $T_s$  or statistically dissimilar. With regards to the site characteristics, Site 8  
503 was placed in the most northerly aspect and lowest elevation of all iButton locations, whilst  
504 Site 15 had one of the highest elevations and roughness metrics (Table 2). Despite a broad  
505 statistical similarity in the  $T_s$  data, there were a number of contrasts in the magnitude,  
506 distribution and timing between timeseries. The analysis of the  $T_s$  data suggested subtle  
507 spatial variability in  $T_s$  was primarily manifested in variability in diurnal  $T_s$  amplitude, which  
508 was principally controlled by variability in maximum  $T_s$  between sites.

509

#### 510 5.4. Controls on temporal and spatial variability in near-surface debris temperature

511 To investigate whether meteorological conditions and site characteristics were associated  
512 with controlling  $T_s$ , and particularly maximum  $T_s$ , assessment of the influence of  
513 meteorological drives and site-specific traits was undertaken using multivariate analysis  
514 techniques.

515

#### 516 5.4.1. Controls on temporal variability in near-surface debris temperature

517 Controls on temporal variability in  $T_s$  over the monsoon season were investigated for all  
518 hourly timeseries, omitting the period of sustained  $0^\circ\text{C}$  in  $T_s$  in which the debris surface was  
519 snow covered. Analysis was undertaken using Stepwise Multilinear Regression (SMR), with  
520 meteorological time series as predictor variables, to determine the control and combined  
521 control of meteorological variables on  $T_s$ . SMR iteratively adds and removes variables  
522 included in the model based on their statistical significance in regression (Draper et al., 1998),  
523 therefore enabling the relative importance of meteorological variables to be identified. This  
524 method is superior to simply regressing individual variables against  $T_s$  as it can give insight  
525 into the extent to which different combinations of meteorological variables control  $T_s$ .  
526 Assessment of the meteorological data demonstrated none of the timeseries were normally  
527 distributed, as for all  $T_s$  and  $T_a$  data. Consequently, to transform the  $T_s$  and meteorological  
528 variables to more approximately normal distributions, a simple natural logarithmic conversion  
529 was applied. The multivariate models described  $*T_s$  (where  $*$  reflects a log-transform) as a  
530 function of  $*SW_{in}$ ,  $*LW_{in}$ ,  $*T_{aG}$ ,  $*RH$  (relative humidity) and  $*P$  (precipitation). The output from  
531 the primary SMR is detailed in Table 6 highlighting the relative strength of the relationships  
532 between  $T_s$  and each of the meteorological variables between sites.  $*T_{aG}$  was ranked as the  
533 most influential predictor of  $*T_s$  for all sites, with coefficients of determination between  $R^2 =$   
534  $0.44$  and  $R^2 = 0.67$ . The addition of  $*SW_{in}$ ,  $*LW_{in}$ ,  $*RH$  and  $*P$  resulted in only minimal  
535 incremental increases in the strength of the correlation between predictor variables and  $*T_s$ ,  
536 in all cases resulting in an increase in  $R^2$  of  $\leq 0.1$ . In all cases,  $*RH$  was only the third or fourth  
537 most significant predictor variable.  $*P$  was not significant in terms of contributing to improving  
538 prediction of  $*T_s$  for any site, and was therefore omitted from the model and not included in  
539 the first set of results (SMR1) in Table 6. Typically, the sites with the weakest SMR model

540 were those classed as less representative of  $T_s$ , although Site 16 had similarly low results  
541 relative to all sites.

542

543 One of the potential weaknesses in the first pass SMR models is the collinearity between  
544 variables, particularly  $SW_{in}$  and  $T_a$ , for which  $r = 0.84$  ( $p \ll 0.05$ ). There is typically a positive  
545 relationship between incident solar radiation and  $T_a$ , due to the direct influence  $SW_{in}$  has on  
546  $T_s$  (Hock, 2003), and the strong covariant relationship present between  $T_s$  and  $T_a$  (Foster et  
547 al., 2012; Shaw et al., 2016). Consequently, the SMR analyses were re-run with  $*T_{aG}$   
548 removed from the model to explore whether additional variables influence  $T_s$  independent of  
549  $T_{aG}$  (Table 6: SMR 2). Results highlighted that, in the absence of  $T_{aG}$ , all models exhibited  
550  $*SW_{in}$  as the dominant predictor for  $T_s$ , but with coefficients of determination much reduced  
551 ( $0.17 \leq R^2 \leq 0.40$ ). Inclusion of the other meteorological variables, while increasing the  
552 models' performance (with  $R^2$  increasing to  $\leq 0.49$ ) maintained less than 50% efficacy in  
553 predicting  $T_s$  (Table 6). Colinearity between  $P$  and  $RH$ , or between  $LW_{in}$  and  $RH$  may also be  
554 present but due to the minimal influence of these predictor variables on the SMR results  
555 identifying whether such colinearity existed here would be challenging, and so has not been  
556 considered further. Conflating the radiation terms ( $SW_{in}$  and  $LW_{in}$ ) into 'net incident radiation'  
557 ( $NR_{in}$ ) and continuing the omission of  $T_{aG}$  in a third set of SMR analyses (SMR 3) yielded  
558 similar results to SMR 2, with  $*NR_{in}$  being the dominant predictor variable; moreover, opting  
559 for inclusion of 'rate of change in  $T_{aG}$ ' ( $dT_a$ ) for the preceding hour, and cumulative radiation  
560 variables ( $\sum SW_{in}$  and  $\sum LW_{in}$ ) and 'time since precipitation' ( $tP$ ) as a potential drivers for  $T_s$  in  
561 SMR 3 showed similarly incremental improvements but only to  $R^2 = 0.51$ . In all cases in SMR  
562 3,  $dT_a$  was the second most significant predictor variable. A final SMR model (SMR 4)  
563 excluded all radiation terms and utilised  $*RH$ ,  $*P$  and  $tP$ . Despite the close association



564 between incident radiation and  $T_a$ , the multivariate models using  $SW_{in}$ ,  $LW_{in}$  and  $NR_{in}$  were  
565 less effective in describing  $T_s$  change over the monsoon season.

566

567 To gain a deeper understanding of the extent to which  $T_s$  and  $T_{aG}$  were related, and whether  
568 the two parameters have a varying temporal relationship,  $T_s$  and  $T_{aG}$  was also investigated  
569 for daytime (06:00–17:00) and night-time (18:00–05:00) periods separately. A number of  
570 previous studies have investigated the seasonal and diurnal variability of  $T_{aG}$  (e.g. Brock et  
571 al., 2010; Steiner and Pellicciotti, 2015), and in some cases its relationship to  $T_s$  (e.g. Fujita  
572 and Sakai, 2000). As elsewhere, days when  $T_s$  was consistently 0°C (DOY 145–153) were  
573 excluded from the correlation analysis. The relationship between  $T_s$  and  $T_{aG}$  varied across  
574 the study period for both day and night (Figure 6). The relationship between  $T_s$  and  $T_{aG}$  was  
575 predominantly stronger at night ( $r = 0.86$ ) than in the day ( $r = 0.75$ ). Daytime  $T_s$ - $T_{aG}$   
576 correlations varied between  $r = -0.01$  (DOY 190) and  $r = 0.97$ , whilst night-time correlations  
577 varied between  $r = 0.48$  (DOY 188) and  $r = 0.99$  (DOY 199). The seasonal and diurnal  
578 variation in the relationship between  $T_s$  and  $T_{aG}$  therefore suggests that  $T_{aG}$  was the dominant  
579 driver of  $T_s$  but that the strength of this relationship varied across a diurnal period and  
580 seasonally, due to diurnal and seasonal variation in additional incident or outgoing energy  
581 fluxes that also influence  $T_s$ .

582

#### 583 5.4.2. Controls on spatial variability in near-surface debris temperature

584 To determine whether statistically significant relationships between site characteristics and  
585 between timeseries existed, as suggested by contrasting diurnal amplitudes and the lags  
586 between  $T_s$  timeseries, a two-step process of analysis was undertaken. Initially, stepwise  
587 generalised linear models (SGLMs) were explored to investigate possible controls on

588 variability in  $T_s$ . SGLMs were undertaken rather than SMR due to the small sample size, and  
589 therefore the need to relax the assumptions of normal distribution of each timeseries. The  
590 SGLMs examined debris temperature metrics that included means for daily mean  $T_s$ ,  
591 maximum  $T_s$ , minimum  $T_s$  and the daily mean amplitude of  $T_s$  for each site as the dependent  
592 variables. Site characteristics were used as predictor variables, including elevation, slope,  
593 aspect, mean clast size, lithology, terrain curvature and terrain roughness. A simple linear  
594 model was used, and potential interactions between site characteristics were not included.  
595 The less-representative timeseries (1, 2, 9, 11, 13) were omitted from the SGLMs, and 5%  
596 significance levels were used to eliminate weaker predictors. Secondly, following  
597 identification of the possible important predictor variables on influencing  $T_s$  identified by the  
598 SGLM, linear bivariate regression (LBR) analysis was undertaken between  $T_s$  variables and  
599 the debris variables identified as important in the SGLMs. Whilst the SGLM results give an  
600 insight into the combinations of debris characteristics that control the temperature variables,  
601 the LBR analysis enable the relationship between the predictor and  $T_s$  variables to be  
602 analysed in isolation.

603

604 Results of the SGLMs are given in Table 7, which includes variables that were identified as  
605 statistically significant in prediction of  $T_s$ . None of the models were improved through inclusion  
606 of site curvature or roughness, which may be due to the resolution of the DEM causing  
607 specific site metrics to be less than exact. The combination of clast size, lithology and slope  
608 played significant roles in the SGLMs, with coefficients of determination of around 0.9 for  
609 mean, maximum and amplitude  $T_s$ . Aspect was only considered important for predictions of  
610 minimum  $T_s$ , in which elevation was also critical. The LBR analysis results (Table 8) show  
611 that the relationship between  $T_s$  variables and debris characteristics identified as influential

612 in the SGLMs were not statistically significant in isolation. The exception was minimum  $T_s$   
613 and elevation, which had an  $R^2$  of 0.44 ( $p = 0.02$ ).

614

615 Consequently, although clast size, lithology and slope are influential to  $T_s$  metrics in  
616 conjunction with one another, they have little influence on  $T_s$  independently. Specifically,  
617 debris size and lithology are considered to impact on the absorption and transfer of solar  
618 radiation through a debris layer through their influence on albedo, porosity and moisture  
619 content, while slope is a critical factor influencing solar radiation receipt. The southerly aspect  
620 of the majority of the sites reported here may undermine identification of the merit in  
621 describing  $T_s$  metrics using aspect. Additionally, the lack of prediction of minimum  $T_s$  by the  
622 debris variables except for elevation suggests that minimum  $T_s$  may be independent of the  
623 majority of variables considered, but may be most appropriate for identification using a lapse  
624 rate. While the sample set was relatively small, the SGLMs illustrated the potential for  
625 physical site characteristics to modulate  $T_s$ , the importance of considering a suite of debris  
626 characteristics and their combined influence in control of  $T_s$ .

627

## 628 **6. Discussion**

629 The timeseries analyses detailed above identified a number of key aspects in the variability  
630 in  $T_s$  for thick (>1 m) debris on the debris-covered ablation area of Khumbu Glacier. A  
631 seasonal trend of decreasing maximum and mean  $T_s$  was identified at the majority of sites,  
632 while an increase in minimum  $T_s$  was in contrast to seasonal changes in  $T_a$ . A systematic  
633 shift from a dominant smooth diurnal cycle in  $T_s$  early in the monsoon season to a lagged  
634 cycle as the monsoon progressed occurred, alongside which meteorological conditions  
635 became more varied. In terms of spatial contrasts, there was evidence of subtle differences

636 between sites, illustrated by disparities in how closely the  $T_s$  timeseries paralleled each other,  
637 and short term ( $\leq 2$ hr) lags in  $T_s$  between sites. Exploring these differences through  
638 consideration of meteorological drivers and potential site characteristic controls enabled  
639 identification of a dominant association between  $T_a$  and  $T_s$  and the influential role of clast  
640 size, lithology and slope on  $T_s$  metrics at each site. Here, we discuss the processes that may  
641 underlie the observed variability in  $T_s$  on a debris-covered glacier.

642

### 643 6.1. Temporal variability in near-surface debris temperature

644 The near-surface debris temperature ( $T_s$ ) time series were notably perturbed between DOY  
645 145 and 153, during which a period of sustained  $0^\circ\text{C}$  occurred following an observed major  
646 snowfall event. Following the period of  $0^\circ\text{C}$ , short-term variability on timescales of around 3–  
647 8 days and a seasonal trend in decreasing maximum  $T_s$  were observed in all  $T_s$  timeseries.  
648 The timing of short-term variability in  $T_s$  and  $SW_{in}$ ,  $LW_{in}$ , RH and precipitation was  
649 simultaneous, whilst the seasonal decrease in maximum  $T_s$  occurred alongside a trend of  
650 decreasing  $SW_{in}$ , increasing  $T_a$ ,  $LW_{in}$  and RH, and increased frequency of precipitation  
651 (Figure 3). The coincidence of the seasonal trends in meteorological variables provide a  
652 strong indication of increased cloudiness over the study period (Mölg et al., 2009; Sicart et  
653 al., 2006; Van Den Broeke et al., 2006).

654

655 Increasing cloud cover results in a decreasing amount of  $SW_{in}$  reaching the debris surface,  
656 causing maximum  $T_s$  to decrease, which occurs in all timeseries presented here, and a delay  
657 in the time at which maximum  $T_s$  is achieved as the incoming energy flux to the debris surface  
658 is reduced and the debris therefore takes longer to heat up. Consequently, such an increase  
659 in cloudiness over the study period would have resulted in the decrease in the diurnal

660 amplitude of  $T_s$ , and a delay in the timing of peak diurnal  $T_s$ , both of which are observed in  
661 changing modes of variation in  $T_s$  identified in the PCA (Figure 4). An additional control on  
662 decreasing  $SW_{in}$  would be that following midsummer (DOY 172) regional  $SW_{in}$  and solar  
663 angle would decrease, reducing the intensity and duration of  $SW_{in}$  a debris surface would  
664 receive. However, the decrease in  $SW_{in}$  was initiated before DOY 172, suggesting this trend  
665 was primarily dependent on increasing cloud cover.

666

667 A seasonal increase in cloud cover, relative humidity and the frequency of precipitation would  
668 also increase the moisture content of the debris layer. Moisture content of the debris layer  
669 has the potential to affect  $T_s$  considerably (Collier et al. 2014), but is challenging to quantify  
670 and not reported here. The presence of moisture in a debris layer affects its effective thermal  
671 conductivity and therefore the energy needed to increase bulk temperature. An increased  
672 amount of energy would therefore be needed to heat water-filled pores to the same  
673 temperature as air-filled pores within the debris layer (Collier et al., 2014; Evatt et al., 2015).  
674 Consequently, as incoming energy to the debris surface decreased during the monsoon  
675 season, and the amount of energy needed to maintain debris layer temperature would  
676 increase due to presence of moisture- rather than air-filled pores, and mean  $T_s$  would  
677 decrease. Additionally, an increasingly moist debris layer would have decreased  $T_s$  due to  
678 enhanced latent heat exchange and subsequent loss of heat through evaporation in the  
679 debris surface layer (Cuffey and Paterson, 2010; Takeuchi et al., 2000). These trends in  $T_s$   
680 are observed in the timeseries presented here, and alongside the precipitation timeseries,  
681 suggest debris moisture content is considered to have been a factor in controlling  $T_s$ .  
682 However, direct collection of data for moisture content is needed to confirm the link between  
683  $T_s$  and debris moisture content.

684

685 Whilst the 1–3 day cycles are considered to be the passing of localised weather systems in  
686 the Khumbu valley, the 5–8 day cyclic perturbations of  $T_s$  were synchronous with periods of  
687 markedly lower  $SW_{in}$ , higher  $LW_{in}$  and relative humidity, and higher  $P$ . These perturbations  
688 suggest the intensity of cloud cover was also temporally variable, resulting in periods of  $T_s$   
689 with decreased diurnal amplitude and lower maximum  $T_s$ . The perturbations of  $T_s$  were  
690 increasingly frequent in the latter half of the study period, evidenced by the majority of days  
691 loaded to PC2 present in this period. These perturbations suggest that alongside seasonal  
692 increase in cloud cover due to progression of the monsoon, more localised weather patterns  
693 still contribute to variability in meteorological parameters that also affect  $T_s$ .

694

## 695 6.2. Spatial variability in near-surface debris temperature

696 Despite the period of asynchronous snow melt and subsequent spatial variation in  $T_s$  between  
697 sites for the period DOY 145–153, for the majority of the study period all  $T_s$  data displayed  
698 high similarity, evidenced in the  $r$  and  $E$  values for the raw data and the  $r$  values for the  
699 detrended timeseries.  $E$  values suggested subtle variability did exist between sites, which  
700 was primarily manifested in the amplitude and magnitude of temperature recorded at each  
701 site rather than the pattern of  $T_s$ .

702

703 Variability in sensor depth may have caused some variability in  $E$  between site pairs.  
704 Although sensor depth variability was accounted for using the temperature gradient through  
705 a debris layer, which was calculated by Nicholson and Benn (2006), their gradients were a  
706 mean of a day (24-hour) period. As mentioned previously, applying a daily gradient to  
707 determine uncertainty in  $T_s$  due to depth does not reflect the diurnal variability of temperature  
708 with depth, which would affect the magnitude and pattern of  $T_s$  recorded between sites

709 (Nicholson and Benn, 2006). However, after the sites identified as less representative of  $T_s$   
710 were omitted, sensor depth varied by  $<0.03$  m, which would have produced a maximum  
711 uncertainty of  $0.44^\circ\text{C}$  between sites (excluding less representative sites) even for the  
712 steepest gradients previously identified (at 13:00 by Nicholson and Benn, 2006). Variability  
713 of  $T_s$  between sites reached up to  $10^\circ\text{C}$  throughout the study period, which exceeds  
714 discrepancies exclusively due to sensor depth and so instead suggests other drivers of  
715 spatial variability in  $T_s$  between sites.

716

### 717 6.3. Controls on variability in near-surface debris temperature

718 Coincident trends in  $T_s$  and meteorological variables suggest a high level of interconnection  
719 between meteorological variables and  $T_s$ .  $T_{aG}$  explained the majority of the relationship  
720 identified between meteorological variables and  $T_s$  through SMR for all sites (e.g. Petersen  
721 et al., 2013), while the other meteorological variables identified to be statistically significant  
722 in the SMR1 model ( $SW_{in}$ ,  $LW_{in}$  and RH) were less effective as predictors (Table 6). Omission  
723 of  $T_{aG}$  in SMR models identified  $SW_{in}$ ,  $LW_{in}$  and RH as contributory drivers of  $T_s$ , and thus  
724 reiterates the complexity of the energy balance at a debris-covered surface where all of  
725 meteorological parameters play some role in controlling  $T_s$ . However, within the SMR models,  
726 the strongest relationship between  $T_{aG}$  and  $T_s$  was  $R^2 = 0.67$ , and inclusion of additional  
727 variables only improved model performance to a maximum  $R^2$  of 0.68 (Table 6), suggesting  
728  $T_{aG}$  is the most important driver of  $T_s$ , and that temperature-index melt models that calculate  
729  $T_s$  from  $T_{aG}$  will account for at least two thirds of temporal variability in energy input to the  
730 debris surface.

731

732 Identifying a hierarchy of potential controls on temporal variations in  $T_s$  is challenging using  
733 the data collected here due to a lack of information on moisture content and thermal  
734 conductivity of the debris layer. Consequently, despite the minimal influence of additional  
735 meteorological variables to  $T_{aG}$  in the relationship with  $T_s$ , the occurrence of this relationship  
736 at all suggests that to increase the accuracy of temperature-index melt models they should  
737 at least also include  $SW_{in}$  (e.g. Carenzo et al., 2016) or  $NR_{in}$ , as these variables were  
738 identified to account for around a third of the relationship between  $T_s$  and the meteorological  
739 variables independently of  $T_{aG}$  (mean  $R^2 = 0.28$  and mean  $R^2 = 0.32$ , respectively).

740

741 Due to the covariate relationship between  $T_{aG}$  and  $T_s$  a high correlation between the two does  
742 not conclusively identify  $T_{aG}$  as the primary driver of  $T_s$ , but does suggest that temperature-  
743 index melt models based on the relationship between  $T_{aG}$  and  $T_s$  are appropriate for areas of  
744 debris-covered glaciers where the debris layer is thicker than 1 m. A similar study to this  
745 should be undertaken on debris <1 m to identify whether the same exists for thin debris  
746 layers. Unravelling the relationship between  $T_{aG}$  and  $T_s$  is complex, as the two variables are  
747 interdependent from one another (Shaw et al., 2016), particularly when  $T_a$  is collected below  
748 the standard height of 2 m above the glacier surface in the surface boundary layer (e.g. Reid  
749 et al., 2012; Wagnon et al., 1999). Critically, here,  $T_{aP}$  and  $T_{aG}$  were highly correlated ( $r =$   
750  $0.72$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ), but accounting for the elevation difference using a lapse rate of  $-0.0046^\circ\text{C}$   
751  $\text{m}^{-1}$  appropriate for the monsoon season on Khumbu Glacier (Shea et al., 2015) and a  
752 standard lapse rate of  $-0.0065^\circ\text{C m}^{-1}$ , exhibited mean residuals between  $T_{aP}$  and  $T_{aG}$  of  $-$   
753  $1.9^\circ\text{C}$  and  $-1.3^\circ\text{C}$ , evidencing the observation that  $T_{aG}$  was consistently significantly higher  
754 than  $T_{aP}$ . This on-/off- glacier contrast is due to heat loss from the thick supraglacial debris  
755 layer to the near-surface atmosphere through turbulent heat exchange (Takeuchi et al.,  
756 2000). Our results mirror those of Steiner and Pelliccioti (2015) where  $T_{aP}$  from equivalent



757 elevations was consistently lower than  $T_{aG}$  over a debris-covered surface, highlighting the  
758 need to use off-glacier temperature records with caution when driving numerical models of  
759 glacier ablation, and wherever possible use on-glacier measurements.

760

761 The influence of specific meteorological controls of  $T_s$  was also spatially variable (Table 6).  
762 Although a difference in elevation between the  $T_s$  sensors and the  $T_a$  sensor existed,  
763 variability in the relationship between  $T_{aG}$  and  $T_s$  is predominately attributed to spatial  
764 variability between the sites at which  $T_s$  was recorded. The maximum elevation variation  
765 between  $T_s$  and  $T_{aG}$  sensors was 47 m, which, using the range of lapse rates described  
766 above, would result in variations in  $T_{aG}$  of up to  $0.3^{\circ}\text{C}$  across the study site, which is below  
767 the  $T_{aG}$  sensor uncertainty. Differences between  $T_a$  and  $T_s$  were greater than  $0.3^{\circ}\text{C}$  for all  
768 sites. The spatial variability in  $T_s$  is therefore attributed to variation in a combination of slope,  
769 lithology and clast size between sites, variables found to be important for variability in  
770 maximum  $T_s$  between sites, which would result in varying effective thermal conductivity  
771 between sites.

772

773 The results of the SGLM analysis support previous work on debris-free and debris-covered  
774 glaciers, and in permafrost environments, where topographic controls including aspect, slope  
775 (e.g. Gao et al., 2017; Gubler et al., 2011; Guglielmin et al., 2012; Hock and Holmgren, 1996;  
776 Strasser et al., 2004), albedo and surface roughness (considered a factor due to the  
777 importance of clast size; e.g. Brock et al., 2000; Mölg and Hardy, 2004) were found to  
778 influence spatial variability in the incoming energy flux to the ground surface, and would  
779 therefore be anticipated to control  $T_s$ . The most dominant variables describing  $T_s$  metrics from  
780 each site on Khumbu Glacier were slope, clast size and lithology. These variables would be

781 expected to control incident radiation receipt through solar geometry and albedo, moisture  
782 content and evaporation, and affect local thermal conductance. However, these debris  
783 properties were only found to influence  $T_s$  metrics in conjunction with one another and were  
784 not found to independently control  $T_s$ . Without further data such as site-specific moisture  
785 content and  $SW_{in}$  values for each site, the exact controls on such variability cannot be  
786 identified. Additionally, elevation and aspect were only found to influence minimum  $T_s$ . The  
787 majority of sites reported here were south facing and therefore provide a systematic bias,  
788 hindering ultimate identification of the influence of this variable. However, the relatively  
789 strong, and statistically significant, relationship between the elevation and minimum  $T_s$   
790 suggests estimation of minimum  $T_s$  using lapse rates, and potentially night time temperatures  
791 when  $T_s$  is at its minimum, to estimate spatial variability in  $T_s$  would be appropriate.

792

793 The diurnal and seasonal variability in the relationship between  $T_{aG}$  and  $T_s$  identified here  
794 builds on the conclusions of Steiner and Pellicciotti (2015), who identified a variation in  
795 relationship between the two parameters between night and day and with differing climatic  
796 conditions. The occurrence of a seasonal influence in this variable relationship is attributed  
797 to variability in meteorological parameters, with decreased strength of relationship between  
798  $T_{aG}$  and  $T_s$  occurring concurrently with perturbations in  $SW_{in}$ , and peaks in  $LW_{in}$  and RH (e.g.  
799 around DOY 173). Such variability is attributed to differences in the capacity of air and debris  
800 to hold thermal energy, and the addition of moisture in either or both environments, causing  
801 the relationship to vary between  $T_{aG}$  and  $T_s$  seasonally as well as diurnally. Understanding  
802 the importance of the high RH values and precipitation is also important for understanding  
803 the effect of turbulent heat flux on glacier ablation for these monsoon-influenced debris-  
804 covered glaciers (Suzuki et al., 2007). The correlation coefficients for the  $T_s$ – $T_{aG}$  relationship  
805 presented here also reinforce the findings of Steiner and Pellicciotti (2015), displaying

806 stronger relationships at night due to  $T_s$  increasing at a greater rate and magnitude than  $T_{aG}$ .  
807 Consequently, temperature-index melt models with a sub-daily time, which rely on the  
808 relationship between  $T_{aG}$  and  $T_s$ , need to consider additional controls on  $T_s$  such as diurnal  
809 and seasonal fluctuations in incoming radiative fluxes, particularly for monsoon-influenced  
810 debris-covered glaciers which experience large variability in seasonal weather patterns.  
811 Ultimately, there is not a direct relationship between  $T_{aG}$  and  $T_s$  and using a numerical  
812 modelling procedure that assumes as such should be avoided. Consequently, these finding  
813 give further weight to the importance of using enhanced temperature-index melt models that  
814 include additional controls such as incoming shortwave radiation (e.g, Carenzo et al., 2016)  
815 or full surface energy balance models to calculate ablation for these complex glacier systems.

816

#### 817 6.4. Implications of variability in near-surface debris temperature

818 Whilst the results of this study provide an interesting insight into the extent of temporal and  
819 spatial variability in  $T_s$  for thick ( $>1$  m) supraglacial debris layers, there is a need to carry out  
820 a similar study on thinner debris layers as debris-covered glaciers exist in a range of climatic  
821 conditions. Following such studies, a development of surface energy balance models to  
822 incorporate spatiotemporal variations in debris properties would be appropriate for modelling  
823 ablation, and also for constraining surface energy balance models used for estimating debris  
824 thickness (e.g. Foster et al., 2012; Rounce and McKinney, 2014). Our findings advocate the  
825 use of a surface energy balance approach for calculating debris layer thickness rather than  
826 a direct empirical relationship between  $T_s$  and debris layer thickness as used by Mihalcea et  
827 al. (2008a; 2008b) and Minora et al. (2015). The latter of these approaches oversimplifies the  
828 relationship between  $T_s$  and debris thickness, and omits additional factors such as the  
829 influential relationship between  $SW_{in}$  and  $T_s$ , and spatial variability of  $T_s$  due to varying slope,  
830 lithology and clast size of the debris layer. However, the results of this study suggest that the

831 simplified energy balance approaches for calculating debris thickness used by Foster et al.  
832 (2012) and Rounce and McKinney (2014) need to undergo substantial developments to  
833 provide accurate estimations of debris layer thickness, in line with surface energy balance  
834 models such as those produced by Reid and Brock (2010), Collier et al. (2014) and Evatt et  
835 al. (2014), to include such site characteristics as slope and aspect and debris characteristics  
836 such as moisture content, porosity, lithology and thermal conductivity. It is only once a  
837 comprehensive consideration of all controls on  $T_s$  is incorporated into estimations of debris  
838 thickness calculated from  $T_s$  that debris thickness maps will exhibit a much-reduced  
839 uncertainty. In the meantime, both methods used to estimation debris thickness (empirical  
840 and energy-balanced methods) should identify the possible uncertainty involved in  
841 disregarding spatial variability in debris properties and compare their debris thickness  
842 estimates with direct field measurements of debris thickness.

843

## 844 **7. Conclusions**

845 This study presents the most comprehensive analysis of near-surface debris temperature  
846 ( $T_s$ ) data for a Himalayan debris-covered glacier to date. The timeseries presented extend  
847 beyond describing the influence of debris layer thickness on near-surface debris temperature,  
848 and confirm both temporal and spatial variability in  $T_s$  on Khumbu Glacier. 16 sites across  
849 Khumbu Glacier's debris-covered ablation area displayed a marked daily cycle in  $T_s$ ,  
850 overlying seasonal, short-term and spatial variation in maximum  $T_s$  and diurnal amplitude. A  
851 clear transition in the mode of diurnal variation was associated with increasing cloud cover  
852 and precipitation; the latter considered to control debris moisture content. Differences in the  
853 magnitude and range of variation in  $T_s$  were apparent between sites, and were indicative of  
854 contrasts in response of  $T_s$  to meteorological or environmental variables. A close association

855 between on-glacier air temperature ( $T_{aG}$ ) and  $T_s$  was evident while radiative energy had a  
856 lesser influence on  $T_s$ . Analyses of these timeseries also demonstrated the role that the site  
857 characteristics slope, lithology and clast size hold in controlling spatial variability in  $T_s$  when  
858 in conjunction with one another, but have little controlling influence on spatial variability of  
859 maximum  $T_s$  in isolation, and that minimum  $T_s$  is influenced by elevation and aspect.  
860 Consequently, this study specifically identified the variables controlling temporal and spatial  
861 variability in  $T_s$  for debris-covered glacier surface with a debris layer thickness of over 1 m.

862

863 Our results reinforce the complexity and interconnected nature of the surface energy balance  
864 at a supraglacial debris surface, identifying that energy fluxes such as ambient air  
865 temperature and incoming radiative flux at the debris surface, as well as debris characteristics  
866 such as lithology and clast size to a degree, regulate debris surface temperature but are not  
867 independent of one another. Hence, these results suggest that, although temperature-index  
868 melt models can be useful for estimating supraglacial debris thickness or ablation, these  
869 models should follow an enhanced approach in which additional aspects of energy exchange  
870 such as incoming solar radiation are included (e.g. Carenzo et al., 2016). These models also  
871 need to consider spatial and temporal variation in the controlling variables used (e.g. air  
872 temperature and incoming solar radiation), and use on-glacier air temperature to reduce  
873 uncertainties in estimates of ablation. Studies that simulate ablation or derive debris thickness  
874 should consider including spatial variability in  $T_s$  and debris thickness in model calibrations,  
875 and consider the influence of variability in site characteristics on these results, in particular  
876 with regards to their influence on bulk effective thermal conductivity of the debris layer.  
877 Finally, the data presented here were limited to debris layers >1 m thick, and future studies  
878 should assess the role of debris characteristics and local topography in defining the energy

879 exchange and  $T_s$  across thinner debris layers to enable the variability of and controls on  
880 surface temperature to be understood across an entire debris-covered glacier surface.

881

## 882 **Acknowledgements:**

883 Fieldwork was funded by the British Society for Geomorphology and a Royal Society  
884 (Research Grant RG120393 to AVR and DJQ). Thank you to our Nepalese guides, Karma,  
885 Karma Tindu and Rajesh for their invaluable help during fieldwork. Also thanks to Dr P.  
886 Porter, University of Hertfordshire for the loan of field equipment and Owen King for the use  
887 of the corrected SETSM DEM. We thank the anonymous reviewers for their constructive and  
888 thorough comments that have much improved this manuscript.

889

## 890 **References**

- 891 Ageta Y. 1976. Characteristics of Precipitation during Monsoon Season in Khumbu Himal.  
892 Journal of the Japanese Society of Snow and Ice **38**: 84–88. DOI:  
893 10.5331/seppyo.38.Special\_84
- 894 Ageta Y, Higuchi K. 1984. Estimation of Mass Balance Components of a Summer-  
895 Accumulation Type Glacier in the Nepal Himalaya. Geografiska Annaler: Series A, Physical  
896 Geography **66**: 249–255. DOI: 10.2307/520698
- 897 Apaloo J, Brenning A, Bodin X. 2012. Interactions between Seasonal Snow Cover, Ground  
898 Surface Temperature and Topography (Andes of Santiago, Chile, 33.5°S). Permafrost and  
899 Periglacial Processes **23**: 277–291. DOI: 10.1002/ppp.1753
- 900 Arendt, A., Bolch, T., Cogley, J.G., Gardner, A., Hagen, J.O., Hock, R., Kaser, G., Pfeffer,  
901 W.T., Moholdt, G., Paul, F. and Radic, V., 2012. Randolph Glacier Inventory [v2. 0]: A Dataset

902 of Global Glacier Outlines, Global Land Ice Measurements from Space, Boulder Colorado,  
903 USA.

904 Benn, D.I., Bolch, T., Hands, K., Gulley, J., Luckman, A., Nicholson, L.I., Quincey, D.,  
905 Thompson, S., Toumi, R. and Wiseman, S., 2012. Response of debris-covered glaciers in  
906 the Mount Everest region to recent warming, and implications for outburst flood hazards.  
907 *Earth-Science Reviews*, **114**: 156-174.

908 Benn DI, Lehmkuhl F. 2000. Mass balance and equilibrium-line altitudes of glaciers in high-  
909 mountain environments. *Quaternary International* **65-66**: 15–29. DOI: 10.1016/S1040-  
910 6182(99)00034-8

911 Bolch, T., Buchroithner, M., Pieczonka, T. and Kunert, A., 2008. Planimetric and volumetric  
912 glacier changes in the Khumbu Himal, Nepal, since 1962 using Corona, Landsat TM and  
913 ASTER data. *Journal of Glaciology* **54**: 592-600.

914 Bolch, T., Pieczonka, T. and Benn, D.I., 2011. Multi-decadal mass loss of glaciers in the  
915 Everest area (Nepal Himalaya) derived from stereo imagery. *The Cryosphere*, **5**: 349-358.

916 Bollasina M, Bertolani L, Tartari G. 2002. Meteorological observations at high altitude in the  
917 Khumbu Valley, Nepal Himalayas, 1994-1999. *Bulletin of Glaciological Research* **19**: 1–11.

918 Bookhagen B, Burbank DW. 2010. Toward a complete Himalayan hydrological budget:  
919 Spatiotemporal distribution of snowmelt and rainfall and their impact on river discharge.  
920 *Journal of Geophysical Research* **115**: F03019–25. DOI: 10.1029/2009JF001426

921 Borradaile GJ. 2013. *Statistics of Earth Science data: their distribution in time, space and*  
922 *orientation*. Springer Science and Business Media. London.

923 Brazel AJ, Chambers FB, Kalkstein LS. 1992. Summer energy balance on West Gulkana

924 Glacier, Alaska, and linkages to a temporal synoptic index. *Zeitschrift fur Geomorphologie*  
925 **86**: 15–34.

926 Brock BW, Mihalcea C, Kirkbride MP, Diolaiuti G, Cutler MEJ, Smiraglia C. 2010.  
927 Meteorology and surface energy fluxes in the 2005–2007 ablation seasons at the Miage  
928 debris-covered glacier, Mont Blanc Massif, Italian Alps. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*  
929 *Atmospheres* **115**: 112. DOI: 10.1029/2009JD013224

930 Brock BW, Willis IC, Sharp MJ, Arnold NS. 2000. Modelling seasonal and spatial variations  
931 in the surface energy balance of Haut Glacier d'Arolla, Switzerland. *Annals of Glaciology* **31**:  
932 53–62. DOI: 10.3189/172756400781820183

933 Clark DH, Clark MM, Gillespie AR. 1994. Debris-Covered Glaciers in the Sierra Nevada,  
934 California, and Their Implications for Snowline Reconstructions. *Quaternary Research* **41**:  
935 139–153. DOI: 10.1006/qres.1994.1016

936 Collier E, Nicholson LI, Brock BW, Maussion F, Essery R, Bush ABG. 2014. Representing  
937 moisture fluxes and phase changes in glacier debris cover using a reservoir approach. *The*  
938 *Cryosphere* **8**: 1429–1444. DOI: 10.5194/tc-8-1429-2014

939 Conway H, Rasmussen LA. 2000. Summer temperature profiles within supraglacial debris on  
940 Khumbu Glacier, Nepal in *Debris-covered Glaciers: Proceedings of an international*  
941 *workshop held at the University of Washington. Seattle, Washington, USA* **264**: 89–97.

942 Cuffey KM, Paterson WS. 2010. *The Physics of Glaciers*. Elsevier. London, UK.

943 Davis RE, Kalkstein LS. 1990. Development of an automated spatial synoptic climatological  
944 classification. *International Journal of Climatology* **10**: 769–794.

945 Draper NR, Smith H. 1998. *Applied Regression Analysis*. Wiley-Interscience, New Jersey.



946 Evatt GW, Abrahams ID, Heil M, Mayer C, Kingslake J, Mitchell SL, Fowler AC, Clark CD.  
947 2015. Glacial melt under a porous debris layer. *Journal of Glaciology* **61**: 825–836. DOI:  
948 10.3189/2015JoG14J235

949 Foster LA, Brock BW, Cutler MEJ, Diotri F. 2012. A physically based method for estimating  
950 supraglacial debris thickness from thermal band remote-sensing data. *Journal of Glaciology*  
951 **58**: 677–691. DOI: 10.3189/2012JoG11J194

952 Gades, A., Conway, H., Nereson, N., Naito, N. and Kadota, T., 2000. Radio echo-sounding  
953 through supraglacial debris on Lirung and Khumbu Glaciers, Nepal Himalayas. in *Debris-*  
954 *covered Glaciers: Proceedings of an international workshop held at the University of*  
955 *Washington. Seattle, Washington, USA* **264**: 13-24.

956 Gao H, Ding Y, Zhao Q, Hrachowitz M, Savenije HHG. 2017. The importance of aspect for  
957 modelling the hydrological response in a glacier catchment in Central Asia. *Hydrological*  
958 *Processes*. DOI: 10.1002/hyp.11224

959 Gisnås K, Westermann S, Schuler TV, Litherland T, Isaksen K, Boike J, Etzelmüller B. 2014.  
960 A statistical approach to represent small-scale variability of permafrost temperatures due to  
961 snow cover. *The Cryosphere* **8**: 2063–2074. DOI: 10.5194/tc-8-2063-2014

962 Glasser NF, Holt TO, Evans ZD, Davies BJ, Pelto M, Harrison S. 2016. Recent spatial and  
963 temporal variations in debris cover on Patagonian glaciers. *Geomorphology* **273**: 202–216.  
964 DOI: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.07.036

965 Gubler S, Fiddes J, Keller M, Gruber S. 2011. Scale-dependent measurement and analysis  
966 of ground surface temperature variability in alpine terrain. *The Cryosphere* **5**: 431–443. DOI:  
967 10.5194/tc-431-2011

968 Guglielmin M. 2006. Ground surface temperature (GST), active layer and permafrost  
969 monitoring in continental Antarctica. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes* **17**: 133–143. DOI:  
970 10.1002/ppp.553

971 Guglielmin M, Worland MR, Cannone N. 2012. Spatial and temporal variability of ground  
972 surface temperature and active layer thickness at the margin of maritime Antarctica, Signy  
973 Island. *Geomorphology* **155-156** : 20–33.

974 Hambrey MJ, Quincey DJ, Glasser NF, Reynolds JM, Richardson SJ, Clemmens S. 2008.  
975 Sedimentological, geomorphological and dynamic context of debris-mantled glaciers, Mount  
976 Everest (Sagarmatha) region, Nepal. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **27**: 2361–2389. DOI:  
977 10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.08.010

978 Hannah DM, Smith BP, Gurnell AM, McGregor GR. 2000. An approach to hydrograph  
979 classification. *Hydrological processes* **14**: 317-338.

980 Higuchi K, Ageta Y, Yasunari T. 1982. Characteristics of precipitation during the monsoon  
981 season in high-mountain areas of the Nepal Himalaya. *Hydrological Aspects of Alpine and*  
982 *High-Mountain Areas* **138**: 21–30.

983 Hock R. 2003. Temperature index melt modelling in mountain areas. *Journal of Hydrology*  
984 **282**: 104–115.

985 Hock R, Holmgren B. 1996. Some Aspects of Energy Balance and Ablation of Storglaciaren,  
986 Northern Sweden. *Geografiska Annaler: Series A, Physical Geography* **78**: 121.

987 Horvatic D, Stanley HE, Podobnik B. 2011. Detrended cross-correlation analysis for non-  
988 stationary time series with periodic trends. *Europhysics Letters* **94**: 18007.

989 Hubbart, J., Link, T., Campbell, C. and Cobos, D., 2005. Evaluation of a low-cost temperature

990 measurement system for environmental applications. *Hydrological Processes*, 19(7),  
991 pp.1517-1523.

992 Igathinathane C, Pordesimo LO, Columbus EP, Batchelor WD, Sokhansanj S. 2009.  
993 Sieveless particle size distribution analysis of particulate materials through computer vision.  
994 *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* **66**: 147–158.

995 Inoue J. 1977. Mass Budget of Khumbu Glacier. *Journal of the Japanese Society of Snow*  
996 *and Ice* **39**: 15–19. DOI: 10.5331/seppyo.39.Special\_15

997 Irvine-Fynn TDL, Moorman BJ, Willis IC, Sjogren DB, Hodson AJ, Mumford PN, Walter FSA,  
998 Williams JLM. 2005. Geocryological processes linked to High Arctic proglacial stream  
999 suspended sediment dynamics: examples from Bylot Island, Nunavut, and Spitsbergen,  
1000 Svalbard. *Hydrological Processes* **19**: 115–135. DOI: 10.1002/hyp.5759

1001 Iwata S, Watanabe O, Fushimi H. 1980. Surface Morphology in the Ablation Area of the  
1002 Khumbu Glacier. *Journal of the Japanese Society of Snow and Ice* **41**: 9–17. DOI:  
1003 10.5331/seppyo.41.special\_9

1004 Jansson P, Fredin O. 2002. Ice sheet growth under dirty conditions: implications of debris  
1005 cover for early glaciation advances. *Quaternary International* **95-96**: 35–42. DOI:  
1006 10.1016/S1040-6182(02)00025-3

1007 Juen M, Mayer, C, Lambrecht A., Wirbel A., Kueppers U. 2013. Thermal properties of  
1008 supraglacial debris with respect to lithology and grain size. *Geografiska Annaler: Physical*  
1009 *Geography* **95**: 197–209. DOI: DOI:10.1111/geoa.12011

1010 Kadota T, Seko K, Aoki T, Iwata S. 2000. Shrinkage of the Khumbu Glacier, east Nepal from  
1011 1978 to 1995. in *Debris-covered Glaciers: Proceedings of an international workshop held at*

1012 the University of Washington. Seattle, Washington, USA **264**: 235–244.

1013 King O, Quincey DJ, Carrivick JL, Rowan AV. 2017. Spatial variability in mass loss of glaciers  
1014 in the Everest region, central Himalayas, between 2000 and 2015. *The Cryosphere* **11**: 407–  
1015 426.

1016 Kirkbride MP. 2000. Ice-marginal geomorphology and Holocene expansion of debris-covered  
1017 Tasman Glacier, New Zealand in *Debris-covered Glaciers: Proceedings of an international*  
1018 *workshop held at the University of Washington. Seattle, Washington, USA* **264**: 211–218.

1019 Krause P, Boyle DP, Bäse F. 2005. Comparison of different efficiency criteria for hydrological  
1020 model assessment. *Advances in Geosciences* **5**: 89–97.

1021 Kristoufek L, 2014. Detrending moving-average cross-correlation coefficient: Measuring  
1022 cross-correlations between non-stationary series. *Physical A: Statistical Mechanics and its*  
1023 *Applications* **406**: 169-175.

1024 Legates DR, McCabe GJ. 1999. Evaluating the use of “goodness-of-fit” Measures in  
1025 hydrologic and hydroclimatic model validation. *Water Resources Research* **35**: 233–241.  
1026 DOI: 10.1029/1998WR900018

1027 Lundquist, J.D. and Cayan, D.R., 2007. Surface temperature patterns in complex terrain:  
1028 Daily variations and long-term change in the central Sierra Nevada, California. *Journal of*  
1029 *Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, **112**(D11): 124. DOI: 10.1029/2006JD007561

1030 Mattson LE. 2000. The influence of a debris cover on the mid-summer discharge of Dome  
1031 Glacier, Canadian Rocky Mountains. in *Debris-covered Glaciers: Proceedings of an*  
1032 *international workshop held at the University of Washington. Seattle, Washington, USA* **264**:  
1033 25–34.

1034 Mihalcea C, Mayer C, Diolaiuti G, D'Agata C, Smiraglia C, Lambrecht A, Vuillermoz E, Tartari  
1035 G. 2008a. Spatial distribution of debris thickness and melting from remote-sensing and  
1036 meteorological data, at debris-covered Baltoro glacier, Karakoram, Pakistan. *Annals of*  
1037 *Glaciology* **48**: 49–57. DOI: 10.3189/172756408784700680

1038 Mihalcea C, Brock BW, Diolaiuti G, D'Agata C, Citterio M, Kirkbride MP, Cutler MEJ,  
1039 Smiraglia C. 2008b. Using ASTER satellite and ground-based surface temperature  
1040 measurements to derive supraglacial debris cover and thickness patterns on Miage Glacier  
1041 (Mont Blanc Massif, Italy). *Cold Regions Science and Technology* **52**: 341-354.

1042 Mihalcea C, Mayer C, Diolaiuti G, Lambrecht A, Smiraglia C, Tartari G. 2006. Ice ablation  
1043 and meteorological conditions on the debris-covered area of Baltoro glacier, Karakoram,  
1044 Pakistan. *Annals of Glaciology* **43**: 292–300. DOI: 10.3189/172756406781812104

1045 Minder JR, Mote PW, Lundquist JD. 2010. Surface temperature lapse rates over complex  
1046 terrain: Lessons from the Cascade Mountains. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*  
1047 *Atmosphere* **115**: 1–13.

1048 Minora U, Senese A, Bocchiola D, Soncini A, D'agata C, Ambrosini R, Mayer C, Lambrecht  
1049 A, Vuillermoz E, Smiraglia C, Diolaiuti, G. 2015. A simple model to evaluate ice melt over the  
1050 ablation area of glaciers in the Central Karakoram National Park, Pakistan. *Annals of*  
1051 *Glaciology* **56**: 202-216.

1052 Mölg T, Cullen NJ, Kaser G. 2009. Solar radiation, cloudiness and longwave radiation over  
1053 low-latitude glaciers: implications for mass-balance modelling. *Journal of Glaciology* **55**: 292–  
1054 302. DOI: 10.3189/002214309788608822

1055 Mölg T, Hardy DR. 2004. Ablation and associated energy balance of a horizontal glacier  
1056 surface on Kilimanjaro. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* **109**: 159. DOI:

1057 10.1029/2003JD004338

1058 Nakawo M, Rana B. 1999. Estimate of Ablation Rate of Glacier Ice under a Supraglacial  
1059 Debris Layer. *Geografiska Annaler: Series A, Physical Geography* **81**: 695–701. DOI:  
1060 10.1111/1468-0459.00097

1061 Nakawo M, Young GJ. 1981. Field Experiments to Determine the Effect of A Debris Layer on  
1062 Ablation of Glacier Ice. *Annals of Glaciology* **2**: 85–91. DOI: 10.3189/172756481794352432

1063 Nakawo M, Young GJ. 1982. Estimate of glacier ablation under a debris layer from surface  
1064 temperature and meteorological variables. *Journal of Glaciology* **28** : 29–34.

1065 Nayava JL. 1974. Heavy monsoon rainfall in Nepal. *Weather* **29**: 443–450. DOI:  
1066 10.1002/j.1477-8696.1974.tb03299.x

1067 Nicholson L, Benn DI. 2006. Calculating ice melt beneath a debris layer using meteorological  
1068 data. *Journal of Glaciology* **52**: 463–470. DOI: 10.3189/172756506781828584

1069 Nicholson L, Benn DI. 2013. Properties of natural supraglacial debris in relation to modelling  
1070 sub-debris ice ablation. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **28**: 490–501.

1071 Noh MJ, Howat IM. 2015. Automated stereo-photogrammetric DEM generation at high  
1072 latitudes: Surface Extraction with TIN-based Search-space Minimization (SETSM) validation  
1073 and demonstration over glaciated regions. *GIScience & Remote Sensing* **52**: 198–217. DOI:  
1074 10.1080/15481603.2015.1008621

1075 Nuimura T, Fujita K, Fukui K, Asahi K, Aryal R, Ageta Y. 2011. Temporal Changes in  
1076 Elevation of the Debris-Covered Ablation Area of Khumbu Glacier in the Nepal Himalaya  
1077 since 1978. *Arctic, Antarctic and Alpine Research* **43**: 246–255. DOI: 10.1657/1938-4246-  
1078 43.2.246

1079 Østrem G. 1959. Ice melting under a thin layer of moraine, and the existence of ice cores in  
1080 moraine ridges. *Geografiska Annaler* **41**: 228–230. DOI: 10.2307/4626805

1081 Petersen L, Pellicciotti F, Juszak I, Carenzo M, Brock B. 2013. Suitability of a constant air  
1082 temperature lapse rate over an Alpine glacier: testing the Greuell and Böhm model as an  
1083 alternative. *Annals of Glaciology* **54**: 120–130. DOI: 10.3189/2013AoG63A477

1084 Quincey DJ, Luckman A, Benn DI. 2009. Quantification of Everest region glacier velocities  
1085 between 1992 and 2002, using satellite radar interferometry and feature tracking. *Journal of*  
1086 *Glaciology* **55**: 596–605.

1087 Rasband WS. 2008. ImageJ [online] Available from: <http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/>

1088 Reid TD, Carenzo M, Pellicciotti F, Brock BW. 2012. Including debris cover effects in a  
1089 distributed model of glacier ablation. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* **117**  
1090 DOI: 10.1029/2012JD017795

1091 Reznichenko N, Davies T, Shulmeister J, McSaveney M. 2010. Effects of debris on ice-  
1092 surface melting rates: an experimental study. *Journal of Glaciology* **56**: 384–394. DOI:  
1093 10.3189/002214310792447725

1094 Romanovsky VE, Osterkamp TE. 2000. Effects of unfrozen water on heat and mass transport  
1095 processes in the active layer and permafrost. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes* **11**: 219–  
1096 239. DOI: 10.1002/1099-1530(200007/09)11:3<219::AID-PPP352>3.0.CO;2-7

1097 Rounce DR, McKinney DC. 2014. Debris thickness of glaciers in the Everest area (Nepal  
1098 Himalaya) derived from satellite imagery using a nonlinear energy balance model. *The*  
1099 *Cryosphere* **8**: 1317–1329. DOI: 10.5194/tc-8-1317-2014

1100 Rounce DR, Quincey DJ, McKinney DC. 2015. Debris-covered glacier energy balance model

1101 for Imja-Lhotse Shar Glacier in the Everest Region of Nepal. *The Cryosphere* **9**: 2295–2310.  
1102 DOI:10.5194/tc-9-2295-2015

1103 Salerno F, Guyennon N, Thakuri S, Viviano G, Romano E, Vuillermoz E, Cristofanelli P,  
1104 Stocchi P, Agrillo G, Ma Y, Tartari G. 2015. Weak precipitation, warm winters and springs  
1105 impact glaciers of south slopes of Mt. Everest (central Himalaya) in the last 2 decades (1994–  
1106 2013). *The Cryosphere* **9**: 1229–1247. DOI: 10.5194/tc-9-1229-201

1107 Sappington, J, Longshore K, Thompson D. Quantifying landscape ruggedness for animal  
1108 habitat analysis: a case study using bighorn sheep in the Mojave Desert. *Journal of Wildlife*  
1109 *Management* **71**: 1419-1426.

1110 Scherler D, Bookhagen B, Strecker MR. 2011. Spatially variable response of Himalayan  
1111 glaciers to climate change affected by debris cover. *Nature Geoscience* **4**: 156–159. DOI:  
1112 10.1038/ngeo1068

1113 Shaw TE, Ben W Brock, Fyffe CL, Pellicciotti F, Rutter N, Diotri F. 2016. Air temperature  
1114 distribution and energy-balance modelling of a debris-covered glacier. *Journal of Glaciology*  
1115 **62**: 185–198. DOI: 10.1017/jog.2016.31

1116 Shea JM, Immerzeel WW, Wagnon P, Vincent C, Bajracharya S. 2015. Modelling glacier  
1117 change in the Everest region, Nepal Himalaya. *The Cryosphere* **9**: 1105–1128. DOI:  
1118 10.5194/tc-9-1105-2015

1119 Sherpa, S.F., Wagnon, P., Brun, F., Berthier, E., Vincent, C., Lejeune, Y., Arnaud, Y.,  
1120 Kayastha, R.B., Sinisalo, A. 2017. Contrasted surface mass balances of debris-free glaciers  
1121 observed between the southern and the inner parts of the Everest region (2007–15). *Journal*  
1122 *of Glaciology* **63**: 637-651.



1123 Sicart JE, Pomeroy JW, Essery RLH, Bewley D. 2006. Incoming longwave radiation to  
1124 melting snow: observations, sensitivity and estimation in Northern environments.  
1125 Hydrological Processes **20**: 3697–3708. DOI: 10.1002/hyp.6383

1126 Solano NA, Clarkson CR, Krause FF. 2016. Characterization of fine-scale rock structure and  
1127 differences in mechanical properties in tight oil reservoirs: An evaluation at the scale of  
1128 elementary lithological components combining photographic and X-ray computed  
1129 tomographic imaging, profile-permeability and microhardness testing. Journal of  
1130 Unconventional Oil and Gas Resources **15**: 22-42.

1131 Steiner JF, Pellicciotti F. 2015. Variability of air temperature over a debris-covered glacier in  
1132 the Nepalese Himalaya. Annals of Glaciology **57**: 295–307. DOI: 10.3189/2016AoG71A066

1133 Strasser U, Corripio J, Pellicciotti F, Burlando P, Brock B, Funk M. 2004. Spatial and temporal  
1134 variability of meteorological variables at Haut Glacier d'Arolla (Switzerland) during the  
1135 ablation season 2001: Measurements and simulations. Journal of Geophysical Research:  
1136 Atmospheres **109** DOI: 10.1029/2003JD003973

1137 Suzuki R, Fujita K, Ageta Y. 2007. Spatial distribution of thermal properties on debris-covered  
1138 glaciers in the Himalayas derived from ASTER data. Bulletin of Glaciological Research **24**:  
1139 13.

1140 Swift, D.A., Nienow, P.W., Hoey, T.B. and Mair, D.W., 2005. Seasonal evolution of runoff  
1141 from Haut Glacier d'Arolla, Switzerland and implications for glacial geomorphic processes.  
1142 Journal of Hydrology, **309**(1): 133-148. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.11.016

1143 Takeuchi Y, Kayastha RB, Nakawo M. 2000. Characteristics of ablation and heat balance in  
1144 debris-free and debris-covered areas on Khumbu Glacier, Nepal Himalayas, in the pre-  
1145 monsoon season in Debris-covered Glaciers: Proceedings of an international workshop held

1146 at the University of Washington. Seattle, Washington, USA **264**: 53–62.

1147 Van Den Broeke M, Reijmer C, Van As D, Boot W. 2006. Daily cycle of the surface energy  
1148 balance in Antarctica and the influence of clouds. *International Journal of Climatology* **26**:  
1149 1587–1605. DOI: 10.1002/joc.1323

1150 Verbunt M, Gurtz J, Jasper K, Lang H, Warmerdam P, Zappa M. 2003. The hydrological role  
1151 of snow and glaciers in alpine river basins and their distributed modeling. *Journal of*  
1152 *Hydrology* **282**: 36–55.

1153 Vincent C, Wagnon, P, Shea J, Immerzeel W, Kraaijenbrink P, Shrestha D, Sorunco A,  
1154 Arnaud Y, Brun F, Berthier E, Sherpa S. 2016. Reduced melt on debris-covered glaciers:  
1155 investigations from Changri Nup Glacier, Nepal. *The Cryosphere* **10**: 1845–1858.

1156 Wagnon P, Ribstein P, Francou B, Pouyaud B. 1999. Annual cycle of energy balance of  
1157 Zongo Glacier, Cordillera Real, Bolivia. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* **104**:  
1158 3907–3923. DOI: 10.1029/1998JD200011

1159 Watson CS, Quincey DJ, Carrivick JL, Smith, MW. 2016. The dynamics of supraglacial ponds  
1160 in the Everest region, central Himalaya. *Global and Planetary Change* **142**: 14-27.

1161 Willis I, Arnold N, Brock B. 2002. Effect of snowpack removal on energy balance, melt and  
1162 runoff in a small supraglacial catchment. *Hydrological processes* **16**: 2721–2749.

1163 Yasunari T. 1976. Seasonal Weather Variations in Khumbu Himal. *Journal of the Japanese*  
1164 *Society of Snow and Ice* **38**: 74–83. DOI: 10.5331/seppyo.38.Special\_74

1165 Yasunari T. 1979. Cloudiness fluctuations associated with the Northern Hemisphere summer  
1166 monsoon. *Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan* **57**: 227–242.

1167 **Figure captions**

1168 **Figure 1.** Study site location: (a) in a regional context; (b) in relation to Mt Everest, displaying  
1169 the extent of Khumbu Glacier and location of the meteorological stations (Changri Nup and  
1170 Pyramid) used in this study, including the extent of Changri Nup and Changri Shar  
1171 (reproduced from Vincent et al., 2016); (c) the study area and locations of temperature  
1172 sensors, with corresponding temperature sensor ID, and on-glacier air temperature location  
1173 ( $T_{aG}$ ).

1174

1175 **Figure 2:** Site photos before installation of temperature sensors: (a) Site 11: Consolidated  
1176 medium sand with medium pebbles; (b) Site 3: Small cobbles to large boulders with a medium  
1177 to coarse sand matrix; and (c) Site 15: Small granite and schist cobbles to small boulders  
1178 with coarse sand to medium pebble matrix.

1179

1180 **Figure 3:** (a) Mean diurnal  $T_s$  for all temperature sensor sites, alongside on- and off-glacier  
1181 air temperature timeseries, (b) Daily amplitude in  $T_s$  at all sites, (c) Mean daily incoming  
1182 shortwave, longwave and total radiation ( $SW_{in}$ ,  $LW_{in}$  and  $NR_{in}$ , respectively), (d) Total daily  
1183 precipitation and mean daily relative humidity across the study period.

1184

1185 **Figure 4:** Box plots of mean, interquartile range, maximum and minimum near-surface debris  
1186 temperature for each of the time series. Red box plots are the time series identified as  
1187 timeseries less representative of  $T_s$ , greyed plots are timeseries identified as significantly  
1188 different from the statistically representative Site 14. Outliers are considered to be values  
1189 outside of the range between the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles.

1190

1191 **Figure 5:** (a) The two modes of variability in  $T_s$  for Site 14, described by PC1 and PC2, (b)  
1192 plot to identify days described by PCs 1 or 2, filled circles identify days with a negative or  
1193 lagged relationship to PC2 and greyed circles mark days not described by either dominant  
1194 PC, (c)  $T_s$  timeseries for Site 14 highlighting each day's mode of variation.

1195

1196 **Figure 6:** The correlation coefficient values ( $r$ ) for the relationship between on-glacier air  
1197 temperature ( $T_{aG}$ ) and near-surface debris temperature ( $T_s$ ), for (a) each daytime cycle  
1198 (06:00–18:00) and (b) night-time cycle (18:00–06:00) over the study period, c) presents the  
1199 across-sites mean  $r$ -values for day and night.

1200

1201 **Supplementary material: Figure S1:** Temperature differences recorded by free and  
1202 contained iButton sensors (black), and Tinytag sensors (grey), for (a) air, (b) water and (c)  
1203 ice in laboratory conditions.

1204

1205

1206 **Table 1:** Topographic and debris characteristics for iButton temperature sensor sites. Mean  $T_s$  uncertainty calculated for the near-  
 1207 surface placement of temperature sensors under representative clasts at each location. Rows highlighted in grey are timeseries  
 1208 identified to be less representative of  $T_s$ .

Sensor ID	Elevation (m a.s.l.)	Debris description	Mean clast size (m)	Lithology (% Granite)	Slope (°)	Aspect (°)	Curvature	Roughness ( $\times 10^{-2}$ ; m)	Mean $T_s$ uncertainty (°C)
1	4949	Large cobbles with medium sand matrix	0.058	100	10	202	-0.65	0.05	0.87
2	4952	Large cobbles with medium sand matrix	0.099	100	9	100	1.38	0.09	1.49
3	4945	Small to large cobbles with medium to coarse sand matrix	0.028	50	5	132	-0.82	0.19	0.42
4	4948	Small to large cobbles with coarse sand matrix	0.020	40	2	321	-1.46	0.09	0.3
5	4947	Large cobbles with medium to coarse sand matrix	0.029	50	5	285	-1.22	0.14	0.44
6	4952	Medium grained sand with < 5 % medium granite pebbles	0.002	100	3	173	-1.21	0.04	0.03
7	4949	Medium pebbles to large cobbles with medium sand matrix	0.020	50	5	224	-0.80	0.20	0.30
8	4903	Very coarse pebbles with medium sand matrix	0.010	95	12	290	0.17	0.04	0.15
9	4938	Small cobbles to large boulders with medium to coarse sand matrix	2.930	100	6	86	0.05	0.10	4.39
10	4938	Coarse pebbles to large boulders with consolidated medium sand matrix	0.027	50	6	266	0.88	0.04	0.41
11	4946	Small to large cobbles with consolidated medium to coarse sand matrix	0.055	70	5	103	0.57	0.11	0.83
12	4942	Small to large cobbles with medium to coarse sand matrix	0.016	60	6	125	0.49	0.03	0.24
13	4935	Small cobbles to large boulders with coarse sandy matrix	2.890	90	6	170	0.33	0.06	4.34
14	4937	Small cobbles to small boulders with coarse matrix	0.027	60	5	131	-1.15	0.30	0.41
15	4950	Very coarse pebbles to large cobbles with consolidated medium matrix	0.042	50	7	206	0.03	0.20	0.32
16	4949	Small cobbles to large boulders with medium to coarse sand matrix	0.030	50	8	274	0.11	0.15	0.30

1209

1210 **Table 2:** A matrix of Spearman rank correlation coefficient (r) and Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency coefficient (E) for each pair of raw (hourly)  
 1211 T<sub>s</sub> timeseries. All correlations displayed p < 0.05. The greyed rows (Sites 1, 2, 9, 11 and 13) are those identified as being less  
 1212 representative of debris surface temperature due to site clast size. Correlation between each raw T<sub>s</sub> series and the mean T<sub>s</sub> is shown,  
 1213 along with the sum and average E for each.

1214

Spearman's correlation coefficient (r)

		Spearman's correlation coefficient (r)																	
Efficiency criterion (E)	Sensor ID	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	Mean T <sub>s</sub>	
		1		0.96	0.96	0.98	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.92	0.94	0.96	0.95	0.97	0.96	0.97	0.94	0.98	0.98
		2	0.30		0.96	0.95	0.97	0.94	0.95	0.88	0.97	0.95	0.97	0.96	0.96	0.98	0.98	0.97	0.97
		3	0.93	0.69		0.97	0.94	0.97	0.98	0.95	0.92	0.98	0.99	0.98	0.92	0.99	0.96	0.97	0.99
		4	0.91	0.52	0.92		0.95	0.97	0.97	0.95	0.91	0.97	0.96	0.98	0.94	0.97	0.93	0.97	0.99
		5	0.93	0.80	0.84	0.63		0.95	0.96	0.88	0.95	0.94	0.93	0.96	0.94	0.96	0.96	0.98	0.96
		6	0.80	0.81	0.75	0.39	0.87		0.99	0.95	0.9	0.98	0.96	0.99	0.9	0.97	0.94	0.97	0.99
		7	0.91	0.63	0.94	0.91	0.86	0.82		0.95	0.91	0.98	0.96	0.99	0.92	0.98	0.95	0.98	0.99
		8	0.82	0.57	0.84	0.75	0.77	0.80	0.79		0.84	0.96	0.93	0.95	0.86	0.93	0.89	0.91	0.96
		9	-0.12	0.65	-0.17	-0.36	-0.42	-0.04	-0.28	-0.19		0.92	0.93	0.92	0.95	0.94	0.94	0.93	0.93
		10	0.92	0.72	0.94	0.81	0.90	0.89	0.87	0.87	0.53		0.97	0.99	0.92	0.98	0.95	0.97	0.99
		11	0.66	0.90	0.68	0.16	0.77	0.84	0.35	0.45	0.70	0.75		0.97	0.93	0.98	0.97	0.96	0.98
		12	0.90	0.66	0.94	0.91	0.86	0.80	0.96	0.81	0.44	0.93	0.77		0.93	0.99	0.96	0.98	1.00
		13	0.37	0.86	0.20	-0.48	0.58	0.60	-0.33	-0.11	0.75	0.35	0.81	-0.20		0.94	0.92	0.94	0.94
		14	0.87	0.84	0.90	0.66	0.91	0.88	0.80	0.66	0.60	0.90	0.91	0.85	0.80		0.98	0.98	0.99
		15	0.65	0.92	0.65	0.13	0.83	0.84	0.40	0.32	0.71	0.70	0.92	0.47	0.80	0.89		0.97	0.96
		16	0.90	0.65	0.94	0.89	0.86	0.78	0.92	0.70	0.41	0.88	0.75	0.94	0.64	0.91	0.78		0.99
	ΣE	10.75	10.52	10.99	7.75	10.99	10.83	9.55	8.85	3.21	11.96	10.42	11.04	5.64	12.38	10.01	11.95		
	Mean E	0.72	0.7	0.73	0.52	0.73	0.72	0.64	0.59	0.21	0.8	0.69	0.74	0.38	0.83	0.67	0.8		

1215

1216 **Table 3:** Results of regression analyses to identify seasonal trends in minimum, mean, maximum  $T_s$  and the associated daily  
 1217 amplitude. Seasonal trend slope (b, in  $^{\circ}\text{C d}^{-1}$ ) is given with the associated p-value, and statistically significant slopes are indicated in  
 1218 italic. The greyed rows are those identified as timeseries less representative of  $T_s$ .

Sensor ID	Daily minimum $T_s$		Daily mean $T_s$		Daily maximum $T_s$		Daily amplitude $T_s$	
	b	p	b	p	b	p	b	p
<b>1</b>	0.06	<< 0.05	-0.03	< 0.03	-0.22	<< 0.05	-0.28	<< 0.05
<b>2</b>	0.07	<< 0.05	-0.01	0.53	-0.11	<< 0.05	-0.18	<< 0.05
<b>3</b>	0.08	<< 0.05	-0.03	0.06	-0.22	<< 0.05	-0.30	<< 0.05
<b>4</b>	0.08	<< 0.05	-0.05	< 0.05	-0.28	<< 0.05	-0.36	<< 0.05
<b>5</b>	0.07	<< 0.05	-0.02	0.07	-0.20	<< 0.05	-0.27	<< 0.05
<b>6</b>	0.08	<< 0.05	-0.01	0.60	-0.19	<< 0.05	-0.27	<< 0.05
<b>7</b>	0.10	<< 0.05	-0.06	<< 0.05	-0.37	<< 0.05	-0.47	<< 0.05
<b>8</b>	0.10	<< 0.05	-0.01	0.55	-0.17	<< 0.05	-0.27	<< 0.05
<b>9</b>	0.03	<< 0.05	0.00	0.62	-0.09	<< 0.05	-0.12	<< 0.05
<b>10</b>	0.06	<< 0.05	-0.04	< 0.05	-0.18	<< 0.05	-0.24	<< 0.05
<b>11</b>	0.08	<< 0.05	0.00	0.80	-0.10	< 0.05	-0.18	<< 0.05
<b>12</b>	0.10	<< 0.05	-0.04	< 0.05	-0.26	<< 0.05	-0.36	<< 0.05
<b>13</b>	0.05	<< 0.05	-0.01	0.61	-0.03	0.11	-0.09	<< 0.05
<b>14</b>	0.08	<< 0.05	-0.03	0.06	-0.18	<< 0.05	-0.27	<< 0.05
<b>15</b>	0.08	<< 0.05	0.00	0.92	-0.11	< 0.05	-0.19	<< 0.05
<b>16</b>	0.08	<< 0.05	-0.05	< 0.05	-0.28	<< 0.05	-0.36	<< 0.05
<b>Average</b>	<b>0.08</b>	-	<b>-0.02</b>	-	<b>-0.19</b>	-	<b>-0.26</b>	-

1219

1220 **Table 4:** Descriptive statistics for groups of days corresponding to each of the key principal components (PCs) and undefined diurnal  
1221 cycles, identified through PCA. Standard deviations are given in brackets.

1222

<b>Descriptor</b>	<b>PC 1</b>	<b>PC 2</b>	<b>Undefined</b>
<b>Number of days represented by PC</b>	30	19	11
<b>Mean daily T<sub>s</sub> (°C)</b>	10.9 (1.9)	9.5 (1.8)	7.9 (1.5)
<b>Mean maximum T<sub>s</sub> (°C)</b>	29.8 (3.6)	23.3 (6.0)	16.8 (4.4)
<b>Mean minimum T<sub>s</sub> (°C)</b>	0.9 (2.5)	3.3 (1.4)	3.4 (1.4)
<b>Mean T<sub>s</sub> amplitude (°C)</b>	28.9 (4.1)	20.1 (6.7)	13.5 (4.1)
<b>Mean time of peak T<sub>s</sub> (hrs)</b>	13:06 (±1:12)	13:24 (±1:06)	13:12 (±1:42)

1223

1224

1225

1226

1227

1228

1229



1230 **Table 5:** Correlation coefficient and lag time for pairs of detrended  $T_s$  time series for which the persistent 24-hour diurnal cycles have  
 1231 been removed. The grey rows are those identified as being less representative of debris surface temperature due to site clast size.

		Correlation coefficient ( r )															
	Ts1	Ts2	Ts3	Ts4	Ts5	Ts6	Ts7	Ts8	Ts9	Ts10	Ts11	Ts12	Ts13	Ts14	Ts15	Ts16	
Ts1		0.95	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.98	0.98	0.94	0.95	0.98	0.96	0.98	0.93	-0.97	0.95	0.97	
Ts2	-1		0.96	0.94	0.96	0.93	0.93	0.84	0.98	0.94	0.98	0.96	0.97	0.98	0.99	0.97	
Ts3	0	0		0.99	0.98	0.99	0.98	0.94	0.94	0.99	0.98	0.99	0.92	0.98	0.97	0.98	
Ts4	0	1	0		0.98	0.99	0.98	0.95	0.94	0.99	0.96	0.98	0.92	0.97	0.94	0.97	
Ts5	0	0	0	0		0.98	0.98	0.92	0.97	0.98	0.97	0.98	0.94	0.98	0.97	0.98	
Ts6	0	1	0	0	0		0.99	0.96	0.92	0.99	0.95	0.98	0.89	0.96	0.94	0.97	
Ts7	0	1	0	0	0	0		0.95	0.92	0.99	0.96	0.99	0.87	0.97	0.95	0.98	
Ts8	1	2	1	1	1	1	1		0.85	0.96	0.90	0.94	0.82	0.89	0.86	0.90	
Ts9	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	-1		0.93	0.96	0.94	0.97	0.96	0.96	0.95	
Ts10	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0		0.97	0.99	0.91	0.97	0.95	0.97	
Ts11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0		0.98	0.95	0.99	0.98	0.97	
Ts12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0		0.92	0.99	0.97	0.99	
Ts13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0		0.94	0.94	0.93	
Ts14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0		0.99	0.99	
Ts15	-1	0	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-2	0	-1	0	0	0	0		0.98	
Ts16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

1232

1233

1234 **Table 6:** Results of SMR models describing natural logarithm transformed  $T_s$  timeseries ( $*T_s$ ) from meteorological variables and  
 1235 additional predictors derived from the meteorological timeseries (see text for full details). Predictive variable importance (e.g. 1, 2  
 1236 etc.) or sequence (e.g. variables 1+2, or all indicated by +3+) is shown, with coefficients of determination and root mean squared  
 1237 error for each model given in parentheses ( $R^2$ , RMSE). The grey rows are those identified as being less representative of debris  
 1238 surface temperature due to site clast size.

Site	SMR 1: raw transformed meteorological variables				SMR 2: minus $*T_{aG}$		SMR 3: combined radiation minus $*T_{aG}$			SMR 4: Alternates to $T_{aG}$
	$*T_{aG}$	$*SW_{in}$	$*LW_{in}$	$*RH$	$*SW_{in}$	$*SW_{in}$ $+*LW_{in}$ , $*RH$ , $*P$	$*NR$	$*NR+dT_a$	$+All *RH$ , $\Sigma SW_{in}$ , $\Sigma LW_{in}$ , $*P$ , $tP$	All $*RH$ , $*P$ , $tP$
1	1 (0.59, 0.373)	2 (0.60, 0.368)	3 (0.60, 0.367)	4 (0.62, 0.358)	1 (0.33, 0.476)	1+ (0.45, 0.432)	1 (0.37, 0.462)	1+2 (0.45, 0.432)	+3+ (0.49, 0.415)	+3+ (0.69, 0.325)
2	1 (0.47, 0.313)	2 (0.50, 0.304)	3 (0.52, 0.298)	4 (0.55, 0.287)	1 (0.21, 0.383)	1+ (0.39, 0.335)	1 (0.26, 0.370)	1+2 (0.38, 0.339)	3+ (0.42, 0.328)	+3+ (0.62, 0.265)
3	1 (0.55, 0.342)	2 (0.57, 0.335)	3 (0.57, 0.333)	4 (0.59, 0.325)	1 (0.27, 0.433)	1+ (0.40, 0.394)	1 (0.32, 0.422)	1+2 (0.37, 0.405)	+3+ (0.42, 0.387)	+3+ (0.63, 0.311)
4	1 (0.61, 0.362)	2 (0.62, 0.360)	4 (0.64, 0.349)	3 (0.62, 0.357)	1 (0.35, 0.466)	1+ (0.46, 0.425)	1 (0.39, 0.453)	1+2 (0.43, 0.438)	+3+ (0.48, 0.418)	+3+ (0.67, 0.334)
5	1 (0.53, 0.344)	4 (0.57, 0.329)	2 (0.55, 0.338)	3 (0.56, 0.334)	1 (0.28, 0.426)	1+ (0.41, 0.385)	1 (0.32, 0.412)	1+2 (0.42, 0.381)	+3+ (0.45, 0.371)	+3+ (0.65, 0.297)
6	1 (0.56, 0.289)	4 (0.60, 0.277)	2 (0.58, 0.283)	3 (0.59, 0.280)	1 (0.31, 0.364)	1+ (0.43, 0.329)	1 (0.36, 0.350)	1+2 (0.40, 0.338)	+3+ (0.45, 0.322)	+3+ (0.62, 0.268)
7	1 (0.58, 0.361)	4 (0.60, 0.350)	2 (0.59, 0.357)	3 (0.60, 0.352)	1 (0.33, 0.453)	1+ (0.44, 0.413)	1 (0.38, 0.438)	1+2 (0.43, 0.417)	+3+ (0.47, 0.405)	-
8	1 (0.67, 0.279)	4 (0.68, 0.275)	2 (0.68, 0.277)	3 (0.68, 0.276)	1 (0.40, 0.376)	1+ (0.49, 0.348)	1 (0.44, 0.362)	1+2 (0.47, 0.355)	+3+ (0.51, 0.342)	+3+ (0.68, 0.273)
9	1 (0.44, 0.295)	2 (0.50, 0.281)	3 (0.50, 0.278)	4 (0.52, 0.274)	1 (0.17, 0.360)	1+ (0.31, 0.328)	1 (0.21, 0.351)	1+2 (0.31, 0.329)	+3+ (0.36, 0.316)	+3+ (0.60, 0.251)
10	1 (0.61, 0.326)	2 (0.62, 0.321)	4 (0.64, 0.315)	3 (0.63, 0.319)	1 (0.33, 0.426)	1+ (0.43, 0.392)	1 (0.37, 0.415)	1+2 (0.40, 0.402)	+3+ (0.46, 0.384)	+3+ (0.68, 0.297)
11	1 (0.52, 0.332)	2 (0.55, 0.319)	3 (0.56, 0.316)	4 (0.58, 0.308)	1 (0.23, 0.420)	1+ (0.38, 0.376)	1 (0.27, 0.407)	1+2 (0.34, 0.388)	+3+ (0.41, 0.365)	+3+ (0.62, 0.293)
12	1 (0.59, 0.366)	2 (0.60, 0.360)	3 (0.60, 0.357)	4 (0.62, 0.651)	1 (0.31, 0.470)	1+ (0.44, 0.426)	1 (0.36, 0.454)	1+2 (0.43, 0.431)	+3+ (0.46, 0.416)	+3+ (0.67, 0.327)
13	1 (0.49, 0.299)	2 (0.51, 0.293)	3 (0.52, 0.290)	4 (0.55, 0.283)	1 (0.24, 0.365)	1+ (0.39, 0.328)	1 (0.29, 0.355)	1+2 (0.38, 0.330)	+3+ (0.41, 0.322)	-
14	1 (0.54, 0.349)	2 (0.56, 0.341)	3 (0.56, 0.338)	4 (0.59, 0.328)	1 (0.27, 0.439)	1+ (0.41, 0.393)	1 (0.31, 0.427)	1+2 (0.39, 0.401)	+3+ (0.45, 0.381)	+3+ (0.65, 0.304)
15	1 (0.54, 0.349)	2 (0.56, 0.341)	3 (0.56, 0.339)	4 (0.59, 0.327)	1 (0.18, 0.447)	1+ (0.35, 0.397)	1 (0.22, 0.434)	1+2 (0.35, 0.397)	+3+ (0.42, 0.376)	+3+ (0.62, 0.305)
16	1 (0.45, 0.366)	2 (0.50, 0.350)	3 (0.51, 0.344)	4 (0.53, 0.336)	1 (0.27, 0.466)	1+ (0.40, 0.421)	1 (0.31, 0.453)	1+2 (0.41, 0.419)	3+ (0.45, 0.405)	+3+ (0.64, 0.327)

1240 **Table 7:** Stepwise generalised linear models (SGLMs) for describing debris temperature  
 1241 metrics based on environmental variables for the iButton sensor sites. Models detail the  
 1242 coefficients for each significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) predictor variable, and summarise the model  
 1243 performance using the coefficient of determination and root mean square error ( $R^2$ , RMSE).

1244

<b>Ts metric</b>	<b>K (constant)</b>	<b>Elevation (m)</b>	<b>Clast size (m)</b>	<b>Lithology (% granite)</b>	<b>Slope (°)</b>	<b>Aspect (°)</b>	<b>R<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>RMSE</b>
Min. T <sub>s</sub>	-106.460	0.022				0.004	0.58	0.292
Mean T <sub>s</sub>	19.590		-165.260	-0.111	0.259		0.82	0.514
Max. T <sub>s</sub>	55.461		-566.370	-0.354	1.087		0.93	0.969
Amplitude T <sub>s</sub>	50.819		-555.460	-0.342	1.185		0.93	0.992

1245

1246

1247

1248

1249

1250

1251

1252

1253

1254

1255

1256

1257

1258

1259

1260

1261 **Table 8:** Linear Bivariate Regression (LBR) analysis results ( $R^2$ ) for debris metrics and debris  
 1262 characteristics for iButton sensor sites, excluding the less representative sites. All p values  
 1263 were  $>0.05$  and so were not statistically significant, except for minimum  $T_s$  and elevation (p  
 1264 = 0.02).

Ts metric	Elevation (m)	Clast size (m)	Lithology (% granite)	Slope (°)	Aspect (°)
Min. $T_s$	0.44				0.01
Mean $T_s$		0.05	<0.01	0.05	
Max. $T_s$		0.07	<0.01	0.10	
Amplitude $T_s$		0.07	<0.01	0.12	

1265

1266



## 1268 **Temperature sensor assessment**

1269 Previous studies have established that iButton sensors are not waterproof (Lewkowicz, 2008)  
1270 and so mitigated against device failure by sealing the sensors in laminate pouches (e.g.  
1271 Gubler et al., 2011). However, these studies either overlooked the potential effect of  
1272 waterproof casing on temperature measurements, or did not test the sensors in such  
1273 waterproof casing in extreme environments (e.g. Roznik et al., 2012; Minder et al, 2010). We  
1274 therefore tested the effects of a waterproof casing on measurement accuracy and precision  
1275 under extreme conditions prior to sensor deployment in the field. The iButton sensors were  
1276 tested in controlled environments alongside TinyTag sensors (Plus 2 TGP-4520) to  
1277 determine the accuracy of the iButton sensors with and without a waterproof casing, following  
1278 a similar procedure to Minder et al. (2010). Three pairs of iButton sensors were placed in  
1279 polycarbonate plastic containers (0.2 × 0.2 × 0.1 m in size) of free-flowing air, water and water  
1280 ice for 62 days (340 hrs), along with TinyTag sensors placed in the same air and water  
1281 containers for comparison. A Tinytag sensor was not placed in water due to a restriction on  
1282 equipment available, and so preference was given to the two environments the iButtons were  
1283 most likely to experience during a monsoon season on the debris-covered surface of Khumbu  
1284 Glacier. In each case, one iButton was encased in a polyethylene bag and one was not. The  
1285 containers of air and water were placed outside in indirect solar radiation, while the container  
1286 of ice was stored in a laboratory freezer at  $-26^{\circ}\text{C}$ , to replicate the potential range of conditions  
1287 which may occur on a mountain glacier. All sensors recorded ambient temperatures at hourly  
1288 intervals (Figure S1).

1289

1290 The TinyTag sensors measured temperature to a greater accuracy than the iButton sensors  
1291 (a resolution of  $\pm 0.4^{\circ}\text{C}$  rather than  $\pm 1.0^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), resulting in smaller variations in temperature  
1292 measured by these sensors. A consistent offset in measured temperature was observed

1293 between the iButtons encased in a waterproof bag and those that were not. The encased  
1294 iButtons recorded temperatures commonly around 0.5°C lower than those in free-flowing air,  
1295 and recorded temperatures typically around 0.5°C higher in the water and ice experiments  
1296 (Figure S1). The mean difference in temperature series between iButtons were  $0.23 \pm 0.11$   
1297 °C in air and  $-0.33 \pm 0.23$  °C in water; the mean contrast between unenclosed iButtons and  
1298 the TinyTag data was  $-0.12 \pm 0.22$ °C for air and  $0.14 \pm 0.22$ °C for water. Although  
1299 temperatures measured between free iButtons, encased iButtons and Tinytag temperature  
1300 sensors varied, all variations were <1°C; this uncertainty is below the manufacturers' stated  
1301 accuracy (1.0°C). The higher deviations for the iButtons in ice suggested that there was the  
1302 potential for elevated uncertainties of around 1°C if sensors were in direct contact with ice.  
1303 Nonetheless, the use of a combination of iButton and Tinytag temperature sensors, and of  
1304 polyethylene bags as waterproof casing for the iButtons (as Tinytag sensors have a  
1305 waterproof design) was deemed appropriate for field measurements. The continued function  
1306 of iButtons not encased and placed in water or ice also suggested the iButtons exceeded the  
1307 water resistance stated by the manufacturer.

1308

1309

1310