UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of Rotational excitation of the Hoyle state in 12C.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/131263/</u>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Garg, R., Barton, C. orcid.org/0000-0001-5125-9619, Aa Diget, C. orcid.org/0000-0002-9778-8759 et al. (16 more authors) (2018) Rotational excitation of the Hoyle state in 12C. Journal of Physics: Conference Series. 012043. ISSN 1742-6596

https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/940/1/012043

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Rotational excitation of the Hoyle state in $^{\rm 12}{\rm C}$

To cite this article: R Garg et al 2018 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 940 012043

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

Rotational excitation of the Hoyle state in ${}^{12}C$

R Garg,^{1,*} C Barton,¹ C Aa Diget,¹ S Courtin,² G Fruet,² H O U Fynbo,³ A Howard,³ A Illana,^{4,†} D G Jenkins,¹ I Marroquin,⁴ O S Kirsebom,³ M V Lund,³ I Moore,⁵ A Perea,⁴ J Refsgaard,³ J E Riley,¹ S Rinta-Antila,⁵ L Sinclair,¹ O Tengblad⁴ and The **IGISOL** Collaboration⁵

¹ Department of Physics, University of York, YO10 5DD, UK

² Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, 67037 Strasbourg Cedex 2, France

³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Aarhus, 8000 Arhus C, Denmark

⁴ Instituto Estructura de la Materia, CSIC, Serrano 113bis, E-28006, Madrid, Spain

⁵ Department of Physics, University of Jyväskylä, FIN-40351 Jyväskylä, Finland

[†] Present address: IKS, Leuven, Belgium

E-mail: *rg847@york.ac.uk

Abstract. ¹²C is synthesised in stars by fusion of three α particles. This process occurs through a resonance in the ¹²C nucleus, famously known as the Hoyle state. In this state, the ¹²C nucleus exists as a cluster of α particles. The state is the band-head for a rotational band with the 2^+ rotational excitation predicted in the energy region 9 - 11 MeV. This rotational excitation can affect the triple- α process reaction rate by more than an order of magnitude at high temperatures (10^9 K) . Depending on the energy of the resonance, the knowledge of the state can also help determine the structure of the Hoyle state. In the work presented here, the state of interest is populated by beta decay of radioactive ¹²N ion beam delivered by the IGISOL facility at JYFL, Jyväskylä.

1. Introduction

The ${}^{12}C$ nucleus has a cluster structure in the Hoyle state, which is a 0^+ resonance just above the triple- α threshold. With the non-spherical structure of the state, ¹²C has a rotational band built upon this state. A recent measurement by Zimmerman et al. [1], along with the other observations [2-5] suggest that the first excitation of this band, the 2^+ state, is to be found in 9 -11 MeV energy region. The second and higher lying member of this rotational band, 4^+ has been found experimentally [6], but the 2^+ excitation has proven difficult to study because of the the presence of a broad 0^+ resonance at 10.3 MeV [4,7].

At higher temperature in stars (10^9 K) , this rotational excitation state becomes relevant to the triple- α process, affecting the reaction rate. In addition to its importance in determining the ¹²C production rate, the state is also important when considering the structure. With the energy of this first rotational excitation known, the determined moment of inertia can settle the debate on the structure of the ¹²C nucleus in the Hoyle state as different theoretical models predict different arrangements of the cluster in the Hoyle state [8].

The experiment was performed at JYFL, Jyväskylä, Finland. The IGISOL (Ion-Guide Isotope Separator OnLine) facility delivered a beam of the radioisotope ¹²N which β decays to ¹²C, populating the states of interest. Due to the selection rules of β decay, this method gives

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

some selectivity over the spin-parity of states populated. From the parent nucleus, ^{12}N , with 1^+ spin-parity ground state, only 0^+ , 1^+ and 2^+ states are populated in the daughter nucleus, ^{12}C . This prevents the strong states, such as the 3^- at 9.6 MeV to affect the spectra.

2. ¹²N beam production and detector arrangement

The IGISOL facility at JYFL uses the ion-guide method to produce radioactice ion beams. In this technique, the ions are produced via a nuclear reaction of an energetic beam on a target foil. The ions recoil out of the target and are transported to the extraction electrode via a buffer gas through a skimmer. After the extraction, they are mass separated using a magnetic field.

The beam is stopped at a thin carbon foil in the experimental chamber. In total, 5.9% of the decays of ¹²N populate states above the triple- α threshold [9]. Such decays are typically followed by the breakup of ¹²C into three α particles which were detected using an array of detectors. Figure 1 shows the cubic arrangement of six DSSDs (Double-sided Silicon Strip Detectors) around the foil. These detectors are made from 50mm×50mm silicon wafers, segmented into 16 strips, both on front and back. Five of these (except the one on the outside), are thin detectors to detect α -particles. The sixth is a thick detector for detecting β -particles. One HPGe (High Purity Germanium) detector was also used for the detection of γ -particles.

3. Analysis and Results

3.1. Energy calibrations

Energy calibrations were carried out using the radioactive sources, 239 Pu, 241 Am and 244 Cm, which emit α -particles of known energies, 5157, 5486 and 5805 keV respectively. For calibrating the thick backing detector and Ge detector, the electron source 207 Bi and the γ -ray source 60 Co were used respectively. In order to extrapolate these calibrations to the low energy region, two main corrections are to applied. They are explained in the following.

3.1.1. Calibration offsets and non-linearity for beta particle detection: The Compton scattered events between the thick DSSD and the HPGe detector have been used to check the linearity of amplification gains as a function of energy. For this, it is required that the ⁶⁰Co source is placed such that the radiation has to pass through the Si detector to reach the Ge detector. γ particles that are scattered from Si at a low angle before reaching the Ge detector deposit part of their energy in both detectors. Such events can be seen as two diagonal lines in Figure 2, which shows the energy deposited in Si detector plotted against the energy deposited in HPGe (similar to work in Ref [10]). The intercepts made by these lines on x axis are 5 -10 keV off from 1173 and 1332 keV (⁶⁰Co γ rays energies), indicating offset in calibrations of Si detector towards the lower energy. The pulser data also indicates slight non-linearity in the calibrations. The correction will be done using the pulser data and cross-checked against the ⁶⁰Co data as in Figure 2.





Figure 1: 3D model of DSSD arrangement. (Side view and top view respectively)



Figure 2: γ -ray energy deposited in DSSD vs HPGe.

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 940 (2018) 012043

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/940/1/012043

20 - 160 keV energy in the dead-layer before reaching the active material of the detector, with the loss being a nonlinear function of energy. Similarly, the α particles lose energy while exiting the source. Corrections of these effects have not yet been fully applied in Figure 3, which explains the energy offset of 200 keV for the 12.7 MeV state.

3.2. β -triple- α coincidences

To begin with the analysis on data, we identify the ¹²C state's energy and constrain the spin-parity. The excitation energy is reconstructed by adding the energies of all three α -particles to the triple- α threshold energy (7.275 MeV). To constrain the spin-parity, the events are gated on the breakup channel. ¹²C breakup can either be sequential, or it can be a three-body breakup. The sequential breakup involves the ⁸Be nucleus via either the 0^+ ground state or the 2^+ excited state. 0^+ and 2^+ states predominantly breakup through the ⁸Be ground state. In such breakups, the first α -particle takes approximately two-thirds of the excitation energy above the threshold. In Figure 3, the diagonal line with the slope 2/3 corresponds to the first α -particle from such events. This channel is prohibited for the 1^+ state. The selection on the ⁸Be (g.s.) channel therefore cleans the data of 1^+ component.

3.3. Future work on β - α angular correlation

To discriminate between the 2^+ and 0^+ component, we will use of the angular correlation between the β particle and the first α -particle from the breakup. If the emitted β -particle in a decay is relativistic, the



Figure 3: (a) Individual α -particle energy against excitation energy. (b) Projection of the above, gated on breakup channels.



Figure 4: Predicted angular correlation of first α -particle and the β -particle.

daughter nucleus is left in a polarised state along the axis defined by the β -particle emission direction. This results in an angular correlation between subsequent radiation and the β -particle. With the high Q-value (16.316 MeV [2]) of β -decay of ¹²N, the relativistic assumption is applicable here. Figure 4 shows the difference in angular correlations for 0⁺ and 2⁺ states. Work on the detailed correlation analysis is ongoing. The data shown in Figure 3 is β -triple- α coincidence data, and will be used in correlation study.

References

- [1] Zimmerman W R et al. 2013 Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 0152502
- [2] Fynbo H O U and Diget C A 2014 Hyperfine interactions 223 1–3
- [3] Hyldegaard S et al. 2010 Phys. Rev. C 81 024303
- [4] Itoh M et al. 2011 Phys. Rev. C 84 054308
- [5] Freer M et al. 2009 Phys. Rev. C 80 041303
- [6] Ogloblin A et al. 2014 EPJ Web of Conferences 66 02074
- [7] Ajzenberg-Selove F 1990 Nucl. Phys. A. 506
- [8] Epelbaum E et al. 2012 Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 252501
- [9] Hyldegaard S et al. 2009 Phys. Rev. C. 80 044304
- [10] Bildstein V et al. 2012 Eur. Phys. J. A 48 85