



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *INTEREST IN LIFESTYLE ADVICE AT LUNG CANCER SCREENING: DETERMINANTS AND PREFERENCES*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/131075/>

Version: Accepted Version

Proceedings Paper:

Stevens, C, Quaife, S, Smith, S orcid.org/0000-0003-1983-4470 et al. (3 more authors) (2018) *INTEREST IN LIFESTYLE ADVICE AT LUNG CANCER SCREENING: DETERMINANTS AND PREFERENCES*. In: *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*. SBM 39th Annual Meeting, 11-14 Apr 2018, New Orleans, USA. Oxford University Press , S311-S311.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/abm/kay013>

(c) Society of Behavioral Medicine 2018. All rights reserved. This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an abstract accepted for publication in *Annals of Behavioral Psychology* following peer review. The version of record; Stevens, C, Quaife, S, Smith, S et al. *INTEREST IN LIFESTYLE ADVICE AT LUNG CANCER SCREENING: DETERMINANTS AND PREFERENCES* is available online at:
<https://doi.org/10.1093/abm/kay013>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Interest in lifestyle advice at lung cancer screening: determinants and preferences

Authorship and affiliations

Claire Stevens¹, Samantha L Quaife¹, Samuel G. Smith^{2,1}, Charlotte Vrinten¹, Jo Waller¹, **Rebecca J. Beeken**^{2,1}

1. Department of Behavioural Science and Health, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, UK

2. Leeds Institute of Health Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9NL, UK

Background: Lung cancer screening has been identified as a ‘teachable moment’ at which to deliver cessation advice to smokers, who also more commonly engage in other cancer risk behaviours. Little is known about how this advice would be received by lung screening attenders, or whether advice about other behavioural cancer risk factors would be acceptable within this setting.

Methods: We carried out a population-based survey of English adults aged 50-75 years who self-identified as current smokers or recent quitters, and indicated they would participate in lung cancer screening if invited (n=459). We assessed willingness to receive lifestyle advice (smoking, diet, weight, physical activity, and alcohol consumption) at lung screening. Additional items assessed whether advice should be provided in the event of an abnormal screening result, the potential impact of advice on screening uptake, and preferred timing of advice.

Results: Overall, 64% (n=292) of the sample were willing to receive lifestyle advice at lung screening. A greater proportion of the sample were willing to receive advice in a scenario where the screening results required further investigation (83%, n=381; p<0.01). However, 14% (n=64) indicated the provision of lifestyle advice at lung screening would make them less willing to attend. Non-White ethnicity and greater cancer risk factor awareness were associated with willingness to receive advice (p<0.05). Half of current smokers (51%; n=113) were willing to receive smoking cessation advice. Interest in dietary advice was expressed by 47% (n=84) of people not consuming five portions of fruits and vegetables each day, and interest in advice about weight was expressed by 43% (n=50) of people with a BMI >25. One third of physically inactive people (32%; N=59) were willing to receive advice about increasing their physical activity, and one in five people identified as problematic drinkers (17%; N=16) were willing to receive advice about reducing alcohol consumption. There was a preference for advice to be delivered at the screening appointment (38%, n=108) over other time points during the screening process.

Conclusions: Lung screening may offer an opportunity to deliver not only smoking cessation advice, but also advice about other behavioural cancer risk factors. Future work should consider how to deliver effective interventions in this setting to support multiple behaviour change for this high risk group, while monitoring screening uptake.