

This is a repository copy of *Characteristics and mortality risk of children with life-threatening influenza infection admitted to paediatric intensive care in England 2003–2015.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/128950/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Hardelid, P, Kapetanstrataki, M, Norman, L et al. (4 more authors) (2018) Characteristics and mortality risk of children with life-threatening influenza infection admitted to paediatric intensive care in England 2003–2015. Respiratory Medicine, 137. pp. 23-29. ISSN 0954-6111

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rmed.2018.02.012

(c) 2018, Elsevier Ltd. This manuscript version is made available under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

Characteristics and mortality risk of children with life-threatening influenza infection admitted to paediatric intensive care in England 2003-2015

Pia Hardelid^{1*}, Melpo Kapetanstrataki², Lee Norman², Sarah J Fleming², Paula Lister³, Ruth Gilbert¹, Roger C Parslow²

¹UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, 30 Guilford Street, London WC1N 1EH, UK

² Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Leeds Institute of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Medicine, Worsley Building, Clarendon Way, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

³ Great Ormond Street Hospital, Great Ormond Street, London WC1N 3JH, UK

*Corresponding author. Email: p.hardelid@ucl.ac.uk, Telephone: +44 20 7905 2979

Abbreviations: AIC – Akaike's Information Criterion; HES – Hospital Episode Statistics; ICD – International Classification of Diseases; IMD – Index of Multiple Deprivation; PICANet – Paediatric Intensive Care Audit Network; PICU – Paediatric Intensive Care Unit

Abstract

Background

Information is lacking about the severity of complications in children with influenza admitted to paediatric intensive care units (PICU) in the UK. In this study, we report risk factors for mortality, invasive ventilation and use of vasoactive drugs for children admitted to PICU with influenza.

Methods

We evaluated all admissions to PICUs in England for resident children with a recorded influenza diagnosis between September 2003 and March 2015. We used the Paediatric Intensive Care Audit Network (PICANet) database linked to hospital admission records to identify influenza cases and high-risk comorbidities among admitted children. We used mixed effects logistic regression models to determine risk factors for mortality, use of invasive ventilation and vasoactive drugs.

Results

We identified 1961 influenza-related PICU admissions in 1778 children. Children with high-risk conditions accounted for 1540 admissions (78.5%). The odds of mortality were significantly higher for girls than boys (adjusted odds ratio 1.91; 95% confidence interval 1.31, 2.79), children from Asian/Asian British (2.70; 1.74, 4.20) or other minority ethnic groups (3.95; 1.65, 9.42) compared to white British children, and significantly increased before and during the A(H1N1)pdm 2009 pandemic compared to the post-pandemic period. Children required invasive ventilation in 1588 admissions (81.0%), and received vasoactive drugs in 586 admissions (29.9%).

Conclusions

Nearly four fifths of influenza-related PICU admissions occurred in children with high-risk conditions, highlighting the burden of severe influenza in this vulnerable population Further research is required to explain sex and ethnic group differences in PICU mortality among children admitted with influenza.

Key words: Influenza, intensive care, paediatrics, mortality, ventilation

Introduction

Approximately 90 million children less than five years are infected with influenza every year globally.[1] Influenza infection can lead to a range of physiological effects from mild upper respiratory symptoms to critical illness including acute respiratory distress syndrome and septic shock requiring intensive care.[2] The impact of influenza on paediatric intensive care unit (PICU) capacity was demonstrated during the influenza A(H1N1)pdm2009 pandemic in 2009 and 2010, when children were more likely to experience severe morbidity and mortality than during seasonal influenza epidemics.[3, 4] Several multicentre observational studies have highlighted the increased risk of influenza-related PICU admission in children with chronic conditions.[5-9]

Since September 2013, it has been recommended that all children in the UK aged two years and older are vaccinated with influenza vaccine every year under a universal programme which is being progressively rolled out. This replaces a policy of vaccinating only children at high risk of influenza complications due to the presence of chronic illness. The targeted strategy towards high risk groups remains for children aged between six months and two years.[10] However, influenza vaccination uptake in children in England is low. In 2016/17, the proportion of children vaccinated in England was 19.5% in children between six months and two years and in a high risk group, 34.4% in preschool children, and 53.6% in six to seven year old schoolchildren.[11, 12] Further, there are no licensed vaccines for children aged less than six months old, due to a lack of evidence of effectiveness and concerns about adverse events.[13]

Studies have shown that parents' views of influenza as a less serious infection may contribute to low uptake of influenza vaccination in children in the UK.[14, 15] An understanding of risk factors for poor outcome among children admitted to PICU is needed to identify which children benefit most from vaccine protection and therefore motivate higher uptake. A mandatory surveillance scheme was set up by Public Health England in 2011 for reporting the number of laboratory confirmed influenza admissions to higher dependency (HDU) or intensive care units in the UK.[16] However, information on risk factors, such as underlying chronic conditions, is only reported for a subset of admissions.[17]

In this study, we describe characteristics of all children admitted to PICU with influenza in England, and examine risk factors for mortality. We also examine risk factors for two other markers of illness severity: invasive ventilation and treatment with vasoactive drugs, and assess whether mortality and illness severity have changed over time. We use data linkage between PICU and a hospital admission database to improve the detection of influenza cases and reduce under-recording of high-risk chronic conditions.

Materials and methods

Data sources

The Paediatric Intensive Care Audit Network (PICANet)[18] is a national clinical audit that receives demographic and clinical data on all admissions to PICUs in the UK and the Republic of Ireland. Data collection started in England and Wales in 2002. Diagnostic and procedure information is coded in PICANet using Clinical Terms 3 (The Read Codes). Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) is a hospital admission database, comprising all hospital admissions paid for by the National Health Service (NHS) in England.[19] Diagnoses in HES are recorded using the International Classification of Diseases version 10 (ICD-10). Each child recorded in PICANet was linked to their longitudinal HES admission record (since April 1997) by the HES data providers (NHS Digital) using a deterministic algorithm based on NHS number, date of birth, sex and postcode.

Study population

We extracted data on all influenza-related admissions to PICUs in England for resident children aged less than 16 years between October 2003 and March 2015. An influenza-related admission was defined as a PICU admission in PICANet where either a) any of the diagnostic fields included an influenza-related Read code (see Supplementary Table S1), or b) a linked hospital admission starting up to 7 days before or three days after a PICANet admission had an ICD-10 code indicating influenza (J09-J11). Readmissions to PICU within 12 hours of discharge from a previous admission in the same unit were excluded, to allow for children being discharged and readmitted in case of surgery. We defined the winter season as 1^{st} October in year *x* to end of March in year *x*+1.

Outcomes

The primary outcome in this study was mortality during PICU admission. The secondary outcomes were type of ventilation and requirement for vasoactive drugs. Data on these outcomes were recorded in PICANet. Where a child died during a readmission within 12 hours of the previous (index) admission, the mortality outcome was applied to the index admission (and the readmission excluded). Type of ventilation was coded into a three-category variable: no ventilation, non-invasive ventilation (including high-flow nasal oxygen and continuous positive airway pressure) and invasive ventilation (endotracheal intubation or tracheostomy). For the statistical models, we collapsed the no ventilation and non-invasive ventilation categories to derive a binary variable: invasive ventilation and no invasive ventilation. Requirement for vasoactive drugs was coded into a binary variable (yes/no).

Risk factors

We hypothesised, based on previous literature, that age, gender and presence of high-risk conditions would be associated with severe influenza symptoms, including invasive ventilation[7, 20, 21], and that severe outcomes would vary over time, particularly between pandemic and non-pandemic seasons. We examined whether a child's ethnic group and socio-economic status were also associated with mortality, or need for invasive ventilation and vasoactive drugs.

Age was derived from PICANet records and coded into a four category variable (<6 months, 6-<24 months, 2-<5 years, 5-<16 years), using standard age groups for influenza surveillance, but splitting the youngest age groups according to current eligibility to receive influenza vaccine in the UK.[22, 23] Sex was derived from PICANet, or if missing, from the child's linked HES record.

Ethnic group was determined from the PICANet record, or if missing, from a child's longitudinal HES record prior to PICANet admission. The ethnic group classification in PICANet and HES maps onto 2011 Census categories.[24] We coded ethnic group into a four category variable to ensure sufficient numbers for analysis: white (including white British, white Irish and other white), mixed or multiple ethnic groups, Asian or Asian British (including Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, Chinese or other Asian), black or black British (including black Caribbean, black African and black other), and other ethnic groups.

Socio-economic status was estimated using the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD),[25] a small area-level (between 1000 and 3000 people) based classification of material deprivation. The IMD score was available from the longitudinal HES record, mapped from the child's residential postcode, and coded into quintiles. We assigned a PICANet admission to the IMD quintile recorded during the hospital episode nearest in time to the PICANet admission date.

We defined the presence of a high-risk chronic condition indicating eligibility to receive influenza vaccination under the targeted programme using a previously published Read code list[26] which has been translated into ICD-10 codes.[27, 28] We searched for the relevant Read codes during the PICANet admission or for the corresponding ICD-10 codes during the child's longitudinal HES records at any time before PICANet admission. The conditions were classified into six groups to ensure sufficient numbers for analysis: neurological, respiratory, cardiovascular conditions, immunodeficiency, kidney conditions or diabetes, and liver conditions or obesity. For the statistical models, we used a binary indicator: presence of a high-risk condition: yes/no.

We defined five time periods to examine changes over time in the probability of each outcome: October 2003-March 2009 (pre-pandemic period), April 2009-March 2010 (pandemic waves 1 and 2), April 2010-March 2011 (pandemic wave 3), April 2011-August 2013 (post-pandemic period) and September 2013-March 2015 (roll out of universal paediatric influenza vaccination programme).

Statistical analyses

We estimated the number of admissions and influenza-related PICU admission rates during winter seasons per 100,000 children by sex and age group. Denominators were derived from mid-year population estimates from the Office for National Statistics.[29] We combined admissions for children under two years of age since denominator populations for children aged <6 months were not available.

We determined the proportion of admitted children with a high-risk condition, and the proportion of deaths in PICU where the child had a high-risk condition. To examine risk factors for mortality during PICU admission, we fitted mixed effects logistic regression models with death as the outcome variable, and unit as the random effect. We included unit as a random effect since outcomes within units have been shown to be more similar than across units [30, 31], due to differences in the casemix of children admitted, as well as differences in care practices. Age group, gender, presence of high-risk conditions and time period were included in the baseline model *a priori*. We added ethnic group and IMD quintile in a forward stepwise fashion. Model fit was compared using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). Admissions with missing values for any of the outcomes or risk factors were excluded. We repeated the modelling process for the outcomes ventilation type and requirement for vasoactive drugs.

Ethical approval

Collection of personally identifiable data has been approved by the Patient Information Advisory Group (now the NHS Health Research Authority Confidentiality Advisory Group-CAG) see -<u>http://www.hra.nhs.uk/documents/2017/04/cag-piag-register-march-2017.xls</u> - and ethics approval granted by the Trent Medical Research Ethics Committee, ref. 05/MRE04/17 +5. The CAG and ethics approvals cover linkage between PICANet and other databases, including HES.

Results

We identified 1961 influenza-related PICU admissions in 1778 children to 31 PICUs. 1628 children had one influenza-related PICU admission (91.6%), 123 children (6.9%) had two and 27 children had three or more (1.5%). Of the 183 readmissions, 171 (93.4%) occurred within six months of the first admission.

Of the 1961 admissions, 20.7% (406 admissions) were identified as influenza-related admissions from PICANet only, 52.8% (1035 admissions) from hospital admission records only, and 26.5% (520 admissions) could be identified from both data sources. Of the 926 admissions identified from PICU records, 95.8% (887 admissions) were linked to at least one preceding hospital record. 26% of influenza-related PICU admissions were in infants aged less than six months old (Table 1), and 56% were in children less than two years old.

1540 admissions were in children with at least one high-risk condition (78.5%); and 63.5% of these were among children with high-risk conditions from more than one of the six groups of conditions (978 admissions). Respiratory and neurological conditions were the most common types of high-risk conditions among admitted children. The proportion of children with high-risk conditions increased with age. Among children aged six months to less than two years old, 452 of 589 admissions were in children with high-risk conditions (76.7%), increasing to 473 of 514 admissions (92.2) in children aged 5-15 years.

The crude admission rate was 2.6/100,000 children during winter seasons (95% Cl 2.4, 2.7). Boys had significantly higher admission rates than girls: 2.9 (2.7, 3.1) versus 2.3 (2.1, 2.4). Age-specific rates were 10.5 (9.8, 11.3) among <2 year olds, 2.6 (2.3, 2.9) among 2-<5 year olds and 1.0 (0.9, 1.1) among 5-<16 year olds. In the four seasons since the end of the influenza pandemic, 138 children were admitted to PICU with influenza on average each season.

Risk factor	Number (%)
Sex	
Male	1132 (57.7)
Female	829 (42.3)
Age group	
<6 months	509 (26.0)
6-<24 months	
2-<5 years	589 (30.0)
•	349 (17.8)
5-<16 years Presence of high-risk condition	514 (26.2)
-	401 /01 F)
No	421 (21.5)
Yes	1540 (78.5)
Type of high-risk condition*	
Neurological conditions	931 (47.5)
Respiratory conditions	969 (49.4)
Heart conditions	746 (38.0)
Immunodeficiency	200 (10.2)
Kidney conditions or diabetes	175 (8.9)
Liver conditions or obesity	182 (9.3)
Time period	
October 2003-March 2009	487 (24.8)
(Pre-pandemic)	
April 2009-March 2010	375 (19.1)
(Pandemic, waves 1 & 2)	
April 2010-March 2011	371 (18.9)
(Pandemic Wave 3)	2(7/10 7)
April 2011-August 2013 (Post-pandemic)	367 (18.7)
September 2013-March 2015	361 (18.4)
(Universal paediatric influenza	501 (1011)
vaccination programme)	
Ethnic group	
White	1315 (67.1)
Mixed/multiple	53 (2.7)
Asian/Asian British	331 (16.9)
Black/black British	169 (8.6)
Other	51 (2.6)
Missing	42 (2.1)
Index of Multiple Deprivation quintile	
1st Most deprived	677 (34.5)
2nd	416 (21.2)
3rd	338 (17.2)
4th	222 (11.3)
5th Least deprived	267 (13.6)
Missing	41 (2.1)
Total	1961

 Table 1: Distribution of risk factors among admitted children (n=1961)

*The number of children with each high-risk condition adds up to more than 1961 since children can have multiple conditions

Risk factors for mortality (primary outcome)

Overall, 143 admissions ended in death (7.3%). Only 3.5% of all deaths (*n*=5) were in children without high-risk conditions; these were not concentrated in any year or in any age group. The odds of mortality was over nine times higher for children with high-risk conditions (Table 2). Girls, and children from Asian/Asian British or other non-majority ethnic groups, apart from black/black British also experienced significantly higher odds of dying during admission. The odds of mortality was significantly increased before and during the pandemic compared to the post-pandemic period.

 Table 2 Percentage of children who died during PICU admission, and crude and adjusted* odds

 ratios from logistic regression models, by risk factor (n=1886)

Risk factor	Died during admission <i>n</i> (% of children in group)	Crude odds ratio (95% CI)	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)
Sex			
Male	60 (5.3)	1	1
Female	83 (10.0)	1.98 (1.38, 2.85)	1.91 (1.31, 2.79)
Age group			
<6 months	23 (4.5)	0.51 (0.27, 0.96)	0.82 (0.45, 1.49)
6-<24 months	34 (5.8)	1	1
2-<5 years	25 (7.2)	0.78 (0.45, 1.36)	1.29 (0.73, 2.29)
5-<16 years	61 (11.9)	1.66 (1.00, 2.76)	1.77 (1.09, 2.88)
Presence of high-risk condition			
No	5 (1.2)	1	1
Yes	138 (9.0)	11.49 (3.63, 36.42)	9.57 (2.98, 30.73)
Time period			
October 2003-March 2009	38 (7.8)	2.11 (1.11, 4.03)	
(Pre-pandemic)			2.36 (1.22, 4.59)
April 2009-March 2010	39 (10.4)	3.21 (1.69, 6.08)	
(Pandemic, waves 1 & 2)			2.95 (1.52, 5.73)
April 2010-March 2011	36 (9.7)	2.87 (1.49, 5.51)	
(Pandemic Wave 3)			3.33 (1.69, 6.54)
April 2011-August 2013 (Post- pandemic)	18 (4.9)	1	1
October 2013-March 2015 (Universal paediatric influenza vaccination programme)	12 (3.3)	0.84 (0.37, 1.89)	0.79 (0.35, 1.81)
Ethnic group			
White	76 (5.8)	1	1
Mixed/multiple	5 (9.4)	1.51 (0.52, 4.36)	1.75 (0.58, 5.31)
Asian/Asian British	46 (13.9)	2.55 (1.68, 3.88)	2.70 (1.74, 4.20)
Black/black British	4 (2.4)	0.39 (0.14, 1.10)	0.39 (0.14, 1.14)
Other	8 (15.7)	3.29 (1.45, 7.47)	3.95 (1.65, 9.42)
IMD quintile**			
1st, Most deprived	52 (7.7)	1.27 (0.69, 2.34)	
2nd	34 (8.2)	1.46 (0.77, 2.76)	
3rd	21 (6.2)	1.09 (0.54, 2.17)	
4th	13 (5.9)	0.95 (0.43, 2.09)	
5th, Least deprived	16 (6.0)	1	

*Adjusted for all other variables in model

**IMD quintile did not significantly improve the model fit and was therefore excluded from the final model.

Risk factors for invasive ventilation and need for vasoactive drugs (secondary outcomes)

Overall, 156 admissions (8.0 %) involved non-invasive ventilation only, and 1588 admissions (81.0%) required invasive ventilation (Supplementary Table S2). Only 10.8 % (212 admissions) did not require any form of respiratory support. Type of ventilation was unknown for five admissions. Age group, sex, presence of high-risk conditions, ethnic group and time period were included in the final model for invasive ventilation (Table 3). The presence of a high-risk condition was not significantly associated with the odds of invasive ventilation. The probability of invasive ventilation was significantly decreased during the first wave of the pandemic, and during the period since September 2013 compared to the immediate post-pandemic period.

Age group Image: second s	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	
Female 0.75 (0.58, 0.96) 0 Age group	-	
Age group Image: Age group <6 months	1	
<6 months	.76 (0.59, 0.98)	
6-<24 months		
2-<5 years	.00 (0.67, 1.49)	
5-<16 years	1	
Presence of high-risk conditions Ote (0.50, 1120) Ote (0.50, 1120) No 1 1 Yes 0.73 (0.53, 1.01) 0 Time period 0.73 (0.49, 1.10) 0 October 2003-March 2009 (Pre-pandemic) 0.73 (0.49, 1.10) 0 April 2009-Mach 2010 (Pandemic, waves 1 & 2) 0.53 (0.35, 0.80) 0 April 2010-March 2011 (Pandemic Wave 3) 0.94 (0.61, 1.45) 0 April 2011-August 2013 (Post-pandemic) 1 1 Universal paediatric influenza vaccination programme 0.6 (0.40, 0.91) 0 White 1 1 1 Mixed/multiple 1.21 (0.54, 2.71) 1 Asian/Asian British 1.09 (0.77, 1.55) 1 Black/black British 0.58 (0.38, 0.87) 0 Other 0.77 (0.37, 1.59) 0	.96 (0.67, 1.40)	
No 1 Yes 0.73 (0.53, 1.01) 0 Time period 0.73 (0.49, 1.10) 0 October 2003-March 2009 (Pre-pandemic) 0.73 (0.49, 1.10) 0 April 2009-Mach 2010 (Pandemic, waves 1 & 2) 0.53 (0.35, 0.80) 0 April 2010-March 2011 (Pandemic Wave 3) 0.94 (0.61, 1.45) 0 April 2011-August 2013 (Post-pandemic) 1 1 Universal paediatric influenza vaccination programme 0.6 (0.40, 0.91) 0 White 1 1 Mixed/multiple 1.21 (0.54, 2.71) 1 Asian/Asian British 0.58 (0.38, 0.87) 0 Black/black British 0.57 (0.37, 1.59) 0 IMD quintile** I I	.84 (0.57, 1.24)	
Yes 0.73 (0.53, 1.01) 0 Time period 0 0 0.73 (0.53, 1.01) 0 October 2003-March 2009 (Pre-pandemic) 0.73 (0.49, 1.10) 0 0 April 2009-Mach 2010 (Pandemic, waves 1 & 2) 0.53 (0.35, 0.80) 0 0 April 2010-March 2011 (Pandemic Wave 3) 0.94 (0.61, 1.45) 0 0 April 2011-August 2013 (Post-pandemic) 1 1 0 0 Universal paediatric influenza vaccination programme 0.6 (0.40, 0.91) 0 0 White 1 1 1 1 1 Mixed/multiple 1.21 (0.54, 2.71) 1 1 1 Asian/Asian British 0.58 (0.38, 0.87) 0 0 0 IMD quintile** 0.77 (0.37, 1.59) 0 0 0		
Time period 0.73 (0.33, 1.01) 0 October 2003-March 2009 (Pre-pandemic) 0.73 (0.49, 1.10) 0 April 2009-Mach 2010 (Pandemic, waves 1 & 2) 0.53 (0.35, 0.80) 0 April 2010-March 2011 (Pandemic Wave 3) 0.94 (0.61, 1.45) 0 April 2011-August 2013 (Post-pandemic) 1 0 Universal paediatric influenza vaccination programme 0.6 (0.40, 0.91) 0 White 1 1 Mixed/multiple 1.21 (0.54, 2.71) 1 Asian/Asian British 0.58 (0.38, 0.87) 0 Black/black British 0.58 (0.38, 0.87) 0 IMD quintile** I I	1	
October 2003-March 2009 (Pre-pandemic) 0.73 (0.49, 1.10) 0 April 2009-Mach 2010 (Pandemic, waves 1 & 2) 0.53 (0.35, 0.80) 0 April 2010-March 2011 (Pandemic Wave 3) 0.94 (0.61, 1.45) 0 April 2011-August 2013 (Post-pandemic) 1 0 Universal paediatric influenza vaccination programme 0.6 (0.40, 0.91) 0 Ethnic group 1 1 Mixed/multiple 1.21 (0.54, 2.71) 1 Asian/Asian British 0.58 (0.38, 0.87) 0 Other 0.77 (0.37, 1.59) 0	.77 (0.55, 1.07)	
April 2009-Mach 2010 (Pandemic, waves 1 & 2) 0.53 (0.35, 0.80) () April 2010-March 2011 (Pandemic Wave 3) 0.94 (0.61, 1.45) () April 2011-August 2013 (Post-pandemic) 1 () Universal paediatric influenza vaccination programme 0.6 (0.40, 0.91) () Ethnic group 1 () White 1 () Mixed/multiple 1.21 (0.54, 2.71) () Asian/Asian British 0.58 (0.38, 0.87) () Other 0.77 (0.37, 1.59) () IMD quintile** 1 ()		
April 2010-March 2011 (Pandemic Wave 3)0.94 (0.61, 1.45)April 2011-August 2013 (Post-pandemic)1Universal paediatric influenza vaccination programme0.6 (0.40, 0.91)Ethnic group1White1Mixed/multiple1.21 (0.54, 2.71)Asian/Asian British1.09 (0.77, 1.55)Black/black British0.58 (0.38, 0.87)Other0.77 (0.37, 1.59)IMD quintile**1	.72 (0.48, 1.08)	
April 2011-August 2013 (Post-pandemic)Intervention of the second sec	.54 (0.36, 0.82)	
Universal paediatric influenza vaccination programme 0.6 (0.40, 0.91) () Ethnic group 1 1 White 1.21 (0.54, 2.71) 1 Mixed/multiple 1.09 (0.77, 1.55) 1 Asian/Asian British 0.58 (0.38, 0.87) () Black/black British 0.77 (0.37, 1.59) () IMD quintile**	.89 (0.57, 1.38)	
Ethnic group Image: Second secon	1	
White 1 Mixed/multiple 1.21 (0.54, 2.71) 1 Asian/Asian British 1.09 (0.77, 1.55) 1 Black/black British 0.58 (0.38, 0.87) 0 Other 0.77 (0.37, 1.59) 0	.58 (0.38, 0.87)	
Mixed/multiple 1.21 (0.54, 2.71) 1 Asian/Asian British 1.09 (0.77, 1.55) 1 Black/black British 0.58 (0.38, 0.87) 0 Other 0.77 (0.37, 1.59) 0 IMD quintile**		
Asian/Asian British 1.09 (0.77, 1.55) 1 Black/black British 0.58 (0.38, 0.87) 0 Other 0.77 (0.37, 1.59) 0 IMD quintile**	1	
Black/black British 0.58 (0.38, 0.87) () Other 0.77 (0.37, 1.59) () IMD quintile** () ()	.27 (0.56, 2.89)	
Other 0.77 (0.37, 1.59) (IMD quintile**	.17 (0.82, 1.67)	
IMD quintile**	.59 (0.38, 0.89)	
-	.75 (0.36, 1.56)	
1st, Most deprived 0.90 (0.60, 1.34)		
2nd 1.22 (0.79, 1.88)		
3rd 1.22 (0.78, 1.92)		
4th 1.18 (0.70, 1.96)		
5th, Least deprived 1		

Table 3 Crude and adjusted* odds ratios for invasive ventilation from logistic regression models, by risk factor (*n*=1882)

*Adjusted for all other variables in model

**IMD quintile did not significantly improve the model fit and was therefore excluded from the final model.

Children received vasoactive drugs in 586 admissions (29.9%). Information on vasoactive drugs was missing for 40 admissions. Use of vasoactive drugs increased with age, and with presence of high-risk conditions. Children with immunodeficiency were most likely to be treated with vasoactive drugs. (Supplementary Table S3, Table 4). Girls were significantly more likely than boys to be given vasoactive drugs, even after adjustment for age and high-risk conditions. Compared to the post-pandemic period, use of vasoactive drugs was significantly lower during the period after the introduction of universal influenza vaccination.

Table 4. Crude and adjusted* odds ratios for requirement for vasoactive drugs from logistic regression models, by risk factor (*n*=1850)

Risk factor	Crude odds ratio (95% Cl)	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)
Sex		
Male	1	1
Female	1.44 (1.16, 1.77)	1.40 (1.12, 1.73)
Age group		
<6 months	0.57 (0.41, 0.81)	0.64 (0.45, 0.91)
6-<24 months	1	1
2-<5 years	0.94 (0.69, 1.28)	0.94 (0.68, 1.29)
5-<16 years	1.70 (1.24, 2.31)	1.52 (1.10, 2.08)
Presence of high-risk condition		
No	1	1
Yes	2.58 (1.89, 3.51)	2.18 (1.58, 3.01)
Time period		
October 2003-March 2009 (Pre-pandemic)	0.75 (0.54, 1.04)	0.79 (0.57, 1.11)
April 2009-Mach 2010 (Pandemic, waves 1 & 2)	1.12 (0.81, 1.55)	1.00 (0.71, 1.40)
April 2010-March 2011 (Pandemic Wave 3)	1.22 (0.88, 1.69)	1.28 (0.91, 1.80)
April 2011-August 2013 (Post-pandemic)	1	1
Universal paediatric influenza vaccination programme	0.59 (0.41, 0.84)	0.59 (0.41, 0.84)
Ethnic group**		
White	1	
Mixed/multiple	0.69 (0.34, 1.38)	
Asian/Asian British	1.31 (0.98, 1.75)	
Black/black British	0.93 (0.62, 1.38)	
Other	1.22 (0.62, 2.39)	
Index of Multiple Deprivation (2004) quintile**		
1st Most deprived	0.86 (0.61, 1.21)	
2nd	0.87 (0.61, 1.24)	
3rd	1.02 (0.70, 1.47)	
4th	0.93 (0.62, 1.40)	
5th Least deprived	1	

*Adjusted for all other variables in model

**Ethnic group and Index of Multiple Deprivation quintile did not significantly improve the fit of the final model and was therefore not included.

Discussion

There are around 140 influenza-related PICU admissions every year in England. Over three quarters of children with an influenza diagnosis admitted to PICU had high-risk conditions and a quarter of admissions occurred in children aged less than six months old who are too young to be vaccinated. Children admitted to PICU with influenza were more likely to be boys; and boys were significantly more likely to survive. Older children, and children from an Asian or other ethnic group also had significantly higher odds of mortality even after adjustment for high-risk conditions.

This is the largest UK study to date of severe influenza infections in children resulting in PICU admission. Linkage between PICU and the national hospital admission database improved the ascertainment of high-risk conditions: the proportion of admitted children with high-risk conditions was over 50% higher when using data from linked longitudinal hospital records compared to using intensive care data alone. This underrecording arises since some PICUs tend to report the main reason for admission to PICU only. Linkage between PICU and hospital admission data also allowed more influenza-related PICU admissions to be identified, thus avoiding the low sensitivity of diagnostic coding for specific respiratory infections.[32] National data collection over several years was required to estimate relative odds of mortality according to key risk factors, since influenza-related deaths in children are rare.

Our study also had some weaknesses. First, we did not have access to data on laboratory confirmation of influenza infection. There is no national testing protocol for children presenting to hospital with symptoms of respiratory infections in England. However, we note that the average number of PICU admissions in children aged 0-14 years in this study between weeks 40 and 12 in the 2013/14 and 2014/15 influenza seasons is similar to the number published by Public Health England through the USISS surveillance scheme which only includes laboratory confirmed influenza (although the USISS scheme also covers higher dependency units).[33, 34]

Second, influenza vaccination history is not recorded on PICANet nor HES, and there is currently no national immunisation database anywhere in the UK which captures influenza vaccination in children. We could therefore not estimate the proportion of children admitted to PICU with influenza who had been vaccinated. Similarly, data on antiviral use was not available in PICANet, nor in HES.

Third, we used an extract of linked hospital admission records for children who had had at least one PICU admission. Therefore, we could not estimate the proportion of children admitted to hospital with influenza who were admitted to PICU. Linkage between PICANet and a whole-nation birth cohort created using longitudinal HES data for all children born in England[35] could be used to examine the impact of the introduction of the universal paediatric influenza vaccination policy on children with specific high-risk conditions.

Children with high-risk conditions accounted for over 75% of influenza-related PICU admissions, and 83.5% among admissions in children aged over six months old. These children also accounted for 97% of the deaths. A previous UK study conducted during the 2009 pandemic based on death certification data and clinician reports found that two thirds of deaths were in children with high-risk conditions.[21] In contrast, a study of PICU admissions in Australia and New Zealand 2007-2013 found that 59% of admissions were in previously healthy children.[36]. However this study was based on PICU reports only and high-risk conditions may have been under-recorded, emphasising the value of linking intensive care audit to hospital admission data. Influenza vaccination has been found to reduce the risk of PICU admission in an observational case-negative control study conducted in the United States.[37] Influenza vaccine uptake in England among children with high-

risk conditions has remained below 30% for children aged 6 months to less than two years, and below 50% for children aged 2-15 years since 2009/10. The low uptake has been linked to concerns about vaccine safety and parental views of influenza as a less serious illness, as well as difficulties accessing vaccination services.[14] Increasing the burden of influenza on PICUs should therefore focus on increasing vaccination uptake among children with high-risk chronic conditions, particularly among children aged between six months and two years who have the lowest uptake, and higher PICU admission rates than older children. Children aged less than six months accounted for 26% of PICU admissions. Since there are no influenza vaccines licensed for this age group, alternative strategies including maternal vaccination during pregnancy,[38] and sibling vaccination[28] are likely to reduce the burden of influenza among this age group.

Children from an Asian/Asian British or other non-majority ethnic background were more likely to be admitted to PICU with an influenza-related infection and more likely to die after admission. The increased odds of mortality remained, even after adjusting for the presence of high-risk conditions. Previous studies have also identified an increased risk of death in children from an Asian ethnic group following PICU admission.[39, 40] The type and severity of comorbidities could be different in children from non-majority ethnic groups. A study using a national birth cohort of children with specific high-risk conditions linked to PICANet data is required to determine if this is the case.

Further, we found that girls were significantly more likely than boys to die after admission, despite higher PICU admission rates in boys. Girls were also more likely to require vasoactive drugs, but less likely to require invasive ventilation. A higher mortality rate in girls was also found among children admitted to PICUs in the United States during the pandemic 2009/10 season,[41] and among all PICANet admissions,[31] although the increased odds of mortality for girls among all PICANet admissions was significantly lower than in this study. Other case series of childhood influenza deaths (irrespective of PICU admission) have had a more even sex distrbution.[21, 42] A whole-country birth cohort linked to PICANet and a national hospital admission database would be required to determine whether the increased mortality rate in girls could be explained by a higher proportion of boys dying before PICU admission.

Mortality among admitted children was significantly higher before and during the H1N1 pandemic compared to the four seasons following the pandemic, even after adjustment for age and the presence of high-risk conditions. Higher mortality rates for pandemic, compared to seasonal, influenza in children were also reported in Argentina in 2009/10.[43] In contrast, a multi-centre study in Canada reported no significant difference between mortality rates among children hospitalised during pre-pandemic and pandemic influenza periods.[44] Overall mortality in PICUs in the UK and Ireland have decreased since 2003 when PICANet data collection began.[30] The decreased mortality observed in the seasons since 2009/10 in our study may also be explained by variation over time in case detection and the recording of underlying high-risk conditions. We found a significantly decreased risk of invasive ventilation during the first two waves of the pandemic and in the last two seasons of the study (2013/14 and 2014/15) compared to the two immediate post-pandemic winters (2011/12 and 2012/13). Therefore, admission thresholds may also have varied across the study period. Follow-up studies are required to determine whether the decline in mortality among children with influenza in PICU observed since the end of the pandemic is maintained over subsequent seasons.

Conclusions

Infants and children with high-risk conditions account for three quarters of influenza-associated admissions to PICU Increasing influenza vaccination uptake among children with high-risk conditions is therefore needed to decrease the influenza burden on PICUs. Further research, based on linkage

between PICANet records and a national birth cohort of children created using administrative databases, is required to explain mortality differences among admitted children according to sex and ethnic group.

Financial support

This study was funded by an NIHR postdoctoral fellowship to PH, grant number PDF-2013-006-04. Research at UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health supported by the NIHR Great Ormond Street Hospital Biomedical Research Centre. This article represents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views expressed are those of the authors and not those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

The National Audit of Paediatric Intensive Care (PICANet) is commissioned by the Healthcare Quality Improvement Partnership (HQIP) as part of the National Clinical Audit and Patient Outcomes Programme (NCAPOP). HQIP is led by a consortium of the Academy of Medical Royal Colleges, the Royal College of Nursing, and National Voices. Its aim is to promote quality improvement, and in particular to increase the impact that clinical audit has on healthcare quality in England and Wales. HQIP holds the contract to commission, manage and develop the NCAPOP, comprising more than 30 clinical audits and clinical outcome review programmes that cover care provided to people with a wide range of medical, surgical, and mental health conditions. The programme is funded by NHS England, the Welsh Government and, with some individual audits, also funded by the Health Department of the Scottish government, the Northern Ireland Department of Health, the States of Jersey, Guernsey, and the Isle of Man. The PICANet Audit is also funded by NHS Wales, NHS Lothian/National Service Division NHS Scotland, the Royal Belfast Hospital for Sick Children, The National Office of Clinical Audit (NOCA) of the Republic of Ireland, and HCA Healthcare.

The funders had no role in any of the following: study design; collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and the decision to submit the article for publication.

Declaration of interests

PH reports receiving a travel award from the European Society for Paediatric Infectious Diseases (ESPID), supported by GSK, to present results related to this work at the annual ESPID conference in 2016. No other authors report a conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This work uses data provided by patients and collected by the NHS as part of their care and support.

References

 Nair H, Brooks WA, Katz M, et al. Global burden of respiratory infections due to seasonal influenza in young children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet. 2011;378:1917-1930.
 Paules C, Subbarao K. Influenza. Lancet. 2017.

3. Campbell CN, Mytton OT, McLean EM, et al. Hospitalization in two waves of pandemic influenza A(H1N1) in England. Epidemiol Infect. 2011;139:1560-1569.

4. Pebody RG, McLean E, Zhao H, et al. Pandemic Influenza A (H1N1) 2009 and mortality in the United Kingdom: risk factors for death, April 2009 to March 2010. Euro Surveill. 2010;15.

5. Dalziel SR, Thompson JM, Macias CG, et al. Predictors of severe H1N1 infection in children presenting within Pediatric Emergency Research Networks (PERN): retrospective case-control study. BMJ. 2013;347:f4836.

6. Eriksson CO, Graham DA, Uyeki TM, Randolph AG. Risk factors for mechanical ventilation in U.S. children hospitalized with seasonal influenza and 2009 pandemic influenza A*. Pediatr Crit Care Med. 2012;13:625-631.

7. Tokuhira N, Shime N, Inoue M, et al. Mechanically ventilated children with 2009 pandemic influenza A/H1N1: results from the National Pediatric Intensive Care Registry in Japan. Pediatr Crit Care Med. 2012;13:e294-298.

8. Dawood FS, Chaves SS, Pérez A, et al. Complications and Associated Bacterial Coinfections Among Children Hospitalized With Seasonal or Pandemic Influenza, United States, 2003–2010. Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2013.

9. Sugaya N, Shinjoh M, Mitamura K, Takahashi T. Very low pandemic influenza A (H1N1) 2009 mortality associated with early neuraminidase inhibitor treatment in Japan: analysis of 1000 hospitalized children. J Infect. 2011;63:288-294.

10. Department of Health. Influenza. 2015

https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/immunisation-against-infectious-disease-the-greenbook Accessed: 29/03/2017

11. Public Health England. Influenza immunisation programme for England: GP patient groups Data collection survey Season 2015 to 2016. 2016

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/544552/Seasonal_ flu_GP_patient_groups_annual_report_2015_2016.pdf. Accessed: 17/10/2016

12. Public Health England. National Childhood Influenza Vaccination Programme 2015 to 2016: Seasonal influenza vaccine uptake for children of primary school age. 2016

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/544542/Childhoo d_Influenza_Vaccination_Programme_Report_2015_2016.pdf. Accessed: 29/03/2017

13. Bonati M, Clavenna A. Seasonal influenza immunization in early infancy? BMC Public Health. 2012;12:873.

14. Sampson R, Wong L, Macvicar R. Parental reasons for non-uptake of influenza vaccination in young at-risk groups: a qualitative study. Br J Gen Pract. 2011;61:e386-391.

15. Smith LE, Webster RK, Weinman J, Amlôt R, Yiend J, Rubin GJ. Psychological factors associated with uptake of the childhood influenza vaccine and perception of post-vaccination side-effects: A cross-sectional survey in England. Vaccine. 2017;35:1936-1945.

16. Department of Health & the Health Protection Agency. UK Severe Influenza Surveillance System (USISS): Protocol for all NHS Acute Trusts 2011-12 2011

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/332482/USISS_20 11_2012_Protocol_Mandatory.pdf. Accessed: 05/04/2017

17. Health Protection Agency. UK Severe Influenza Surveillance System (USISS): Protocol for sentinel Acute NHS Trusts 2011-12. 2011

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/332480/USISS_20 11_2012_Protocol_Sentinel.pdf. Accessed: 18/02/2016

18. University of Leeds & University of Leicester. Paediatric Intensive Care Audit Network. 2017 http://www.picanet.org.uk/ Accessed: 19. Herbert A; Wijlaars L ZA, Cromwell D, Hardelid P. Data Resource Profile: Hospital Episode Statistics Admitted Patient Care (HES APC). Int J Epidemiol. 2017.

20. Streng A, Prifert C, Weissbrich B, Liese JG. Continued high incidence of children with severe influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 admitted to paediatric intensive care units in Germany during the first three post-pandemic influenza seasons, 2010/11–2012/13. BMC Infectious Diseases. 2015;15:573.

21. Sachedina N, Donaldson LJ. Paediatric mortality related to pandemic influenza A H1N1 infection in England: an observational population-based study. Lancet. 2010;376:1846-1852.

22. Public Health England. Surveillance of influenza and other respiratory viruses in the UK: Winter 2016 to 2017. 2017

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/613493/Surveillan ce_of_influenza_and_other_respiratory_viruses_in_the_UK_2016_to_2017.pdf. Accessed: 14/06/2017

23. Pebody RG, Green HK, Andrews N, et al. Uptake and impact of vaccinating school age children against influenza during a season with circulation of drifted influenza A and B strains, England, 2014/15. Euro Surveill. 2015;20.

24. Office for National Statistics. Ethnicity and National Identity in England and Wales: 2011. 2012 14/06/2017.

https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/culturalidentity/ethnicity/articles/e

25. Office of the Deputy Prime Minister. The English Indices of Deprivation 2004: Summary (Revised). 2004

http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20100410180038/http://www.communities.gov.uk/docu ments/communities/pdf/131206.pdf. Accessed: 29/03/2017

26. PRIMIS. Seasonal Influenza Vaccine Uptake Reporting Specification Collection 2014/2015. 2014 <u>http://www.nottingham.ac.uk/primis/documents/specs/seasonal-flu-lqd-specification-14-15-</u> <u>v7.pdf</u>. Accessed: 01/10/2015

27. Cromer D, van Hoek AJ, Jit M, Edmunds WJ, Fleming D, Miller E. The burden of influenza in England by age and clinical risk group: a statistical analysis to inform vaccine policy. J Infect. 2014;68:363-371.

28. Hardelid P, Verfuerden M, McMenamin J, Gilbert R. Risk factors for admission to hospital with laboratory-confirmed influenza in young children: birth cohort study. European Respiratory Journal. 2017;50.

29. NOMIS. Population estimates - local authority based by single year of age. 2016 <u>https://www.nomisweb.co.uk/</u> Accessed: 03/02/2017

30. Paediatric Intensive Care Audit N. Paediatric Intensive Care Audit Network Annual Report 2017. 2017 <u>http://www.picanet.org.uk/Audit/Annual-</u>

<u>Reporting/PICANet 2017 Annual Report Summary v1.0 FINAL.pdf</u>. Accessed: 29/11/2017
 31. Fraser LK, Parslow R. Children with life-limiting conditions in paediatric intensive care units: a national cohort, data linkage study. Arch Dis Child. 2017.

32. Moore HC, Lehmann D, de Klerk N, et al. How Accurate Are International Classification of Diseases-10 Diagnosis Codes in Detecting Influenza and Pertussis Hospitalizations in Children? J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc. 2014;3:255-260.

33. Public Health England. PHE Weekly National Influenza Report, 27 March 2014 – Week 13 report (up to week 12 data). 2014

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/325604/National_ flu_report_27_March_2014.pdf. Accessed: 06/04/2017

34. Public Health England. PHE Weekly National Influenza Report: 26 March 2015 – Week 13 report (up to week 12 data). 2015

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/417652/Weekly_r eport_current_wk13_26March2015.pdf. Accessed: 06/04/2017

35. Harron K, Gilbert R, Cromwell D, van der Meulen J. Linking Data for Mothers and Babies in De-Identified Electronic Health Data. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0164667.

36. Kaczmarek MC, Ware RS, Coulthard MG, McEniery J, Lambert SB. Epidemiology of Australian Influenza-Related Paediatric Intensive Care Unit Admissions, 1997-2013. PLOS ONE. 2016;11:e0152305.

37. Ferdinands JM, Olsho LE, Agan AA, et al. Effectiveness of influenza vaccine against lifethreatening RT-PCR-confirmed influenza illness in US children, 2010-2012. J Infect Dis. 2014;210:674-683.

38. Nunes MC, Cutland CL, Jones S, et al. Duration of infant protection against influenza illness conferred by maternal immunization: Secondary analysis of a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Pediatrics. 2016;170:840-847.

39. O'Donnell DR, Parslow RC, Draper ES. Deprivation, ethnicity and prematurity in infant respiratory failure in PICU in the UK. Acta Paediatr. 2010;99:1186-1191.

40. Parslow RC, Tasker RC, Draper ES, et al. Epidemiology of critically ill children in England and Wales: incidence, mortality, deprivation and ethnicity. Archives of Disease in Childhood. 2009;94:210-215.

41. Randolph A, Vaughn F, Sullivan R, et al. Critically III Children During the 2009–2010 Influenza Pandemic in the United States. Pediatrics. 2011;128:e1450-e1458.

42. Cox CM, Blanton L, Dhara R, Brammer L, Finelli L. 2009 Pandemic Influenza A (H1N1) Deaths among Children—United States, 2009–2010. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2011;52:S69-S74.

43. Libster R, Bugna J, Coviello S, et al. Pediatric Hospitalizations Associated with 2009 Pandemic Influenza A (H1N1) in Argentina. New England Journal of Medicine. 2010;362:45-55.

44. Tran D, Vaudry W, Moore D, et al. Comparison of Children Hospitalized With Seasonal Versus Pandemic Influenza A, 2004–2009. Pediatrics. 2012.