UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of *Mechanistic understanding of salt-assisted autocatalytic hydrolysis of cellulose*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/128734/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Jiang, Zhicheng, Fan, Jiajun orcid.org/0000-0003-3721-5745, Budarin, Vitaliy L. et al. (5 more authors) (2018) Mechanistic understanding of salt-assisted autocatalytic hydrolysis of cellulose. Sustainable Energy & Fuels. pp. 936-940.

https://doi.org/10.1039/C8SE00045J

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

View Article Online View Journal

Sustainable Energy & Fuels

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: Z. Jiang, J. Fan, V. L. Budarin, D. J. Macquarrie, Y. Gao, T. Li, C. Hu and J. Clark, *Sustainable Energy Fuels*, 2018, DOI: 10.1039/C8SE00045J.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the **author guidelines**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the ethical guidelines, outlined in our <u>author and reviewer resource centre</u>, still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/sustainable-energy

Published on 06 March 2018. Downloaded on 07/03/2018 14:08:53

Sustainable **Energy & Fuels**



Sustainable Energy & Fuels Accepted Manuscript

Mechanistic Understanding of Salt-Assisted Autocatalytic Hydrolysis of Cellulose

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

Zhicheng Jiang,^{a,b} Jiajun Fan,^b Vitaliy L. Budarin,^b Duncan J. Macquarrie,^b Yang Gao,^b Tianzong Li,^b Changwei Hu,*^{,a} James H. Clark*^{,b}

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Depolymerisation of cellulose is a critical step for biomass-based bio-refining processes to produce valuable chemicals. Herein, we propose the mechanism of the promoting effect of NaCl on the cellulose hydrolysis process based on a systematic kinetic study involving variable temperature studies and the use of deuterated agents. It has been found that the presence of NaCl simultaneously enhance the generation of acidic products from cellulose decomposition and push the generated protons to the surface of cellulose, dramatically increasing surface acidity and facilitating the autocatalytic hydrolysis of cellulose. Cl disrupted the intermolecular hydrogen bonding of cellulose, especially in the first surface layer. Thus, the solid cellulose chains were peeled off layer-by-layer, leading to an accelerated hydrolyisis of cellulose by the adsorbed protons. Without the need for traditional acidic catalysts, this autocatalytic depolymerisation of cellulose in water, assisted by salt provides a practically viable route to the enhanced conversion of biomass to chemicals.

With the growth of economic and industrial development needed to supply an increasing population, alternative resources are urgently needed to supplement and eventually replace fossil resources.^{1, 2} As a renewable and widespread resource, lignocellulosic biomass is a promising candidate and avoids competition with food supply.³⁻⁵ Cellulose is the largest component in lignocellulosic biomass and the most abundant polymer in nature, making it a great prospect for the production of biofuels and bio-based chemicals.^{6, 7} The high degree of cellulose crystallinity is unfavourable for use with solvents and catalysts, and poor heat transfer character of the solid cellulose also limits its application. Thus, overcoming the inherent recalcitrance of cellulose can make a more sustainable operation of the further biorefining process. ⁸ Since the early 1920s, researches have been focused on nonenzymatic cellulose hydrolysis,9 where acids are needed for the catalytic cleavage of the β -(1–4) glycosidic bonds.¹⁰

have been widely used for the hydrolysis of cellulose.¹¹⁻¹³ The combination of strongly acidic catalysts and inorganic salts (especially chloride salts) have also been used to assist the degradation of cellulose. $^{\rm 14,\ 15}$ For example, NaCl-H_3PO_4 was used for cellulose conversion, where high ionic strength increased the proton concentration in the reaction system and, as a result, facilitated depolymerisation of cellulose improving the yield of levulinic acid.¹⁶ However, the use of strong acids has technical drawbacks such as corrosion of equipment and environmental problems from subsequent waste streams. Besides acids, metal chlorides and ionic liquids can also be solely used as the catalyst for cellulose conversion and to yield value-added products (e.g. HMF) sometimes with high selectivity.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ Recently, it has been found that NaCl can be used as an efficient promoter of cellulose depolymerisation in the absence of any acid, and the associated NaCl effect on the cleavage of hydrogen bonding of cellulose was discussed.²⁰ Here, we report the effect of NaCl on pure microcrystalline cellulose conversion in a hydrothermal processing using microwave heating, a faster, more efficient and selective heating method. The mechanism of NaCl-promoted cellulose hydrolysis was investigated in detail via complete analysis of the liquid fractions and the post-treated solid samples, and reveals for the first time an autocatalytic process and the saltassisted adsorption of H^{+} on the surface of cellulose. These results provide valuable information for the further enhancement and control of the selectivity of cellulose conversion to chemicals.

Conventional acid, heteropolyacids, and solid acid catalysts

Initially, the effect of NaCl on the kinetics of hydrothermal conversion of cellulose was systematically investigated in the temperature range of 170 to 220 °C (Fig. 1) using an Anton Paar microwave reactor. Remarkably, there was no salt effect until over 180 °C which is in good agreement with a previous observation about cellulose alone.²¹ At 190 °C, the addition of NaCl showed only a very small effect on cellulose conversion. Increased reaction temperature led to an accelerated dissolution rate of cellulose and enlarged the difference between H₂O and NaCl-H₂O systems, reaching a 5-fold increase in the maximum reaction rate of cellulose hydrolysis after NaCl addition at 220 °C (Fig. 1B). The maximum reaction rates in both

J. Name., 2013, 00, 1-3 | 1

^a Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry and Technology, Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, 610064, China. Green Chemistry Centre of Excellence, Department of Chemistry, University of

York, York, YO10 5DD, U.K. E-mail: changweihu@scu.edu.cn; james.clark@york.ac.uk

⁺Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Fig. S1-S13 and Table S1-S2. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Published on 06 March 2018. Downloaded on 07/03/2018 14:08:53



Fig. 1 (A) Cellulose conversion in H_2O and NaCl- H_2O systems at different reaction temperatures; (B) conversion rate of cellulose in H_2O and NaCl- H_2O systems at 220 °C; (C) total activation energy.

systems were observed at conversions of nearly 50%, and the corresponding activation energy of cellulose conversion was calculated accordingly (Fig. 1C). The activation energy we obtained using pure water was 240 kJ/mol, very close to the literature value. $^{\rm 22,\ 23}$ Interestingly, the value increased to 310 kJ/mol using NaCl-H₂O. The possible way that we can have increased reaction rates and higher activation energy is through a substantial increase in the number of active centres. The two trends meet at a reaction temperature of 175 °C, consistent with the fact that we observed no salt effect with NaCl below 180 °C (Fig. 1C). It can be seen from Fig. 1 that the maximum conversion of cellulose is around 80% both in NaCl-H₂O and H₂O system at higher reaction temperature; most of the remainder is solid residue biochar according to TGA analysis (Fig. S1, ESI⁺). Thus, the actual conversion of cellulose is even higher than that in Figure 1A. However, to achieve the maximum conversion in the absence of salt, much longer reaction time was required.

All kinetic traces obtained at temperature above 190 °C both in the presence and absence of NaCl demonstrate clear S-shaped forms (Fig. 1A), that could be attributed to an autocatalytic process of cellulose depolymerisation, implying the formation of a catalytically active species during the depolymerisation procees. The increased conversion rate of cellulose with the reaction proceeding (Figure 1B) also supported the in-situ generation of catalytic species. To help prove this, a control experiment was carried out where the hydrolysate formed after 30 minutes of a microwave depolymerisation was replaced by fresh NaCl-H₂O. This resulted in a much reduced cellulose conversion (Fig. S2, ESI⁺). To try to identify new active species, HPLC analysis of the hydrolysates has been carried out. We found the major small molecular products of cellulose depolymerisation to be monosaccharides, HMF and carboxylic acids in both reaction systems in the temperature range of 190 to 220 $^{\rm o}{\rm C}$



Fig. 2 (A) HPLC signal traces and (B) NaCl effect of the products formed at 50% conversion of cellulose in H_2O and NaCl- H_2O systems at 210 °C.

(Fig. S3, ESI⁺). The analysis clearly showed that, the addition of NaCl significantly increased the yield of glucose, formic and levulinic acids, while cellobiose and fructose yields were reduced (Fig. 2). Thus, NaCl enhances the cellobiose-to-glucose depolymerisation, fructose-to- HMF dehydration, HMF-to-levulinic and the formation of formic acid - all processes known to be catalysed by Bronsted acids. $^{\rm 24\text{-}26}$ The much lower pH value of the liquid reaction fraction in NaCl-H₂O system (Table S1, ESI⁺) confirmed an increase in the concentration of acidic products. A control experiment showed that different inorganic salts could also promote the conversion of glucose to acids with NaCl being especially effective (Fig. S4, ESI⁺). This result is consistent with literature reports on the effects of salts on cellulose depolymerisation, ²⁷ and that acids can be obtained directly from sugars.²⁶ NaCl has also been used to separate GVL from water, and the effects of added acid to the NaCl-H₂O-GVLcellulose biphasic system were studied but only at less than 180 °C, so any promoting effect of NaCl on autocatalysis of the depolymerisation of cellulose would not have been observed.²⁸ We have studied this system at 220 °C (Fig. S5, ESI+). Lower rates of conversion of cellulose were achieved in the biphasic system compared to NaCl-H₂O. This could be a result of the extraction of the acidic products into the GVL phase thus losing their catalytic effect on the cellulose depolymerisation. Our reaction times were kept short to minimize loss of cellulose through conversion to humin species. This biphasic system significantly increased the carbon content in the final liquid solution: less than 70% of the carbon could be detected based on the converted cellulose in NaCl-H₂O system. By reusing the solid residue, after only three cycles we achieved a total yield of chemical products in the GVL phase of 82% (mostly as 38.84 wt% of HMF and 29.91 wt% of levulinic acid).

DOI: 10.1039/C8SE00045J

Journal Name

Journal Name

In the light of the above results, we focused our attention on formic acid as the most important active compound formed in the



Fig. 3 NaCl effect of A) pH value and B) H^{+} adsorption on cellulose surface with the different concentration of cellulose in HCOOH-H₂O solution at room temperature; C) Effect of the addition of formic acid on the conversion of cellulose in H₂O and NaCl-H₂O systems at 210 °C.

cellulose depolymerisation and on pH as a measure of the probable catalytic species (protons). The addition of NaCl reduced the pH of the reaction system even at room temperature (Fig. 3A); this can be attributed to a shift of the ionisation equilibrium of HCOOH by salt effect.²⁹⁻³¹ As we added cellulose into NaCl- HCOOH-H₂O solution at room temperature, the pH value of the solution increased. A much smaller increase was observed in the absence of NaCl (Fig. 3A). Based on the observed changes in pH, we can conclude that NaCl causes greater than a fifteen fold increase in the amount of protons adsorbed on the surface of cellulose (Fig. 3B). Similar results were observed when using sulfuric acid instead of formic acid (Fig. S6, ESI†). These results strongly indicate that NaCl (and other salts) substantially enhances the adsorption of protons on the surface of cellulose.

HCOOH-doped solutions were also used to study the effects on the cellulose depolymerisation reaction at 210 $^\circ C$ (Fig. 3C). Because little acid was formed early in the reactions both using H_2O and

NaCl-H₂O, the direct addition of HCOOH was observed to immediately accelerate the conversion of cellulose (in both systems). It is noteworthy that the conversion plots in the HCOOHdoped solutions were no longer S-shaped form, further confirming that it is indeed acid that drives the autocatalytic hydrolysis of cellulose. The presence of NaCl in HCOOH-H₂O system further enhanced the rate of conversion of cellulose and led to less solid residues and more acidic products (Fig. S7 and Fig. S8, ESI⁺). Comparing with the pure H₂O system, the increased cellulose conversion rate in NaCl-HCOOH-H₂O system was much higher than the sum of HCOOH-promoted plus NaCl- promoted rates. For example, after 15 min treatment, the increased cellulose conversion in NaCl-HCOOH-H₂O, HCOOH-H₂O and NaCl-H₂O systems (compared to pure water) were 45.82%, 23.74% and 2.45%, respectively. This seems to confirm the suggestion that NaCl probably helps the adsorption of H⁺ on the surface of cellulose, accelerating the hydrolysis process.

Since chloride ions have been reported to break the hydrogen bonding of cellulose, ^{32, 33} a comparison of the results in HCl-H₂O to those in HCl-NaCl-H₂O was necessary. HCl-NaCl-H₂O system was more favorable than HCl-H₂O system in the conversion of cellulose (Fig. S9 and Fig. S10, ESI⁺), showing that the effect of NaCl on cellulose conversion is not simply due to an addition of Cl⁻ and H⁺ ion effects. NaCl-assisted adsorption of H⁺ ion on the surface of cellulose



Fig. 4 (A) Comparison of HCOOH and DCOOD catalysed conversion of cellulose at 210 °C; (B) Comparison of HCOOH and DCOOD catalysed conversion of cellulose in the presence of NaCl at 210 °C; (C) Comparison of the conversion of H_2O -pretreated cellulose and

Sustainable Energy & Fuels

COMMUNICATION

Published on 06 March 2018. Downloaded on 07/03/2018 14:08:53

 D_2O -pretreated cellulose in DCOOD-NaCl- D_2O solution; (D) Scheme for cellulose conversion in DCOOD- D_2O solution; (E) Scheme for cellulose conversion in DCOOD-NaCl- D_2O solution; (F) Scheme for deuterated cellulose conversion in DCOOD-NaCl- D_2O solution.

also contributed to the higher rate of conversion of cellulose in HCl-NaCl-H $_2$ O system.

In order to gain more mechanistic understanding, we then studied the effect of deuterated formic acid (DCOOD). There was a clear H-D effect on the rate of cellulose depolymersiation in the absence of NaCl (Fig. 4A). In the acid electrolyte solution, DCOO⁻ (or HCOO⁻) ions would disrupt the hydrogen bonding in cellulose and generate new hydrogen bonds with the cellulosic hydroxyl groups.^{34, 35} A double layer would then be formed where the D^+ (or H⁺) ions are accumulated on the negatively charged cellulose surface. D⁺-catalysed hydrolysis and decomposition of cellulose would happen in DCOOD-D₂O solution, but the slowly generated H^{\dagger} ions from cellulose would be heavily diluted in the deuterated reaction medium (Fig. 4D). Hence the hydrolysis of cellulose in DCOOD-D₂O solution would be predominately D^+ -catalysed and hence slower than the completely H⁺-catalysed process. In the presence of NaCl, the rate of conversion of cellulose using HCOOH was higher than using DCOOD in the first 15 min of reaction, but unlike in the absence of NaCl, the rates then converged (Fig. 4B). In the first phase of the hydrolysis process, D⁺ also acted as the catalyst in DCOOD-NaCl-D2O solution, leading to lower rates of conversion. As the reaction proceeds, more and more H^{+} is generated from cellulose, which is then preferentially adsorbed and accumulated on the surface of cellulose (Fig. 4E). The high concentrations of NaCl would increase the charge density of the double layer and inhibit proton migration into the bulk solution. We then looked at the effects of pre-treatment of cellulose with H₂O and D_2O (210 $^{\circ}C$ for 30 min; leading to a strong OD band in the FTIR, Fig. S11, ESI⁺). The rate of conversion of these differently pretreated celluloses in DCOOD-NaCl-D2O reaction system were significantly different (Fig. 4C). While the H₂O-pretreated cellulose behaved essentially the same as the original cellulose (Fig. 4B, blue bar), the deuterated cellulose reacted more slowly, consistent with our proposed mechanism (Fig. 4F).

In order to investigate the conversion pathway of cellulose from the solid state into the liquid phase, cellulose and the solid residues after reaction were analysed by XRD, FT-IR and ¹³C solid-state NMR (Fig. 5, Fig. S12 to S14, ESI⁺). The crystallinity index (CI) of the



Fig. 5 A) Crystallinity index of cellulose before and after treatment in H_2O and $NaCl-H_2O$ systems; B) IR spectra of cellulose before and after treatment in $NaCl-H_2O$ system; C) Scheme of the NaCl-assisted conversion of cellulose.

reaction residues are almost the same as that of the original cellulose even after half the cellulose has been converted both in H₂O system (60 min holding time) and NaCl-H₂O system (15 min holding time). Solid state NMR and IR spectra also show no obvious change, especially in spectral regions characteristic of the ordered and disordered regions of cellulose.³⁶ The small but potentially important changes in the IR bands characteristic of OHO intermolecular hydrogen bonds (ca. 1020 cm⁻¹) of the cellulosic residues from the reaction with NaCl-H₂O might indicate a partially disrupted hydrogen bonded structure (Figure 5B). This could indicate that the cellulose structure is peeled off layer-by-layer rather than destruction of the bulk crystalline cellulose.²⁰ At temperatures above 180 °C, the cellulose structure is softened, enabling Cl⁻ ions to penetrate the first layer of cellulose and break the O(6)H···O(3) intermolecular hydrogen bonding (Fig. 5C).^{20, 21} As more and more cellulose was converted, the reaction residues gradually became darker presumably due to the formation of biochar (including humins) from the carbonisation of the reaction intermediates, 25 and in consequence, the CI decreased. The result of TGA analysis and the stronger IR bands at 1706 and 1604 cm⁻¹ (associated with the C=O bond stretching and furan ring vibrations) also suggest that hydrochars exist in the residues after prolonged reaction times (Fig. S1 and S13, ESI⁺). ^{37, 38}

Conclusion

Biomass naturally generates acidic species on depolymerisation and this can be enhanced by the presence of NaCl and other salts which are inevitably present in all forms of biomass. Our results throw considerable light on the effects of salts on the thermochemical depolymerisation of cellulose as well as show how a cheap and readily available mineral (e.g. in the form of sea water) can be used to help improve the resource efficiencies of lignocellulosic biorefineries. The activity of the resulting protons towards cellulose is also enhanced by NaCl keeping the protons on the surface of the polysaccharide. Cl⁻ erodes cellulose material layer-by-layer by breaking the intermolecular hydrogen bonding of cellulose. This is the first time this "NaCl-assisted adsorption of H^+ on the surface of

DOI: 10.1039/C8SE00045J

Journal Name

Published on 06 March 2018. Downloaded on 07/03/2018 14:08:53

cellulose" effect has been proposed. Chloride ions can have the additional beneficial effect of disrupting the hydrogen bonding in the cellulose making it more amenable to depolymerisation.

Acknowledgements

This work is financially supported by EPSRC for research grant no. EP/K014773/1, the Industrial Biotechnology Catalyst (Innovate UK, BBSRC, EPSRC) to support the translation, development and commercialisation of innovative industrial Biotechnology processes (EP/N013522/1), National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21536007) and the 111 project (B17030). The authors would like to thank members of the Green Chemistry Centre of Excellence for their input and useful discussions. Zhicheng Jiang acknowledges support from China Scholarship Council (CSC No. 201501310005).

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- D. M. Alonso, S. G. Wettstein and J. A. Dumesic, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 8075-8098.
- Z. Jiang, H. Zhang, T. He, X. Lv, J. Yi, J. Li and C. Hu, *Green Chem.*, 2016, 18, 4109-4115.
- 3. P. Gallezot, ChemSusChem, 2008, 1, 734-737.
- Y. Zhang, J. Pan, Y. Shen, W. Shi, C. Liu and L. Yu, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2015, 3, 871-879.
- 5. Z. Jiang and C. Hu, J. Energy Chem., 2016, 25, 947-956.
- 6. E. M. Rubin, *Nature*, 2008, **454**, 841-845.
- 7. A. Pichon, Nature Chem., 2012, 4, 68-69.
- A. M. Lopes and R. Bogel-Lukasik, ChemSusChem, 2015, 8, 947-965.
- W. Deng, J. R. Kennedy, G. Tsilomelekis, W. Zheng and V. Nikolakis, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2015, 54, 5226-5236.
- 10. R. A. Antonopolis, H. W. Blanch, R. P. Freitas, A. F. Sciamanna and C. R. Wilke, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, 1983, **25**, 2757-2773.
- 11. Y. B. Huang and Y. Fu, Green Chem., 2013, 15, 1095-1111.
- 12. R. Rinaldi and F. Schuth, ChemSusChem, 2009, 2, 1096-1107.
- J. Zhang, X. Liu, M. Sun, X. Ma and Y. Han, ACS Catal., 2012, 2, 1698-1702.
- X. Li, Z. Fang, J. Luo and T. Su, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2016, 4, 5804-5813.
- 15. J. Potvin, E. Sorlien, J. Hegner, B. DeBoef and B. L. Lucht, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2011, **52**, 5891-5893.
- K. Qin, Y. Yan, Y. Zhang and Y. Tang, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 39131-39136.
- 17. S. Dutta, S. De, M. I. Alam, M. M. Abu-Omar and B. Saha, J. Catal., 2012, 288, 8-15.
- Y. Yang, C. Hu and M. M. Abu-Omar, Green Chem., 2012, 14, 509-513.
- 19. Z. Zhang, J. Song and B. Han, Chem. Rev., 2017, 117, 6834-6880.
- 20. Z. Jiang, J. Yi, J. Li, T. He and C. Hu, *ChemSusChem*, 2015, **8**, 1901-1907.
- 21. J. Fan, M. De bruyn, V. L. Budarin, M. J. Gronnow, P. S. Shuttleworth, S. Breeden, D. J. Macquarrie and J. H. Clark, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 11728-11731.
- M. J. Antal and G. Varhegyi, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 1995, 34, 703-717.

- 23. J. A. Conesa, J. A. Caballero, A. Marcilla and R. Font, *Thermochimi. Acta*, 1995, **254**, 175-192.
- 24. X. Zhang, B. B. Hewetson and N. S. Mosier, *Energy Fuels*, 2015, 29, 2387-2393.
- 25. R. Weingarten, W. C. Conner and G. W. Huber, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, **5**, 7559-7574.
- J. Tang, L. Zhu, X. Fu, J. Dai, X. Guo and C. Hu, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 256-266.
- 27. J. G. Lynam, C. J. Coronella, W. Yan, M. T. Reza and V. R. Vasquez, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2011, **102**, 6192-6199.
- 28. S. G. Wettstein, D. M. Alonso, Y. Chong and J. A. Dumesic, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, 5, 8199-8203.
- 29. M. R. Wright, An Introduction to Aqueous Electrolyte Solutions, Wiley, 2007.
- 30. Y. Yu, Z. Mohd Shafie and H. Wu, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2015, **54**, 5450-5459.
- 31. N. Akiya and P. E. Savage, Chem. Rev., 2002, 102, 2725-2750.
- 32. Y. Li, X. Liu, S. Zhang, Y. Yao, X. Yao, J. Xu and X. Lu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, **17**, 17894-17905.
- B. D. Rabideau and A. E. Ismail, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, 17, 5767-5775.
- 34. Y. Liu, K. Thomsen, Y. Nie, S. Zhang and A. S. Meyer, *Green Chem.*, 2016, **18**, 6246-6254.
- A. Pinkert, K. N. Marsh, S. Pang and M. P. Staiger, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 6712-6728.
- 36. J. Fan, M. De bruyn, Z. Zhu, V. L. Budarin, M. J. Gronnow, L. D. Gomez, D. J. Macquarrie and J. H. Clark, *Chem. Eng. Process.*, 2013, **71**, 37-42.
- 37. M. Zhang, H. Yang, Y. Liu, X. Sun, D. Zhang and D. Xue, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.*, 2012, **3**, 38-42.
- S. Kang, X. Li, J. Fan and J. Chang, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2012, 51, 9023-9031.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

Graphic Abstract for

Mechanistic understanding of salt-assisted autocatalytic hydrolysis of cellulose

Zhicheng Jiang,^{a,b} Jiajun Fan,^b Vitaliy L. Budarin,^b Duncan J. Macquarrie,^b Yang Gao,^b Tianzong Li,^b Changwei Hu,^{*,a} James H. Clark^{*,b}

^a Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry and Technology, Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, 610064, China.

^b Green Chemistry Centre of Excellence, Department of Chemistry, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, U.K.



NaCl enhanced the generation of acidic products from cellulose decomposition and pushed the generated protons to the surface of cellulose.