UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of *Simplifying environmental mixtures—An aquatic exposure-based approach via land use scenarios*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/127982/

Version: Accepted Version

**Article:**

Posthuma, Leo, Brown, Colin David orcid.org/0000-0001-7291-0407, de Zwart, Dick et al. (6 more authors) (2018) Simplifying environmental mixtures—An aquatic exposure-based approach via land use scenarios. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. pp. 671-673. ISSN 1552-8618

https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4063

**Reuse**
Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**
If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

White Rose university consortium
Universities of Leeds, Sheffield & York

eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

Environmental Toxicology
& Chemistry

# SIMPLIFYING ENVIRONMENTAL MIXTURES – AN AQUATIC EXPOSURE-BASED APPROACH VIA LAND USE SCENARIOS

| | |
|---|---|
| Journal: | *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* |
| Manuscript ID | Draft |
| Wiley - Manuscript type: | Special Section |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | n/a |
| Complete List of Authors: | Posthuma, Leo; RIVM, Laboratory for Ecological Risk Assessment<br>Brown, Colin; University of York, Environment Department<br>de Zwart, Dick<br>Diamond, Jerome ; Tetra Tech,<br>Dyer, Scott; Procter & Gamble Company, ESS<br>Hamer, Mick ; Syngenta,<br>Holmes, Christopher; Waterborne Environmental, Inc.,<br>Marshall, Stuart; Unilever, SEAC<br>Burton, G. Allen; University of Michigan, Natural Resources & Environment; Wright State University, Department of Earth & Environmental Sciences |
| Mandatory Keywords: | mixtures, ecological risk assessment, environmental chemistry |
| Additional Keywords (Optional): | land use, scenario |
| Abstract: | Exposure to chemical mixtures is a fact of life. Mixture risk assessments should therefore be common, but that is not the case. As mixture exposures, risks and impacts are common, and as consensus approaches are available for practical risk assessments, the current challenge is to operationalize methods that can handle the immense diversity of mixture exposures. This challenge was taken up by the SETAC Pellston® workshop “Simplifying environmental mixtures - an aquatic exposure-based approach via exposure scenarios” which was held in March 2015 in Valencia, Spain.Tthe outcomes of the workshop are summarized in a series of four consecutive papers. Those consider exposures and risks of mixtures of chemicals related to various land use example scenarios. Based on the overall set of results, it is concluded that mixture risk assessments via land use exposure scenarios provide useful and necessary insights into the potential effects of mixtures in the environment. |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

# SIMPLIFYING ENVIRONMENTAL MIXTURES –

# AN AQUATIC EXPOSURE-BASED APPROACH VIA LAND USE SCENARIOS

Leo Posthuma [a,b*], Colin Brown [c], Dick De Zwart [d], Jerry Diamond [e], Scott D. Dyer [f], Mick Hamer [g], Christopher M. Holmes [h], Stuart Marshall [i], G. Allen Burton, Jr. [j]

[a] National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Centre for Sustainability, Environment and Health, P.O. Box 1, 3720 BA Bilthoven, the Netherlands
[b] Radboud University, Department of Environmental Science, Institute for Wetland and Water Research, Faculty of Science, Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
[c] University of York, Environment Department, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD, UK
[d] Mermayde, Groet, the Netherlands
[e] Tetra Tech, Owings Mills, USA
[f] The Procter and Gamble Company, Cincinnati, USA
[g] Syngenta, Jealott's Hill, Bracknell, UK
[h] Waterborne Environmental, Inc., Leesburg, Virginia, USA
[i] Unilever, Safety and Environmental Assurance Centre, Unilever, Sharnbrook, Bedford, UK (retired)
[j] University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, U.S.A.

**Comment [LP1]:** LP to Editor / co-authors:

This list might apply if authors are needed at all!!
For now the list is alphabetic, constructed from the name list of the workshop organizers / paper-series conference call regular attendants.

The list can be adapted for sure.

**Running Head:**
Land use and mixture exposure scenarios for risk assessment

**Word count: 1324 words.**

# INTRODUCTION

Exposure to chemical mixtures is a fact of life. Therefore the expectation would be that mixture risk assessments are common, but that is not the case. This may relate partly to the immense variability of mixture exposures that may occur, which would place an additional burden on the already immense task of regulating vast numbers of individual chemicals (e.g., Hartung and Rovida (2009), Hendriks (2013)). It may also relate to difficulties in bridging the science-practice interface: are scientifically sound methods ready to be applied, and what formats do they take?

So far, some technical guidance documents have handled mixtures by assuming that potential mixture effects are sufficiently addressed via the application factors that are already in use to derive regulatory protective concentration criteria from available ecotoxicity data. Given frequent concerns voiced on mixture exposures, various other approaches to mixture risk assessment may be needed in addition to application factor apporach, ranging from prospective methods that help to evaluate whether environmental and human health protection is sufficient under conditions of realistic mixture exposures, to retrospective methods that characterize the risk of polluted environmental compartments using measured data.

# PELLSTON WORKSHOP ON MIXTURES

As mixture exposures (e.g., USEPA (2009)), risks (e.g., Malaj, von der Ohe et al. (2014)) and impacts (e.g., Posthuma, Dyer et al. (2016)) are common, and as consensus approaches are available for practical risk assessments (e.g., Kortenkamp, Backhaus et al. (2009)), the challenge is to operationalize methods that can handle the immense diversity of mixture exposures. This challenge was taken up by the SETAC Pellston® workshop "*Simplifying environmental mixtures - an aquatic exposure-based approach via exposure scenarios*" which was held in March 2015 in Valencia, Spain. The basis of the workshop was the idea that whilst mixtures can be immensely complex in their nature when considering separate chemicals and their concentrations, it may be expected that specific land uses could imply specific, recognizable 'signatures' of chemical emissions. Would algae, daphnids, fish or whole species assemblages 'recognize' that they were exposed to a mixture, that can be seen as a 'multi-constituent compound' from city run-off, or from agricultural land use upstream, or from wastewater treatment plant emissions? It was hypothesized that it is likely that land use is associated with distinct emission profiles, and that such profiles could be helpful in operational prospective and retrospective mixture assessments.

The SETAC Pellston® workshop addressed the need to improve on mixture risk assessments by looking at land-use related exposure scenarios. The aims of the workshop were:

(1) to investigate whether a simplified scenario-based approach could be used to help determine whether mixtures of chemicals posed a risk greater than that identified using single-chemical based approaches, and if so:

(2) what might be the magnitude and temporal aspects of the risks associated to mixture exposures, so as:

(3) to determine whether the application of the approach provides insights in mixtures of greatest concern, and the compounds dominating those mixtures (prioritization).

# APPROACHES TO MIXTURE SCENARIOS AND RISKS

The workshop defined various scenarios with typical chemical emission 'signatures', namely: two agricultural land-use scenarios (one in the U.S and one in Europe), an urban storm water run-off scenario, and a scenario looking at emissions of household chemicals via wastewater treatment plants. The scenarios were specified and the chemicals that may be emitted from them were investigated via literature research, survey databases, and querying expert users. Existing and custom emission models were used.

Efforts focused on characterizing the land-use based emissions and the chemical identities typically emitted from these land uses. Subsequently, exposure scenarios were defined and investigated. Resulting mixture exposures were evaluated in a tiered fashion, most often via risk characterization ratio's (defined as the ratio of exposure concentration and an ecotoxicity endpoint), aggregated over compounds in the mixture by assuming concentration additivity as default model.

## WORKSHOP RESULTS

The workshop discussions and analyses resulted in four research papers, published in this issue of *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*:

(1) Holmes, Brown et al. (2017 (in press)). Prospective aquatic risk assessment for chemical mixtures in agricultural landscapes;

(2) Diamond, Altenburger et al. (In press (2017)). Use of prospective and retrospective risk assessment methods that simplify chemical mixtures associated with treated domestic wastewater discharges;

(3) De Zwart, Adams et al. (In press 2017). Aquatic exposures of chemical mixtures in urban environments: approaches to impact assessment;

(4) Posthuma, Brown et al. (2017 (early online)). Prospective mixture risk assessment and management prioritizations for river catchments with diverse land uses.

The papers of Holmes et al., Diamond et al., and De Zwart et al. describe the specifications of three specific land use- and exposure scenarios, and the associated risks of the associated chemical mixtures, including the analysis of the relative contributions of chemicals to the mixture risks. The papers of Holmes et al. and Posthuma et al. describe full land-use based emission – exposure – mixture risk model approaches, in which the emissions were combined with a suite of realistic data, e.g. on rainfall events, stormwater overflows, plant protection and veterinary product applications and hydrology. Following this mimicking of realistic land use exposure scenarios, these studies resulted in a systematic, tiered set of mixture risk assessments. Mixture risk assessments were thereby increasingly specific regarding the exposure variation over time (related to e.g. weather and applications) and the taxonomic groups potentially affected.

# MAIN FINDINGS

Based on data reviews and (in part) modelling, the four studies illustrated that specific land uses likely result in aquatic environments being exposed to typical sets of chemicals. The exposures were further characterized by typical time-related patterns (e.g., relatively continuous exposures resulting from the emissions of household chemicals, and more variable over time for city run off and agriculture). The studies further generated evidence to support the need to prospectively considering mixtures in addition to single compounds, as (based on a concentration-additive risk assessment assumption) situations considered sufficiently protected regarding single-chemical emissions appeared insufficiently protected in realistic mixture scenarios. Within the scenarios, there was evidence to suggest that the taxonomic groups likely most affected could be identified in higher tiers of the assessment and there was also evidence to suggest that in many cases the occurrence of mixture risks can be attributed to relatively few compounds contributing most to the predicted risk. The latter has been observed more frequently based on measured environmental concentrations (e.g., Backhaus and Karlsson (2014) Vallotton and Price (2016)). One of the common characteristics of mixture risk assessments is a difference in the availability of ecotoxicity data for the compounds involved in causing the potential risk. The studies illustrate that this may result in an interpretation pitfall, when an apparently large contribution of a compound to the mixture risk is not necessarily associated with greatest toxicity, but rather with greatest uncertainty (least data). Overall, the methods that were explored support the prioritization of mixtures for further investigation or management.

## POTENTIAL IMPLICATIONS

The result imply that risk assessment and associated risk management strategies may be developed, potentially by the solution-focused approach to risk assessment (e.g., U.S. NAS (2009), Munthe, Brorström-Lundén et al. (2017)), by focusing on a few 'multi-constituent compounds' – the typical mixtures found downstream of a land use – rather than solely on all individual compounds. The set of papers suggests that emissions from true catchments and land uses can be addressed through science-based approaches that consider exposure scenarios for a wide-range of ecosystems and land-use types.

The proposed approach for evaluating chemical mixture risks has a wide range of potential applications. This can be supported by the development of a set of typical "road maps", being scenarios with typical emissions, exposure and risk signatures. These scenarios can serve both prospective and retrospective risk assessments, and could also support the development of (cost-)effective management actions that may be as typical to the land uses as the typical chemical signatures. Opportunities to reduce the emissions caused by city run-off are different from those to reduce emissions from household chemicals or agricultural chemicals (Munthe, Brorström-Lundén et al. 2017, Van Wezel, Ter Laak et al. 2017), and this has recently been recognized as basis for e.g. stormwater management and urban planning (Sharley, Sharp et al. 2017).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank SETAC for initiating and funding the SETAC-Pellston workshop "*Simplifying environmental mixtures: an aquatic exposure-based approach via exposure scenarios*". This workshop was funded by CEFIC-LRI, CONCAWE, ERASM, American Cleaning Institute, ECETOC, European Crop Protection, Monsanto, Unilever, Crop Life America, and Waterborne Environmental, and supported by SETAC.

**Disclaimer** – The opinions expressed in the present study are those of the authors and not their respective employers.

## REFERENCE LIST

Backhaus, T. and M. Karlsson (2014). "Screening level mixture risk assessment ofpharmaceuticals in STP effluents." Water Research **49**: 157-165.

De Zwart, D., W. Adams, M. Galay Burgos, J. Hollender, M. Junghans, G. Merrington, D. Muir, T. Parkerton, K. A. C. De Schamphelaere, G. Whale and R. Williams (In press 2017). "Aquatic exposures of chemical mixtures in urban environments: approaches to impact assessment ".

Diamond, J., R. Altenburger, A. Coors, S. D. Dyer, M. Focazio, K. Kidd, A. A. Koelmans, K. M. Y. Leung, M. Servos, J. Snape, J. Tolls and X. Zhang (In press (2017)). "Use of prospective and retrospective risk assessment methods that simplify chemical mixtures associated with treated domestic wastewater discharges ".

Hartung, T. and C. Rovida (2009). "Chemical regulators have overreached." Nature **460**.

Hendriks, A. J. (2013). "How to deal with 100,000+ substances, sites, and species: Overarching principles in environmental risk assessment." Environmental Science and Technology **47**(8): 3546-3547.

Holmes, C., C. Brown, M. Hamer, R. Jones, L. Maltby, L. Posthuma, E. Silberhorn, J. S. Teeter, M. S. J. Warne and L. Weltje (2017 (in press)). "Prospective aqauatic risk assessment for chemical mixtures in agricultural landscapes." Environ. Toxicol. Chem.

Kortenkamp, A., T. Backhaus and M. Faust (2009). State of the art report on mixture toxicity. Directorate General for the Environment, EC.

Malaj, E., P. C. von der Ohe, M. Grote, R. Kühne, C. P. Mondy, P. Usseglio-Polatera, W. Brack and R. B. Schäfer (2014). "Organic chemicals jeopardize the health of freshwater ecosystems on the continental scale." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **111**(26): 9549–9554.

Munthe, J., E. Brorström-Lundén, M. Rahmberg, L. Posthuma, R. Altenburger, W. Brack, B. Bunke, G. Engelen, B. M. Gawlik, J. Van Gils, D. López Herráez, T. Rydberg, J. Slobodnik and A. Van Wezel (2017). "An expanded conceptual framework for solution-focused management of chemical pollution in European waters." Environmental Sciences Europe **29**(13): 1-16.

Posthuma, L., C. Brown, D. De Zwart, J. Diamond, S. D. Dyer, C. M. Holmes, S. Marshall and G. A. Burton (2017 (early online)). "Prospective mixture risk assessment and management prioritizations for river catchments with diverse land uses." Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry: n/a-n/a.

Posthuma, L., S. D. Dyer, D. de Zwart, K. Kapo, C. M. Holmes and G. A. Burton Jr (2016). "Eco-epidemiology of aquatic ecosystems: Separating chemicals from multiple stressors." Science of The Total Environment **573**: 1303-1319.

Sharley, D. J., S. M. Sharp, S. Marshall, K. Jeppe and V. J. Pettigrove (2017). "Linking urban land use to pollutants in constructed wetlands: Implications for stormwater and urban planning." Landscape and Urban Planning **162**(Supplement C): 80-91.

U.S. NAS (2009). Science and Decisions: Advancing Risk Assessment, The National Academies Press.

USEPA (2009). The national study of chemical residues in lake fish tissue. Washington, DC, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water.

Vallotton, N. and P. S. Price (2016). "Use of the Maximum Cumulative Ratio As an Approach for Prioritizing Aquatic Coexposure to Plant Protection Products: A Case Study of a Large Surface Water Monitoring Database." Environmental Science and Technology **50**(10): 5286-5293.

Van Wezel, A., T. Ter Laak, A. Fischer, P. Bauerlein, J. Munthe and L. Posthuma (2017). "Mitigation options for chemicals of emerging concern in surface waters; Operationalising solutions-focused risk assessment." Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology **(early online, May 2017)**.