

This is a repository copy of Imaging and reporting considerations for suspected physical abuse (non-accidental injury) in infants and young children. Part 2: axial skeleton and differential diagnoses.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/127654/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Paddock, M., Sprigg, A. and Offiah, A.C. orcid.org/0000-0001-8991-5036 (2017) Imaging and reporting considerations for suspected physical abuse (non-accidental injury) in infants and young children. Part 2: axial skeleton and differential diagnoses. Clinical Radiology, 72 (3). pp. 189-201. ISSN 1365-229X

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crad.2016.11.015

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Abstract

Recognising the skeletal manifestations of inflicted injury (II) in infants and young children is of crucial importance. There are specific fracture patterns highly suspicious of II and common differential diagnoses with which radiologists should be familiar. Our objective is to provide a nonexhaustive review of the important factors relevant to the imaging and reporting of II as a platform for further learning. Part two encompasses fracture patterns of the appendicular skeleton and important differential diagnoses.

1	Imaging and reporting considerations for suspected physical abuse (non-
2	accidental injury) in infants and young children. Part 2: Axial skeleton and
3	differential diagnoses
4	
5	Introduction
6	
7	Part 1 discussed important initial considerations of imaging inflicted injury (II)
8	and specific fracture patterns of the appendicular skeleton. Fractures of the axial
9	skeleton can be subtle and have a strong association with II. In this second article of a
10	two-part series, we review the important fracture patterns of the axial skeleton,
11	including rib and skull fractures, in addition to examining the important differential
12	diagnoses of II.
13	
14	Specific fracture patterns of the axial skeleton in inflicted injury
15	
16	The axial skeleton forms the longitudinal axis of the body and comprises the
17	thoracic cage, the vertebral column and the skull ¹ .
18	
19	Rib fractures
20	
21	Given the degree of plastic deformity tolerated by the normal paediatric chest
22	wall, rib fractures due to natural events and normal handling are uncommon.
23	Posterior rib fractures are highly specific for abuse and result from substantial
24	squeezing forces generated by adult hands applied to the paediatric chest wall causing
25	'hyperextension of the posterior rib ends over the transverse processes, with fracture of

the ventral cortex'². Highly suspicious features include: rib fractures in children aged
less than 18 months³, and in particular less than 12 months; fractures of the first rib
which require considerable energy^{4,5}; and posteromedial location of the fracture^{3,6-8}
(Fig 1).

Anterior rib arc and costochondral fractures result from direct blunt forces to the chest wall^{6,7,9,10}; the latter may be associated with minimal healing callus. Anterior/costochondral fractures of the lower ribs are associated with major intraabdominal injury¹⁰. Those infants and children with abusive rib fractures tend to have more rib fractures and have fewer concurrent intrathoracic injuries than those with confirmed/witnessed accidental injury¹¹.

Radiography has a low sensitivity for the detection of acute rib fractures because they are often incomplete and usually minimally displaced^{2,10} (Fig 2). In one series concerning sudden unexpected death in infancy (SUDI), nearly 80% of acute rib fractures confirmed on postmortem were undetectable on chest radiographs, even in retrospect¹². Computed tomography has an increasing role in clinical practice as a problem solver in live children when rib fractures are suspected^{13,14}.

42 Pending publication of revised national guidelines, thoracic imaging should 43 consist of an anteroposterior (AP) projection including the clavicles, in addition to oblique views of both sides of the chest to show the ribs ('left and right oblique') on 44 the initial SS^{15} . Due to the strong correlation of rib fractures with II^{16-19} , particularly 45 when multiple^{6,7,9,11,17}, and given that rib fractures are more easily identified as healing 46 47 callus develops, follow-up chest radiography should be performed in all cases of suspected abuse^{15,16,20} (Fig 1). Oblique views have a higher specificity for identifying 48 posterior rib fractures²¹. Reference to the initial SS is essential. 49

50 Rib fractures secondary to cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) have been 51 reported in the literature and remain a contentious issue. Even with forceful cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), rib fractures are rare^{12,22,23}, even when 52 $prolonged^{23}$, implying that significant force is required to produce a rib fracture: thus, 53 54 any unexplained rib fracture in an infant is highly suspicious. Rib fractures secondary to CPR are usually anterior costochondral in location^{12,24,25}. It has been postulated that 55 56 the change from AP sternal compression to circumferential chest compression for infant CPR may result in an increase in the occurrence rate of rib fractures^{24,26,27}: further 57 research in this area is warranted²⁸. 58

59

60 Skull fractures

61

62 Distinguishing between accidental and abusive head trauma can pose a 63 diagnostic dilemma given that age of the child is not necessarily a reliable marker of injury aetiology. The proffered history, clinical findings and congruence of the 64 65 described mechanism in conjunction with the radiological findings are key to 66 determining the true causation (i.e. whether accidental or inflicted). In particular, 67 knowledge of the height, angle and object from which the child has fallen (caregiver's 68 arms, work surface, cot etc.) will dictate the likelihood of sustaining the identified fracture/intracranial injury through the stated mechanism²⁹. 69

Skull fractures secondary to accidental injury are relatively common. The hairline linear parietal skull fracture is the commonest skull fracture found in both accidental and II³⁰⁻³⁴: it is only the history (or lack thereof) that is able to differentiate between the two. Sometimes one carer may have an accident that they are unwilling to reveal and the other carer takes the infant to the hospital with scalp swelling.

Given the findings from an animal study³⁵, it is likely that the more complex 75 76 fracture (bilateral, widened, branching³⁶ or crossing suture lines) implies higher levels 77 of energy (force) that are uncommonly found in accidental domestic events. 78 Furthermore, domestic incidents and falls from heights less than 1 metre are very unlikely to cause fracture³⁷. Given that complex fractures are more likely to be 79 associated with abuse when compared to linear fractures^{32,36,38}, and are more commonly 80 found in abused infants^{32,39}, it is likely that complex fractures result from a high energy 81 82 impact force.

"Alice band" skull fractures result from an injury to the vertex (a direct impact
to the infant/child on the top of the head) to produce fractures through the left and right
parietal bones which usually meet at the sagittal suture (roughly within 1-2 cm of each
other) radiating from ear-to-ear giving the appearance of a girl's hair band ("Alice
band"). There is a specific mechanism (often accidental) for simple bilateral fractures
provided the history of impact is given: the same fracture, if unexplained, is as
suspicious as other unexplained skull fractures.

Multiple injuries (both intra- and extra-cranial e.g. fractures) are much more likely to be present when secondary to abuse³²⁻³⁴. Table 1⁴⁰ outlines the specific features on skull radiographs that are highly suspicious for II, with an example in Fig 3.

NICE guidelines for the investigation of head injury in children recommend that
computed tomography (CT) be performed if there is a 'suspicion of non-accidental
injury²⁴¹. The skull radiograph, which forms part of the forensic skeletal survey (SS),
is not part of the immediate investigation of acute head injury. That being said, if brain
CT with good quality 3D reconstruction is available the need for skull radiographs is
debated⁴² (Fig 4).

Neuroradiological assessment may be performed by a different set of radiologists to those reporting the SS. Injuries to both areas may co-exist and may be clinically occult^{43,44}; in particular, fractures of the first rib with concurrent neurological injury have been described⁴⁵. Therefore, an infant with a suspicious/unexplained head injury mandates a full SS to detect occult skeletal injury and vice versa⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸: close collaboration/communication is required between all specialists.

105 Abusive head trauma is not included in this review but readers should be aware 106 of its presentation, implications and investigation, particularly with regard to the appropriate use and timing of CT and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)⁴⁹. The 107 national guidelines provide an excellent summary and schedule for neuroimaging¹⁵. 108 109 There are excellent reviews that compliment this article which are strongly recommended for further reading^{2,46,49}. Although radiation dose is an important 110 111 consideration in paediatric imaging, in many hospitals CT is more easily accessible for initial assessment than sedated MRI⁵⁰. 112

113

114 Vertebral fractures

115

Although uncommon, isolated vertebral fractures may be the only manifestation of physical abuse, emphasising the need to include lateral spine imaging as part of the routine SS in children under the age of two years when inflicted injury is suspected⁵¹⁻

120 Although extremely rare in all age groups (except in the context of major 121 trauma), given the disproportionate weight and size of their heads, infants and young 122 children are more susceptible to cervical spine injuries than older children⁵⁵. Injury can 123 occur at any level in the cervical spine⁵⁶⁻⁶⁰ with a possible predilection for the upper 124 levels^{61,62}. Where cervical fractures are sustained, there is a high incidence of 125 ligamentous^{63,64} and co-existing intracranial injury^{56,57,59,62} which may extend into the 126 spine, e.g. subdural haematoma^{58,65,66}.

Reported clinical manifestations of thoracolumbar fractures include visible 127 swelling and neurological deficit below the level of injury⁶⁷. Abusive vertebral 128 compression fractures (Fig 5), often at multiple levels^{51,54,62} may present with spinal 129 cord compression and injury^{54,58,65,66}. Subluxation and dislocation may also be 130 encountered^{54,68-71}, in addition to ligamentous injury⁶², although to a less frequent 131 extent than cervical fractures. Moreover, concurrent intracranial injury alongside 132 abusive fractures of the thoracolumbar region may also be identified^{54,62,65}. Sacral 133 134 fractures have also been reported⁵¹.

135 Given the association between vertebral fractures and occult intracranial and spinal injury, cross-sectional MRI of the brain and spine must always be performed⁵⁴. 136 137 In the early literature prior to MRI, the only spinal injuries that were identified were 138 those that were clinically symptomatic: given that access to advanced cross-sectional 139 imaging is now readily available, clinically occult vertebral fractures are now also being 140 identified. Ligamentous injury may be identified when reporting MRI of the neuraxis 141 undertaken for suspected II, which again, may be reported by a different set of 142 radiologists to those reporting the SS. There is developing subspecialisation of 143 radiologists into those reporting skeletal, and those reporting neuroimaging, in 144 suspected II - it is imperative that paediatricians, paediatric radiologists, paediatric 145 neuroradiologists and wider child protection multidisciplinary team maintain close 146 liaison.

147 The inclusion of full lateral spinal imaging in the SS and dedicated spinal 148 MRI as part of the assessment of head injury should lead to a greater detection of vertebral fractures and spinal injury. If evidence of abusive injury is not sought, it willbe missed.

151

152 **Dating of fractures**

153

Whilst fracture dating is difficult, there are recognised stages of fracture 154 healing^{72,73}. There is an element of subjectivity in dating, even between experienced 155 experts and as such, the non-expert radiologist may wish to limit their report to whether 156 157 the fracture shows soft tissue swelling or any evidence of healing. All radiologists 158 involved in the investigation of suspected II should be aware of the broad time frames discussed below⁷⁴. An important caveat is that imaging in a cast can limit interpretation 159 and reliability of dating⁷⁵. The information below is summarised in Table 3. 160 161 162 Acute diaphyseal and rib arc fractures

163

If a fractured long bone or rib arc shows no periosteal reaction with or without
soft tissue swelling, it is likely to have been sustained in the preceding 10 (but up to 14)
days. Rarely, periosteal reaction may be identified as early as 4 days⁷⁶. Healing patterns
in ribs and long bones can be considered similarly given their tubular morphology⁷⁷.

168

169 Healing diaphyseal and rib arc fractures

170

171 If a fractured long bone or rib arc shows some periosteal reaction but little or no 172 soft tissue swelling, it is likely to be over 2 weeks old (the inference being that any soft 173 tissue swelling will have resolved after 14 days following an acute injury). Rib and shaft fractures typically heal in a predictable fashion and will have healed completely
by 3 months⁷⁸.

Hard callus and early remodelling can usually be identified at 8 weeks⁷⁹. The remodelling process may continue for a further 3 or more months, therefore the more acute a fracture, the more precisely it can be dated. It has been proposed that the amount of callus formation/thickness of a rib fracture is proportionate to the degree of healing and therefore to the age of the fracture⁷⁹. Alternatively, the degree/thickness of subsequent callus may relate to the amount of initial displacement of a fracture. However, no precise cut-offs are available.

183 Factors that influence the rate of healing and volume of callus may include: the age of the child (widely stated but no published supporting evidence⁷⁸); the type of 184 185 fracture; displacement and size of bone (a displaced femoral fracture may not heal as 186 rapidly as an undisplaced fracture of a smaller bone); and (lack of) immobilisation of 187 the fractured limb. Visualisation of an acute or early healing fracture will be affected 188 by radiographic technical quality (including patient positioning and presence of cast), 189 further emphasising the need for fully trained paediatric radiographers to obtain high-190 quality diagnostic radiographs.

191

192 Metaphyseal, costochondral, vertebral and skull fractures

193

194 Isolated metaphyseal and skull fractures heal without periosteal reaction so195 different considerations to those summarised above apply.

Metaphyseal and costochondral fractures do not heal by the same process as diaphyseal and rib arc fractures: when identified they are usually less than four weeks of age and heal completely by 4 to 6 weeks^{80,81}. The majority of metaphyseal fractures do not heal with periosteal reaction but slowly reabsorb onto bone by about 6 weeks
post-event. When there is associated subperiosteal bleeding, the maturity of periosteal
reaction assists in dating metaphyseal fractures.

Vertebral and skull fractures cannot be reliably dated, although soft tissue
(scalp) swelling over a skull fracture suggests a recent injury (less than 2 weeks). Once
present, skull fractures will fade over several months.

- 205
- 206 Mechanism of injury
- 207

208 The precise amount of force required to produce a fracture in any individual 209 infant is unknown. Biomechanical studies give some information but these tend to be 210 either mechanical or animal models, or are based on dead human bones. In the live 211 child, it is probably not just the amount of force but also the speed of application of that 212 force that causes the bone to fracture. Understanding the interplay between the 213 underlying complex processes that determine 'bone strength' is fundamental to 214 understanding why paediatric bones fracture⁸². As a generalisation, the amount of force 215 required to cause a fracture is considered to be well outside that used in the normal 216 reasonable handling of an otherwise healthy child.

217

218 Metaphyseal fractures – traction, or shaking back and forth

219

Metaphyseal fractures are caused by twisting, gripping and pulling (traction) forces⁸² at the site of the fracture. They have also been said to be due to the limbs flailing whilst the infant is shaken back and forth with force⁸³. Whilst shaking may represent a potential further mechanism, metaphyseal fractures commonly occur

224	without head/intracranial injury and so shaking cannot be the sole explanation for
225	metaphyseal fractures ⁸⁴ .
226	
227	Spiral/oblique fractures
228	
229	Result from a torsional (twisting) force.
230	
231	Transverse/angulated fractures
232	
233	Result from either: direct blows and levering forces; indirectly from falls or
234	being thrown and depending upon how the child lands.
235	
236	Rib fractures
237	
238	Result from compressive forces. See above section on rib fractures.
239	
240	Skull fractures
241	
242	Result from an impaction force either due to the head hitting something hard or
243	something hard hitting the head. Falling at an angle from a significant height may result
244	in a rapid angular deceleration when the head hits the floor which may explain
245	concurrent intracranial injury from a high-energy impact. This may be associated with
246	a 'shake and throw' injury or occasionally due to the baby being thrown or swung onto
247	a hard surface. A 'stamping' injury where a carer stamps on the head of a baby on the
248	floor is, fortunately, uncommon. See above section on skull fractures.

249

250 Differential diagnoses

251

A wide range of differential diagnoses must be considered (including normal variants⁸⁵) before diagnosing II. If misreported, the consequences for the child and family can be devastating. As such, as much information as possible should be obtained when reporting imaging undertaken for suspected II, including clinical history, index of suspicion and results of appropriate biochemical investigations.

The radiologist may be able to detect an underlying predisposition to easy fracturing such as an underlying bone dysplasia, although conventional radiographs are relatively insensitive to lower levels of demineralisation. The two conditions that most commonly cause diagnostic dilemmas are osteogenesis imperfecta (brittle bone disease) and rickets (metabolic bone disease). If a baby was born extremely prematurely, then metabolic bone disease of prematurity (osteopathy of prematurity) should be considered (based on history, biochemical records and radiographic features).

264

265 Birth trauma

266

Difficulty can arise when the presentation is delayed given that some birth
injuries may not be identified immediately on the initial neonatal clinical examination.
Although infrequent, posterior rib fractures have been ascribed to complicated
deliveries and may be seen secondary to birth trauma in large babies following difficult
deliveries^{4,5,86,87}, such as shoulder dystocia⁸⁸ secondary to macrosomia^{4,86}. These are
usually posterior, in the upper ribs and may be associated with clavicular fractures (the
commonest birth injury) or brachial plexus injury.

Very rarely, birth related leg or arm injuries have been reported including classical metaphyseal lesions⁸⁹ and proximal spiral fractures⁹⁰ after Caesarean section. Linear and depressed skull fractures have also been reported⁹¹. Correlation with the mode of delivery and whether ventouse (vacuum assisted vaginal delivery) and/or forceps were employed during delivery is paramount in these instances.

It is important to consider the clinical and birth history in an infant younger than 3 months old that presents with unexplained injury (Fig 6 and 7). Beyond 3 months, any birth related injury should have healed.

282

283 Rickets

284

Results from undermineralisation of bone with resultant growth plate abnormalities in vitamin D deficient children. It may be hereditary or secondary to prematurity and lack of dietary vitamin D and/or sun exposure. Radiographic features (Fig 8) are most prominent at the growth plates and include widening and irregularity of the metaphyses with cupping, flaring and fraying^{92,93}. It is important that metaphyseal fragmentation is not mistaken for fracture⁹⁴.

Bowing of the legs is seen secondary to bone softening. The bulbous appearance of the anterior rib ends (expansion of the costochondral junctions) is known as the "rachitic rosary" (Fig 9) and should not be mistaken for healing rib fractures. Note that in an infant with unexplained fractures, a low vitamin D level in the absence of other biochemical and radiological signs of rickets, does not account for the fractures⁹⁵.

296

297 Osteogenesis imperfecta

298

299 A group of congenital disorders of collagen type 1 production affecting bone 300 and connective tissue. There is wide variation in phenotype but characteristic features include osteoporosis, bone and dental fragility, easy bruising, short stature, abnormal 301 coloration of the sclera, hearing impairment and joint laxity/hypermobility⁹⁶. The full 302 classification of the subtypes and corresponding clinical characteristics is extensive⁹⁷. 303 304 Common radiographic features include gracile, osteoporotic bones with cortical 305 thinning, multiple long bone, rib and vertebral fractures, Wormian bones and 'popcorn 306 calcification' (scalloped metaphyseal and/or epiphyseal lucencies with surrounding sclerotic margins). Hyperplastic callus formation during fracture healing is 307 308 characteristic of OI type V. Examples are given in Fig 10.

309 II may be erroneously diagnosed in children with OI who are at increased risk 310 of fractures, particularly in those children with forms of the disease demonstrating a 311 relatively high fracture incidence within the first years of life without Wormian bones or scleral discoloration⁹⁸. In OI, there may be a (biological) family history of fracturing 312 313 with minimal trauma and clinical or radiographic features that assist in establishing the 314 diagnosis. Up to 25% of cases are due to new autosomal dominant mutations and not 315 all cases of OI have osteoporosis, vertebral fractures or an excessive number of 316 Wormian bones to help establish the diagnosis. Apart from congenital insensitivity to 317 pain, fractures are still painful even with an underlying predisposition.

318

319 Normal variants

320

321 There are numerous normal variants that may simulate II. A detailed discussion322 of all possible normal variants is beyond the scope of this article and further reading is

strongly recommended⁸⁵. Two common variants with which the non-expert radiologist
should be familiar are discussed below.

325

326 Wormian bones

327

Wormian bones are small, irregularly shaped bones found at cranial sutures which vary between individuals in size, shape and number⁹⁹ and (when relatively few in number) may be mistaken for skull fracture, particularly in the occipital bone¹⁰⁰. Less than ten Wormian bones in an individual represents an anatomical variant occurring most frequently in the lambdoid suture¹⁰¹. It has been proposed that a good quality CT 3D reconstruction of the skull can augment the differentiation of normal variants, such as Wormian bones and accessory sutures¹⁰², and fracture¹⁰⁰.

Multiple Wormian bones occur in several disorders, including OI (Fig 11). A helpful mnemonic to remember the conditions associated with Wormian bones is detailed in Table 2¹⁰³. Note that a skull fracture may co-exist in a child with multiple Wormian bones and/or OI and that even in these cases, a history of impact will be required.

340

341 Sternal ossification centres

342

343 It is important that sternal segments (ossification centres) are not mistaken for 344 healing rib fractures on oblique chest projections (Fig 1c)¹⁰⁴.

345

346 What to do once abuse is suspected

347

Radiologists play a key role in the detection of II. However, this becomes redundant if any suspicions or concerns are not appropriately and speedily communicated to the relevant clinical team. Failure to instigate child protection measures may result in an infant being exposed to further (potentially fatal) injury if allowed to remain in an abusive environment. An infant may be removed to a place of safety whilst full investigations are conducted.

In the context of suspected II, independent double reporting of imaging is advised. Each department should have well-defined pathways and protocols in place for the double reporting of SS and contact details for a more experienced opinion if required. Most regional paediatric units provide an advisory and review service to colleagues. Good prompt communication with the general paediatric and child protection teams is vital to ensure that the safety of the child remains paramount at all times.

361

362 **Conclusion**

363

The two articles provide an overview of the key radiographic features related to the diagnosis of II in infants and young children. The radiologist who identifies an injury which is out of context with the clinical history provided, for example, an 'incidental' rib fracture in an infant, provides a diagnosis that is as important as spotting the lung cancer in an adult: they are both potentially lethal.

The diagnosis of child abuse is complex and imaging plays a large and important role. The consequences of missing II may be dire, if not fatal, but there are significant emotional sequelae if II is erroneously diagnosed. This is a difficult balance to achieve, and multidisciplinary team working is essential. It is important to remember that child abuse can take many forms and whilst physical abuse may manifest as
inflicted skeletal injury, the absence of a fracture does not imply the absence of abuse.

375

376 Figure legends

377

Figure 1 Healing rib fractures. 3-month-old female whose twin brother died from inflicted head injury associated with skull and metaphyseal fractures. The co-twin had an acute event, whereas this twin had old rib injuries proving II at different times. (a) AP chest radiograph (arrows), (b) right oblique (arrows) and (c) left oblique (red arrows) show healing fractures of the posterior arcs of the left 8th and 9th ribs and anterior arcs of the right 2nd to 4th ribs. **Do not mistake the sternal segments (white arrows) seen in (c) for the healing rib fractures (red arrows).**

385

Figure 2 Acute rib fractures. 6-week-old with subdural haemorrhage. (a) Acute rib fractures are not always detectable on AP chest radiographs: however, note the left posterior 8th acute rib fracture (arrow). This was confirmed by healing callus on radiography 2 weeks later.

390

Figure 3 Skull fractures. 9-month-old female who presented with an unexplained rightsided boggy swelling. Although felt to have been inflicted, compare this simple linear right parietal skull fracture (arrows) seen on the lateral skull radiograph (a) with the wide, branching right parietal fracture seen on the AP (white arrows) (b) and lateral (black arrows) (c) skull radiographs of an 11-week old male who also presented with unexplained boggy swelling (dashed red line). Both skull fractures were inflicted. Branching, wide fractures are complex fractures and imply greater energy than a simple linear parietal fracture³⁵ and are therefore more suspicious of II in the absence of a
confirmed/witnessed accidental history of a high energy impact.

400

401 Figure 4 3D reconstruction of brain CT. 8-week-old male whose head impacted the 402 corner of a shelf whilst being held in father's arms. (a) Selected axial slice from an 403 unenhanced CT brain (bone windows) reveals a minimally displaced fracture of the 404 right parietal bone with an overlying subgaleal haematoma (arrow). No intracranial 405 injury. (b) Anterolateral, (c) lateral and (d) posterolateral 3D reconstructions better 406 demonstrate the extent of the fracture that extends from the superior sagittal suture to 407 the right lambdoid suture. The anterior part of the right parietal bone is minimally 408 depressed relative to the posterior fragment. Note that the fracture branches out from a 409 point of impact in keeping with the proffered history and mechanism.

410

Figure 5 Vertebral fractures. 21-month old female who "fell" from a window; circumstances suggested that she was pushed/thrown. The lateral spine radiograph demonstrates a subtle depression of the superior endplate of T5 (arrow) in keeping with fracture, and also possibly of T4 and T6 (numbered). No rib fracture. The patient also had a concurrent parietal skull fracture. (Wiring crosses L1.) This child died and no further imaging investigations were performed.

417

Figure 6 Differential diagnosis: Birth injury. Male infant who was delivered by emergency Caesarean section at 30-weeks due to flexed breech position. (a) Radiograph after delivery (day 0) is suspicious for a probable right proximal humeral classic metaphyseal lesion (CML, arrows). (b) Follow-up radiograph on day 19 demonstrates healing bilateral proximal humeral CMLs confirming birth injury (arrows). 424 Figure 7 Differential diagnosis: Clavicle fracture secondary to birth injury. 8-day-old 425 who presented with poor right arm movements and clavicle swelling and after a difficult 426 vaginal delivery. The injury was not noted at birth however neonatal bony injury is 427 often overlooked on clinical examination. (a) Radiograph reveals displaced fracture of 428 the right midshaft clavicle. Whilst a more recent II is not excluded, the clinical history 429 was consistent with fracture secondary to delivery. (b) Follow-up radiograph taken 3 430 weeks later confirmed healing injury (arrow). (c) Palpable swelling over the left 431 clavicle two weeks following a difficult delivery in a different patient. The fracture was 432 not noted on postnatal clinical examination. The radiograph taken on day 14 of life 433 reveals periosteal reaction/healing callus. A comprehensive birth history is imperative 434 to ascertain the aetiology of the injury.

435

Figure 8 Differential diagnosis: Metabolic bone disease. AP both knees in a 1-year old
boy with severe rickets. The bones are osteopenic with flayed irregular metaphyses and
widened zones of provisional calcification. Note the distal femoral metaphyseal spurs
(white arrows) and possible metadiaphyseal fracture of the left proximal tibia (red
arrow).

441

Figure 9 Rickets. 2-year-old male who presented with failure to thrive and irritability.
(a) AP chest radiograph done as part of investigation for infection revealed incidental
"rachitic rosary" (red arrows) and features of rickets at the left shoulder (white arrow).
It is important that "rachitic rosary" is not mistaken for healing rib fractures.

446

Figure 10 Differential diagnosis: Skeletal dysplasia. (a) AP chest, (b) left upper limb (c) and right lower limb radiographs performed in a neonate aged 1-day. Note the slender ribs, multiple fractures (sustained in utero) and bowing of the long bones. The broad femur is a consequence of multiple healed in utero fractures. (d) AP left femur shows hyperplastic callus at the site of healing fracture with 'popcorn calcification' in a different child with OI type V. Note the 'zebra lines' in keeping with cyclical bisphosphonate therapy and the intramedullary nail.

454

455 Figure 11 Wormian bones in OI. (a) Lateral skull radiograph showing multiple
456 Wormian bones in the occipital bone in a 1-day old child with OI type III – the same
457 child as Figure 10 (a-c). Note also the thin skull. (b) AP skull radiograph showing
458 Wormian bones in a different child with OI type III.

459

460 Tables

461

462 **Table 1**

463 Features of skull fractures that are highly suspicious of inflicted injury⁴⁰.

• Non-parietal skull fractures (parietal skull fractures are more in keeping with accidental injury, although can be seen in II)

- Sutural diastasis
- Fractures crossing suture lines, thereby involving multiple bones
- Depressed fracture with a break in the cortex (compare with the "ping pong" fracture in which there is deformation but no cortical disruption)

• Bilateral fractures (have a higher association with II but this does not exclude high energy accidental trauma)

464 These features all imply significant force (equivalent to a fall from a height greater than

465 5 feet/1.5 metres).

466

467	Table	2
		_

468 Conditions associated with Wormian bones best remembered by the mnemonic

469 **PORKCHOPS**¹⁰³.

P – pyknodysostosis
O – osteogenesis imperfecta
R – rickets
K – kinky hairy syndrome (also known as Menkes disease)
C – cleidocranial dysplasia
H – hypothyroidism, hypophosphatasia
O – otopalatodigital syndrome
P – primary acro-osteolysis (also known as Hajdu-Cheney), pachydermoperiostosis
S – syndrome of Downs (trisomy 21)

471 **Table 3**

470

472 Summary table of fracture dating.

Site of fracture	Nature	Periosteal reaction	Soft tissue swelling	Notes
Diaphyseal Rib	Acute	-	+ or -	If there is periosteal reaction, it was likely

				sustained in the
				preceding 10-14 days
				Soft tissue swelling
				overlying the long bones
				(not the ribs) develops
				within the first 24 hours
Diaphyseal				Periosteal reaction
	Healing	+	-	usually present around
				day 7-10 (rarely by day
Rib				4, always by day 14)
				Heal completely by 3
				months
		-		
	Acute/Healin g	+ (If associated with shaft injury, -	_	Difficult to date
Metaphyse				
al				Usually heal by 4 weeks
				and always by 6 weeks
		SPNBF)		
				Recent injury <2 weeks,
	Acute	-	If +	will fade over several
Skull				months
		-	If -	Could be chronic or
				acute (less likely)



475 **References**

- 476 1. Marieb EN. The Skeletal System. Eessenitals of Human Anatomy &
 477 Physiology. 11th ed. Essex, England, United Kingdom: Peason; 2015:158-204.
- 478 2. Lonergan GJ, Baker AM, Morey MK, Boos SC. From the archives of the AFIP.
- 479 Child abuse: radiologic-pathologic correlation. Radiographics 2003;23:811-45.
- 480 3. Kemp AM, Dunstan F, Harrison S, et al. Patterns of skeletal fractures in child
- 481 abuse: systematic review. BMJ 2008;337:a1518.
- 482 4. Hartmann RW, Jr. Radiological case of the month. Rib fractures produced by
 483 birth trauma. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 1997;151:947-8.
- 484 5. Rizzolo PJ, Coleman PR. Neonatal rib fracture: birth trauma or child abuse? J
 485 Fam Pract 1989;29:561-3.
- 486 6. Barber I, Perez-Rossello JM, Wilson CR, Kleinman PK. The yield of high-detail
- 487 radiographic skeletal surveys in suspected infant abuse. Pediatr Radiol 2015;45:69-80.
- 488 7. Barsness KA, Cha ES, Bensard DD, et al. The positive predictive value of rib
- 489 fractures as an indicator of nonaccidental trauma in children. J Trauma 2003;54:1107-
- 490 10.
- 8. Bulloch B, Schubert CJ, Brophy PD, Johnson N, Reed MH, Shapiro RA. Cause
 and clinical characteristics of rib fractures in infants. Pediatrics 2000;105:E48.
- 493 9. Cadzow SP, Armstrong KL. Rib fractures in infants: red alert! The clinical
 494 features, investigations and child protection outcomes. J Paediatr Child Health
 495 2000;36:322-6.
- 496 10. Ng CS, Hall CM. Costochondral junction fractures and intra-abdominal trauma
 497 in non-accidental injury (child abuse). Pediatr Radiol 1998;28:671-6.

498 11. Darling SE, Done SL, Friedman SD, Feldman KW. Frequency of intrathoracic
499 injuries in children younger than 3 years with rib fractures. Pediatr Radiol
500 2014;44:1230-6.

501 12. Weber MA, Risdon RA, Offiah AC, Malone M, Sebire NJ. Rib fractures
502 identified at post-mortem examination in sudden unexpected deaths in infancy (SUDI).
503 Forensic Sci Int 2009;189:75-81.

504 13. Sanchez TR, Lee JS, Coulter KP, Seibert JA, Stein-Wexler R. CT of the chest
505 in suspected child abuse using submillisievert radiation dose. Pediatr Radiol
506 2015;45:1072-6.

507 14. Wootton-Gorges SL, Stein-Wexler R, Walton JW, Rosas AJ, Coulter KP,
508 Rogers KK. Comparison of computed tomography and chest radiography in the
509 detection of rib fractures in abused infants. Child Abuse Negl 2008;32:659-63.

510 15. Standards from Radiological Investigations of Suspected Non-accidental511 Injury. London: Royal College of Radiologists.

512 Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health.

513 <u>https://www.rcr.ac.uk/docs/radiology/pdf/RCPCH_RCR_final.pdf</u> 2008. Accessed 23
514 June 2015.

515 16. Anilkumar A, Fender LJ, Broderick NJ, Somers JM, Halliday KE. The role of
516 the follow-up chest radiograph in suspected non-accidental injury. Pediatr Radiol
517 2006;36:216-8.

518 17. Cosway B, Mathura N, Mott A, et al. Occult Rib Fractures: Defining the Cause.
519 Child Abuse Review 2015;24:6-15.

520 18. Pandya NK, Baldwin K, Wolfgruber H, Christian CW, Drummond DS,

521 Hosalkar HS. Child abuse and orthopaedic injury patterns: analysis at a level I pediatric

trauma center. J Pediatr Orthop 2009;29:618-25.

523 19. Garcia VF, Gotschall CS, Eichelberger MR, Bowman LM. Rib fractures in
524 children: a marker of severe trauma. J Trauma 1990;30:695-700.

525 20. Hansen KK, Prince JS, Nixon GW. Oblique chest views as a routine part of
526 skeletal surveys performed for possible physical abuse--is this practice worthwhile?
527 Child abuse & neglect 2008;32:155-9.

- 528 21. Ingram JD, Connell J, Hay TC, Strain JD, Mackenzie T. Oblique radiographs
 529 of the chest in nonaccidental trauma. Emergency Radiology 2000;7:42-6.
- 530 22. Maguire S, Mann M, John N, et al. Does cardiopulmonary resuscitation cause
- rib fractures in children? A systematic review. Child Abuse Negl 2006;30:739-51.
- 532 23. Ryan MP, Young SJ, Wells DL. Do resuscitation attempts in children who die,
 533 cause injury? Emerg Med J 2003;20:10-2.
- 534 24. Reyes JA, Somers GR, Taylor GP, Chiasson DA. Increased incidence of CPR-
- related rib fractures in infants--is it related to changes in CPR technique? Resuscitation2011;82:545-8.
- 537 25. Matshes EW, Lew EO. Two-handed cardiopulmonary resuscitation can cause
 538 rib fractures in infants. Am J Forensic Med Pathol 2010;31:303-7.

539 26. Martin PS, Jones MD, Maguire SA, Theobald PS, Kemp AM. Increased

540 incidence of CPR-related rib fractures in infants - Is it related to changes in CPR
541 technique? Resuscitation 2012;83:e109; author reply e11.

- 542 27. Reyes JA, Somers GR, Taylor GP, Chiasson DA. Response to Letter: Increased
 543 incidence of CPR-related rib fractures in infants--is it related to changes in CPR
 544 technique? Resuscitation 2012;83:e111.
- 545 28. Menegazzi JJ. Infant chest compression depth needs further evaluation.
 546 Resuscitation 2011;82:1362.

- 547 29. Burrows P, Trefan L, Houston R, et al. Head injury from falls in children 548 younger than 6 years of age. Arch Dis Child 2015;100:1032-7.
- 549 30. Swoboda SL, Feldman KW. Skeletal trauma in child abuse. Pediatr Ann550 2013;42:236-43.
- 551 31. Leventhal JM, Thomas SA, Rosenfield NS, Markowitz RI. Fractures in young
 552 children. Distinguishing child abuse from unintentional injuries. Am J Dis Child
 553 1993;147:87-92.
- 32. Meservy CJ, Towbin R, McLaurin RL, Myers PA, Ball W. Radiographic
 characteristics of skull fractures resulting from child abuse. AJR Am J Roentgenol
 1987;149:173-5.
- 33. Reece RM, Sege R. Childhood head injuries: accidental or inflicted? Arch
 Pediatr Adolesc Med 2000;154:11-5.
- 559 34. Worlock P, Stower M, Barbor P. Patterns of fractures in accidental and non-560 accidental injury in children: a comparative study. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed) 561 1986;293:100-2.
- 562 35. Powell BJ, Passalacqua NV, Baumer TG, Fenton TW, Haut RC. Fracture 563 patterns on the infant porcine skull following severe blunt impact. J Forensic Sci 564 2012;57:312-7.
- 565 36. Hobbs CJ. Skull fracture and the diagnosis of abuse. Arch Dis Child 566 1984;59:246-52.
- 567 37. Johnson K, Fischer T, Chapman S, Wilson B. Accidental head injuries in 568 children under 5 years of age. Clin Radiol 2005;60:464-8.
- 569 38. Lee AC, Ou Y, Fong D. Depressed skull fractures: a pattern of abusive head
 570 injury in three older children. Child Abuse Negl 2003;27:1323-9.

571 39. Stewart G, Meert K, Rosenberg N. Trauma in infants less than three months of
572 age. Pediatr Emerg Care 1993;9:199-201.

573 40. Knipe H, Bhattacharya B. Non-accidental injuries. Radiopaedia.org.
574 http://radiopaedia.org/articles/non-accidental-injuries 2015. Accessed 23 June 2015.

575 41. Head injury: Triage, assessment, investigation and early management of head
576 injury in children, young people and adults. National Institute for Health and Care
577 Excellence (NICE).

578 <u>https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg176</u> 2014. Accessed 23 June 2015.

579 42. Prabhu SP, Newton AW, Perez-Rossello JM, Kleinman PK. Three-dimensional
580 skull models as a problem-solving tool in suspected child abuse. Pediatr Radiol
581 2013;43:575-81.

582 43. Duffy SO, Squires J, Fromkin JB, Berger RP. Use of skeletal surveys to evaluate
583 for physical abuse: analysis of 703 consecutive skeletal surveys. Pediatrics
584 2011;127:e47-52.

44. Rangel EL, Cook BS, Bennett BL, Shebesta K, Ying J, Falcone RA. Eliminating
disparity in evaluation for abuse in infants with head injury: use of a screening
guideline. J Pediatr Surg 2009;44:1229-34; discussion 34-5.

588 45. Strouse PJ, Owings CL. Fractures of the first rib in child abuse. Radiology
589 1995;197:763-5.

590 46. Stoodley N. Neuroimaging in non-accidental head injury: if, when, why and 591 how. Clin Radiol 2005;60:22-30.

McHugh K. Neuroimaging in non-accidental head injury: if, when, why and
how. Clin Radiol 2005;60:826-7; author reply 7-8.

594 48. Barber I, Kleinman PK. Imaging of skeletal injuries associated with abusive

head trauma. Pediatr Radiol 2014;44 Suppl 4:S613-20.

49. Rajaram S, Batty R, Rittey CD, Griffiths PD, Connolly DJ. Neuroimaging in
non-accidental head injury in children: an important element of assessment. Postgrad
Med J 2011;87:355-61.

599 50. Bajaj M, Offiah AC. Imaging in suspected child abuse: necessity or radiation
600 hazard? Arch Dis Child 2015;100:1163-8.

51. Kleinman PK, Morris NB, Makris J, Moles RL, Kleinman PL. Yield of
radiographic skeletal surveys for detection of hand, foot, and spine fractures in
suspected child abuse. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2013;200:641-4.

Karmazyn B, Lewis ME, Gregory Jennings S, Hibbard RA, Hicks RA. The
Prevalence of Uncommon Fractures on Skeletal Surveys Performed to Evaluate for
Suspected Abuse in 930 Children: Should Practice Guidelines Change? AJR Am J
Roentgenol 2011;197:W159-63.

53. Lindberg DM, Harper NS, Laskey AL, Berger RP, Investigators. E. Prevalence
of abusive fractures of the hands, feet, spine, or pelvis on skeletal survey: perhaps
"uncommon" is more common than suggested. Pediatric Emergency Care 2013;29:26-

611 9.

612 54. Barber I, Perez-Rossello JM, Wilson CR, Silvera MV, Kleinman PK.

613 Prevalence and relevance of pediatric spinal fractures in suspected child abuse. Pediatr614 Radiol 2013;43:1507-15.

615 55. Bilston LE, Brown J. Pediatric spinal injury type and severity are age and 616 mechanism dependent. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2007;32:2339-47.

617 56. Feldman KW, Avellino AM, Sugar NF, Ellenbogen RG. Cervical spinal cord
618 injury in abused children. Pediatr Emerg Care 2008;24:222-7.

619 57. Ghatan S, Ellenbogen RG. Pediatric spine and spinal cord injury after inflicted

620 trauma. Neurosurg Clin N Am 2002;13:227-33.

- 58. Koumellis P, McConachie NS, Jaspan T. Spinal subdural haematomas in
 children with non-accidental head injury. Arch Dis Child 2009;94:216-9.
- 623 59. Oral R, Rahhal R, Elshershari H, Menezes AH. Intentional avulsion fracture of
 624 the second cervical vertebra in a hypotonic child. Pediatr Emerg Care 2006;22:352-4.
- 625 60. Rooks VJ, Sisler C, Burton B. Cervical spine injury in child abuse: report of
 626 two cases. Pediatr Radiol 1998;28:193-5.
- 627 61. Kleinman PK, Shelton YA. Hangman's fracture in an abused infant: imaging
 628 features. Pediatr Radiol 1997;27:776-7.
- 629 62. Knox J, Schneider J, Wimberly RL, Riccio AI. Characteristics of spinal injuries
 630 secondary to nonaccidental trauma. J Pediatr Orthop 2014;34:376-81.
- 631 63. Choudhary AK, Ishak R, Zacharia TT, Dias MS. Imaging of spinal injury in
 632 abusive head trauma: a retrospective study. Pediatr Radiol 2014;44:1130-40.
- 633 64. Kadom N, Khademian Z, Vezina G, Shalaby-Rana E, Rice A, Hinds T.
- 634 Usefulness of MRI detection of cervical spine and brain injuries in the evaluation of635 abusive head trauma. Pediatr Radiol 2014;44:839-48.
- 636 65. Gruber TJ, Rozzelle CJ. Thoracolumbar spine subdural hematoma as a result of
- 637 nonaccidental trauma in a 4-month-old infant. J Neurosurg Pediatr 2008;2:139-42.
- 638 66. Choudhary AK, Bradford RK, Dias MS, Moore GJ, Boal DK. Spinal subdural
 639 hemorrhage in abusive head trauma: a retrospective study. Radiology 2012;262:216640 23.
- 641 67. Spinal Injuries. Clinical and radiological characteristics of physically abusive
 642 spinal injuries. Thoraco-lumbar injuries. CORE INFO Cardiff Child Protection
 643 Systematic Reviews. 2016. (Accessed 6 April, 2016, at <u>http://www.core-</u>
 644 <u>info.cardiff.ac.uk/reviews/spinal/what-are-the-clinical-and-radiological-</u>
- 645 <u>characteristics-of-spinal-injuries-from-physical-abuse/thoraco-lumbar-injuries.</u>)

646 68. Sieradzki JP, Sarwark JF. Thoracolumbar fracture-dislocation in child abuse:
647 case report, closed reduction technique and review of the literature. Pediatr Neurosurg
648 2008;44:253-7.

649 69. Bode KS, Newton PO. Pediatric nonaccidental trauma thoracolumbar fracture650 dislocation: posterior spinal fusion with pedicle screw fixation in an 8-month-old boy.
651 Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2007;32:E388-93.

- 652 70. Diamond P, Hansen CM, Christofersen MR. Child abuse presenting as a
 653 thoracolumbar spinal fracture dislocation: a case report. Pediatr Emerg Care
 654 1994;10:83-6.
- 655 71. Gabos PG, Tuten HR, Leet A, Stanton RP. Fracture-dislocation of the lumbar
 656 spine in an abused child. Pediatrics 1998;101:473-7.
- 657 72. Lindaman LM. Bone healing in children. Clin Podiatr Med Surg 2001;18:97-658 108.
- 659 73. Malone CA, Sauer NJ, Fenton TW. A radiographic assessment of pediatric
 660 fracture healing and time since injury. J Forensic Sci 2011;56:1123-30.
- 661 74. Fractures. What is the evidence for radiological dating of fractures in children?
- 662 Implications for practice. CORE INFO Cardiff Child Protection Systematic Reviews.
- 663 2016. (Accessed 10 April, 2016, at <u>http://www.core-</u>
- 664 info.cardiff.ac.uk/reviews/fractures/what-is-the-evidence-for-radiological-dating-of-
- 665 <u>fractures-in-children/implications-for-practice</u>.)
- 666 75. Prosser I, Lawson Z, Evans A, et al. A timetable for the radiologic features of
- 667 fracture healing in young children. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2012;198:1014-20.
- 668 76. Halliday KE, Broderick NJ, Somers JM, Hawkes R. Dating fractures in infants.
- 669 Clin Radiol 2011;66:1049-54.

670 77. Walters MM, Forbes PW, Buonomo C, Kleinman PK. Healing patterns of
671 clavicular birth injuries as a guide to fracture dating in cases of possible infant abuse.
672 Pediatr Radiol 2014;44:1224-9.

- 673 78. Prosser I, Maguire S, Harrison SK, Mann M, Sibert JR, Kemp AM. How old is
 674 this fracture? Radiologic dating of fractures in children: a systematic review. AJR Am
 675 J Roentgenol 2005;184:1282-6.
- 676 79. Sanchez TR, Nguyen H, Palacios W, Doherty M, Coulter K. Retrospective
 677 evaluation and dating of non-accidental rib fractures in infants. Clin Radiol
 678 2013;68:e467-71.
- 679 80. Kleinman PK. Problems in the diagnosis of metaphyseal fractures. Pediatr680 Radiol 2008;38 Suppl 3:S388-94.
- 681 81. Flaherty EG, Perez-Rossello JM, Levine MA, et al. Evaluating children with
 682 fractures for child physical abuse. Pediatrics 2014;133:e477-89.
- 683 82. Forestier-Zhang L, Bishop N. Bone strength in children: understanding basic
 684 bone biomechanics. Arch Dis Child Educ Pract Ed 2016;101:2-7.
- 685 83. Kleinman PK, Marks SC, Blackbourne B. The metaphyseal lesion in abused
- 686 infants: a radiologic-histopathologic study. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1986;146:895-905.
- 687 84. Thompson A, Bertocci G, Kaczor K, Smalley C, Pierce MC. Biomechanical
- 688 investigation of the classic metaphyseal lesion using an immature porcine model. AJR
- 689 Am J Roentgenol 2015;204:W503-9.
- 690 85. Quigley AJ, Stafrace S. Skeletal survey normal variants, artefacts and
- 691 commonly misinterpreted findings not to be confused with non-accidental injury.
- 692 Pediatr Radiol 2014;44:82-93; quiz 79-81.
- 693 86. Barry PW, Hocking MD. Infant rib fracture--birth trauma or non-accidental694 injury. Arch Dis Child 1993;68:250.

695 87. Durani Y, DePiero AD. Images in emergency medicine. Fracture of left clavicle
696 and left posterior rib due to birth trauma. Ann Emerg Med 2006;47:210, 5.

697 88. van Rijn RR, Bilo RA, Robben SG. Birth-related mid-posterior rib fractures in
698 neonates: a report of three cases (and a possible fourth case) and a review of the
699 literature. Pediatr Radiol 2009;39:30-4.

- 700 89. O'Connell A, Donoghue VB. Can classic metaphyseal lesions follow
 701 uncomplicated caesarean section? Pediatr Radiol 2007;37:488-91.
- 702 90. Morris S, Cassidy N, Stephens M, McCormack D, McManus F. Birth-
- associated femoral fractures: incidence and outcome. J Pediatr Orthop 2002;22:27-30.
- 91. Harwood-Nash DC, Hendrick EB, Hudson AR. The significance of skull
 fractures in children. A study of 1,187 patients. Radiology 1971;101:151-6.
- 706 92. Thacher TD, Fischer PR, Pettifor JM, Lawson JO, Manaster BJ, Reading JC.
- 707 Radiographic scoring method for the assessment of the severity of nutritional rickets. J
- 708 Trop Pediatr 2000;46:132-9.
- 709 93. Cheema JI, Grissom LE, Harcke HT. Radiographic characteristics of lower710 extremity bowing in children. Radiographics 2003;23:871-80.
- 711 94. Kleinman PK, Sarwar ZU, Newton AW, Perez-Rossello JM, Rebello G,

Herliczek TW. Metaphyseal fragmentation with physiologic bowing: a finding not to
be confused with the classic metaphyseal lesion. AJR Am J Roentgenol

- be confused with the classic metaphyseal lesion. AJR Am J Roentgenol2009;192:1266-8.
- 715 95. Arundel P, Ahmed SF, Allgrove J, et al. British Paediatric and Adolescent Bone
- 716 Group's position statement on vitamin D deficiency. BMJ 2012;345:e8182.
- 717 96. Ablin DS, Greenspan A, Reinhart M, Grix A. Differentiation of child abuse
 718 from osteogenesis imperfecta. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1990;154:1035-46.
- 719 97. Rauch F, Glorieux FH. Osteogenesis imperfecta. Lancet 2004;363:1377-85.

- 720 98. Nimkin K, Kleinman PK. Imaging of child abuse. Radiol Clin North Am721 2001;39:843-64.
- 722 99. Nayak S, Soumya K. Unusual sutural bones at pterion. International Journal of723 Anatomical Variations 2008;1:19-20.
- 100. Choudhary AK, Jha B, Boal DK, Dias M. Occipital sutures and its variations:
- the value of 3D-CT and how to differentiate it from fractures using 3D-CT? Surg Radiol
- 726 Anat 2010;32:807-16.
- 101. Marti B, Sirinelli D, Maurin L, Carpentier E. Wormian bones in a general
- paediatric population. Diagn Interv Imaging 2013;94:428-32.
- 729 102. Sanchez T, Stewart D, Walvick M, Swischuk L. Skull fracture vs. accessory
- sutures: how can we tell the difference? Emerg Radiol 2010;17:413-8.
- 731 103. Wormian bones (mnemonic). 2016. (Accessed 30 March, 2016, at
 732 <u>http://radiopaedia.org/articles/wormian-bones-mnemonic.</u>)
- 733 104. McAloon J, O'Neill C. Ossification centres, not rib fractures. Arch Dis Child
- 734 2011;96:284.
- 735

















































