

This is a repository copy of *Clinimetrics of ultrasound pathologies in osteoarthritis:* systematic literature review and meta-analysis.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/126873/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Oo, WM, Linklater, JM, Daniel, M et al. (6 more authors) (2018) Clinimetrics of ultrasound pathologies in osteoarthritis: systematic literature review and meta-analysis. Osteoarthritis and Cartilage, 26 (5). pp. 601-611. ISSN 1063-4584

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2018.01.021

(c) 2018 Osteoarthritis Research Society International. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial No Derivatives 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Clinimetrics of Ultrasound Pathologies in Osteoarthritis:

SystematicLiterature Review and Meta-analysis

Win Min Oo¹, James M Linklater², Matthew Daniel¹, Simo Saarakkala^{3,4}, Jonathan Samuels⁵, Philip G Conaghan^{6,7}, Helen I Keen⁸, Leticia A Deveza¹, David J Hunter¹

- Rheumatology Department, Royal North Shore Hospital, and, Institute of Bone and Joint Research, Kolling Institute, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia.
- Department of Musculoskeletal Imaging, Castlereagh Sports Imaging, St. Leonards, Sydney, Australia.
- Research Unit of Medical Imaging, Physics and Technology, Faculty of Medicine,
 University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland.
- 4. Department of Diagnostic Radiology, Oulu University Hospital, Oulu, Finland.
- Division of Rheumatology, Centre For Musculoskeletal Care, NYU Langone Medical Centre, New York, USA.
- Leeds Institute of Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds,
 United Kingdom.
- 7. NIHR Leeds Biomedical Research Centre, Leeds, United Kingdom.
- 8. School of Medicine and Pharmacology, University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia

Corresponding address: Win Min Oo. Rheumatology Department, Royal North Shore Hospital, and Institute of Bone and Joint Research, Kolling Institute, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia.

Abstract

Objective: The aims of this study were to systematically review clinimetrics of commonly assessed ultrasound pathologies in knee, hip and hand osteoarthritis (OA), and to conduct a meta-analysis for each clinimetric.

Methods: MEDLINE, EMBASE, and Cochrane Library databases were searched from their

inceptions to September 2016. According to the OMERACT Instrument Selection Algorithm, data extraction focused on ultrasound technical features and performance metrics.

Methodological quality was assessed with modified 19-item Downs and Black score and 11-item Quality Appraisal of Diagnostic Reliability (QAREL) score. Separate meta-analyses were performed for clinimetrics: 1)inter-rater/intra-rater reliability; 2)construct validity; 3)criteria validity; and 4)internal/external responsiveness. SPSS, Excel and Comprehensive Meta-analysis were used.

Result: Our search identified 1126 records; of these, 100 were eligible, including a total of 8542 patients and 32373 joints. The average Downs and Black score was 13.01, and average QAREL was 5.93. The stratified meta-analysis was performed only for knee OA, which

demonstrated moderate to substantial reliability [minimum kappa>0.44(0.15,0.74), minimum

ICC>0.82(0.73-0.89)], weak construct validity against pain(r=0.12 to 0.27), function(r=0.15)

to 0.23), and blood biomarkers(r=0.01 to 0.21), but weak to strong correlation with plain

radiography(r=0.13 to 0.60), strong association with MRI [minimum r=0.60(0.52,0.67)] and

strong discrimination against symptomatic patients(OR=3.08 to 7.46). There was strong

criterion validity against cartilage histology [r=0.66(-0.05,0.93), and small to moderate

internal(SMD=0.20 to 0.58) and external(r=0.35 to 0.43) responsiveness to interventions.

Conclusion: Ultrasound demonstrated strong criterion validity with cartilage histology, poor

to strong correlation with patient findings and MRI, moderate reliability, and low

responsiveness to interventions.

PROSPERO Registration No.CRD42016039954

Key words: clinimetrics; osteoarthritis; ultrasound; ultrasonography; diagnosis;

meta-analysis; systematic review

Abstract word count:244

Full text word count: 4569

Tables: 6

Figures: 2

Running headline: Clinimetrics of Ultrasound Pathologies in OA