

This is a repository copy of A Methodological Synthesis of Self-Paced Reading in Second Language Research: Methodological synthesis of SPR tests.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <a href="https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/125457/">https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/125457/</a>

## Article:

Marsden, Emma Josephine orcid.org/0000-0003-4086-5765, Thompson, Sophie and Plonsky, Luke (2018) A Methodological Synthesis of Self-Paced Reading in Second Language Research:Methodological synthesis of SPR tests. Applied Psycholinguistics. 861–904. ISSN: 1469-1817

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0142716418000036

## Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

## **Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Appendix B. Examples of Comprehension Questions with analysed segments, in studies providing more than one example of a CQ on critical trials

Study Target feature		Example stimuli critical regions/targets underlined	Example CQ (total k of CQs available)	Commentary: CQ in relation to Critical Region (CRA) analysed	
Study A <sup>1</sup>	Verb incongruence: plurality (i & ii) and subcategorization (iii & iv)	i. "The child was watching some of the rabbit(s) in the room."  ii. The professor noticed a few of his friend(s) in the picture.  iii. "The teacher wanted/insisted the student to start all over again"  iv. "They had to teach/train the employees Chinese before sending them to China"	i. "Was the child chasing some chickens?" ii. "Were the professor's friends in the picture?" iii. "Was the teacher satisfied with the student's work?" iv. "Were the employees to be sent to China?" (64, all – in appendix, p.964-967)	All CQ focus on (all or part of) CRA. Pattern across all CQs	
Study B	Subject object ambiguities – weak garden paths (i & ii), strong garden paths (iii & iv)	i. "The spokesman confirmed the story/doctor had surprised the president yesterday." ii. "The man believed the girl/book had upset very many people." iii. "As the men drank the beer/song pleased everybody very much." iv. "While the neighbor visited the boy/car passed by the house".	i. "Had the story surprised the president?" ii. "Had the girl/book upset many people?" iii. "Did the beer/song make everybody unhappy?" iv. "Did the neighbor pass by the house?" (40, all – in appendix, p.327-9)	All focus on CRA as intended, to measure off-line interpretation. These two types of orientation of attention pattern across all CQs.	
Study C	Gender agreement –	i. "El abuelo adora <u>al nieto nuevo/*nueva</u> de la familia.	i. "El abuelo adora el auto/al nieto nuevo?	Both examples require some focus on CRA,	

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We re-iterate, the purpose of this synthesis is not to critique individual studies but to highlight potential issues regarding consistency and transparency for further research. Study A in this table is not the same as Study A in subsequent tables.

	animate versus inanimate	The grandfather adores the family's new grandson."  ii. "El abuelo adora el auto nuevo/*nueva de la familia.  The grandfather adores the family's new car."  (p.94)	Does the grandfather adore the new car/grandson" ii. "¿El hombre detesta el auto/al nieto nuevo? Does the man detest the new car/grandson?" (2, p.95)	but a pattern not discernible from these two examples and it could be pre-CRA, on the verb.
Study D	Plural inflection of the object – simple quantifier phrases (i & ii) partitive quantifier phrases (iii & iv)	<ul> <li>i. "Haley ordered <u>four brown leather chair(s)</u> for her new condo."</li> <li>ii. "Erin fixed <u>several old broken desk(s)</u> with some old tools"</li> <li>iii. "Frank promoted <u>three of the engineer(s)</u> to high management positions."</li> <li>iv. "Alex gave <u>several of his</u> toy(s) to his baby cousins."</li> </ul>	i. "Was Haley planning to put the leather chairs in her new condo?" ii. "Did Erin repair the desk without any tools?" iii "Did any engineers get promoted?" iv. "Did Alex give his toys only to his classmates?" (all - online supplementary materials)	In i and ii, the focus is on a region after the CRA. iii focuses on the CRA and pre CRA ('promoted') iv focuses on both the CRA ('several of' is needed for interpretation) and also the post CRA region

Appendix C. Segments analysed in studies investigating temporary (local) ambiguity<sup>2</sup>

Linguistic	Study	Segments analysed	Example stimuli (as presented in the article)	Commentary on group of related
feature				studies
Subject-object ambiguities in Spanish	Study A	The post-verbal NP, the region with the main clause verb, and the sentence final region.	"Cuando el escultor   acabó/volvió   <u>la obra</u>   <u>tenía tres metros</u>   <u>de altura</u> When the sculptor   finished/came back   <u>the piece</u>   <u>was ten feet</u>   <u>in height</u> " (p.726) "Después de que   comieron/hablaron   <u>el pollo</u>   <u>se enfrió</u>   <u>de una vez</u> . After   they ate/talked   <u>the chicken</u>   <u>got cold</u>   <u>right away.</u> " (p.733)	Similarity. All three studies analysed some or all of the ambiguous noun phrase and the disambiguating verb region.  Differences.  (a) Study A presented multi-word segments; Studies B, C and D presented
Subject-object ambiguities in English (verb bias)	Study B	Analysis on temporarily ambiguous NP (mean of article + noun), and disambiguating verb region (mean of modal + be).	"The   club   members   understood   (that)   the   bylaws   would   be   applied   to   everyone.  The   ticket   agent   admitted   (that)   the   mistake   might   be   hard   to   correct." (p765)	word by word (b) Studies A, C and D analysed words or segments <i>after</i> the disambiguating verbs; Study B did not. (c) Studies A, B and D analysed the
Subject-object ambiguities in English (strong-weak garden paths)	Study C	Graphically presented mean RTs on all 10 segments. ANOVAs presented for segments 6-10 for strong GP, 5-10 for weak GP (i.e. ambiguous noun onwards).	"While  the  neighbor  visited   the   boy/car   passed   by   the   house. (strong GP)  The   man   confessed   the   truth/king   was   not     believed   by   anyone" (weak GP) (p.328)	article with the ambiguous noun, each is different ways: A as the total RT on the multi-word segment, B as the mean RT on the article and noun, D as the sum of the RT on article and noun and also included the verb; study C did not
Subject-object ambiguities in English (strong-weak garden paths)	Study D	Sum of the article+noun+V and the sum of the following three words.	a Before   Mary   ate   the   pizza   arrived   from   the   local   restaurant.  b. After   Mary   died   her   husband   married   a   woman   from   Texas. (p.415)	included the verb; study C did not analyse the RT on the article. (d) Study A analysed the segments as presented i.e. sums of words in segments Study B analysed the mean RTs of the two words in each region analysed;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> We indicate presentation segments with | and analysed segments with <u>underlining</u>. In some cases, this information was extracted from information in the articles as this precise formatting was not provided.

				Study C provided analysis of the segments as presented i.e. individual words; Study D summed the RTs on the individual words in the two segments analysed.  (e) Study C examined the RTs on the comprehension questions; Studies A, B and D did not.
Relative clauses high/low attachment in Dutch (disambiguation by S-V number agreement)	Study A	Analyses presented for the disambiguating region, defined as: the disambiguating auxiliary, the past participle, the preposition/conjunction, and the determiner	"Daar   is   de   machinist   die   de   conducteurs   heeft/hebben   bevrijd   uit   het   brandende   Treinstel" (p.82) That   is   the   engine-driver   who   the   guards   has/have   saved   from   the   burning   traincarriage	Similarity. All four studies presented analyses of the disambiguation region: aux verb or adjective.  Differences  (a) Study A was word by word presentation; studies B, C and D was
Relative clauses high/low attachment in English (disambiguation by S-V number agreement)	Study B (expt. 2 & 4)	Means and SDs given for all regions. ANOVA carried out on all segments, and reported for disambiguating auxiliary verb and the sentence final region.	"The dean liked   the secretary of the professors   who   was/were   reading a letter  The dean liked   the professors with the secretary   who   was/were   reading a letter." (p.464)  "The clerk asked for   the consultants of the economist   who   was/were   reading the reports.  The clerk asked for   the economist with the consultants   who   was/were   reading the reports" (p.483)	presentation by segments.  (b) Studies A, B and C reported analysi of regions after the disambiguation poir Study D did not.  (c) Study A analysed the three words following the disambiguating word one each separate word; studies B and C analysed them as one segment  (d) Study D carried out analyses on the
	Study C	Means calculated for all segments; inferential statistics reported for disambiguating region and the final region	"The journalist interviewed   the assistant of the inspectors   who   was/were   looking very serious" (p.303)	RTs to the CQ; studies A, B and C did not.

Relative clauses high/low attachment in Greek (disambiguation by S-ADJ gender agreement)	Study D (expt. 2)	Descriptive data for all segments; all segments analysed, analysis of 4 <sup>th</sup> and 5 <sup>th</sup> segments reported	"Enas kirios fonakse   ton fititi tis kathighitrias   pu fenotan   apoghoitevmenos/eni   apo to neo ekpedheftiko sistima  A man called   the student [MASC] of/with the teacher [FEM]   who seemed   disappointed [MASC/FEM]   by the new educational system." (p.513)	
Reduced relative clauses in English	Study A	Ambiguous verb, cue, and disambiguating verb	"The brown sparrow seen by the hungry cat becked at an insect.  The brown sparrow noticed on an upper branch becked at an insect.  The brown sparrow noticed almost every day becked at an insect.  The brown sparrow noticed almost every day pecked at an insect."	Similarity. Both studies analysed three segments including the ambiguous verb and two subsequent regions.  Differences.  (a) Study B presented and analysed 'by in the same segment as the ambiguous
	Study B	"Segments 3, 4 and 5" p. 1121	"The boy     kissed by   the girl   was cute. The boy   who was   kissed by   the girl   was cute. The apple     kissed by   the girl   was cute. The apple   that was   kissed by   the girl   was cute. The apple     seen by   the girl   was cute. The apple     seen by   the girl   was cute. The apple   that was   seen by   the girl   was cute. The apple   that was   seen by   the girl   was cute. The apple   that was   seen by   the girl   was cute.	verb; Study A presented and analysed the ambiguous verb alone (b) Study B included the predicate following the disambiguating verb i.e. the whole sentence final region; Study analysed the disambiguating verb only

Appendix D. Studies investigating global ambiguity and the segments analysed

Linguistic feature	Studies	Segments analysed	Example stimuli (as presented in the article)	
Subject- object assignment in German	Study A	Average RT for whole item used for analysis	"Peter kann sehen, dass das Spiel den Trainer ärgert. (SO/-ANIM)  Peter kann sehen, dass den Trainer das Spiel ärgert. (OS/-ANIM)  (Peter can see that the game angers the coach.)"  Peter kann sehen, dass das Kind den Trainer ärgert. (SO/+ANIM)  (Peter can see that the child angers the coach.)  Peter kann sehen, dass den Trainer das Kind ärgert. (OS/+ANIM)  (Peter can see that the child angers the coach). (p401).	Differences.  (a) Study A analysed whole sentence reading time and study B analysed the sum of SO + adverb + OS in the subordinate clause.
Subject- object assignment in German	Study B	Sum of segments 3, 4 and 5	"Ich glaube,   dass   den Arbeiter   am Dienstag   der Lehrling   abgelenkt   hat  I think   that   the worker-OBJ   on Tuesday   the apprentice-SUBJ   distracted  Ich glaube,   dass   der Chefarzt   am Morgen   den Oberarzt   überredet   hat  I think   that   the chief physician-SUBJ   in the morning   the senior physician-OBJ   persuaded" (p479).	(b) Study A used whole sentence presentation; Study B used presentation in multiword segments.
Wh-questions in English, subject/object	Study A	The three words after the main verb. Average RTs of region presented and analysed.	"Who   did   the   police   declare   killed   the   pedestrian? Who   did   the   police   declare   the   pedestrian   killed? Who   did   the   police   know   killed   the   pedestrian? Who   did   the   police   know   the   pedestrian   killed?" (p.452)	Similarity. Both studies presented analyses of the same word by word regions.
Wh-questions in English, subject/object	Study B	"The critical region was defined as the verb and noun phrase in the complement clause"	"Who   do   you   think   met   the   tourists   in   front   of   the   museum?  Who   do   you   think   the   tourists   met in   front   of   the   museum?" (p.204)	

		p.208. Average RTs of region presented and analysed.		
in German (nominative and accusative case marking)	Study A	Means for all 5 segments then, based on differences in means, ANOVAs on segments 3 and 4 "The wh-element, the matrix verb, the matrix subject, the past participle, the complement verb, and the complement noun phraseand sentence final prepositional phase" p.616 ANOVA for each segment.	"Welche Ingenieurin   traf   den/der Chemiker   gestern Nachmittag   im Café? Which [NOM/ACC] engineer  met   the [ACC/NOM] chemist   yesterday afternoon   in the cafe?" (p.887)  "Wer/wen   denkst  du,   vermisste   den/der Lehrer   in den   Ferien?" Who [NOM/ACC]   think   you,   missed   the [ACC/NOM] teacher   during the vacation?" (p.613)	Similarity. Both studies analysed the 2 <sup>nd</sup> NP (article + noun ACC or NOM) and the next multi-word segment Differences.  (a) Following the ACC/NOM NP, study B's adverbial segment was sentence final; study A included an additional preposition wrap up region  (b) Study B provided ANOVAs on all segments prior to the NP; study did not.