

This is a repository copy of *The interaction between moist diabatic processes and the atmospheric circulation in African Easterly Wave propagation*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/124818/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Tomassini, L, Parker, DJ orcid.org/0000-0003-2335-8198, Stirling, A et al. (3 more authors) (2017) The interaction between moist diabatic processes and the atmospheric circulation in African Easterly Wave propagation. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 143 (709). pp. 3207-3227. ISSN 0035-9009

https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3173

(c) 2017 Wiley. This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Tomassini, L, Parker, DJ, Stirling, A et al. (3 more authors) (2017) The interaction between moist diabatic processes and the atmospheric circulation in African Easterly Wave propagation. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 143 (709). pp. 3207-3227. , which has been published in final form at http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/qj.3173. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



The interaction between moist diabatic processes and the atmospheric circulation in African Easterly Wave propagation

Lorenzo Tomassini^{a*}, Douglas J. Parker^b, Alison Stirling^a, Caroline Bain^a, Catherine Senior^c, and

Sean Milton^a

^aMet Office, Exeter, United Kingdom

^bSchool of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom ^cMet Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, United Kingdom

*Correspondence to: Met Office, FitzRoy Road, Exeter EX1 3PB, United Kingdom. Email: lorenzo.tomassini@metoffice.gov.uk

An objective tracking algorithm is used to characterise the three-dimensional structure of African Easterly Waves (AEWs) in ERA-Interim reanalysis and a Met Office Unified Model (UM) simulation. A special focus is dedicated to the coupling of dynamical aspects of the wave and moist convection. The relation between baroclinic features of the wave and latent heating is explored. Latent heating at and slightly ahead of the wave trough is found to reinforce and sustain the anomalous wave circulation through potential vorticity (PV) generation and vortex stretching. The coupling of moist processes and the circulation takes place mainly through moisture convergence at lower mid-tropospheric levels, between 850 hPa and 500 hPa. These findings are confirmed and examined in more detail in a case study of a strong AEW based on high-resolution UM simulations. PV tracers are used to investigate how different moist diabatic processes invigorate the wave. Again moisture anomalies are found to be the main contributors to generating small-scale convergence centres and updrafts ahead of the trough at mid-tropospheric levels. Although buoyancy effects are ultimately responsible for the convective uplift, the results suggest that mesoscale circulations associated with the AEW dynamics are crucial in creating the small-scale moist static instabilities and vortices which are essential for the AEW maintenance. Boundary layer mixing and advection from the northern Sahel may create pockets of high-PV air around the trough in some instances, but this mechanism of wave sustainment needs further investigation.

Key Words: African Easterly Waves; Interaction between moist convection and circulation; Convective organisation

1 1. Introduction

Our understanding of the interaction between moist diabatic
processes and the atmospheric circulation is still fragmentary
and incomplete. This interaction takes place on a large range of
spatial and temporal scales. It is fundamental for weather and
climate in the tropics (Charney 1963; Hoskins and Karoly 1981;
Hoerling 1992), but plays a crucial role in extratropical weather
systems and climate variability as well (Hoskins and Valdes 1990;
Parker and Thorpe 1995; Booth *et al.* 2013).

10 African Easterly Waves (AEWs) are a model case for the interplay between moist diabatic processes and the atmospheric 11 circulation. They grow from finite amplitude disturbances exciting 12 barotropic and baroclinic instabilities at the fringes of the 13 African Easterly Jet (Thorncroft et al. 1994a,b; Hall et al. 2006). 14 Moist processes are important in sustaining the disturbances as 15 they travel from the Darfur Mountains towards the coast of 16 West Africa (Berry and Thorncroft 2005; Cornforth et al. 2009; 17 Berry and Thorncroft 2012). 18

Various past research efforts have been aimed at investigating 19 wave disturbances over West Africa such as the Global 20 Atmosphere Research Program Atlantic Tropical Experiment 21 (GATE) and the African Monsoon Multidisciplinary Analysis 22 (AMMA) project. Valuable observational data were obtained 23 from these programs which shed light on various features 24 of AEWs (Burpee 1972; Reed et al. 1977; Kiladis et al. 2006; 25 Barthe et al. 2010; Bain et al. 2011). New reanalysis data, satellite 26 observations, and high-resolution numerical simulations now 27 allow a more detailed view of the interaction between moist 28 convection, clouds, and boundary layer processes in AEW 29 propagation. In a wider perspective, a better understanding of 30 AEW dynamics can provide insights into the more general nature 31 of the two-way interaction between moist diabatic processes and 32 the atmospheric circulation. 33

In global weather and climate models the majority of diabatic processes have to be parameterized, and the most persistent and fundamental biases in numerical models are related to those parameterizations. The parameterizations do not operate in isolation, they interact with the atmospheric dynamics and with each other. A better understanding of the interaction between parameterized processes and the atmospheric circulation is thus 40 paramount when it comes to parameterization development. In 41 the present study we investigate the interaction between moist 42 diabatic processes and the atmospheric circulation in AEWs by 43 analyzing observations and reanalysis data as well as simulations 44 with a global numerical model, the Met Office Unified Model. 45 The rationale is that exploring the deficiencies of the model, 46 and conducting sensitivity experiments, will not only guide 47 future model development, but also enhance our understanding 48 of the relevance of specific aspects of the convection-circulation 49 interaction in AEWs. 50

The aim of the paper is thus to elucidate the role of moist 51 diabatic processes in African Easterly Wave dynamics. The 52 problem may be broken down into three main questions: (1) 53 where does moist convection occur preferentially relative to the 54 wave trough; (2) what is the impact of moist convection on the 55 AEW dynamics at this preferred location; and (3) why does moist 56 convection occur preferentially at this specific location, or in other 57 words, how do AEWs organise convection. These three questions 58 will be addressed and answered in the present study. 59

The paper has two main parts: a climatological view on 60 the interaction between moist processes and the atmospheric 61 circulation based on objective tracking of AEWs in ERA-Interim 62 and a Unified Model (UM) simulation (Section 2), and a detailed 63 investigation of the case of a strong AEW in July 2010 (Section 3). 64 The first part provides a robust and comprehensive climatological 65 view on the interaction between moist diabatic processes and 66 the AEW dynamics, but the presented composite analysis cannot 67 demonstrate a causal relationship between moist processes and 68 features of the wave development. In the second part a process-69 based analysis of the diabatic influences on AEW dynamics is 70 then undertaken by means of numerical sensitivity experiments 71 which establish a mechanistic connection between moisture 72 convergence ahead of the wave trough, organised convection, and 73 wave growth. In particular, the paper will use the analysis of 74 diabatic contributions to the potential vorticity (PV) budget of 75 AEWs to quantify the impact of those processes on the synoptic 76 development. 77

The paper is therefore structured as follows: in Section 2, 78 statistical analysis of AEW diagnostic fields in ERA-Interim will 79

```
© 2017 Royal Meteorological Society
```

be compared with those fields from a free-running climate version 80 of the Met Office model, to explore the ways in which the 81 differing representation of diabatic processes in the two models is 82 responsible for differing AEW evolution. The discussion is mainly 83 restricted to the southern coastal region of West Africa, but the 84 way dynamical features of the wave structure and related diabatic 85 processes vary across different regions is briefly touched upon. 86 Section 3 proceeds to investigate these processes in more detail 87 through Lagrangian analysis of potential vorticity in a case study 88 with the Met Office model. Finally, in Section 4 the results are 80 summarised and generalised through conceptual exploration of the 90 "diabatic wave" processes. 91

The three-dimensional structure of African Easterly 92 2.

Waves

In this section we use an objective algorithm to track AEWs and 94 to compute wave composites over a climatological period of 11 95 seasons for the years 1998 to 2008. A season includes the months 96 of July to September when the West African monsoon reaches 97 its most northerly position. The three-dimensional structure of 98 AEWs in ERA-Interim reanalysis and a Met Office Unified Model 90 simulation is discussed, and the relation of the wave disturbances 100 to rainfall and moist diabatic processes analysed. 101

The AEW composites are computed for six regions separately, 102 i.e. conditional on the wave trough being detected within one of 103 the particular regions. The six regions are denoted North West 104 (NW), South West (SW), North Central (NC), South Central (SC), 105 North East (NE), and South East (SE), and are indicated in Figure 106 1. Mean fields and the three-dimensional structure of the AEWs 107 will first be discussed in detail for the region South West (SW). 108 Differences that characterize the waves in the NW and SE region 109 110 will be described separately in Section 2.5.

As pointed out in other studies (e.g., Janiga and Thorncroft 111 2013), the area of the West African coast is particularly active 112 convectively and the diabatic heating associated with the wave 113 disturbances notedly pronounced. In the eastern regions, over 114 Chad and the Sudan, the AEWs are typically in an early stage 115 of their development and the connection to organised convection 116 potentially weaker. The wave properties in the central areas are a 117

middle ground between the features observed in the west and the east and are not shown for clarity of presentation. 119

For the composite analysis data from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecast (ECMWF) ERA-Interim 123 reanalysis (Dee et al. 2011) are used. Despite the fact that 124 there are rather few atmospheric observations over West 125 Africa, the ERA-Interim reanalysis fields generally show a 126 good agreement with other observational products (Roberts et al. 2015). Reanalysis data have been employed in other studies 128 of the climatological structure of African Easterly Waves 129 (Kiladis et al. 2006; Berry and Thorncroft 2012; Bain et al. 2013; 130 Janiga and Thorncroft 2014; Poan et al. 2015). 131

Rainfall observations from the 3-hourly, 0.25° in latitude and 132 longitude Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) 3B42 133 V7 dataset (Huffman et al. 2007) for the period 1998 to 2008 are 134 combined with the ERA-Interim data. This precipitation product 135 was evaluated favourably against ground-based observations over 136 West Africa at the temporal and spatial resolution considered here 137 (Guilloteau et al. 2016). 138

A simulation with the Met Office Unified Model in the 139 configuration GA7 at N96 resolution (approximately 150km 140 grid box spacing) using daily varying prescribed sea surface 141 temperatures is analyzed as well. 142

2.1.2.	African Easterly Wave tracking and composite	143
calcula	tion	144

AEWs are tracked based on the objective method described in 145 Bain et al. (2013), with some modifications and additions. Here 146 the main features of the algorithm are sketched. 147

The tracking is based on 6-hourly wind fields at the 700 148 hPa level. Curvature vorticity is calculated from the wind, and 149 averaged separately over the three latitude bands 5° to 15° , 10° 150 to 20° , and 15° to 25° North. Then the AEWs are tracked for 151 each latitude band. Only AEWs which have a curvature vorticity 152 larger than $c_{min} = 10^{-7} s^{-1}$, at any given time and longitude, are 153 considered. 154

L. Tomassini et al.



Figure 1. Study region in Africa with the different areas used for the composite analysis.

Based on the tracks on the three latitude bands, a simple 155 criterion is used in order to decide whether waves identified on 156 different latitude bands are manifestations of one single wave. 157 In a last step the location of the wave trough is identified more 158 precisely, starting from the first guess trough longitude determined 159 by the curvature vorticity tracking. This is done in two iterations, 160 based on anomalies of meridional wind and relative vorticity at 161 700 hPa. 162

Iteration 1: For every point in time it is first diagnosed on 163 which latitude band the wave is strongest in terms of the median 164 of the curvature vorticity in the vicinity of the first guess trough 165 longitude. Then meridional wind and relative vorticity anomalies 166 are restricted to the identified latitude band. A search is carried out 167 for the longitude lon_{iter1} at which the modulus of the meridional 168 wind anomaly becomes minimal in a neighbourhood around 169 170 the first guess trough longitude. In the given latitude band, in a window around lon_{iter1} , a search is subsequently performed 17 for the latitude at which the relative vorticity anomaly becomes 172 maximal. This provides the first guess latitude lat_{iter1} of the 173 trough location. 174

Iteration 2: The steps of the previous iteration are repeated with searches carried out in smaller neighbourhoods of lon_{iter1} and lat_{iter1} . This gives the final values of the trough longitudes and latitudes.

The African Easterly Wave tracking reveals that the AEWs are substantially weaker in the UM simulation compared to ERA-Interim, both in terms of their mean and their maximum curvature vorticity along the tracks (Figure 2). The fact that there are fewer AEWs, and more waves which travel only a short distance, in the

© 2017 Royal Meteorological Society

model simulation compared to ERA-Interim is related mainly to 184 the minimum curvature vorticity threshold c_{min} in the tracking. 185

In the computation of the composites for the UM the detected 186 AEWs are resampled such that the number of AEWs considered 187 in the composites for the UM simulation is equal to the 188 number of AEWs in the ERA-Interim composites. As discussed 189 in the Introduction, the rather low-resolution UM simulations 190 analysed in the present section are used to identify and highlight 191 model deficiencies in the representation of convection-circulation 192 interactions and the consequence of these deficiencies for the 193 AEW evolution, not to infer actual properties of the structure of 194 AEWs and related moist diabatic processes. The latter are derived 195 from reanalysis data. 196

2.2. Mean state for the South West region

To understand the structure of the wave anomalies, the 198 climatological conditions in which the waves are embedded have 199 to be considered. Here the mean latitude-height structure of 200 zonal wind, temperature, and specific humidity, averaged over the 201 longitude band used to define the coastal regions, namely 18° to 202 8° West, is shown for ERA-Interim and the UM (Figure 3). 203

197

The mean zonal wind shows the African Easterly Jet (AEJ) with 204 centre at around 600 hPa, and the westerly monsoon flow below 205 (Figure 3, panels a and b). The jet is much less confined in the UM 206 and shifted southward compared to ERA-Interim. The low-level 207 monsoon circulation does not reach as far north in the Unified 208 Model as in ERA-Interim. The temperature structure shows a 209 stronger low-level baroclinicity, i.e. more marked meridional 210 temperature gradients, in the model over the Sahel (Figure 3, 211 panels c and d). Meridional temperature gradients change sign Prepared using girms4.cls

5



Figure 2. Comparison of African Easterly Waves statistics between Era-Interim and the Unified Model at N96 resolution for the July, August, and September seasons of the years 1998 to 2008: histograms of mean and maximum curvature vorticity along the wave tracks (top row), histograms of the length of the wave tracks, and number of AEWs per season (bottom row), indicating mean, minimum, and maximum values at four different longitudes.

213 at about the AEJ level, i.e. around 600 hPa. The regions of 214 high humidity reach further north in ERA-Interim (Figure 3, 215 panels e and f). Note that in the southern part of the domain 216 meridional humidity gradients are small in ERA-Interim and 217 become substantial only north of about 15° latitude.

218 2.3. Three-dimensional wave structure for the South West219 region

Based on the AEW tracking, the composite structure of AEWs is
calculated for both ERA-Interim and the UM. Longitude zero in
the composites corresponds to the longitude of the wave trough.
In this section the discussion is restricted to the South West (SW)
region.

225 2.3.1. Dynamical fields

The longitude-height cross sections of the meridional wind anomaly composites reveal that the wave has a more baroclinic structure in the UM than in ERA-Interim at lower levels of the atmosphere (Figure 4, panels a and b). In the UM the wave anomaly slants into the shear whereas in ERA-Interim it shows an upright appearance. This is consistent with the low-level mean meridional temperature gradient being stronger in the UM over 232 the SW region. It also reflects the fact that the AEJ is narrower 233 in ERA-Interim and exhibits stronger meridional gradients in the 234 zonal wind. A stronger meridional gradient in the zonal wind 235 enhances barotropic instability and barotropic energy conversion 236 from the mean flow to the wave disturbance (Thorncroft et al. 237 1994a). Moreover, the signature of the AEJ in the wave composite 238 is more distinct in the UM. This is partly due to the fact that in the 239 model the AEJ is located within the SW region whereas for ERA-240 Interim it is positioned further north. However, there is evidence 241 that the fact that the anomaly is more concentrated, and broader, 242 at the level of the AEJ in the model is also a result of the nature 243 of the interaction between the convective parameterization and the 244 circulation in the UM (see Sections 2.4 and 3.3). 245

The characteristics of the meridional wind wave anomaly 246 vary depending on the region because the baroclinicity of the 247 mean state varies. Reed *et al.* (1977) reports a maximum of 248 the meridional wind anomaly at about the AEJ level, a nearly 249 vertical wave axis below 700 hPa, and a westward slope above, 250 in agreement with our results for the SW. Burpee (1972), who 251 considers a more northern region, describes a distinct tilt a 252



Figure 3. Mean cross sections of zonal wind (top row), temperature (middle row), and specific humidity (bottom row) averaged over the longitudes of the coastal regions over the years 1998 to 2008, for Era-Interim (left column) and the Unified Model (right column).

low levels. Consistently, Reed et al. (1977) notes that baroclinic 253 instability contributes more to wave growth in northern areas, 254 whereas further south baroclinicity is weaker and precipitation 255 256 heavier. Also the vertical structure of latent heating plays a role in defining the structure of the wave disturbance. Idealized 257 studies suggest that low-level latent heating supports barotropic 258 energy conversion and a more barotropic appearance of the 259 wave, whereas a top-heavy heating profile favours baroclinic 260 wave growth (Padro 1973; Craig and Cho 1988; Thorncroft et al. 26 1994b; Hsieh and Cook 2007). 262

The horizontal structure of the meridional wind in ERA-Interim suggests that in the along-trough direction geostrophic balance is a good approximation (not shown). This makes the semigeostrophic conceptual framework of Parker and Thorpe (1995) attractive for the interpretation of the AEW dynamics (see Section

268 4).

Composites of potential vorticity anomalies indicate a deeper 269 and narrower anomaly in ERA-Interim compared to the model 270 (Figure 4, panels c and d). As with the meridional wind, the 271 anomaly is located in a wider region around the trough in the 272 model, whereas in ERA-Interim it is positioned at or slightly 273 ahead of the trough. At around 800 hPa the PV anomalies extend 274 to regions behind the trough in both ERA-Interim and the UM, a 275 circumstance which is due to enhanced stability associated with 276 low-level cold advection in that area. 277

Zonal wind anomaly composites in ERA-Interim show the 278 slowdown of the easterly wind at the level of the AEJ (Figure 279 4, panels e and f for ERA-Interim). The low-level monsoon 280 flow is strengthened somewhat ahead of the trough. Viewing 281 the wave trough as a frontal system conceptually, as suggested 282 in Bain *et al.* (2011), an easterly ageostrophic low-level crossfrontal circulation is identifiable which has its centre in the 284



Figure 4. Composites of meridional wind (panels a and b) and PV (panels c and d) anomalies conditional on the African Easterly Wave being detected in the region SW, for Era-Interim and the Unified Model. Panels e and f show composites of zonal wind anomalies at 700 hPa and 850 hPa for ERA-Interim, respectively. Black contours indicate geopotential height anomalies (contour lines are $\pm 6, \pm 5, \pm 4, \pm 3, \pm 2, \pm 1$, and 0 m). Bottom row: composites of relative vorticity anomalies at 700 hPa (panel g) and 850 hPa (panel h) for ERA-Interim. The zero longitude corresponds to the trough location of the wave.

northern part of the wave slightly ahead of the front at 700 285 hPa, and slightly behind the front at 850 hPa (Figure 4, panels 286 e and f; the black contour lines indicate geopotential height 287 anomalies). At around the AEJ, regions of westerlies correspond 288 to regions of southerlies, and regions of easterlies correspond 289 to regions of northerlies, indicating that the wave transports 290 easterly momentum northward. This suggests barotropic energy 291 conversion from zonal kinetic energy to eddy kinetic energy at 292 around the level of the AEJ, in agreement with Reed et al. (1977). 293

At 700 hPa the relative vorticity anomaly pattern tilts slightly 294 from southwest to northeast, but not very markedly so (Figure 295 4, panel g for ERA-Interim). At the 850 hPa level there is 296 a second vorticity centre to the north slightly ahead of the 297 main wave, a feature also described by Reed *et al.* (1977) and 298 Berry and Thorncroft (2005) (Figure 4, panel h for ERA-Interim). 299 This second vorticity centre is more pronounced in other regions 300 (not shown). 301

Comparing temperature anomalies between ERA-Interim and the 303 UM (Figure 5, panels a and b) confirms the more baroclinic 304 structure of the wave disturbance in the model due to the stronger 305 mean temperature gradients over the region. There are other 306 important differences. In the model the southerly advection of 307 cold air is much stronger, and the wave has a cold core below 308 the level of the AEJ behind as well as in front of the trough. In 309 ERA-Interim there are indications of a warm and a cold conveyor 310 belt in the lower troposphere. Warm and dry air is drawn in 311 from the north, cold air is advected from the south behind the 312 trough at around 850 hPa (Figure 5, black contour lines in panel 313 f for ERA-Interim). At 700 hPa the cold anomaly corresponds 314 to northerly winds, suggesting that cold air is partly lifted to 315 middle tropospheric levels in a conveyor belt circulation (Figure 316 5, black contour lines in panel e for ERA-Interim). The anomaly 317 patterns in ERA-Interim agree well with what Reed et al. (1977) 318 found in observations. The temperature anomalies are also a 319 result of the interaction between baroclinic growth and diabatic 320 heating from convection. Over the SW region, in the model the 32 latent heat release takes place mainly at the upper levels of 322 the troposphere, whereas in ERA-Interim the latent heating is 323 bottom-heavy and occurs throughout the free troposphere (see 324 Section 2.4). The broad warm anomaly in the upper troposphere 325 around the trough in the model is thus partly a consequence of 326 latent heat release induced by the convection parameterization, as 327 shown by the temperature tendency anomaly from the convection 328 parameterization (Figure 6, panel g). 329

The height-longitude moisture anomaly composites show the 330 anomalous moisture at and slightly ahead of the trough (Figure 5, 331 panels c and d). In ERA-Interim there is a dry anomaly behind the 332 trough because moisture is transported out of this region towards 333 the area at and in front of the trough where it feeds convective 334 development. The horizontal specific humidity anomalies at 850 335 hPa and 700 hPa correspond well to the temperature anomalies 336 (Figure 5, panels e and f). In the UM a dry anomaly cuts through 337 the trough at low levels. The wide dry region at low levels around 338 339 the trough in the UM is mostly caused by convective drying by the convection parameterization, as demonstrated by the composite of 340 the convective specific humidity tendency (Figure 6, panel h). 341

2.4. Relation to precipitation and moist diabatic processes 342

Precipitation formation is intimately linked to latent heat release 343 in the atmosphere. A comparison of the location of TRMM 344 precipitation relative to the ERA-Interim wave trough and the 345 UM precipitation and respective wave trough is shown in Figure 346 6, panels a and b. This reveals that precipitation is formed in a 347 rather narrow band ahead of the trough in ERA-Interim, whereas 348 for the UM precipitation is distributed in a broader region around 349 the trough and confined to more southern areas. In the model there 350 is a northern extension of the precipitation anomaly behind the 351 trough related to strong positive moisture anomalies. 352

The anomaly composite for vertical pressure velocity is 353 consistent with the precipitation characteristics in terms of the 354 spatial position (Figure 6, panels c and d). It also shows a strong maximum at upper levels in case of the model whereas for ERA-356 Interim strongest upward velocities occur at lower levels ahead 357 of the trough. In extra-tropical baroclinic waves latent heating 358 most strongly couples with the dynamics at low levels where 359 temperature and moisture advection is strongest. As discussed in more detail below, in the AEW case convection and dynamics are 361 coupled most strongly through pre-frontal moisture convergence 362 and diabatic PV generation at lower mid-tropospheric levels, i.e. 363 between 850 to 500 hPa (Figure 4, panel c, and Figure 5, panel 364 c; see also Berry and Thorncroft (2012) and the discussion in 365 Section 3.4). 366

A robust diagnostic of latent heating which can also be 367 calculated for the ERA-Interim reanalysis is the so-called apparent 368 heat source (Yanai et al. 1973). Let T denote temperature, z 369 geopotential height, q the gravitational constant, and c_p specific 370 heat at constant pressure. From the budget equation for dry static 371 energy $s = c_p T + gz$ it follows that approximately 372

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{V}T) = Q^{\text{Rad}} + Q^{\text{Latent}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p} (\omega'T') \qquad (1) \quad 373$$

where \mathbf{V} denotes the three-dimensional wind vector, Q^{Rad} diabatic 374 heating from radiation, Q^{Latent} latent heating, and $(\omega'T')$ subgrid-375 scale turbulent heat fluxes in pressure coordinates. 376



Figure 5. Composites of temperature (top row) and specific humidity anomalies (second row) conditional on the African Easterly Wave being detected in the region SW, for Era Interim (panels a and c) and the Unified Model (panels b and d). Panels e and f: composites of specific humidity anomalies for ERA-Interim at 700 hPa and 850 hPa, respectively. Black contours indicates corresponding composites of temperature anomalies (contour lines are $\pm 1, \pm 0.8, \pm 0.6, \pm 0.4, \pm 0.2, \pm 0.1,$ and 0 K). The zero longitude corresponds to the trough location of the wave.

377 Defining

$$Q_1^R := \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{V}T) - Q^{\text{Rad}}$$
(2)

thus provides an approximate expression for the sum of the latent heating Q^{Latent} plus the subgrid-scale turbulent heat flux convergence term using rather robust large-scale quantities, which are constrained by observations in ERA-Interim.

Indeed, wave composites of Q_1^R anomalies agree well with 383 composites of convective heating tendency anomalies in the model 384 (compare Figure 6, panel f, with panel g). For the South West 385 region the Q_1^R anomaly composites are shown in Figure 6, panels e 386 and f. The UM Q_1^R composite shows a top-heavy deep convective 387 profile which is not very well aligned with the trough. In ERA-388 Interim the anomaly in the vertical gradient of Q_1^R exhibits 389 maximum at around 700 hPa suggesting strongest diabatic а 390 39 PV generation at around this height. This is in agreement with

results by Janiga and Thorncroft (2013) who also find maximum 392 latent heat release in the lower mid troposphere at the coast of 393 West Africa, and top heavy heating profiles in eastern regions, 394 consistent with the analysis presented in Section 2.5. 395

Why does precipitation, and thus organised convection, occur 396 preferentially at and slightly ahead of the trough? Anomaly 397 composites of moist static energy (MSE) at 925 hPa show that 398 in the model there is a negative anomaly around the trough 399 in the region where precipitation forms (Figure 7, panel b). 400 This is partly a result of convective drying (Figure 6, panel 401 h). But also in ERA-Interim the low-level MSE anomaly is 402 small in the area at and slightly ahead of the trough (Figure 403 7, panel a). This suggests that in AEWs convection is not 404 primarily controlled by boundary layer moist static stability 405 anomalies. Rather, convective activity is governed mainly by 406



Figure 6. Composites of precipitation (top row), vertical pressure velocity (second row), and Q_1^r (third row) anomalies conditional on the African Eastery Wave being detected in the region SW, for Era Interim (left column) and the Unified Model (right column). For the precipitation anomaly composite in panel a, TRMM rainfall data is used. Panels g and h: composites of convective temperature and humidity tendency anomalies, respectively, for the UM. The zero longitude corresponds to the trough location of the wave.

moisture convergence at lower mid-tropospheric levels (Figure 7, 407 panels c and d, for the 850 hPa level). In ERA-Interim there is a 408 409 distinct convergence line ahead of the trough where precipitation is located. The area at and slightly ahead of the trough is the 410 region of preferred moisture convergence in the anomalous wave 411 circulation as discussed in more detail for the case presented in 412 Section 3 (see also the conceptual summary in Section 4). Of 413 course moisture convergence can partly be a result of convection. 414

But the evidence suggests that lower mid-tropospheric moisture 415 convergence generated by the wave dynamics is key in triggering 416 and organising convective cells. 417

The convection parameterization in the UM shows too little 418 sensitivity to the resolved dynamics of the wave and moisture 419 anomalies in the middle troposphere. Also the fact that at 420 150 km grid spacing the model is not able to resolve the 421 mesoscale dynamics of the wave, and circulations related to 422 423 organised convection, sufficiently well contributes to the deficient424 representation of the convection-circulation interaction in the UM.

425 2.5. Differences among regions

In this paragraph we briefly summarise the climatological view 426 on the convection-circulation interaction in AEWs for two other 427 regions, the North West and the South East (Figure 1). The mean 428 state of the atmosphere varies across regions, such as meridional 429 temperature, humidity, and zonal wind gradients, and the position 430 of the AEJ. These aspects impact the structure of the waves and the 43 relative importance of different energy conversion processes. An 432 indirect effect of the mean state of the atmosphere, together with 433 orographic features, is the differing importance and characteristics 434 of mesoscale convective systems (MCSs) and related latent 435 heat release. For instance, in the northern part precipitation is 436 scarcer due to the drier environment, and organized convective 437 systems related to the AEWs are embedded in more stable upper-438 tropospheric environments (Houze 1989, 2004). This has in turn 439 440 an impact on the AEW structure.

Mean cross sections for the eastern longitudes (not shown) 44 show that the AEJ is positioned further south compared to the 442 coastal region, and is weaker. The monsoon, as indicated by the 443 low-level moisture and temperature gradients and the low-level 444 westerlies, reaches less far north in the central and eastern areas 445 compared to the West Coast, only to about 16° North. Low-level 446 temperature gradients over the SE region are however similar to 447 the gradients over the SW because the southern part of the area 448 is warmer and drier in the SE due to the absence of the sea to 449 the south. Strongest humidity gradients are located at around 15° 450 North. 451

The height-longitude meridional wind anomaly composite for 452 ERA-Interim reveals that the waves are more baroclinic in the NW 453 compared to the SW because meridional temperature gradients 454 are much stronger in the northern coastal area (Figure 8, panel 455 a). This is also evident in the temperature and specific humidity 456 anomalies (not shown), which are strongest in the more northern 457 parts of the waves. The area starting from about 2 to 3 degrees 458 longitude in front of the trough is dominated by the southward 459 advection of warm and very dry air from the north. Accordingly, 460 the precipitation composite slants somewhat from southwest to 461

northeast (Figure 8, panel c). The vertical pressure velocity shows 462 a very distinct maximum at low levels, below the AEJ (Figure 8, 463 panel e), reflecting the stable environment at upper levels. This is 464 a feature of the waves over all the northern regions NW, NC, and 465 NE. Diabatic processes also peak at low levels (Figure 8, panel 466 g). The strong low-level centre of vertical motion is thus likely 467 a combination of strong low-level baroclinic energy conversion 468 together with latent heating from relatively shallow MCSs, which 469 are capped by a stable upper troposphere (Houze 1989). Generally 470 energy supply by latent heat release is overall weaker in the 471 drier northern region than further south where moisture is more 472 abundant. 473

In the SE (see Figure 1) the AEJ is located further south 474 compared to the coastal region, meaning that the AEJ is positioned 475 over the area. But the AEJ is considerably weaker here, many of 476 the AEWs are initiated around the Darfur Mountains. Meridional 477 temperature and moisture gradients are weak from 5° North to 478 about 13° North because there is no sea to the south as on the 479 West Coast. 480

In accordance with the AEJ being weaker, the wave anomalies 481 in the meridional wind are smaller (Figure 8, panel b). Also, since 482 the AEJ is located over the region, there is a stronger imprint of 483 the AEJ in the composite compared to the SW region, and the 484 anomalies are contained mainly to the middle troposphere. There 485 is rather little baroclinic structure at low levels, in stark contrast to the NE region where the positive meridional wind anomaly is 487 confined to levels below the AEJ, and shows strong baroclinic 488 characteristics (not shown). 489

Temperature and specific humidity anomalies in the SE look 490 rather similar to the corresponding anomalies in the SW (not 491 shown). The negative temperature anomaly is somewhat stronger 492 around the trough in the SE because the positive temperature 493 anomaly due to warm advection from the north does not penetrate 494 as far south as in the SW. 495

There seems to be a certain contradiction between the 496 precipitation composite and the vertical pressure velocity 497 composite in the SE region (Figure 8, panels d and f). The 498 rainfall composite appears to indicate that there is a rather loose 499 association between precipitation formation and the AEW trough. 500 Both the vertical pressure velocity as well as the Q_1^R anomaly 501



Figure 7. Moist static energy (MSE) anomaly composite at 925 hPa for ERA-Interim (panel a) and the Unified Model (panel b). Moisture divergence anomaly composite at 850 hPa for ERA-Interim (panel c) and the Unified Model (panel d). The zero longitude corresponds to the trough location of the wave

composite (Figure 8, panel h) suggest otherwise, and show a 502 deep-convective profile. Janiga and Thorncroft (2013) also report 503 top-heavy latent heating profiles in eastern parts of the study 504 region, in contrast to more bottom-heavy profiles at the West 505 Coast and over the Atlantic ocean. In most part of the SE region 506 moisture availability and mean rainfall is high. Since the AEW 507 are typically rather weak dynamically in the area, and moreover 508 are in a developing phase, we conjecture that the ERA-Interim 509 reanalysis struggles to place the AEWs at the exact right location. 510 This is also confirmed in the AEW case study presented below in 511 Section 3. Therefore the composite produced using the TRMM 512 513 rainfall observation data appears to some degree inconsistent with the passage of the wave. The rainfall composite computed 514 with precipitation from the ERA-Interim reanalysis itself shows a 515 strong signal and is quite well aligned with the trough (not shown), 516 in accordance with the vertical wind and Q_1^R composite. The weak 517 rainfall signal derived based on the TRMM rainfall data might 518 therefore partly be due to the fact that the exact timing and location 519 of the AEW developments are somewhat inaccurately captured 520 in ERA-Interim due to the limited availability of observations 52 in the region. But as suggested by Fink and Reiner (2003) and 522 Janiga and Thorncroft (2016), the connection between AEWs and 523 MCSs is likely weaker over the Soudanian region compared to the 524 coast of West Africa. 525

The orography in eastern regions might play a certain role in decoupling the rainfall from the AEW trough, and the AEWs tend 527 to be in a developing phase, and weaker in the East compared 528 to the West Coast, and therefore less likely to force MCSs 529 (Fink and Reiner 2003). However, we did not find evidence for a 530 systematic relative position of MCSs behind the trough in eastern 531 parts of North Africa. 532

526

533

Case study of a strong African Easterly Wave 3.

From the climatological analysis in the previous section a 534 tentative picture of the convection-circulation interaction in 535 AEWs emerges, which hints at an important role of moisture 536 convergence and convective development at and slightly ahead 537 of the trough. But the statistical perspective does not allow for 538 demonstrating a causal relationship between the AEW dynamics and moist diabatic processes. A case study is therefore used to 540 investigate the two-way interaction between diabatic processes 541 and the atmospheric circulation in AEW propagation in greater 542 detail and with a process-based focus. 543

In the following a wave disturbance is studied which is clearly 545 detectable starting from 18:00 UTC on July 7, 2010, over North 546 Africa. In order to investigate the case in detail, simulations with



Figure 8. Composites of meridional wind (top row), precipitation (second row), vertical pressure velocity (third row), and Q_1^r (bottom row) anomalies for the NW region (left column) and the SE region (right column). The composites are based on Era-Interim reanalysis. In the precipitation composites (panels c and d) TRMM rainfall data is used. The zero longitude corresponds to the trough location of the wave.

the UM in the global configuration GA7 were performed at N1280 548 resolution, corresponding to a grid size of about 10 km in the 549 midlatitudes. Forecasts were initialised with ECMWF analysis 550 at six start times: 00:00 UTC on July 7, 18:00 UTC on July 8, 551 00:00 UTC on July 10, 00:00 UTC on July 11, 18:00 UTC on 552 July 12, and 00:00 UTC on July 14. To minimize issues related to 553 the inability to correctly simulate the diurnal cycle of convection 554 555 by the convection parameterization, only the mid-level convection

scheme is enabled in all of the subsequent hindcast simulations. 556 Mid-level convection treats convective cells which have their 557 root not in the boundary layer but originate at levels above the 558 boundary layer, which is the predominant type of convection 559 encountered in organised convection related to AEWs. 560

3.2. Development of the wave 56

Figure 9 shows outgoing longwave radiation from 1×1 degree 562 resolution CERES satellite observations (left column) and the 563 model reference simulation at different stages of the wave. The 564 black vertical line indicates the position of the wave trough as 565 diagnosed from the meridional wind of the ECMWF operational 566 analysis. For the first three snapshots the model is initialized 567 at 00:00 UTC on July 7, for the scene on July 11 the model 568 is initialized at 00:00 UTC on July 10, and for the last scene 569 the model is initialized at 00:00 UTC on July 11. Figure 10 570 shows corresponding precipitation from TRMM (left column) 57 and the reference model simulation (right column) at the same 572 times and using the same forecast initial times as in Figure 573 9. Figure 11 contains Hovmuller plots of meridional wind and 574 potential vorticity from the operational analysis and the model, 575 and rainfall from TRMM and the model. For the Hovmuller plots 576 of meridional wind, potential vorticity, and precipitation, the data 577 was averaged between 10° to 20° North. 578

The dynamics of the wave is rather weak over the first 30 hours 579 after detection, i.e. until about 00:00 UTC on July 9 (Figure 11, 580 panels a and c). CERES images show large cloud clusters around 58 the trough, and TRMM exhibits organized precipitation from 582 MCSs in the vicinity of the trough starting from late afternoon 583 on July 8 (Figure 11, panel e). Although the model produces 584 cloud clusters in the region, they are not clearly associated with 585 the dynamics of the wave, and there is hardly any precipitation 586 at or ahead of the trough (Figure 11, panel f). In fact, at this 587 stage the model mainly produces precipitation at around 12:00 588 UTC, and precipitating cloud clusters unrealistically propagate 589 eastwards probably due to convectively generated gravity waves 590 (Figure 11, panel f). 591

Note that the wave trough location is slightly different in the 592 analysis compared to the model although the UM is initialized 593 from the analysis (solid and dotted red lines in Figure 11, panels 594 b, d, and f). This confirms the supposition expressed in Section 2.5 595 that there can be uncertainty about the exact position of the wave 596 trough in the early stage of the wave development. 597

Starting about July 9 03:00 UTC a crucial strengthening phase 598 599 of the wave occurs, which lasts for about 2 days (indicated by © 2017 Royal Meteorological Society

the yellow shading in the Hovmuller plots). TRMM now shows 600 distinct organized precipitation ahead of the trough at around 12 601 to 18 degrees North where the main centre of the wave disturbance 602 is located (Figure 10). This is consistent with CERES scenes, 603 which exhibit signatures of corresponding MCSs (Figures 9). 604 This association between precipitation and the wave trough is 605 completely absent in the model at this stage, even at forecast 606 lead times of about 24 hours, a common problem in models with 607 parameterised convection (Skinner and Diffenbaugh 2013). In the 608 model, convection is not sufficiently supported overnight. Likely 609 this is key to the existence of organised systems in the region at 610 and ahead of the trough. Crucially, the wave does not strengthen 611 dynamically over the period of July 9 and July 10 in the UM 612 (Figure 11, panels b and d). This demonstrates the pivotal role 613 of moist convection and associated latent heating in invigorating 614 and sustaining the wave. 615

There is a second strengthening phase, starting at about July 616 12 18:00 UTC, when again TRMM shows large MCSs ahead 617 of the wave trough (Figure 11, panel e). At this stage the wave 618 disturbance is already strong and the model, when initialized 619 correctly, manages not only to simulate the wave disturbance, 620 but also to develop associated rainfall and reproduce the involved 621 strengthening of the dynamics (Figure 11, panels b, d, and f). 622 However, this only happens when the wave is vigorous enough 623 to force convective precipitation at the right time and location 624 (Figure 11, panel f). Note that the erroneous diurnal cycle signal as well as the eastward propagating systems are now absent in 626 the reference simulation of the UM in this phase, and the rainfall 627 is dominated by the propagating wave. This stage also coincides 628 with the wave reaching the Guinea Highlands. Here, with the 629 strong orographic forcing and moisture fluxes from the ocean, the 630 model is more likely to sustain convection overnight. 631

The interaction between circulation and latent heating 3.3. 632

The reference simulation with the UM does not reproduce the 633 first crucial strengthening phase of the wave because of the 634 absent interaction of the circulation with moist convection. In the 635 UM convection is represented by a mass flux parameterization 636 based originally on Gregory and Rowntree (1990), with further 637 developments. In the GA7 configuration used here the convective 638



Figure 9. Outgoing longwave radiation from the Clouds and the Earth's Radiant Energy System (CERES) $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ satellite product (left column) and the UM N1280 (10km) simulation (right column) at five different times. The model is initialized on July 7 at 00:00 UTC, on July 10 at 00:00 UTC, and on July 11 at 00:00 UTC from ECMWF analysis. Vertical black lines indicate the wave trough location as derived from ECMWF analysis.

available potential energy (CAPE) closure includes a dependency
of the CAPE timescale on the grid-mean vertical velocity, but
generally the CAPE timescale is around half an hour.

In the following results from a sensitivity experiment, denoted 642 "long CAPE timescale" simulation, are described in which the 643 CAPE timescale is fixed and increased to 3 hours. This reduces 644 the parameterised convective mass-flux and the parameterised 645 consumption of CAPE in the model, so that convection can 646 be sustained longer, with weaker intensity. Figure 12 shows 647 Hovmuller plots of potential vorticity at 700 hPa and rainfall for 648 the reference simulation (panels a and c) and the long CAPE 649 timescale simulation (panels b and d). In order to bring out more 650 65[,] clearly the fact that the reference simulation is not able to sustain

the wave properly, only two forecast initial times are used for 652 the subsequent Hovmuller plots: July 7 00:00 UTC and July 11 653 00:00 UTC. The lack of precipitation along the wave track, and 654 the failure to intensify the wave through moist diabatic processes, 655 is clearly evident in the reference simulation. In stark contrast, 656 the long CAPE timescale simulation exhibits strong MCSs ahead 657 of the trough, and the wave intensifies over the course of July 658 9 and 10. The precipitation along the wave track is somewhat 659 overestimated in the long CAPE timescale simulation, and the 660 potential vorticity Hovmuller plot suggest that the wave is slightly 661 too fast (Figure 12, panel b). This indicates that latent heat release 662 ahead of the trough may increase the wave speed, consistent 663



Figure 10. TRMM (left column) and UM N1280 (10km) simulated precipitation (right column) on the days and times shown in Figure 9. Vertical black lines indicate the wave trough location as derived from ECMWF analysis.

with the fact that the wave travels faster in the later stage whenassociated rainfall becomes intense.

Other sensitivity experiments have been carried out, including 666 a simulation with the convection parameterization turned off 667 completely. However, omitting the convection parameterization 668 entirely leads to unrealistic stationary precipitation features. A 669 certain limited amount of parameterized subgrid convective mass 670 flux is beneficial. Nevertheless, the main difference between the 67 reference simulation and the long CAPE timescale simulation is 672 that in the reference simulation precipitation is handled almost 673 exclusively by the convection parameterization, whereas in the 674 long CAPE timescale simulation rainfall is mainly generated by 675 676 the large-scale precipitation scheme (not shown). The large-scale

precipitation scheme responds directly to the resolved dynamics, 677 unlike the convection parameterisation which does not "feel" 678 convergence directly. 679

Figure 13 shows cross sections of the mean temperature 680 tendency of the convection parameterization (panels a and b) 681 and the temperature tendency of the sum of the convection 682 parameterization and the large-scale precipitation scheme (panels 683 c and d) along the wave track for both the reference simulation 684 and the long CAPE timescale simulation. Mean PV is overlaid 685 as black contours. Longitude zero corresponds to the location of 686 the wave trough. For PV, qualitatively the finding is very similar 687 to the results presented in Section 2.3. The PV signature in the 688 long CAPE timescale simulation is deeper, narrower, and more 689

© 2017 Royal Meteorological Society



Figure 11. Hovmuller plots of meridional wind (top row), potential vorticity (middle row), and precipitation (bottom row) based on the ECMWF operational analysis (panels a and c), TRMM rainfall data (panel e), and the UM N1280 (10km) reference simulation (panels b, d, and f). The red solid line indicates the wave trough track as diagnosed from the analysis, the red dotted line as determined from the UM simulation. Blue and green lines indicate other waves which are not considered here. All forecast initial times are used for the UM (see Section 3.1). Horizontal dotted lines indicate forecast initialisation times, horizontal dashed lines indicate from which time on the data of a new forecast are used.

strongly confined to the area at and slightly ahead of the trough. In 690 the reference simulation the PV signature is weaker, broader, and 691 more restricted to the level of the AEJ. The temperature tendency 692 of the convection parameterization in the reference simulation 693 does not well align with the trough. In the long CAPE timescale 694 simulation most of the latent heating comes from the large-695 scale precipitation scheme, which is more intimately coupled to 696 the resolved circulation. It occurs slightly ahead of the trough 697

where strongest updrafts develop. This suggests that the top-heavy 698 heating profile of the deep convection parameterization discussed 699 in Section 2 is not per se problematic. The main issue is the fact 700 that the convection parameterization does not activate at the right 701 time and location relative to the dynamics of the wave, as already 702 hypothesized in Section 2. 703



Figure 12. Hovmuller plots of potential vorticity (top row) and precipitation (bottom row) for the UM reference simulation (left column) and the UM long CAPE timescale sensitivity experiment (right column). The red solid line indicates the wave trough track as diagnosed from the analysis, the red dotted line as determined from the UM reference simulation. Only the forecast initial times July 7 00:00 UTC and July 11 00:00 UTC are used. The horizontal dotted line indicates the second forecast initialisation time, the horizontal dashed line indicates from which time on the data of the second forecast are used.

704 3.4. Potential vorticity analysis

⁷⁰⁵ In order to better understand the interaction between moist ⁷⁰⁶ diabatic processes and the circulation a potential vorticity view ⁷⁰⁷ is adopted. Recall that potential vorticity P is defined as

708
$$P = \frac{1}{\rho} \zeta^{\text{abs}} \cdot \nabla \theta \tag{3}$$

where ρ denotes density, ζ^{abs} absolute planetary vorticity, and θ potential temperature. Ertel's Theorem (Ertel 1942) states that

711
$$\frac{DP}{Dt} = \left(\frac{\zeta}{\rho}\right) \cdot \nabla S_{\theta} + \frac{\nabla \theta}{\rho} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}$$
(4)

⁷¹² Here S_{θ} and $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}$ represent sources of diabatic heating and ⁷¹³ friction, respectively. That is, the change of PV along an air ⁷¹⁴ trajectory is determined by the different diabatic source terms. ⁷¹⁵ On the mesoscale, PV can change due to convergence and © 2017 Royal Meteorological Society divergence. The divergent part of the circulation may be a 716 result of diabatic processes like convection (Hoerling 1992). It 717 is therefore not possible to completely separate out impacts from 718 adiabatic and diabatic processes on PV evolution. Nonetheless, 719 equation (4) provides a useful framework for assessing the role of 720 various diabatic sources of PV. Decomposing the diabatic source 721 terms S_{θ} and S_{u} into a sum over different subgrid processes 722 like convection, radiation, or boundary layer turbulent mixing, 723 equation (4) can be written as 724

$$\frac{DP}{Dt} = \sum_{\substack{\text{parameterized}\\ \text{process } i}} dPV \text{trac}_i$$
(5) 725

Prepared using qjrms4.cls



Figure 13. Mean longitude-height cross sections along the track for the temperature tendency from convection (top row), and the temperature tendency from convection plus large-scale precipitation (bottom row) for the UM reference simulation (left column) and the UM long CAPE timescale sensitivity experiment (right column). Black contours indicate corresponding mean PV along the track (contour lines are ± 0.7 , ± 0.6 , ± 0.5 , ± 0.4 , and ± 0.3 PVU). Longitude 0 corresponds to the trough location of the wave

Integrating both sides of the equation along a resolved flow 726 trajectory $\vec{x}(t)$ of the model from time t_{start} to time t gives 727

$$\int_{t_{\text{start}}}^{t} \frac{DP}{Ds} \, ds = \sum_{\substack{\text{parameterized}\\ \text{process } i}} \text{PVtracer}_i(t) \tag{6}$$

The individual terms PVtracer, are called PV tracers, and 729 were calculated along the model simulation in other contexts 730 731 in previous studies (Gray 2006; Chagnon and Gray 2009; Chagnon et al. 2013). Thus, as implied by equation (6), the 732 individual PV tracers are initialized with the value zero at the 733 beginning of each forecast, and were calculated online during the 734 model runs. 735

Figure 14 shows Hovmuller plots for PV tracers at 620 hPa for 736 the convection parameterization and the large-scale precipitation 737 scheme for the reference simulation (panels a and c) and the long 738 CAPE timescale simulation (panels b and d), again using two 739 forecast start times. In the reference simulation the convection 740 parameterization does not generate high-PV air that ends up 741 ahead of the trough. Rather, the PV generated by the convection 742 parameterization tends to trail the trough (Figure 14, panel a). In 743 the case of the long CAPE timescale simulation, high-PV air is 744

created at and ahead of the trough by the large-scale precipitation 745 scheme which contributes to intensifying the wave disturbance 746 (Figure 14, panel d). 747

In principle convergence of PV could substantially contribute 748 to the wave development. Panels e and f in Figure 14 show 749 Hovmuller plots of the advection of the initial PV distribution by the resolved flow at 620 hPa, i.e. around the AEJ level. 751 It shows that PV convergence does not substantially contribute 752 to the intensification of the wave. If anything, PV tends to 753 be transported away from the wave trough by the large-scale 754 advection, especially in the long CAPE timescale simulation 755 (Figure 14, panel f). Advection to a position ahead of the trough by 756 the resolved flow might play a certain role in keeping the relative 757 location of MCSs relative to the trough where they contribute to 758 wave sustainment. 759

Thus latent heat release that occurs at and slightly ahead of 760 the front is the main cause of the crucial strengthening of the 761 dynamics of the wave. The results of Section 2 provided evidence 762 that anomalous moisture convergence throughout the lower mid-763 troposphere initiate convection and updrafts in the region ahead 764 of the trough. In Parker and Diop-Kane (2017, Section 3.1.4.1.4) 765 it is suggested that the synoptic-scale vertical wind generated by 766



Figure 14. Hovmuller plots of the PV convection tracer (top row) and the PV microphysics tracer (middle row) at 620 hPa. The bottom row shows Hovmuller plots of the advected initial PV. Left column corresponds to the UM reference simulation (left column), right column to the UM long CAPE timescale sensitivity experiment. Only the forecast initial times July 7 00:00 UTC and July 11 00:00 UTC are used. The horizontal dotted line indicates the second forecast initialisation time, the horizontal dashed line indicates from which time on the data of the second forecast are used.

the waves are not strong enough to cause convective triggering.
However, Wilson and Roberts (2006) reported that almost all
MCSs considered in their study over the continental United States
were initiated at convergence lines, either at lower or mid levels
(see also Crook and Moncrieff (1988)). So what exactly induces
convective activity at the crucial location at and slightly ahead of
the trough?

⁷⁷⁴ In order to answer this question it is instructive to look at ⁷⁷⁵ the horizontal structure of the interaction between latent heating and the anomalous wave circulation. Figure 15 shows the largescale precipitation tracer in the long CAPE timescale simulation during the crucial strengthening phase of the wave. The clusters of high-PV air at and ahead of the trough associated with organized convection exhibit a scale that is much smaller than the scale of the wave disturbance. They are embedded in small regions of low-PV air. Only when the wave becomes more vigorous and the dynamics feeds back onto convection more strongly, the high-PV structures get more coherent and grow in scale (bottom panel in Figure 15). 784 This suggests that convection is initiated, and feeds back on thedynamics, in intense vortices on small scales.

This is confirmed when looking at a particular time in more 787 detail, namely July 10 18:00 UTC. Panel a of Figure 16 shows the 788 wind anomalies at 700 hPa in the long CAPE timescale simulation 789 (colour shading indicates the meridional component of the wind), 790 and panel b the precipitation. Organised convection is occurring 79' just ahead of the trough. When examining cross sections 0.5 792 degrees longitude ahead of the trough, i.e. where precipitation 793 develops, the instantaneous picture turns out to be consistent with 794 the results of the composite analysis from Section 2. Below the 795 level of the jet there is a cold anomaly (panel c), strongest moisture 796 accumulation happens at lower mid-tropospheric levels of about 797 800 to 500 hPa. The moisture anomalies (panel d) correspond to 798 regions of strongest vertical velocities (panel e), which are very 799 localized. What is remarkable is that vertical velocities (colour 800 shading in panel e) do not correspond to areas of horizontal 80 convergence of the wind exactly (black contours in panel e). 802 Rather, strongest horizontal convergence is observed at the edges 803 of the mesoscale convective system, whereas the updrafts are 804 located in its centre. Thus density effects are dominating the 805 dynamics of the central region of organised convection. Panel f 806 shows profiles of potential temperature and equivalent potential 807 temperature at around the centre of the mesoscale convective 808 system, between 12° to 13° North. The difficulty here is that 809 profiles are partly a result of convective activity and have to 810 be interpreted with care. Nevertheless, the equivalent potential 811 temperature profile suggests that moist instability is found above 812 the boundary layer in the lower mid-troposphere, and is mainly 813 due to moisture effects. Thus local moisture convergence caused 814 by the wave, and to some degree warm air advection from 815 the north at mid-tropospheric levels, contribute to small-scale 816 local organized convection and latent heat release which in turn 817 reinforce the wave circulation. 818

That pockets of warm and stable air might play a role in wave sustainment is indicated by the PV tracers for boundary layer and radiative processes. Figure 17 shows PV tracers associated with the boundary layer and radiation parameterizations at a stage where the wave is fully developed and has reached the coastal region, i.e. on July 13 at 18:00 UTC. Behind the trough

© 2017 Royal Meteorological Society

there is reduced influence from both processes due to the cold ⁸²⁵ air advection. Throughout the wave development boundary layer ⁸²⁶ mixing and radiation balance each other to a large degree. ⁸²⁷ However, adding the two tracers reveals that there is structure in ⁸²⁸ the sum of the two tracers that potentially plays a certain role for ⁸²⁹ the wave dynamics. ⁸³⁰

Judging from the temporal development of the boundary layer 831 tracer, the pocket of high-PV air at the wave trough at around 18° 832 North is not solely due to advection from the north. The boundary 833 layer parameterization contributes to the tracer during the day of 834 July 13. The dynamics of the wave lifts the boundary layer top 835 causing the boundary layer parameterization to mix deeper and 836 more vigorously at and ahead of the trough where upward motion 837 occurs. However, convection as well as precipitation happen more 838 to the south between about 12 and 16 North. So to what degree the 839 generation of high-PV air by northerly advection and dry mixing 840 in the northern part of the disturbance is important for the wave 841 dynamics needs further investigation. 842

Cross section plots of the four most important PV tracers 843 show that only in the long CAPE timescale simulation does the 844 contribution of latent heating at and slightly ahead of the trough 845 contribute significantly to the wave dynamics (Figure 18). The 846 PV contribution from the large-scale rainfall scheme occurs at 847 the level of the AEJ or above. The integrated PV increments 848 from the boundary layer parameterization and radiation occur 849 mostly at lower levels. They largely balance each other and 850 have their maxima further ahead of the trough, where warm air advection from the north is strongest. The potential role of 852 boundary layer mixing ahead of the trough therefore requires 853 further investigation. 854

4. African Easterly Waves as diabatic wave disturbances 855

The composite analysis based on objective AEW tracking 856 presented in Section 2 together with the more detailed analysis 857 of a strong wave in Section 3 allows for a conceptual 858 picture of the interaction between moist diabatic processes 859 and the atmospheric circulation in AEW propagation. Figure 860 19 shows two schematics which include the most important 861 aspects. As discussed in Section 2 and pointed out in other 862 studies (e.g., Janiga and Thorncroft 2016), the relative importance 863



Figure 15. PV tracer for microphysics for the long CAPE timescale simulation during the strengthening phase of the wave at 700 hPa. The start time of the forecast is July 7 00:00 UTC.

of various features varies depending on the specific region and the corresponding climatological mean state. Also, the particular structure of AEWs can differ considerably from case to case (e.g., Berry and Thorncroft 2005; Bain *et al.* 2011; Ventrice and Thorncroft 2013), and in the AEW presented in Section 3 the relationship between moist convection and the wave dynamics is particularly strong. Typically the interaction between MCSs and AEWs is more loose and sporadic (Fink and Reiner 871 2003). 872

A starting point of a conceptual view on AEW propagation 873 is the notion of a diabatic Rossby wave introduced in 874 Parker and Thorpe (1995). Apart from barotropic aspects related 875 to the instability of the AEJ, and possible extratropical influences, 876 AEWs have a fundamental baroclinic structure due to the mean 877



Figure 16. Wind anomalies (panel a) and precipitation (panel b) from the long CAPE timescale simulation for July 10, 18:00 UTC. The colour shading in panel a shows meridional wind. The trough location is indicated by a black vertical line. Panels c to e: Corresponding cross sections of temperature and specific humidity anomalies, and vertical velocity, respectively. The cross sections are located 0.5 degree longitude ahead of the trough where the organised precipitation is located. Anomalies are computed with respect to the mean over 9 days, and, in the case of the cross sections, the mean over $\pm 5^{\circ}$ longitudes around the trough location. The black contours in panel e indicate horizontal divergence of the wind (contour lines are ± 4.5 , ± 3 , and $\pm 1.5 \ 10^{-4} \ s^{-1}$). Panel f: Temperature profiles 0.5 degree longitude ahead of the trough, averaged over latitudes 12 to 13, where the organised precipitation is located.

meridional temperature and humidity gradient in the region 878 (Parker 2008). In the present paper it is demonstrated that diabatic 879 moist processes at and slightly ahead of the trough intensify the 880 dynamics of the wave. The main result of the study consists in 88 showing that the wave circulation in turn organises convection 882 preferentially at and slightly ahead of the trough through moisture 883 convergence in the lower mid troposphere as sketched in panel a 884 of Figure 19. 885

three-dimensional view of the convection-circulation 886 interaction in AEWs includes other aspects (panel b of Figure 887 19). Cooler and moister air is transported northward behind the 888 trough, warmer and drier air is advected southward in front 889 of the trough. As discussed in Section 2, there is a cross-890 frontal circulation which transports moisture to the area at and 891 slightly ahead of the trough. The most important feature here 892 is the lower to middle tropospheric moisture convergence at 893 and slightly ahead of the trough which resembles a pre-frontal 894

convergence line, and which triggers and feeds convective activity. 895 The moisture convergence at and slightly ahead of the trough 896 is combined with mid-tropospheric warm air advection from the 897 north. These processes contribute to generating small-scale areas 898 of large potential vorticity in which strong convective updrafts 899 and latent heating occur. The latent heat release feeds back onto 900 the circulation and intensifies the potential vorticity signature of 901 the wave. The anomalous wave circulation in turn is conducive to advecting organised convection from the wave centre to 903 locations slightly ahead of the trough, where it supports westward 904 wave propagation. The interaction between moist convection and 905 dynamics is thus fundamentally two-way in nature. 906

The present study hence highlights two important aspects. 907 Firstly, the coupling of moist convection with the baroclinic 908 dynamics of the waves occurs not within, but above the 909 boundary layer, and mainly through moisture effects. Strongest 910 moisture convergence occurs in the lower mid-troposphere, 911



Figure 17. PV tracers for the boundary layer (panel a), the radiation (panel b), and the sum of the boundary layer and the radiation parameterizations (panel c) in the case of Jul 13, 18:00 UTC, at 700 hPa for the reference simulation. The forecast was initialised on July 11, 00:00 UTC.

roughly between 850 and 500 hPa. The wave is mainly cold core 912 at around these heights, in contrast to the situation described 913 in Parker and Thorpe (1995). At lower levels there are warm 914 anomalies at and ahead of the trough only in the dry northern 915 part of the domain. Furthermore, and this is the second important 916 result of the present study, the cores of the MCSs which reinforce 917 the wave through latent heating and corresponding upscale PV 918 generation have a substantially smaller scale than the synoptic-919 scale baroclinic wave dynamics. Locally, however, the synoptic-920 scale wave may generate mesoscale convergence and moist 92' 922 instability which leads to convective activity ahead of the trough. Convection then feeds back onto the dynamics by latent heating 923 and associated generation of strong PV anomalies, reinforcing the 924 convective development and organization. 925

One might ask to what degree the crucial convection at and 926 slightly ahead of the trough has to be considered forced convection 927 in a conditionally unstable environment, or whether convection 928 is generated mainly by moist static instability and buoyancy 929 forcing. Clearly both aspects are intertwined, and the distinction 930 is not clear-cut. Moisture and temperature advection by the 931 synoptic-scale dynamics of the wave and related convergence 932 can lead to local moist instability and vice versa. However, 933 the evidence of the present study points at an important role 934 of mid-tropospheric convergence lines or centres, i.e. mesoscale 935 circulations which lead to moisture convergence, in initiating 936 and organizing convection at and slightly ahead of the trough. 937 Also Wilson and Roberts (2006) reported that almost all MCSs 938 considered in their study over the continental United States were 939 initiated at convergence lines, either at lower or mid levels. 940



Figure 18. Mean longitude-height cross sections along the track for the PV convection tracer (first row), the PV microphysics tracer (second row), the PV boundary layer tracer (third row), and the PV radiation tracer (bottom row). Left column corresponds to the UM reference simulation, right column to the UM long CAPE timescale sensitivity experiment. Longitude 0 corresponds to the trough location of the wave.

And the case study presented in Bain *et al.* (2011) confirmed the important role of convergence, which lined the vorticity branches of the wave, for convective development. In the case investigated by Barthe *et al.* (2010) both CAPE and convective inhibition were poor predictors of MCSs ahead of the AEW trough, pointing at the important role of mesoscale circulations associated with the AEW in generating moist instability as well.

Advection of warm and stable air from the northern parts
of the Sahel and the southern Sahara together with enhanced
boundary layer mixing around the wave trough may result
in small-scale structures of high-PV air at and ahead of
© 2017 Royal Meteorological Society

the trough which potentially reinforce the PV signature of 952 the wave disturbance. However, this potential mechanism of 953 wave maintenance, indicated by our PV analysis, needs further 954 investigation. 955

Most current convection parameterizations in numerical models 956 are based on parcel theory and a diagnostic test parcel ascent, 957 which neglects pressure gradients and considers only the 958 buoyancy force. The parameterisations are designed to diagnose 959 moist instability and remove it. Moreover, most deep convection 960 parameterizations assume that convection is surface forced and 961 rooted in the boundary layer. These assumptions lead to biases 962 *Prepared using qjrms4.cls*



Figure 19. Panel a: horizontal perspective on the AEJ-AEW system: regions of strongest moisture convergence are located at and slightly ahead of the wave trough. This is the area where organised convection preferentially forms. Panel b: schematic of a three-dimensional view on the moist convection - dynamics interaction in African Easterly Wave propagation. Cool, moist air is advected northward behind the trough, warm and dry air is transported southward in front of the trough. A cross-frontal circulation provides the region at and slightly ahead of the trough with moisture. The lower mid-tropospheric moisture convergence at and slightly ahead of the trough triggers and organises convection. Strong updrafts in mesoscale convective systems slightly ahead of the trough generate potential vorticity through vortex stretching and support the wave propagation.

in the representation of tropical convection in many situations 963 (Birch et al. 2014). Since according to our study convection is 964 at least partly forced by local vorticity and convergence centres, 965 this would explain why current convection parameterizations 966 in numerical weather prediction and climate models struggle 967 to correctly simulate the interaction between moist diabatic 968 processes and the atmospheric circulation in AEWs. We plan to 969 further investigate mesoscale circulations related to the interplay 970 of AEWs and MCSs using high-resolution simulations in the 97 future. 972

Acknowledgements

973

Helpful discussions with Martin Willett, Rachel Stratton, and 974 David Walters are gratefully acknowledged. We thank Paul 975 Earnshaw for technical assistance, Claudio Sanchez for support 976 with the PV tracer diagnostics, and Romain Roehrig for advice 977 regarding the calculation of Q_1^R . This work was supported 978 by the Natural Environment Research Council/Department for 979 International Development via the Future Climate for Africa 980 (FCFA) funded project Improving Model Processes for African 981 Climate (IMPALA, NE/M017265/1). 982

983 **References**

- Bain CL, Parker DJ, Dixon N, Fink AH, Taylor CM, Brooks B, Milton SF.
 2011. Anatomy of an observed African easterly wave in July 2006. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* 137: 923–933.
- Bain CL, Williams KD, Milton SF, Heming JT. 2013. Objective tracking of
 African Easterly Waves in Met Office models. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* 140:
 47–57.
- 990 Barthe C, Asencio N, Lafore JP, Chong M, Campistron B, Cazenave F. 2010.
- 991 Multi-scale analysis of the 25-27 July 2006 convective period over Niamey:
- 992 Comparison between Doppler radar observations and simulations. Q. J. R.
 993 Meteorol. Soc. 136: 190–208.
- Berry GJ, Thorncroft CD. 2005. Case study of an intense African Easterly
 Wave. *Mon. Wea. Rev.* 133: 752–766.
- Berry GJ, Thorncroft CD. 2012. African Easterly Wave dynamics in a
 mesoscale numerical model: the upscale role of convection. *J. Atmos. Sci.*69: 1267–1283.
- Birch CE, Marsham JH, Parker DJ, Taylor CM. 2014. The scale dependence
 and structure of convergence fields preceding the initiation of deep
 convection. *Geophys. Res Lett.* 41: 4769–4776.
- Booth JF, Wang S, Polvani L. 2013. Midlatitude storms in a moister world:
 lessons from idealized baroclinic life cycle experiments. *Clim. Dyn.* 41:
 787–802, doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1472-3.
- Burpee RW. 1972. The origin and structure of easterly waves in the lower
 troposphere of North Africa. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 29: 77–90.
- 1007 Chagnon JM, Gray SL. 2009. Horizontal potential vorticity dipoles on the
 1008 convective storm scale. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* 135: 1392–1408.
- Chagnon JM, Gray SL, Methven J. 2013. Diabatic processes modifying
 potential vorticity in a North Atlantic cyclone. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* 139:
 1011 1270–1282.
- 1012 Charney JG. 1963. A note on the large-scale motions in the tropics. *J. Atmos.*1013 *Sci.* 20: 607–609.
- 1014 Cornforth RJ, Hoskins BJ, Thorncroft CD. 2009. The impact of moist
 1015 processes on the African Easterly Jet African Easterly Wave system. *Q.*1016 *J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* 135: 894–913.
- 1017 Craig G, Cho HR. 1988. Cumulus heating and CISK in the extratropical
 1018 atmosphere. Part I: Polar lows and comma clouds. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 45: 2622–
 1019 2640.
- 1020 Crook NA, Moncrieff MW. 1988. The effect of large-scale convergence on the
 1021 initiation and maintenance of squall lines. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 45: 3606–3624.
- Dee DP, Uppala SM, Simmons AJ, Berrisford P, Poli P, Kobayashi S, Andrae
 U, Balmaseda MA, Balsamo G, Bauer P, Bechtold P, Beljaars ACM, van de
- 1024 Berg L, Bidlot J, Bormann N, Delsol C, Dragani R, Fuentes M, Geer AJ,
- 1025 Haimberger L, Healy SB, Hersbach H, Holm EV, Isaksen L, Kallberg P,
- 1026 Köhler M, Matricardi M, McNally AP, Monge-Sanz BM, Morcrette JJ,
- 1027 Park BK, Peubey C, de Rosnay P, Tavolato C, Thépaut JN, Vitart F. 2011.
- The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data
 assimilation system. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* 137: 553–597.

- Ertel H. 1942. Ein neuer hydrodynamischer Wirbelsatz. *Meteor. Z.* **59**: 271–1030 281. 1031
- Fink AH, Reiner A. 2003. Spatiotemporal variability of the relation between 1032
 African Easterly Wave and West African Squall Lines in 1998 and 1999. J. 1033 *Geophys. Res.* 108, doi:10.1029/2002JD002816. 1034
- Gray SL. 2006. Mechanisms of midlatitude cross-tropopause transport using a 1035 potential vorticity budget approach. J. Geophys. Res. 111, doi:D17113.
 1036
- Gregory D, Rowntree PR. 1990. A mass flux convection scheme with 1037 respresentation of cloud ensemble characteristics and stability-dependent 1038 closure. *Mon. Wea. Rev.* **118**: 1483–1506. 1039
- Guilloteau C, Roca R, Gosset M. 2016. A multiscale evaluation of the 1040 detection capabilities of high-resolution satellite precipitation products in 1041 West Africa. J. Hydrometeor. 17: 2041–2059.
 1042
- Hall NMJ, Kiladis GN, Thorncroft CD. 2006. Three-dimensional structure and 1043 dynamics of African Easterly Waves. Part II: Dynamical modes. *J. Atmos.* 1044 *Sci.* 63: 2231–2245.
- Hoerling MP. 1992. Diabatic sources of potential vorticity in the general 1046 circulation. J. Atmos. Sci. 49: 2282–2292.
- Hoskins BJ, Karoly DJ. 1981. The steady linear response of a spherical 1048 atmosphere to thermal and orographic forcing. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **38**: 1179–1049 1196.
- Hoskins BJ, Valdes PJ. 1990. On the existence of storm-tracks. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 1051 **47**: 1854–1864. 1052
- Houze RA. 1989. Observed structure of mesoscale convective systems and 1053 implications for large-scale heating. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 115: 425–461. 1054
- Houze RA. 2004. Mesoscale convective systems. *Rev. Geophys.* **42**, doi: 1055 10.1029/2004RG000150. 1056
- Hsieh JS, Cook KH. 2007. A study of the energetics of African Easterly Waves 1057
 using a regional climate model. J. Atmos. Sci. 64: 421–440.
 1058
- Huffman GJ, Adler RF, Bolvin DT, Gu G, Nelkin EJ, Bowman KP, Hong 1059
 Y, Stocker EF, Wolff DB. 2007. The TRMM multi-satellite precipitation 1060 analysis: Quasi-global, multi-year, combined-sensor precipitation estimates 1061 at fine scale. *J. Hydrometeor.* 8: 38–55. 1062
- Janiga MA, Thorncroft CD. 2013. Regional differences in the kinematic and 1063 thermodynamic structure of African easterly waves. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* 1064
 139: 1598–1614. 1065
- Janiga MA, Thorncroft CD. 2014. Convection over tropical Africa and the East 1066
 Atlantic during the West African Monsoon: regional and diurnal variability. 1067 *J. Climate* 27: 4159–4188. 1068
- Janiga MA, Thorncroft CD. 2016. The influence of African Easterly Waves on 1069 convection over tropical Africa and the East Atlantic. *Mon. Wea. Rev.* 144: 1070 171–192.
- Kiladis GN, Thorncroft CD, Hall NMJ. 2006. Three-dimensional structure and 1072 dynamics of African Easterly Waves. Part I: Observations. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 63: 1073 2212–2230.
- Padro J. 1973. A spectral model for CISK-barotropic energy sources for 1075 tropical waves. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 99: 468–479. 1076

- Parker DJ. 2008. A simple model of coupled synoptic waves in the land surface
 and atmosphere of the northern Sahel. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* 134: 2173–
 2184.
- Parker DJ, Diop-Kane M (eds). 2017. *Meteorology of tropical West Africa: The forecaster's handbook*. Wiley-Blackwell: Oxford. 496pp.
- Parker DJ, Thorpe AJ. 1995. Conditional convective heating in a baroclinic
 atmosphere: a model of convective frontogenesis. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 52: 1699–
 1711.
- Poan DE, Lafore JP, Roehrig R, Couvreux F. 2015. Internal processes within
 the African Easterly Wave system. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* 141: 1121–1136.
- 1087 Reed RJ, Norquist DC, Recker EE. 1977. The structure and properties of
 1088 African wave disturbances as observed during phase III of GATE. *Mon.*1089 Weath. Rev. 105: 317–333.
- Roberts AJ, Marsham JH, Knippertz P. 2015. Disagreements in low-level
 moisture between (re)analyses over summertime West Africa. *Mon. Wea.*
- 1092 *Rev.* **143**: 1193–1211.
- Skinner CB, Diffenbaugh NS. 2013. The contribution of African easterly
 waves to monsoon precipitation in the CMIP3 ensemble. *J. Geophys. Res.*
- 1095 Atmos. 118: 3590–3609, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50363.
- Thorncroft CD, , Hoskins BJ. 1994a. An idealized study of African Easterly
 Waves. I: A linear view. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 120: 953–982.
- Thorncroft CD, , Hoskins BJ. 1994b. An idealized study of African Easterly
 Waves. II: A nonlinear view. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* 120: 983–1015.
- 1100 Ventrice MJ, Thorncroft CD. 2013. The role of convectively coupled
 1101 atmospheric Kelvin waves on African Easterly Wave activity. *Mon. Wea.*1102 *Rev.* 141: 1910–1924.
- Wilson JW, Roberts RD. 2006. Summary of convective storm initiation and
 evolution during IHOP: Observational and modeling perspective. *Mon. Weath. Rev.* 134: 23–47.
- Yanai M, Esbensen S, Chu JH. 1973. Determination of bulk properties of
 tropical cloud clusters from large-scale heat and moisture budgets. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **30**: 611–627.

28