



Deposited via The University of Sheffield.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/124661/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Bavula, V.V. (2015) New criteria for a ring to have a semisimple left quotient ring. *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications*, 14 (6). 1550090. ISSN: 0219-4988

<https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498815500905>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

New criteria for a ring to have a semisimple left quotient ring

V. V. Bavula

Abstract

Goldie's Theorem (1960), which is one of the most important results in Ring Theory, is a criterion for a ring to have a semisimple left quotient ring. The aim of the paper is to give four new criteria (using a completely different approach and new ideas). The first one is based on the recent fact that for an *arbitrary* ring R the set \mathcal{M} of *maximal* left denominator sets of R is a non-empty set [2]:

Theorem (The First Criterion). *A ring R has a semisimple left quotient ring Q iff \mathcal{M} is a finite set, $\bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{M}} \text{ass}(S) = 0$ and, for each $S \in \mathcal{M}$, the ring $S^{-1}R$ is a simple left Artinian ring. In this case, $Q \simeq \prod_{S \in \mathcal{M}} S^{-1}R$.*

The Second Criterion is given via the minimal primes of R and goes further than the First one in the sense that it describes explicitly the maximal left denominator sets S via the minimal primes of R . The Third Criterion is close to Goldie's Criterion but it is easier to check in applications (basically, it reduces Goldie's Theorem to the prime case). The Fourth Criterion is given via certain left denominator sets.

Key Words: Goldie's Theorem, a left Artinian ring, the left quotient ring of a ring, the largest left quotient ring of a ring, a maximal left denominator set, the left localization radical of a ring, a maximal left localization of a ring, a left localization maximal ring.

Mathematics subject classification 2010: 15P50, , 16P60, 16P20, 16U20.

Contents

1. Introduction.
2. Preliminaries.
3. The First Criterion (via the maximal left denominator sets).
4. The Second Criterion (via the minimal primes).
5. The Third Criterion (in the spirit of Goldie-Lesieur-Croisot).
6. The Fourth Criterion (via certain left denominator sets).
7. Left denominator sets of finite direct products of rings.
8. Criterion for R/I_R to have a semisimple left quotient ring.

1 Introduction

In this paper, module means a left module, and the following notation is fixed:

- R is a ring with 1, $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}_R$ is its prime radical and $\text{Min}(R)$ is the set of minimal primes of R ;
- $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_R$ is the set of regular elements of the ring R (i.e. \mathcal{C} is the set of non-zero-divisors of the ring R);
- $Q = Q_{l,cl}(R) := \mathcal{C}^{-1}R$ is the *left quotient ring* (the *classical left ring of fractions*) of the ring R (if it exists) and Q^* is the group of units of Q ;
- $\text{Den}_l(R, \mathfrak{a})$ is the set of left denominator sets S of R with $\text{ass}(S) = \mathfrak{a}$ where \mathfrak{a} is an ideal of R and $\text{ass}(S) := \{r \in R \mid sr = 0 \text{ for some } s \in S\}$,

- $\max.\text{Den}_l(R)$ is the set of maximal left denominator sets of R (it is always a *non-empty* set, [2]).

Four new criteria for a ring to have a semisimple left quotient ring. Goldie’s Theorem [9] characterizes left orders in semisimple rings, it is a criterion for a ring to have a semisimple left quotient ring (earlier, characterizations were given, by Goldie [8] and Lesieur and Croisot [12], of left orders in a simple Artinian ring).

Theorem 1.1 (Goldie’s Theorem, [9]) *A ring has a semisimple left quotient ring iff it is a semiprime ring that satisfies the ascending chain condition on left annihilators and does not contain infinite direct sums of nonzero left ideals.*

In [2] and [1], several new concepts are introduced (and studied): *the largest left quotient ring of a ring, the largest regular left Ore set of a ring, a maximal left denominator set of a ring, the left localization radical of a ring, a left localization maximal ring* (see Section 2 for details). Their universal nature naturally leads to the present criteria for a ring to have a semisimple left quotient ring. In the paper, several new concepts are introduced that are used in proofs: *the core of an Ore set, the sets of left localizable and left non-localizable elements, the set of completely left localizable elements of a ring.* The First Criterion is given via the set $\mathcal{M} := \max.\text{Den}_l(R)$.

- **(Theorem 3.1, The First Criterion)** *A ring R has a semisimple left quotient ring Q iff \mathcal{M} is a finite set, $\bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{M}} \text{ass}(S) = 0$ and, for each $S \in \mathcal{M}$, the ring $S^{-1}R$ is a simple left Artinian ring. In this case, $Q \simeq \prod_{S \in \mathcal{M}} S^{-1}R$.*

The Second Criterion is given via the minimal primes of R and certain explicit multiplicative sets associated with them. On the one hand, the Second Criterion stands between Goldie’s Theorem and the First Criterion in terms how it is formulated. On the other hand, it goes further than the First Criterion in the sense that it describes explicitly the maximal left denominator sets and the left quotient ring of a ring with a semisimple left quotient ring.

- **(Theorem 4.1, The Second Criterion)** *Let R be a ring. The following statements are equivalent.*
 1. *The ring R has a semisimple left quotient ring Q .*
 2. (a) *The ring R is a semiprime ring.*
 (b) *The set $\text{Min}(R)$ of minimal primes of R is a finite set.*
 (c) *For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R)$, the set $S_{\mathfrak{p}} := \{c \in R \mid c + \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{C}_{R/\mathfrak{p}}\}$ is a left denominator set of the ring R with $\text{ass}(S_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \mathfrak{p}$.*
 (d) *For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R)$, the ring $S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}R$ is a simple left Artinian ring.*

If one of the two equivalent conditions holds then $\max.\text{Den}_l(R) = \{S_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R)\}$ and $Q \simeq \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R)} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}R$.

The Third Criterion (Theorem 5.1) can be seen as a ‘weak’ version of Goldie’s Theorem in the sense that the conditions are ‘weaker’ than that of Goldie’s Theorem. In applications, it could be ‘easier’ to verify whether a ring satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.1 comparing with Goldie’s Theorem as Theorem 5.1 ‘reduces’ Goldie’s Theorem essentially to the prime case and reveals the ‘local’ nature of Goldie’s Theorem.

- **(Theorem 5.1, The Third Criterion)** *Let R be a ring. The following statements are equivalent.*
 1. *The ring R has a semisimple left quotient ring Q .*
 2. *The ring R is a semiprime ring with $|\text{Min}(R)| < \infty$ and, for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R)$, the ring R/\mathfrak{p} is a left Goldie ring.*

The condition $|\text{Min}(R)| < \infty$ can be replaced by any of the four equivalent conditions of Theorem 5.2, e.g., ‘the ring R has a.c.c. on annihilator ideals.’

As far as applications are concerned, Theorem 5.1 has a useful corollary.

• **(Theorem 6.2, The Fourth Criterion)** *Let R be a ring. The following statements are equivalent.*

1. *The ring R has a semisimple left quotient ring Q .*
2. *There are left denominator sets S'_1, \dots, S'_n of the ring R such that the rings $R_i := S_i^{-1}R$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are simple left Artinian rings and the map*

$$\sigma := \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i : R \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n R_i, \quad R \mapsto \left(\frac{r}{1}, \dots, \frac{r}{1} \right),$$

is an injection where $\sigma_i : R \rightarrow R_i$, $r \mapsto \frac{r}{1}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, necessary concepts are introduced and results are collected that are used in the proofs of Theorem 3.1, Theorem 4.1, Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 6.2.

In Section 3, the proof of Theorem 3.1 is given.

In Section 4, the proof of Theorem 4.1 is given.

In Section 5, the proof of Theorem 5.1 is given.

In Section 6, the proof of Theorem 6.2 is given.

In Section 7, it is shown that the set of maximal left denominators of a finite direct product $\prod_{i=1}^n R_i$ of rings is a union of the sets of maximal left denominator sets of the rings R_i (Theorem 2.9).

In Section 8, a criterion (Theorem 8.1) is given for the factor ring R/\mathfrak{l} (where \mathfrak{l} is the left localization radical of R) to have a semisimple left quotient ring. The criterion is given in terms of the ring R rather than of R/\mathfrak{l} and is based on four criteria above, it is a long statement. Let us give a flavour.

• **(Theorem 8.1)** *The following statements are equivalent.*

1. *The ring R/\mathfrak{l} has a semisimple left quotient ring Q .*
2. (a) $|\text{max.Den}_{\mathfrak{l}}(R)| < \infty$.
(b) *For every $S \in \text{max.Den}_{\mathfrak{l}}(R)$, $S^{-1}R$ is a simple left Artinian ring.*

So, Theorem 8.1 characterizes precisely the class of rings that have only finitely many maximal left denominator sets and all the left localizations at them are simple left Artinian rings.

The proofs of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 are based on completely different ideas from existing proofs of Goldie’s Theorem and Goldie’s Theorem is not used. The key idea of the proof of Theorem 5.1 is to reduce it to Theorem 4.1 and the prime case of Goldie’s Theorem. The key idea of the proof of Theorem 6.2 is to reduce it to Theorem 5.1.

Old and new criteria for a ring to have a left Artinian left quotient ring. Goldie’s Theorem gives an answer to the question: *When a ring has a semisimple left quotient ring?* The next natural question (that was posed in 50s) and which is a generalization of the previous one: *Give a criterion for a ring to have a left Artinian left quotient ring.* Small [15, 16], Robson [14], and latter Tachikawa [18] and Hajarnavis [11] have given different criteria for a ring to have a left Artinian left quotient ring. Recently, the author [3] has given three more criteria in the spirit of the present paper. We should mention contribution to the old criteria of Talintyre, Feller and Swokowski [6], [20]. Talintyre [19] and Feller and Swokowski [7] have given conditions which are sufficient for a left Noetherian ring to have a left quotient ring. Further, for a left Noetherian ring which has a left quotient ring, Talintyre [20] has established necessary and sufficient conditions for the left quotient ring to be left Artinian. In the proofs of all these criteria (old and new) Goldie’s

Theorem is used. Each of the criteria comprises several conditions. The conditions in the criteria of Small, Robson and Hajarnavis are ‘strong’ and are given in terms of the ring R rather than of its factor ring $\overline{R} = R/\mathfrak{n}$. On the contrary, the conditions of the criteria in [3] are ‘weak’ and given in terms of the ring \overline{R} and a *finite* set of explicit \overline{R} -modules (they are certain explicit subfactors of the prime radical \mathfrak{n} of the ring R).

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we collect necessary results that are used in the proofs of this paper. More results on localizations of rings (and some of the missed standard definitions) the reader can find in [10], [17] and [13]. In this paper the following notation will remained fixed.

Notation:

- $\text{Ore}_l(R) := \{S \mid S \text{ is a left Ore set in } R\}$;
- $\text{Den}_l(R) := \{S \mid S \text{ is a left denominator set in } R\}$;
- $\text{Loc}_l(R) := \{S^{-1}R \mid S \in \text{Den}_l(R)\}$;
- $\text{Ass}_l(R) := \{\text{ass}(S) \mid S \in \text{Den}_l(R)\}$ where $\text{ass}(S) := \{r \in R \mid sr = 0 \text{ for some } s = s(r) \in S\}$;
- $S_{\mathfrak{a}} = S_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) = S_{l,\mathfrak{a}}(R)$ is the *largest element* of the poset $(\text{Den}_l(R, \mathfrak{a}), \subseteq)$ and $Q_{\mathfrak{a}}(R) := Q_{l,\mathfrak{a}}(R) := S_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}R$ is the *largest left quotient ring associated to* \mathfrak{a} , $S_{\mathfrak{a}}$ exists (Theorem 2.1, [2]);
- In particular, $S_0 = S_0(R) = S_{l,0}(R)$ is the largest element of the poset $(\text{Den}_l(R, 0), \subseteq)$, i.e. the largest regular left Ore set of R , and $Q_l(R) := S_0^{-1}R$ is the largest left quotient ring of R [2];
- $\text{Loc}_l(R, \mathfrak{a}) := \{S^{-1}R \mid S \in \text{Den}_l(R, \mathfrak{a})\}$.

In [2], we introduce the following new concepts and prove their existence for an *arbitrary* ring: *the largest left quotient ring of a ring, the largest regular left Ore set of a ring, the left localization radical of a ring, a maximal left denominator set, a maximal left quotient ring of a ring, a (left) localization maximal ring*. Using an analogy with rings, the counter parts of these concepts for rings would be a left maximal ideal, the Jacobson radical, a simple factor ring. These concepts turned out to be very useful in Localization Theory and Ring Theory. They allowed us to look at old/classical results from a new more general perspective and to give new equivalent statements to the classical results using a new language and a new approach as the present paper, [2], [1], [3], [4] and [5] and several other papers under preparation demonstrate.

The largest regular left Ore set and the largest left quotient ring of a ring. Let R be a ring. A *multiplicatively closed subset* S of R or a *multiplicative subset* of R (i.e. a multiplicative sub-semigroup of (R, \cdot) such that $1 \in S$ and $0 \notin S$) is said to be a *left Ore set* if it satisfies the *left Ore condition*: for each $r \in R$ and $s \in S$, $Sr \cap Rs \neq \emptyset$. Let $\text{Ore}_l(R)$ be the set of all left Ore sets of R . For $S \in \text{Ore}_l(R)$, $\text{ass}(S) := \{r \in R \mid sr = 0 \text{ for some } s \in S\}$ is an ideal of the ring R .

A left Ore set S is called a *left denominator set* of the ring R if $rs = 0$ for some elements $r \in R$ and $s \in S$ implies $tr = 0$ for some element $t \in S$, i.e. $r \in \text{ass}(S)$. Let $\text{Den}_l(R)$ be the set of all left denominator sets of R . For $S \in \text{Den}_l(R)$, let $S^{-1}R = \{s^{-1}r \mid s \in S, r \in R\}$ be the *left localization* of the ring R at S (the *left quotient ring* of R at S). Let us stress that in Ore’s method of localization one can localize *precisely* at left denominator sets.

In general, the set \mathcal{C} of regular elements of a ring R is neither left nor right Ore set of the ring R and as a result neither left nor right classical quotient ring $(Q_{l,cl}(R) := \mathcal{C}^{-1}R$ and $Q_{r,cl}(R) := R\mathcal{C}^{-1})$ exists. Remarkably, there exists the largest regular left Ore set $S_0 = S_{l,0} = S_{l,0}(R)$, [2]. This means that the set $S_{l,0}(R)$ is an Ore set of the ring R that consists of regular elements (i.e., $S_{l,0}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$) and contains all the left Ore sets in R that consist of regular elements. Also, there exists the largest regular (left and right) Ore set $S_{l,r,0}(R)$ of the ring R . In general, all the sets

\mathcal{C} , $S_{l,0}(R)$, $S_{r,0}(R)$ and $S_{l,r,0}(R)$ are distinct, for example, when $R = \mathbb{I}_1 = K\langle x, \partial, f \rangle$ is the ring of polynomial integro-differential operators over a field K of characteristic zero, [1]. In [1], these four sets are found for $R = \mathbb{I}_1$.

Definition, [1], [2]. The ring

$$Q_l(R) := S_{l,0}(R)^{-1}R$$

(respectively, $Q_r(R) := RS_{r,0}(R)^{-1}$ and $Q(R) := S_{l,r,0}(R)^{-1}R \simeq RS_{l,r,0}(R)^{-1}$) is called the *largest left* (respectively, *right and two-sided*) *quotient ring* of the ring R .

In general, the rings $Q_l(R)$, $Q_r(R)$ and $Q(R)$ are not isomorphic, for example, when $R = \mathbb{I}_1$, [1]. The next theorem gives various properties of the ring $Q_l(R)$. In particular, it describes its group of units.

Theorem 2.1 [2]

1. $S_0(Q_l(R)) = Q_l(R)^*$ and $S_0(Q_l(R)) \cap R = S_0(R)$.
2. $Q_l(R)^* = \langle S_0(R), S_0(R)^{-1} \rangle$, i.e. the group of units of the ring $Q_l(R)$ is generated by the sets $S_0(R)$ and $S_0(R)^{-1} := \{s^{-1} \mid s \in S_0(R)\}$.
3. $Q_l(R)^* = \{s^{-1}t \mid s, t \in S_0(R)\}$.
4. $Q_l(Q_l(R)) = Q_l(R)$.

The maximal denominator sets and the maximal left localizations of a ring. The set $(\text{Den}_l(R), \subseteq)$ is a poset (partially ordered set). In [2], it is proved that the set $\text{max.Den}_l(R)$ of its maximal elements is a *non-empty* set.

Definition, [2]. An element S of the set $\text{max.Den}_l(R)$ is called a *maximal left denominator set* of the ring R and the ring $S^{-1}R$ is called a *maximal left quotient ring* of the ring R or a *maximal left localization ring* of the ring R . The intersection

$$\mathfrak{l}_R := \text{l.rad}(R) := \bigcap_{S \in \text{max.Den}_l(R)} \text{ass}(S) \quad (1)$$

is called the *left localization radical* of the ring R , [2].

For a ring R , there is the canonical exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{l}_R \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\sigma} \prod_{S \in \text{max.Den}_l(R)} S^{-1}R, \quad \sigma := \prod_{S \in \text{max.Den}_l(R)} \sigma_S, \quad (2)$$

where $\sigma_S : R \rightarrow S^{-1}R$, $r \mapsto \frac{r}{1}$. For a ring R with a semisimple left quotient ring, Theorem 4.1 shows that the left localization radical \mathfrak{l}_R coincides with the prime radical \mathfrak{n}_R of R : $\mathfrak{l}_R = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R)} \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{n}_R = 0$. In general, this is not the case even for left Artinian rings [4].

Definition. The sets

$$\mathcal{L}_l(R) := \bigcup_{S \in \text{max.Den}_l(R)} S \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{NL}_l(R) := R \setminus \mathcal{L}_l(R)$$

are called the sets of *left localizable* and *left non-localizable elements* of R , respectively, and the intersection

$$\mathcal{C}_l(R) := \bigcap_{S \in \text{max.Den}_l(R)} S$$

is called the *set of completely left localizable elements* of R . Clearly, $R^* \subseteq \mathcal{C}_l(R)$.

The maximal elements of $\text{Ass}_l(R)$. Let $\text{max.Ass}_l(R)$ be the set of maximal elements of the poset $(\text{Ass}_l(R), \subseteq)$ and

$$\text{ass.max.Den}_l(R) := \{\text{ass}(S) \mid S \in \text{max.Den}_l(R)\}. \quad (3)$$

These two sets are equal (Proposition 2.4), a proof is based on Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 2.3. Recall that for an non-empty set X or R , $\text{l.ann}(X) := \{r \in R \mid rX = 0\}$ is the *left annihilator* of the set X , it is a left ideal of R .

Lemma 2.2 [2] *Let $S \in \text{Den}_l(R, \mathfrak{a})$ and $T \in \text{Den}_l(R, \mathfrak{b})$ be such that $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$. Let ST be the multiplicative semigroup generated by S and T in (R, \cdot) . Then*

1. $\text{l.ann}(ST) \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$.
2. $ST \in \text{Den}_l(R, \mathfrak{c})$ and $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{c}$.

Corollary 2.3 *Let R be a ring, $S \in \text{max.Den}_l(R)$ and $T \in \text{Den}_l(R)$. Then*

1. $T \subseteq S$ iff $\text{ass}(T) \subseteq \text{ass}(S)$.
2. If, in addition, $T \in \text{max.Den}_l(R)$ then $S = T$ iff $\text{ass}(S) = \text{ass}(T)$.

Proof. 1. (\Rightarrow) If $T \subseteq S$ then $\text{ass}(T) \subseteq \text{ass}(S)$.

(\Leftarrow) If $\text{ass}(T) \subseteq \text{ass}(S)$. then, by Lemma 2.2, $ST \in \text{Den}_l(R)$ and $S \subseteq ST$, hence $S = ST$, by the maximality of S . Then $T \subseteq S$.

2. Statement 2 follows from statement 1. \square

Proposition 2.4 [2] $\text{max.Ass}_l(R) = \text{ass.max.Den}_l(R) \neq \emptyset$. *In particular, the ideals of this set are incomparable (i.e. neither $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ nor $\mathfrak{a} \supseteq \mathfrak{b}$).*

Properties of the maximal left quotient rings of a ring. The next theorem describes various properties of the maximal left quotient rings of a ring. In particular, their groups of units and their largest left quotient rings. The key moment in the proof is to use Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.5 [2] *Let $S \in \text{max.Den}_l(R)$, $A = S^{-1}R$, A^* be the group of units of the ring A ; $\mathfrak{a} := \text{ass}(S)$, $\pi_{\mathfrak{a}} : R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{a}$, $a \mapsto a + \mathfrak{a}$, and $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} : R \rightarrow A$, $r \mapsto \frac{r}{1}$. Then*

1. $S = S_{\mathfrak{a}}(R)$, $S = \pi_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}(S_0(R/\mathfrak{a}))$, $\pi_{\mathfrak{a}}(S) = S_0(R/\mathfrak{a})$ and $A = S_0(R/\mathfrak{a})^{-1}R/\mathfrak{a} = Q_l(R/\mathfrak{a})$.
2. $S_0(A) = A^*$ and $S_0(A) \cap (R/\mathfrak{a}) = S_0(R/\mathfrak{a})$.
3. $S = \sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}(A^*)$.
4. $A^* = \langle \pi_{\mathfrak{a}}(S), \pi_{\mathfrak{a}}(S)^{-1} \rangle$, i.e. the group of units of the ring A is generated by the sets $\pi_{\mathfrak{a}}(S)$ and $\pi_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}(S) := \{\pi_{\mathfrak{a}}(s)^{-1} \mid s \in S\}$.
5. $A^* = \{\pi_{\mathfrak{a}}(s)^{-1}\pi_{\mathfrak{a}}(t) \mid s, t \in S\}$.
6. $Q_l(A) = A$ and $\text{Ass}_l(A) = \{0\}$. In particular, if $T \in \text{Den}_l(A, 0)$ then $T \subseteq A^*$.

The left localization maximal rings. These are precisely the rings in which we cannot invert anything on the left (in the sense of Ore).

Definition, [2]. A ring A is called a *left localization maximal ring* if $A = Q_l(A)$ and $\text{Ass}_l(A) = \{0\}$. A ring A is called a *right localization maximal ring* if $A = Q_r(A)$ and $\text{Ass}_r(A) = \{0\}$. A ring A which is a left and right localization maximal ring is called a *(left and right) localization maximal ring* (i.e. $Q_l(A) = A = Q_r(A)$ and $\text{Ass}_l(A) = \text{Ass}_r(A) = \{0\}$).

Example. Let A be a simple ring. Then $Q_l(A)$ is a left localization maximal ring and $Q_r(A)$ is a right localization maximal ring. In particular, a division ring is a (left and right) localization maximal ring. More generally, a simple left Artinian ring (i.e. the matrix ring over a division ring) is a (left and right) localization maximal ring.

Let $\max.\text{Loc}_l(R)$ be the set of maximal elements of the poset $(\text{Loc}_l(R), \rightarrow)$ where $A \rightarrow B$ for $A, B \in \text{Loc}_l(R)$ means that there exist $S, T \in \text{Den}_l(R)$ such that $S \subseteq T$, $A \simeq S^{-1}R$ and $B \simeq T^{-1}R$ (then there exists a natural ring homomorphism $A \rightarrow B$, $s^{-1}r \mapsto s^{-1}r$). Then (see [2]),

$$\max.\text{Loc}_l(R) = \{S^{-1}R \mid S \in \max.\text{Den}_l(R)\} = \{Q_l(R/\mathfrak{a}) \mid \mathfrak{a} \in \text{ass}.\max.\text{Den}_l(R)\}. \quad (4)$$

The next theorem is a criterion of when a left quotient ring of a ring is a maximal left quotient ring.

Theorem 2.6 [2] *Let a ring A be a left localization of a ring R , i.e. $A \in \text{Loc}_l(R, \mathfrak{a})$ for some $\mathfrak{a} \in \text{Ass}_l(R)$. Then $A \in \max.\text{Loc}_l(R)$ iff $Q_l(A) = A$ and $\text{Ass}_l(A) = \{0\}$, i.e. A is a left localization maximal ring.*

Theorem 2.6 shows that the left localization maximal rings are precisely the localizations of all the rings at their maximal left denominators sets.

The core of a left Ore set. The following definition is one of the key concepts that is used in the proof of the First Criterion (Theorem 3.1).

Definition. [5]. Let R be a ring and $S \in \text{Ore}_l(R)$. The *core* S_c of the left Ore set S is the set of all the elements $s \in S$ such that $\ker(s \cdot) = \text{ass}(S)$ where $s \cdot : R \rightarrow R$, $r \mapsto sr$.

Lemma 2.7 *If $S \in \text{Den}_l(R)$ and $S_c \neq \emptyset$ then*

1. $SS_c \subseteq S_c$.
2. For any $s \in S$ there exists an element $t \in S$ such that $ts \in S_c$.

Proof. 1. Trivial.

2. Statement 2 follows directly from the left Ore condition: fix an element $s_c \in S_c$, then $ts = rs_c$ for some elements $t \in S$ and $r \in R$. Since $\text{ass}(S) \supseteq \ker(ts \cdot) = \ker(rs_c \cdot) \supseteq \ker(s_c \cdot) = \text{ass}(S)$, i.e. $\ker(ts \cdot) = \text{ass}(S)$, we have $ts \in S_c$. \square

Theorem 2.8 *Suppose that $S \in \text{Den}_l(R, \mathfrak{a})$ and $S_c \neq \emptyset$. Then*

1. $S_c \in \text{Den}_l(R, \mathfrak{a})$.
2. The map $\theta : S_c^{-1}R \rightarrow S^{-1}R$, $s^{-1}r \mapsto s^{-1}r$, is a ring isomorphism. So, $S_c^{-1}R \simeq S^{-1}R$.

Proof. 1. By Lemma 2.7.(1), $S_c S_c \subseteq S_c$, and so the set S_c is a multiplicative set. By Lemma 2.7.(2), $S_c \in \text{Ore}_l(R)$: for any elements $s_c \in S_c$ and $r \in R$, there are elements $s \in S$ and $r' \in R$ such that $sr = r's_c$ (since $S \in \text{Ore}_l(R)$). By Lemma 2.7.(2), $s'_c := ts \in S_c$ for some $t \in S$. Then $s'_c r = tr's_c$.

If $rs_c = 0$ for some elements $r \in R$ and $s_c \in S_c$ then $sr = 0$ for some element $s \in S$ (since $S \in \text{Ore}_l(R)$). By Lemma 2.7.(2), $s'_c := ts \in S_c$ for some element $t \in S$, hence $s'_c r = 0$. Therefore, $S_c \in \text{Den}_l(R, \mathfrak{a})$.

2. By statement 1 and the universal property of left Ore localization, the map θ is a well-defined monomorphism. By Lemma 2.7.(2), θ is also a surjection: let $s^{-1}r \in S^{-1}R$, and $s_c := ts \in S_c$ for some element $t \in S$ (Lemma 2.7.(2)). Then

$$s^{-1}r = s^{-1}t^{-1}tr = (ts)^{-1}tr = s_c^{-1}tr. \quad \square$$

The core of every maximal left denominator set of a semiprime left Goldie ring is a non-empty set and Theorem 3.1.(6) gives its explicit description (via the minimal primes).

The maximal left quotient rings of a finite direct product of rings.

Theorem 2.9 *Let $R = \prod_{i=1}^n R_i$ be a direct product of rings R_i . Then for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, the map*

$$\max.\text{Den}_l(R_i) \rightarrow \max.\text{Den}_l(R), \quad S_i \mapsto R_1 \times \cdots \times S_i \times \cdots \times R_n, \quad (5)$$

is an injection. Moreover, $\max.\text{Den}_l(R) = \prod_{i=1}^n \max.\text{Den}_l(R_i)$ in the sense of (5), i.e.

$$\max.\text{Den}_l(R) = \{S_i \mid S_i \in \max.\text{Den}_l(R_i), i = 1, \dots, n\},$$

$S_i^{-1}R \simeq S_i^{-1}R_i$, $\text{ass}_R(S_i) = R_1 \times \cdots \times \text{ass}_{R_i}(S_i) \times \cdots \times R_n$. The core of the left denominator set S_i in R coincides with the core $S_{i,c}$ of the left denominator set S_i in R_i , i.e.

$$(R_1 \times \cdots \times S_i \times \cdots \times R_n)_c = 0 \times \cdots \times S_{i,c} \times \cdots \times 0.$$

The proof of Theorem 2.9 is given in Section 7.

A bijection between $\max.\text{Den}_l(R)$ and $\max.\text{Den}_l(Q_l(R))$.

Proposition 2.10 *Let R be a ring, S_l be the largest regular left Ore set of the ring R , $Q_l := S_l^{-1}R$ be the largest left quotient ring of the ring R , and \mathcal{C} be the set of regular elements of the ring R . Then*

1. $S_l \subseteq S$ for all $S \in \max.\text{Den}_l(R)$. In particular, $\mathcal{C} \subseteq S$ for all $S \in \max.\text{Den}_l(R)$ provided \mathcal{C} is a left Ore set.
2. Either $\max.\text{Den}_l(R) = \{\mathcal{C}\}$ or, otherwise, $\mathcal{C} \notin \max.\text{Den}_l(R)$.
3. The map

$$\max.\text{Den}_l(R) \rightarrow \max.\text{Den}_l(Q_l), \quad S \mapsto SQ_l^* = \{c^{-1}s \mid c \in S_l, s \in S\},$$

is a bijection with the inverse $\mathcal{T} \mapsto \sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{T})$ where $\sigma : R \rightarrow Q_l$, $r \mapsto \frac{r}{1}$, and SQ_l^ is the sub-semigroup of (Q_l, \cdot) generated by the set S and the group Q_l^* of units of the ring Q_l , and $S^{-1}R = (SQ_l^*)^{-1}Q_l$.*

4. If \mathcal{C} is a left Ore set then the map

$$\max.\text{Den}_l(R) \rightarrow \max.\text{Den}_l(Q), \quad S \mapsto SQ^* = \{c^{-1}s \mid c \in \mathcal{C}, s \in S\},$$

is a bijection with the inverse $\mathcal{T} \mapsto \sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{T})$ where $\sigma : R \rightarrow Q$, $r \mapsto \frac{r}{1}$, and SQ^ is the sub-semigroup of (Q, \cdot) generated by the set S and the group Q^* of units of the ring Q , and $S^{-1}R = (SQ^*)^{-1}Q$.*

Proof. 1. Let $S \in \max.\text{Den}_l(R)$. By Lemma 2.2, $S_l \subseteq S$.

2. Statement 2 follows from statement 1.

3. Statement 3 follows from statement 1 and Proposition 3.4.(1), [2].

4. If $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Ore}_l(R)$ then $\mathcal{C} = S_l$ and statement 4 is a particular case of statement 3. \square

3 The First Criterion (via the maximal left denominator sets)

The aim of this section is to give a criterion for a ring R to have a semisimple left quotient ring (Theorem 3.1). The implication (\Leftarrow) is the most difficult part of Theorem 3.1. Roughly speaking, it proceeds by establishing properties 1-9 which are interesting on their own and constitute the structure of the proof. These properties show that the relationships between the ring R and its semisimple left quotient ring Q are as natural as possible and are as simple as possible ('simple' in the sense that the connections between the properties of R and Q are strong).

Theorem 3.1 (The First Criterion) *A ring R have a semisimple left quotient ring Q iff $\max.\text{Den}_l(R) = \{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$ is a finite set, $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{ass}(S_i) = 0$ and $R_i := S_i^{-1}R$ is a simple left Artinian ring for $i = 1, \dots, n$. If one of the equivalent conditions hold then the map*

$$\sigma := \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i : R \mapsto Q' := \prod_{i=1}^n R_i, \quad r \mapsto (r_1, \dots, r_n),$$

is a ring monomorphism where $r_i = \sigma_i(r)$ and $\sigma_i : R \rightarrow R_i, r \mapsto \frac{r}{1}$; and

1. $\mathcal{C} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n S_i$.
2. The map $\sigma' := \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma'_i : Q \rightarrow Q', c^{-1}r \mapsto (c^{-1}r, \dots, c^{-1}r)$, is a ring isomorphism where $\sigma'_i : Q \rightarrow Q', c^{-1}r \mapsto c^{-1}r$. We identify the rings Q and Q' via σ' .
3. $\max.\text{Den}_l(Q') = \{S'_1, \dots, S'_n\}$ where $S'_i := R_1 \times \dots \times R_i^* \times \dots \times R_n$, R_i^* is the group of units of the ring R_i , $\mathfrak{a}'_i := \text{ass}(S'_i) = R_1 \times \dots \times 0 \times \dots \times R_n$, $S_i'^{-1}Q' \simeq R_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. The core $S'_{i,c}$ of the left denominator set S'_i is equal to $R_i^* = 0 \times \dots \times 0 \times R_i^* \times 0 \times \dots \times 0$.
4. The map $\max.\text{Den}_l(Q') \rightarrow \max.\text{Den}_l(R), S'_i \mapsto S_i := R \cap S'_i = \sigma_i^{-1}(R_i^*)$ is a bijection, $\mathfrak{a}_i := \text{ass}(S_i) = R \cap \mathfrak{a}'_i$.
5. For all $i = 1, \dots, n$, $S_i \not\subseteq \bigcup_{j \neq i} S_j$. Moreover, $\emptyset \neq S_{i,c} \subseteq S_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} S_j = R \cap (R_i^* \times \prod_{j \neq i} R_j^0)$ where $R_i^0 := R_i \setminus R_i^*$ is the set of zero divisors of the ring R_i .
6. $S_{i,c} = R \cap S'_{i,c} = S_i \cap S'_{i,c} = S_i \cap \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{a}_j = R_i^* \cap \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{a}_j = (\bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{a}_j) \setminus R_i^0 \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ where $R_i^*, R_i^0 \subseteq \prod_{j=1}^n R_j$ are the natural inclusions $r \mapsto (0, \dots, 0, r, 0, \dots, 0)$. For all $i \neq j$, $S_{i,c} S_{j,c} = 0$.
7. $\mathcal{C}' := S_{1,c} + \dots + S_{n,c} \in \text{Den}_l(R, 0)$, $\mathcal{C}'^{-1}R \simeq Q$, $Q = \{\sum_{i=1}^n s_i^{-1}a_i \mid s_i \in S_{i,c}, a_i \in \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{a}_j \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\}$, $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}'$ and $\mathcal{C}S_{i,c} \subseteq S_{i,c}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.
8. $Q^* = \{s^{-1}t \mid s, t \in \mathcal{C}'\} = \{s^{-1}t \mid s, t \in \mathcal{C}\}$.
9. $\mathcal{C} = \{s^{-1}t \mid s, t \in \mathcal{C}', s^{-1}t \in R\}$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose that the left quotient ring Q of the ring R is a semisimple ring, i.e.

$$Q \simeq \prod_{i=1}^n R_i$$

where R_i are simple left Artinian rings. Every simple left Artinian ring is a left localization maximal ring, hence $\max.\text{Den}_l(R_i) = \{R_i^*\}$. Then, by Theorem 2.9,

$$\max.\text{Den}_l(Q) = \max.\text{Den}_l\left(\prod_{i=1}^n R_i\right) = \{S'_1, \dots, S'_n\}$$

where $S'_i = R_1 \times \dots \times R_i^* \times \dots \times R_n$,

$$\text{ass}_Q(S'_i) = R_1 \times \dots \times 0 \times \dots \times R_i \text{ and } S_i'^{-1}Q \simeq Q/\text{ass}_Q(S'_i) \simeq R_i.$$

The core $S'_{i,c}$ of the maximal left denominator set S'_i is $R_i^* = 0 \times \dots \times 0 \times R_i^* \times 0 \times \dots \times 0$. The ring $R \rightarrow Q, r \mapsto \frac{r}{1}$, is a ring monomorphism. We identify the ring R with its image in Q . By Proposition 2.10.(4), the map

$$\max.\text{Den}_l(Q) \rightarrow \max.\text{Den}_l(R), \quad S'_i \mapsto S_i := R \cap S'_i,$$

is a bijection and $S_i'^{-1}R \simeq S_i'^{-1}Q \simeq R_i$. The inclusions $\text{ass}_R(S_i) \subseteq \text{ass}_Q(S'_i)$ where $i = 1, \dots, n$ imply $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{ass}_R(S_i) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{ass}_Q(S'_i) = 0$, and so $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{ass}_R(S_i) = 0$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that $\max.\text{Den}_l(R) = \{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$, $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{ass}(S_i) = 0$ and $R_i := S_i^{-1}R$ is a simple left Artinian ring for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We keep the notation of the theorem. Then σ is a monomorphism (since $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{a}_i = 0$ where $\mathfrak{a}_i := \text{ass}(S_i)$), and we can identify the ring R with its image in the ring Q' . Repeating word for word the arguments of the proof of the implication (\Rightarrow), by simply replacing the letter Q by Q' , we see that statement 3 holds (clearly, $S'_{i,c} = 0 \times \dots \times 0 \times R_i^* \times 0 \times \dots \times 0$). The group Q'^* of units of the direct product Q' is equal to $\prod_{i=1}^n R_i^*$.

Step 1: $\mathcal{C} = R \cap Q'^$:* The inclusion $\mathcal{C} \supseteq R \cap Q'^*$ is obvious. To prove that the reverse inclusion holds it suffices to show that, for each element $c \in \mathcal{C}$, the map

$$\cdot c : Q' \rightarrow Q', \quad q \mapsto qc,$$

is an injection (then necessarily, the map $\cdot c$ is a bijection, then it is an automorphism of the left Artinian Q' -module Q' , its inverse is also an element of the type $\cdot c'$ for some element $c' \in Q'^*$. Then $c = (c')^{-1} \in Q'^*$, as required). Suppose that $\ker_{Q'}(\cdot c) \neq 0$, we seek a contradiction. Fix a nonzero element $q = (s_1^{-1}r_1, \dots, s_n^{-1}r_n) \in \ker_{Q'}(\cdot c)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $s_1 = \dots = s_n = 1$, multiplying several times, if necessary, by well-chosen elements of the set $\bigcup_{i=1}^n S_i$ in order to get rid of the denominators. There is a nonzero component of the element $q = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$, say r_i . Then $r_i = \sigma_i(r)$ for some element $r \notin \mathfrak{a}_i$ (otherwise, we would have $r_i = 0$). The equality $qc = 0$ implies that $0 = r_i \sigma_i(c) = \sigma_i(rc)$. Then $s_i r_i c = 0$ for some element $s_i \in S_i$, and so $s_i r = 0$ since $c \in \mathcal{C}$. This means that $0 = \sigma_i(r) = r_i$, a contradiction. The proof of Step 1 is complete.

Step 2: For all $i \neq j$, $S_i^{-1}\mathfrak{a}_j = R_j$: The ring $R_i = S_i^{-1}R$ is a left Artinian ring and \mathfrak{a}_j is an ideal of the ring R , hence $S_i^{-1}\mathfrak{a}_j$ is an ideal of the simple ring R_i . There are two options: either $S_i^{-1}\mathfrak{a}_j = 0$ or, otherwise, $S_i^{-1}\mathfrak{a}_j = R_i$. Suppose that $S_i^{-1}\mathfrak{a}_j = 0$, i.e. $\mathfrak{a}_j \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_i$, but this is not possible, by Proposition 2.4. Therefore, $S_i^{-1}\mathfrak{a}_j = R_i$.

Step 3: For all $i = 1, \dots, n$, $S_i \cap \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{a}_j \neq \emptyset$: For each pair of distinct indices $i \neq j$, $R_i = S_i^{-1}\mathfrak{a}_j$ (by Step 2), and so $R_i \ni 1 = s_i^{-1}a_j$ for some elements $s_i \in S_i$ and $a_j \in \mathfrak{a}_j$. Then $s_i - a_j \in \mathfrak{a}_i$, and so there is an element $s_{ij} \in S_i$ such that $s_{ij}(s_i - a_j) = 0$, and so

$$t_{ij} := s_{ij}s_i = s_{ij}a_j \in S_i \cap \mathfrak{a}_j.$$

Then

$$t_i := \prod_{j \neq i} t_{ij} \in S_i \cap \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{a}_j. \quad (6)$$

4. We have the commutative diagram of ring homomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & Q' \\ & \searrow \sigma_i & \downarrow p_i \\ & & R_i \end{array} \quad (7)$$

$\sigma_i = p_i \sigma$ where $p_i : Q' = \prod_{j=1}^n R_j \rightarrow R_i$, $(r_1, \dots, r_n) \mapsto r_i$. By statement 3, $S_i^{-1}R = R_i \simeq S_i^{-1}Q'$ where $S_i \in \max.\text{Den}_l(R)$ and $S'_i \in \max.\text{Den}_l(Q')$. Then, by Theorem 2.5.(3), $S_i = \sigma_i^{-1}(R_i^*)$ and $S'_i = p_i^{-1}(R_i^*)$. Therefore,

$$S_i = \sigma_i^{-1}(R_i^*) = (p_i \sigma)^{-1}(R_i^*) = \sigma^{-1}(p_i^{-1}(R_i^*)) = \sigma^{-1}(S'_i),$$

and so the map

$$\max.\text{Den}_l(Q') \rightarrow \max.\text{Den}_l(R), \quad S'_i \rightarrow S_i = \sigma^{-1}(S'_i) = R \cap S'_i,$$

is a bijection. It follows from the commutative diagram (7) and Step 3 that

$$\mathfrak{a}_i = \text{ass}(S_i) = R \cap (R_1 \times \dots \times 0 \times \dots \times R_n) = R \cap \mathfrak{a}'_i. \quad (8)$$

In more detail, $\mathfrak{a}_i \subseteq R \cap \mathfrak{a}'_i$, by (7). Then $t_i \in S_i \cap \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{a}'_j$, by (6), and so $t_i(R \cap \mathfrak{a}'_i) = 0$ (since $t_i(R \cap \mathfrak{a}'_i) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{a}'_i = 0$). This equality implies the inclusion $R \cap \mathfrak{a}'_i \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_i$, and so (8) holds.

6. We claim that $S_{i,c} = R \cap S'_{i,c}$. The inclusion $S_{i,c} \supseteq R \cap S'_{i,c}$ follows from (8). Suppose that $S_{i,c} \setminus R \cap S'_{i,c} \neq \emptyset$, we seek a contradiction. Fix an element $s \in S_{i,c} \setminus R \cap S'_{i,c}$. Then $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ with $s_j \neq 0$ for some j such that $j \neq i$. Let t_j be the element from Step 3, see (6), i.e.

$$t_j \in S_j \cap \bigcap_{k \neq j} \mathfrak{a}_k \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_i,$$

since $j \neq i$. Notice that $t_j = (0, \dots, 0, t_j, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $t_j \in S_j \subseteq S'_j$, and so $t_j \in R^*_j$. On the one hand, $st_j = 0$ since $s \in S_i$ and $t_j \in \mathfrak{a}_i$, and so $0 = \sigma_j(st_j) = s_j t_j$. On the other hand, $s_j t_j \neq 0$ since $s_j \neq 0$ and $t_j \in R^*_j$, a contradiction. Therefore, $S_{i,c} = R \cap S'_{i,c}$. Then $S_{i,c} S_{j,c} = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. Then, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{i,c} &= R \cap S'_{i,c} = S_i \cap S'_{i,c} = R \cap S'_i \cap \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{a}'_j = (R \cap S'_i) \cap \bigcap_{j \neq i} R \cap \mathfrak{a}'_j = S_i \cap \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{a}_j \ni t_i, \\ S_{i,c} &= S'_{i,c} \cap \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{a}_j = R^*_i \cap \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{a}_j = (\bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{a}_j) \setminus R^0_i \neq \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

So, statement 6 has been proven.

2 and 7. By statement 6, $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq Q'^* = \prod_{i=1}^n R^*_i$, and so

$$\mathcal{C}' \subseteq R \cap Q'^* = \mathcal{C},$$

by Step 1. Since $\mathcal{C} \subseteq Q'^*$ (Step 1), for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\mathcal{C} S_{i,c} \subseteq S_{i,c}$, by statement 6. Then $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}'$ since $\mathcal{C}' = \sum_{i=1}^n S_{i,c}$.

By Theorem 2.8.(2),

$$S_{i,c}^{-1} R = S_i^{-1} R = R_i$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Each element $q \in Q'$ can be written as $q = (s_1^{-1} r_1, \dots, s_n^{-1} r_n)$ for some elements $s_i \in S_{i,c}$ and $r_i \in R$. The element r_i is unique up to adding an element of the ideal \mathfrak{a}_i . Notice that $s_i \mathfrak{a}_i = 0$ since $s_i \in S_{i,c}$, and so $s_i(r_i + \mathfrak{a}_i) = s_i r_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} q &= (s_1^{-2} s_1 r_1, \dots, s_n^{-2} s_n r_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i^{-2} \cdot s_i r_i \\ &= (s_1^2 + \dots + s_n^2)^{-1} (s_1 r_1 + \dots + s_n r_n) = c'^{-1} r \end{aligned}$$

where $c' = s_1^2 + \dots + s_n^2 \in \mathcal{C}'$ and $r = s_1 r_1 + \dots + s_n r_n \in R$, since $S_{i,c} S_{j,c} = 0$ for all $i \neq j$ (statement 6). Notice that $s_i^2 \in S_{i,c}$ and $s_i r_i \in \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{a}_j$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ (by statement 6, since $s_i \in S_{i,c}$). Therefore,

$$Q' = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^{-1} a_i \mid t_i \in S_{i,c}, a_i \in \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{a}_j, i = 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$

This equality implies that $\mathcal{C}' \in \text{Den}_l(R, 0)$ and $\mathcal{C}'^{-1} R = Q'$. Since $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C} \subseteq Q'^*$ (Step 1), every element $q \in Q'$ can be written as a left fraction $c'^{-1} r$ where $c' \in \mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and $r \in R$. This fact implies that $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Ore}_l(R)$: for given elements $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $r \in R$, $Q' \ni rc^{-1} = c'^{-1} r'$ for some elements $c' \in \mathcal{C}'$ and $r' \in R$, or, equivalently, $c' r = r' c$, and so the left Ore condition holds for \mathcal{C} . Since $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Ore}_l(R)$, $\mathcal{C} \subseteq Q'^*$ and $R \subseteq Q'$, the map $\sigma' : Q = \mathcal{C}^{-1} R \rightarrow Q'$ is a ring monomorphism which is obviously an epimorphism as $Q' = \mathcal{C}'^{-1} R$ and $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. Therefore, σ' is an isomorphism and we can write $Q = \mathcal{C}^{-1} R = \mathcal{C}'^{-1} R = Q'$, and statements 2 and 7 hold.

1. By Proposition 2.10.(1) (or by Lemma 2.2), $\mathcal{C} \subseteq S_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} &\subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n S_i \stackrel{\text{st. 4}}{=} \bigcap_{i=1}^n R \cap S'_i = R \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n S'_i \\ &= R \cap R_1^* \times \dots \times R_n^* = R \cap Q'^* = \mathcal{C}, \end{aligned}$$

by Step 1. Therefore, $\mathcal{C} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n S_i$.

5. For all $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\emptyset \neq S_{i,c} \subseteq \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{a}_j$ (statement 4), and so $\emptyset \neq S_{i,c} \subseteq S_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} S_j$, by statement 6. Let $r \in R$. Recall that $S_i = \sigma_i^{-1}(R_i^*)$, statement 4. Then $r \in S_i$ iff $\sigma_i(r) \in R_i^*$; and $r \notin S_i$ iff $\sigma_i(r) \in R_i \setminus R_i^* = R_i^0$. Therefore,

$$S_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} S_j = R \cap R_i^* \times \prod_{j \neq i} R_j^0.$$

8. By statement 7, $Q = \{\sum_{i=1}^n s_i^{-1} a_i \mid s_i \in S_{i,c}, a_i \in \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{a}_j, i = 1, \dots, n\}$, and $Q = Q'$, by statement 2. Then $q \in Q^* = Q'^*$ iff $s_i^{-1} a_i \in R_i^*$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ iff $a_i \in R_i^*$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ iff $a_i \in S_{i,c}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ (since, by statement 6, $S_{i,c} = R_i^* \cap \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{a}_j$). Therefore,

$$q = (s_1 + \dots + s_n)^{-1} (a_1 + \dots + a_n)$$

where $s_1 + \dots + s_n, a_1 + \dots + a_n \in \mathcal{C}'$, i.e. $Q^* = \{s^{-1}t \mid s, t \in \mathcal{C}'\}$. Since $\mathcal{C} \subseteq Q^*$ (Step 1), the previous equality implies the equality $Q^* = \{s^{-1}t \mid s, t \in \mathcal{C}\}$.

9. By Step 1, $\mathcal{C} = R \cap Q^*$. Then, by statement 8, $\mathcal{C} = \{s^{-1}t \mid s, t \in \mathcal{C}'; s^{-1}t \in R\}$. \square

Corollary 3.2 *Suppose that the left quotient ring Q of a ring R is a simple left Artinian ring. Then $\max.\text{Den}_l(R) = \{\mathcal{C}\}$, and so every left denominator set of the ring R consists of regular elements.*

Proof. Theorem 3.1.(1). \square

Corollary 3.3 *Let R be a ring with a semisimple left quotient ring Q (i.e. R is a semiprime left Goldie ring) and we keep the notation of Theorem 3.1. Then*

1. *The left localization radical of the ring R is equal to zero and the set of regular elements of R coincides with the set of completely left localizable elements.*
2. (a) $\mathcal{NL}_l(R) = \{r \in R \mid \sigma_i(r) \in R_i^0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\} = \{r \in R \mid r + \mathfrak{p} \notin \mathcal{C}_{R/\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R)\}$.
 (b) $R \cdot \mathcal{NL}_l(R) \cdot R \subseteq \mathcal{NL}_l(R)$.
 (c) $\mathcal{NL}_l(R) + \mathcal{NL}_l(R) \subseteq \mathcal{NL}_l(R)$, i.e. $\mathcal{NL}_l(R)$ is an ideal of R , iff $\mathcal{NL}_l(R) = 0$ iff R is a domain.

Proof. 1. Theorem 3.1.

2(a). The first equality follows from Theorem 3.1.(4). The second equality follows from Theorem 4.1(2c).

(b) The inclusion follows from the first equality in (a) and the fact that $R_i R_i^0 R_i \subseteq R_i^0$ since R_i is a simple Artinian ring.

(c) The statement (c) follows at once from the obvious fact that in a semisimple Artinian ring a sum of two zero divisors is always a zero divisor iff the ring is a division ring. \square

The next corollary (together with Theorem 3.1) provides the necessary conditions of Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 3.4 *Let R be as in Theorem 3.1 and we keep the notation of Theorem 3.1 and its proof. Then*

1. *The ring R is a semiprime ring.*
2. $\text{Min}(R) = \{\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_n\}$.
3. *For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $S_i = \pi_i^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{\overline{R}_i}) = \{c \in R \mid c + \mathfrak{a}_i \in \mathcal{C}_{\overline{R}_i}\}$ where $\pi_i : R \rightarrow \overline{R}_i := R/\mathfrak{a}_i$, $r \mapsto r + \mathfrak{a}_i$, and $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{R}_i}$ is the set of regular elements of the ring \overline{R}_i .*

4. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{R}_i} = \overline{\sigma}_i^{-1}(R_i^*) \in \text{Ore}_l(\overline{R}_i)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{R}_i}^{-1}\overline{R}_i \simeq R_i$ where $\overline{\sigma}_i : \overline{R}_i \rightarrow R_i$,
 $r + \mathbf{a}_i \mapsto \frac{r}{1}$.

$$5. S_i^{-1}\mathbf{a}_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j, \\ R_i & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Proof. 1. Statement 1 follows from statement 2 and Theorem 3.1: $\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R)} \mathfrak{p} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathbf{a}_i = 0$.

5. Clearly, $S_i^{-1}\mathbf{a}_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then statement 5 follows from Step 2 in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

2. Statement 2 follows from the following two statements:

(i) $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n$ are prime ideals,

(ii) $\text{Min}(R) \subseteq \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n\}$.

Indeed, by (ii), $\text{Min}(R) = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m\}$, up to order. The ideals $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n$ are incomparable, i.e. $\mathbf{a}_i \not\subseteq \mathbf{a}_j$ for all $i \neq j$. Then, by (i), we must have $m = n$ (since otherwise we would have (up to order) $\mathbf{a}_i \subseteq \mathbf{a}_{m+1}$ for some i such that $1 \leq i \leq m$, a contradiction).

Proof of (i): For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, the rings R_i are left Artinian. So, if \mathbf{a} is an ideal of the ring R_i then $S_i^{-1}\mathbf{a}$ is an ideal of the ring R_i , hence $Q\mathbf{a} = \prod_{i=1}^n S_i^{-1}\mathbf{a}$ is an ideal of the ring $Q = Q' = \prod_{i=1}^n R_i$. If $\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b} \subseteq \mathbf{a}_i$ for some ideals of the ring R then

$$Q\mathbf{a} \cdot Q\mathbf{b} \subseteq Q\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b} \subseteq Q\mathbf{a}_i = \prod_{j=1}^n S_j^{-1}\mathbf{a}_i = R_1 \times \dots \times 0 \times \dots \times R_n = \mathbf{a}'_i,$$

by statement 5 and Theorem 3.1.(3). The ideal \mathbf{a}'_i of the ring Q is a prime ideal. Therefore, either $Q\mathbf{a} \subseteq \mathbf{a}'_i$ or $Q\mathbf{b} \subseteq \mathbf{a}'_i$. Then, either $\mathbf{a} \subseteq R \cap Q\mathbf{a} \subseteq R \cap \mathbf{a}'_i = \mathbf{a}_i$ or $\mathbf{b} \subseteq R \cap Q\mathbf{b} \subseteq R \cap \mathbf{a}'_i = \mathbf{a}_i$ (since $\mathbf{a}_i = R \cap \mathbf{a}'_i$, by Theorem 3.1.(4)), i.e. \mathbf{a}_i is a prime ideal of the ring R .

Proof of (ii): Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of the ring R . Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{a}_i \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathbf{a}_i = \ker(\sigma) = \{0\} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$$

(Theorem 3.1), and so $\mathbf{a}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ for some i , and the statement (ii) follows from the statement (i).

3 and 4. There is a commutative diagram of ring homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\sigma_i} & R_i \\ & \searrow \pi_i & \uparrow \overline{\sigma}_i \\ & & \overline{R}_i \end{array}$$

where $\overline{\sigma}_i : r + \mathbf{a}_i \mapsto \frac{r}{1}$ is a monomorphism, $\pi_i(S_i) \in \text{Den}_l(\overline{R}_i, 0)$ and $\pi_i(S_i)^{-1}\overline{R}_i \simeq R_i = S_i^{-1}R$ (via an obvious extension of $\overline{\sigma}_i$). The ring R_i is a simple left Artinian ring, hence a left localization maximal ring. By Theorem 2.5.(3), $S_i = \sigma_i^{-1}(R_i^*)$. Clearly, $\overline{\sigma}_i^{-1}(R_i^*) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\overline{R}_i}$. On the other hand, for each element $c \in \mathcal{C}_{\overline{R}_i}$, the map $\cdot c : R_i \rightarrow R_i$, $r \mapsto rc$, is a left R_i -module monomorphism, hence as isomorphism, and so $c \in R_i^*$, i.e. $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{R}_i} \subseteq R_i^*$. Therefore, $\overline{\sigma}_i^{-1}(R_i^*) = \mathcal{C}_{\overline{R}_i}$. Now,

$$S_i = \sigma^{-1}(R_i^*) = (\overline{\sigma}_i \pi_i)^{-1}(R_i^*) = \pi_i^{-1}(\overline{\sigma}_i^{-1}(R_i^*)) = \pi_i^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{\overline{R}_i}). \quad (9)$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{R}_i} = \pi_i(S_i) \in \text{Ore}_l(\overline{R}_i)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{R}_i}^{-1}\overline{R}_i \simeq \pi_i(S_i)^{-1}\overline{R}_i \simeq R_i$. \square

4 The Second Criterion (via the minimal primes)

The aim of this section is to give another criterion (Theorem 4.1) for a ring R to have a semisimple left quotient ring Q .

Theorem 4.1 (The Second Criterion) *Let R be a ring. The following statements are equivalent.*

1. *The ring R has a semisimple left quotient ring Q .*
2. (a) *The ring R is a semiprime ring.*
 (b) *The set $\text{Min}(R)$ of minimal primes of the ring R is a finite set, say, $\{\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_n\}$.*
 (c) *For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $S_i := \pi_i^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{\overline{R}_i}) = \{c \in R \mid c + \mathfrak{a}_i \in \mathcal{C}_{\overline{R}_i}\} \in \text{Den}_l(R, \mathfrak{a}_i)$ where $\pi_i : R \rightarrow \overline{R}_i := R/\mathfrak{a}_i$, $r \mapsto r + \mathfrak{a}_i$.*
 (d) *For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, the ring $R_i := S_i^{-1}R$ is a simple left Artinian ring.*

If one of the two equivalent conditions holds then $\text{max.Den}_l(R) = \{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$ and $Q \simeq \prod_{i=1}^n R_i$.

Proof. (1 \Rightarrow 2) Corollary 3.4 and Theorem 3.1.

(2 \Rightarrow 1) It suffices to prove the following claim.

Claim: $\text{max.Den}_l(R) = \{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$.

Since then $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{a}_i = 0$ (as R is a semiprime ring) and so the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 hold and as a result the ring R has a semisimple left quotient ring.

Proof of the Claim:

(i) S_1, \dots, S_n are distinct since $\mathfrak{a}_1 = \text{ass}(S_1), \dots, \mathfrak{a}_n = \text{ass}(S_n)$ are distinct.

(ii) $\text{max.Den}_l(R) \supseteq \{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$: Every simple left Artinian ring is a left localization maximal ring, for example, $R_i = S_i^{-1}R \simeq \pi_i(S_i)^{-1}\overline{R}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. The ring R_i is a left Artinian ring that contains the ring \overline{R}_i (via $\overline{\sigma}_i$). Hence, $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{R}_i} \subseteq R_i^*$. Since $\pi_i(S_i) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\overline{R}_i}$, $\pi_i(S_i) \in \text{Ore}_l(\overline{R}_i)$, $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{R}_i} \subseteq R_i^*$ and $R_i = \pi_i(S_i)^{-1}\overline{R}_i$, we see that

$$\mathcal{C}_{\overline{R}_i} \in \text{Ore}_l(\overline{R}_i) \text{ and } \mathcal{C}_{\overline{R}_i}^{-1}\overline{R}_i = \pi_i(S_i)^{-1}\overline{R}_i = R_i.$$

Recall that $\sigma_i : R \rightarrow R_i$, $r \mapsto \frac{r}{1}$, and $\pi_i : R \rightarrow \overline{R}_i$, $r \mapsto \overline{r} = r + \mathfrak{a}_i$. Clearly, $\sigma_i = \overline{\sigma}_i \pi_i$. The ring $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{R}_i}^{-1}\overline{R}_i \simeq R_i$ is a left localization maximal ring. Therefore, $\text{max.Den}_l(\overline{R}_i) = \{\mathcal{C}_{\overline{R}_i}\}$, by Proposition 2.10, and $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{R}_i} = \overline{\sigma}_i^{-1}(R_i^*)$, by Theorem 2.5.(3). Then, by Theorem 2.5.(3), $\sigma_i^{-1}(R_i^*) \in \text{max.Den}_l(R_i)$ since R_i is a left localization maximal ring. Now,

$$\sigma_i^{-1}(R_i^*) = (\overline{\sigma}_i \pi_i)^{-1}(R_i^*) = \pi_i^{-1}(\overline{\sigma}_i^{-1}(R_i^*)) = \pi_i^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{\overline{R}_i}) = S_i.$$

Then $S_i \in \text{max.Den}_l(R)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

(iii) $\text{max.Den}_l(R) \subseteq \{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$: We have to show that, for a given left denominator set $S \in \text{Den}_l(R, \mathfrak{a})$ of the ring R ; $S \subseteq S_i$ for some i . We claim that $S \cap \mathfrak{a}_i = \emptyset$ for some i otherwise for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, we would have chosen an element $s_i \in S \cap \mathfrak{a}_i$, then we would have

$$\prod_{i=1}^n s_i \in S \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{a}_i = S \cap 0 = \emptyset,$$

a contradiction. We aim to show that $S \subseteq S_i$. The condition $S \cap \mathfrak{a}_i = \emptyset$ implies that $\overline{S} := \pi_i(S) \in \text{Ore}_l(\overline{R}_i)$. Let $\mathfrak{b} = \text{ass}_{\overline{R}_i}(S)$.

(α) We claim that $\mathfrak{b} = 0$. The ring R_i is a simple left Artinian ring with $\overline{R}_i \subseteq R_i$. In particular, it satisfies the a.c.c. on right annihilators (since R_i is a matrix ring with entries from a division ring). By Lemma 4.2 which is applied in the situation that $\overline{R}_i \subseteq R_i$ and $\overline{S} \in \text{Ore}_l(\overline{R}_i)$, the core \overline{S}_c of the left Ore set \overline{S} is a non-empty set. Let $s \in \overline{S}_c$. Then $\overline{R}_i s \overline{R}_i \cdot \mathfrak{b} = \overline{R}_i s \mathfrak{b} = 0$. The ring \overline{R}_i is a prime ring and $\overline{R}_i s \overline{R}_i \neq 0$ since $0 \neq s \in \overline{R}_i s \overline{R}_i$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{b} = 0$.

(β) $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_i$: by (α).

(γ) $SS_i \in \text{Den}_l(R)$, by (β) and Lemma 2.2. Hence $S \subseteq SS_i = S_i$, by the maximality of S_i . \square

The next result is a useful tool in finding elements of the core of an Ore set in applications.

Lemma 4.2 *Let $R \subseteq R'$ be rings and $S \in \text{Ore}_l(R)$. Suppose that the ring R' satisfies the a.c.c. on right annihilators and let $\text{Max}_{R'}(S) = \{s \in S \mid \ker_{R'}(s \cdot) \text{ is a maximal element of the set } \{\ker_{R'}(s \cdot) \mid s \in S\}\}$. Then*

1. $\text{Max}_{R'}(S) \neq \emptyset$ and $\ker_{R'}(s \cdot) = \ker_{R'}(t \cdot)$ for all elements $s, t \in \text{Max}_{R'}(S)$.
2. $\text{Max}_{R'}(S) \subseteq S_c$.

Proof. 1. The ring R' satisfies the a.c.c. on right annihilators, so $\text{Max}_{R'}(S) \neq \emptyset$. Since $S \in \text{Ore}_l(R)$, we must have $\ker_{R'}(s \cdot) = \ker_{R'}(t \cdot)$ for all elements $s, t \in \text{Max}_{R'}(S)$ (the left Ore condition for S implies that $s's = rt$ for some elements $s' \in S$ and $r \in R$, hence $s's \in S$ and $\ker_{R'}(s \cdot), \ker_{R'}(t \cdot) \subseteq \ker_{R'}(ss' \cdot)$, and so

$$\ker_{R'}(s \cdot) = \ker_{R'}(ss' \cdot) = \ker_{R'}(t \cdot).$$

2. For all $s \in S$, $\ker_R(s \cdot) = R \cap \ker_{R'}(s \cdot)$. Therefore, statement 2 follows from statement 1. \square

5 The Third Criterion (in the spirit of Goldie-Lesieur-Croisot)

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 5.1. This is the Third Criterion for a ring to have a semisimple left quotient ring. It is close to Goldie's Criterion but in applications it is easier to check its conditions. It reveals the 'local' nature of the fact that a ring has a semisimple left quotient ring.

For a semiprime ring R and its ideal I , the left annihilator of I in R is equal to the right annihilator of I in R and is denoted $\text{ann}(I)$. A ring is called a *left Goldie ring* if it has a.c.c. on left annihilators and does not contain infinite direct sums of nonzero left ideals.

Theorem 5.1 (The Third Criterion) *Let R be a ring. The following statements are equivalent.*

1. *The ring R has a semisimple left quotient ring.*
2. *The ring R is a semiprime ring with $|\text{Min}(R)| < \infty$ and, for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R)$, the ring R/\mathfrak{p} is a left Goldie ring.*

Remark. The condition $|\text{Min}(R)| < \infty$ in Theorem 5.1 can be replaced by any of the equivalent conditions of Theorem 5.2.

Theorem 5.2 (Theorem 2.2.15, [13]) *The following conditions on a semiprime ring R are equivalent.*

1. ${}_R R_R$ has finite uniform dimension.
2. $|\text{Min}(R)| < \infty$.
3. R has finitely many annihilator ideals.
4. R has a.c.c. on annihilator ideals.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. (1 \Rightarrow 2) Theorem 4.1 and Goldie's Theorem (since $R_i = S_i^{-1}R \simeq \mathcal{C}_{R/\mathfrak{a}_i}^{-1}(R/\mathfrak{a}_i) = Q(R/\mathfrak{a}_i)$).

(1 \Leftarrow 2) If the ring R is a prime ring then the result follows from Goldie-Lesieur-Croisot's Theorem in the prime case [8], [12]. So, we can assume that R is not a prime ring, that is $n := |\text{Min}(R)| \geq 2$. The idea of the proof of the implication is to show that the conditions (a)-(d) of Theorem 4.1 hold. The conditions (a) and (b) are given. Let $\text{min}(R) = \{\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_n\}$, we keep the notation of Theorem 4.1. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, the ring $\overline{R}_i = R/\mathfrak{a}_i$ is a prime left Goldie ring (by the assumption). By Goldie-Lesieur-Croisot's Theorem in the prime case, $\mathcal{C}_{\overline{R}_i} \in \text{Ore}_l(\overline{R}_i)$ and $Q(\overline{R}_i)$ is a simple Artinian ring. The conditions (c) and (d) follows from Proposition 5.3 and the Claim.

Claim: $\text{ann}(\mathfrak{a}_i) \cap S_i \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Indeed, by Proposition 5.3 which is applied in the situation $R, I = \mathfrak{a}_i, \bar{\mathfrak{a}} = 0$ and $\bar{S} = \mathcal{C}_{\bar{R}_i}$, we have $S_i \in \text{Den}_l(R, \mathfrak{a}_i)$ and $S_i^{-1}R \simeq \mathcal{C}_{\bar{R}_i}^{-1}\bar{R}_i = Q(\bar{R}_i)$ is a simple Artinian ring, as required.

Proof of the Claim. The ring R is semiprime, so $\text{ann}(\mathfrak{a}_i) + \mathfrak{a}_i = \text{ann}(\mathfrak{a}_i) \oplus \mathfrak{a}_i$ (since $(\text{ann}(\mathfrak{a}_i) \cap \mathfrak{a}_i)^2 = 0$, we must have $\text{ann}(\mathfrak{a}_i) \cap \mathfrak{a}_i = 0$, as R is a semiprime ring). Since $n \geq 2$, $0 \neq \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{a}_j \subseteq \text{ann}(\mathfrak{a}_i)$ (as $\text{ann}(\mathfrak{a}_i) \cdot \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{a}_j \subseteq \bigcap_{k=1}^n \mathfrak{a}_k = 0$, the ring R is a semiprime ring). Let $\pi_i : R \rightarrow \bar{R}_i := R/\mathfrak{a}_i, r \mapsto r + \mathfrak{a}_i$. Then $\pi_i(\text{ann}(\mathfrak{a}_i))$ is a *nonzero* ideal of the prime ring \bar{R}_i . Any nonzero ideal of a prime ring is an essential left (and right) ideal, Lemma 2.2.1.(i), [13]. Hence, $\pi(\text{ann}(\mathfrak{a}_i)) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\bar{R}_i} \neq \emptyset$, by Goldie-Lesieur-Croisot's Theorem (in the prime case). Therefore, $\text{ann}(\mathfrak{a}_i) \cap S_i \neq \emptyset$, as required. \square

Proposition 5.3 *Let R be a ring, I be its ideal, $\pi : R \rightarrow \bar{R} := R/I, r \mapsto \bar{r} = r+I, \bar{S} \in \text{Den}_l(\bar{R}, \bar{\mathfrak{a}}), S := \pi^{-1}(\bar{S})$ and $\mathfrak{a} := \pi^{-1}(\bar{\mathfrak{a}})$. If, for each element $x \in I$, there is an element $s \in S$ such that $sx = 0$ then $S \in \text{Den}_l(R, \mathfrak{a})$ and $S^{-1}R \simeq \bar{S}^{-1}\bar{R}$.*

Proof. By the very definition, S is a multiplicative set.

(i) $S \in \text{Ore}_l(R)$: Given elements $s \in S$ and $r \in R$, we have to find elements $s' \in S$ and $r' \in R$ such that $s'r = r's$. Since $\bar{S} \in \text{Den}_l(\bar{R}, \bar{\mathfrak{a}})$, $\bar{s}_1\bar{r} = \bar{r}_1\bar{s}$ for some elements $s_1 \in S$ and $r_1 \in R$. Then $x := s_1r - r_1s \in I$, and so $0 = s_2x$ for some element $s_2 \in S$, then $s_2s_1r = s_2r_1s$. It suffices to take $s' = s_2s_1$ and $r' = s_2r_1$.

(ii) $\text{ass}(S) = \mathfrak{a}$: Let $r \in \text{ass}(S)$, i.e. $sr = 0$ for some element $s \in S$. Then $\bar{s}\bar{r} = 0$, and so $\bar{r} \in \bar{\mathfrak{a}}$. This implies that $r \in \mathfrak{a}$. So, $\text{ass}(S) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$. Conversely, suppose that $a \in \mathfrak{a}$. We have to find an element $s \in S$ such that $sa = 0$. Since $\bar{a} \in \bar{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\bar{S} \in \text{Den}_l(\bar{R}, \bar{\mathfrak{a}})$, $\bar{s}_1\bar{a} = 0$ for some element $s_1 \in S$. Then $s_1a \in I$, and so $s_2s_1a = 0$ for some element $s_2 \in S$. It suffice to take $s = s_2s_1$.

(iii) $S \in \text{Den}_l(R, \mathfrak{a})$: In view of (i) and (ii), we have to show that if $rs = 0$ for some $r \in R$ and $s \in S$ then $r \in \mathfrak{a}$. The equality $\bar{r}\bar{s} = 0$ implies that $\bar{r} \in \bar{\mathfrak{a}}$ (since $\bar{S} \in \text{Den}_l(\bar{R}, \bar{\mathfrak{a}})$), and so $r \in \mathfrak{a}$.

(iv) $S^{-1}R \simeq \bar{S}^{-1}\bar{R}$: By the universal property of left localization, the map $S^{-1}R \rightarrow \bar{S}^{-1}\bar{R}, s^{-1}r \mapsto \bar{s}^{-1}\bar{r}$, is a well-defined ring homomorphism which is obviously an epimorphism. It suffices to show that the kernel is zero. Given $s^{-1}r \in S^{-1}R$ with $\bar{s}^{-1}\bar{r} = 0$. Then $\bar{s}_1\bar{r} = 0$ in \bar{R} , for some element $s_1 \in S$. Then $s_1r \in I$, and so $s_2s_1r = 0$ for some element $s_2 \in S$. This means that $s^{-1}r = 0$ in $S^{-1}R$. So, the kernel is equal to zero and as the result $S^{-1}R \simeq \bar{S}^{-1}\bar{R}$. \square

6 The Fourth Criterion (via certain left denominator sets)

In this section, a very useful criterion for a ring R to have a semisimple left quotient ring is given (Theorem 6.2). It is a corollary of Theorem 5.1 and the following characterization of the set of minimal primes in a semiprime ring.

Proposition 6.1 *Let R be a ring and $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_n$ ideals of R and $n \geq 2$. The following statements are equivalent.*

1. *The ring R is a semiprime ring with $\text{Min}(R) = \{\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_n\}$.*
2. *The ideals $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_n$ are incomparable prime ideals with $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{a}_i = 0$.*

Proof. (1 \Rightarrow 2) Trivial.

(2 \Leftarrow 1) The ideals $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_n$ are incomparable ideals hence are distinct. The ideals \mathfrak{a}_i are prime. So, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, fix a minimal prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \text{Min}(R)$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_i$. We claim that $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{a}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. The inclusions $\prod_{j=1}^n \mathfrak{a}_j \subseteq \bigcap_{j=1}^n \mathfrak{a}_j = \{0\} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i$, imply $\mathfrak{a}_j \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_i$ for some j , necessarily $j = i$ (since the ideals $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_n$ are incomparable). Therefore, $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{a}_i$. So, the ideals $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_n$ are minimal primes of R with $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{a}_i = 0$. Therefore, $\text{Min}(R) = \{\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_n\}$ (otherwise we would have a minimal prime $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R)$ distinct from the ideals $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_n$ but then $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{a}_i \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{a}_i = \{0\} \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, for some i , a contradiction). \square

Theorem 6.2 (The Fourth Criterion) *Let R be a ring. The following statements are equivalent.*

1. *The ring R has a semisimple left quotient ring.*
2. *There are left denominator sets S'_1, \dots, S'_n of the ring R such that the rings $R_i := S_i'^{-1}R$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are simple left Artinian rings and the map*

$$\sigma := \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i : R \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n R_i, \quad R \mapsto \left(\frac{r}{1}, \dots, \frac{r}{1}\right),$$

is an injection where $\sigma_i : R \rightarrow R_i$, $r \mapsto \frac{r}{1}$.

If one of the equivalent conditions holds then the set $\max.\text{Den}_l(R)$ contains precisely the distinct elements of the set $\{\sigma_i^{-1}(R_i^) \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$.*

Proof. (1 \Rightarrow 2) By Theorem 3.1 and (2), it suffices to take $\max.\text{Den}_l(R)$ since, by (1), $\mathfrak{l}_R = 0$.

(1 \Leftarrow 2) If $n = 1$ the implication (2 \Rightarrow 1) is obvious: the map $\sigma : R \rightarrow S_1'^{-1}R$ is an injection, hence $S_1' \in \text{Den}_l(R, 0)$. In particular, the set S_1 consists of *regular* elements of the ring R . Since $R \subseteq S_1'^{-1}R$ (vis σ) and the ring $S_1'^{-1}R$ is a simple left Artinian ring, $S_1' \subseteq \mathcal{C} \subseteq (S_1'^{-1}R)^*$, and so $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Ore}_l(R)$ and $Q = S_1^{-1}R$.

Suppose that $n \geq 2$. It suffices to verify that the conditions of Theorem 5.1.(2) hold. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, the ring R_i is a simple left Artinian ring, and so R_i is a left localization maximal ring. By Theorem 2.5.(3),

$$S_i = \sigma_i^{-1}(R_i^*) \in \max.\text{Den}_l(R), \quad S_i^{-1}R \simeq R_i,$$

and obviously $S_i' \subseteq S_i$ and $\mathfrak{a}_i = \text{ass}(S_i) = \text{ass}(S_i')$. Up to order, let S_1, \dots, S_m be the distinct elements of the collection $\{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$. By Proposition 2.4, the ideals $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_m$ are incomparable. The map σ is an injection, hence

$$0 = \ker(\sigma) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{ass}(S_i') = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{ass}(S_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \text{ass}(S_i').$$

For each $i = 1, \dots, m$, let $\pi_i : R \rightarrow \overline{R}_i := R/\mathfrak{a}_i$, $r \mapsto \overline{r}_i = r + \mathfrak{a}_i$. Then $\overline{S}_i := \pi_i(S_i) \in \text{Den}_l(\overline{R}_i, 0)$ and the ring $\overline{S}_i^{-1}\overline{R}_i \simeq S_i^{-1}R$ is a simple left Artinian ring which necessarily coincides with the left quotient ring $Q(\overline{R}_i)$ of the ring \overline{R}_i (see the case $n = 1$). By Goldie-Lesieur-Croisot's Theorem the ring \overline{R}_i is a prime left Goldie ring. By Proposition 6.1, R is a semiprime ring with $\text{Min}(R) = \{\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_m\}$. So, the ring R satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.1.(2), as required. \square

7 Left denominator sets of finite direct products of rings

Let $R = \prod_{i=1}^n R_i$ be a direct product of rings R_i . The aim of this section is to give a criterion of when a multiplicative subset of R is a left Ore/denominator set (Proposition 7.2) and using it to show that the set of maximal left denominator sets of the ring R is the union of the sets of maximal left denominators sets of the rings R_i (Theorem 2.9).

Let $R = \prod_{i=1}^n R_i$ be a direct product of rings R_i and $1 = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i$ be the corresponding sum of central orthogonal idempotents ($e_i^2 = e_i$ and $e_i e_j = 0$ for all $i \neq j$). Let $\pi_i : R \rightarrow R_i$, $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \mapsto r_i$ and $\nu_i : R_i \rightarrow R$, $r_i \mapsto (0, \dots, 0, r_i, 0, \dots, 0)$. Clearly, $\nu_i \pi_i = \text{id}_{R_i}$, the identity map in R_i . Every ideal \mathfrak{a} of the the ring R is the direct product $\mathfrak{a} = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{a}_i$ of ideals $\mathfrak{a}_i = e_i \mathfrak{a}$ of the rings R_i .

Lemma 7.1 *Let $R = \prod_{i=1}^n R_i$ be a direct product of rings R_i . We keep the notation as above.*

1. *If $S \in \text{Ore}_l(R, \mathfrak{a} = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{a}_i)$ then for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ either $0 \in S_i := \pi_i(S)$ or otherwise $S_i \in \text{Ore}_l(R_i, \mathfrak{a}_i)$ and at least for one i , $S_i \in \text{Ore}_l(R_i, \mathfrak{a}_i)$.*

2. If $S \in \text{Den}_l(R, \mathfrak{a} = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{a}_i)$ then for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ either $0 \in S_i := \pi_i(S)$ or otherwise $S_i \in \text{Den}_l(R_i, \mathfrak{a}_i)$ and at least for one i , $S_i \in \text{Den}_l(R_i, \mathfrak{a}_i)$.

Proof. Straightforward. \square

For each $S \in \text{Ore}_l(R)$, let $\text{supp}(S) := \{i \mid \pi_i(S) \in \text{Ore}_l(R_i)\}$. By Lemma 7.1.(1),

$$\mathfrak{a} = \text{ass}(S) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{a}_i, \quad \mathfrak{a}_i = \begin{cases} \text{ass}_{R_i}(\pi_i(S)) & \text{if } i \in \text{supp}(S), \\ R_i & \text{if } i \notin \text{supp}(S). \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

The following proposition is a criterion for a multiplicative set of a finite direct product of rings to be a left Ore set or a left denominators set.

Proposition 7.2 *Let $R = \prod_{i=1}^n R_i$ be a direct product of rings R_i , S be a multiplicative set of R and $S_i := \pi_i(S)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then*

1. $S \in \text{Ore}_l(R)$ iff for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ either $0 \in S_i$ or otherwise $S_i \in \text{Ore}_l(R_i)$ and at least for one i , $S_i \in \text{Ore}_l(R_i)$.
2. $S \in \text{Den}_l(R)$ iff for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ either $0 \in S_i$ or otherwise $S_i \in \text{Den}_l(R_i)$ and at least for one i , $S_i \in \text{Den}_l(R_i)$.

Proof. 1. (\Rightarrow) Lemma 7.1.(1).

(\Leftarrow) Clearly, $\text{supp}(S) \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{ass}(S)$ is given by (10). Without loss of generality we may assume that $\text{supp}(S) = \{1, \dots, m\}$ where $1 \leq m \leq n$. We have to show that for given elements $s = (s_i) \in S$ and $r = (r_i) \in R$ there are elements $s' = (s'_i) \in S$ and $r' = (r'_i) \in R$ such that $s'r = r's$. Since $S_1 \in \text{Ore}_l(R_1)$, $t_{11}r_1 = a_1s_1$ for some elements $t_{11} \in S_1$ and $a_1 \in R_1 \subseteq R$. Fix an element $t_1 \in S$ such that $\pi_1(t_1) = t_{11}$. Using the same sort of argument but for $S_2 \in \text{Ore}_l(R_2)$, we have $t_{22}\pi_2(t_1r) = a_2s_2$ for some elements $t_{22} \in S_2$ and $a_2 \in R_2 \subseteq R$. Fix an element $t_2 \in R$ such that $\pi_2(t_2) = t_{22}$. Repeating the same trick several times we have the equalities

$$t_{ii}\pi_i(t_{i-1} \cdots t_2 t_1 r) = a_i s_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

where $t_{ii} \in S_i$, $a_i \in R_i$ and $t_i \in S$ such that $\pi_i(t_i) = t_{ii}$.

If $m = n$ then it suffices to take $s' = t_n \cdots t_2 t_1$ and the element $r' = (r'_i)$ can be easily written using the equalities above

$$r'_1 = \pi_1(t_n t_{n-1} \cdots t_2) a_1, \quad r'_2 = \pi_2(t_n t_{n-1} \cdots t_3) a_2, \quad \dots, \quad r'_{n-1} = \pi_{n-1}(t_n) a_{n-1}, \quad r'_n = a_n.$$

If $m < n$ then this case can deduced to the previous one. For, each $j = m+1, \dots, n$, choose an element $\theta_j = (\theta_{jj}) \in S$ with $\theta_{jj} = 0$. Let $\theta = \theta_{m+1} \cdots \theta_n$. It suffices to take $s' = \theta t_m t_{m-1} \cdots t_1$ and $r' = \theta \cdot (r''_1, \dots, r''_m, 0, \dots, 0)$ where

$$r''_1 = \pi_1(t_m t_{m-1} \cdots t_2) a_1, \quad r''_2 = \pi_2(t_m t_{m-1} \cdots t_3) a_2, \quad \dots, \quad r''_{m-1} = \pi_{m-1}(t_m) a_{m-1}, \quad r''_m = a_m.$$

2. (\Rightarrow) Lemma 7.1.(2).

(\Leftarrow) By statement 1, $S \in \text{Ore}_l(R)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\text{supp}(S) = \{1, \dots, m\}$ where $1 \leq m \leq n$. By (10), $\mathfrak{a} = \prod_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{a}_i \times \prod_{j=m+1}^n R_j$. Using the fact that $S_i \in \text{Den}_l(R_i, \mathfrak{a}_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $\ker(\theta) \supseteq \prod_{j=m+1}^n R_j$, we see that $S \in \text{Den}_l(R, \mathfrak{a})$: If $rs = 0$ for some elements $r = (r_i) \in R$ and $s = (s_i) \in S$ then $r_i \in \mathfrak{a}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ since $S_i \in \text{Den}_l(R_i, \mathfrak{a}_i)$. Fix an element $t_1 \in S$ such that $\pi_1(t_1 r) = 0$. Since $\pi_2(t_1 r) \in \mathfrak{a}_2$, fix an element $t_2 \in S$ such that $\pi_2(t_2 t_1 r) = 0$. Repeating the same argument several times we find elements $t_1, \dots, t_m \in S$ such that

$$\pi_i(t_i t_{i-1} \cdots t_1 r) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Then $s'r = 0$ where $s' = \theta t_i t_{i-1} \cdots t_1 \in S$ and the element θ is defined in the proof of statement 1. \square

By Proposition 7.2, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have the injection

$$\max.\text{Den}_l(R_i) \rightarrow \max.\text{Den}_l(R = \prod_{i=1}^n R_i), \quad S_i \mapsto R_1 \times \cdots \times S_i \times \cdots \times R_n. \quad (11)$$

We identify $\max.\text{Den}_l(R_i)$ with its image in $\max.\text{Den}_l(R = \prod_{i=1}^n R_i)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.9. The theorem follows at once from Proposition 7.2.(2). \square

Corollary 7.3 *Let R be a ring and $1 = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$ be a sum of central orthogonal idempotents of the ring R . Then for each $S \in \max.\text{Den}_l(R)$ precisely one idempotent belongs to S .*

Proof. The ring $R = \prod_{i=1}^n R_i$ is a direct product of rings $R_i := e_i R$. Then the result follows from Theorem 2.9. \square

8 Criterion for R/\mathfrak{l}_R to have a semisimple left quotient ring

The aim of this section is to give a criterion for the factor ring R/\mathfrak{l} (where \mathfrak{l} is the left localization radical of R) to have a semisimple left quotient ring (Theorem 8.1), i.e. R/\mathfrak{l} is a semiprime left Goldie ring. Its proof is based on four criteria and some results (Lemma 8.2 and Lemma 8.3).

Theorem 8.1 *Let R be a ring, $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{l}_R$ be the left localization radical of R , $\text{Min}(R, \mathfrak{l})$ be the set of minimal primes over the ideal \mathfrak{l} and $\pi_l : R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{l}$, $r \mapsto \bar{r} = r + \mathfrak{l}$. The following statements are equivalent.*

1. *The ring R/\mathfrak{l} has a semisimple left quotient ring, i.e. R/\mathfrak{l} is a semiprime left Goldie ring.*
2. (a) $|\max.\text{Den}_l(R)| < \infty$.
(b) *For every $S \in \max.\text{Den}_l(R)$, $S^{-1}R$ is a simple left Artinian ring.*
3. (a) $\mathfrak{l} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R, \mathfrak{l})} \mathfrak{p}$.
(b) $\text{Min}(R, \mathfrak{l})$ *is a finite set.*
(c) *For all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R, \mathfrak{l})$, the set $S_{\mathfrak{p}} := \{c \in R \mid c + \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{C}_{R/\mathfrak{p}}\}$ is a left denominator set of the ring R with $\text{ass}(S_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \mathfrak{p}$.*
(d) *For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R, \mathfrak{l})$, $S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}R$ is a simple left Artinian ring.*
(e) *For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R, \mathfrak{l})$ and for each $l \in \mathfrak{l}$, there is an element $s \in S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that $sl = 0$.*
4. (a) $\mathfrak{l} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R, \mathfrak{l})} \mathfrak{p}$.
(b) $\text{Min}(R, \mathfrak{l})$ *is a finite set.*
(c) *For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R, \mathfrak{l})$, R/\mathfrak{p} is a left Goldie ring.*

If one of the equivalent conditions 1–3 holds then

(i) *The map $\pi_l' : \max.\text{Den}_l(R) \rightarrow \max.\text{Den}_l(R/\mathfrak{l})$, $S \mapsto \pi_l(S)$, is a bijection with the inverse $T \mapsto \pi_l^{-1}(T)$.*

(ii) $\max.\text{Den}_l(R) = \{S_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R, \mathfrak{l})\}$.

(iii) $\max.\text{Den}_l(R/\mathfrak{l}) = \{S_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{l}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R, \mathfrak{p})\}$ where $S_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{l}} := \pi_l(S_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

(iv) *For all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R)$, $S_{\mathfrak{p}} = \pi_l^{-1}(S_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{l}})$, $\text{ass}(S_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{l}}) = \text{ass}(S_{\mathfrak{p}})/\mathfrak{l}$ and $S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}R \simeq S_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{l}}^{-1}R/\mathfrak{l}$ is a simple left Artinian ring.*

Theorem 8.1 shows that when the factor ring R/\mathfrak{l} is a semiprime left Goldie ring there are tight connections between the localization properties of the rings R and R/\mathfrak{l} . In particular, the map π'_1 is a bijection. In general situation, Lemma 8.2.(1) shows that the map π'_1 is an injection. In general, there is no connection between maximal left denominator sets of a ring and its factor ring.

Before giving the proof of Theorem 8.1 we need some results.

Lemma 8.2 *Let R be a ring, $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{l}_R$ be its left localization radical and $\pi_1 : R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{l}$, $r \mapsto \bar{r} = r + \mathfrak{l}$. Then*

1. *The map $\pi'_1 : \max.\text{Den}_\mathfrak{l}(R) \rightarrow \max.\text{Den}_\mathfrak{l}(R/\mathfrak{l})$, $S \mapsto \pi_1(S)$, is an injection such that $S^{-1}R \simeq \pi_1(S)^{-1}(R/\mathfrak{l})$ and $\text{ass}_{R/\mathfrak{l}}(\pi_1(S)) = \text{ass}_R(S)/\mathfrak{l}$.*
2. *The map $\pi''_1 : \text{ass.max.Den}_\mathfrak{l}(R) \rightarrow \text{ass.max.Den}_\mathfrak{l}(R/\mathfrak{l})$, $\mathfrak{a} \mapsto \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{l}$, is an injection.*
3. *Let $T \in \max.\text{Den}_\mathfrak{l}(R/\mathfrak{l})$. Then $T \in \text{im}(\pi'_1)$ iff $\text{ass}_{R/\mathfrak{l}}(T) = \text{ass}_R(S)/\mathfrak{l}$ for some $S \in \max.\text{Den}_\mathfrak{l}(R)$.*
4. *The map π'_1 is a bijection iff the map π''_1 is a bijection iff for every $\mathfrak{b} \in \max.\text{Den}_\mathfrak{l}(R/\mathfrak{l})$ there is $S \in \max.\text{Den}_\mathfrak{l}(R)$ such that for each element $b \in \pi_1^{-1}(\mathfrak{b})$ there is an element $s \in S$ such that $sb = 0$.*
5. *If the map π''_1 is a bijection then its inverse $\pi_1'^{-1}$ is given by the rule $T \mapsto \pi_1'^{-1}(T)$.*

Proof. 1. Since $\mathfrak{l} \subseteq \mathfrak{a} = \text{ass}(S)$ we have $\pi_1(S) \in \text{Den}_\mathfrak{l}(R/\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{l})$ and the map

$$\pi_{1,S} : S^{-1}R \rightarrow \pi_1(S)^{-1}R/\mathfrak{l}, \quad s^{-1}r \mapsto \pi_1^{-1}(s)\pi_1(r), \quad (12)$$

is a ring isomorphism, by Lemma 3.2.(1), [2]. There is a commutative diagram of ring homomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\sigma_S} & S^{-1}R \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{1,S} \\ R/\mathfrak{l} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}_S} & \pi_1(S)^{-1}R/\mathfrak{l} \end{array} \quad (13)$$

where $\sigma_S(r) = \frac{r}{1}$ and $\bar{\sigma}_S(r + \mathfrak{l}) = \frac{\pi_1(r)}{1}$. The ring $S^{-1}R$ is a left localization maximal ring. Let G and G' be the groups of units of the rings $S^{-1}R$ and $\pi_1(S)^{-1}R/\mathfrak{l}$ respectively. By Theorem 2.5.(3),

$$S = \sigma_S^{-1}(G) \quad \text{and} \quad S' := \bar{\sigma}_S^{-1}(G') \in \max.\text{Den}_\mathfrak{l}(R/\mathfrak{l}).$$

By the commutativity of the diagram (13), we have

$$S = \pi_1^{-1}(S'). \quad (14)$$

Then, by the surjectivity of the map π_1 , $\pi_1(S) = S' \in \max.\text{Den}_\mathfrak{l}(R/\mathfrak{l})$. So, the map π'_1 is a well-defined map which is an injection, by statement 2.

2. Every element of $\text{ass.max.Den}_\mathfrak{l}(R)$ contains \mathfrak{l} , hence π'_1 is an injection.

3. Statement 3 follows from statement 2 and Corollary 2.3.(2).

4. By Corollary 2.3.(2) and statements 1 and 2, the map π'_1 is a bijection/surjection iff the map π''_1 is a bijection/surjection. Let LS stands for the statement after the second 'iff' in statement 4 and let $T \in \max.\text{Den}_\mathfrak{l}(R/\mathfrak{l})$ with $\mathfrak{b} = \text{ass}(T)$. If π'_1 is a bijection then LS holds by statement 1 and the inclusion $\mathfrak{l} \subseteq \text{ass}(S)$ for all $S \in \max.\text{Den}_\mathfrak{l}(R)$. If LS holds then clearly $\pi_1^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}) \subseteq \text{ass}(S)$, and so $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \text{ass}(S)/\mathfrak{l}$. Then necessarily $\mathfrak{b} = \text{ass}(S)/\mathfrak{l}$, by Proposition 2.4, and so $T = \pi_1(S)$, by statement 1 and Corollary 2.3.(2). This means that the map π'_1 is a surjection hence a bijection.

5. Statement 5 was proved in the proof of statement 1, see (14). \square

Lemma 8.3 *Let R be a ring, $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{l}_R$ be its left localization radical and $\text{Min}(R, \mathfrak{l})$ be the set of minimal primes over \mathfrak{l} . Suppose that $\text{max.Den}_{\mathfrak{l}}(R) = \{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$ is a finite set and the ideals $\mathfrak{a}_i := \text{ass}(S_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are prime. Then*

1. *The map $\text{max.Den}_{\mathfrak{l}}(R) \rightarrow \text{Min}(R, \mathfrak{l})$, $S \mapsto \text{ass}(S)$, is a bijection.*
2. $\mathfrak{l} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R, \mathfrak{l})} \mathfrak{p}$.

Proof. If $n = 1$ then $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{a}_1$ is a prime ideal and so statements 1 and 2 obviously hold.

So, let $n \geq 2$. The ideals $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_n$ are distinct prime ideals with $\mathfrak{l} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{a}_i$. Therefore, the prime ideals $\mathfrak{a}_1/\mathfrak{l}, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_n/\mathfrak{l}$ of the ring R/\mathfrak{l} are distinct with zero intersection. By Proposition 6.1, the ring R/\mathfrak{l} is a semisimple ring with $\text{Min}(R/\mathfrak{l}) = \{\mathfrak{a}_1/\mathfrak{l}, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_n/\mathfrak{l}\}$. Hence, $\text{Min}(R, \mathfrak{l}) = \{\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_n\}$ with $\mathfrak{l} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{a}_i$, i.e. statement 2 holds. Now, statement 1 is obvious (by Corollary 2.3.(2)). \square

Proof of Theorem 8.1. (1 \Rightarrow 2) This implication follows from Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 8.2.(1).

(2 \Rightarrow 1) By (2), there is a ring monomorphism $R/\mathfrak{l} \rightarrow \prod_{S \in \text{max.Den}_{\mathfrak{l}}(R)} S^{-1}R$. By Theorem 6.2, the ring R has a semisimple left quotient ring. Then, by Theorem 6.2 and Lemma 8.2.(1, 5), the statement (i) holds. By Goldie's Theorem the ring R is a semiprime left Goldie ring.

(1 \Leftrightarrow 3) Notice that the map $\text{Min}(R, \mathfrak{l}) \rightarrow \text{Min}(R/\mathfrak{l})$, $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{l}$, is a bijection. By Theorem 4.1, statement 1 is equivalent to the statements (a)'–(d)' (we have added 'prime' to distinguish these conditions from the conditions (a)–(d) of statement 3):

- (a)' R/\mathfrak{l} is a semiprime ring,
- (b)' $|\text{Min}(R/\mathfrak{l})| < \infty$,
- (c)' For each $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{l} \in \text{Min}(R/\mathfrak{l})$, $S_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{l}} := \{c + \mathfrak{l} \in R/\mathfrak{l} \mid c + \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{C}_{R/\mathfrak{p}}\} \in \text{Den}_{\mathfrak{l}}(R/\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{l})$, and
- (d)' For each $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{l} \in \text{Min}(R/\mathfrak{l})$, the ring $S_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{l}}^{-1}R/\mathfrak{l}$ is a simple left Artinian ring.

The conditions (a)' and (b)' are equivalent to the conditions (a) and (b) of statement 3. Now, the implication (1 \Rightarrow 3) follows: the statement (i) and Lemma 8.2.(4) imply the condition (e); then the conditions (c) and (d) follow from the conditions (c)' and (d)' by using Proposition 5.3.

The implication (3 \Rightarrow 1) follows from Theorem 6.2: the conditions (a)–(c) imply that there is a ring homomorphism

$$R/\mathfrak{l} \rightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R, \mathfrak{l})} S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}R.$$

By the condition (d), the rings $S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}R$ are simple left Artinian rings. Then, by Theorem 6.2, the ring R/\mathfrak{l} has a semisimple left quotient ring.

(1 \Rightarrow 4) The conditions (a) and (b) of statement 4 are precisely the conditions (a) and (b) of statement 3. The condition (c) of statement 4 follows from the conditions (c) and (d) of statement 3 and Goldie's Theorem (since $Q(R/\mathfrak{p}) \simeq S_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}R$ is a simple left Artinian ring, by statement 3).

(4 \Rightarrow 1) By the condition (c) and Goldie's Theorem, the left quotient rings $Q(R/\mathfrak{p})$ are simple left Artinian rings. Then there is a chain of ring homomorphisms

$$R/\mathfrak{l} = R/\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R, \mathfrak{l})} \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R, \mathfrak{l})} R/\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(R, \mathfrak{l})} Q(R/\mathfrak{p}).$$

By Theorem 6.2, the ring R/\mathfrak{l} has a semisimple left quotient ring. This finishes the proof of equivalence of statements 1–4. Now, statements (ii)–(iv) follow from the statement (i) and Lemma 8.2. \square

Acknowledgements

The work is partly supported by the Royal Society and EPSRC.

References

- [1] V. V. Bavula, The algebra of integro-differential operators on an affine line and its modules, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **217** (2013) 495-529. (Arxiv:math.RA: 1011.2997).
- [2] V. V. Bavula, The largest left quotient ring of a ring, Arxiv:math.RA:1101.5107.
- [3] V. V. Bavula, Characterizations of left orders in left Artinian rings. Arxiv:math.RA:1212.3529.
- [4] V. V. Bavula, Left localizations of left Artinian rings.
- [5] V. V. Bavula, Left localizable rings and their characterizations.
- [6] E. H. Feller and E. W. Swokowski, Reflective N-prime rings with the ascending chain condition. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **99** (1961) 264–271.
- [7] E. H. Feller and E. W. Swokowski, Reflective rings with the ascending chain condition. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **12** (1961) 651–653.
- [8] A. W. Goldie, The structure of prime rings under ascending chain conditions. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **8** (1958) 589–608.
- [9] A. W. Goldie, Semi-prime rings with maximum condition. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **10** (1960) 201–220.
- [10] A. V. Jategaonkar, Localization in Noetherian Rings, Londo Math. Soc. LMS 98, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1986.
- [11] C. R. Hajarnavis, On Small’s Theorem, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **5** (1972) 596–600.
- [12] L. Lesieur and R. Croisot, Sur les anneaux premiers noethériens à gauche. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (3) **76** (1959) 161-183.
- [13] J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, Noncommutative Noetherian rings. With the cooperation of L. W. Small. Revised edition. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 30. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. 636 pp.
- [14] J. C. Robson, Artinian quotient rings. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **17** (1967) 600–616.
- [15] L. W. Small, Orders in Artinian rings. *J. Algebra* **4** (1966) 13–41.
- [16] L. W. Small, Correction and addendum: Orders in Artinian rings. *J. Algebra* **4** (1966) 505–507.
- [17] B. Stenström, Rings of Quotients, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1975.
- [18] H. Tachikawa, Localization and Artinian Quotient Rings, *Math. Z.* **119** (1971) 239–253.
- [19] T. D. Talintyre, Quotient rings of rings with maximum condition for right ideals, *J. London Math. Soc.* **38** (1963) 439–450.
- [20] T. D. Talintyre, Quotient rings with minimum condition on right ideals, *J. London Math. Soc.* **41** (1966) 141–144.

Department of Pure Mathematics
University of Sheffield
Hicks Building
Sheffield S3 7RH
UK
email: v.bavula@sheffield.ac.uk