



This is a repository copy of *The group of automorphisms of the Lie algebra of derivations of a polynomial algebra*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/124645/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Bavula, V.V. (2017) The group of automorphisms of the Lie algebra of derivations of a polynomial algebra. *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications*, 16. 1750088. ISSN 0219-4988

<https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498817500888>

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

The group of automorphisms of the Lie algebra of derivations of a polynomial algebra

V. V. Bavula

Abstract

We prove that the group of automorphisms of the Lie algebra $\text{Der}_K(P_n)$ of derivations of a polynomial algebra $P_n = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ over a field of characteristic zero is canonically isomorphic to the the group of automorphisms of the polynomial algebra P_n .

Key Words: Group of automorphisms, monomorphism, Lie algebra, automorphism, locally nilpotent derivation.

Mathematics subject classification 2010: 17B40, 17B20, 17B66, 17B65, 17B30.

1 Introduction

In this paper, module means a left module, K is a field of characteristic zero and K^* is its group of units, and the following notation is fixed:

- $P_n := K[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} Kx^\alpha$ is a polynomial algebra over K where $x^\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$,
- $G_n := \text{Aut}_K(P_n)$ is the group of automorphisms of the polynomial algebra P_n ,
- $\partial_1 := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \partial_n := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$ are the partial derivatives (K -linear derivations) of P_n ,
- $D_n := \text{Der}_K(P_n) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n P_n \partial_i$ is the Lie algebra of K -derivations of P_n where $[\partial, \delta] := \partial\delta - \delta\partial$,
- $\delta_1 := \text{ad}(\partial_1), \dots, \delta_n := \text{ad}(\partial_n)$ are the inner derivations of the Lie algebra D_n determined by the elements $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$ (where $\text{ad}(a)(b) := [a, b]$),
- $\mathbb{G}_n := \text{Aut}_{\text{Lie}}(D_n)$ is the group of automorphisms of the Lie algebra D_n ,
- $\mathcal{D}_n := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n K\partial_i$,
- $\mathcal{H}_n := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n KH_i$ where $H_1 := x_1\partial_1, \dots, H_n := x_n\partial_n$,
- $A_n := K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \rangle = \bigoplus_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} Kx^\alpha \partial^\beta$ is the n 'th Weyl algebra,
- for each natural number $n \geq 2$, $\mathfrak{u}_n := K\partial_1 + P_1\partial_2 + \cdots + P_{n-1}\partial_n$ is the Lie algebra of triangular polynomial derivations (it is a Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra D_n) and $\text{Aut}_K(\mathfrak{u}_n)$ is its group of automorphisms.

The aim of the paper is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 $\mathbb{G}_n = G_n$.

Structure of the proof. (i) $G_n \subseteq \mathbb{G}_n$ via the group monomorphism (Lemma 2.3.(3))

$$G_n \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_n, \quad \sigma \mapsto \sigma : \partial \mapsto \sigma(\partial) := \sigma\partial\sigma^{-1}.$$

(ii) Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_n$. Then $\partial'_1 := \sigma(\partial_1), \dots, \partial'_n := \sigma(\partial_n)$ are commuting, locally nilpotent derivations of the polynomial algebra P_n (Lemma 2.6.(1)).

(iii) $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker_{P_n}(\partial'_i) = K$ (Lemma 2.6.(2)).

(iv)(crux) There exists a polynomial automorphism $\tau \in G_n$ such that $\tau\sigma \in \text{Fix}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)$ (Corollary 2.9).

(v) $\text{Fix}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n) = \text{Sh}_n$ (Proposition 2.5.(3)) where

$$\text{Sh}_n := \{s_\lambda \in G_n \mid s_\lambda(x_1) = x_1 + \lambda_1, \dots, s_\lambda(x_n) = x_n + \lambda_n\}$$

is the *shift group* of automorphisms of the polynomial algebra P_n and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in K^n$.

(vi) By (iv) and (v), $\sigma \in G_n$, i.e. $\mathbb{G}_n = G_n$. \square

An analogue of the Jacobian Conjecture is true for D_n . The Jacobian Conjecture claims that *certain* monomorphisms of the polynomial algebra P_n are isomorphisms: *Every algebra endomorphism σ of the polynomial algebra P_n such that $\mathcal{J}(\sigma) := \det\left(\frac{\partial\sigma(x_i)}{\partial x_j}\right) \in K^*$ is an automorphism.* The condition that $\mathcal{J}(\sigma) \in K^*$ implies that the endomorphism σ is a monomorphism.

Conjecture. *Every homomorphism of the Lie algebra D_n is an automorphism.*

Theorem 1.2 [4] *Every monomorphism of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{u}_n is an automorphism.*

Remark. Not every epimorphism of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{u}_n is an automorphism. Moreover, there are countably many distinct ideals $\{I_{i\omega^{n-1}} \mid i \geq 0\}$ such that

$$I_0 = \{0\} \subset I_{\omega^{n-1}} \subset I_{2\omega^{n-1}} \subset \dots \subset I_{i\omega^{n-1}} \subset \dots$$

and the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{u}_n/I_{i\omega^{n-1}}$ and \mathfrak{u}_n are isomorphic (Theorem 5.1.(1), [5]).

Theorems 1.2 and Conjecture have bearing of the Jacobian Conjecture and the Conjecture of Dixmier [8] for the Weyl algebra A_n over a field of characteristic zero that claims: *every homomorphism of the Weyl algebra is an automorphism.* The Weyl algebra A_n is a simple algebra, so every algebra endomorphism of A_n is a monomorphism. This conjecture is open since 1968 for all $n \geq 1$. It is stably equivalent to the Jacobian Conjecture for the polynomial algebras as was shown by Tsuchimoto [9], Belov-Kanel and Kontsevich [7], (see also [2] for a short proof which is based on the author's new inversion formula for polynomial automorphisms [1]).

An analogue of the Conjecture of Dixmier is true for the algebra $\mathbb{I}_1 := K\langle x, \frac{d}{dx}, f \rangle$ of polynomial integro-differential operators.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 1.1, [3]) *Each algebra endomorphism of \mathbb{I}_1 is an automorphism.*

In contrast to the Weyl algebra $A_1 = K\langle x, \frac{d}{dx} \rangle$, the algebra of polynomial differential operators, the algebra \mathbb{I}_1 is neither a left/right Noetherian algebra nor a simple algebra. The left localizations, $A_{1,\partial}$ and $\mathbb{I}_{1,\partial}$, of the algebras A_1 and \mathbb{I}_1 at the powers of the element $\partial = \frac{d}{dx}$ are isomorphic. For the simple algebra $A_{1,\partial} \simeq \mathbb{I}_{1,\partial}$, there are algebra endomorphisms that are not automorphisms [3].

The group of automorphisms of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{u}_n . In [6], the group of automorphisms $\text{Aut}_K(\mathfrak{u}_n)$ of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{u}_n of triangular polynomial derivations is found ($n \geq 2$), it is isomorphic to an iterated semi-direct product (Theorem 5.3, [6]),

$$\mathbb{T}^n \rtimes (\text{UAut}_K(P_n)_n \rtimes (\mathbb{F}'_n \times \mathbb{E}_n))$$

where \mathbb{T}^n is an algebraic n -dimensional torus, $\text{UAut}_K(P_n)_n$ is an explicit factor group of the group $\text{UAut}_K(P_n)$ of unitriangular polynomial automorphisms, \mathbb{F}'_n and \mathbb{E}_n are explicit groups that are isomorphic respectively to the groups \mathbb{I} and \mathbb{J}^{n-2} where $\mathbb{I} := (1 + t^2 K[[t]], \cdot) \simeq K^{\mathbb{N}}$

and $\mathbb{J} := (tK[[t]], +) \simeq K^{\mathbb{N}}$. Comparing the groups G_n and $\text{Aut}_K(\mathfrak{u}_n)$ we see that the group $(\text{UAut}_K(P_n)_n)$ of polynomial automorphisms is a *tiny* part of the group $\text{Aut}_K(\mathfrak{u}_n)$ but in contrast $\mathbb{G}_n = \text{Aut}_K(P_n)$. It is shown that the *adjoint group* of automorphisms $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{u}_n)$ of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{u}_n is equal to the group $\text{UAut}_K(P_n)_n$ (Theorem 7.1, [6]). Recall that the *adjoint group* $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{G})$ of a Lie algebra \mathcal{G} is generated by the elements $e^{\text{ad}(g)} := \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{\text{ad}(g)^i}{i!} \in \text{Aut}_K(\mathcal{G})$ where g runs through all the locally nilpotent elements of the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} (an element g is a *locally nilpotent element* if the inner derivation $\text{ad}(g) := [g, \cdot]$ of the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} is a locally nilpotent derivation).

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

This section can be seen as a proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof is split into several statements that reflect ‘Structure of the proof of Theorem 1.1’ given in the Introduction.

The Lie algebra D_n is \mathbb{Z}^n -graded. The Lie algebra

$$D_n = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Kx^\alpha \partial_i \quad (1)$$

is a \mathbb{Z}^n -graded Lie algebra

$$D_n = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} D_{n,\beta} \quad \text{where} \quad D_{n,\beta} = \bigoplus_{\alpha - e_i = \beta} Kx^\alpha \partial_i,$$

i.e. $[D_{n,\alpha}, D_{n,\beta}] \subseteq D_{n,\alpha+\beta}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ where $e_1 := (1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, e_n := (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ is the canonical free basis for the free abelian group \mathbb{Z}^n . This follows from the commutation relations

$$[x^\alpha \partial_i, x^\beta \partial_j] = \beta_i x^{\alpha+\beta-e_i} \partial_j - \alpha_j x^{\alpha+\beta-e_j} \partial_i. \quad (2)$$

Clearly, for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$[H_j, x^\alpha \partial_i] = \begin{cases} \alpha_j x^\alpha \partial_i & \text{if } j \neq i, \\ (\alpha_i - 1)x^\alpha \partial_i & \text{if } j = i, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

$$[\partial_j, x^\alpha \partial_i] = \alpha_j x^{\alpha-e_j} \partial_i. \quad (4)$$

The *support* $\text{Supp}(D_n) := \{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid D_{n,\beta} \neq 0\}$ is a submonoid of \mathbb{Z}^n . Let us find the support $\text{Supp}(D_n)$, the graded components $D_{n,\beta}$ and their dimensions $\dim_K D_{n,\beta}$. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $\mathbb{N}^{n,i} := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \alpha_i = 0\}$ and $P_n^{\partial_i} := \ker_{P_n}(\partial_i)$. It follows from the decompositions $P_n = P_n^{\partial_i} \oplus P_n x_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ that

$$D_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (P_n^{\partial_i} \oplus P_n x_i) \partial_i = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n P_n^{\partial_i} \partial_i \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n P_n H_i,$$

$$D_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n P_n^{\partial_i} \partial_i \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} x^\alpha \mathcal{H}_n. \quad (5)$$

Hence,

$$\text{Supp}(D_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{N}^{n,i} - e_i) \prod \mathbb{N}^n. \quad (6)$$

$$D_{n,\beta} = \begin{cases} x^\alpha \partial_i & \text{if } \beta = \alpha - e_i \in \mathbb{N}^{n,i} - e_i, \\ x^\beta \mathcal{H}_n & \text{if } \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

$$\dim_K D_{n,\beta} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \beta = \alpha - e_i \in \mathbb{N}^{n,i} - e_i, \\ n & \text{if } \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n. \end{cases}$$

Let \mathcal{G} be a Lie algebra and \mathcal{H} be its Lie subalgebra. The *centralizer* $C_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{H}) := \{x \in \mathcal{G} \mid [x, \mathcal{H}] = 0\}$ of \mathcal{H} in \mathcal{G} is a Lie subalgebra of \mathcal{G} . In particular, $Z(\mathcal{G}) := C_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{G})$ is the *centre* of the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} . The *normalizer* $N_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{H}) := \{x \in \mathcal{G} \mid [x, \mathcal{H}] \subseteq \mathcal{H}\}$ of \mathcal{H} in \mathcal{G} is a Lie subalgebra of \mathcal{G} , it is the largest Lie subalgebra of \mathcal{G} that contains \mathcal{H} as an ideal.

Let V be a vector space over K . A K -linear map $\delta : V \rightarrow V$ is called a *locally nilpotent map* if $V = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \ker(\delta^i)$ or, equivalently, for every $v \in V$, $\delta^i(v) = 0$ for all $i \gg 1$. When δ is a locally nilpotent map in V we also say that δ *acts locally nilpotently* on V . Every *nilpotent* linear map δ , that is $\delta^n = 0$ for some $n \geq 1$, is a locally nilpotent map but not vice versa, in general. Let \mathcal{G} be a Lie algebra. Each element $a \in \mathcal{G}$ determines the derivation of the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} by the rule $\text{ad}(a) : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, $b \mapsto [a, b]$, which is called the *inner derivation* associated with a . The set $\text{Inn}(\mathcal{G})$ of all the inner derivations of the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} is a Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra $(\text{End}_K(\mathcal{G}), [\cdot, \cdot])$ where $[f, g] := fg - gf$. There is the short exact sequence of Lie algebras

$$0 \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\text{ad}} \text{Inn}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow 0,$$

that is $\text{Inn}(\mathcal{G}) \simeq \mathcal{G}/Z(\mathcal{G})$ where $Z(\mathcal{G})$ is the *centre* of the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} and $\text{ad}([a, b]) = [\text{ad}(a), \text{ad}(b)]$ for all elements $a, b \in \mathcal{G}$. An element $a \in \mathcal{G}$ is called a *locally nilpotent element* (respectively, a *nilpotent element*) if so is the inner derivation $\text{ad}(a)$ of the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} .

The Cartan subalgebra \mathcal{H}_n of D_n . A nilpotent Lie subalgebra C of a Lie algebra \mathcal{G} is called a *Cartan subalgebra* of \mathcal{G} if it coincides with its normalizer. We use often the following obvious observation: *An abelian Lie subalgebra that coincides with its centralizer is a maximal abelian Lie subalgebra.*

Lemma 2.1 1. \mathcal{H}_n is a Cartan subalgebra of D_n .

2. $\mathcal{H}_n = C_{D_n}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra of D_n .

Proof. Statements 1 and 2 follows from (6) and (7). \square

P_n is a D_n -module. The polynomial algebra P_n is a (left) D_n -module: $D_n \times P_n \rightarrow P_n$, $(\partial, p) \mapsto \partial * p$. In more detail, if $\partial = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \partial_i$ where $a_i \in P_n$ then

$$\partial * p = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i}.$$

The field K is a D_n -submodule of P_n and

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker_{P_n}(\partial_i) = K. \quad (8)$$

Lemma 2.2 *The D_n -module P_n/K is simple with $\text{End}_{D_n}(P_n/K) = K\text{id}$ where id is the identity map.*

Proof. Let M be a nonzero submodule of P_n/K and $0 \neq p \in M$. Using the actions of $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$ on p we obtain an element of M of the form λx_i for some $\lambda \in K^*$. Hence, $x_i \in M$ and $x^\alpha = x^\alpha \partial_i * x_i \in M$ for all $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Therefore, $M = P_n/K$. Let $f \in \text{End}_{D_n}(P_n/K)$. Then applying f to the equalities $\partial_i * (x_1 + K) = \delta_{i1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, we obtain the equalities

$$\partial_i * f(x_1 + K) = \delta_{i1} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence, $f(x_1 + K) \in \bigcap_{i=2}^n \ker_{P_n/K}(\partial_i) \cap \ker_{P_n/K}(\partial_i^2) = (K[x_1]/K) \cap \ker_{P_n/K}(\partial_i^2) = K(x_1 + K)$. So, $f(x_1 + K) = \lambda(x_1 + K)$ and so $f = \lambda \text{id}$, by the simplicity of the D_n -module P_n/K .

\square

The G_n -module D_n . The Lie algebra D_n is a G_n -module,

$$G_n \times D_n \rightarrow D_n, (\sigma, \partial) \mapsto \sigma(\partial) := \sigma\partial\sigma^{-1}.$$

Every automorphism $\sigma \in G_n$ is uniquely determined by the elements

$$x'_1 := \sigma(x_1), \dots, x'_n := \sigma(x_n).$$

Let $M_n(P_n)$ be the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices over P_n . The matrix $J(\sigma) := (J(\sigma)_{ij}) \in M_n(P_n)$, where $J(\sigma)_{ij} = \frac{\partial x'_j}{\partial x_i}$, is called the *Jacobian matrix* of the automorphism (endomorphism) σ and its determinant $\mathcal{J}(\sigma) := \det J(\sigma)$ is called the *Jacobian* of σ . So, the j 'th column of $J(\sigma)$ is the *gradient* $\text{grad } x'_j := (\frac{\partial x'_j}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial x'_j}{\partial x_n})^T$ of the polynomial x'_j . Then the derivations

$$\partial'_1 := \sigma\partial_1\sigma^{-1}, \dots, \partial'_n := \sigma\partial_n\sigma^{-1}$$

are the partial derivatives of P_n with respect to the variables x'_1, \dots, x'_n ,

$$\partial'_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_1}, \dots, \partial'_n = \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_n}. \quad (9)$$

Every derivation $\partial \in D_n$ is a unique sum $\partial = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \partial_i$ where $a_i = \partial * x_i \in P_n$. Let $\partial := (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)^T$ and $\partial' := (\partial'_1, \dots, \partial'_n)^T$ where T stands for the transposition. Then

$$\partial' = J(\sigma)^{-1}\partial, \text{ i.e. } \partial'_i = \sum_{j=1}^n (J(\sigma)^{-1})_{ij} \partial_j \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (10)$$

In more detail, if $\partial' = A\partial$ where $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(P_n)$, i.e. $\partial_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \partial_j$. Then for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\delta_{ij} = \partial'_i * x'_j = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} \frac{\partial x'_j}{\partial x_k}$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta function. The equalities above can be written in the matrix form as $AJ(\sigma) = 1$ where 1 is the identity matrix. Therefore, $A = J(\sigma)^{-1}$.

Suppose that a group G acts on a set S . For a nonempty subset T of S , $\text{St}_G(T) := \{g \in G \mid gT = T\}$ is the *stabilizer* of the set T in G and $\text{Fix}_G(T) := \{g \in G \mid gt = t \text{ for all } t \in T\}$ is the *fixator* of the set T in G . Clearly, $\text{Fix}_G(T)$ is a *normal* subgroup of $\text{St}_G(T)$.

The maximal abelian Lie subalgebra \mathcal{D}_n of D_n .

Lemma 2.3 1. $C_{D_n}(\mathcal{D}_n) = \mathcal{D}_n$ and so \mathcal{D}_n is a maximal abelian Lie subalgebra of D_n .

2. $\text{Fix}_{G_n}(\mathcal{D}_n) = \text{Fix}_{G_n}(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n) = \text{Sh}_n$.

3. D_n is a faithful G_n -module, i.e. the group homomorphism $G_n \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_n, \sigma \mapsto \sigma : \partial \mapsto \sigma\partial\sigma^{-1}$, is a monomorphism.

4. $\text{Fix}_{G_n}(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n, H_1, \dots, H_n) = \{e\}$.

Proof. 1. Statement 1 follows from (2).

2. Let $\sigma \in \text{Fix}_{G_n}(\mathcal{D}_n)$ and $J(\sigma) = (J_{ij})$. By (10), $\partial = J(\sigma)\partial$, and so, for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, $\delta_{ij} = \partial_i * x_j = J_{ij}$, i.e. $J(\sigma) = 1$, or equivalently, by (8),

$$x'_1 = x_1 + \lambda_1, \dots, x'_n = x_n + \lambda_n$$

for some scalars $\lambda_i \in K$, and so $\sigma \in \text{Sh}_n$.

3 and 4. Let $\sigma \in \text{Fix}_{G_n} = (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n, H_1, \dots, H_n)$. Then $\sigma \in \text{Fix}_{G_n}(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n) = \text{Sh}_n$, by statement 2. So, $\sigma(x_1) = x_1 + \lambda_1, \dots, \sigma(x_n) = x_n + \lambda_n$ where $\lambda_i \in K$. Then $x_i \partial_i = \sigma(x_i \partial_i) = (x_i + \lambda_i) \partial_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and so $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$. This means that $\sigma = e$. So, $\text{Fix}_{G_n} = (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n, H_1, \dots, H_n) = \{e\}$ and D_n is a faithful G_n -module. \square

By Lemma 2.3.(3), we identify the group G_n with its image in \mathbb{G}_n .

Lemma 2.4 1. D_n is a simple Lie algebra.

2. $Z(D_n) = \{0\}$.

3. $[D_n, D_n] = D_n$.

Proof. 1. Let $0 \neq a \in D_n$ and $\mathfrak{a} = (a)$ be the ideal of the Lie algebra D_n generated by the element a . We have to show that $\mathfrak{a} = D_n$. Using the inner derivations $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ we see that $\partial_i \in \mathfrak{a}$ for some i . Then $\mathfrak{a} = D_n$ since

$$x^\alpha \partial_j = (\alpha_i + 1)^{-1} [\partial_i, x^{\alpha+e_i} \partial_j] \in \mathfrak{a}$$

for all α and j .

2 and 3. Statements 2 and 3 follow from statement 1. \square

Proposition 2.5 1. $\text{Fix}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n, H_1, \dots, H_n) = \{e\}$.

2. Let $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{G}_n$. Then $\sigma = \tau$ iff $\sigma(\partial_i) = \tau(\partial_i)$ and $\sigma(H_i) = \tau(H_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

3. $\text{Fix}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n) = \text{Sh}_n$.

Proof. 1. Let $\sigma \in F := \text{Fix}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n, H_1, \dots, H_n)$. We have to show that $\sigma = e$. Since $\sigma \in \text{Fix}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(H_1, \dots, H_n)$, the automorphism σ respects the weight decomposition of D_n . By (7), $\sigma(x^\alpha \partial_i) = \lambda_{\alpha,i} x^\alpha \partial_i$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n,i}$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$ where $\lambda_{\alpha,i} \in K$. Clearly, $\lambda_{0,i} = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since $\sigma \in \text{Fix}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)$, by applying σ to the relations $\alpha_j x^{\alpha-e_j} \partial_i = [\partial_j, x^\alpha \partial_i]$, we get the relations

$$\alpha_j \lambda_{\alpha-e_j,i} x^{\alpha-e_j} \partial_i = [\partial_j, \lambda_{\alpha,i} x^\alpha \partial_i] = \alpha_j \lambda_{\alpha,i} x^{\alpha-e_j} \partial_i.$$

Hence $\lambda_{\alpha,i} = \lambda_{\alpha-e_j,i}$ provided $\alpha_j \neq 0$. We conclude that all the coefficients $\lambda_{\alpha,i}$ are equal to one of the coefficients $\lambda_{e_i,j}$ where $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and $i \neq j$. The relations $\partial_j = [\partial_i, x_i \partial_j]$ implies the relations $\partial_j = [\partial_i, \lambda_{e_i,j} x_i \partial_j] = \lambda_{e_i,j} \partial_j$, hence all the coefficients $\lambda_{e_i,j}$ are equal to 1. So, $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n P_n^{\partial_i} \partial_i \subseteq \mathcal{F} := \text{Fix}_{D_n}(\sigma) := \{\partial \in D_n \mid \sigma(\partial) = \partial\}$. To finish the proof of statement 1 it suffices to show that $x^\alpha H_i \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$, see (5) and (6). We use induction on $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$. If $|\alpha| = 0$ the statement is obvious as $\sigma \in F$. Suppose that $|\alpha| > 0$. Using the commutation relations

$$[\partial_j, x^\alpha H_i] = \begin{cases} \alpha_j x^{\alpha-e_j} H_i & \text{if } j \neq i, \\ (\alpha_i + 1) x^\alpha \partial_i & \text{if } j = i, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

the induction and the previous case, we see that

$$[\partial_j, \sigma(x^\alpha H_i) - x^\alpha H_i] = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Therefore, $\sigma(x^\alpha H_i) - x^\alpha H_i \in C_{D_n}(D_n) = \mathcal{D}_n$. Since the automorphism σ respects the weight decomposition of D_n , we must have $\sigma(x^\alpha H_i) - x^\alpha H_i \in x^\alpha \mathcal{H}_n \cap \mathcal{D}_n = \{0\}$. Hence, $x^\alpha H_i \in \mathcal{F}$, as required.

2. Statement 2 follows from statement 1.

3. Clearly, $\text{Sh}_n \subseteq F = \text{Fix}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)$. Let $\sigma \in F$ and $H'_i := \sigma(H_i), \dots, H'_n := \sigma(H_n)$. Applying the automorphism σ to the commutation relations $[\partial_i, H_j] = \delta_{ij} \partial_i$ gives the relations $[\partial_i, H'_j] = \delta_{ij} \partial_i$. By taking the difference, we see that $[\partial_i, H'_j - H_j] = 0$ for all i and j . Therefore, $H'_i = H_i + d_i$ for some elements $d_i \in C_{D_n}(D_n) = \mathcal{D}_n$ (Lemma 2.3.(1)), and so $d_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{ij} \partial_j$ for some elements $\lambda_{ij} \in K$. The elements H'_1, \dots, H'_n commute, hence

$$[H_j, \partial_i] = [H_i, \partial_j] \text{ for all } i, j,$$

or equivalently,

$$\lambda_{ij}\partial_j = \lambda_{ji}\partial_i \text{ for all } i, j.$$

This means that $\lambda_{ij} = 0$ for all $i \neq j$, i.e.

$$H'_i = H_i + \lambda_{ii}\partial_i = (x_i + \lambda_{ii})\partial_i = s_\lambda(H_i)$$

where $s_\lambda \in \text{Sh}_n$, $s_\lambda(x_i) = x_i + \lambda_{ii}$ for all i . Then $s_\lambda^{-1}\sigma \in \text{Fix}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n, H_1, \dots, H_n) = \{e\}$ (statement 2), and so $\sigma = s_\lambda \in \text{Sh}_n$. \square

Lemma 2.6 *Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_n$ and $\partial'_1 := \sigma(\partial_1), \dots, \partial'_n := \sigma(\partial_n)$. Then*

1. $\partial'_1, \dots, \partial'_n$ are commuting, locally nilpotent derivations of P_n .
2. $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker_{D_n}(\partial'_i) = K$.

Proof. 1. The derivations $\partial'_1, \dots, \partial'_n$ commute since $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$ are commute. The inner derivations $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ of the Lie algebra D_n are commuting and locally nilpotent. Hence, inner derivations

$$\delta'_1 := \text{ad}(\partial'_1), \dots, \delta'_n := \text{ad}(\partial'_n)$$

of the Lie algebra D_n are commuting and locally nilpotent. The vector space $P_n\partial'_i$ is closed under the derivations δ'_j since

$$\delta'_j(P_n\partial'_i) = [\partial'_j, P_n\partial'_i] = (\partial'_j * P_n) \cdot \partial'_i \subseteq P_n\partial'_i.$$

Therefore, $\partial'_1, \dots, \partial'_n$ are locally nilpotent derivations of the polynomial algebra P_n .

2. Let $\lambda \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker_{P_n}(\partial'_i)$. Then

$$\lambda\partial'_1 \in C_{D_n}(\partial'_1, \dots, \partial'_n) = \sigma(C_{D_n}(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)) = \sigma(C_{D_n}(\mathcal{D}_n)) = \sigma(\mathcal{D}_n) = \sigma\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n K\partial_i\right) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n K\partial'_i,$$

since $C_{D_n}(\mathcal{D}_n) = \mathcal{D}_n$, Lemma 2.3.(1). Then $\lambda \in K$ since otherwise the infinite dimensional space $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} K\lambda^i\partial'_1$ would be a subspace of a finite dimensional space $\sigma(\mathcal{D}_n)$. \square

The following lemma is well-known and it is easy to prove.

Lemma 2.7 *Let ∂ be a locally nilpotent derivation of a commutative K -algebra A such that $\partial(x) = 1$ for some element $x \in A$. Then $A = A^\partial[x]$ is a polynomial algebra over the ring $A^\partial := \ker(\partial)$ of constants of the derivation ∂ in the variable x .*

The next theorem is the most important point in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and, roughly speaking, the main reason why Theorem 1.1 holds.

Theorem 2.8 *Let $\partial'_1, \dots, \partial'_n$ be commuting, locally nilpotent derivations of the polynomial algebra P_n such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker_{P_n}(\partial'_i) = K$. Then there exist polynomials $x'_1, \dots, x'_n \in P_n$ such that*

$$\partial'_i * x'_j = \delta_{ij}. \tag{12}$$

Moreover, the algebra homomorphism

$$\sigma : P_n \rightarrow P_n, \quad x_1 \mapsto x'_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto x'_n$$

is an automorphism such that $\partial'_i = \sigma\partial_i\sigma^{-1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. Case $n = 1$: By Lemma 2.6, the derivation ∂'_1 of the polynomial algebra P_1 is a locally nilpotent derivation with $K'_1 := \ker_{P_1}(\partial'_1) = K$. Hence, $\partial'_1 * x'_1 = 1$ for some polynomial $x'_1 \in P_1$. By Lemma 2.7, $K[x_1] = K'_1[x'_1] = K[x'_1]$, and so $\sigma : K[x_1] \rightarrow K[x_1]$, $x \mapsto x'_1$, is an automorphism such that $\partial'_1 = \frac{d}{dx'_1} = \sigma \frac{d}{dx_1} \sigma^{-1}$.

Case $n \geq 2$. Let $K'_i := \ker_{P_n}(\partial'_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Clearly, $K \subseteq K'_i$.

(i) $K'_i \neq K$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$: If $K'_i = K$ for some i then by the same argument as in the case $n = 1$ there exists a polynomial $x'_i \in P_n$ such that $\partial'_i * x'_i = 1$, and so $P_n = K'_i[x'_i] = K[x_i]$, a contradiction.

(ii) Let m be the maximum of $\text{card}(I)$ such $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $\bigcap_{i \in I} K'_i \neq K$. By (i), $2 \leq m \leq n-1$. Changing (if necessary) the order of the derivations $\partial'_1, \dots, \partial'_n$ we may assume that $A := \bigcap_{i=1}^m K'_i \neq K$. Then the algebra A is infinite dimensional (since $K \neq A \subseteq P_n$) and invariant under the action of the derivations ∂'_j for $j = m+1, \dots, n$. By the choice of m ,

$$A^{\partial'_j} = K'_j \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^m K'_i = K \text{ for } j = m+1, \dots, n$$

and the derivations ∂'_j acts locally nilpotently on the algebra $A^{\partial'_j}$. Therefore, for each index $j = m+1, \dots, n$, there exists an element $x'_j \in A$ such that $\partial'_j * x'_j = 1$, and so (Lemma 2.7)

$$A = A^{\partial'_j}[x'_j] = K[x'_j] \text{ for } j = m+1, \dots, n. \quad (13)$$

(ii)(a) Suppose that $m = n-1$, i.e. $\partial'_i * x'_n = \delta_{in}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. By Lemma 2.7, $P_n = K'_n[x'_n]$. The algebra K'_n admits the set of commuting, locally nilpotent derivations

$$\partial''_1 := \partial'_1|_{K'_n}, \dots, \partial''_{n-1} := \partial'_{n-1}|_{K'_n}$$

with $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} \ker_{K'_n}(\partial''_i) = K'_n \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} K'_i = K$.

(ii)(b) Suppose that $m < n-1$. By (13),

$$K^* x'_{m+1} + K = K^* x'_{m+2} + K = \dots = K^* x'_n + K,$$

and so $\lambda_j := \partial'_j * x'_n \in K$ for $j = m+1, \dots, n-1$. Hence, $(\partial'_j - \lambda_j \partial'_n) * x'_n = 0$ for $j = m+1, \dots, n-1$. A linear combination of commuting, locally nilpotent derivations is a locally nilpotent derivation (the proof boils down to the case $\partial + \delta$ of two commuting, locally nilpotent derivations, then the result follows from $(\partial + \delta)^m = \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} \partial^i \delta^{m-i}$ and $\partial^i \delta^{m-i} = \delta^{m-i} \partial^i$). Using the set of commuting, locally nilpotent derivations $\partial'_1, \dots, \partial'_n$ that satisfy (12) we obtain the set of commuting, locally nilpotent derivations

$$\delta'_1 := \partial'_1, \dots, \delta'_m := \partial'_m, \delta'_{m+1} := \partial'_{m+1} - \lambda_{m+1} \partial'_n, \dots, \delta'_{n-1} := \partial'_{n-1} - \lambda_{n-1} \partial'_n, \delta'_n := \partial_n$$

that satisfy (12) with

$$\delta'_i * x'_n = \delta_{in} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then repeating the arguments of (ii)(a), we see that $P_n = K'_n[x'_n]$. The algebra K'_n admits the set of commuting, locally nilpotent derivations

$$\partial''_1 := \delta'_1|_{K'_n}, \dots, \partial''_{n-1} := \delta'_{n-1}|_{K'_n}$$

with

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} \ker_{K'_n}(\partial''_i) = K'_n \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} \ker_{P_n}(\delta'_i) = K'_n \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} \ker_{P_n}(\partial'_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n K'_i = K.$$

(iii) Using the cases (ii)(a) and (ii)(b) $n-1$ more times we find polynomials x'_1, \dots, x'_n and commuting set of locally nilpotent derivations of P_n , say, $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ that satisfy (12) and such that

$$(\alpha) \Delta_i * x'_j = \delta_{ij} \text{ for all } i, j = 1, \dots, n;$$

(β) the n -tuple of derivations $\Delta = (\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n)^T$ is obtained from the n -tuple of derivations $\partial' = (\partial'_1, \dots, \partial'_n)^T$ by unitriangular (hence invertible) scalar matrix $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij}) \in M_n(K)$ such that $\Delta = \Lambda \partial'$; and

(γ) (where $K''_1 := \ker_{P_n}(\Delta_1), \dots, K''_n := \ker_{P_n}(\Delta_n)$)

$$\begin{aligned} P_n &= K''_n[x'_n] = (K''_{n-1} \cap K''_n)[x'_{n-1}, x'_n] = \dots = \left(\bigcap_{i=s}^n K''_i \right)[x'_s, \dots, x'_n] = \dots \\ &= \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n K''_i \right)[x'_1, \dots, x'_n] = K[x'_1, \dots, x'_n]. \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Replacing the row $x' = (x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$ by the row $x' \Lambda$ gives the required elements of the theorem. Indeed, by (α), $\Lambda \cdot (\partial'_i * x'_j) = 1$, the identity $n \times n$ matrix. Hence, $(\partial'_i * x'_j) \cdot \Lambda = 1$, as required.

(v) Let x'_1, \dots, x'_n be the set of polynomials as in the theorem. Then σ is an algebra automorphism (see (γ) and (iv)) such that $\partial'_i = \sigma \partial_i \sigma^{-1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. \square

Corollary 2.9 *Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_n$. Then $\tau \sigma \in \text{Fix}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)$ for some $\tau \in G_n$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.6, the elements $\partial'_1 := \sigma(\partial_1), \dots, \partial'_n := \sigma(\partial_n)$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.8. By Theorem 2.8, $\partial'_1 := \tau^{-1}(\partial_1), \dots, \partial'_n := \tau^{-1}(\partial_n)$ for some $\tau \in G_n$. Therefore, $\tau \sigma \in \text{Fix}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_n$. By Corollary 2.9, $\tau \sigma \in \text{Fix}_{\mathbb{G}_n}(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n) = \text{Sh}_n$ (Proposition 2.5.(3)). Therefore, $\sigma \in G_n$, i.e. $\mathbb{G}_n = G_n$. \square

Acknowledgements

The work is partly supported by the Royal Society and EPSRC.

References

- [1] V. V. Bavula, The inversion formula for automorphisms of the Weyl algebras and polynomial algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **210** (2007), 147-159; arXiv:math.RA/0512215.
- [2] V. V. Bavula, The Jacobian Conjecture $_{2n}$ implies the Dixmier Problem $_n$, ArXiv:math.RA/0512250.
- [3] V. V. Bavula, An analogue of the Conjecture of Dixmier is true for the algebra of polynomial integro-differential operators, *J. of Algebra* **372** (2012) 237-250. Arxiv:math.RA: 1011.3009.
- [4] V. V. Bavula, Every monomorphism of the Lie algebra of triangular polynomial derivations is an automorphism, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I*, **350** (2012) no. 11-12, 553-556. (Arxiv:math.AG:1205.0797).
- [5] V. V. Bavula, Lie algebras of triangular polynomial derivations and an isomorphism criterion for their Lie factor algebras, *Izvestiya: Mathematics*, (2013), in print. (Arxiv:math.RA:1204.4908).
- [6] V. V. Bavula, The groups of automorphisms of the Lie algebras of triangular polynomial derivations, Arxiv:math.AG/1204.4910.
- [7] A. Belov-Kanel and M. Kontsevich, The Jacobian conjecture is stably equivalent to the Dixmier Conjecture, *Mosc. Math. J.* **7** (2007), no. 2, 209-218 (arXiv:math. RA/0512171).
- [8] J. Dixmier, Sur les algèbres de Weyl, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **96** (1968), 209-242.
- [9] Y. Tsuchimoto, Endomorphisms of Weyl algebra and p -curvatures. *Osaka J. Math.* **42** (2005), no. 2, 435-452.

Department of Pure Mathematics
University of Sheffield
Hicks Building
Sheffield S3 7RH
UK
email: v.bavula@sheffield.ac.uk